

Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory

Recent Work

Title

SIMILARITY OF EPR SIGNAL IIF RISE AND P680+ DECAY KINETICS IN TRIS-WASHED CHLOROPLAST PHOTOSYSTEM II PREPARATIONS AS A FUNCTION OF pH

Permalink

<https://escholarship.org/uc/item/417762wb>

Author

Boska, M.

Publication Date

1982-08-01



Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

RECEIVED
LAWRENCE
BERKELEY LABORATORY

CHEMICAL BIODYNAMICS DIVISION

1982

LIBRARY AND
DOCUMENTS SECTION

Submitted to Biochimica Biophysica Acta


SIMILARITY OF EPR SIGNAL II_F RISE AND $P680^+$ DECAY
KINETICS IN TRIS-WASHED CHLOROPLAST PHOTOSYSTEM II
PREPARATIONS AS A FUNCTION OF pH

Michael Boska, Kenneth Sauer, William Buttner,
and Gerald T. Babcock

August 1982

TWO-WEEK LOAN COPY

*This is a Library Circulating Copy
which may be borrowed for two weeks.
For a personal retention copy, call
Tech. Info. Division, Ext. 6782.*



LBL-14908
c. 2

DISCLAIMER

This document was prepared as an account of work sponsored by the United States Government. While this document is believed to contain correct information, neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor the Regents of the University of California, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by its trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof, or the Regents of the University of California. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof or the Regents of the University of California.

SIMILARITY OF EPR SIGNAL II_F RISE AND $P680^+$ DECAY KINETICS IN TRIS-WASHED
CHLOROPLAST PHOTOSYSTEM II PREPARATIONS AS A FUNCTION OF pH

Michael Boska^a, Kenneth Sauer^a, William Buttner^b, and Gerald T. Babcock^b

^aDepartment of Chemistry and Chemical Biodynamics Laboratory,
Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory, University of California, Berkeley,
Berkeley, CA 94720

^bChemistry Department
Michigan State University, East Lansing, MI 48823

Key words: Electron transfer; Photosystem II; EPR Signal II_F ; $P680^+$
reduction; (Chloroplast)

Abbreviations: tris, tris(hydroxymethyl)aminomethane;
MES, 2[N-morpholino]ethanesulfonic acid;
HEPES, N-2-hydroxyethylpiperazine-N'-2-ethanesulfonic acid;
CIDEP, chemically induced dynamic electron polarization.

This research was supported by the Director, Office of Energy Research,
Office of Basic Energy Science, Division of Biological Energy Conversion
and Conservation of the U.S. Department of Energy under Contract Number
DE-AC03-76SF00098, by the National Science Foundation Grant PCM 79-11251,
and by the Science and Education Administration of the U.S. Department
of Agriculture under Grant #59-2261-1-1-631-0.

SUMMARY

The risetime of Signal II_f (SII_f) and the decay time of P680⁺ have been measured kinetically as a function of pH by using EPR. The Photosystem II-enriched preparations which were used as samples were derived from spinach chloroplasts and they evolved oxygen before tris washing. The onset kinetics of SII_f are in agreement, within experimental error, with the fast component of the decay of an EPR signal attributable to P680⁺. The SII_f rise kinetics also show good agreement with published values of the pH dependence of the decay of P680⁺ measured optically [Conjeaud, H. and Mathis, P. (1980) *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* 590, 353-359]. These results are consistent with a model where the species Z (or D₁) responsible for Signal II_f is the immediate electron donor to P680⁺ in tris-washed Photosystem II fragments.

INTRODUCTION

An intermediate electron carrier on the oxidizing side of Photosystem II, most likely a plastoquinone species [1] is usually designated as Z [2,3]. In its oxidized form this species gives rise to EPR Signal II_f in chloroplasts inhibited at the O_2 evolving complex and to Signal II_{vf} in chloroplasts competent in water splitting [2,4,5]. The difference in nomenclature for the two signals arises because the mode of reduction and the decay kinetics of Z^+ differ in these two types of chloroplasts. In oxygen-evolving preparations Z^+ is reduced by the oxygen evolving complex in a reaction which occurs in the submillisecond time range [4,6]. When oxygen evolution is inhibited, the reaction is blocked, and Z^+ is rereduced by either endogenous reductants or by exogenous electron donors in a reaction which typically occurs in the 10 ms - 1 s time range [7,8].

In tris-washed chloroplasts, Z was originally postulated as the immediate reductant of the oxidized reaction center chlorophyll, $P680^+$ [9]. In this model the species Z would be identified with D_1 , the donor to $P680^+$ which has been inferred from optical measurements [10,11]. It is now possible to test this hypothesis directly by measuring the rise kinetics of Signal II_f under conditions where the decay of $P680^+$ is well characterized. In the work reported here we have measured the rise kinetics of the EPR Signal II_f attributed to Z^+ as well as the decay of an EPR signal that probably corresponds to $P680^+$. A comparison of our results at different pH values with optical data reported for the decay of $P680^+$ [10,11] shows good agreement and indicates that in tris-washed chloroplasts Z reduces $P680^+$ directly.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Spinach chloroplasts were used to prepare oxygen evolving Photosystem II fragments according to Berthold et al. [12]. These fragments were subsequently tris-washed, centrifuged at 40,000xg for 20 min, washed in buffer, centrifuged again at 40,000xg for 20 min., and resuspended in the same buffer. The buffers contained 0.4 M sucrose, 10 mM NaCl, and 50 mM of buffer (Hepes at pH 6.9, MES at pH 6.0 and pH 5.6, and succinate/MES at pH 5.2). The precipitate was resuspended to a total chlorophyll concentration of 4 to 8 mg/ml, as determined from chlorophyll absorbances at 645 and 663 nm [13]. The sample was then frozen in two separate aliquots until the start of the experiment. Upon thawing, 10 mM ferrocyanide and 10 mM ferricyanide were added as exogenous electron carriers.

During the experiment the sample was flowed through a Scanlon EPR flat-cell at a rate of 1 ml/min by a Gilson minipuls II peristaltic pump and then back into an ice cooled reservoir. The temperature in the flat cell was $24.5 \pm .5^\circ\text{C}$ as measured by a Fluke 2100 A digital thermometer using a copper-constantan thermocouple. The thermocouple was located inside the flow system at the exit of the flatcell.

Before kinetic measurements were initiated, an X-band field-swept spectrum was taken to check the amplitude of the light induced Signal II. This was also done to check for the absence of Signal I. The absence of Signal I is important, because in preliminary risetime experiments, we found that the CIDEP signal from Photosystem I [14] obscures the risetime of Signal II_f. Once the spectrum was taken, the field position was set to the maximum of the lowest-field hyperfine component of Signal II ($g = 2.010$). The decay kinetics of Signal II_f were measured to determine an appropriate flash repetition rate in the risetime experiments. Typical values of this decay

time were 30-150 ms and consequently a flash repetition rate of 2 Hz was used. During the Signal II_f risetime and $P680^+$ decay measurements, the sample integrity was checked every 10,000 passes by measuring the reversible light-induced increase in Signal II upon continuous illumination at this field position.

One of the two aliquots was used for a Signal II_f risetime measurement at the maximum of the low-field hyperfine component of Signal II_f ($g = 2.010$). The other aliquot, treated and monitored as previously mentioned, was used to measure the decay kinetics of $P680^+$ by increasing the magnetic field by 12 G ($g = 2.003$).

A Varian E-109 EPR spectrometer modified for 1 MHz field modulation was used for the measurements [15]. The instrument response time was limited to about 2 μ s or longer. When field-swept spectra were taken, an output low-pass RC filter of 1 s time constant was used on the 1 MHz receiver, and the output signal was fed into a chart recorder. When kinetic traces were obtained, the output signal of the receiver was digitized by a Nicolet Explorer IIIA oscilloscope and accumulated in a signal averager which was designed and constructed by Mr. Gary Smith in our laboratory. A diode detecting the laser flash was used to trigger the Explorer IIIA to eliminate errors due to delays in the firing circuit. For the kinetic traces the output was filtered by a 0.5 μ s low pass filter and digitized at 200 ns/point. In all cases 4096 points were collected.

The laser used is a modified flash-lamp pumped dye laser (Phase-R Corp. DL-1400), which provided 0.3-0.5 μ s non-saturating light pulses at 640 nm with energies of 5-8 mJ/pulse at the sample in the EPR cavity. Rhodamine 640 (Exciton, Dayton, OH, USA) in methanol was the dye used in the laser for all of the traces. The signal averaged trace was sent upon completion to a VAX

11/780 computer for data analysis and display.

RESULTS

Examples of the kinetic traces and theoretical fits obtained can be seen in Fig. 1. The experimental conditions are as noted in the figure and in the figure legend. The fits of the data were performed by using ZXSSQ, a least-squares fitting routine in the IMSL library [16]. The Z^+ risetimes were calculated by fitting each experimental trace to a theoretical expression of the form

$$\text{If } t < t_0, Y(t) = X(1)$$

$$\text{If } t \geq t_0, Y(t) = X(1) + X(2) - X(2)\exp[-(t-t_0)/X(3)]$$

where $Y(t)$ is the calculated EPR signal amplitude at time t , t_0 corresponds to the time at which the laser was fired, $X(1)$ and $X(2)$ are the initial and final EPR signal amplitudes respectively, and $X(3)$ is the inverse 1st-order rate constant for the rise of signal II_f .

The fast decay times of the signal attributable to $P680^+$ were obtained in a similar fashion. However, a fit of the entire curve (including the pre-recorded baseline) was complicated by two factors. One is the 2 s risetime of the instrument. The other factor is the contribution of Signal II_f to the $P680^+$ decay curve, leading to a different baseline after the flash from that before. Hence, the kinetic information was extracted by fitting the decay to either a single or a double exponential, depending on the amplitude of the contribution from the back reaction of $P680^+Q^-$. The kinetics of this back reaction has been found to have a $t_{1/2}$ of 100-200 μ s and to be pH independent [11,17]. The amplitude of the contribution from the back reaction increased with decreasing pH due to inactivation of the donation from Z to $P680^+$. This slow component is apparent in the $P680^+$ decay trace at pH 5.2 in Fig. 1. A

summary of the data analysis along with a comparison of $P680^+$ rereduction kinetics measured by Reinman et al. [11] can be found in Table I.

DISCUSSION

The data of Table I show good agreement between the decay of the reaction center chlorophyll absorbance change and the rise time of the Z^+ free radical EPR signal (Signal II_f), indicating that the two processes are coupled; i.e., that in tris-washed chloroplasts Z is oxidized as $P680^+$ is reduced. An interesting aspect of these data is that the Z and $P680$ kinetics are largely independent of the chloroplast or particle preparation, which is consistent with a model having these two reaction partners in the same thylakoid membrane complex. Experimental results reported for small Photosystem II particles which were treated with urea [18] support this conjecture, as do recent results for the behavior of Signal II_f in reaction centers prepared from chlamydomonas reinhardtii (Ghanotakis, D., Babcock, G, and Diner, B. in preparation).

Our conclusions about the rise of Signal II_f and the coupled reduction of $P680^+$ in tris-washed chloroplasts are difficult at present to extrapolate to preparations with intact oxygen evolution. The rise of Signal II_{vf} in the latter chloroplasts has been measured at neutral pH, and a 20 μ s halftime was reported [19]. These results were obtained, however, in chloroplasts under conditions where we have observed a weak contribution from the CIDEP signal of $P700^+$, and it is quite possible that this phenomenon obscured the true rise time of Signal II_{vf} . Experiments being developed to reexamine the Signal II_{vf} in oxygen-evolving Photosystem II particles [12] should resolve this ambiguity.

The results that we have obtained with tris-washed Photosystem II particles are summarized in the model of Fig. 2. Inhibition of oxygen-evolution by tris blocks the normal reduction of Z^+ by the oxygen-evolving complex (S_n in Fig. 2) and leads to the appearance of Signal II_f . The photo-generation of this radical accompanies the rereduction of $P680^+$ which, in the tris-inhibited preparation, is dependent upon the pH of the particle suspension.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This research was supported in part, by the Science and Education Administration of the U.S. Department of Agriculture under Grant #59-2261-1-1-631-0 (to GTB), by the Director, Office of Energy Research, Office of Basic Energy Science, Division of Biological Energy Conversion and Conservation, U.S. Department of Energy under Contract DE-AC03-76SF00098, and by the National Science Foundation Grant PCM 79-11251 (to KS).

REFERENCES

1. Hales, B.J. and Das Gupta, A. (1981) *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* 637, 303-311.
3. Babcock, G.T. and Sauer, K. (1975) *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* 376, 315-328.
3. Bouges-Bocquet, B. (1980) *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* 594, 85-103.
4. Blankenship, R.E., Babcock, G.T., Warden, J.T. and Sauer, K. (1975) *FEBS Lett.* 51, 287-293.
5. Warden, J.T., Blankenship, R.E. and Sauer, K. (1976) *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* 423, 462-478.
6. Babcock, G.T., Blankenship, R.E. and Sauer, K. (1976) *FEBS Lett.* 61, 286-289.
7. Babcock, G.T. and Sauer, K. (1975) *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* 396, 48-62.
8. Yerkes, C.T. and Babcock, G.T. (1980) *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* 590, 360-372.
9. Babcock, G.T. and Sauer, K. (1975) *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* 376, 329-344.
10. Conjeaud, H. and Mathis, P. (1980) *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* 590, 353-359.
11. Reinman, S., Mathis, P., Conjeaud, H. and Stewart, A. (1981) *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* 635, 429-433.
12. Berthold, D.A., Babcock, G.T. and Yocum, C.F. (1981) *FEBS. Lett.* 134, 231-234.
13. Mackinney, G. (1941) *J. Biol. Chem.* 134, 315-322.
14. Dismukes, C., McGuire, A., Friesner, R. and Sauer, K. (1979) *Review of Chemical Intermediates* 3, 59-88.
15. Smith, G., Blankenship, R.E. and Klein, M.P. (1977) *Rev. Sci. Instr.* 48, 282-286.
16. Brown, K.M. and Dennis, J.E. (1972) *Numerische Mathematic* 18, 289-297.
17. Renger, G., Gläser, M. and Buchwald, H.E. (1972) *Biochim. Biophys. Acta.* 461, 392-402.
18. Satoh, K. and Mathis, P. (1981) *Photobiochem. Photophys.* 2, 189-198.

19. Satoh, K. and Mathis, P. (1981) Photobiochem. Photobiophys. 2, 189-198.
20. Blankenship, R.E., McGuire, A. and Sauer, K. (1977) Biochim. Biophys. Acta 459, 617-619.

FIGURE LEGENDS


Fig. 1. Transient kinetic traces of EPR signals photo-induced in tris-washed Photosystem II particles from spinach chloroplasts. Temperature, $24.5 \pm 0.5^\circ\text{C}$; samples suspended in 0.4 M sucrose, 10 mM NaCl, 50 mM buffer (MES at pH 6.0 and succinate/MES at pH 5.2), 10 mM (K)ferricyanide, 10 mM (K)ferrocyanide. EPR instrument conditions: microwave power, 20 mW; modulation amplitude, 2.3 G; field modulation at 1 MHz; output time constant 0.5 μs . (a) Rise kinetics and computer-generated least squares fit of Signal II_f measured at the low field hyperfine component (g 2.010); pH 5.2 trace averages 40,000 passes; pH 6.0 trace, 50,000 passes. (b) Decay kinetics and fit of EPR signal attributed to P680^+ measured at 12 G higher field (g 2.003); pH 5.2 trace, 10,000 passes; pH 6.0 trace, 20,000 passes.

Fig. 2. Scheme of electron transfer in Photosystem II. S_n represents the water-splitting enzyme, which has been deactivated by tris-treatment in our study; Z (or D_1) is the immediate electron donor to P680; I is the intermediate acceptor (probably pheophytin) before the first stable electron acceptor, Q (plastoquinone), on the way to Photosystem I.

TABLE I. PRIMARY ELECTRON TRANSFER KINETICS OF PHOTOSYSTEM II
IN TRIS-WASHED PREPARATIONS FROM CHLOROPLASTS


EPR Signals (this work)			Optical Signals (ref [11])	
pH	$t_{1/2}$ (μ s)		pH	$t_{1/2}$ (μ s)
	SII _f	P680 ⁺		P680 ⁺
5.2	15.4	15.2	5.0	14
5.6	10.4	8.8	-	-
6.0	8.0*	8.15*	6.0	7.2
6.9	≤ 4	-	7.0	4.6

* Average of two separate experiments




pH = 5.2


a) S II, Rise




pH = 6.0



b) P 680* Decay





This report was done with support from the Department of Energy. Any conclusions or opinions expressed in this report represent solely those of the author(s) and not necessarily those of The Regents of the University of California, the Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory or the Department of Energy.

Reference to a company or product name does not imply approval or recommendation of the product by the University of California or the U.S. Department of Energy to the exclusion of others that may be suitable.

TECHNICAL INFORMATION DEPARTMENT
LAWRENCE BERKELEY LABORATORY
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
BERKELEY, CALIFORNIA 94720