# UC Davis UC Davis Previously Published Works

# Title

The HDL lipidome is widely remodeled by fast food versus Mediterranean diet in 4 days

# Permalink

https://escholarship.org/uc/item/4039g9jc

# Journal

Metabolomics, 15(8)

# ISSN

1573-3882

# **Authors**

Zhu, Chenghao Sawrey-Kubicek, Lisa Beals, Elizabeth <u>et al.</u>

# **Publication Date**

2019-08-01

# DOI

10.1007/s11306-019-1579-1

Peer reviewed

1	The HDL lipidome is widely remodeled by fast food vs. Mediterranean diet in four days
2	
3	Chenghao Zhu <sup>a</sup> , Lisa Sawrey-Kubicek <sup>a</sup> , Elizabeth Beals <sup>a</sup> , Riley L. Hughes <sup>a</sup> , Chris H. Rhodes <sup>a</sup> , Romina Sacchi <sup>a</sup> ,
4	Angela M. Zivkovic <sup>a*</sup>
5	
6	<sup>a</sup> Department of Nutrition, University of California, Davis, Davis, CA, USA 95616.
7	*To whom correspondence should be addressed.
8	
9	Emails: chhzhu@ucdavis.edu; lsawreykubicek@ucdavis.edu; egbeals@ucdavis.edu; rlhughes@ucdavis.edu;
10	chrhodes@ucdavis.edu; myuwong@ucdavis.edu; qyuli@ucdavis.edu; rsacchi@ucdavis.edu;
11	cblebrilla@ucdavis.edu; amzivkovic@ucdavis.edu;
12	
13	Abbreviations: PL: phospholipid, PC: phosphatidylcholine, PE: phosphatidylethanolamine, LPC:
14	lysophosphatidylcholine, LPE: lysophosphatidylethanolamine, SM: sphingomyelin, Cer: ceramide, CE: cholesteryl
15	ester, FC: free cholesterol, TG: triacylglycerol, DG: diacylglycerol, OCFA: odd chain fatty acid, CVD:
16	cardiovascular disease, T2D: type 2 Diabetes, FF: fast food, Med: Mediterranean, EOD <sub>18</sub> : equivalent of double

17 bonds per 18 carbons, ACL: average carbon chain length

## 18 ABSTRACT

19 Introduction: HDL is associated with increased longevity and protection from multiple chronic diseases. 20 The major HDL protein ApoA-I has a half-life of about 4 days, however, the effects of diet on the composition of 21 HDL particles at this time scale have not been studied. Objectives: The objective of this study is to investigate the 22 short term dietary effect on HDL lipidomic composition. Methods: In this randomized order cross-over study, ten 23 healthy subjects consumed a Mediterranean (Med) and a fast food (FF) diet for 4 days, with a 4-day wash-out 24 between treatments. Lipidomic composition was analyzed in isolated HDL fractions by an untargeted LC-MS 25 method with 15 internal standards. Results: HDL PE content was increased by FF diet, and 41 out of 170 lipid 26 species were differentially affected by diet. Saturated fatty acids (FA) and odd chain FA were enriched after FF diet, 27 while very-long chain FA and unsaturated FA were enriched after Med diet. The composition of PC, TG and CE 28 were significantly altered to reflect the FA composition of the diet whereas the composition of SM and ceramides 29 were generally unaffected. Conclusion: Results from this study indicate that the HDL lipidome is widely remodeled 30 within 4 days of diet change and that certain lipid classes are more sensitive markers of diet whereas other lipid 31 classes are better indicators of non-dietary factors. 32 Keywords: High-Density Lipoprotein; Lipidomics; Fast Food Diet; Mediterranean Diet

### **33 1 INTRODUCTION**

34 Clinical and epidemiologic studies have uniformly demonstrated that cardiovascular disease (CVD) risk is 35 independently associated with both high concentrations of LDL-C and low concentrations of HDL-C (Assmann and 36 Gotto 2004; Barter et al. 2007). Particularly in patients with obesity and/or metabolic syndrome (MetS) low HDL-C 37 is an independent risk factor for CVD (Bays et al. 2013). There is a strong body of evidence indicating that HDL-C 38 concentrations are protective against CVD across populations, however, some recent trials show no benefit or even 39 negative associations with higher HDL-C (reviewed in (März et al. 2017)), and pharmaceutical interventions to 40 increase HDL-C initially failed to demonstrate benefit in cardiovascular endpoints despite raising HDL-C 41 concentrations (Investigators 2011; Schwartz et al. 2012) though subsequent trials with improved drugs showed a 42 benefit (Group et al. 2017). HDL particles are heterogeneous, with multiple subclasses and biologic functions, and 43 undergo significant remodeling in vivo (Asztalos et al. 2011). In fact, chemical, compositional, and structural

44 changes can transform atheroprotective HDL into pro-atherogenic, pro-inflammatory particles (Ansell et al. 2007).

45 Focus has shifted toward assessing the impact of various interventions on the composition and function of HDL

46 particles and not just the absolute concentration of HDL-C in the blood.

47 Lipidomics studies have been performed to elucidate the molecular basis of HDL and its role in diseases 48 including type 2 diabetes (T2D), dyslipidemia, and CVD (Camont et al. 2013; Pruzanski et al. 2000; Ståhlman et al. 49 2013). Dietary factors have been shown to affect HDL composition and function; moderate carbohydrate restriction 50 with egg consumption improved HDL cholesterol efflux capacity (Andersen et al. 2013), and fruits and vegetables 51 improved whereas saturated fat and refined carbohydrates reduced HDL anti-inflammatory capacity (reviewed in 52 (Andersen and Fernandez 2013)). Although different HDL protein and lipid components have different turn-over 53 rates, the half-life of ApoA-I, the main protein constituent of HDL, is approximately 4 days (Thompson et al. 1988). 54 The effects of dietary changes on HDL lipidomic composition at this time scale have not been studied. Short-term 55 dietary interventions could potentially be useful as clinical tools to assess individual responsiveness to diet. In 56 addition, if substantial changes occur to the HDL lipidome within this short time frame of several days in response 57 to diet, it is imperative to assess the extent of this influence to inform how frequently and closely diet must be 58 controlled and monitored in longer-term intervention studies to minimize confounding effects. 59 In this pilot study, we hypothesized that a 4-day dietary intervention period measurably alters HDL lipidomic

60 composition. We investigated the short-term effects of two different dietary patterns. The fast food (FF) diet, or the

- 61 Western diet, which is enriched in red meat, simple sugars, fat, saturated fat, and cholesterol, and low in fresh fruits,
- 62 vegetables and fiber (Bahadoran et al. 2012; Deng et al. 2017; Garcia-Arellano et al. 2015; Nettleton et al. 2006;
- 63 O'Neil et al. 2015), was compared to the Mediterranean (Med) diet, which is enriched in fresh fruits, vegetables,
- 64 fiber, monounsaturated and polyunsaturated fat, particularly omega-3 fatty acids (Estruch 2010; Estruch et al. 2013;
- 65 Martínez-Lapiscina et al. 2013; Salas-Salvadó et al. 2011, 2008; Serra-Majem et al. 2006). HDL lipidomic
- 66 composition in response to the two dietary patterns was assessed and compared.

### 67 2 MATERIALS AND METHODS

### 68 2.1 Subjects

69 Ten healthy human subjects (5 male and 5 female) were recruited from the community in Davis, CA. 70 Subjects were 18-25 years old, non-smokers, with BMI 21.2-32.9 kg/m<sup>2</sup>, and currently consuming fast food 3 times 71 per week or less. Subjects with anemia, diabetes, thyroid disease, MetS, cancer, previous cardiovascular events or 72 other disease diagnoses were excluded. Subjects were also excluded if they had extreme dietary or exercise patterns, 73 or were taking prescription medications or other supplements known to alter lipoprotein metabolism such as 74 isoflavones. The study was approved by the University of California Davis Institutional Review Board and the study 75 followed all of the ethical standards of the Helsinki declaration. The study is registered at clinicaltrials.gov under 76 identifier NCT03205254.

#### 77 2.2 Study design

Subjects were phone screened, consented, and enrolled in the study if they met all inclusion and exclusion criteria. In this randomized, cross-over study, each subject was randomized to intervention order using a randomized block design to either start on the FF or Med diet and cross over to the Med or FF diet, respectively, after the washout period. All treatment periods were 4 days in duration. All study food was provided to the subjects, either by purchasing from a local grocery store (Med) or fast food restaurants (FF). The study dietary plan was designed to match each subject's daily Calorie requirement based on the Harris-Benedict equation. Subjects were asked to keep their normal physical activity during study periods.

Anthropometric measurements, including height, weight, blood pressure, hip and waist circumference were taken at the first and last day of each study arm. A blood sample was collected by a licensed phlebotomist from the antecubital vein after an overnight fast on the first and the day after the last day of each study arm, and plasma or serum was separated within 1 hour of the blood draw. An aliquot of sample was sent to the University of California Davis Medical Center (UCDMC) for a lipid panel test, while the rest of the samples were immediately aliquoted and stored at -80°C before analysis.

91 2.3 Diet

Breakfast was provided to the subjects on the FF arm, while for lunch and dinner, subjects were instructed on
exactly what to purchase from a local fast food restaurant. On the Med arm, ingredients for all three meals were
purchased from a local grocery store, portioned by the study team, and picked up by subjects. Subjects were asked to

95 return to their normal diet during washout. On the FF arm, 1-2 frosted strawberry pop-tarts were given for breakfast, 96 and different hamburgers with or without fries were assigned to subjects for lunch and dinner. The sizes of 97 hamburgers and fries were assigned according to the calorie levels. Subjects also consumed soda ad libitum as part 98 of their meals. On the Med arm, high fiber cereal in 1% milk with one small banana was given for breakfast. Lunch 99 was made of a study salad with dressing and 1-2 servings of canned no salt tuna or chicken, while dinner was made 100 of 1-2 servings of minestrone soup, 1-2 servings of multigrain blend, 1 serving of tomato basil marinara, and extra 101 virgin olive oil (EVOO) adjusted to the calorie level. Almonds and other dried fruits and nuts were provided as 102 snack between meals according to the prescribed Calorie level. The study diet menu for FF and Med at 2000 103 kcals/day level is shown in Supplemental Table S1 and S2. 104 2.4 HDL isolation

105 HDL particles were isolated using a 2-step density based sequential flotation ultracentrifugation method 106 modified from a previous study (Krishnan et al. 2017). Potassium Bromide (KBr) solutions of density 1.063 g/mL, 107 1.210 g/mL, and 1.340 g/mL were freshly prepared and verified using a portable densitometer (Mettler Toledo, 108 Columbus, OH). For each sample 2.0 mL of plasma was adjusted to a density of 1.063 g/mL by adding concentrated 109 KBr solution (d=1.340 g/mL). Adjusted plasma was then underlaid to KBr solution of 1.063 g/mL in an 110 ultracentrifugation tube (OptiSeal, Beckman Coulter), followed by ultracentrifugation at 110,000 rpm for 3 hours 111 and 10 minutes. Ultracentrifugation was performed on a Beckman Optima MAX-TL equipped with a TLA-110 112 fixed-angle rotor (Beckman Coulter) with a k factor of 13.04. The 2-mL supernatant containing chylomicrons, 113 remnants, VLDL and LDL was removed, and the bottom layer containing the HDL fraction was further adjusted to a 114 density of 1.21 g/mL by adding concentrated KBr solution (d=1.340 g/mL). The adjusted fraction was then 115 underlaid to KBr solution of 1.21 g/mL in two separate ultracentrifugation tubes, followed by ultracentrifugation at 116 110,000 rpm for 3 hours and 20 minutes. One mL supernatant of HDL fraction from each tube was combined and 117 dialyzed using Amicon Ultra-4, MWCO 10 kDa filter devices twice to remove the KBr. HDL was reconstituted in 118 LC-MS water and kept at -80°C until analysis.

119 2.5 HDL lipidomics

120 HDL complex lipids, including PC (phosphatidylcholine), PE (phosphatidylethanolamine), PG

121 (lysophosphatidylcholine), LPE (lysophosphatidylethanolamine), SM (sphingomyelin), FA (fatty acid), TG

122 (triacylglycerol), DG (diacylglycerol), MG (monoacylglycerol), FC (free cholesterol), and CE (cholesteryl ester)

123 were measured at the West Coast Metabolomics Center, using the protocol described elsewhere (Cajka et al. 2016).

124 225 µL of cold methanol containing lipid internal standards (PE(17:0/17:0), PG(17:0/17:0), LPC(17:0), C17

125 sphingosine, C17 ceramide, SM(d18:1/17:0), palmitic acid (d3), PC(12:0/13:0), cholesterol (d7),

126 TG(17:0/17:1/17:0) d5, DG(12:0/12:0), DG(18:1/2:0), MG(17:0), and LPE(17:1)) were added into 25 μL purified

127 HDL sample, followed by adding 750 µL cold MTBE containing CE(22:1). After shaking at 4 °C for 6 minutes, 188

128  $\mu$ L of distilled water was added, and the sample was centrifuged at 14,000 g for 2 minutes. 350  $\mu$ L supernatant was

129 extracted, dried down, and reconstituted with 65  $\mu$ L methanol/toluene (9:1, v/v) solution. 3  $\mu$ L of the reconstituted

130 sample was then injected into a LCMS for analysis. Each sample was injected in parallel into an Agilent 6530

131 QTOF with positive mode, and an Agilent 6550 QTOF with negative mode, with the purpose of capturing as many

132 complex lipid species as possible. LC separation was done on a Waters UPLC CSH C18 column (1.7 µm, 2.1 mm

100 mm), using a gradient method. A OC (quality control) sample was run every 11<sup>th</sup> injection. The OC samples all 133

134 came from the same human plasma pool.

135 2.6 Lipidomics data processing

136 MS data was processed using MS-DIAL (Tsugawa et al. 2015). Lipid species were identified through 2 137 methods. Liquid chromatogram retention time and MS1 m/z was searched against the in house rt-mz library (Cajka 138 et al. 2016). The fragmentation pattern in MS2 was searched against the in silico library LipidBlast (Kind et al. 139 2013). Lipid species identified using rt-mz library are MSI level 3, and the ones identified through fragmentation 140 pattern searching are MSI level 2 (Sumner et al. 2007). This method is able to identify lipid species in 14 lipid 141 classes, including PC, PE, LPC, LPE, PG, CE, sphingosine, ceramide, SM, FA, FC, TG, DG, and MG. 142 Quantification was done using a single-point, class specific calibration curve using internal standards, as shown 143 below (Cajka et al. 2016).

 $conc_{i,j} = \frac{int_{i,j}}{int_{k,j}} \times conc_k$ 144

145 Where the conc<sub>i,j</sub> is the concentration of lipid i in the sample j.  $int_{i,j}$  is the intensity of lipid i in sample j.  $int_{i,k}$ 146 is the intensity of internal standard of lipid class k detected in sample j. conck is the spiked concentration of internal 147 standard for lipid class k.

148 2.7 **Statistical Analysis** 

149 The HDL lipidomic data were transformed to a proportion (mg %) for each species.

150 
$$Proportion_{i,j} = \frac{conc_{i,j}}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} conc_{i,j}}$$

151 The proportion of lipid species i in sample j equals to its concentration divided by the sum of the

152 concentration of all the lipid species in sample j. The proportion of all species in the same lipid class were added up

to get the proportion of each lipid class.

Lipidomics data were transformed and summarized to obtain the EOD<sub>18</sub> (equivalent of double bond per 18
carbons) and ACL (average chain length). The EOD<sub>18</sub> was calculated using the equation below:

156 
$$EOD_{18} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} conc_{i,j} \times ndb_{i,j}}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} conc_{i,j} \times nc_{i,j}} \times 18$$

157  $\operatorname{conc}_{i,j}$  is the mol concentration of the j<sup>th</sup> lipid species in i<sup>th</sup> sample.  $\operatorname{ndb}_{i,j}$  is the number of double bonds of 158 this lipid species, while  $\operatorname{nc}_{i,j}$  is the number of carbons. The ACL is calculated using the equation below:

159 
$$ACL = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} conc_{i,j} \times nc_{i}}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} conc_{i,j} \times nfa_{i}}$$

nfa<sub>i,j</sub> represents the number of fatty acids for the i<sup>th</sup> lipid species in the j<sup>th</sup> sample (for example, a PC or a DG
will be 2, and a TG will be 3).

Several lipid class mole ratios were calculated including PC/LPC, CE/FC, SM/PL, and surface/core lipids.
Surface lipids are amphipathic lipid classes including PC, PE, SM, Cer, LPC, cholesterol, and DG, while core lipids are hydrophobic lipids CE and TG. PL are total phospholipids, including PC, PE, SM, and LPC. Class specific
OCFA (odd chain fatty acids) were calculated by summing up the mole concentration of all lipid species with odd number of carbons in the same lipid class.

167 A differential abundance test was applied to the normalized HDL lipidomic data using a mixed linear model, 168 with the R package, limma (Ritchie et al. 2015). Multiple test correction was performed on the p-values using the 169 Benjamini-Hochberg method. Data were log2 transformed before differential abundance test and the shapiro.test 170 function in R was used to perform Shapiro-Wilk test of normality. The Pearson's correlation test was applied to find 171 the correlation between different variables. Multiple testing correction was not applied to correlation analysis due to 172 the exploratory nature of this analysis. Compound similarity Tanimoto coefficient was calculated using the R 173 package fmcsR (Wang et al. 2013) and ChemmineR (Cao et al. 2008). The hierarchical clustering method was used 174 to group lipid species that responded similarly across all samples. The hclust function in R's stats package was used,

- 175 followed by the cutree function setting the argument h equals to 8 to generate 32 clusters. The proportion data was z-
- score normalized prior to clustering. PCA analysis was performed using the prcomp function in R.

### 177 3 RESULTS

### 178 3.1 Baseline Characteristics and Dietary Records

179 The baseline characteristics of the 10 subjects are listed in Table 1. All subjects were healthy with normal 180 blood pressure and blood lipids levels. Neither the anthropometric nor the circulating lipid variables were 181 significantly affected by the diets. The BMIs of all subjects were normal to slightly overweight. Summary data from the three-day diet records from baseline and during the two study treatments are listed in Table 2. The total calorie 182 183 intake of each subject was relatively equivalent to their baseline level. The daily calorie intake at baseline was not 184 significantly different from FF (p = 0.506) or Med (p=0.277). All subjects had significantly higher saturated fat (p < 0.277) 185 0.0001), trans fat (p < 0.0001), protein (p = 0.0005) intake, and lower unsaturated fat (p < 0.0001) and fiber intake (p186 < 0.0001) on the FF diet arm compared to the Med diet **Table 2**. Carbohydrate intake was not significantly different 187 between the two treatments.

188 3.2 HDL Lipidome

189 With the lipidomics method, 170 lipid species in 9 different lipid classes were detected in 78 or more 190 samples, with 167 of them were detected in all samples. Supplemental Table S3 lists all lipid species with their 191 relative abundance before and after FF and Med, as well as their linear mixed model p-values. According to the 192 quality control samples, the average coefficient of variation (CV) of all the lipid species was  $11.43 (\pm 6.58)$  %, with 193 90% of the lipid species having a CV < 20% (Fig. 1-A&B). The average composition of isolated HDL from this 194 population across diet treatments was 46.2% CE, 29.4% PC, 9.7% FC, 6.8% TG, 5.2% SM, and 2.2% PE. The rest 195 of the lipid classes including LPC, DG, and Ceramides added up to 0.5% of the total lipids (Fig. 1-C). At the lipid 196 class level, HDL PE was significantly (p = 0.0003) elevated after FF but not Med (Fig. 1-D). All 170 lipid species 197 are presented in the cladogram in Fig. 1-E. In the cladogram, lipids were clustered based on their structural 198 similarity using the Tanimoto coefficient, which measures the structural similarity between chemical compounds 199 (Nikolova and Jaworska 2003). The cladogram also shows the change of each lipid species on FF and Med from 200 baseline. It shows that more PC species increased after FF, and more TG species decreased after Med. The linear 201 mixed model shows 65 out of 170 features were significantly and differently affected by FF and Med (p < 0.05, 202 unadjusted, Fig. 1-F), and the significance remained for 41 lipid species after adjustment for multiple comparisons. 203 The principal component analysis (PCA) and its loading plot shown in Fig. 1-G&H were drawn using the change 204 from baseline on FF and Med diet of the 41 species with an adjusted p-value that was significant at p < 0.05. The

PCA plot shows the lipidome changed differently on the FF compared with the Med diet, while the loading plotsshow that different lipid species were enriched on the two diets.

207 The changes of the 41 lipids species after FF and Med diet from baseline are presented in Fig. 2-A. Using the 208 hierarchical clustering method, lipid species that showed similar response across study subjects and treatments 209 clustered together (Fig. 2-B). The clustering method was able to form 32 clusters from the 170 lipid species, and 9 210 clusters were significantly altered after FF versus Med diet (p < 0.05, adjusted). PCs with long chain fatty acids 211 (C16-20) and less double bonds were either elevated after FF or decreased after Med, including PC 30:0, PC 32:2, 212 PC 34:0, 34:4, PC 36:2, and PC 36:4 (cluster 6, 8, and 28). PCs with very long chain fatty acids (C20-22) tended to 213 increase after Med but not FF, including PC 40:6 and PC 40:7, which have more double bonds than the PC species 214 that were elevated after FF (cluster 11). Plasmenyl PCs tended to increase after FF rather than Med, including PC 215 34:1 p, PC 34:2 p, PC 36:2 p, and PC 38:4 p (cluster 12). CE species were elevated after Med but not FF, including 216 CE 18:1, CE 20:4, and CE 22:6 (cluster 20). SM species SM 34:2 and SM 42:3 (cluster 1) were decreased after FF 217 and increased after Med. Several PE and plasmenyl PE species were also elevated after FF but not Med, including 218 PE 36:2 p, PE 34:2 p, and PE 38:4 p (cluster 12).

### 219 3.3 Lipidome Characteristics

220 In order to better understand the HDL lipidome, two descriptive variables, EOD<sub>18</sub> and ACL, were calculated 221 from the lipidomic data as described above. The overall  $EOD_{18}$  of the lipidome was 1.70 double bonds per 18 222 carbons, and the overall ACL was 18.14. Both the EOD<sub>18</sub> (p < 0.001, adjusted) and ACL (p = 0.001, adjusted) of PC 223 significantly decreased after FF and increased after Med diet (Fig. 3-A&B). CE had the highest EOD<sub>18</sub> (2.37) at the 224 baseline level, followed by PE (2.00), and PC (1.44), while TG, SM, Ceramide, and LPC have very small EOD<sub>18</sub> 225 (0.98, 0.74, 0.50, and 0.61) which suggests saturated fatty acids dominate these lipid classes. Lipid classes with a 226 high EOD<sub>18</sub>, including PE and CE, were significantly affected by the dietary interventions (p < 0.001 & p = 0.003, 227 adjusted) as their double bonds decreased after FF and increased after Med. Overall TG, SM, Ceramide, and LPC 228 have small EOD<sub>18</sub>, and they were not significantly affected by either dietary treatment. The overall EOD<sub>18</sub> also 229 decreased after FF and increased after Med. PE, PC, CE, and overall EOD<sub>18</sub> were also significantly correlated with 230 dietary MUFA (PE: p < 0.001, R = 0.796; PC: p < 0.001, R = 0.651; CE: p = 0.017, R = 0.431; Overall: p = 0.037, R 231 = 0.383. All p-values were unadjusted and all R values are Spearman's correlation coefficients) and PUFA intake 232 (PE: p < 0.001, R = 0.812; PC: p < 0.001, R = 0.700; CE: p = 0.014, R = 0.443; Overall: p = 0.025, R = 0.408.)

- 233 Ceramides had the longest carbon chain length (19.06) at baseline, followed by PE (18.54), SM (18.53), CE
- (18.50), while DG, TG and LPC had less very long chain lipids (ACL=18.00, 17.35, and 17.12). The ACL of CE,
- PE, PC, TG and overall ACL were significantly altered by dietary interventions (p < 0.001, p = 0.001, 0.0012, and
- 236 0.0013, adjusted) as the fatty acyl length were decreased after FF and increased after Med.
- Four lipid classes were detected with lipid species containing OCFAs (odd chain fatty acid), PC, SM, TG,
- and Cer. The OCFAs carried by PC and SM were at a similar level, but SM had the highest relative proportion of
- 239 OCFAs (8.41%), followed by Cer (4.67%), TG (1.95%), while PC (1.55%) had the lowest relative proportion. PC
- 240 OCFAs were significantly increased after FF and decreased after Med diet (p < 0.001, Fig. 3-C). OCFAs in SM,
- 241 TG, and Cer were not significantly affected by the diets (Fig. 3-D).
- HDL surface lipids include all amphipathic phospholipids, sphingolipids, FC, mono- and di-acylglycerols.
- 243 PC/LPC ratio was negatively associated with CE/FC ratio (rho = -0.506, p = 0.001, Supplemental Fig. S1-A).
- 244 CE/FC ratio had a very high negative correlation with surface to core lipids ratio (rho = -0.846, p < 0.001,
- 245 Supplemental Fig. S1-B).

### 246 4 DISCUSSION

247 The Med diet was chosen for this study because it is known to improve cardiometabolic health and decrease 248 disease risk from both epidemiological and intervention studies (De Lorgeril et al. 1999; Esposito et al. 2004; 249 Estruch et al. 2013). The FF diet was chosen because according to the CDC increasing numbers of Americans are 250 consuming convenience and fast foods on a daily basis (Smith et al. 2013), and observational studies have found this 251 type of diet to be associated with increased markers of inflammation and disturbed lipid profiles (Nettleton et al. 252 2006). Although the term "fast food" can be used to describe many different kinds of foods that are prepared 253 quickly, in this study, the term was used to refer to the most "classic" American fast food meal including a burger, 254 fries, and a soft drink purchased at a fast food restaurant. Although the long-term impact of the two diets on 255 cardiometabolic health and lipid profile are well documented, no research studies reported their short-term effects on 256 a time-scale similar to our study. Only one study directly compared the effects of a fast food style diet to a 257 traditional German diet to a Med diet for 2 weeks in 39 healthy human subjects, and found very little difference in 258 effects on a variety of cardiometabolic health parameters including LDL-C, HDL-C, TG, and homocysteine (Parcina 259 et al. 2015). 260 A few studies have specifically studied HDL lipidome composition at lipid species level. Weisner et 261 al. developed a LCMS method that provided the pioneering reference to HDL lipidome on FPLC isolated HDL 262 fractions (Wiesner et al. 2009). A study done by Andersen et al reported the PL, CE and free cholesterol proportion 263 to be 46.0, 40.4, and 5.1 % (wt), respectively, in human subjects with MetS (Andersen et al. 2013), and Camont et al 264 reported the three major HDL lipid classes in similar proportions (Camont et al. 2013). A study done by Sawrey-265 Kubicek et al. found reported more PC (36.3%), TG (13.9%) and SM (8.5%), and less CE (25.9%) in post-266 menopausal women (Sawrey-Kubicek et al. 2019). HDL lipidome composition was also reviewed by Kontush et al, 267 with PC 33-45%, PE 0.5-1.5%, SM 5-10%, FC 5-10%, and CE 30-40% (Kontush et al. 2013). In our study, the SM 268 (5.2%) and FC (9.7%) contents fall into the range reported by Kontush et al (Kontush et al. 2013). CE (46.2%) and 269 PE (2.2%) contents were higher than reported, while PC (29.4%) was lower (Kontush et al. 2013). HDL lipid 270 composition varies depending on the particle size, and large HDL particles have higher CE and lower PC (Kontush 271 et al. 2013). It is possible that our study population, which included only young, healthy individuals, had more large 272 HDL particles compared to populations from the previously published reports, thus more proportion of core lipids

- 273 (e.g., CE) and less proportion of surface lipids (e.g., PC) were observed.

274 This is the first reported study investigating the impact of dietary change on the time scale of 4 days on HDL 275 lipid composition in healthy human subjects. In a study examining the effects of a diet rich in n-3 fatty acids and 276 polyphenols in overweight human subjects with high CVD risk, an increase in PC and TG enriched in n-3 FA was 277 observed in both plasma and HDL after 8 weeks of intervention (Bondia-Pons et al. 2014). In a study that compared 278 the HDL lipidome of T2D patients, T2D patients with dyslipidemia, and healthy subjects, HDL SM was 279 significantly lower in T2D patients with dyslipidemia (Ståhlman et al. 2013). In the current study HDL SM was 280 increased after the Med diet. Several TG species have previously been shown to be significantly higher in T2D 281 patients with or without dyslipidemia compared to healthy controls (Ståhlman et al. 2013), and in our study were 282 decreased after Med but not FF, including TG 48:1, TG 48:2, TG 48:3, and TG 52:1. In another study that looked at 283 the long-term effect of fenofibrate therapy on HDL in CVD patients (Yetukuri et al. 2011), the plasmalogen species 284 PE 40:6 p (plasmalogen) and PE 38:5 p were decreased and SM 32:1 was increased in patients on fenofibrate. In this 285 current study, PE 40:6 p and PE 38:5 p increased after FF, but not Med, and SM 32:1 increased after Med, but not 286 FF.

Since the FF diet was rich in SFAs and the Med diet was rich in MUFAs, FA in several classes, especially
PC and PE became shorter and had less double bonds after FF and were longer and had more double bonds after
Med. However the ACL and EOD<sub>18</sub> of SM and ceramides were not affected by either treatment. In addition,
although PC and SM carry similar amounts of OCFA, the OCFA content of PC but not SM increased after FF and
decreased after Med. These observations suggests that compositional remodeling of phosphoglycerolipid FA is more
sensitive to diet compared to phosphosphingolipids.

293 The strengths of this study include that all food intake during the study periods was provided and controlled; 294 the consistent changes in specific lipid species across all subjects indicate that compliance with the diet was high; 295 and a complex lipidomics method was applied to quantify each lipid species. A weakness of this study is the 296 relatively small number of subjects. However, the study was a cross-over study and therefore each subject acted as 297 their own control, increasing the power to detect changes in response to diet. Density-based ultracentrifugation is 298 still considered the gold standard for HDL isolation. In this study our ultracentrifugation protocol was optimized to 299 remove ApoB-containing lipoproteins and albumin as far as possible. However, the gel electrophoresis of 4 300 representative isolated HDL samples from this study (Supplemental Fig. S2) shows some albumin and ApoB 301 contamination. A more refined purification strategy including size exclusion chromatography after the

302 ultracentrifugation step (Holzer et al. 2016), or other, typically more costly depletion methods, could have been used303 to eliminate the remaining ApoB and albumin.

304 In conclusion, 4-day dietary interventions feeding the FF diet and the Med diet differentially changed HDL 305 lipidomic composition. Our results suggest that certain HDL lipids could be useful markers of short-term dietary 306 intake (i.e. PC, PE, CE), whereas other HDL lipids (i.e. SM, ceramides) are not as responsive of short-term dietary 307 change and may be more useful indicators of long-term intake as well as non-dietary factors and disease conditions. 308 Our study focused on HDL, hence it is not clear whether a similar pattern would be observed in the plasma 309 lipidome. Future studies investigating the short-term dietary effects on the plasma lipidome would be useful for 310 plasma-based diagnostics. These results have implications for studies comparing the HDL lipidome of individuals 311 with different disease conditions vs. controls, or in response to different treatments. Our findings suggest that certain 312 lipid classes are very sensitive to dietary changes in the short term, making them excellent markers of short-term 313 dietary intake, but potentially not very good candidates for the discovery of biomarkers of disease or other non-diet

314 factors.

## 315 5 ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

- 316 The project described was supported by the UC Davis Hellman Fellowship; the West Coast Metabolomics
- 317 Center pilot grant through grant number DK097154; the National Center for Advancing Translational Sciences,
- 318 National Institutes of Health, UC Davis Clinical and Translational Sciences Center Pilot Grant Program through
- grant number UL1 TR001860; and the USDA National Institute of Food and Agriculture, Hatch project CA-D-
- 320 NUT-2242-H. The content is solely the responsibility of the authors and does not necessarily represent the official
- 321 views of the NIH.

222	
322	6

6	ETHICAL STATEMENTS
U	

- 323 Conflict of interest: All authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.
- 324

3	25	Compliance with Ethical Standards: A	11	procedures	performed	in	studies	invo	lvin	g human	partici	pants v	were i	n
					1					0		4		

- accordance with the ethical standards of the institutional and/or national research committee and with the 1964
- 327 Helsinki declaration and its later amendments or comparable ethical standards. Informed consent was obtained from
- all individual participants included in the study.

330	Author contribution:	Z conceived and designed the rese	arch. LSK, EB, RH and CR co	nducted the clinical study
		0	, ,	2

- and participated in the design of the study. CZ and CR processed and analyzed the samples. RS contributed to the
- HDL isolation method and assisted in conducting the study. CZ and AZ analyzed the data. CZ and AZ wrote the
- 333 manuscript. All authors read and approved the manuscript.
- 334
- **335** Informed consent: Informed consent was obtained from all individual participants included in the study.
- 336
- **337 Data availability statement**: The datasets generated during and/or analyzed during the current study are available in
- the Metabolomics Workbench repository (study ID ST001151).

## 7 **TABLES AND FIGURES**

340	Table 1:	Subject	baseline	characters (	n=10)	)
					. ,	

Variable	<b>Baseline Value</b> mean (SD)
Height (m)	1.71 ( 0.09 )
Weight (kg)	69.05 ( 12.99 )
BMI (kg/m <sup>2</sup> )	24.39 ( 3.71 )
Age (yrs)	22.10 ( 2.33 )
Systolic Blood Pressure	122.07 ( 14.27 )
Diastolic Blood Pressure	79.00 ( 13.01 )
Waist Circumference (cm)	76.63 ( 9.83 )
Hip Circumference (cm)	99.88 ( 6.97 )
Total Cholesterol (mg/dL)	163.60 ( 25.01 )
HDL Cholesterol (mg/dL)	53.00 ( 13.56 )
LDL Cholesterol (mg/dL)	94.80 ( 20.36 )
Triglycerides (mg/dL)	80.30 ( 31.82 )

\_\_\_\_\_

343	Table 2: Macronutrient c	omposition	of diet at	baseline a	nd after	dietary	treatment.
-----	--------------------------	------------	------------	------------	----------	---------	------------

Nutrient Variable	unit	<b>Baseline</b> mean (SD)	FF mean (SD)	Med mean (SD)
Weight	gram	1606.54 (600.52)	1376.21 (627.01)	1777.64 (247.53)
Total Calories	kcal	2525.57 (951.08)	2686.86 (496.50)	2258.85 (360.68)
Protein	gram	102.41 ( 50.58)	89.93 (10.88)	105.18 (11.27)
Carbohydrates	gram	304.17 (128.84)	292.32 ( 86.82)	299.00 (40.02)
Fat	kcal	919.62 (531.25)	1190.24 (190.40) *	758.95 (179.11)
	gram	102.22 ( 59.03)	132.25 ( 21.16) *	85.44 ( 20.01)
Saturated Fat	kcal	301.68 (171.12)	402.05 ( 60.20) *	119.16 ( 24.77) ***
	gram	33.52 (19.01)	44.67 ( 6.69) *	13.24 ( 2.75) ***
Mono-unsaturated Fat	gram	24.07 (22.84)	1.89 ( 0.60) ***	41.28 ( 11.74) **
Poly-unsaturated Fat	gram	9.43 ( 6.04)	3.24 ( 1.03) ***	15.63 ( 4.68) **
Trans Fat	kcal	8.00 ( 9.60)	19.17 ( 3.93) ***	0.66 ( 0.10) ***
	gram	0.89 (1.07)	2.13 ( 0.44) **	0.07 ( 0.01) *
Cholesterol	mg	389.13 (328.15)	229.95 (28.63)	94.87 ( 17.53) ***
Sugar	gram	94.67 (36.62)	103.98 ( 68.00)	95.18 (16.64)
Fiber	gram	31.4 (36.74)	12.9 (2.78) **	58 (8.55) ***

344 \* Significantly different from baseline (p < 0.05)

345 \*\* Significantly different from baseline (p < 0.01)

**346** \*\*\* Significantly different from baseline (p < 0.001)

347





349

350 Fig. 1: A&B: The mean versus standard deviation (A), and the mean versus coefficient of variance (B) of lipid 351 species detected in quality control samples. Each point represents a lipid species. C: A pie chart of HDL lipid 352 classes (mg %). D: Box plots of PE in relative abundance (mg %) for subjects before and after FF and Med diets, 353 with unadjusted P-values. Lines with the same color represent the same subjects. E: Cladogram of all 170 lipid 354 species detected. The dendrogram was drawn by calculating the pairwise Tanimoto structural similarity coefficient 355 between any two lipid species. The most inner layer color bar represents the corresponding lipid class of each tree 356 tip. The two outer layers represent the fold change of the corresponding lipid species after FF or Med. F: Histogram 357 of p-values (unadjusted) of each lipid species. The p-values were calculated using linear mixed model. G&H: PCA 358 and loading plot of 44 selected lipid features with p < 0.05 after Benjamini-Hochberg adjustment.



Fig. 2: A: Heatmap of the change (post - pre) of proportions of lipid species after FF and Med from baseline. The pvalues of the 44 lipid species are less than 0.05 after Benjamini-Hochberg adjustment. B: Scatter plot of the change
(post - pre) of lipid species clusters after FF versus Med. The clustering was preformed using hierarchical clustering,
and lipid species within each cluster were aggregated to obtain a value for each cluster before and after FF and Med.
C-I: Box plots of the relative abundance (mg %) of the 7 clusters with p < 0.05 after Benjamini-Hochberg</li>
adjustment. Lines with the same color represent the same subjects.





368

**Fig. 3:** A-C: Box plots of PC equivalent of double bonds (A), average chain length (B), and the mole concentration

of OCFAs in PC (C) before and after FF and Med. D: Baseline mole concentration (µmol/ml) of OCFAs in PC, SM,



### **372 8 REFERENCES**

- 373 Andersen, C. J., Blesso, C. N., Lee, J., Barona, J., Shah, D., Thomas, M. J., & Fernandez, M. L. (2013). Egg
- 374 consumption modulates hdl lipid composition and increases the cholesterol-accepting capacity of serum in metabolic
- **375** syndrome. *Lipids*, *48*(6), 557–567.
- Andersen, C. J., & Fernandez, M. L. (2013). Dietary approaches to improving atheroprotective hdl functions. *Food Funct*, 4(9), 1304–1313.
- Ansell, B. J., Fonarow, G. C., & Fogelman, A. M. (2007). The paradox of dysfunctional high-density lipoprotein.
- **379** *Curr Opin Lipidol*, *18*(4), 427–434.
- Assmann, G., & Gotto, A. M. (2004). HDL cholesterol and protective factors in atherosclerosis. *Circulation*, 109(23
  Suppl 1), 8–14.
- Asztalos, B. F., Tani, M., & Schaefer, E. J. (2011). Metabolic and functional relevance of hdl subspecies. *Curr Opin Lipidol*, 22(3), 176–185.
- Bahadoran, Z., Mirmiran, P., Golzarand, M., Hosseini-Esfahani, F., & Azizi, F. (2012). Fast food consumption in
  iranian adults; dietary intake and cardiovascular risk factors: Tehran lipid and glucose study. *Archives of Iranian medicine*, 15, 346–51.
- 387 Barter, P., Gotto, A. M., LaRosa, J. C., Maroni, J., Szarek, M., Grundy, S. M., et al. (2007). HDL cholesterol, very
- low levels of ldl cholesterol, and cardiovascular events. *N Engl J Med*, 357(13), 1301–1310.
- 389 Bays, H. E., Toth, P. P., Kris-Etherton, P. M., Abate, N., Aronne, L. J., Brown, W. V., et al. (2013). Obesity,
- adiposity, and dyslipidemia: A consensus statement from the national lipid association. *J Clin Lipidol*, 7(4), 304–
  383.
- Bondia-Pons, I., Pöhö, P., Bozzetto, L., Vetrani, C., Patti, L., Aura, A.-M., et al. (2014). Isoenergetic diets differing
- in their n-3 fatty acid and polyphenol content reflect different plasma and hdl-fraction lipidomic profiles in subjects
- at high cardiovascular risk. *Mol Nutr Food Res*, 58(9), 1873–1882.
- Cajka, T., Davis, R., Austin, K. J., Newman, J. W., German, J. B., Fiehn, O., & Smilowitz, J. T. (2016). Using a
- 396 lipidomics approach for nutritional phenotyping in response to a test meal containing gamma-linolenic acid.
- **397** *Metabolomics*, *12*(8), 127.
- 398 Camont, L., Lhomme, M., Rached, F., Le Goff, W., Nègre-Salvayre, A., Salvayre, R., et al. (2013). Small, dense
- high-density lipoprotein-3 particles are enriched in negatively charged phospholipids: Relevance to cellular

- 400 cholesterol efflux, antioxidative, antithrombotic, anti-inflammatory, and antiapoptotic functionalities. Arterioscler
- 401 *Thromb Vasc Biol*, *33*(12), 2715–2723.
- 402 Cao, Y., Charisi, A., Cheng, L.-C., Jiang, T., & Girke, T. (2008). ChemmineR: A compound mining framework for
- 403 r. Bioinformatics, 24(15), 1733–1734. doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btn307
- 404 De Lorgeril, M., Salen, P., Martin, J.-L., Monjaud, I., Delaye, J., & Mamelle, N. (1999). Mediterranean diet,
- 405 traditional risk factors, and the rate of cardiovascular complications after myocardial infarction: Final report of the
- 406 lyon diet heart study. *Circulation*, 99(6), 779–785.
- 407 Deng, F. E., Shivappa, N., Tang, Y., Mann, J. R., & Hebert, J. R. (2017). Association between diet-related
- 408 inflammation, all-cause, all-cancer, and cardiovascular disease mortality, with special focus on prediabetics:
- 409 Findingsfrom nhanes iii. *European journal of nutrition*, 56, 1085–1093.
- 410 Esposito, K., Marfella, R., Ciotola, M., Di Palo, C., Giugliano, F., Giugliano, G., et al. (2004). Effect of a
- 411 mediterranean-style diet on endothelial dysfunction and markers of vascular inflammation in the metabolic
- 412 syndrome: A randomized trial. *JAMA*, 292(12), 1440–1446.
- Estruch, R. (2010). Anti-inflammatory effects of the mediterranean diet: The experience of the predimed study. *The Proceedings of the Nutrition Society*, *69*, 333–40.
- 415 Estruch, R., Ros, E., Salas-Salvadó, J., Covas, M.-I., Corella, D., Arós, F., et al. (2013). Primary prevention of
- 416 cardiovascular disease with a mediterranean diet. *N Engl J Med*, *368*(14), 1279–1290.
- 417 Garcia-Arellano, A., Ramallal, R., Ruiz-Canela, M., Salas-Salvadó, J., Corella, D., Shivappa, N., et al. (2015).
- 418 Dietary inflammatory index and incidence of cardiovascular disease in the predimed study. *Nutrients*, *7*, 4124–38.
- 419 Group, H. C., Bowman, L., Hopewell, J. C., Chen, F., Wallendszus, K., Stevens, W., et al. (2017). Effects of
- 420 anacetrapib in patients with atherosclerotic vascular disease. The New England journal of medicine, 377, 1217–
- **421** 1227.
- 422 Holzer, M., Kern, S., Birner-Grünberger, R., Curcic, S., Heinemann, A., & Marsche, G. (2016). Refined purification
- 423 strategy for reliable proteomic profiling of hdl<sub>2/3</sub>: Impact on proteomic complexity. *Scientific reports*,
- **424** *6*, 38533.
- 425 Investigators, A.-H. (2011). Niacin in patients with low hdl cholesterol levels receiving intensive statin therapy. N
- 426 Engl J Med, 365(24), 2255–2267.

- 427 Kind, T., Liu, K.-H., Lee, D. Y., DeFelice, B., Meissen, J. K., & Fiehn, O. (2013). LipidBlast in silico tandem mass
- 428 spectrometry database for lipid identification. *Nat Methods*, *10*(8), 755.
- 429 Kontush, A., Lhomme, M., & Chapman, M. J. (2013). Unravelling the complexities of the hdl lipidome. *J Lipid Res*,
  430 *54*, 2950–63.
- 431 Krishnan, S., Shimoda, M., Sacchi, R., Kailemia, M. J., Luxardi, G., Kaysen, G. A., et al. (2017). HDL glycoprotein
- 432 composition and site-specific glycosylation differentiates between clinical groups and affects il-6 secretion in
- 433 lipopolysaccharide-stimulated monocytes. Sci Rep, 7, 43728.
- 434 Martínez-Lapiscina, E. H., Clavero, P., Toledo, E., Estruch, R., Salas-Salvadó, J., San Julián, B., et al. (2013).
- 435 Mediterranean diet improves cognition: The predimed-navarra randomised trial. Journal of neurology,
- 436 *neurosurgery, and psychiatry, 84, 1318–25.*
- 437 März, W., Kleber, M. E., Scharnagl, H., Speer, T., Zewinger, S., Ritsch, A., et al. (2017). HDL cholesterol:
- **438** Reappraisal of its clinical relevance. *Clinical research in cardiology : official journal of the German Cardiac*
- 439 Society, 106, 663–675.
- 440 Nettleton, J. A., Steffen, L. M., Mayer-Davis, E. J., Jenny, N. S., Jiang, R., Herrington, D. M., & Jacobs Jr, D. R.
- 441 (2006). Dietary patterns are associated with biochemical markers of inflammation and endothelial activation in the
- 442 multi-ethnic study of atherosclerosis (mesa)–. *Am J Clin Nutr*, 83(6), 1369–1379.
- 443 Nikolova, N., & Jaworska, J. (2003). Approaches to measure chemical similarity a review. QSAR &
- 444 *Combinatorial Science*, 22(9-10), 1006–1026. doi:10.1002/qsar.200330831
- 445 O'Neil, A., Shivappa, N., Jacka, F. N., Kotowicz, M. A., Kibbey, K., Hebert, J. R., & Pasco, J. A. (2015). Pro-
- 446 inflammatory dietary intake as a risk factor for cvd in men: A 5-year longitudinal study. The British journal of
- **447** *nutrition*, *114*, 2074–82.
- 448 Parcina, M., Brune, M., Kaese, V., Zorn, M., Spiegel, R., Vojvoda, V., et al. (2015). No short-term effects of
- 449 calorie-controlled mediterranean or fast food dietary interventions on established biomarkers of vascular or
- 450 metabolic risk in healthy individuals. *Nutr Res Pract*, 9(2), 165–173.
- 451 Pruzanski, W., Stefanski, E., De Beer, F., De Beer, M., Ravandi, A., & Kuksis, A. (2000). Comparative analysis of
- 452 lipid composition of normal and acute-phase high density lipoproteins. J Lipid Res, 41(7), 1035–1047.
- 453 Ritchie, M. E., Phipson, B., Wu, D., Hu, Y., Law, C. W., Shi, W., & Smyth, G. K. (2015). Limma powers
- differential expression analyses for rna-sequencing and microarray studies. *Nucleic Acids Res*, 43(7), e47–e47.

- 455 Salas-Salvadó, J., Bulló, M., Babio, N., Martínez-González, M. Á., Ibarrola-Jurado, N., Basora, J., et al. (2011).
- 456 Reduction in the incidence of type 2 diabetes with the mediterranean diet: Results of the predimed-reus nutrition
- 457 intervention randomized trial. *Diabetes care*, *34*, 14–9.
- 458 Salas-Salvadó, J., Fernández-Ballart, J., Ros, E., Martínez-González, M.-A., Fitó, M., Estruch, R., et al. (2008).
- 459 Effect of a mediterranean diet supplemented with nuts on metabolic syndrome status: One-year results of the
- 460 predimed randomized trial. Archives of internal medicine, 168, 2449–2458.
- 461 Sawrey-Kubicek, L., Zhu, C., Bardagjy, A. S., Rhodes, C. H., Sacchi, R., Randolph, J. M., et al. (2019). Whole egg
- 462 consumption compared with yolk-free egg increases the cholesterol efflux capacity of high-density lipoproteins in
- 463 overweight, postmenopausal women. *The American journal of clinical nutrition*, nqz088.
- 464 Schwartz, G. G., Olsson, A. G., Abt, M., Ballantyne, C. M., Barter, P. J., Brumm, J., et al. (2012). Effects of
- dalcetrapib in patients with a recent acute coronary syndrome. *N Engl J Med*, 367(22), 2089–2099.
- 466 Serra-Majem, L., Roman, B., & Estruch, R. (2006). Scientific evidence of interventions using the mediterranean
- diet: A systematic review. *Nutrition reviews*, 64, S27–47.
- 468 Smith, L. P., Ng, S. W., & Popkin, B. M. (2013). Trends in us home food preparation and consumption: Analysis of
- https://doi.org/10.1011/1011/10011/10011/10011/10011/10011/10011/10011/10011/10011/10011/10011/10011/10011/10011/10011/10011/1001
- 470 Ståhlman, M., Fagerberg, B., Adiels, M., Ekroos, K., Chapman, J. M., Kontush, A., & Borén, J. (2013).
- 471 Dyslipidemia, but not hyperglycemia and insulin resistance, is associated with marked alterations in the hdl lipidome
- 472 in type 2 diabetic subjects in the diwa cohort: Impact on small hdl particles. *Biochim Biophys Acta Mol Cell Biol*
- **473** *Lipids*, *1831*(11), 1609–1617.
- 474 Sumner, L. W., Amberg, A., Barrett, D., Beale, M. H., Beger, R., Daykin, C. A., et al. (2007). Proposed minimum
- 475 reporting standards for chemical analysis chemical analysis working group (cawg) metabolomics standards initiative
- 476 (msi). Metabolomics : Official journal of the Metabolomic Society, 3, 211–221.
- 477 Thompson, P. D., Cullinane, E. M., Sady, S. P., Flynn, M. M., Bernier, D. N., Kantor, M. A., et al. (1988). Modest
- 478 changes in high-density lipoprotein concentration and metabolism with prolonged exercise training. *Circulation*,
- **479** *78*(1), 25–34.
- 480 Tsugawa, H., Cajka, T., Kind, T., Ma, Y., Higgins, B., Ikeda, K., et al. (2015). MS-dial: Data-independent ms/ms
- 481 deconvolution for comprehensive metabolome analysis. *Nat Methods*, *12*(6), 523.

- 482 Wang, Y., Backman, T. W. H., Horan, K., & Girke, T. (2013). fmcsR: Mismatch tolerant maximum common
- 483 substructure searching in r. *Bioinformatics*, 29(21), 2792–2794. doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btt475
- 484 Wiesner, P., Leidl, K., Boettcher, A., Schmitz, G., & Liebisch, G. (2009). Lipid profiling of fplc-separated
- 485 lipoprotein fractions by electrospray ionization tandem mass spectrometry. *Journal of lipid research*, 50, 574–85.
- 486 Yetukuri, L., Huopaniemi, I., Koivuniemi, A., Maranghi, M., Hiukka, A., Nygren, H., et al. (2011). High density
- 487 lipoprotein structural changes and drug response in lipidomic profiles following the long-term fenofibrate therapy in
- the field substudy. *PLoS One*, 6(8), e23589.

# Supplemental Data:

The HDL lipidome is widely remodeled by fast food vs. Mediterranean diet in four days

Chenghao Zhu<sup>a</sup>, Lisa Sawrey-Kubicek<sup>a</sup>, Elizabeth Beals<sup>a</sup>, Riley L. Hughes<sup>a</sup>,

Chris H. Rhodes<sup>a</sup>, Romina Sacchi<sup>a</sup>, Angela M. Zivkovic<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Department of Nutrition, University of California, Davis, Davis, CA, USA 95616

Meal	Day 1	Day 2	Day 3	Day 4
	Carl's Jr	Carl's Jr	Carl's Jr	Carl's Jrs
Breakfast	Frosted Strawberry	Frosted Strawberry	Frosted Strawberry	Frosted Strawberry
	Pop-tart	Pop-tart	Pop-tart	Pop-tart
Lunch	Western Bacon	Famous Star w/	Western Bacon	Famous Star w/
	Cheeseburger	Cheese	Cheeseburger	Cheese
Dinner	Teriyaki Burger	Super Bacon	Teriyaki Burger	Super Bacon
	Med order of fries	Thickburger	Med order of fries	Thickburger

Supplemental Table S1: Study diet menu on the FF arm (2000 kcals/day).

Meal	Day 1	Day 2	Day 3	Day 4
Breakfast	1 serving Kashi GoLean Cereal (180 cal) + 50g Grape- Nuts (180 cal) + 1 cup 1 $\%$ milk (102 cal) + 1 small banana (90 cal) (Total = 552 cal)	1 serving Kashi GoLean Cereal (180 cal) + 50g Grape-Nuts (180 cal) + 1 cup 1% milk (102 cal) + 1 small banana (90 cal) (Total = 552 cal)	1 serving Kashi GoLean Cereal (180 cal) + 50g Grape- Nuts (180 cal) + 1 cup 1 $\%$ milk (102 cal) + 1 small banana (90 cal) (Total = 552 cal)	1 serving Kashi GoLean Cereal (180 cal) + 50g Grape-Nuts (180 cal) + 1 cup 1% milk (102 cal) + 1 small banana (90 cal) (Total = 552 cal)
Snack	1 individual packet Trek Mix (Omega) (170 cal) (Total = 170 cal)	1 individual packet Trek Mix (Omega) (170 cal) (Total = 170 cal)	1 individual packet Trek Mix (Omega) (170 cal) (Total = 170 cal)	1 individual packet Trek Mix (Omega) (170 cal) (Total = 170 cal)
Lunch	Study Salad 1 (258 cal) + Study Dressing (133 cal) + 1 serving Canned No Salt Tuna (70 cal) (Total: 461 cal)	Study Salad 1 (258 cal) + Study Dressing (133 cal) + 2 servings Canned No Salt Tuna (140 cal) (Total: 531 cal)	Study Salad 1 (258 cal) + Study Dressing (133 cal) + 1 serving Canned No Salt Tuna (70 cal) (Total: 461 cal)	Study Salad 1 (258 cal) + Study Dressing (133 cal) + 2 servings Canned No Salt Tuna (140 cal) (Total: 531 cal)
Snack	1 individual packet Trek Mix (Simply Almonds) (210 cal) (Total = 210 cal)	1 individual packet Trek Mix (Simply Almonds) (210 cal) (Total = 210 cal)	1 individual packet Trek Mix (Simply Almonds) (210 cal) (Total = 210 cal)	1 individual packet Trek Mix (Simply Almonds) (210 cal) (Total = 210 cal)
Dinner	2 servings Hearty Minestrone Soup (280 cal) + 1 serving Multigrain Blend with Vegetables (180 cal) + 1 tablespoon EVOO (119 cal) + 1 serving Tomato Basil Marinara (90 cal) (Total = 669 cal)	1 serving Whole Wheat Pasta (spaghetti, fusilli, or penne) (210 cal) + 1 serving Tomato Basil Marinara (90 cal) + 1 tablespoon EVOO (119 cal) + 1 serving Grilled Chicken (Balsamic Rosemary, Lemon Pepper, or Plain - 105 cal) + 1 serving Harvest Hodgepodge (30 cal) (Total = 554 cal)	2 servings Hearty Minestrone Soup (280 cal) + 1 serving Multigrain Blend with Vegetables (180 cal) + 1 tablespoon EVOO (119 cal) + 1 serving Tomato Basil Marinara (90 cal) (Total = 669 cal)	1 serving Whole Wheat Pasta (spaghetti, fusilli, or penne) (210 cal) + 1 serving Tomato Basil Marinara (90 cal) + 1 tablespoon EVOO (119 cal) + 1 serving Grilled Chicken (Balsamic Rosemary, Lemon Pepper, or Plain - 105 cal) + 1 serving Harvest Hodgepodge (30 cal) (Total = 554 cal)

Supplemental Table S2: Study diet menu on the Med arm (2000 kcals/day).

Study Salad 1 = 2 cups romaine (11 cal) + ½ cup chopped grape tomatoes (16 cal) + ½ cup quinoa (111) + ½ cup chickpeas (73 cal) + 1 tbsp sunflower seeds (47 cal)

Study Salad 2 = 2 cups romaine +  $\frac{1}{2}$  cup chopped grape to matoes +  $\frac{1}{2}$  cup quinoa +  $\frac{1}{2}$  cup chickpeas + 2 tb sp sunflower seeds (93 cal)

Study Dressing = 1 tbsp olive oil (119 cal) + 1 tbsp balsamic (14 cal)

variable	FF_Pre	FF_Post	Med_Pre	Med_Post	pvalue	padj
Ceramide 34:1 d Ceramide 38:1 d Ceramide 41:1 d Ceramide 42:1 d Ceramide 42:2 d	8.9e-05 1.4e-05 2.9e-05 1.1e-04 2.3e-05	8.5e-05 1.3e-05 3.2e-05 1.1e-04 2.3e-05	7.9e-05 1.1e-05 2.8e-05 1.1e-04 1.7e-05	9.0e-05 1.2e-05 2.7e-05 1.0e-04 1.3e-05	$\begin{array}{c} 0.05 \ ^{*} \\ 0.927 \\ 0.401 \\ 0.326 \\ 0.601 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.13 \\ 0.945 \\ 0.525 \\ 0.461 \\ 0.705 \end{array}$
GlcCer 40:1 d GlcCer 42:1 d GlcCer 42:2 d PC 32:2 PC 34:2	2.0e-05 2.0e-05 1.9e-05 5.1e-04 0.023	2.1e-05 2.7e-05 1.7e-05 5.7e-04 0.026	1.9e-05 2.3e-05 1.6e-05 6.6e-04 0.023	1.9e-05 2.7e-05 1.8e-05 4.1e-04 0.023	$\begin{array}{c} 0.75 \\ 0.738 \\ 0.461 \\ 0.004 \ ** \\ 0.06 \end{array}$	0.836 0.836 0.576 0.018 * 0.146
PC 34:3 PC 35:2 PC 36:3 PC 36:5 PC 38:3	5.1e-04 7.5e-04 0.005 0.001 0.002	6.3e-04 0.001 0.005 0.001 0.002	6.5e-04 7.9e-04 0.005 0.001 0.002	4.8e-04 8.0e-04 0.005 0.001 0.002	0.007 ** 1.7e-06 *** 0.091 0.934 0.214	0.035 * 2.6e-05 *** 0.202 0.945 0.353
PC 40:5 PC 40:6 PC 34:1 p PC 34:2 p PC 36:3 p	3.5e-04 0.002 5.0e-04 0.001 0.001	3.4e-04 0.001 7.5e-04 0.002 0.001	3.7e-04 0.001 4.7e-04 0.001 0.001	3.3e-04 0.002 4.2e-04 1.0e-03 0.001	0.579 0.011 * 1.9e-04 *** 6.7e-07 *** 0.262	0.683 0.045 * 0.002 ** 1.4e-05 *** 0.405
PC 38:4 p PE 36:2 PE 36:4 PE 38:6 PE 34:2 p	2.5e-04 8.9e-04 9.5e-04 6.9e-04 9.0e-04	4.2e-04 0.001 8.8e-04 6.2e-04 0.001	2.7e-04 9.7e-04 0.001 8.0e-04 9.5e-04	2.0e-04 9.9e-04 0.001 0.001 6.5e-04	7.8e-07 *** 0.15 0.753 0.171 2.6e-05 ***	1.5e-05 *** 0.277 0.836 0.296 3.1e-04 ***
PE 36:2 p PE 36:4 p PE 38:4 p PE 38:5 p PE 38:6 p	$\begin{array}{c} 0.001 \\ 0.002 \\ 0.003 \\ 0.002 \\ 0.001 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.002 \\ 0.003 \\ 0.005 \\ 0.002 \\ 0.001 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.001 \\ 0.003 \\ 0.004 \\ 0.002 \\ 0.001 \end{array}$	8.0e-04 0.002 0.002 0.002 0.002 0.001	3.9e-09 *** 0.035 * 1.7e-07 *** 0.021 * 0.316	1.6e-07 *** 0.105 4.1e-06 *** 0.079 0.451
PE 40:6 p SM 33:1 d SM 40:2 d SM 42:2 d CE 16:1	$\begin{array}{c} 0.001 \\ 0.003 \\ 0.004 \\ 0.007 \\ 0.004 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.001 \\ 0.002 \\ 0.004 \\ 0.007 \\ 0.003 \end{array}$	9.2e-04 0.002 0.004 0.008 0.005	9.2e-04 0.003 0.005 0.007 0.004	$0.027 * 0.286 \\ 0.028 * 0.149 \\ 0.412$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.095 \\ 0.423 \\ 0.096 \\ 0.277 \\ 0.531 \end{array}$
CE 18:1 CE 18:2 CE 18:3 CE 20:3 CE 20:4	$\begin{array}{c} 0.047 \\ 0.286 \\ 0.009 \\ 0.006 \\ 0.093 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.044 \\ 0.312 \\ 0.01 \\ 0.005 \\ 0.086 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.044 \\ 0.264 \\ 0.009 \\ 0.005 \\ 0.086 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.051 \\ 0.294 \\ 0.007 \\ 0.006 \\ 0.099 \end{array}$	5.2e-04 *** 0.784 0.127 0.131 0.001 **	0.004 ** 0.86 0.255 0.257 0.007 **
CE 20:5 CE 22:6 Ceramide 40:1 d Cholesterol DG 36:2	0.007 0.012 4.9e-05 0.097 3.0e-04	0.005 0.011 5.6e-05 0.099 2.6e-04	0.006 0.01 4.9e-05 0.096 4.0e-04	0.006 0.013 5.2e-05 0.098 3.4e-04	0.379 1.2e-04 *** 0.57 0.825 0.797	$\begin{array}{c} 0.507 \\ 0.001 \ ** \\ 0.683 \\ 0.887 \\ 0.866 \end{array}$
DG 36:3	4.4e-04	4.3e-04	5.2e-04	5.0e-04	0.924	0.945

**Supplemental Table S3:** The relative abundance (mg %) of lipid species before and after treatments, and their statistical p values.

Page 4 of 9

Gal-Gal-Cer 34:1 d	2.1e-04	2.2e-04	2.3e-04	2.2e-04	$\begin{array}{c} 0.452 \\ 0.256 \\ 0.405 \\ 0.24 \end{array}$	0.569
GlcCer 40:1 d 1	4.9e-05	5.3e-05	4.8e-05	4.5e-05		0.399
GlcCer 42:1 d 1	5.9e-05	6.3e-05	6.4e-05	5.9e-05		0.526
LPC 16:0	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001		0.38
LPC 18:0	6.7e-04	6.4e-04	6.5e-04	5.7e-04	0.354	0.49
LPC 18:1	4.0e-04	3.3e-04	3.8e-04	4.1e-04	0.013 *	0.054
LPC 18:2	5.5e-04	6.3e-04	5.1e-04	5.2e-04	0.375	0.507
LPC 20:4	7.9e-05	6.7e-05	8.5e-05	7.0e-05	0.869	0.912
PC 30:0	6.1e-04	6.5e-04	9.9e-04	5.3e-04	0.002 **	0.009 **
PC 32:0	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.531	0.657
PC 32:1	0.003	0.002	0.004	0.003	0.153	0.277
PC 33:0	5.1e-05	1.0e-04	8.1e-05	4.9e-05	1.2e-04 ***	0.001 **
PC 33:1	3.1e-04	3.6e-04	3.9e-04	2.7e-04	0.003 **	0.015 *
PC 33:2	5.1e-04	6.5e-04	5.4e-04	4.6e-04	1.2e-06 ***	2.0e-05 ***
PC 34:0	2.2e-04	2.4e-04	2.4e-04	2.0e-04	0.003 **	0.018 *
PC 34:1	0.04	0.032	0.045	0.036	0.867	0.912
PC 34:3 1	9.2e-04	8.5e-04	8.3e-04	9.1e-04	0.117	0.241
PC 34:4	1.5e-04	1.2e-04	1.9e-04	1.3e-04	0.175	0.301
PC 35:1	2.9e-04	3.5e-04	3.2e-04	2.5e-04	0.001 **	0.007 **
PC 35:2 1	9.9e-04	0.002	0.001	9.4e-04	1.8e-09 ***	1.0e-07 ***
PC 35:3	2.9e-04	4.2e-04	3.2e-04	2.8e-04	2.4e-04 ***	0.002 **
PC 35:4	1.4e-04	1.4e-04	1.5e-04	1.4e-04	0.998	0.998
PC 36:1	0.004	0.003	0.004	0.003	0.163	0.286
PC 36:2	0.06	0.072	0.063	0.05	5.5e-05 ***	6.3e-04 ***
PC 36:3 1	0.016	0.016	0.017	0.019	0.289	0.423
PC 36:3 2	0.01	0.009	0.012	0.007	0.011 *	0.045 *
PC 36:4	0.004	0.006	0.005	0.004	2.8e-04 ***	0.002 **
PC 36:4 1	0.039	0.029	0.043	0.04	0.033 *	0.104
PC 36:5 1	1.2e-04	2.0e-04	1.1e-04	1.1e-04	0.119	0.241
PC 37:2	1.1e-04	1.3e-04	1.2e-04	1.2e-04	0.059	0.145
PC 37:3	8.6e-05	1.1e-04	1.2e-04	4.7e-05	0.001 **	0.008 **
PC 37:4	3.4e-04	3.8e-04	3.6e-04	3.3e-04	0.024 *	0.087
PC 38:2	2.7e-04	2.4e-04	3.0e-04	2.4e-04	0.208	0.347
PC 38:3 1	0.003	0.003	0.004	0.003	0.27	0.409
PC 38:4	0.029	0.024	0.029	0.027	0.137	0.265
PC 38:5	0.007	0.005	0.007	0.007	0.01 *	0.045 *
PC 38:5 1	0.001	9.5e-04	0.001	8.3e-04	0.689	0.786
PC 38:6	0.016	0.012	0.015	0.017	1.7e-04 ***	0.002 **
PC 39:6	1.7e-04	1.8e-04	1.5e-04	1.6e-04	0.83	0.887
PC 40:4	2.4e-04	2.1e-04	2.6e-04	2.3e-04	0.866	0.912
PC 40:5 1	0.001	0.001	0.002	0.001	0.634	0.733
PC 40:5 2	2.5e-04	1.8e-04	2.5e-04	2.3e-04	0.227	0.367
PC 40:6 1	0.003	0.002	0.002	0.002	0.035 *	0.105
PC 40:7	0.002	0.001	0.002	0.002	0.001 **	0.008 **
PC 40:8	1.3e-04	1.1e-04	1.2e-04	1.4e-04	0.004 **	0.018 *
PC 32:0 o	2.2e-04	2.3e-04	2.1e-04	2.1e-04	0.387	0.51
PC 32:0 p	2.0e-04	2.3e-04	2.0e-04	2.0e-04	0.048 *	0.13
PC 34:0 p	5.4e-04	5.0e-04	5.2e-04	5.5e-04	0.014 *	0.054
PC 34:1 p 1	7.7e-04	0.001	8.5e-04	6.4e-04	1.2e-05 ***	1.7e-04 ***
PC 34:1 p 2	4.0e-04	4.3e-04 Pag	4.0e-04 ge 5 of 9	4.0e-04	0.112	0.239

PC 34:2 p 1	0.001	0.002	0.001	0.001	5.4e-08 ***	1.5e-06 ***
PC 36:1 p	1.6e-04	1.6e-04	1.5e-04	1.6e-04	0.575	0.683
PC 36:2 p	4.2e-04	5.1e-04	4.1e-04	3.6e-04	4.3e-04 ***	0.003 **
PC 36:3 p 1	0.002	0.002	0.002	0.002	0.115	0.241
PC 36:4 p	0.002	0.002	0.002	0.002	2.0e-05 ***	2.6e-04 ***
PC 38:2 p	7.1e-05	8.0e-05	6.2e-05	6.3e-05	0.571	0.683
PC 38:3 p	6.7e-04	7.1e-04	7.3e-04	6.7e-04	0.003 **	0.014 *
PC 38:4 p 1	0.002	0.002	0.002	0.002	0.283	0.422
PC 38:4 p 2	3.3e-04	4.7e-04	3.4e-04	3.1e-04	5.7e-10 ***	9.7e-08 ***
PC 38:5 p	4.0e-04	4.6e-04	4.2e-04	4.2e-04	0.043 *	0.119
PC 40:3 p	1.0e-04	7.5e-05	9.7e-05	9.1e-05	0.193	0.328
PC 40:4 p	1.7e-04	1.7e-04	1.7e-04	1.7e-04	0.634	0.733
PC 40:5 p	1.1e-04	1.1e-04	1.0e-04	1.2e-04	0.376	0.507
PC 40:6 p	1.7e-04	2.0e-04	1.7e-04	1.5e-04	0.001 **	0.007 **
PC 42:4 p	1.4e-04	1.3e-04	1.3e-04	1.3e-04	0.132	$\begin{array}{c} 0.257 \\ 0.932 \\ 0.277 \\ 0.142 \\ 0.507 \end{array}$
PC 42:5 p	7.5e-05	7.8e-05	7.3e-05	7.8e-05	0.899	
PC 44:4 p	1.8e-04	1.8e-04	1.7e-04	1.8e-04	0.153	
PC 38:4 1	7.2e-04	6.4e-04	8.3e-04	6.2e-04	0.057	
PE 38:4	0.001	9.3e-04	9.3e-04	0.001	0.382	
PE 38:6 1	7.2e-04	7.0e-04	8.2e-04	0.001	0.2	0.337
PE 36:2 p 1	9.3e-04	0.002	9.5e-04	6.1e-04	4.1e-08 ***	1.4e-06 ***
PE 36:4 p 1	0.002	0.002	0.002	0.002	0.05 *	0.13
PE 38:5 p 1	0.002	0.002	0.002	0.002	0.082	0.187
PC 36:2 p 1	2.6e-04	5.2e-04	2.7e-04	2.2e-04	1.3e-09 ***	1.0e-07 ***
PC 38:6 p	3.9e-04	4.9e-04	3.6e-04	3.9e-04	0.088	$\begin{array}{c} 0.197 \\ 0.38 \\ 0.549 \\ 0.099 \\ 0.179 \end{array}$
SM 32:1 d	0.001	9.8e-04	9.3e-04	9.8e-04	0.241	
SM 32:2 d	1.2e-04	1.0e-04	1.3e-04	9.0e-05	0.43	
SM 34:0 d	5.2e-04	4.9e-04	5.0e-04	5.4e-04	0.031 *	
SM 34:1 d	0.014	0.014	0.014	0.014	0.078	
SM 34:2 d	0.002	0.002	0.002	0.002	0.026 *	0.094
SM 36:0 d	1.5e-04	1.5e-04	1.3e-04	1.2e-04	0.928	0.945
SM 36:1 d	0.002	0.002	0.002	0.002	0.272	0.409
SM 36:2 d	0.007	0.007	0.007	0.007	0.222	0.363
SM 38:1 d	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.159	0.281
SM 38:2 d	8.1e-04	8.3e-04	7.8e-04	7.8e-04	0.751	$\begin{array}{c} 0.836 \\ 0.477 \\ 0.445 \\ 0.779 \\ 0.215 \end{array}$
SM 39:1 d	4.4e-04	4.4e-04	4.2e-04	4.6e-04	0.339	
SM 40:1 d	0.002	0.002	0.002	0.002	0.309	
SM 40:2 d 1	0.002	0.002	0.002	0.002	0.678	
SM 41:1 d	8.6e-04	8.5e-04	8.0e-04	9.2e-04	0.098	
SM 41:2 d	6.1e-04	5.8e-04	5.8e-04	6.5e-04	0.063	0.15
SM 42:1 d	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.051	0.131
SM 42:3 d	0.003	0.002	0.002	0.003	6.1e-05 ***	6.5e-04 ***
SM 43:2 d	1.1e-04	1.6e-04	1.2e-04	1.3e-04	0.146	0.277
TG 46:0	1.5e-04	1.8e-04	1.9e-04	1.4e-04	0.037 *	0.109
TG 46:1	1.0e-04	1.0e-04	1.8e-04	8.7e-05	0.052	$\begin{array}{c} 0.133 \\ 0.13 \\ 0.113 \\ 0.113 \\ 0.105 \\ 0.098 \end{array}$
TG 48:1	7.1e-04	7.6e-04	0.001	6.6e-04	0.05 *	
TG 48:2	4.0e-04	4.2e-04	8.1e-04	3.7e-04	0.039 *	
TG 48:3	9.9e-05	9.0e-05	1.8e-04	6.8e-05	0.035 *	
TG 49:2	7.8e-05	8.6e-05	1.2e-04	6.1e-05	0.03 *	
TG 50:1	0.003	0.003 Pag	0.005 ge 6 of 9	0.003	0.065	0.153

0.004	0.003	0.006	0.004	0.158	0.281
0.001	0.001	0.002	0.001	0.296	0.43
2.3e-04	2.3e-04	3.0e-04	2.0e-04	0.23	0.368
3.0e-04	3.9e-04	5.0e-04	2.9e-04	0.009 **	0.04 *
2.5e-04	3.0e-04	3.3e-04	2.3e-04	0.029 *	0.096
8.5e-04	9.4e-04	0.001	6.3e-04	0.016 *	0.062
0.014	0.011	0.02	0.015	0.548	0.665
0.018	0.016	0.021	0.018	0.768	0.847
0.005	0.006	0.006	0.006	0.8	0.866
7.4e-04	8.3e-04	8.2e-04	7.5e-04	0.438	0.556
7.5e-05	7.4e-05	8.5e-05	7.0e-05	0.538	0.657
1.0e-04	1.2e-04	2.1e-04	9.4 e-05	0.139	0.265
2.8e-04	3.9e-04	3.8e-04	2.6e-04	0.008 **	0.037 *
1.0e-04	1.6e-04	1.1e-04	9.7 e-05	0.041 *	0.117
0.001	0.001	0.001	9.3e-04	0.111	0.239
0.004	0.004	0.006	0.005	0.536	0.657
0.005	0.004	0.005	0.006	0.119	0.241
0.002	0.002	0.002	0.003	0.271	0.409
7.0e-04	5.2e-04	8.3e-04	6.4e-04	0.98	0.985
4.0e-04	3.4e-04	4.0e-04	3.5e-04	0.885	0.923
9.4 e-05	8.1e-05	1.2e-04	1.2e-04	0.354	0.49
1.8e-04	1.5e-04	1.7e-04	1.8e-04	0.017 *	0.065
2.9e-04	2.5e-04	2.6e-04	3.1e-04	0.072	0.168
1.2e-04	1.3e-04	1.2e-04	1.6e-04	0.369	0.506
	0.004 0.001 2.3e-04 3.0e-04 2.5e-04 8.5e-04 0.014 0.018 0.005 7.4e-04 7.5e-05 1.0e-04 2.8e-04 1.0e-04 0.001 0.004 0.005 0.002 7.0e-04 4.0e-04 9.4e-05 1.8e-04 2.9e-04 1.2e-04	$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$

\* P value < 0.05 \*\* P value < 0.01 \*\*\* P value < 0.001



**Supplemental Figure S1:** scatter plot between PC to LPC ratio versus CE to free cholesterol ratio (A), and surface to core lipids ratio versus CE to free cholesterol ratio (B).



**Supplemental Figure S2:** Gel electrophoresis with Coomassie blue of isolated HDL fractions. Column 1 is molecular weight marker in kDa. Column 2-5 are ultracentrifugation-isolated HDL from two of the study subjects.