

UCLA

UCLA Previously Published Works

Title

Ovarian cancer pathology characteristics as predictors of variant pathogenicity in BRCA1 and BRCA2

Permalink

<https://escholarship.org/uc/item/40j9s31t>

Journal

British Journal of Cancer, 128(12)

ISSN

0007-0920

Authors

O'Mahony, Denise G

Ramus, Susan J

Southey, Melissa C

et al.

Publication Date

2023-06-29

DOI

10.1038/s41416-023-02263-5

Peer reviewed

ARTICLE OPEN



Genetics and Genomics

Ovarian cancer pathology characteristics as predictors of variant pathogenicity in *BRCA1* and *BRCA2*

Denise G. O'Mahony^{1,2}, Susan J. Ramus^{3,4}, Melissa C. Southey^{5,6,7}, Nicola S. Meagher^{3,4,8}, Andreas Hadjisavvas², Esther M. John^{9,10}, Ute Hamann¹¹, Evgeny N. Imyanitov¹², Irene L. Andrulis^{13,14}, Priyanka Sharma¹⁵, Mary B. Daly¹⁶, Christopher R. Hake¹⁷, Jeffrey N. Weitzel¹⁸, Anna Jakubowska^{19,20}, Andrew K. Godwin²¹, Adalgeir Arason^{22,23}, Anita Bane²⁴, Jacques Simard²⁵, Penny Soucy²⁵, Maria A. Caligo²⁶, Phuong L. Mai²⁷, Kathleen B. M. Claes²⁸, Manuel R. Teixeira^{29,30}, Wendy K. Chung³¹, Conxi Lazaro³², Peter J. Hulick^{33,34}, Amanda E. Toland³⁵, Inge Sokilde Pedersen^{36,37,38}, HEBON Investigators*, Susan L. Neuhausen³⁹, Ana Vega^{40,41,42}, Miguel de la Hoya⁴³, Heli Nevanlinna⁴⁴, Mallika Dhawan⁴⁵, Valentina Zampiga⁴⁶, Rita Danesi⁴⁷, Liliana Varesco⁴⁸, Viviana Gismondi⁴⁸, Valerio Gaetano Vellone⁴⁹, Paul A. James⁵⁰, Ramunas Janavicius^{51,52}, Liene Nikitina-Zake⁵³, Finn Cilius Nielsen⁵⁴, Thomas van Overeem Hansen^{55,56}, Tanja Pejovic^{57,58}, Ake Borg⁵⁹, Johanna Rantala⁶⁰, Kenneth Offit^{61,62}, Marco Montagna⁶³, Katherine L. Nathanson⁶⁴, Susan M. Domchek⁶⁴, Ana Osorio^{65,66,67}, María J. García⁶⁸, Beth Y. Karlan⁶⁹, GEMO Study Collaborators*, Anna De Fazio^{8,70,71,72}, David Bowtell^{73,74}, AOCs Group*, Lesley McGuffog⁷⁵, Goska Leslie⁷⁵, Michael T. Parsons⁷⁶, Thilo Dörk⁷⁷, Lisa-Marie Speith⁷⁷, Elizabeth Santana dos Santos^{78,79,80}, Alexandre André B. A. da Costa^{80,81}, Paolo Radice⁸², Paolo Peterlongo⁸³, Laura Papi⁸⁴, Christoph Engel^{85,86}, Eric Hahnen^{87,88}, Rita K. Schmutzler^{87,88,89}, Barbara Wappenschmidt^{87,88}, Douglas F. Easton^{75,90}, Marc Tischkowitz^{91,92}, Christian F. Singer⁹³, Yen Yen Tan⁹³, Alice S. Whittemore^{9,94}, Weiva Sieh^{95,96}, James D. Brenton⁹⁷, Drakoulis Yannoukakos⁹⁸, Florentia Fostira⁹⁸, Irene Konstantopoulou⁹⁸, Jana Soukupova⁹⁹, Michal Vocka¹⁰⁰, CZECA NCA Consortium*, Georgia Chenevix-Trench¹⁰¹, Paul D. P. Pharoah^{75,90}, Antonis C. Antoniou⁷⁵, David E. Goldgar¹⁰², Amanda B. Spurdle⁷⁶, Kyriaki Michailidou^{1,75}✉, The Consortium of Investigators of Modifiers of BRCA1/2* and Evidence-based Network for the Interpretation of Germline Mutant Alleles Consortium*

© The Author(s) 2023

BACKGROUND: The distribution of ovarian tumour characteristics differs between germline *BRCA1* and *BRCA2* pathogenic variant carriers and non-carriers. In this study, we assessed the utility of ovarian tumour characteristics as predictors of *BRCA1* and *BRCA2* variant pathogenicity, for application using the American College of Medical Genetics and the Association for Molecular Pathology (ACMG/AMP) variant classification system.

METHODS: Data for 10,373 ovarian cancer cases, including carriers and non-carriers of *BRCA1* or *BRCA2* pathogenic variants, were collected from unpublished international cohorts and consortia and published studies. Likelihood ratios (LR) were calculated for the association of ovarian cancer histology and other characteristics, with *BRCA1* and *BRCA2* variant pathogenicity. Estimates were aligned to ACMG/AMP code strengths (supporting, moderate, strong).

RESULTS: No histological subtype provided informative ACMG/AMP evidence in favour of *BRCA1* and *BRCA2* variant pathogenicity. Evidence against variant pathogenicity was estimated for the mucinous and clear cell histologies (supporting) and borderline cases (moderate). Refined associations are provided according to tumour grade, invasion and age at diagnosis.

CONCLUSIONS: We provide detailed estimates for predicting *BRCA1* and *BRCA2* variant pathogenicity based on ovarian tumour characteristics. This evidence can be combined with other variant information under the ACMG/AMP classification system, to improve classification and carrier clinical management.

British Journal of Cancer (2023) 128:2283–2294; <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41416-023-02263-5>

INTRODUCTION

Ovarian cancer can be classified based on tumour origin into epithelial (~90% of all cases [1]), sex cord/stromal and germ cell. The epithelial cases differentiate into five main histological subtypes ("histotypes"), including high-grade serous carcinomas

(HGSC), which is the most frequent subtype [2], low-grade serous carcinomas (LGSC), mucinous, endometrioid and clear cell ovarian cancers [3]. Rarer forms of epithelial ovarian cancer such as transitional cell or mesenchymal and mixed-epithelial carcinomas may also occur [3]. Due to their differences in morphological,

A full list of author affiliations appears at the end of the paper.

Received: 7 November 2022 Revised: 22 February 2023 Accepted: 24 March 2023

Published online: 19 April 2023

molecular and clinical characteristics [4], ovarian cancer histotypes are considered different diseases [5].

Several genes have been associated with increased risk of ovarian cancer, including *BRCA1* and *BRCA2* [6], *PALB2*, *BRIP1* [7], *RAD51C* and *RAD51D* [8], with the largest percentage of cases (10–15%) being attributable to germline pathogenic variants in *BRCA1* or *BRCA2* [9]. Previous findings have suggested that the distribution of ovarian cancer histopathology subtypes differs in germline *BRCA1* and *BRCA2* pathogenic variant carriers, compared to non-carriers, with a similar distribution associated with pathogenic variants for the two genes [10]. Germline pathogenic variants in the two genes occur predominantly in patients diagnosed with HGSC, where the probability of finding a *BRCA1* or *BRCA2* pathogenic variant reaches as high as 25.2% [11]. Identification of *BRCA1* and *BRCA2* pathogenic variants is lower for patients with endometrioid carcinomas (4.17–10.3% [12, 13]), LGSC (1.2–6.0% [14]) and clear cell carcinomas (2.8–9.1% [15, 16]). Earlier work also suggests that germline *BRCA1* and *BRCA2* pathogenic variants are unlikely to be found in patients with tumours of mucinous histology (0 to 4% [17–19]). Borderline tumours, a separate entity of non-invasive epithelial ovarian cancers, are also characterised by a low frequency of *BRCA1* and *BRCA2* germline pathogenic variants [10]. The National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN) [20], American Society of Clinical Oncology (ASCO) [21] as well as others [22], recommend germline *BRCA1* and *BRCA2* genetic testing for all epithelial ovarian cancer patients irrespective of histology. Other national and international medical societies and panels suggest selective testing for HGSC or non-mucinous ovarian cancer histological subtypes, due to the higher probability of finding a *BRCA1* or *BRCA2* pathogenic variant [23, 24].

BRCA1 and *BRCA2* genetic testing applied for the identification of high-risk individuals will often (5–10%) identify variants of uncertain significance (VUS) [25]. VUS are characterised by insufficient evidence for their association with disease pathogenicity and consequent clinical uncertainty in making informed decisions on disease management [26, 27]. It is recommended that VUS detection is not incorporated in patient risk assessment, and carriers are managed according to their clinical features and family history, which reduces the possibility of receiving risk-reducing interventions being offered to carriers of pathogenic variants [28, 29]. To facilitate VUS classification efforts, the American College of Medical Genetics and the Association for Molecular Pathology (ACMG/AMP) groups have developed standards and guidelines that are widely applied by clinical labs. This system weights independent lines of evidence for and against variant pathogenicity as 'very strong', 'strong', 'moderate' and 'supporting' [30, 31]. These strengths are combined based on a scoring system of criteria to classify variants. The evidence considered may include variant location, predicted coding effect, functional data, variant co-segregation with disease or variant frequency in affected and non-affected individuals. Recently, the model was transformed into a Bayesian framework, in which weights were aligned to pathogenic and benign Likelihood ratio (LR) evidence [32].

In addition, the Multifactorial Likelihood model, applied by the Evidence-based Network for the Interpretation of Germline Mutant Alleles (ENIGMA) consortium, also has been used to weight different evidence types for *BRCA1* and *BRCA2* variant classification efforts [33, 34]. For a given variant, the model calculates the posterior probability of pathogenicity, in a Bayesian quantitative classification framework that integrates multiple independent lines of evidence for the association of a variant with pathogenicity, measured by LRs, with calibrated prior probabilities of pathogenicity determined through *in silico* predictions [35].

Despite the observed associations between *BRCA1* and *BRCA2* germline pathogenic variant status with ovarian tumour

characteristics, currently VUS interpretation efforts do not consider ovarian tumour pathology. We performed analyses on a large collection of data from ovarian cancer cases, including *BRCA1* and *BRCA2* (likely) pathogenic variant carriers and non-carriers, to assess histology and other tumour characteristics as predictors of germline *BRCA1* and *BRCA2* variant pathogenicity, with the aim of standardising the application of this evidence in clinical variant curation using the ACMG/AMP classification system, to inform the future interpretation of VUS in *BRCA1* and *BRCA2*.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Data collection and selection criteria

An overview of the data collection process is shown in Fig. 1, where the selection and exclusion criteria are stated. In this study, data from ovarian cancer cases were collected (ovarian epithelium, primary peritoneum or fallopian tubes as primary sites) from reported germline *BRCA1* and *BRCA2* pathogenic or likely pathogenic variant carriers and individuals who tested negative for germline *BRCA1* and *BRCA2* (likely) pathogenic variants (non-carriers), with known histology information. Variant class (pathogenic or likely pathogenic) was based on the classification assigned by contributing sources at the time of collection. The main tumour information analysed was ovarian tumour histology, where the histological subtypes ('histotypes') considered were in accordance with the most recent ovarian tumour classification system defined by the World Health Organisation (WHO) [3]. Only data falling into these histological categories were considered. These included: high-grade serous carcinomas (HGSC), low-grade serous carcinomas (LGSC), mucinous carcinomas, endometrioid carcinomas, clear cell carcinomas and the 'other' category. The 'other' category comprised rare forms of ovarian cancer not belonging to the above-mentioned subtypes and included tumours defined as: 'other' by data sources not specifying tumour histology; mixed-epithelial carcinomas; carcinosarcomas; transitional cell carcinomas (Brenner tumours); undifferentiated or poorly differentiated carcinomas; squamous cell carcinomas.

Data sources included clinically- or research-tested data, as well as data from published studies. Specifically, we initially collected data for 9396 individuals from clinical or research sources, subjected to germline *BRCA1* and *BRCA2* genetic testing, from the CIMBA (Consortium of Investigators of Modifiers of *BRCA1/2*) [36] and OTTA (Ovarian Tumour Tissue Analysis) [37] consortia, the AOCs (Australian Ovarian Cancer Study) study [38] and collaborators of the ENIGMA consortium [34]. Data were collected using a predefined variable template, requesting information on the gene affected, classification of the detected variant, tumour invasion, histology, stage (FIGO), grade, variant nomenclature, ethnicity, age at ovarian cancer diagnosis and age at breast cancer diagnosis (if any). To collect relevant data from published studies, a literature search was conducted within the PubMed database searching for keywords such as 'ovarian cancer' and/or 'ovarian cancer histology' in combination with '*BRCA1* and/or *BRCA2* frequency' or 'predisposition' (Supplementary Table S1). A total of 20 published studies meeting the study's selection criteria, comprising 7733 ovarian cancer cases subjected to germline *BRCA1* and *BRCA2* genetic testing, were used.

Exclusion criteria

Of the data collected, sites with a proportion of HGSCs over 90% and/or studies where the selection was applied for *BRCA1* and *BRCA2* genetic testing based on HGSC or non-mucinous histology were excluded from the dataset to account for potential selection bias [11, 17, 38–41]. Sources with a high proportion of unknown histology ($\geq 50\%$) were also excluded. Overlaps between consortia or study groups (CIMBA, ENIGMA) and published studies were removed. Finally, tumour data of unknown/unclear, inconsistent, adenocarcinoma histology (representing carcinomas that cannot be allocated with certainty within major categories) and serous of undefined tumour grade information, were removed. Data reported as 'other' were comprehensively reviewed when such information was available and reclassified into appropriate categories or excluded. Additionally, individuals with somatic pathogenic variants (if this information was provided) or reported VUS in the non-carrier group, were removed. The final dataset consisted of 10,373 cases (Supplementary Table S2).

Statistical analyses

Statistical analyses performed in this study are summarised in Fig. 1. As part of the main analysis, ovarian tumour histology was assessed as a




Fig. 1 Flowchart of study design and methods. Carriers refer to individuals with a reported germline pathogenic or likely pathogenic variant in *BRCA1* or *BRCA2*. Non-carriers refer to individuals tested negative for *BRCA1* and/or *BRCA2* in germline. The 'other' category comprised rare forms of ovarian cancer not belonging to any of the other subtypes, including tumours defined as: 'other' by data sources not specifying tumour histology; mixed-epithelial carcinomas; carcinosarcomas, transitional cell (Brenner tumours), undifferentiated or poorly differentiated; squamous cell. HGSC high-grade serous carcinoma, LGSC low-grade serous carcinoma.

predictor of germline *BRCA1* or *BRCA2* pathogenic variant status by defining likelihood ratio (LR) estimates. Data were grouped for *BRCA1* carriers, *BRCA2* carriers and non-carriers ($BRCA_0$), and histology prevalence was determined for each group. LRs were calculated for each histological subtype by comparing their frequency between *BRCA1* or *BRCA2* pathogenic variant carriers and non-carriers:

$$LR = \frac{p_i}{p_0}$$

$$\text{where } p_i = \frac{BRCA_i}{\sum BRCA_i} \text{ and } p_0 = \frac{BRCA_0}{\sum BRCA_0}$$

$BRCA_i = 1, 2$ denotes the number of *BRCA1* or *BRCA2* pathogenic variant carriers, respectively, for a given histological subtype, and $BRCA_0$ denotes the number of non-carriers for the same histological subtype.

The variance of $\ln(LR)$ was calculated following Koopman et al. [42]:

$$\text{Var}(\ln(LR)) = \frac{1 - p_i}{p_i \times \sum BRCA_i} + \frac{1 - p_0}{p_0 \times \sum BRCA_0}$$

Assuming a normal distribution for $\ln(LR)$, a 95% Confidence Interval (CI) was determined to assess the significance of the LR estimates obtained by:

$$CI = \text{Exp} \left[\ln(LR) \pm 1.96 \sqrt{\text{Var}(\ln(LR))} \right]$$

Significant LR estimates, i.e., not spanning 1, suggested nominal significance, and potential for use as evidence following the ACMG/AMP system or the Multifactorial Likelihood model.

Using the same method, additional analyses were conducted, to assess differences in histological associations between clinically- or research-tested data and literature-derived data, as well as compare histological associations between Asian and European-origin ancestries. Other races and ancestries, including Hispanic ($N = 302$) and African ($N = 14$), did not provide informative predictions due to the low number of tumour data points available. Furthermore, histological associations were refined by other tumour and/or patient characteristics. First, tumour grade characteristics were refined as appropriate for each histotype. Mucinous, endometrioid, and 'other' histological subtypes were categorised as grade

1 (well-differentiated), grade 2 (moderately differentiated) and grade 3 (undifferentiated or poorly differentiated). Serous tumours, i.e., HGSC and LGSC were already separated according to a two-tier system. Clear cell was not refined by grade, since they are, by definition, high-grade [3, 43]. Histological subtype data were also combined with known information on tumour invasion (invasive or borderline). Due to the small number of borderline cases collected, borderline tumours were assessed separately as a single category without considering histology. Finally, age at ovarian cancer diagnosis (before and at/after the age of 50 years) was assessed in combination with histological subtype information, where tumours of unknown age at diagnosis were removed.

ACMG/AMP LR evidence strength alignment

To determine the strength of the associations derived, LR values were aligned to the evidence values of the Bayesian framework of the ACMG/AMP system [32]. The strengths favouring variant pathogenicity included: very strong pathogenic, $LR \geq 350$; strong pathogenic, $18.70 \leq LR < 350$; moderate pathogenic, $4.33 \leq LR < 18.70$; and supporting pathogenic, $2.08 \leq LR < 4.33$. Evidence against variant pathogenicity were inferred using the inverse of the ranges proposed for the pathogenic strength evidence: very strong benign, $LR < 0.00285$; strong benign, $0.00285 \leq LR < 0.053$; moderate benign, $0.053 \leq LR < 0.23$; and supporting benign, $0.23 \leq LR < 0.48$. We defined informative evidence as associations with statistically significant CI (i.e., not including 1). Categories having LR values within the range of $0.48 \leq LR < 2.08$ were referred as non-informative.

RESULTS

Clinicopathological characteristics

The assembled dataset consisted of 10,373 ovarian cancer cases, including 2044 germline *BRCA1* carriers, 761 germline *BRCA2* carriers and 7568 non-carriers (based on germline testing) (Supplementary Table S2). Patient clinicopathological characteristics are shown in Table 1. The most frequent histotype was HGSC (70.9%), followed by endometrioid (9.7%), clear cell (6.3%), LGSC

Table 1. Clinicopathological characteristics of the ovarian cancer case data collected.

Clinicopathological characteristics	<i>BRCA1</i> carriers, N (%)	<i>BRCA2</i> carriers, N (%)	Non-carriers, N (%)	Total N (%)
Total data	2044	761	7568	10,373
Age in years at OC diagnosis				
<30	6 (0.4)	5 (0.8)	105 (3.4)	119 (2.2)
30–39	170 (9.9)	11 (1.8)	245 (7.9)	426 (7.9)
40–49	670 (39.1)	102 (17.0)	563 (18.2)	1335 (24.7)
50–59	559 (32.6)	215 (35.8)	964 (31.2)	1738 (32.1)
60–69	251 (14.6)	199 (33.2)	833 (27.0)	1283 (23.7)
>70	58 (3.4)	68 (11.3)	379 (12.3)	505 (9.3)
N/A	330	161	4479	4970
Tumour grade				
Grade 1	55 (3.5)	29 (5.4)	401 (14.7)	485 (10.1)
Grade 2	281 (18.0)	91 (16.9)	389 (14.3)	762 (15.8)
Grade 3	1223 (78.4)	418 (77.7)	1934 (71.0)	3575 (74.1)
N/A	485	223	4846	5554
Tumour histology				
HGSC	1578 (77.2)	597 (78.4)	5183 (68.5)	7358 (70.9)
LGSC	58 (2.8)	23 (3.0)	429 (5.7)	510 (4.9)
Mucinous	21 (1.0)	14 (1.8)	325 (4.3)	361 (3.5)
Endometrioid	226 (11.1)	65 (8.5)	713 (9.4)	1004 (9.7)
Clear cell	38 (1.9)	15 (2.0)	605 (8.0)	658 (6.3)
'Other'	123 (6.0)	47 (6.2)	313 (4.1)	485 (4.7)
Tumour Invasion				
Invasive	1468 (99.5)	530 (99.1)	2755 (94.8)	4754 (96.6)
Borderline	7 (0.5)	5 (0.9)	152 (5.2)	166 (3.4)
N/A	569	226	4661	5456

N number of data points, OC ovarian cancer, HGSC high-grade serous carcinomas, LGSC low-grade serous carcinomas, N/A not available.

The above data are based on 10,373 cases, including 2044 *BRCA1* carriers, 761 *BRCA2* carriers and 7568 non-carriers. In brackets, the frequency of the clinicopathological characteristics in all each group with known information is provided. The 'other' category denominates rare forms of ovarian cancer not belonging to any of the other subtypes, including tumours defined as: 'other' by data sources not specifying tumour histology; mixed-epithelial; carcinosarcomas; transitional cell (Brenner tumours); undifferentiated or poorly differentiated; squamous cell.

(4.9%), 'other' (4.7%), and mucinous (3.5%) histotypes. In Supplementary Table S3, histological subtypes are separated by tumour stage (FIGO), grade and age range at ovarian cancer diagnosis. Patient age at ovarian cancer diagnosis ranged from 18 to 92 years.

Tumour histology association analysis

Ovarian cancer histological subtypes were assessed for their potential utility in future prediction of germline *BRCA1* or *BRCA2* variant pathogenicity. Detailed LR estimates derived are provided in Table 2. Under the ACMG/AMP system, no histological subtype provided informative evidence in favour of *BRCA1* and *BRCA2* variant pathogenicity. Evidence against *BRCA1* pathogenicity was estimated for the mucinous (LR: 0.24 (95% CI: 0.15–0.37), supporting evidence) and clear cell (LR: 0.23 (95% CI: 0.17–0.32), supporting evidence) histotypes. Similarly, evidence against *BRCA2* variant pathogenicity was derived for the mucinous (LR: 0.43 (95% CI: 0.25–0.73), supporting evidence) and clear cell histological subtypes (LR: 0.25 (95% CI: 0.15–0.41), supporting evidence). Histotypes failing to provide informative ACMG/AMP evidence, provided statistically significant LR estimates, suggestive of suitability to be included in Multifactorial Likelihood modelling (where there are no limitations set for individual LRs included). Specifically, LR estimates in favour of pathogenicity were identified for the HGSC and 'other' histotypes for *BRCA1* and *BRCA2* and the endometrioid histotype for *BRCA1*. Evidence

against pathogenicity was also identified for LGSC for *BRCA1* and *BRCA2*.

Histological subtype associations also were compared between clinically derived and literature-derived data, to determine any major differences (Supplementary Table S4). Not all subtypes provided sufficient occurrences in this stratified dataset for informative comparisons. Overall, differences in ACMG/AMP code strength were observed for LGSC for *BRCA1* and *BRCA2* and mucinous for *BRCA2*, but these estimates, for literature-derived data in particular, were based on a small number of cases in each category, and confidence intervals for LR estimates overlapped.

Evaluation of the associations also were assessed by ancestry, by comparing the results of Asian- and European-ancestry data separately (Supplementary Table S5). Considering that the Asian-ancestry dataset was much smaller, no meaningful differences were observed in the direction of effect for LR estimates between the two sets, with the exception of the mucinous histotype which was not reported in Asian-origin *BRCA1* carriers. Results of the European-origin data alone, agreed with the LR estimates derived in the main analysis, with the addition of LGSC providing evidence against *BRCA1* variant pathogenicity (LR: 0.45 (95% CI: 0.33–0.60), supporting evidence).

Assessing combined ovarian tumour characteristics

Histology associations with *BRCA1* and *BRCA2* variant pathogenicity were further refined by performing the LR analyses in

Table 2. Likelihood ratio analysis for the evaluation of ovarian cancer histological subtypes in association with *BRCA1* and *BRCA2* pathogenic variant status.

Histological subtypes	BRCA1 carriers		BRCA2 carriers		Non-carriers		Total	
	N (%)	LR (95% CI)	ACMG/AMP strength	N (%)	LR (95% CI)	ACMG/AMP strength	N (%)	N (%)
HGSC	1578 (77.2)	1.13 (1.10–1.16)	Non-informative	597 (78.4)	1.15 (1.10–1.19)	Non-informative	5183 (68.5)	7358 (70.9)
LGSC	58 (2.8)	0.50 (0.38–0.66)	Non-informative	23 (3.0)	0.53 (0.35–0.81)	Non-informative	429 (5.7)	510 (4.9)
Mucinous	21 (1.0)	0.24 (0.15–0.37)	Supporting Benign	14 (1.8)	0.43 (0.25–0.73)	Supporting Benign	325 (4.3)	360 (3.5)
Endometrioid	226 (11.1)	1.17 (1.02–1.35)	Non-informative	65 (8.5)	0.91 (0.71–1.16)	Non-informative	713 (9.4)	1004 (9.7)
Clear cell	38 (1.9)	0.23 (0.17–0.32)	Supporting Benign	15 (2.0)	0.25 (0.15–0.41)	Supporting Benign	605 (8.0)	658 (6.3)
'Other'	123 (6.0)	1.45 (1.19–1.78)	Non-informative	47 (6.2)	1.49 (1.11–2.01)	Non-informative	313 (4.1)	483 (4.7)
	2044			761			7568	10,373

N number of data points, LR likelihood ratio, CI confidence interval, ACMG/AMP American College of Medical Genetics/Association for Molecular Pathology, HGSC high-grade serous carcinomas, LGSC low-grade serous carcinomas

The analysis was based on 10,373 cases, including 2044 *BRCA1* carriers, 761 *BRCA2* carriers and 7568 non-carriers. In brackets, the histotype frequency for each group is provided. The 'other' category denominates rare forms of ovarian cancer not belonging to any of the other subtypes, including tumours defined as: 'other' by data sources not specifying tumour histology; mixed-epithelial; carcinosarcomas; transitional cell (Brenner tumours); undifferentiated or poorly differentiated; squamous cell. LR > 1: Histotype association with pathogenic variant, Pathogenic evidence; LR < 1: Prediction of non-carrier for pathogenic variant, Benign evidence. Evidence strength was measured based on Bayesian modelling of ACMG/AMP rules (see 'Materials and methods'); Supporting Benign (LR ≥ 0.23–0.48), Moderate Benign (LR ≥ 0.053–0.23), Supporting Pathogenic (LR ≥ 2.08–4.30), non-informative (0.48 ≤ LR ≤ 2.08). LR estimates reaching informative ACMG/AMP strengths at a statistically significant CI (i.e., not spanning 1), are highlighted in bold.

combination with other ovarian tumour characteristics. First, histological categories were refined by tumour grade (Supplementary Table S6). Refinement resulted in a small amount of data within some categories, for which estimates should be used with caution. Endometrioid tumours of well-differentiated grade provided evidence against VUS pathogenicity for *BRCA1* (LR: 0.12 (95% CI: 0.04–0.31), moderate evidence) and *BRCA2* (LR: 0.31 (95% CI: 0.12–0.84), supporting evidence). In contrast, poorly differentiated endometrioid tumours were associated with evidence in favour of *BRCA1* variant pathogenicity (LR: 2.98 (95% CI: 2.28–3.89), supporting evidence) and *BRCA2* variant pathogenicity (LR: 2.09 (95% CI: 1.37–3.21), supporting evidence). Finally, the 'other' category provided informative evidence towards variant pathogenicity for both *BRCA1* (LR: 3.62 (95% CI: 2.61–5.03), supporting evidence) and *BRCA2* (LR: 3.46 (95% CI: 2.20–5.43), supporting evidence), if tumours were undifferentiated or poorly differentiated. Histology was integrated with information on tumour invasion (invasive or borderline) (Supplementary Table S7). Here, borderline tumours, irrespective of histology, were associated with moderate ACMG/AMP evidence against variant pathogenicity for *BRCA1* (LR: 0.09 (95% CI: 0.04–0.20)) and *BRCA2* (LR: 0.19 (95% CI: 0.08–0.46)). When invasive histological subtypes were assessed, similar LR estimates were obtained as in the main analysis. In addition, evidence against pathogenicity increased from supporting to moderate strength for invasive mucinous and clear cell tumours for *BRCA1*, and for invasive clear cell tumours for *BRCA2*. Also, invasive LGSC was associated with evidence against *BRCA1* pathogenicity at supporting strength (LR: 0.44 (95% CI: 0.31–0.62)). Histology-derived LRs also were estimated when categorised by age at ovarian cancer diagnosis (Supplementary Table S8). LGSC presentation before age 50 years provided evidence against pathogenicity for *BRCA1* (LR: 0.18 (95% CI: 0.11–0.30), moderate strength). Mucinous tumour presentation provided somewhat greater evidence for the association against *BRCA1* variant pathogenicity when the diagnosis was before age 50 years (LR: 0.11 (95% CI: 0.06–0.19), moderate evidence), compared to diagnosis at/after the age of 50 (LR: 0.21 (95% CI: 0.10–0.44), moderate evidence). Similarly, clear cell tumours provided somewhat greater evidence against *BRCA1* variant pathogenicity before the age of 50 (LR: 0.16 (95% CI: 0.08–0.31), moderate evidence) versus over that age (LR: 0.37 (95% CI: 0.23–0.59), supporting evidence). For *BRCA2*, evidence against pathogenicity was reached for LGSC in individuals diagnosed before the age of 50 years (LR: 0.43 (95% CI: 0.19–0.96), supporting evidence). Mucinous tumours provided supporting evidence against *BRCA2* variant pathogenicity in individuals diagnosed both before the age of 50 (LR: 0.36 (95% CI: 0.16–0.80), supporting evidence) and after the age of 50 (LR: 0.42 (95% CI: 0.21–0.87), supporting evidence). Likewise, clear cell tumour phenotype provided supporting evidence against pathogenicity at/after the age of 50 (LR: 0.30 (95% CI: 0.15–0.58)).

Based on the patient's available information and based on which characteristic(s) were clinically informative in different sub-analyses, we propose that LR estimates and corresponding ACMG/AMP evidence are applied only for the characteristics presented in Table 3.

DISCUSSION

In this multicentre study, we evaluated the association of ovarian tumour histology with germline *BRCA1* and *BRCA2* pathogenic variant status. We aimed to standardise the application of this evidence in clinical variant curation using the ACMG/AMP classification system, to inform future VUS interpretation in *BRCA1* and *BRCA2*.

Following the alignment to the ACMG/AMP evidence strengths, no associations were derived in favour of *BRCA1* and *BRCA2* variant pathogenicity for the ovarian cancer histotypes analysed (Table 2). Nominal associations in favour of pathogenicity for the HGSC

Table 3. Proposed application of ovarian cancer pathology characteristics for the interpretation of germline *BRCA1* and *BRCA2* variants, using the ACMG/AMP system*.

Tumour pathology	BRCA1 evidence			BRCA2 evidence		
	Additional characteristics (if applicable)	ACMG/AMP strength	LR (95% CI)	ACMG/AMP strength	LR (95% CI)	Source
LGSC	Age at diagnosis <50 y	Moderate benign	0.18 (0.11–0.30)	Supporting Benign	0.43 (0.19–0.96)	Supplementary Table S8
	Invasive, age unspecified	Supporting benign	0.44 (0.31–0.62)	–	–	Supplementary Table S7
Mucinous	–	Supporting benign	0.24 (0.15–0.37)	Supporting Benign	0.43 (0.25–0.73)	Table 2
Endometrioid	Grade 1	Moderate benign	0.12 (0.04–0.31)	Supporting Benign	0.31 (0.12–0.84)	Supplementary Table S6
	Grade 3	Supporting pathogenic	2.98 (2.28–3.89)	Supporting Pathogenic	2.09 (1.37–3.21)	Supplementary Table S6
Clear cell	–	Supporting benign	0.23 (0.17–0.32)	Supporting Benign	0.25 (0.15–0.41)	Table 2
Borderline	–	Moderate benign	0.09 (0.04–0.20)	Moderate Benign	0.19 (0.08–0.46)	Supplementary Table S7

LR likelihood ratio, CI confidence interval, ACMG/AMP American College of Medical Genetics/Association for Molecular Pathology, LGSC low-grade serous carcinomas, y years. Evidence strength was measured based on Bayesian modelling of ACMG/AMP rules (see 'Materials and methods').

*Only associations reaching informative ACMG/AMP strengths at a statistically significant CI (i.e., not spanning 1), of which the characteristics were clinically informative, are shown. All other tumour histotypes (irrespective of additional characteristics) are considered uninformative for variant interpretation.

histotype may be suitable for inclusion in Multifactorial Likelihood modelling. This weak evidence reflects the high percentage of HGSC in ovarian cancer, irrespective of the presence of germline *BRCA1* and *BRCA2* pathogenic variants [1]. Evidence against pathogenicity at supporting strength was derived for *BRCA1* and *BRCA2* for the mucinous and clear cell histologies. Furthermore, evidence against pathogenicity for some categories, even though they may not reach ACMG/AMP strengths, could be used for inclusion in Multifactorial Likelihood modelling.

Sensitivity analyses exploring the heterogeneity within the dataset indicated that the inclusion of clinically- or research-collected data and data from published sources was unlikely to have caused any major confounding within the dataset. No significant differences were observed when comparing associations between data of different ancestries. However, the small data sizes of non-European ancestry data did not allow for reliable predictions and may not be generalisable.

In addition, we performed a series of refined histological subgroup analyses with the aim of incorporating additional information in the ovarian cancer pathology component of variant interpretation. Briefly, clinically informative predictions were derived for the endometrioid histology when separated by grade. Well-differentiated endometrioid tumour subtype was associated with evidence against *BRCA1* and *BRCA2* variant pathogenicity. Undifferentiated or poorly differentiated endometrioid tumour subtypes were associated with evidence in favour of variant pathogenicity, which likely reflects a proportion of misclassified HGSCs [44]. Although we observed an association in favour of pathogenicity of the 'other' subtype category when combined with the grade, we do not recommend use in clinical practice due to the high possibility of data misclassification within this category (HGSC or undefined/unknown histology often miscalled as 'other' (12.7% of 'other' category are poorly differentiated/undifferentiated which are often miscalled as HGSC cases). The association of this category with *BRCA1* and *BRCA2* variant pathogenicity should be evaluated in future studies. Refined analyses also suggested that borderline phenotype (irrespective of histology) was associated with evidence against *BRCA1* and *BRCA2* variant pathogenicity at moderate strength. Therefore, despite the rare occurrence of mucinous or borderline characteristics in carriers of pathogenic *BRCA1* and *BRCA2* variants, the phenotypes have a clinical value in informing VUS interpretation. Since the majority of data for borderline cases were of mucinous histology, the identification of such evidence is consistent with earlier observations for the histotype. Refinement by invasive designation also informed predictions against variant pathogenicity. Lastly, when age at diagnosis was considered, clinically informative predictions were identified for LGSC histotype diagnosis before the age of 50 years, providing evidence against *BRCA1* and *BRCA2* variant pathogenicity.

Based on the above results, we propose a strategy for the use of ovarian tumour histology in the assessment of germline *BRCA1* and *BRCA2* VUS interpretation under the strict rules of the ACMG/AMP system, based on patient characteristics and available information (Table 3). This information may be used in combination with other evidence to inform variant classification, and subsequent patient and family management. The identified LR estimates (Table 2) may also be used directly within the Multifactorial Likelihood model. Note that, optimally, ascertainment criterion for genetic testing of the carrier should be considered when applying LRs (e.g., testing for only HGSC). Our study also provides a demonstration on the use of statistical likelihood ratio modelling for the evaluation of associations of tumour characteristics and variant pathogenicity, with applicability to inform variant interpretation in other tumour types and genes.

We would like to acknowledge the following caveats. Although we tried to minimise the effect of potential selection for *BRCA1*

and *BRCA2* clinical testing based on histological phenotype, we cannot discount the possibility of selection in individual sites. Studies applying selection for *BRCA1* and *BRCA2* genetic testing based on non-mucinous histology were excluded from the main analysis [11, 17, 38–41]. A separate analysis including these data (an additional 159 *BRCA1* carriers, 101 *BRCA2* carriers and 982 non-carriers) did not materially change our predictions; findings suggest that the LRs from the main analysis will be applicable in the context of non-mucinous testing, although it should be noted that the additional data points per histological category were relatively few except for HGSC (data not shown). Finally, although the data collection requirements specified the inclusion of pathogenic or likely pathogenic variant carriers, due to the absence of detailed variant information for data evaluation, and due to changes in classification practices over time, we cannot exclude the possibility that some variants might be misclassified. Furthermore, due to the wide confidence intervals for some of the subtype-specific results, a more conservative approach might be required before the use of these evidence categories in the clinical classification of variants. Overall, it is likely that the practical application of LRs for future variant interpretation will provide additional insight into their correlation with existing clinical and functional evidence types already commonly used in *BRCA1* and *BRCA2* variant interpretation.

CONCLUSION

In this study, ovarian cancer histological subtypes were evaluated as predictors of *BRCA1* and *BRCA2* pathogenic variant status. We also provided refined LR estimates for the association of ovarian cancer histology in combination with other tumour and patient characteristics. Overall, we provide evidence for the incorporation of the derived LR estimates in variant classification to improve the interpretation of VUS identified in the *BRCA1* and *BRCA2*, and thereby inform carrier clinical management.

DATA AVAILABILITY

All data generated in this study can be found in the Supplementary Material file.

REFERENCES

- Sankaranarayanan R, Ferlay J. Worldwide burden of gynaecological cancer: the size of the problem. *Best Pract Res Clin Obstet Gynaecol.* 2006;20:207–25.
- Seidman JD, Horkayne-Szakaly I, Haiba M, Boice CR, Kurman RJ, Ronnett BM. The histologic type and stage distribution of ovarian carcinomas of surface epithelial origin. *Int J Gynecol Pathol.* 2004;23:41–4.
- Kurman RJ, Carcangiu ML, Herrington CS, Young RH (eds). WHO classification of tumours of female reproductive organs, 4th edn. Lyon, France: International Agency for Research on Cancer; 2014.
- Shih IeM, Kurman RJ. Ovarian tumorigenesis: a proposed model based on morphological and molecular genetic analysis. *Am J Pathol.* 2004;164:1511–8.
- Köbel M, Kalloger SE, Boyd N, McKinney S, Mehl E, Palmer C, et al. Ovarian carcinoma subtypes are different diseases: implications for biomarker studies. *PLoS Med.* 2008;5:e232.
- Antoniou A, Pharoah PD, Narod S, Risch HA, Eyfjord JE, Hopper JL, et al. Average risks of breast and ovarian cancer associated with *BRCA1* or *BRCA2* mutations detected in case Series unselected for family history: a combined analysis of 22 studies. *Am J Hum Genet.* 2003;72:1117–30.
- Ramus SJ, Song H, Dicks E, Tyrer JP, Rosenthal AN, Intermaggio MP, et al. Germline mutations in the *BRIP1*, *BARD1*, *PALB2*, and *NBN* genes in women with ovarian cancer. *J Natl Cancer Inst.* 2015;107:djv214.
- Song H, Dicks E, Ramus SJ, Tyrer JP, Intermaggio MP, Hayward J, et al. Contribution of germline mutations in the *RAD51B*, *RAD51C*, and *RAD51D* genes to ovarian cancer in the population. *J Clin Oncol.* 2015;33:2901–7.
- Lilyquist J, LaDuca H, Polley E, Davis BT, Shimelis H, Hu C, et al. Frequency of mutations in a large series of clinically ascertained ovarian cancer cases tested on multi-gene panels compared to reference controls. *Gynecol Oncol.* 2017;147:375–80.
- Lakhani SR, Manek S, Penault-Llorca F, Flanagan A, Arnout L, Merrett S, et al. Pathology of ovarian cancers in *BRCA1* and *BRCA2* carriers. *Clin Cancer Res.* 2004;10:2473–81.
- Schrader KA, Hurlburt J, Kalloger SE, Hansford S, Young S, Huntsman DG, et al. Germline *BRCA1* and *BRCA2* mutations in ovarian cancer: utility of a histology-based referral strategy. *Obstet Gynecol.* 2012;120:235–40.
- Malander S, Ridderheim M, Måsbäck A, Loman N, Kristoffersson U, Olsson H, et al. One in 10 ovarian cancer patients carry germ line *BRCA1* or *BRCA2* mutations: results of a prospective study in Southern Sweden. *Eur J Cancer.* 2004;40:422–8.
- Biglia N, Sgandurra P, Bounous VE, Maggiorotto F, Piva E, Pivetta E, et al. Ovarian cancer in *BRCA1* and *BRCA2* gene mutation carriers: analysis of prognostic factors and survival. *Ecancermedicallscience.* 2016;10:639.
- Song H, Cicek MS, Dicks E, Harrington P, Ramus SJ, Cunningham JM, et al. The contribution of deleterious germline mutations in *BRCA1*, *BRCA2* and the mismatch repair genes to ovarian cancer in the population. *Hum Mol Genet.* 2014;23:4703–9.
- Kim SI, Lee M, Kim HS, Chung HH, Kim JW, Park NH, et al. Germline and somatic *BRCA1/2* gene mutational status and clinical outcomes in epithelial peritoneal, ovarian, and fallopian tube cancer: over a decade of experience in a single institution in Korea. *Cancer Res Treat.* 2020;52:1229–41.
- Soegaard M, Kjaer SK, Cox M, Wozniak E, Høgdall E, Høgdall C, et al. *BRCA1* and *BRCA2* mutation prevalence and clinical characteristics of a population-based series of ovarian cancer cases from Denmark. *Clin Cancer Res.* 2008;14:3761–7.
- Hauke J, Hahnen E, Schneider S, Reuss A, Richters L, Kommos S, et al. Deleterious somatic variants in 473 consecutive individuals with ovarian cancer: results of the observational AGO-TR1 study (NCT02222883). *J Med Genet.* 2019;56:574–80.
- Norquist BM, Harrell MI, Brady MF, Walsh T, Lee MK, Gulsuner S, et al. Inherited mutations in women with ovarian carcinoma. *JAMA Oncol.* 2016;2:482–90.
- Pal T, Permuth-Wey J, Betts JA, Krischer JP, Fiorica J, Arango H, et al. *BRCA1* and *BRCA2* mutations account for a large proportion of ovarian carcinoma cases. *Cancer.* 2005;104:2807–16.
- Daly MB, Pal T, Berry MP, Buys SS, Dickson P, Domchek SM, et al. Genetic/familial high-risk assessment: breast, ovarian, and pancreatic, version 2.2021, NCCN Clinical Practice Guidelines in Oncology. *J Natl Compr Canc Netw.* 2021;19:77–102.
- Konstantinopoulos PA, Norquist B, Lacchetti C, Armstrong D, Grisham RN, Goodfellow PJ, et al. Germline and somatic tumor testing in epithelial ovarian cancer: ASCO guideline. *J Clin Oncol.* 2020;38:1222–45.
- Arts-de Jong M, de Bock GH, van Asperen CJ, Mourits MJ, de Hullu JA, Kets CM. Germline *BRCA1/2* mutation testing is indicated in every patient with epithelial ovarian cancer: a systematic review. *Eur J Cancer.* 2016;61:137–45.
- Marth C, Hubalek M, Petru E, Polterauer S, Reinthaller A, Schauer C, et al. AGO Austria recommendations for genetic testing of patients with ovarian cancer. *Wien Klin Wochenschr.* 2015;127:652–4.
- Panchal SM, Ennis M, Canon S, Bordeleau LJ. Selecting a *BRCA* risk assessment model for use in a familial cancer clinic. *BMC Med Genet.* 2008;9:116.
- Murray ML, Cerrato F, Bennett RL, Jarvik GP. Follow-up of carriers of *BRCA1* and *BRCA2* variants of unknown significance: variant reclassification and surgical decisions. *Genet Med.* 2011;13:998–1005.
- Vos J, Otten W, van Asperen C, Jansen A, Menko F, Tibben A. The counsellors' view of an unclassified variant in *BRCA1/2*: recall, interpretation, and impact on life. *Psychooncology.* 2008;17:822–30.
- Richter S, Haroun I, Graham TC, Eisen A, Kiss A, Warner E. Variants of unknown significance in *BRCA* testing: impact on risk perception, worry, prevention and counseling. *Ann Oncol.* 2013;24:viii69–viii74.
- Moghaddasi S, Eccles DM, Devilee P, Vreeswijk MP, van Asperen CJ. Classification and clinical management of variants of uncertain significance in high penetrance cancer predisposition genes. *Hum Mutat.* 2016;37:331–6.
- Eccles DM, Mitchell G, Monteiro AN, Schmutzler R, Couch FJ, Spurdle AB, et al. *BRCA1* and *BRCA2* genetic testing-pitfalls and recommendations for managing variants of uncertain clinical significance. *Ann Oncol.* 2015;26:2057–65.
- Richards S, Aziz N, Bale S, Bick D, Das S, Gastier-Foster J, et al. Standards and guidelines for the interpretation of sequence variants: a joint consensus recommendation of the American College of Medical Genetics and Genomics and the Association for Molecular Pathology. *Genet Med.* 2015;17:405–24.
- Richards CS, Bale S, Bellissimo DB, Das S, Grody WW, Hegde MR, et al. ACMG recommendations for standards for interpretation and reporting of sequence variations: revisions 2007. *Genet Med.* 2008;10:294–300.
- Tavtigian SV, Greenblatt MS, Harrison SM, Nussbaum RL, Prabhu SA, Boucher KM, et al. Modeling the ACMG/AMP variant classification guidelines as a Bayesian classification framework. *Genet Med.* 2018;20:1054–60.
- Goldgar DE, Easton DF, Deffenbaugh AM, Monteiro AN, Tavtigian SV, Couch FJ. Integrated evaluation of DNA sequence variants of unknown clinical significance: application to *BRCA1* and *BRCA2*. *Am J Hum Genet.* 2004;75:535–44.
- Spurdle AB, Healey S, Devoreau A, Hogervorst FB, Monteiro AN, Nathanson KL, et al. ENIGMA—evidence-based network for the interpretation of germline mutant alleles: an international initiative to evaluate risk and clinical significance associated with sequence variation in *BRCA1* and *BRCA2* genes. *Hum Mutat.* 2012;33:2–7.

35. Lindor NM, Guidugli L, Wang X, Vallée MP, Monteiro AN, Tavtigian S, et al. A review of a multifactorial probability-based model for classification of BRCA1 and BRCA2 variants of uncertain significance (VUS). *Hum Mutat.* 2012;33:8–21.
36. Lovelock PK, Spurdle AB, Mok MT, Farrugia DJ, Lakhani SR, Healey S, et al. Identification of BRCA1 missense substitutions that confer partial functional activity: potential moderate risk variants? *Breast Cancer Res.* 2007;9:R82.
37. Köbel M, Kalloger SE, Lee S, Duggan MA, Kelemen LE, Prentice L, et al. Biomarker-based ovarian carcinoma typing: a histologic investigation in the ovarian tumor tissue analysis consortium. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev.* 2013;22:1677–86.
38. Alsop K, Fereday S, Meldrum C, deFazio A, Emmanuel C, George J, et al. BRCA mutation frequency and patterns of treatment response in BRCA mutation-positive women with ovarian cancer: a report from the Australian Ovarian Cancer Study Group. *J Clin Oncol.* 2012;30:2654–63.
39. Berchuck A, Heron KA, Carney ME, Lancaster JM, Fraser EG, Vinson VL, et al. Frequency of germline and somatic BRCA1 mutations in ovarian cancer. *Clin Cancer Res.* 1998;4:2433–7.
40. Choi MC, Heo JH, Jang JH, Jung SG, Park H, Joo WD, et al. Germline mutations of BRCA1 and BRCA2 in Korean ovarian cancer patients: finding founder mutations. *Int J Gynecol Cancer.* 2015;25:1386–91.
41. Rust K, Spiliopoulou P, Tang CY, Bell C, Stirling D, Phang T, et al. Routine germline BRCA1 and BRCA2 testing in patients with ovarian carcinoma: analysis of the Scottish real-life experience. *BJOG.* 2018;125:1451–8.
42. Koopman PAR. Confidence intervals for the ratio of two binomial proportions. *Biometrics.* 1984;40:513–7.
43. Vang R, Shih IeM, Kurman RJ. Ovarian low-grade and high-grade serous carcinoma: pathogenesis, clinicopathologic and molecular biologic features, and diagnostic problems. *Adv Anat Pathol.* 2009;16:267–82.
44. Lim D, Murali R, Murray MP, Veras E, Park KJ, Soslow RA. Morphological and immunohistochemical reevaluation of tumors initially diagnosed as ovarian endometrioid carcinoma with emphasis on high-grade tumors. *Am J Surg Pathol.* 2016;40:302–12.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors gratefully thank all patients and their families for submitting their data and all the collaborators, researchers, clinicians, technicians and coordinating teams who have enabled this work to be carried out. We acknowledge the Cyprus Institute of Neurology and Genetics (CING), the CING institution and the Telethon organisation Cyprus for supporting this work. We acknowledge the contribution of the CIMBA (<https://cimba.ccg.med.schl.cam.ac.uk/>) and ENIGMA (<https://enigmaconsortium.org/>) consortium, members and collaborators. We also acknowledge the contributions of the OTTA consortium (<https://ottaconsortium.org/>) and the AOCs Group (<http://www.aocstudy.org>). AOCs gratefully acknowledges additional support from Ovarian Cancer Australia and the Peter MacCallum Cancer Foundation. CIMBA acknowledges: All the families and clinicians who contributed to the studies; Catherine M. Phelan for her contribution to CIMBA until she passed away on 22 September 2017; Sue Healey, in particular taking on the task of mutation classification with the late Olga Sinilnikova; clinicians, patients, researchers, technicians and nurses of A.C. Camargo Cancer Center for their contribution to this study; Oncogenetic Department, Clinical and Functional Genomics Group, Center of Genomic Diagnostics, Biobank and other International Research Center-CIPE facilities at AC. Camargo Cancer Center, especially Karina Miranda Santiago, Giovana Tardin Torrezan, José Claudio Casali, Nirvana Formiga and Fabiana Baroni Makdissi; Maggie Angelakos, Judi Maskiell, Gillian Dite, Helen Tsimiklis; members and participants in the New York site of the Breast Cancer Family Registry; members and participants in the Ontario Familial Breast Cancer Registry; Vilnius Rudaitis and Laimonas Griškevičius; Drs Janis Eglitis, Anna Krilova and Aivars Stengrevics; Yuan Chun Ding and Linda Steele for their work in participant enrollment and biospecimen and data management; Bent Ejlersen for the recruitment and genetic counselling of participants; Alicia Barroso, Rosario Alonso and Guillermo Pita; all the individuals and the researchers who took part in CONSENT TEAM (Consorzio Italiano Tumori Ereditari Alla Mammella), in particular: Dario Zimbalatti, Daniela Zaffaroni, Laura Ottini, Giuseppe Giannini, Laura Papi, Gabriele Lorenzo Capone, Maria Grazia Tibiletti, Daniela Furlan, Antonella Savarese, Aline Martayan, Stefania Tommasi, Brunella Pilato and the personnel of the Cogentech Cancer Genetic Test Laboratory, Milan, Italy. The FCCC cohort (Godwin) acknowledges Ms. JoEllen Weaver and Dr. Betsy Bove, and the KUMC cohort (Sharma and Godwin) acknowledge the support of Michele Park, Lauren DiMartino, Alex Webster and the current and past members of the Biospecimen Repository Core Facility (BRFC) at KUMC; all participants, clinicians, family doctors, researchers, and technicians for their contributions and commitment to the DKFZ study and the collaborating groups in Lahore, Pakistan (Muhammad U. Rashid, Noor Muhammad, Sidra Gull, Seerat Bajwa, Faiz Ali Khan, Humaira Naeemi, Saima Faisal, Asif Loya, Mohammed Asim Yusuf) and Bogota, Colombia (Diana Torres, Ignacio Briceño, Fabian Gil). FPGMX: members of the Cancer Genetics group (IDIS): Marta Santamariña, Miguel E. Aguado-Barrera, Olivia Fuentes Ríos and Ana Crujeiras-González; the GISO25 research nurses and staff for their contributions to this resource, and the many families who contribute to GISO25; IFE -

Leipzig Research Centre for Civilisation Diseases (Markus Loeffler, Joachim Thiery, Matthias Nüchter, Ronny Baber); Genetic Modifiers of Cancer Risk in BRCA1/2 Mutation Carriers (GEMO) study is a study from the National Cancer Genetics Network UNICANCER Genetic Group, France. We wish to pay tribute to Olga M. Sinilnikova, who with Dominique Stoppa-Lyonnet initiated and coordinated GEMO until she sadly passed away on the June 30, 2014. The team in Lyon (Olga Sinilnikova, Mélanie Lonné, Laure Barjhoux, Carole VERNY-Pierre, Sylvie Mazoyer, Francesca Damiola, Valérie Sornin) managed the GEMO samples until the biological resource centre was transferred to Paris in December 2015 (Noura Mebirouk, Fabienne Lesueur, Dominique Stoppa-Lyonnet). We want to thank all the GEMO collaborating groups for their contribution to this study: Coordinating Centre, Service de Génétique, Institut Curie, Paris, France: Muriel Belotti, Ophélie Bertrand, Anne-Marie Birot, Bruno Buecher, Sandrine Caputo, Chrystelle Colas, Emmanuelle Fourme, Marion Gauthier-Villars, Lisa Golmard, Marine Le Mentec, Virginie Moncoutier, Antoine de Pauw, Claire Saule, Dominique Stoppa-Lyonnet, and Inserm U900, Institut Curie, Paris, France: Fabienne Lesueur, Noura Mebirouk, Yue Jiao. Contributing Centres: Unité Mixte de Génétique Constitutionnelle des Cancers Fréquents, Hospices Civils de Lyon - Centre Léon Bérard, Lyon, France: Nadia Boutry-Kryza, Alain Calender, Sophie Giraud, Mélanie Léone. Institut Gustave Roussy, Villejuif, France: Brigitte Bressac-de-Paillerets, Odile Cabaret, Olivier Caron, Marine Guillaud-Bataille, Etienne Rouleau. Centre Jean Perrin, Clermont-Ferrand, France: Yves-Jean Bignon, Nancy Uhrhammer. Centre Léon Bérard, Lyon, France: Valérie Bonadona, Sophie Dussart, Christine Lasset, Pauline Rochefort. Centre François Baclesse, Caen, France: Pascaline Berthet, Laurent Castera, Dominique Vaur. Institut Paoli Calmettes, Marseille, France: Violaine Bourdon, Catherine Noguès, Tetsuro Noguchi, Cornel Popovici Audrey Remenieras, Hagay Sobol. CHU Arnaud-de-Villeneuve, Montpellier, France: Isabelle Coupier, Pascal Pujol. Centre Oscar Lambret, Lille, France: Claude Adenis, Aurélie Dumont, Françoise Révillion. Centre Paul Strauss, Strasbourg, France: Danièle Muller. Institut Bergonié, Bordeaux, France: Emmanuelle Barouk-Simonet, Françoise Bonnet, Virginie Bubiin, Anaïs Dupré, Anne Floquet, Michel Longy, Marie Louty, Cécile Maninna, Nicolas Sevenet, Institut Claudius Regaud, Toulouse, France: Laurence Gladieff, Rosine Guimbaud, Viviane Feillel, Christine Toulas. CHU Grenoble, France: Hélène Dreyfus, Dominique Leroux, Clémentine Legrand, Christine Rebischung. CHU Dijon, France: Amandine Baurand, Geoffrey Bertolone, Fanny Coron, Laurence Faivre, Caroline Jacquot, Sarab Lizard, Sophie Nambot. CHU St-Etienne, France: Caroline Kientz, Marine Lebrun, Fabienne Prieur. Hôtel Dieu Centre Hospitalier, Chambéry, France: Sandra Fert Ferrer. Centre Antoine Lacassagne, Nice, France: Véronique Mari. CHU Limoges, France: Laurence Vénat-Bouvet. CHU Nantes, France: Stéphane Bézieau, Capucine Delnatte. CHU Bretonneau, Tours and Centre Hospitalier de Bourges France: Isabelle Mortemousque. Groupe Hospitalier Pitié-Salpêtrière, Paris, France: Florence Coulet, Mathilde Warcoin. CHU Vandoeuvre-les-Nancy, France: Myriam Bronner, Johanna Sokolowska. CHU Besançon, France: Marie-Agnès Collonge-Rame. CHU Poitiers, Centre Hospitalier d'Angoulême and Centre Hospitalier de Niort, France: Stéphanie Chieze-Valero, Paul Gesta, Brigitte Gilbert-Dussardier. Centre Hospitalier de La Rochelle: Hakima Lallaoui. CHU Nîmes Carêmeau, France: Jean Chiesa. CHI Poissy, France: Denise Molina-Gomes. CHU Angers, France: Olivier Ingster; CHU de Martinique, France: Odile Bera; Mickaëlle Rose; Drs. Taru A. Muranen and Carl Blomqvist, RN Outi Malkavaara; The Hereditary Breast and Ovarian Cancer Research Group Netherlands (HEBON) consists of the following Collaborating Centres: Netherlands Cancer Institute (coordinating center), Amsterdam, NL: M.K. Schmidt, F.B.L. Hogervorst, F.E. van Leeuwen, M.A. Adank, D.J. Stommel-Jenner, R. de Groot; Erasmus Medical Center, Rotterdam, NL: J.M. Collée, M.J. Hoening, I.A. Boer; I.R. Geurts-Giele; Leiden University Medical Center, NL: C.J. van Asperen, P. Devilee, R.B. van der Luijt, T.C.T.E.F. van Cronenburg; Radboud University Nijmegen Medical Center, NL: M.R. Wevers, A.R. Mensenkamp; University Medical Center Utrecht, NL: M.G.E.M. Ausems, M.J. Koudijs; Amsterdam UMC, NL: K. van Engelen, J.J.P. Gilje; Maastricht University Medical Center, NL: E.B. Gómez García, M.J. Blok, M. de Boer; University Medical Center Groningen, University of Groningen, NL: L.P.V. Berger, A.H. van der Hout, M.J.E. Mourits, G.H. de Bock; The Netherlands Comprehensive Cancer Organisation (IKNL): S. Siesling, J. Verloop; The nationwide network and registry of histo- and cytopathology in The Netherlands (PALGA): Q.J.M. Voorham; the study participants and the registration teams of IKNL and PALGA for part of the HEBON data collection; Hong Kong Sanatorium and Hospital; the Hungarian Breast and Ovarian Cancer Study Group members (Attila Patócs, János Papp, Anikó Bózsik, Tímea Pócsa, Henriett Butz, Zoltán Mátrai, Lajos Géczy, National Institute of Oncology, Budapest, Hungary) and the clinicians and patients for their contributions to this study; Fatemeh Yadegari, Shiva Zarinfam and Rezvan Esmaeili for their role in participant enrollment and biospecimen and data management; the study participants and registration teams of the Hereditary Cancer Genetics Group of the Vall d'Hebron Institute of Oncology (VHIO) and the Clinical and Molecular Genetics Department of the University Hospital Vall d'Hebron (HVH), the Cellex Foundation for providing research facilities, and CERCA Programme/Generalitat de Catalunya for institutional support; members and participants of the Inherited Cancer Registry (ICARE); the ICO Hereditary Cancer Program team led by Dr. Gabriel Capella; the ICO Hereditary Cancer Program team led by Dr. Gabriel Capella; Dr Martine Dumont for sample management and skilful assistance; Catarina Santos and Pedro Pinto; members of the Center of Molecular Diagnosis, Oncogenetics Department and Molecular Oncology Research Center of

Barretos Cancer Hospital; Heather Thorne, Eveline Niedermayr, all the kConFab research nurses and staff, the heads and staff of the Family Cancer Clinics, and the Clinical Follow Up Study (which has received funding from the NHMRC, the National Breast Cancer Foundation, Cancer Australia, and the National Institute of Health (USA)) for their contributions to this resource, and the many families who contribute to kConFab; the KOBRA Study Group; all participants and the collaborators from RCGBE "Georgi D. Efremov", MASA (Ivana Maleva Kostovska, Simona Jakovcvska, Sanja Kiprijanovska), University Clinic of Radiotherapy and Oncology (Snezhana Smichkoska, Emilija Lazarova, Marina Iljovska), Adzibadem-Sistina Hospital (Katerina Kubelka-Sabit, Dzenis Jasar, Mitko Karadjozov), and Re-Medica Hospital (Andrej Arsovski and Liljana Stojanovska) for their contributions and commitment to the MACBRCA study; Csilla Szabo (National Human Genome Research Institute, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD, USA); Lenka Foretova and Eva Machackova (Department of Cancer Epidemiology and Genetics, Masaryk Memorial Cancer Institute and MF MU, Brno, Czech Republic); Petra Kleiblova, Marketa Janatova, Jana Soukupova (Institute of Medical Biochemistry and Laboratory Diagnostics, 1st Faculty of Medicine, Charles University and General University Hospital in Prague (VFN), Czechia), Petra Zemankova, Petr Nehasil (Institute of Pathological Physiology, 1st Faculty of Medicine, Charles University, Czechia), Michal Vocka (Department of Oncology, General University Hospital in Prague (VFN), Czechia), Anne Lincoln, Lauren Jacobs; the participants in Hereditary Breast/Ovarian Cancer Study and Breast Imaging Study for their selfless contributions to our research; the NICCC National Familial Cancer Consultation Service team led by Sara Dishon, the lab team led by Dr. Flavio Lejbkovicz, and the research field operations team led by Dr. Mila Pinchev; the staff of Genetic Health Service NZ and the families who have contributed; members and participants in the Ontario Cancer Genetics Network; Hayley Cassingham, Leigha Senter, Kevin Sweet, Julia Cooper, and Amber Aielts; research nurses and staff of Breast Unit, Pauls Stradins Clinical University Hospital, RSUIO and the many families who contribute to the CIMBA registry of RSUIO; Yip Cheng Har, Nur Aishah Mohd Taib, Phuah Sze Yee, Norhashimah Hassan and all the research nurses, research assistants and doctors involved in the MyBrCa Study for assistance in patient recruitment, data collection and sample preparation, Phillip lau, Sng Jen-Hwei and Sharifah Nor Akmal for contributing samples from the Singapore Breast Cancer Study and the HUKM-HKL Study respectively; the National Cancer Centre Singapore Cancer Genetics Service (NCCS) for patient recruitment; the Meirav Comprehensive breast cancer center team at the Sheba Medical Center; Christina Selkirk; Håkan Olsson, Helena Jernström, Karin Henriksson, Katja Harbst, Maria Soller, Ulf Kristoffersson; from Gothenburg Sahlgrenska University Hospital: Anna Öfverholm, Margareta Nordling, Per Karlsson, Zakaria Einbeigi; from Stockholm and Karolinska University Hospital: Anna von Wachenfeldt, Annelie Liljegren, Annika Lindblom, Brita Arver, Gisela Barbany Bustinza, Johanna Rantala; from Umeå University Hospital: Beatrice Melin, Christina Edwinsdotter Ardron, Monica Emanuelsson; from Uppsala University: Hans Ehrencrona, Maritta Hellström Pigg, Richard Rosenquist; from Linköping University Hospital: Marie Stenmark-Askmal, Sigrun Liedgren; Cecilia Zvocec, Qun Niu; Joyce Seldon and Loma Kwan; Dr. Robert Nussbaum, Beth Crawford, Kate Loranger, Julie Mak, Nicola Stewart, Robin Lee, Amie Blanco and Peggy Conrad and Salina Chan; Simon Gayther and Patricia Harrington; Geoffrey Lindeman, Marion Harris, Joanne McKinley, Simone McInerney, and Ella Thompson for performing all DNA amplification. HEBCS thanks Drs. Kristiina Aittomäki, Carl Blomqvist and Taru A. Muranen and research nurses Irja Erkkilä and Outi Malkavaara. HJO acknowledges the oncologists Tjong-Won Park-Simon and Peter Hillemanns at Hannover Medical School, Clemens Liebrich at the Gynecology Clinics Wolfsburg, Ingo Runnebaum at the Gynaecology Clinics at the University of Jena, and Peter Dall at the Gynecology Clinics at the University of Lüneburg for providing clinical data and medical records to this analysis, Peter Schürmann for technical assistance, and Dhanya Ramachandran for contributing to *BRCA1* and *BRCA2* VUS analyses. The contents of the published material are solely the responsibility of the authors and do not reflect the views of NHMRC.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

Conceptualisation: KM, ABS, DEG, SJR, MCS, ACA, JS, FF, ESdS, TD and YYT; supervision: KM; study analyses and data curation: DGO; writing original draft: DGO, KM and ABS; writing review and editing: all authors. Data contributors: MCS, EMJ, UH, ENI, ILA, PSh, MBD, CRH, JNW, AJak, AKG, AAR, ABan, JSi, Pso, MAC, PLM, KBMC, MRT, WKC, CLaz, PJH, AET, ISP, FBLH, MAR, PD, SLN, AVE, MDLH, HNe, MD, LV, PAJ, RJ, LN-Z, FCN, TVOH, ABo, JRan, KOF, MMon, GC-T, KLN, SMD, AOs, MJG, BYK, FLes, AOCs, LMC, Gle, MTP, TD, PRa, LPap, CEh, EHah, RKS, BWa, DFE, MTi, CFS, YYT, AISWhit, WSi, JDB, DY, FF, IKon, JS, PDPP, ACA, DEG and LS.

FUNDING

Denise G O'Mahony is supported by Telethon Cyprus (Telethon Cyprus: 33173233) through the Cyprus Institute of Neurology and Genetics. CIMBA: The CIMBA data management and data analysis were supported by Cancer Research—UK grants PRRPGM-Nov20\100002 and C12292/A20861 and the Gray Foundation. ABS and SJR

are supported by the NHMRC Investigator Fellowships (APP177524 and APP2009840). iCOGS: the European Community's Seventh Framework Programme under grant agreement no. 223175 (HEALTH-F2-2009-223175) (COGS), Cancer Research UK (C1287/A10118, C1287/A 10710, C12292/A11174, C1281/A12014, C5047/A8384, C5047/A15007, C5047/A10692, C8197/A16565), the National Institutes of Health (CA128978) and Post-Cancer GWAS initiative (1U19 CA148537, 1U19 CA148065 and 1U19 CA148112—the GAME-ON initiative), the Department of Defence (W81XWH-10-1-0341), the Canadian Institutes of Health Research (CIHR) for the CIHR Team in Familial Risks of Breast Cancer (CRN-87521), and the Ministry of Economic Development, Innovation and Export Trade (PSR-SIIRI-701), Komen Foundation for the Cure, the Breast Cancer Research Foundation, and the Ovarian Cancer Research Fund. OncoArray: the PERSPECTIVE and PERSPECTIVE I&I projects funded by the Government of Canada through Genome Canada and the Canadian Institutes of Health Research, the 'Ministère de l'Économie, de la Science et de l'Innovation du Québec' through Genome Québec, and the Quebec Breast Cancer Foundation; the NCI Genetic Associations and Mechanisms in Oncology (GAME-ON) initiative and Discovery, Biology and Risk of Inherited Variants in Breast Cancer (DRIVE) project (NIH Grants U19 CA148065 and X01HG007492); and Cancer Research UK (C1287/A10118 and C1287/A16563). AOCs was supported by the U.S. Army Medical Research and Materiel Command (DAMD17-01-1-0729), The Cancer Council Victoria, Queensland Cancer Fund, The Cancer Council New South Wales, The Cancer Council South Australia, The Cancer Council Tasmania, The Cancer Foundation of Western Australia, and the National Health and Medical Research Council of Australia (NHMRC; ID199600, ID400413, ID400281). For the BCFR-NY, BCFR-PA this work was supported by grant UM1 CA164920 from the National Cancer Institute. The content of this manuscript does not necessarily reflect the views or policies of the National Cancer Institute or any of the collaborating centres in the Breast Cancer Family Registry (BCFR), nor does mention of trade names, commercial products, or organisations imply endorsement by the US Government or the BCFR. BFBOCC: Lithuania (BFBOCC-LT): Research Council of Lithuania grant P-MIP-22-187. BRICOH: SLN was partially supported by the Morris and Horowitz Families Endowed Professorship. CNIO: CNIO study is partially funded by Instituto de Salud Carlos III, project reference PI19/00640, cofunded by the European Regional Development Fund (ERDF), "A way to make Europe" and the Spanish Network on Rare Diseases (CIBERER). CCGCRN: Research reported in this publication was supported by the Breast Cancer Research Foundation (project 20-172), National Cancer Institute of the National Institutes of Health under grant number R25CA112486, and RC4CA153828 (PI: J. Weitzel) from the National Cancer Institute and the Office of the Director, National Institutes of Health. The content is solely the responsibility of the authors and does not necessarily represent the official views of the National Institutes of Health. CONSIT TEAM: Associazione Italiana Ricerca sul Cancro (AIRC; IG2015 no.16732) to P. Peterlongo. CZEACANCA: projects of the Ministry of Health of the Czech Republic (NU20-03-00016; RVO-VFN64165), Ministry of Education, Youth, and Sports of the Czech Republic (LX22NPOS102) and Charles University project COOPERATIO. DEMOKRITOS: European Union (European Social Fund—ESF) and Greek national funds through the Operational Program "Education and Lifelong Learning" of the National Strategic Reference Framework (NSRF) - Research Funding Program of the General Secretariat for Research & Technology: SYN11_10_19 NBCA. Investing in knowledge society through the European Social Fund. DFKZ: German Cancer Research Center. EMBRACE: Cancer Research UK Grants PRCPJT-Nov21\100004, C1287/A23382 and C1287/A26886. D Gareth Evans and Fiona Lalloo are supported by an NIHR grant to the Biomedical Research Centre, Manchester. The Investigators at The Institute of Cancer Research and The Royal Marsden NHS Foundation Trust are supported by an NIHR grant to the Biomedical Research Centre at The Institute of Cancer Research and The Royal Marsden NHS Foundation Trust. Ros Eeles and Elizabeth Bancroft are supported by Cancer Research UK Grant C5047/A8385. Ros Eeles is also supported by NIHR support to the Biomedical Research Centre at The Institute of Cancer Research and The Royal Marsden NHS Foundation Trust. The FCCC and KUMC cohorts: The University of Kansas Cancer Center (P30 CA168524), the Kansas Institute for Precision Medicine (P20GM130423) and the Kansas Bioscience Authority Eminent Scholar Program. AKG was funded by R01CA140323, R01CA214545, R01CA260132, 5U10CA180888, and by the Chancellors Distinguished Chair in Biomedical Sciences Professorship. FPGMX: A.Vega is supported by the Spanish Health Research Foundation, Instituto de Salud Carlos III (ISCIII), partially supported by FEDER funds through Research Activity Intensification Program (contract grant numbers: INT15/00070, INT16/00154, INT17/00133, INT20/00071), and through Centro de Investigación Biomédica en Red de Enfermedades Raras CIBERER (ACCI 2016: ER17P1AC7112/2018); Autonomous Government of Galicia (Consolidation and structuring program: IN607B), and by the Fundación Mutua Madrileña and Asociación Española Contra el Cáncer (AECC). GC-HBOC: German Cancer Aid (grant no 110837 and 113049, Rita K. Schmutzler), Federal Ministry of Education and Research, Germany (grant no 01GY1901), and the European Regional Development Fund and Free State of Saxony, Germany (LIFE - Leipzig Research Centre for Civilisation Diseases, project numbers 713-241202, 14505/2470, 14575/2470). GEMO, a study from the National Cancer Genetics Network UNICANCER Genetic Group, France.: Ligue

Nationale Centre for Cancer; the Association "Le cancer du sein, parlons-en!" Award, the Canadian Institutes of Health Research for the "CIHR Team in Familial Risks of Breast Cancer" program, the Fondation ARC pour la recherche sur le cancer (grant PJA 20151203365) and the French National Institute of Cancer (INCa grants AOR 01 082, 2001-2003, 2013-1-BCB-01-ICH-1 and SHS-E-SP 18-015). HCSC: Spanish Ministry of Science and Innovation, ISCIII (Hayley) cofunded by FEDER Regional Development European Funds (EU). HEBCS: Helsinki University Hospital Research Fund, the Finnish Cancer Society and the Sigrid Juselius Foundation. The Hereditary Breast and Ovarian Cancer Research Group Netherlands (HEBON) consists of the following Collaborating Centers: Netherlands Cancer Institute; Erasmus Medical Center, Rotterdam; Leiden University Medical Center; Radboud University Nijmegen Medical Center; University Medical Center Utrecht; Amsterdam UMC, Univ of Amsterdam; Amsterdam UMC, Vrije Universiteit Amsterdam; Maastricht University Medical Center; University of Groningen. The HEBON study is supported by the Dutch Cancer Society grants NK1998-1854, NK12004-3088, NK12007-3756, the Netherlands Organization of Scientific Research grant NWO 91109024, the Pink Ribbon grants 110005 and 2014-187.WO76, the BBMRI grant NWO 184.021.007/CP46 and the Transcan grant JTC 2012 Cancer 12-054. HJO: Ovarian cancer sequencing studies at Hannover Medical School were supported by the German Research Foundation (Do761/15-1). ICO: The authors would like to particularly acknowledge the support of the Asociación Española Contra el Cáncer (AECC), the Instituto de Salud Carlos III (organismo adscrito al Ministerio de Economía y Competitividad) and "Fondo Europeo de Desarrollo Regional (FEDER), una manera de hacer Europa" (PI10/01422, PI13/00285, PI15/00022, PI15/00854, PI16/00563 and CIBERONC) and the Institut Català de la Salut and Autonomous Government of Catalonia (2009SGR290, 2014SGR338 and PERIS Project MedPerCan). IHCC: PBZ_KBN_122/P05/2004 and the program of the Minister of Science and Higher Education under the name "Regional Initiative of Excellence" in 2019–2022 project number 002/RID /2018/19 amount of financing 12 000 000 PLN. INHERIT: Canadian Institutes of Health Research for the "CIHR Team in Familial Risks of Breast Cancer" program – grant # CRN-87521 and the Ministry of Economic Development, Innovation and Export Trade – grant # PSR-SIIRI-701. IOVHBOCS: Ministero della Salute and "5x1000" Istituto Oncologico Veneto grant. IPOBCS: Liga Portuguesa Contra o Cancro. kConFab: The National Breast Cancer Foundation, and previously by the National Health and Medical Research Council (NHMRC), the Queensland Cancer Fund, the Cancer Councils of New South Wales, Victoria, Tasmania and South Australia, and the Cancer Foundation of Western Australia. MSKCC: the Breast Cancer Research Foundation, the Robert and Kate Niehaus Clinical Cancer Genetics Initiative, the Andrew Sabin Research Fund and a Cancer Center Support Grant/Core Grant (P30 CA008748). NNPIO: Russian Science Foundation (grant 21-75-30015). NRG Oncology: U10 CA180868, NRG SDMC grant U10 CA180822, NRG Administrative Office and the NRG Tissue Bank (CA 27469), the NRG Statistical and Data Center (CA 37517) and the Intramural Research Program, NCI. OSUCCG: Ohio State University Comprehensive Cancer Center. SWE-BCRA: the Swedish Cancer Society. UCSF: UCSF Cancer Risk Program and Helen Diller Family Comprehensive Cancer Center. UKFOCR: Cancer Research UK. UPENN: Breast Cancer Research Foundation; Susan G. Komen Foundation for the cure, Basser Research Center for BRCA, NCI P30 CA016520. UPITT/MWH: Hackers for Hope Pittsburgh. VFCTG: Victorian Cancer Agency, Cancer Australia, National Breast Cancer Foundation. WCP: Dr Karlan is funded by the American Cancer Society Early Detection Professorship (SIOP-06-258-01-COUN) and the National Center for Advancing Translational Sciences (NCATS), Grant UL1TR000124. T.D. was funded by the German Research Foundation (Do761/

15-1). MT (Marc Tischkowitz) was supported by the NIHR Cambridge Biomedical Research Centre (BRC-1215-20014).

COMPETING INTERESTS

The authors declare no competing interests.

ETHICS APPROVAL AND CONSENT TO PARTICIPATE

This research was approved by the Cyprus National Bioethics Committee (EEBK EP 2020.01.224). All clinically- and research-collected data were based on samples recruited by the host institutions under protocols approved by local ethics review boards at each participating institution and study group, consent form was obtained for each study participant. The study was performed in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki.

CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION

Not applicable.

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

Supplementary information The online version contains supplementary material available at <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41416-023-02263-5>.

Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to Kyriaki Michailidou.

Reprints and permission information is available at <http://www.nature.com/reprints>

Publisher's note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons license and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this license, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>.

© The Author(s) 2023

¹Biostatistics Unit, The Cyprus Institute of Neurology and Genetics, Nicosia 2371, Cyprus. ²Department of Cancer Genetics, Therapeutics and Ultrastructural Pathology, The Cyprus Institute of Neurology and Genetics, Nicosia 2371, Cyprus. ³School of Clinical Medicine, University of New South Wales Medicine and Health, University of New South Wales Sydney, Sydney, NSW 2052, Australia. ⁴Adult Cancer Program, Lowy Cancer Research Centre, University of New South Wales Sydney, Sydney, NSW 2052, Australia. ⁵Precision Medicine, School of Clinical Sciences at Monash Health, Monash University, Clayton, VIC 3168, Australia. ⁶Department of Clinical Pathology, The University of Melbourne, Melbourne, VIC 3010, Australia. ⁷Cancer Epidemiology Division, Cancer Council Victoria, Melbourne, VIC 3004, Australia. ⁸The Daffodil Centre, The University of Sydney, a joint venture with Cancer Council NSW, Sydney, NSW, Australia. ⁹Department of Epidemiology and Population Health, Stanford University School of Medicine, Stanford, CA 94305, USA. ¹⁰Department of Medicine, Division of Oncology, Stanford Cancer Institute, Stanford University School of Medicine, Stanford, CA 94304, USA. ¹¹Molecular Genetics of Breast Cancer, German Cancer Research Center (DKFZ), Heidelberg 69120, Germany. ¹²N.N. Petrov Institute of Oncology, St. Petersburg 197758, Russia. ¹³Fred A. Litwin Center for Cancer Genetics, Lunenfeld-Tanenbaum Research Institute of Mount Sinai Hospital, Toronto, ON M5G 1X5, Canada. ¹⁴Department of Molecular Genetics, University of Toronto, Toronto, ON M5S 1A8, Canada. ¹⁵Department of Internal Medicine, Division of Medical Oncology, University of Kansas Medical Center, Westwood, KS 66205, USA. ¹⁶Department of Clinical Genetics, Fox Chase Cancer Center, Philadelphia, PA 19111, USA. ¹⁷Waukesha Memorial Hospital-Pro Health Care, Waukesha, WI 53188, USA. ¹⁸The University of Kansas Cancer Center, Kansas City, MO 66160, USA. ¹⁹Department of Genetics and Pathology, International Hereditary Cancer Center, Pomeranian Medical University, Szczecin 171-252, Poland. ²⁰Independent Laboratory of Molecular Biology and Genetic Diagnostics, Pomeranian Medical University, Szczecin 171-252, Poland. ²¹Department of Pathology and Laboratory Medicine, The University of Kansas Medical Center, Kansas City, KS 66160, USA. ²²Department of Pathology, Landspítali University Hospital, Reykjavík, 101, Iceland. ²³BMC (Biomedical Centre), Faculty of Medicine, University of Iceland, Reykjavík 101, Iceland. ²⁴Department of Pathology & Molecular Medicine, Juravinski Hospital and Cancer Centre, McMaster University, Hamilton, ON L8V 1C3, Canada. ²⁵Genomics Center, Centre Hospitalier Universitaire de Québec – Université Laval Research Center, Québec City, QC G1V 4G2, Canada. ²⁶SOD Genetica Molecolare, University Hospital, Pisa 56126, Italy. ²⁷Magee-Womens Hospital, University of Pittsburgh School of Medicine, Pittsburgh, PA 15213, USA. ²⁸Centre for Medical Genetics, Ghent University, Ghent 9000, Belgium. ²⁹Department of Laboratory Genetics, Portuguese Oncology Institute of Porto (IPO Porto)/Comprehensive Cancer Center, Porto 4200-072, Portugal. ³⁰School of Medicine and Biomedical Sciences Institute (ICBAS), University of Porto, Porto 4050-013, Portugal. ³¹Departments of Pediatrics and Medicine, Columbia University, New York, NY 10032, USA. ³²Hereditary Cancer Program, ONCOBELL-IDIBELL-IGTP, Catalan Institute of Oncology, CIBERONC, Barcelona 08908, Spain. ³³Center for Medical Genetics,

NorthShore University HealthSystem, Evanston, IL 60201, USA. ³⁴The University of Chicago Pritzker School of Medicine, Chicago, IL 60637, USA. ³⁵Department of Cancer Biology and Genetics, The Ohio State University, Columbus, OH 43210, USA. ³⁶Molecular Diagnostics, Aalborg University Hospital, Aalborg 9000, Denmark. ³⁷Clinical Cancer Research Center, Aalborg University Hospital, Aalborg 9000, Denmark. ³⁸Department of Clinical Medicine, Aalborg University, Aalborg 9000, Denmark. ³⁹Department of Population Sciences, Beckman Research Institute of City of Hope, Duarte, CA 91010, USA. ⁴⁰Centro de Investigación en Red de Enfermedades Raras (CIBERER), Madrid 28029, Spain. ⁴¹Fundación Pública Galega de Medicina Xenómica, Santiago de Compostela 15706, Spain. ⁴²Instituto de Investigación Sanitaria de Santiago de Compostela (IDIS), Complejo Hospitalario Universitario de Santiago, SERGAS, Santiago de Compostela 15706, Spain. ⁴³Molecular Oncology Laboratory, CIBERONC, Hospital Clinico San Carlos, IdISSC (Instituto de Investigación Sanitaria del Hospital Clínico San Carlos), Madrid 28040, Spain. ⁴⁴Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Helsinki University Hospital, University of Helsinki, Helsinki 00290, Finland. ⁴⁵Cancer Genetics and Prevention Program, University of California San Francisco, San Francisco, CA 94143-1714, USA. ⁴⁶Biosciences Laboratory, IRCCS Istituto Romagnolo per lo Studio dei Tumori (IRST) "Dino Amadori", Meldola, Italy. ⁴⁷Romagna Cancer Registry, IRCCS Istituto Romagnolo per lo Studio dei Tumori (IRST) "Dino Amadori", Meldola 47014, Italy. ⁴⁸Unit of Hereditary Cancer, IRCCS Ospedale Policlinico San Martino, Genoa 16132, Italy. ⁴⁹University of Pathology, IRCCS Ospedale Policlinico San Martino, Genoa 16132, Italy. ⁵⁰Department of Gynecology and Obstetrics, Ribeirão Preto Medical School, University of São Paulo, Ribeirão Preto 14049-900, Brazil. ⁵¹Faculty of Medicine, Institute of Biomedical Sciences, Department of Human and Medical Genetics, Vilnius University, Vilnius LT-03101, Lithuania. ⁵²State Research Institute Centre for Innovative Medicine, Vilnius 8410, Lithuania. ⁵³Latvian Biomedical Research and Study Centre, Riga LV-1067, Latvia. ⁵⁴Center for Genomic Medicine, Rigshospitalet, Copenhagen University Hospital, Copenhagen DK-2100, Denmark. ⁵⁵Department of Clinical Genetics, Rigshospitalet, Copenhagen University Hospital, Copenhagen DK-2100, Denmark. ⁵⁶Department of Clinical Medicine, Faculty of Health and Medical Sciences, University of Copenhagen, Copenhagen 2200, Denmark. ⁵⁷Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Oregon Health & Science University, Portland, OR 97239, USA. ⁵⁸Knight Cancer Institute, Oregon Health & Science University, Portland, OR 97239, USA. ⁵⁹Department of Oncology, Lund University and Skåne University Hospital, Lund 222 41, Sweden. ⁶⁰Clinical Genetics, Karolinska Institutet, Stockholm 171 76, Sweden. ⁶¹Clinical Genetics Research Lab, Department of Cancer Biology and Genetics, Memorial Sloan Kettering Cancer Center, New York, NY 10065, USA. ⁶²Clinical Genetics Service, Department of Medicine, Memorial Sloan Kettering Cancer Center, New York, NY 10065, USA. ⁶³Immunology and Molecular Oncology Unit, Veneto Institute of Oncology IOV - IRCCS, Padua 35128, Italy. ⁶⁴Basser Center for BRCA, Abramson Cancer Center, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, PA 19066, USA. ⁶⁵Human Genetics Group, Spanish National Cancer Research Centre (CNIO), Madrid 28029, Spain. ⁶⁶Centre for Biomedical Network Research on Rare Diseases (CIBERER), Instituto de Salud Carlos III, Madrid 28029, Spain. ⁶⁷Genetics Service, Fundación Jiménez Díaz, Madrid 28040, Spain. ⁶⁸Computational Oncology Group, Structural Biology Programme, Spanish National Cancer Research Centre (CNIO), Madrid 28029, Spain. ⁶⁹David Geffen School of Medicine, Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, University of California at Los Angeles, Los Angeles, CA 90095, USA. ⁷⁰Centre for Cancer Research, The Westmead Institute for Medical Research, Sydney, Australia. ⁷¹Department of Gynaecological Oncology, Westmead Hospital, Sydney, NSW 2145, Australia. ⁷²The University of Sydney, Sydney, NSW 2145, Australia. ⁷³Peter MacCallum Cancer Centre, Melbourne, VIC 3000, Australia. ⁷⁴Sir Peter MacCallum Department of Oncology, The University of Melbourne, Melbourne, VIC 3000, Australia. ⁷⁵Centre for Cancer Genetic Epidemiology, Department of Public Health and Primary Care, University of Cambridge, Cambridge CB1 8RN, UK. ⁷⁶Population Health Program, QIMR Berghofer Medical Research Institute, Brisbane, QLD 4006, Australia. ⁷⁷Gynaecology Research Unit, Hannover Medical School, Hannover 30625, Germany. ⁷⁸Service de Génétique, Institut Curie, Paris 75005, France. ⁷⁹Oncology Center, Hospital Sirio-Libanês, São Paulo 01308-050, Brazil. ⁸⁰Department of Clinical Oncology, A.C. Camargo Cancer Center, São Paulo 1509900, Brazil. ⁸¹Department of Radiation Oncology, Dana-Farber Cancer Institute, Boston, MA 2215, USA. ⁸²Unit of Preventive Medicine: Molecular Bases of Genetic Risk, Department of Experimental Oncology, Fondazione IRCCS Istituto Nazionale dei Tumori (INT), Milan 20133, Italy. ⁸³Genome Diagnostics Program, IFOM ETS - the AIRC Institute of Molecular Oncology, Milan 20139, Italy. ⁸⁴Department of Experimental and Clinical Biomedical Sciences 'Mario Serio', Medical Genetics Unit, University of Florence, Florence 27571, Italy. ⁸⁵Institute for Medical Informatics, Statistics and Epidemiology, University of Leipzig, Leipzig 04107, Germany. ⁸⁶LIFE - Leipzig Research Centre for Civilization Diseases, University of Leipzig, Leipzig 04103, Germany. ⁸⁷Center for Familial Breast and Ovarian Cancer, Faculty of Medicine and University Hospital Cologne, University of Cologne, Cologne 50937, Germany. ⁸⁸Center for Integrated Oncology (CIO), Faculty of Medicine and University Hospital Cologne, University of Cologne, Cologne 50937, Germany. ⁸⁹Center for Molecular Medicine Cologne (CMMC), Faculty of Medicine and University Hospital Cologne, University of Cologne, Cologne 50931, Germany. ⁹⁰Centre for Cancer Genetic Epidemiology, Department of Oncology, University of Cambridge, Cambridge CB1 8RN, UK. ⁹¹Program in Cancer Genetics, Departments of Human Genetics and Oncology, McGill University, Montréal, QC H4A 3J1, Canada. ⁹²Department of Medical Genetics, National Institute for Health Research Cambridge Biomedical Research Centre, University of Cambridge, Cambridge CB2 0QQ, UK. ⁹³Department of OB/GYN and Comprehensive Cancer Center, Medical University of Vienna, Vienna 1090, Austria. ⁹⁴Department of Biomedical Data Science, Stanford University School of Medicine, Stanford, CA 94305, USA. ⁹⁵Department of Population Health Science and Policy, Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai, New York, NY 10029, USA. ⁹⁶Department of Genetics and Genomic Sciences, Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai, New York, NY 10029, USA. ⁹⁷Cancer Research UK Cambridge Institute, University of Cambridge, Cambridge CB2 0RE, UK. ⁹⁸Molecular Diagnostics Laboratory, INRASTES, National Centre for Scientific Research 'Demokritos', Athens 15310, Greece. ⁹⁹Institute of Medical Biochemistry and Laboratory Diagnostics, First Faculty of Medicine, Charles University and General University Hospital in Prague, Prague 12000, Czech Republic. ¹⁰⁰Department of Oncology, First Faculty of Medicine, Charles University and General University Hospital in Prague, Prague 12000, Czech Republic. ¹⁰¹Department of Genetics and Computational Biology, QIMR Berghofer Medical Research Institute, Brisbane, QLD 4006, Australia. ¹⁰²Department of Dermatology, Huntsman Cancer Institute, University of Utah School of Medicine, Salt Lake City, UT 84112, USA. *Lists of authors and their affiliations appear at the end of the paper. ¹⁰³email: kyriakimi@cing.ac.cy

HEBON INVESTIGATORS

Marian J. E. Mourits^{103,104}

¹⁰³Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, University Medical Center Groningen, University Groningen, Groningen 9713 GZ, the Netherlands. ¹⁰⁴The Hereditary Breast and Ovarian Cancer Research Group Netherlands (HEBON), Coordinating Center: The Netherlands Cancer Institute, Amsterdam 1066 CX, the Netherlands

GEMO STUDY COLLABORATORS



Fabienne Lesueur^{105,106,107}

¹⁰⁵Genetic Epidemiology of Cancer team, Inserm U900, Paris 75005, France. ¹⁰⁶Institut Curie, Paris 75005, France. ¹⁰⁷Mines ParisTech, Fontainebleau 77305, France






AOCS GROUP


Anna De Fazio^{8,70,71,72} and David Bowtell^{73,74}

CZECANCA CONSORTIUM















Jana Soukupova ⁹⁹ and Michal Vocka ¹⁰⁰

THE CONSORTIUM OF INVESTIGATORS OF MODIFIERS OF BRCA1/2

Susan J. Ramus ^{3,4}, Melissa C. Southey^{5,6,7}, Esther M. John^{9,10}, Ute Hamann¹¹, Evgeny N. Imyanitov¹², Irene L. Andrulis ^{13,14}, Priyanka Sharma¹⁵, Mary B. Daly¹⁶, Christopher R. Hake¹⁷, Jeffrey N. Weitzel ¹⁸, Anna Jakubowska ^{19,20}, Andrew K. Godwin ²¹,

Adalgeir Arason ^{22,23}, Anita Bane²⁴, Jacques Simard ²⁵, Penny Soucy²⁵, Maria A. Caligo²⁶, Phuong L. Mai²⁷, Kathleen B. M. Claes²⁸, Manuel R. Teixeira ^{29,30}, Wendy K. Chung ³¹, Conxi Lazaro³², Peter J. Hulick ^{33,34}, Amanda E. Toland ³⁵, Inge Sokilde Pedersen ^{36,37,38}, Susan L. Neuhausen ³⁹, Ana Vega ^{40,41,42}, Miguel de la Hoya⁴³, Heli Nevanlinna ⁴⁴, Mallika Dhawan⁴⁵, Liliana Varesco⁴⁸, Paul A. James⁵⁰, Ramunas Janavicius^{51,52}, Liene Nikitina-Zake⁵³, Finn Cilius Nielsen⁵⁴, Thomas van Overeem Hansen^{55,56}, Ake Borg⁵⁹, Johanna Rantala⁶⁰, Kenneth Offit^{61,62}, Marco Montagna⁶³, Katherine L. Nathanson ⁶⁴, Susan M. Domchek⁶⁴, Ana Osorio ^{65,66,67}, María J. García ⁶⁸, Beth Y. Karlan ⁶⁹, Lesley McGuffog⁷⁵, Goska Leslie ⁷⁵, Michael T. Parsons⁷⁶ and Elizabeth Santana dos Santos^{78,79,80}, Paolo Radice⁸², Paolo Peterlongo ⁸³, Laura Papi⁸⁴, Christoph Engel^{85,86}, Eric Hahnen^{87,88}, Rita K. Schmutzler^{87,88,89}, Barbara Wappenschmidt^{87,88}, Douglas F. Easton ^{75,90}, Marc Tischkowitz^{91,92}, Christian F. Singer ⁹³, Yen Yen Tan⁹³, Alice S. Whittemore^{9,94}, Drakoulis Yannoukakos⁹⁸, Florentia Fostira⁹⁸, Irene Konstantopoulou ⁹⁸, Jana Soukupova ⁹⁹, Georgia Chenevix-Trench¹⁰¹, Paul D. P. Pharoah ^{75,90}, Antonis C. Antoniou⁷⁵, David E. Goldgar¹⁰² and Amanda B. Spurdle ⁷⁶

EVIDENCE-BASED NETWORK FOR THE INTERPRETATION OF GERMLINE MUTANT ALLELES CONSORTIUM

Denise G. O'Mahony ^{1,2}, Melissa C. Southey^{5,6,7}, Andreas Hadjisavvas², Jeffrey N. Weitzel ¹⁸, Penny Soucy²⁵, Maria A. Caligo²⁶, Kathleen B. M. Claes²⁸, Manuel R. Teixeira ^{29,30}, Conxi Lazaro³², Amanda E. Toland ³⁵, Inge Sokilde Pedersen ^{36,37,38}, Ana Vega ^{40,41,42}, Miguel de la Hoya⁴³, Heli Nevanlinna ⁴⁴, Valentina Zampiga⁴⁶, Liliana Varesco⁴⁸, Viviana Gismondi⁴⁸, Paul A. James⁵⁰, Finn Cilius Nielsen⁵⁴, Thomas van Overeem Hansen^{55,56}, Ake Borg⁵⁹, Marco Montagna⁶³, Susan M. Domchek⁶⁴, Michael T. Parsons⁷⁶, Thilo Dörk ⁷⁷, Elizabeth Santana dos Santos^{78,79,80}, Paolo Radice⁸², Paolo Peterlongo ⁸³, Laura Papi⁸⁴, Eric Hahnen^{87,88}, Barbara Wappenschmidt^{87,88}, Marc Tischkowitz^{91,92}, Christian F. Singer ⁹³, Yen Yen Tan⁹³, Drakoulis Yannoukakos⁹⁸, Florentia Fostira⁹⁸, Irene Konstantopoulou ⁹⁸, Jana Soukupova ⁹⁹, Antonis C. Antoniou⁷⁵, David E. Goldgar¹⁰², Amanda B. Spurdle ⁷⁶ and Kyriaki Michailidou ^{1,75} 