UCSF UC San Francisco Previously Published Works

Title

Incidence and Clinical Impact of Myocardial Injury Following Traumatic Brain Injury: A Pilot TRACK-TBI Study.

Permalink https://escholarship.org/uc/item/41p2n8dg

Journal Journal of neurosurgical anesthesiology, 34(2)

ISSN 0898-4921

Authors

Krishnamoorthy, Vijay Manley, Geoffrey T Jain, Sonia <u>et al.</u>

Publication Date

2022-04-01

DOI

10.1097/ana.000000000000772

Peer reviewed



HHS Public Access

J Neurosurg Anesthesiol. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2023 April 01.

Published in final edited form as:

Author manuscript

J Neurosurg Anesthesiol. 2022 April 01; 34(2): 233–237. doi:10.1097/ANA.00000000000772.

Incidence and Clinical Impact of Myocardial Injury Following Traumatic Brain Injury: A Pilot TRACK-TBI Study

Vijay Krishnamoorthy^{1,10,11}, Geoffrey T. Manley¹³, Sonia Jain¹², Shelly Sun¹², Brandon Foreman⁸, Jordan Komisarow², Daniel T. Laskowitz^{1,2,3}, Joseph P. Mathew¹, Adrian Hernandez⁴, Michael L. James^{1,3,10}, Monica S. Vavilala⁶, Amy J. Markowitz¹³, Fred Korley⁹, TRACK-TBI Investigators

¹Departments of Anesthesiology, Duke University

²Departments of Neurosurgery, Duke University

³Departments of Neurology, Duke University

⁴Departments of Medicine, Duke University

⁶Departments of Anesthesiology and Pain Medicine, University of Washington

⁸Department of Neurology and Rehabilitation Medicine, University of Cincinnati

⁹Department of Emergency Medicine, University of Michigan

¹⁰Critical Care and Perioperative Population Health Research (CAPER) Unit, Department of Anesthesiology, Duke University

¹¹Departments of Population Health Sciences, Duke University

Conflicts of Interest: none

Corresponding author: Dr. Vijay Krishnamoorthy, Duke University Medical Center, Department of Anesthesiology, DUMC 3094, Durham, NC 27710, 919-684-8111, vijay.krishnamoorthy@duke.edu.

The TRACK-TBI InvestigatorsOpeolu Adeoye, MD, University of Cincinnati; Neeraj Badjatia, MD, University of Maryland; Kim Boase, University of Washington; Jason Barber, MS, University of Washington; Yelena Bodien, PhD, Massachusetts General Hospital; M. Ross Bullock, MD PhD, University of Miami; Randall Chesnut, MD, University of Washington; John D. Corrigan, PhD, ABPP, Ohio State University; Karen Crawford, University of Southern California; Ramon Diaz-Arrastia, MD PhD, University of Pennsylvania; Sureyya Dikmen, PhD, University of Washington; Ann-Christine Duhaime, MD, MassGeneral Hospital for Children; Richard Ellenbogen, MD, University of Washington; V Ramana Feeser, MD, Virginia Commonwealth University; Adam R. Ferguson, PhD, University of California, San Francisco; Raquel Gardner, University of California, San Francisco; Etienne Gaudette, PhD, University of Southern California; Joseph Giacino, PhD, Spaulding Rehabilitation Hospital; Dana Goldman, PhD, University of Southern California; Luis Gonzalez, TIRR Memorial Hermann; Shankar Gopinath, MD, Baylor College of Medicine; Rao Gullapalli, PhD, University of Maryland; J Claude Hemphill, MD, University of California, San Francisco; Gillian Hotz, PhD, University of Miami; C. Dirk Keene, MD PhD, University of Washington; Joel Kramer, PsyD, University of California, San Francisco; Natalie Kreitzer, MD, University of Cincinnati; Harvey Levin, MD, Baylor College of Medicine; Chris Lindsell, PhD, Vanderbilt University; Joan Machamer, MA, University of Washington; Christopher Madden, MD, UT Southwestern; Alastair Martin, PhD, University of California, San Francisco; Thomas McAllister, MD, Indiana University; Michael McCrea, PhD, Medical College of Wisconsin; Randall Merchant, PhD, Virginia Commonwealth University; Pratik Mukherjee, MD PhD, University of California, San Francisco; Lindsay Nelson, PhD, Medical College of Wisconsin; Laura B. Ngwenya, MD, PhD, University of Cincinnati; Florence Noel, PhD, Baylor College of Medicine; Amber Nolan, MD PhD, University of California, San Francisco; David Okonkwo, MD PhD, University of Pittsburgh; Eva Palacios, PhD, University of California, San Francisco; Daniel Perl, MD, Uniformed Services University; Ava Puccio, PhD, University of Pittsburgh; Miri Rabinowitz, PhD, University of Pittsburgh; Claudia Robertson, MD, Baylor College of Medicine; Jonathan Rosand, MD, MSc, Massachusetts General Hospital; Angelle Sander, PhD, Baylor College of Medicine; Gabriella Satris, University of California, San Francisco; David Schnyer, PhD, UT Austin; Seth Seabury, PhD, University of Southern California; Mark Sherer, PhD, TIRR Memorial Hermann; Murray Stein, MD MPH, University of California, San Diego; Sabrina Taylor, PhD, University of California, San Francisco; Nancy Temkin, PhD, University of Washington; Arthur Toga, PhD, University of Southern California; Alex Valadka, MD, Virginia Commonwealth University; Mary Vassar, RN MS, University of California, San Francisco; Paul Vespa, MD, University of California, Los Angeles; Kevin Wang, PhD, University of Florida; John K. Yue, MD, University of California, San Francisco; Esther Yuh, MD PhD, University of California, San Francisco; Ross Zafonte, Harvard Medical School

¹²Biostatistics Research Center, Herbert Wertheim School of Public Health, University of California San Diego

¹³Brain and Spinal Injury Center, University of California, San Francisco. San Francisco, CA.

Abstract

Background: Traumatic brain injury (TBI) is a major global health problem. Little research has addressed extracranial organ dysfunction following TBI, particularly myocardial injury. Using a sensitive marker of myocardial injury - high sensitivity troponin (hsTn) - we examined the incidence of early myocardial injury following TBI and explored its association with neurologic outcomes following moderate-severe TBI.

Methods: We conducted a pilot cohort study of 133 adult (age > 17 years) subjects enrolled in the TRACK-TBI 18-center prospective cohort study. Descriptive statistics were used to examine the incidence of myocardial injury (defined as $hsTn > 99^{th}$ percentile for a standardized reference population) across TBI severities, and to explore the association of myocardial injury with 6-month extended Glasgow outcome score (GOS-E) among patients with moderate-severe TBI.

Results: The mean (standard deviation) age of the participants was 44 (17) years, and 87 (65%) were male. Twenty-six patients (20%) developed myocardial injury following TBI; myocardial injury was present in 15% of mild TBI patients and 29% of moderate-severe TBI patients (p=0.13). Median (interquartile range) hsTn values were 3.8 ng/L (2.1, 9.0), 5.8 ng/L (4.5, 34.6) and 10.2 ng/L (3.0, 34.0) in mild, moderate and severe TBI participants, respectively (p=0.04). 11% of participants with moderate-severe TBI and myocardial injury experienced a good outcome (GOS-E 5) at six months, compared with 65% in the group that did not experience myocardial injury (p=0.01).

Conclusions: Myocardial injury is common following TBI, with a likely dose-response relationship with TBI severity. Early myocardial injury was associated with poor six-month clinical outcomes following moderate-severe TBI.

Keywords

myocardial injury; trauma; traumatic brain injury; high sensitivity troponin; outcome

Introduction:

Traumatic brain injury (TBI) is a major cause of death and disability in the United States¹ and globally. While significant research has examined the consequences of primary injury on the brain, comparatively little research has been undertaken to understand the impact of TBI on extracranial organ dysfunction, which commonly occurs in patients with moderate and severe TBI^{2–4}. Among the spectrum of extracranial organ dysfunction, cardiac dysfunction, hypotension and circulatory shock occurs in over 50% of patients with severe TBI, which reduces cerebral blood flow if autoregulation is impaired, and leads to poor clinical outcomes^{5,6}. The mechanism by which circulatory shock occurs is largely unknown, but potentially involves a fulminant surge in catecholamine levels resulting in myocardial injury and cardiac dysfunction^{7,8}.

Krishnamoorthy et al.

While prior studies have examined acute myocardial injury following TBI^{9,10}, these have primarily focused on severe TBI patients and used conventional troponin assays which limit investigation of subclinical myocardial injury. The recent introduction of high sensitivity troponin (hsTn) assays provides a new tool for improved characterization of myocardial injury¹¹ and an opportunity to investigate the relevance of subclinical myocardial injury (identified using hsTn) on clinical outcomes following TBI. High sensitivity troponin assays measure up to 10-fold lower concentrations of troponin than conventional troponin assays, with greater precision^{12,13}. They allow improved detection of myocardial injury in non-cardiac conditions¹⁴, and afford an opportunity to more accurately quantify myocardial injury following TBI. The burden of myocardial injury across the spectrum of TBI severities, as well as its association with clinical outcomes, represents a gap in the TBI literature. To address this knowledge gap, we conducted a pilot study to: 1) examine the incidence of myocardial injury across TBI severities, and 2) explore the association between myocardial injury and neurologic outcomes following moderate-severe TBI.

Methods

The study adhered to the Strengthening the Reporting of Observational Studies in Epidemiology (STROBE) guidelines and was approved by the Institutional Review Board at Duke University (Pro00100061).

Study design, database and population

We conducted a pilot cohort study by performing a secondary analysis of 133 adult (age > 17 years) subjects enrolled in the Transforming Clinical Research and Knowledge in TBI (TRACK-TBI) study. TRACK-TBI is an 18-center prospective cohort study of patients evaluated in participating level 1 trauma center emergency departments within 24 hours of experiencing blunt TBI, and for whom a clinically indicated head computerized tomography (CT) scan was obtained by the treating clinician¹⁵. In addition to the collection of detailed hospital encounter data, the study also collected baseline (and serial) blood samples and a multidimensional outcome assessment battery across the year post-injury. Subjects were excluded from TRACK-TBI if they had significant extracranial injury or significant history of pre-existing conditions that would interfere with follow-up and outcome assessment. In addition, subjects were also excluded if they were prisoners or patients in custody, pregnant, on psychiatric hold, had major debilitating baseline mental health disorders or major debilitating neurologic disease, were participants in an interventional trial, or had penetrating TBI or spinal cord injury with American Spinal Injury Association impairment score of C or worse. All data were obtained from participants by trained research coordinators using structured data collection tools recommended as part of the National Institute of Neurological Disorders and Stroke Common Data Elements for TBI studies.¹⁶

Exposure, outcomes, and covariates

For our first research aim, the exposure was TBI severity and outcome was myocardial injury. TBI severity was categorized as mild (defined as Glasgow Coma Scale [GCS] score

13 after resuscitation), moderate (GCS 9–12 after resuscitation), and severe (GCS 8 after resuscitation). Myocardial injury was defined as a single hsTn value (obtained either

Krishnamoorthy et al.

at study enrollment or within four hours following enrollment) greater than the upper reference limit, corresponding to > 99% for a standardized reference population. For our second research aim, we restricted the population to subjects with moderate-severe TBI. The exposure was the presence of myocardial injury, and the outcome of interest was 6-month extended Glasgow Outcome Score (GOS-E), with good outcome defined as GOS-E 5–8 and poor outcome defined as GOS-E 1–4. We also examined additional covariates, including demographic (age, gender, race) and clinical (injury mechanism, injury severity score, initial head CT findings, and Rotterdam score based on initial head CT) parameters.

Statistical analysis

Descriptive statistics were used to examine the demographic and clinical characteristics of the study cohort, stratified by the presence of myocardial injury. Group comparisons used Fisher's exact tests for categorical variables and Wilcoxon Rank Sum tests for continuous variables. Baseline hsTn values were summarized with median and interquartile range (IQR), and compared across TBI severity groups (mild, moderate, severe) using the Kruskal Wallis test. Lastly, we compared clinical and functional outcomes, including hospital discharge status (alive vs. dead) and GOSE (1–4 vs. 5–8) at six months post-injury between the groups with and without myocardial injury among the moderate-severe TBI (GCS<13) cohort. Statistical software R (version 3.6.1) was used for the analysis (http://www.r-project.org).

Results

Demographic and clinical characteristics of the 133 participants included in the study cohort, stratified by the presence of myocardial injury, are shown in Table 1. The mean(SD) age of the participant cohort was 44 (17) years; among these, 87 (65%) were male and 109 (82%) were of white race. The primary mechanism of injury was road traffic accidents (65%), followed by falls (20%), and violence/assault (10%). Sixty participants (56%) had evidence of blood on initial head CT. Twenty six (20%) participants developed myocardial injury following TBI. Compared with participants that did not develop myocardial injury, those who developed myocardial injury had a higher mean (SD) injury severity score (20.8 (8.8) vs. 14.7 (9.4), respectively; p=0.003) and higher Rotterdam score on initial head CT scan (3.4 (1.4) vs. 2.5 (0.9), respectively; p=0.004).

When stratified by TBI severity, myocardial injury was present in 15% of participants with mild TBI and 29% of participants with moderate-severe TBI (p=0.13). The distribution of baseline hsTn, stratified by TBI severity, is shown in Figure 1. Median (IQR) hsTn value was 3.8 ng/L (2.1, 9.0) in mild TBI participants, 5.8 ng/L (4.5, 34.6) in moderate TBI participants, and 10.2 ng/L (3.0, 34.0) in severe TBI participants (p=0.04). Among the 35 participants with moderate-severe TBI, 34 had hospital disposition data. Four of 10 (40%) participants in the group with myocardial injury experienced in-hospital mortality, compared with 1 of 24 (4.2%) who did not experience myocardial injury following moderate-severe TBI (p=0.02). Among the 35 participants with moderate-severe TBI, 29 had GOS-E assessed at 6-months post-injury. One of 9 (11%) in the group with myocardial injury experienced with 13 out of 20 (65%)

with good outcome who did not experience myocardial injury following moderate-severe TBI (p=0.01).

Discussion

In this pilot study examining the incidence of myocardial injury across TBI severities using hsTn we found that: 1) myocardial injury is relatively common following TBI and occurs across all TBI severities; 2) there is a possible dose-response relationship of TBI severity with the risk and degree of myocardial injury; and 3) among patients with moderate-severe TBI, the development of myocardial injury was associated with poor clinical outcomes at six months post-injury. Thus, myocardial injury following TBI is a common and possibly clinically significant problem.

Prior retrospective studies of troponin following TBI have reported acute myocardial injury in approximately 30% of severe TBI patients^{9,10,17}. However, these findings are susceptible to selection bias since they are derived from studies in which troponin measurements were performed at the discretion of treating clinicians. Furthermore, these studies focused primarily on severe TBI patients and used conventional troponin assays which have limited sensitivity for detection of subclinical myocardial injury. Our data suggests that myocardial injury occurs across the spectrum of TBI severities (mild, moderate, and severe), with a possible dose-response relationship between TBI severity and degree of myocardial injury. Furthermore, our data suggest that the development of early myocardial injury following TBI is clinically relevant, as it is associated with clinical and functional outcomes at six months after injury.

Myocardial injury has been observed to result in reduced cardiac function in critical illness, but this relationship has not been studied in TBI patients. Cerebral autoregulation can be impaired after TBI^{18–27}; therefore, cerebral ischemia can occur when cerebral perfusion is not maintained during hypotension. In this situation, early myocardial injury may contribute to the development of cardiac dysfunction, shock, cerebral hypoperfusion and secondary brain injuries following TBI. Therefore, preventing post-TBI myocardial injury might mitigate hypotension, improve cerebral perfusion and CBF in the setting of TBI-related impaired autoregulation; this is an intriguing hypothesis to test in future studies.

We recognize several limitations to our study. First, the data are from a pilot sample of participants in the TRACK-TBI study and are thus limited by a small sample size, specifically among participants with moderate and severe TBI. Furthermore, this may contribute to selection bias in the population ascertained for this study. Second, our sample was primarily of white race and male gender TBI patients, limiting the generalizability of our findings of myocardial injury to non-white populations and females. Third, the clinical value of an isolated organ injury biomarker may be limited when other organ parameters are not evaluated concurrently; for example, the presence of myocardial injury defined by elevated hsTn may not fully capture the extent of cardiac dysfunction and should ideally be coupled with an echocardiogram to examine cardiac function. Unfortunately, this approach was not possible given the retrospective nature of this study, and future studies should take a multidimensional perspective into account when ascertaining organ injury.

In conclusion, we found that myocardial injury is common across TBI severities, with a likely dose-response relationship with TBI severity. Furthermore, the presence of myocardial injury was associated with poor six-month clinical outcomes following moderate-severe TBI. Our data support the observation that myocardial injury is a common and clinically significant problem following TBI. Further studies are necessary to confirm our findings, examine mechanisms, and improve clinical outcomes in this population.

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to acknowledge Dr. Agim Beshry, MD (Medical Director, Abbott Laboratories) and Dr. Beth McQuiston, MD (Medical Director, Abbott Diagnostics) for their guidance in funding, performing, and interpreting the high sensitivity troponin assays. We would also like to thank Dr. Beshry and Dr. McQuiston for reviewing the final manuscript; no changes were requested by them prior to submission to the *Journal of Neurosurgical Anesthesiology*.

Source of Support: National Institute of Neurological Disorders and Stroke - K23NS109274 (Krishnamoorthy)

References:

- 1. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (2019). Surveillance Report of Traumatic Brain Injuryrelated Emergency Department Visits, Hospitalizations, and Deaths—United States, 2014. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services.
- Aisiku IP, Yamal JM, Doshi P, et al. The incidence of ARDS and associated mortality in severe TBI using the Berlin definition. J Trauma Acute Care Surg. 2016;80(2):308–312. DOI: 10.1097/ TA.0000000000000903 [PubMed: 26491799]
- Moore EM, Bellomo R, Nichol A, Harley N, Macisaac C, Cooper DJ. The incidence of acute kidney injury in patients with traumatic brain injury. Ren Fail. 2010;32(9):1060–1065. DOI: 10.3109/0886022X.2010.510234 [PubMed: 20863210]
- Corral L, Javierre CF, Ventura JL, Marcos P, Herrero JI, Manez R. Impact of non-neurological complications in severe traumatic brain injury outcome. Crit Care. 2012;16(2):R44. DOI: 10.1186/ cc11243
- 5. Zafar SN, Millham FH, Chang Y, et al. Presenting blood pressure in traumatic brain injury: a bimodal distribution of death. The Journal of trauma. 2011;71(5):1179–1184. DOI: 10.1097/TA.0b013e3182140d38 [PubMed: 21502878]
- Pietropaoli JA, Rogers FB, Shackford SR, Wald SL, Schmoker JD, Zhuang J. The deleterious effects of intraoperative hypotension on outcome in patients with severe head injuries. J Trauma. 1992;33(3):403–407. DOI: 10.1097/00005373-199209000-00011 [PubMed: 1404509]
- Krishnamoorthy V, Rowhani-Rahbar A, Chaikittisilpa N, et al. Association of Early Hemodynamic Profile and the Development of Systolic Dysfunction Following Traumatic Brain Injury. Neurocrit Care. 2017;26(3):379–387. DOI: 10.1007/s12028-016-0335-x [PubMed: 28000133]
- Krishnamoorthy V, Rowhani-Rahbar A, Gibbons EF, et al. Early Systolic Dysfunction Following Traumatic Brain Injury: A Cohort Study. Crit Care Med. 2017;45(6):1028–1036. DOI: 10.1097/ CCM.00000000002404 [PubMed: 28398926]
- Salim A, Hadjizacharia P, Brown C, et al. Significance of troponin elevation after severe traumatic brain injury. J Trauma. 2008;64(1):46–52. DOI: 10.1097/TA.0b013e31815eb15a [PubMed: 18188098]
- Cai SS, Bonds BW, Hu PF, Stein DM. The role of cardiac troponin I in prognostication of patients with isolated severe traumatic brain injury. J Trauma Acute Care Surg. 2016;80(3):477–483. DOI: 10.1097/TA.000000000000916 [PubMed: 26910044]

- Korley FK, Jaffe AS. Preparing the United States for high-sensitivity cardiac troponin assays. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2013;61(17):1753–1758. DOI: 10.1016/j.jacc.2012.09.069 [PubMed: 23395074]
- 12. de Lemos JA. Increasingly sensitive assays for cardiac troponins: a review. JAMA. 2013;309(21):2262–2269. DOI: 10.1001/jama.2013.5809 [PubMed: 23736735]
- Korley FK, Jaffe AS. High Sensitivity Cardiac Troponin Assays How to Implement them Successfully. EJIFCC. 2016;27(3):217–223. [PubMed: 27683535]
- Korley FK, Jaffe AS. High-sensitivity troponin: where are we now and where do we go from here? Biomark Med. 2014;8(8):1021–1032. DOI: 10.2217/bmm.14.54 [PubMed: 25343674]
- Bodien YG, McCrea M, Dikmen S, et al. Optimizing Outcome Assessment in Multicenter TBI Trials: Perspectives From TRACK-TBI and the TBI Endpoints Development Initiative. J Head Trauma Rehabil. 2018;33(3):147–157. DOI: 10.1097/HTR.00000000000367 [PubMed: 29385010]
- Wilde EA, Whiteneck GG, Bogner J, et al. Recommendations for the use of common outcome measures in traumatic brain injury research. Arch Phys Med Rehabil. 2010;91(11):1650–1660 e1617. DOI: 10.106/j.apmr.2010.06.033 [PubMed: 21044708]
- Baffoun N, Lakhdhar R, Baccar K, Djebari K, Kaddour C. Cardiac injury in traumatic subarachnoid hemorrhagea: prospective study in 35 patients. Tunis Med. 2011;89(2):184–187. [PubMed: 21308629]
- Sekhon MS, Griesdale DE, Czosnyka M, et al. The Effect of Red Blood Cell Transfusion on Cerebral Autoregulation in Patients with Severe Traumatic Brain Injury. Neurocritical care. 2015;23(2):210–216. DOI: 10.1007/s12028-015-0141-x [PubMed: 25894454]
- Bouzat P, Oddo M, Payen JF. Transcranial Doppler after traumatic brain injury: is there a role? Curr Opin Crit Care. 2014;20(2):153–160. DOI: 10.1097/MCC.0000000000000001 [PubMed: 24531654]
- Brown CM, Dutsch M, Hecht MJ, Neundorfer B, Hilz MJ. Assessment of cerebrovascular and cardiovascular responses to lower body negative pressure as a test of cerebral autoregulation. J Neurol Sci. 2003;208(1–2):71–78. DOI: 10.1016/s0022-510x(02)00438-0 [PubMed: 12639728]
- Czosnyka M, Smielewski P, Kirkpatrick P, Laing RJ, Menon D, Pickard JD. Continuous assessment of the cerebral vasomotor reactivity in head injury. Neurosurgery. 1997;41(1):11–17; discussion 17–19. DOI: 10.1097/00006123-199707000-00005 [PubMed: 9218290]
- Czosnyka M, Smielewski P, Kirkpatrick P, Piechnik S, Laing R, Pickard JD. Continuous monitoring of cerebrovascular pressure-reactivity in head injury. Acta Neurochir Suppl. 1998;71:74–77. DOI: 10.1007/978-3-7091-6475-4_23 [PubMed: 9779149]
- Dias C, Silva MJ, Pereira E, et al. Post-traumatic multimodal brain monitoring: response to hypertonic saline. Journal of neurotrauma. 2014;31(22):1872–1880. DOI: 10.1089/neu.2014.3376 [PubMed: 24915462]
- Schmidt B, Klingelhofer J, Perkes I, Czosnyka M. Cerebral autoregulatory response depends on the direction of change in perfusion pressure. Journal of neurotrauma. 2009;26(5):651–656. DOI: 10.1089/neu.2008.0784 [PubMed: 19281414]
- Sharma D, Vavilala MS. Lund concept for the management of traumatic brain injury: a physiological principle awaiting stronger evidence. J Neurosurg Anesthesiol. 2011;23(4):363–367. DOI: 10.1097/01.ana.0000405613.27980.ea [PubMed: 21908990]
- 26. Simard JM, Bellefleur M. Systemic arterial hypertension in head trauma. Am J Cardiol. 1989;63(6):32C–35C. DOI: 10.1016/0002-9149(89)90403-7
- Vavilala MS, Tontisirin N, Udomphorn Y, et al. Hemispheric differences in cerebral autoregulation in children with moderate and severe traumatic brain injury. Neurocritical care. 2008;9(1):45–54. DOI: 10.1007/s12028-007-9036-9 [PubMed: 18084727]

Krishnamoorthy et al.



Figure 1. Distribution of baseline high sensitivity troponin, stratified by traumatic brain injury severity

Table 1

Demographic and clinical characteristics

	No myocardial Injury (n=107)	Myocardial Injury (n=26)	p-value
Age (years) ¹	42.5 (17.1)	49.0 (18.0)	0.10
Male gender ²	70 (65.4%)	17 (65.4%)	>0.99
Race ² , ³			0.73
White	89 (83.2%)	20 (80.0%)	
Black	13 (12.2%)	3 (12.0%)	
Other	5 (4.7%)	2 (8.0%)	
Injury mechanism ²			>0.99
Road traffic incident	68 (63.6%)	18 (69.2%)	
Fall	21 (19.6%)	5 (19.2%)	
Violence/Assault	11 (10.3%)	2 (7.7%)	
Other	7 (6.5%)	1 (3.9%)	
Emergency department GCS ⁵	15 (13,15)	14 (3,15)	0.13
Injury severity score ¹ , ⁴	14.7 (9.4)	20.8 (8.8)	0.003
Presence of blood on initial head CT scan 2	60 (56.1%)	19 (73.1%)	0.13
Rotterdam score ¹	2.5 (0.9)	3.4 (1.4)	0.004

¹Mean (SD)

²Count (percentage)

³Column may not add to total due to missing value

⁴Only available for hospitalized subjects (n=125)

⁵Median (IQR)

CT, computerized tomography; GCS, Glasgow coma scale