#### UC Riverside UC Riverside Previously Published Works

#### Title

Blind Spots and Failed Performance: Abortion, Feminism, and Queer Theory

**Permalink** https://escholarship.org/uc/item/4243g842

**Author** Doyle, J

Publication Date 2009

Peer reviewed

# Blind Spots and Failed Performance

Abortion, Feminism, and Queer Theory

JENNIFER DOYLE

A friend, a deeply committed feminist scholar, asks me what I am working on.

"Abortion," I write.

"Yuck," she writes back.

the idea of writing about abortion before I'd even written a word subject-as we will see, speaking as an aborting body, speaking that hesitancy reflects my own identification, too, as an abortive within queer theory as it is practiced in the humanities.<sup>1</sup> Perhaps er disciplinary issue regarding the place of abortion as a subject conversations about abortion I would like to stage, but a deepnot only a suspicion that academic freedom does not extend to the studies. My hesitancy to take up the topic in the classroom reflects ture that engages nearly every other issue of importance to queer stitution to support (in its own way) my teaching of art and literafrom within abortion can feel impossible. If I found myself tired of how I would teach such a subject even as I count on that same indisturbingly, I do not trust the institutional apparatus to support company with student fundamentalism on this issue, and, more means. I am sick of the topic before I start. Abortion is the one subject I stay away from in the classroom. Like many, I avoid keeping She was, of course, kidding-but only partly. I know what she

5

it was because I'd given myself over to the effects of the deadening rhetoric that seeks to police and contain our relationships to the topic. As becomes clear in the second half of this essay, my critical interest was activated by a recent scandal over the place of abortion in a college student's art project. No feminist took a public position defending this student (quite the contrary)—this surprised me only until I noticed my own previous critical passivity around the topic. *That* is even more surprising given my personal sense that the abortion I'd had as a student at Rutgers in the 1980s was one of the most singularly empowering experiences I've had as a sexual subject. But, of course, I have hardly ever said so in public.

silence, shame, and anxiety over nearly every other kind of unof rape and abuse into the public sphere and throws a blanket of women's lives" ("TW," 32) pushes the extreme suffering of victims tized" abortion stories-in which the ordinariness of abortion is nist discourse about abortion, with a premium placed on "traumanant woman seeking an illegal abortion is represented as a helpless of her own. And so, for example, in a pro-abortion film like Foun of the vast majority of women who choose to have abortionsthey render all other reasons for aborting questionable at best, and reinscribed as the 'appropriate reasons' to have an abortion, and [rape and abuse, medically dangerous pregnancies] have become wanted pregnancy as they become stigmatized as personal failures. mand that "abortion be the exception, and not a normal part of nancies brought on by sexual violence and abuse. The implicit deeclipsed by politically expedient narratives about unwanted pregperiod the most basic forms of contraception had been criminalbaby-even as the film makes clear that in Romania during this pregnant woman is herself more like a child than an adult-a helpwe become more comfortable with abortion stories in which the their choice becomes a disorder of will and desire. Ironically, too, this form of narrative policing is the stigmatization of the agency frivolous at worst" ("TW," 33). One of the many nasty effects of "Because they are presented so frequently, these circumstances Months, Three Weeks, and Two Days (Mungiu, 2007) the pregless victim of circumstance, pregnant through no fault or desire Jeannie Ludlow observes that there is a hierarchy within femi-

Doyle: Blind Spots and Failed Performance

27

ized. The suggestion is that Gabriela's pregnancy is a result of her passive relation to the world. The protagonist of the film is, in fact, not the pregnant woman, but Otilia, the highly competent, savvy friend who takes care of Gabriela—and is raped by the abortionist for her troubles. The pregnant woman is thus represented as a child-like fuck-up, and the story of an abortion is recuperated via the friend's "mothering" of the abortive woman in order that maternal care, in one form or another, can be kept safely in view. This film represents the leading edge of pro-choice narratives. My questions here: How do these liberal protocols regarding the representation of abortion—and the abortive body—impact our work as queer theorists? What would it mean to absorb a radicalized position vis-à-vis abortion into discourse on queer sex politics?

As it happens, abortion plays a key role in Lee Edelman's No Future: Queer Theory and the Death Drive, perhaps the most hotly debated text in queer theory published in the past decade.<sup>2</sup> This may come as a surprise to some readers not only because this text is almost totally uninterested in female figures or questions of femininity—but because, as far as I can tell, this fact has not been taken seriously in any of the critical responses to Edelman's book. Early in his polemic against "reproductive futurism" Edelman describes "a local moment in the ongoing war against abortion" (NF, 14). He writes: "Not long ago, on a much traveled corner in Cambridge, Massachusetts, opponents of the legal right to abortion plastered an image of a full-term fetus, larger in size than a grown man, on a rented billboard that bore the phrase: 'It's not a choice; it's a child'" (NF, 14). He continues:

The Cambridge billboard . . . seemed to announce what liberalism prefers to occlude: that the governing compulsion, the singular imperative, that affords us no meaningful choice is the compulsion to embrace our futurity in the privileged form of the Child, to imagine each moment as pregnant with the Child of our Imaginary identification. (NF, 15)

Edelman associates the way he found himself cornered by the billboard's image and slogan with the ideological presumptions that underwrite much contemporary writing in queer studies—in our

4 80

collective turn toward the future, he suggests, we are merely extending heternormativity's reach. His description of the experience of being hailed by this mandate to reproduce is one of the book's primary scenes—for the billboard "makes clear" an "ideological truth" crucial to No Future's intervention against what he sees as the watering down of queer theory into sexual liberalism. Here he points to the "common stake in the militant right's opposition to abortion and to the practice of queer sexualities"—such militants see in both women who abort, and men who have sex with each other, a threat to life itself. Perhaps, he wonders, these militants have a point.

One might say that much of the book's rhetorical force is generated through his encounter with this image and the intersection of abortion and queer theory. Edelman aims to unsettle queer scholarship's critical investment in utopianism, futurity, and compassion. Although he explicitly focuses on Judith Butler's *Antigone's Claim*, his argument is with a larger field of scholarship that might include, for example, José Muñoz's writing on Ernst Bloch and utopianism, and Elizabeth Freeman's arguments for queer historiography.<sup>3</sup> Edelman associates such work—which is reparative in its tone and overtly political in its commitments—with heteronormative/reproductive structures of thought and oppression. He wonders if such work really contests the normalizing pressure of mainstream liberal gay politics and its investment in family, marriage, and domesticity.

Edelman's "reproductive futurism" refers specifically to the ideologies entwined in the figure of the Child. As described in No *Future*, radical queerness is achieved by the identification of homosexuality with all that is outside or against reproductive futurisms. There can be no reclamation of terms like "the future" for the queer because of the future's permanent ideological association with reproduction. *That* discursive field (compulsory, heteronormative reproduction) seeks to contain and colonize the disruptive force of the queer by making queerness meaningful, by making queerness future-directed. He writes, however:

queerness names the side of those not "fighting for the children," the side outside the consensus by which all politics confirms the

Doyle: Blind Spots and Failed Performance 29

absolute value of reproductive futurism.... Queerness attains its ethical value precisely insofar as it accedes to that place [outside and beyond . . . political symbols, the place of the social order's death drive], accepting its figural status as resistance to the viability of the social while insisting on the inextricability of such resistance from every social structure. (*NF*,  $_3$ )

Queerness is, in other words, the Other not only to reproductive futurism but to political community itself insofar as the latter desentational sense.<sup>4</sup> The disruptive force of queerness, according to and anti-communitarian intervention exactly insofar as it interrupts ful. To return to his critique of Butler—Edelman focuses his line of attack on the place of intelligibility in conversations about politifinally pivots on the absorption of Antigone's acts and speech, and her death into political discourse. Butler, he writes, seems to have clude *more*, to absorb that which had previously been abjected and unintelligible. Edelman asks that we hold onto another possibility.

What if Antigone, along with all those doomed to ontological suspension on account of their unrecognizable and, in consequence,

"unlivable" loves, *declined* intelligibility, declined to bring herself catachrestically, into the ambit of future meaning—or declined, more exactly, to cast off the meaning that clings to those social identities that intelligibility abjects: their meaning as names for the meaninglessness the Symbolic order requires as a result of the

catachresis that posits meaning to begin with. (NF, 106)

This refusal of intelligibility is the work of the synthomosexual. Rhetorically at least, Edelman sees a kinship between the topic of abortion and queerness. It is in his negation of the slogan, in his "stand against reproduction, against futurity, and so against life" that he is positioned (and positions himself) as queer. He briefly gestures toward the intersection of this version of queerness with pro-abortion politics by pointing to the resistance within man-

stream feminist political discourse to naming abortion as a site of political identification. The moniker "pro-choice," he quite rightly points out (as have feminists since the term's earliest use), reproduces a heteronormative universe in which having a family, making a family, is represented as just a matter of the right time for making the right choice. Of the difficulty of building a political community around abortion, Edelman writes, "Who *would*, after all, come out *for* abortion or stand *against* reproduction, *against* futurity, and so against life?" (*NF*, r.6). Edelman's rhetorical question seems to suggest that the answer to this is no one but the radically queer—embodied by a figure he calls the *sinthomosexual* (borrowing from Lacan), a figure that is throughout his work at least invariably male. Weirdly, on closer look, we find that a similar kind of representational interference is created by another figure in this story—the pregnant woman.

subject. Subjective coherence is a discursive effect, and this cohercurrently underwrites legal advocacy for the liberalization of access cal assumptions" ("AQ," 249). The metaphysics of substance that of who uses them, these terms belong to a single set of metaphysivert effortlessly into their opposites, precisely because, regardless the mouths of antiabortionists, 'choice,' 'privacy,' and 'rights' inence, Poovey argues, lies at the heart of abortion discourse. "In from its presumption/reproduction of a coherent, autonomous terrogate liberal humanism and the political violence generated uses the intersubjective complexity of the scene of abortion to inmanist subject is not psychoanalytic, but Marxist/feminist. Poovey tique of pro-choice discourse.<sup>6</sup> Her essay on the death of the hubook, Mary Poovey's 1992 essay "The Abortion Question and the vests the humanist subject from the mother's body. Like Edelman's that makes sense of this image-that makes it "intelligible"-haracted not by an image of a child, but by an image of a fetus emto abortion is, she continues, "an inadequate basis for all the argu-Death of Man" starts from the figuration of abortion and a criby Edelman as a symbolic image of "the Child").5 The discourse bedded in a woman's uterus, named by a slogan as "child" (and No Future's primary scene-Edelman's interpellation is, in fact, en-In responding to his book, critics have so far stayed away from

Doyle: Blind Spots and Failed Performance

دب بېز

ments thus far advanced for the right to legal abortions" ("AQ," 249). She explains:

The individualism implied by the metaphysics of substance is a dead end appeal for supporting abortion on demand for two reasons: first, because the appeal to individual rights *in the absence of* an interrogation of the metaphysical assumptions behind the idea of rights leads almost inevitably to a proliferation of those considered to have rights—in other words, to a defense of fetal personhood; second, because appeals to this metaphysics obscures that both the metaphysics and legal persons are always imbricated in the system of social relations, which, given the existence of social differences, are also inevitably politicized. ("AQ," 249)

We need an alternative politics, she argues, one grounded not in the discourse of individual rights (and their expansion), or notions of privacy and embodied personhood (which rhetorically ground both the decriminalization of abortion in the United States and the ongoing erosion of women's access to abortion on demand). Such a politics "would emphasize not the ways in which subjects are isolatable, autonomous, centered individuals, but the ways in which each person has conflicting interests and complex ties to other, apparently autonomous individuals with similar (and different) needs and interests" ("AQ," 252). From nearly the same starting place as Edelman (a recognition of the necessity of resisting the representational logics of liberal humanist discourse), Poovey moves not toward the "anti-social thesis" (as Edelman's position is sometimes identified) but toward a deeply relational model for thinking the politicized sexed body.

As Poovey explains, that billboard's image of fetus-as-man (and fetus as coherent subject) has come to define discourse on abortion and to mediate both popular and legal understanding of the relationship between women and the fetal. Feminist theorists, of course, have been fighting the humanist logic that structures discourse on reproduction and abortion since at least the emergence of "pro-life" and "pro-choice" discourse—*especially* as that discourse has been animated by the image of the fetus. In that image,

put it, 'the early human being'" ("SM," 173). the legal system . . . are dramatically being reversed in the so-called even the term for this kind of photography renders the woman's interests of an amorphous subject: the fetus, or as advocates of IVF Stabile explains, "Whatever rights 'women' may have had within that renders her disposable. Humanism, here, is a zero-sum game. body invisible in the service of a larger discourse on reproduction women to passive, reproductive machines."7 Stabile points out that sonhood", writes Carol Stabile in an essay on "fetal imaging," nant with the Child of our Imaginary identifications." "Fetal percall. Of course, it is a particular woman's body that is here "preg-(in vitro fertilization) technologies as well as anti-abortion factions "depend[s] upon the erasure of female bodies and the reduction of subject, distinct in its identity from the body that contains it. The background, even in Edelman's refusal of the image's ideologica pregnant woman disappears into an amorphous and undefined child-by the personification of the fetus in the womb as a visible the future is bodied forth by the framing of the fetus as future-

a part."8 One of the most profound effects of this image's work in two. Without this view of the pregnant woman and the fetus, it the pregnant mother and her fetus that artificially separates the of the body of which the fetus is a part. As Drucilla Cornell puts woman-her "present" is recast, in fact, as the future's abject past. future embodied by the fetus from the present embodied by the discourse on abortion had been the way it is used to divorce the physical and mental well-being of the woman of whose body it is would be obvious that the 'life' of the fetus is inseparable from the it, "Explicitly or implicitly, this assumption demands a vision of hinges on the personification of the fetus and the depersonification liberated. The rhetorical violence of abortion politics nearly always body as a slaveholding state, from which the fetal person must be the language of abolition in making its case-casting the mother's In fact, the anti-abortion movement has started borrowing from er's desires, with her appetites, with her illnesses, with her vices woman's body-in which fetal interests are at war with the moth creasingly represented as the hostile environment of the pregnant In the United States, the law takes action against what is in-

Within mainstream discourse on reproduction, in other words, the body standing in the way of reproduction, futurity, and life itself is quite specifically that of the abortive woman.

expressed alongside and as the aborted, as a last word. Adrienne duced in Sexton's "The Abortion" in conjunction with "bleed"----abortion with: creating space, finally, in the poem's last stanza, to "say what you Rich's "To a Poet," on the other hand, concludes her poem on meant / you coward . . . this baby that I bleed." "I" is only prowith the attributes of the infantile body aborted by a doctor there, writes around an abortion-seeding the Pennsylvania landscape almost body down" to a baby that was never born; Anne Sexton poetic voice. Lucile Clifton thus writes, "the time I dropped your mination of a pregnancy and its relationship to the production of Johnson considers a handful of poems by women writers that exon feminist poetics, "Apostrophe, Animation, and Abortion"---the child," is famously explored by Barbara Johnson in her 1986 essay periment with tropes of personification in order to explore the terlone feminist scholarship on abortion cited by Edelman.9 There, modes of address embedded in a slogan like "It's not a choice, it's a The centrality of the politics of subjection, identification and

I write this not for you who fight to write your own words fighting up the falls but for another woman dumb with loneliness dust seeping plastic bags with children in a house where language floats and spins

abortion in

the bowl

As Johnson explains, "you" here is the poem's reader, but the poet addresses herself not to that reader-not to us-but to "another woman" excluded from the space of poetry altogether---surrounded by house and child, but "dumb / with loneliness." There is no mistaking the sense that for Rich, to write "abortion" into a poem

4 4

some of these writings at least, is what makes poetry possible. mestic work from the time in which to write, etc.). Abortion, in hood from the luxury of education, by the endless chores of dorearing and simply find writing difficult (barred by early motherparadigms organize our lives, women carry the burden of child to independence), and materially, for as long as heteronormative (by the movement from childhood to adulthood, from dependence formally, narrative itself seems structured by the child's perspective that of child" ("AA," 39). This is a compound form of difficulty---culty of doing so, Johnson argues, reflects the challenge of trying to produce "a full elaboration of any discursive position other than / the bowl")—it is, paradoxically, to write as "mother": the diffiboth up and down ("where language floats and spins / abortion in is, in essence, to create a new kind of poet, it is to drag language

and accessible point. of personification by pro-life activists-the article's most obvious cation. He references instead Johnson's analysis of the deployment of the dominance of the speaking position of the child within both sertion within feminist studies). Nor does he engage her critique literature and criticism as liberal humanism's preferred subject loof feminist authorship with infanticide (its most controversial asfor Johnson's important observation of the figural identification indeterminate self articulated by Clifton, Sexton, and Rich, nor Edelman cites Johnson's essay not for its reading of the fluid,

itly resists the call to think of how this term might apply to women. "sinthome" to name this figure the "sinthomosexual." He explicthe 'natural' order of sexual reproduction." Edelman uses Lacan's as an investigation of "machine-like" figures that "stand outside Edelman explains the near total absence of the female figure from In a footnote plainly written in anticipation of feminist critique, is organized as a series of readings of queerly disruptive figuresas the refusal of reproductive futurism, for example. No Future er off. Let us return briefly to that figure that Edelman holds up argument-or, at least in this instance, he puts the feminist readsubject is hardly unique within queer theory, and it handicaps his ticle and the elaborate body of feminist theoretical work on the His citational erasure of both the complexity of Johnson's ar-

Doyle: Blind Spots and Failed Performance

ŝ

for the woman erased from the picture. gins his discussion. If there is no future in that image, it is no future stands in the way of fetal autonomy. Discourse on maternity has been radically altered by the very image with which Edelman beas Cornell and other legal scholars explain, her flesh is that which resented as in diametrical opposition to those of the fetus. Legally, Increasingly, from the legal perspective, women's interests are repturing, and so on has eroded where fetal personhood is at stake. affinity between women and the naturalness of reproduction, nur-Child, feminist legal studies have shown how deeply the assumed ways that the mother's body is pitted against the life force of the Sapphic slasher").<sup>11</sup> To take just one vector of scholarship on the other, which I do not consider here, is what Lisa Duggan calls "the ure is the anti-reproductive, abortive, and monstrous woman (aning emotions." At least one feminine version of this disruptive figit is not because women are represented as bound by "domesticatthis. If female figures are less likely to operate as sinthomosexuals, emotions" (NF, n. 165).<sup>10</sup> But the situation is more complex than bound more closely to sociality, reproduction, and domesticating are generally positioned in cultural representations "as 'naturally his review of "sinthomosexuals" as a reflection of how women

entitled subject who can afford the simple negation.<sup>12</sup> of those not fighting for the children"-this is the statement of the to the seductiveness of the position that "queerness names the side Shulamith Firestone, or Donna Haraway) is not wholly unrelated production (from, say, the scabrous brilliance of Valerie Solanas, of this thread in queer theory from radical feminist writing on retheory No Future ignores). It seems to me that the disarticulation the background of the anti-abortion poster, but the "past" feminist I take here to be not only the maternal body that disappears into challenges—speaking as Child cut from the body of mother (which to speaking from exactly the reproductive position he so forcefully in visual studies and literary theory, Edelman comes awfully close scholars working in political theory, philosophy, the law, as well as tion and radical feminist critique of reproductive discourse by In stepping over complex and important scholarship on abor-

That fantasy goes hand in hand with another: that we've birthed Wouldn't it be nice to imagine that children aren't our problem?

ourselves. That subject position—generated via the separation of child from mother and the fantasy of autonomy generated in the cutting of that cord—is *not* anti-reproductive. It is the very gesture through which heteronormative patriarchal authority manufactures itself.

as a practice that breaks down boundaries between art and politirial opposition to their project or the interestingness of such work consciousness raising. Without taking away from either the mateart and politics in much the same way it moves between actual world within which they worked.<sup>13</sup> As Carrie Lambert Beatty excreative expertise of their members both to raise awareness about awareness, distributing educational information, and even facilical action, I want to suggest that within feminism it is also perhaps visible way that feminist artists engage abortion via activism and piracy, fact and myth."14 It is a cutting-edge example of the most human rights mission and media-political campaign, legality and plains in her essay on the group, Women on Waves "tacks between performance-based actions to imagine other possibilities to the the local communities-as these artists did so, they used visual and homophobia and HIV/AIDS and to educate and offer services to bears a strong resemblance to AIDS activist projects that used the tries that severely restrict their access to termination. Their work around the world to offer abortion services to women in counis a Dutch activist organization that sends a small ship to locations tating women's access to abortion. Women on Waves, for example, trouble the distinctions drawn between art and activism by raising recent scholarship about abortion and art focuses on artists who abortion discourse, and at the reaction to her work. In general the topic. We know how to talk about this kind of work. one of the most socially sanctioned ways an artist might take up ist breaks with liberal feminist tradition in her engagement with thinking about sex radicalism by looking at a case in which an art-As a point of contrast, we might look at a recent scandal involv-We can better track the particular usefulness of abortion to

As a point of contrast, we might look at a recent scandal involving an artist's work with abortion—a scandal that signals the degree to which her actions queer abortion discourse. For her final project as a Yale University fine arts student, Aliza Schvarts artificially

Doyle: Blind Spots and Failed Performance 37

Schvarts's story. "truth" of the piece resides in how the reader chooses to interpret cal investments in legal and medical management of the artist's "proof" that any of the events she describes ever took place).16 The piece (for reasons that will become clear below, there is, in fact, no body as the most reliable framework for reading the truth of the aborted an embryo. The biological possibility of pregnancy was cessfully fertilized an egg, of having been pregnant, or of having left open only as a possibility in order to foreground the ideologinever took a pregnancy test, there is no record of her having sucin her conceptualization and enactment of the process. Because she traces of her induced periods.<sup>15</sup> An element of uncertainty figures as an installation using video showing her bleeding into a cup and an eye toward producing a narrative about the experience, as well and took abortificants near the date when her period was due, with inseminated herself once a month over the course of nine months;

210). Hartouni explains: saline abortion, but of male ejaculation-learning this forces an interrogation of "what images 'are', and what they 'mean'" ("VW," however, is not actually a cinematic record of the "biospace" of a tation on loss, birth, and motherhood. That first image sequence, work through which we read the rest of the video—a poetic medivictim" ("VW," 202). The video's opening sequence sets the framewhat we are encouraged to view as the unmediated view of the we are "spectators to an apparent real-time [saline] abortion from "I choose, I chose, I have chosen" and images that make us feel that way-her video layers the soundtrack of a female voice repeating wise worked to silence."17 Mare poses this question in a surprising ed to tell precisely the kind of story their deployment has otherings, and practices [can] be (re)deployed and oppositionally inflectartist asks if "what are, in effect, pro-life representations, meanvideo S'Aline's Solution (1991), Valerie Hartouni traces how the visual work about abortion. Reading Aline Mare's experimental productive ideology and its hold on the body is not unheard of in This deployment of ambiguity as a means of denaturalizing re-

What permits us to read ejaculation as a violent, traumatic, distinctly unnatural rupture of natural processes is not only our

80

general illiteracy with respect to the functioning of bodies—this is to be expected. It is our illiteracy coupled with powerful, prior notions and anxieties themselves shaped by a larger public discourse and culture of abortion about what the practice is, means, and entails. ("VW," 208)

Schvarts works in a similar territory when she scrambles biopolitical narratives about the female body in a deliberate refusal of "the contemporary grammar and culture of abortion" ("VW," 209). Where Mare makes her critique of that grammar by underscoring "the conspicuous absence of . . . the gestating body . . . in contemporary renderings" of abortion ("VW," 209), Schvarts insists on placing the body back in the story in order to explore its disruptive effects. The attempt to shift the conversation about the artist's body away from pregnancy and abortion to something less determined by reproductive frameworks proved controversial.

Predictably, a Yale Daily News article about the project stirred up national controversy (Powers 2008).<sup>18</sup> It was broadcast on U.S. national news, and made headlines in such newspapers as the New York Times and the Chicago Tribune. Daily scandal sheets in London plugged the story into their roundup of attention-grabbing headlines. Several Facebook sites are devoted to hate campaigns against Schvarts, newspapers across the country received scores of letters and e-mails responding to their coverage of the story, and it became minor news in the art world as an occasion to grandstand about the state of performance art.<sup>19</sup>

Surprisingly, given its support of the distribution of "the morning-after pill" without a prescription and also the facilitation of abortion-on-demand for the students who rely on its health services (though you won't find the word "abortion" anywhere on its website), Yale University did not stand behind Schvarts's work: in response, campus officials distanced themselves by insisting on reframing the entire action as "fictional" while also condemning what they avowed was a simulation *had it been real*. The student was, in their view, "never pregnant" and had "never miscarried": "The entire project is an art piece, a creative fiction designed to draw attention to the ambiguity surrounding the form and function of a woman's body." University publicists claimed that the art-

Doyle: Blind Spots and Failed Performance 39

ing this one. and unexhibited-it exists only in the stories told about it, includ aspect of it in the final thesis show. The project remains untitled able project in a community where the consequences go beyond her descriptions of the action, and was barred from presenting any that individual."21 Schvarts refused to sign any disclaimer about the individual who initiates the project and may even endanger who had recently become dean of Yale's art school, reiterated the the artist would "confess in writing that the exhibition is a work of I would not have permitted it to go forward. This is not an acceptinstitution's position when he declared: "If I had known about this fiction."20 The director of the 2007 Venice Biennale, Robert Storr, administrators then threatened to prevent the work's display unless and raised serious mental and physical health concerns." University Helena Klasky, "they would have violated basic ethical standards performance. "Had these acts been real," explained spokesperson ist's statements to the contrary were also fictional and part of the

ity as a means of disabling epistemological models grounded in with reproductive discourse, the assertion of patriarchal authorteminist theory and art making: to the entanglement of authorship is concerned. On this point, the work returns to old issues within author within the context of performance, where the female body in particular, to draw attention to the strange status of the artist as plore the discursive field surrounding sex and reproduction-and, not she was ever pregnant was never the point: the point was to exan abiding sense of loss. For Schvarts, the question of whether or not use contraception (or experience failure)-the monthly uncerof the lives of heterosexually active female college students who do were offended by the idea of abortion, or by the idea that the tion in the controversy. The project's most virulent critics (who duce a deep sense of relief, a feeling of escape, twinges of regret, for signs of pregnancy or period, and the circumstances that protainty, conversations with roommates about sage tea, the search the odds.) On this point, the project theatricalized a defining part tion. (Newspapers consulted fertility doctors, for example, to get whole thing was a hoax, or by both) were obsessed by this ques-"Was Aliza Schvarts ever pregnant?" became the central ques-

40

feminine forms of relationality, the anxiety produced by the female body that attempts to disarticulate itself from reproductive discourse.

have come to be articulated in the courtroom as questions of aucourse on reproduction, in which questions about parental rights term, and lost—as the court found that the "idea" for the child berogate mother sued for parental rights over the child she carried to thorship-as in the resolution of one U.S. court case in which a surprivilege of the domestic subjects empowered enough to engineer in the gestating body but in the acquisitive desires and material Here, concepts like life, personhood, and family are grounded not longed to the genetic parents who had hired her to carry the baby.<sup>22</sup> over the artist's body: anti-abortionists and liberal feminists alike pregnant woman becomes little more than a hot house with an the transformation of that idea into a pregnancy (in which the turns biology against destiny; feminists are disgusted by her realabortionists condemn her as a reproductive citizen who willfully responded to the scandal as though Schvarts had mutinied-antiing disgust grounded very much in a collective sense of ownership inconvenient sense of attachment). Schvarts provoked a moralizof radically queer artists who became flashpoints for controversy to women aborting at will, recklessly, pointlessly, and for fun. In ization of the right wing's claims that abortion on demand leads to literalize homophobic fantasies about what gay sex looks like: Mapplethorpe, Ron Athey, and David Wojnarowicz's work seemed about sex and art. During the "NEA wars" of the 1990s, Robert this, Schvarts found herself placed in a public space similar to that violent, perverse, dangerous. All three were subject to suspicion and moralization from liberals who resist incorporating anti-domestic models of sexual being into their politics. It also resonates with the increasingly bizarre world of legal dis-

Exploring the controversy sparked by Schvarts's project suggests provocative intersections between the abortive and the queer. On its face, Schvarts's project explores the discursive field through which the female body is produced and read as a reproductive body. She hardly needed to exhibit in the student thesis show to realize the full impact of this dimension of the project. In fact, the interrup-

Doyle: Blind Spots and Failed Performance 41

tion of the project by Yale's interdiction arguably brings the work to its most compelling formal conclusion.

story about sexuality, desire, and the body. The story Schvarts tells it as the practice of sexual freedom-to integrate abortion into a nomic, and political oppression, for example. It is another to trame that women of the Global South need in order to resist social, ecohuman rights—in which we discuss access to abortion as something of many women's lives). The destigmatization of abortion is, as it sively silenced by liberal discourse (abortion as an ordinary aspect of sexuality, but as part of the practice of a free sexual life-in part story produces the interruption of pregnancy as not the negation Waves sail. However, it is one thing to frame abortion in terms of happens, the very horizon toward which projects like Women on because the story moves abortion into exactly the domain aggresthe act of insemination was disarticulated from "sex," Schvarts's about gender, class, and reproduction. Interestingly, even though the topic masks much more complex, much darker social truths piece with the practice of sexual freedom. Grandstanding around witness to the difficulty of identifying with abortion itself as of a demn the action as a "bad" representation of the issue), we bear artist's mental and physical health), to liberal feminists (who conself-righteousness of Yale's administration (who worry about the pursuit of an idea-or worse, her own career), to the paternalistic wing pundits (who accuse her of subordinating potential life to the performance of sexual entitlement. From the indignation of rightabout the action dismissed Schvarts as a "spoiled brat." It is here that Schvarts's work takes on a political charge-as a conceptual produced by the idea of this performance-or, rather, it was, insofar as blogs, editorials, and comments posted in response to stories her to have an abortion. None of that was raised in the moral panic to see a pregnancy through and have a baby than it would be for abort. Indeed, it would be far more scandalous for a Yale student cess to a significant amount of resources supporting the decision to the most expensive universities on earth can afford to toy with this, an effect of entitlement-a white college student attending one of because as long as she is a member of that community she has acdraws attention to the fact that access to abortion-on-demand is The project raises a more interesting issue, however, when it

is unapologetic about the "reproductiveness" of her body, and the right to determine one's own relationship to that fleshy reality—to, in fact, establish what the reality of that flesh is, and means. Her project surfaces the production of sex in the disciplining of the reproductive body.

In her analysis of ways that political states reproduce power, authority, and their borders through their regulation of family, Jacqueline Stevens writes:

It is not that some people give birth and others do not that leads directly to gender roles. Rather, gender is what occurs through very specific rules a political society develops as it reproduces itself. The marked mother, subject to the institution of men taking her for the purpose of having children (matrimony), affects all who grow up as potential mothers. Perhaps these effects are what we perceive as sex.<sup>23</sup>

as criminal, queer, and, in fact, an attack on sex itself. As Michelle right to terminate her pregnancy is not absolute, and may to some out, is embedded in the very wording of Roe v Wade: "a woman's cumstances."24 The criminalization of abortion, Deutschler points but an "affirmation of abortion's illegality except in certain cir-England, and Australia reflects not a decriminalization of abortion, abortion in the 1970s in countries like France, the United States, legal discourse about abortion, the liberalization of access to LeDeouf and Penelope Deutschler have argued in their work on The attempt to free the body from this discursive regime registers and regulation of access via obligatory parental consent, counselwomen's health, in maintaining proper medical standards, and in ing about alternatives (such as adoption), and state-mandated buprotecting potential human life" (cited in "IE," 63). Legislation extent be limited by the state's legitimate interests in safeguarding confirm this strange truth. Abortion remains, both legally and tion that the pregnancy is damaging to the woman's mental health) reaucratic approval (as in Spanish law requiring medical confirmaconceptually, in a category of criminalized acts for which the law conceptual project underscores the fact that within contemporary makes occasional exceptions. The scandal produced by Schvarts?

Doyle: Blind Spots and Failed Performance 43

discourse on abortion it is always in some sense "wrong"; it is always bad, and even in liberal settings women may abort only when given permission by the apparatus and are spared condemnation only when they manifest the proper degree of regret.<sup>25</sup> That institutions are deeply invested in the reproductive body isn't news. The organization of that policing—around not only the body, but also the "idea," the presentation, the context—is, however, worth examining more closely, for it is here that we see the political problems raised by resistance to Shvarts's work most clearly.

eventually made its way into the media, and generated very heated was allowed to continue his studies.27 And while the whole story in protest of the university's generosity toward the student, who mances), then head of the Art Department, resigned at least partly excluded from material used to evaluate his work in the seminar of contemporary art): UCLA stated that the student's action was through glass, and nailing himself to a Volkswagen in early perfor-In fact, Chris Burden (infamous for having shot himself, crawling however, at no point did UCLA insist the student's performance be health and recommended counseling. Unlike Yale's administration, one really knows.) They, too, worried about the student's menta programs (which have long been associated with the vanguards upset. Deutch had to answer to an angry campus administration loaded. (The gun was never seen after the performance, and so no again, hetional, that the gun was never real, and that it was never one must marvel at the nearly identical positions adopted by both department itself. Regardless of what one thinks about that piece, that reprimanded him for not thinking about the consequences of hurt, but, understandably, some students in the class became very to the class, told students that it was loaded, and then—amazingly his action on fellow students, on the course instructor, and on the 2004 course on extreme performance, this student brought a gun an MFA student at the University of California, Los Angeles. In a ilarity to problems created several years earlier by Joseph Deutch, Schvarts's project and the uproar left in its wake bear a striking simrelated to body art, performance, and pedagogy. On its surface, -appeared to play Russian roulette with himself.26 No one was Let's compare the scope of the controversy to another scandal

44

debates within the department, it did not create a scandal of nearly the order induced by Schvarts's piece. (There are no Facebook campaigns against Deutch, for example.)

art-in the reaction to both artists, in the intensity of the controand unexhibited project reveals the nearly absolute difficulty of inself.28 The controversy surrounding Shvarts's untitled, unfinished, abortive-both have been condemned for taking risks with life itbeginning of this conversation in Edelman's identification with the work constitutes an abuse of the body, and-amazingly, given the the object of controversy generated by the perception that their both work with the sexual body-and both have found themselves shop he runs with the artist Julie Tolentino). Athey and Schvarts after the Yale incident-in Praxis Mojave, the collaborative workfor Deutch's seminar, and, as it happens, later taught Schvarts-Athey's work than does Deutch's action (Athey was the instructor even witnessing). Schvarts's project has more in common with Ron interesting point I can think of regarding gun violence, suicide, or as an art action (his approach is not entirely original and makes no dent codes of conduct. Deutch's action is far more problematic, or not she was ever pregnant, she broke no rules, laws, or stuas well. Whether or not one believes Schvarts's story, and whether when it comes to thinking intelligently about sex. reproductive matrix, we see the particular limits of art discourse versy provoked by artists who work with queer sex and against the tegrating abortion as a material process into the discursive field of less ethically ambiguous, and, in my view, much easier to dismiss broken not only university rules regarding weapons but state law garding the disciplining of its students. That said, Deutch may have as a public institution, legally obliged to adhere to stated policy redictably and secretively than the University of California, which is, versities: Yale, as a private institution, can act much more unpredegrees of scandal partly reflect the different structures of the uni The difficulty of integrating (for example) piercing, sado-mas-I do not have an easy answer as to why this is. The different

The difficulty of integrating (for example) piercing, sado-masochistic gestures, and the abortive into art discourse does not seem surprising until we consider the things that we *do* accept in art: Santiago Sierra's exploitative use of day laborers to perform me-

Doyle: Blind Spots and Failed Performance 45

nial tasks, Vanessa Beecroft's regressive displays of nude women, Andreas Serrano and Teresa Margoles's work with the bodies of the dead, Zhang Huan's use of human ash. All of these practices are controversial, but they also have extensive exhibition histories and significant places in critical discourse about the politics of art (all are, in fact, "blue chip" gallery artists with very successful careers).

performance as the conversation devolved into discussions of the self. This describes perfectly the reception of Schvarts's conceptual politicize processes of figuration, the dynamics of representation itdeploy the literal body against the trope of the metaphorical-to space. That reaction, though, often misses the ways such artists see a metonymical extension of the artist's flesh into their critical the reactions of critics who see in feminist art the literal body, who the categorical resistance to feminist art that works with flesh---to willful misrepresentation of Ron Athey's performances as exposing audience members to HIV. We see this, Blocker reminds us, in for fear that looking at them will make viewers gay, and in the this in the attempt to censor Robert Mapplethorpe's photographs queer art practices are almost universally shaped by this-we see are not seen to be performing at all" (113). Moral panic about defined against and precluded from the figurative "because they time" (108). She continues, "The female and the queer" are both the literal and the tendency to represent the former as "more nosuch work complicates the distinctions between the figurative and [such] work could be both 'literal' and 'a statement' at the same ble" (WB, 113). "What troubles [critics] most is the prospect that stocked with toiletries and bloodied sanitary napkins and tampons overflowing from a large wash bucket), Blocker explains that installation Menstruation Bathroom (1972) (a white bathroom of the 1972 feminist project Womanbouse and of Judy Chicago's are subjected to a literal interpretation that functions as "an effective strategy of marginalization." Examining critical reception especially artists who reference flesh and blood in their workwork on this subject, artists who work with the sexual body-and to feminist and queer body art. As Jane Blocker explains in her Part of the problem here is that of the literalism of the response

likelihood that she would have gotten pregnant by using the methods she described.

critical theorists like Adorno have argued) does this instrumentalonly discourse on abortion but also critical questions about what disciplining mechanisms of sexual discourse. On this level, Aliza a wildly utopian attempt to produce the sexual body outside the productive gestures into the aesthetic field may be thought of as uct from even its art? From this perspective, the integration of retoward a better social good (as does Women on Waves)? Or (as art should be and do: Should artists refuse the autonomy of the have with what Schvarts says she did goes right to the heart of not do something. Amazingly, the problem nearly everyone seemed to serious, and reluctant. It should not be "real," and yet it should most obvious element), but also the deep policing from every corthe discursive field surrounding the reproductive body (this is its was always already, in other words, abortive. Schvarts's project was destined to fail, to never be fully realized-it the ideological machinery of capitalism, which demands a prodism dictate a kind of functionality that is fully commensurate with world of art, integrate their practice into the everyday and work topic of abortion should be productive. It should be appropriately that sex be defined through reproduction, art engaged with the as an aspect of sexual life. Mirroring the ideology that requires ner of narrative and affect around the representation of abortion The difficulty of talking about Schvarts's project reveals not only

### Notes

I owe much thanks to programmers and the audiences who heard earlier versions of this paper: Mark Turner and those who attended my January 2008 talk at King's College, University of London, heard my first foray into this territory and gave me necessary encouragement and direction. Arakis, the speakers and seminar participants at the Montehermoso Center in Vitoria, Spain, offered valuable feedback—especially Elisabeth Lebovici, whose recommendations for further research were pivotal. Amelia Jones invited me to give this paper on a panel at Performance Studies International (Copenhagen, 2008) and was, as she is always, an ideal interlocutor. The participants in Ron Athey's four-day seminar on performance

## Doyle: Blind Spots and Failed Performance

47

in Riverside, California (February 2009), heard a draft of this paper, and helped me to sharpen its focus. I also owe some gratitude to the members of the editorial board at *Signs* who read an earlier (very different) draft of this essay and rejected it with thoughtful criticism that helped me to see what the essay was really about. This project was initiated while I was a Leverhulme Trust Visiting Fellow in Visual Cultures at Goldsmiths College, and would not have been written without the research time granted by this award and the unique intellectual community offered by the faculty and students at Goldsmiths. Aliza Schvarts was very helpful, mostly because she would not speak in any detail about the performance itself.

- 1. My comments are made from a very specific disciplinary locationrelatively unexamined. abortion discourse within the United States. But as I explain below, der radicalism at the heart of queer theory's central texts have been 41 (hereafter cited as "TW")-to name just a few works that unpack the connections between the pro-abortion position and the sex/gen-States," WSQ: Women's Studies Quarterly 36, nos. 1-2 (2008): 28not Say: Witnessing the Trauma-tization of Abortion in the United University Press, 2003); and Jeannie Ludlow, "The Things We Canand Social Responsibility: Depolarizing the Debate (Oxford: Oxford tion Debate (Boston: Beacon Press, 1997); Laurie Shrage's Abortion Beyond Pro-Choice and Pro-Life: Moral Diversity within the Aborstudies courses, especially, and abortion has been consistently studied bates (Berkeley: University of California Press, 1998); Kathy Rudy, by feminists working in a range of disciplines in the social sciences ductive rights are, of course, discussed extensively within women's ---see, for example, Faye Ginsburg's Contested Lives: Abortion Defrom within the humanities, and from within queer studies. Repro-
- 2. Lee Edelman, No Future: Queer Theory and the Death Drive (Durham: Duke University Press, 2004). Hereafter cited as NF.
- 3. Judith Butler, Antigone's Claim: Kinship between Life and Death
- (New York: Columbia University Press, 2000); José Muñoz, Cruising Utopia: The Then and There of Queer Futurity (Durham: Duke
- University Press, 2009); Elizabeth Freeman, "Time Binds, or, Erotohistoriography," Social Text 23, nos. 3-4 (2005): 57-68; Elizabeth
- Freeman, "Packing History, Count(er)ing Generations." New Literary History 11 no. (Automa 2002)
- *ary History* 31, no. 4 (Autumn 2000): 727-44.
- 4. For an interesting synthesis and redeployment of the critique of politics of representation see Dimitris Papadopolous, Niammh Stephenson, and Vassilis Tsianos, *Escape Routes: Control and Subversion in*

- *the 21st Century* (Seattle: Pluto Press, 2008), 55–71. Here, the authors lay the groundwork for addressing and enacting what they call "imperceptible politics"—local moments of struggle and escape that refuse absorption into the liberal political sphere as their aim.
- 5. The intense critical debate sparked by Edelman's book is in itself an ments from their perspectives (Robert Caserio, Tim Dean, Lee Edelwhich several key figures in queer studies took on Edelman's argu-(PMLA) published a series of position papers based on a panel ir any meaningful detail). The Modern Language Association's journal interesting phenomenon (though too complicated to examine here in al production in particular. José Muñoz writes that "shouting dowr cultural politics of affective policing. It is as "camp archive" with a which we might read antisocial queer politics-such as Valerie Sola-There Judith Halberstam rails against the whiteness and masculin-Thesis in Queer Theory," PMLA 121, no. 3 [May 2006]: 819-36). man, Judith Halberstam, and José Esteban Muñoz, "The Antisocia the more punk affects that define radical lesbian writing and culturvery specific idea of what "queer negativity" might be-excluded are needs to expand his archive, but that his archive reinforces a larger nas's SCUM Manifesto. Halberstam's point isn't simply that Edelmar figures that he celebrates are white men) and proposes other sites in ity of his archive (all of the radically queer literary and cinematic characterizes the writing of scholars like Edelman and Leo Bersani (in rity with compromise. Drawing especially from Bloch, he argues for utopia is an easy move," and insists on the importance of resisting counter tendencies to associate queerness with an anti-political turn stam, and Muñoz) co-edited "What's So Queer about Queer Studies queer scholarship engaged with race-Edelman's galvanizing rhetoric gies under attack in Edelman's model have an indispensable place in Muñoz furthermore points out that the kind of critical methodolohis work Homos [Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press, 1996]). "a critique of the totalizing and naturalizing idea of the present" that therein lies its political force. Muñoz refuses the association of futufuturity because, he writes, "queerness is always on the horizon," and Edelman's naturalization of the link between heteronormativity and Now?" a special issue of Social Text 23, nos. 3-4 (2005), intended to ideologies. Some of Edelman's strongest critics (David Eng, Halber is less than inspiring for those working against the effects of racist in London in 2007, critiques his arguments as indicative of the ways Mandy Merck, in a paper given at a conference on Edelman's book

Doyle: Blind Spots and Failed Performance 49

that queer theory can, at its worst, reveal itself to be disengaged from the most pressing issues of the times (such as ecological disaster). As much as Edelman's brilliant turns of phrases (like the unforgettable "fascism of the baby's face") have inspired readers, the narrowness of his archive, his ebullient embrace of what appears to be an anti-political and ahistorical stance (at least on its surface), and his shameless contempt for historically and politically committed critical practices have left a lot of readers angry.

- 6. Mary Poovey, "The Abortion Question and the Death of Man," in *Feminists Theorize the Political*, ed. Judith Butler and Joan Scott (New York: Routledge, 1992), 239–56. Hereafter cited as "AQ."
- 7. Carol A. Stabile, "Shooting the Mother: Fetal Pornography and the Politics of Disappearance," in *The Visible Woman: Imaging Technologies, Gender, and Science* ed. Paula Treichler, Lisa Cartwright, and Constance Penley (New York: New York University Press, 1998), 171–97, 172. Hereafter cited as "SM."
- 8. Drucilla Cornell, "Dismembered Selves and Wandering Wombs," in Left Legalism/Left Critique, ed. Wendy Brown and Janet Halley (Durham: Duke University Press, 2002), 337–72.
- 9. Barbara Johnson, "Apostrophe, Animation, and Abortion," *Diacritics* 16, no. 1 (Spring 1986), 29–47. Hereafter cited as "AA."
- ro. Much of what Edelman identifies with the sinthomosexual defines feminist writing. The issues managed by the rhetorical question "who *would* stand against futurity and so, against life" structure much of Toni Morrison's fiction, for example. As Marianne Hirsch details, Morrison's women are positioned in a dialectical relationship to motherhood—it is what makes them but also what threatens their existence: There is no escaping it. Mothers and motherhood are fulcrum points around which historical forces turn in her novels. The threat of being dragged back to slavery leads a mother to murder her child in *Beloved*, but that murdered baby haunts Sethe and provides the very architecture by which the story ("not a story to be passed on") is told—providing the natrative structure through which she comes into being. The title character of *Sula* asserts, "I don't want to make some-
- or being dragged back to slavery leads a mother to murder her child in *Beloved*, but that murdered baby haunts Sethe and provides the very architecture by which the story ("not a story to be passed on") is told—providing the narrative structure through which she comes into being. The title character of *Sula* asserts, "I don't want to make somebody else. I want to make myself." Her grandmother Eva murders her troubled son Plum to put an end to his efforts to "crawl back in my womb . . . I had room enough in my heart . . . but not in my womb, not no more" (Morrison, cited in Marianne Hirsch, "Maternal Narratives: Cruel Enough to Stop the Blood," in *Reading Black*, *Reading Feminist*, ed. Henry Louis Gates [New York: NAL, 1990], 415–30;

stead on the mother-and on the mother's ambivalent relationship tive of daughters, Hirsch asks if by centering our lines of inquiry innist theory to approach the story of reproduction from the perspecnowhere to go" ("MN," 426). Commenting on the tendency for femino choice. Hirsch writes that in Morrison's novels, "women who restruggle. They cannot define themselves except through it--they have keep motherhood from taking them over, they are defined by that other, existing as social and legal as well as psychological subjects" interconnection between one body and another, one person and anto children-"we might try to envision a culturally variable form of ject unconditionally the lives and the stories of their mothers [have 421; hereafter cited as "MN"). As hard as these women struggle to self. Mothers often bring the story, in other words, to an end to generate a maternal voice trouble the very fabric of storytelling itagainst the body of the mother (even within feminism) that attempts conventions are so deeply structured as the child's articulation of self ment (itself informed by feminist psychoanalytic theory): narrative ("MN," 428). Her comment echoes Barbara Johnson's earlier argu-

- 11. Lisa Duggan, Sapphic Slashers: Sex, Violence, and American Modernity (Durham: Duke University Press, 2000).
- 12. Citational practices aside, Edelman knows these areas of sexual politics are profoundly linked, if only by "the common stake in the militant right's opposition to abortion and to the practice of queer sexualities" (NF, 15).
- 13. See Douglas Crimp, *AIDSdemographics* (Seattle: Bay Press, 1990), for a comprehensive map of the political actions staged by Gran Fury and other AIDS art activist groups. The book invites readers to adopt the strategies they used and to reproduce any of the images included in the book.
- 14. Carrie Lambert-Beatty, "Twelve Miles: Boundaries for the New Art Activism," Signs: Journal of Women in Culture and Society 33, no. 2 (Winter 2008): 309–27, 316.
- 15. Details of Schvarts's project are from her statement, published in the *Yale Daily News*, April 18, 2008. Accessed online at http://www.yale dailynews.com/articles/view/24559 on July 2, 2008.
- 16. E-mail discussion with the artist, June 2008.
- 17. Valerie Hartouni, "Fetal Exposures: Abortion Politics and the Optics of Allusion," in *The Visible Woman: Imaging Technologies, Gender, and Science*, ed. Paula Treichler, Lisa Cartwright, and Constance Penley (New York: New York University Press, 1998), 198–216, 199.
  Hereafter cited as "FE."

# Doyle: Blind Spots and Failed Performance

с Н

- 18. Martine Powers, "For senior, abortion a medium for art, political discourse," *Yale Daily News*, April 17, 2008, online at http://www
- .yaledailynews.com/articles/view/24513 (accessed July 31, 2009).
- 19. Charlie Finch, "Mission Aborted," Artnet.com, http://www.artnet.com/
- magazineus/features/finch/finch5-12-08.asp (accessed July 28, 2008). 20. Klasky's statement as well as my description of the administration's
- negotiations with Schvarts are here taken from Zachary Abrahamson, Thomas Kaplan, and Martine Powers, "Schvarts, Yale Clash over Project," Yale Daily News, April 18, 2008, http://www.yaledailynews .com/articles/view/24651 (accessed July 2, 2008). There is ambiguity in the public record of the project over whether Schvarts actually
- went through the process she describes—in part because the project
- was interrupted, and so we do not even know how Schvarts might
- a discursive version of Haley Newman's series of "Performance Pho-
- a discursive version of Haley Newman's series of "Performance Photographs" that document performances that never happened (and in-
- deed hide this fact from the viewer). But there, Newman's own state-
- ment anchors our understanding of those photographs as "fictional."
- No such ground is given here. I chose to bypass the question of "what really happened" to focus on what *did* happen—the near universal
- condemnation of the whole enterprise.
- Quoted by Catherine Donaldson-Evans, "Yale to Cancel Controversial 'Abortion Art' Exhibit Unless Student Admits It's Fiction" (April 21, 2008), http://FOXnews.com/story/0,293,351984,00.html (ac-
- 22. Mark Rose. "Mothers and Authors: Johnson v Calvert and the New
- 22. Mark Rose, "Mothers and Authors: Johnson v Calvert and the New Children of Our Imaginations," in *Imaging Technologies, Gender,*
- ley (New York: NYU Press, 1998), 217–39.
- 23. Jacqueline Stevens, Reproducing the State (Princeton: Princeton Uni-
- versity Press, 1999), 210.
- 24. Penelope Deutschler, "The Inversion of Exceptionality: Foucault, Agambden, and 'Reproductive Rights," South Atlantic Quarterly 107, no. 1 (Winter 2008): 55–70, 63. Hereafter cited as "IE."
- 25. For scholarship that steps outside the regulation of narratives of abortion to consider how women narrate their own experiences with abortion, see Lisa Alvaros, "Hindsight and the Abortion Experience: What Abortion Means to Women Years Later," *Gender Issues* 17, no. 2 (Spring 1999): 35-57. Expanding feminist sociological work on this topic, Alvaros explores the fluidity and complexity with which

52

- women narrate their experience of abortion, and how those narratives shift for some women over time. Those narratives can interestingly be read as divided by the narrator's identification with what abortion makes possible or what it negates. Much feminist art about abortion navigates between this narrative tension between past, present, and future.
- 26. Some of the details regarding this incident are taken from conversations with the instructor, Ron Athey (November 2004).
- 27. Jeffrey Kastner, "Gun Shy," for "Scene and Heard: January 20, 2005," Artforum, online at http://artforum.com/diary/id=8299 (accessed July 28, 2008).
- 28. In 1994, as a part of Athey's performance "4 Scenes in a Harsh Life, overview of this controversy, see Jane Blocker, What the Body Cost: funding for politically legible, challenging work. For a more complete came the favorite example in a successful attack on the idea of federal of coming into contact with contaminated blood. And although he to out gay artists in that decade), but also of putting audiences at risk not only of "spreading" sexual perversion (the usual charge applied had never benefited directly from federal support for his work, he bethe Walker Center to support this off-site event. Athey stood accused troversy over the \$120 dollars of federal funding indirectly used by Press wire service, and it made national headlines as it sparked a conperformance). This apocryphal story was picked up by the Associated infected with HIV; and audience members did not "flee" from the never at risk; the blood used in any case was not Athey's, nor was it was a gross misrepresentation of the event (audience members were infected blood. She had not seen the performance, and, of course, this ence members fleeing the space, after having been exposed to HIV the Minneapolis Star Tribune (March 24, 1994). She described audilocal art critic, filed a front-page story about this performance with with paper, which was then strung up on clothes lines. Mary Abbe, a he cut into collaborator Darryl Carlton's back and patted the wound Desire, History, and Performance (Minneapolis: University of Min-

Reincarnating the Knowing Subject Scientific Rationality and the Situated Body

HÉLÈNE MIALET

consisting of heterogeneous elements-that is, a subjectivity that is of the constitution of an object, but emerging from a collective paint a new picture of the subject: a subjectivity not at the origin on an empirical study of the practices of an inventor, I shall try to oly of action. This is the paradox that I wish to explore. Drawing light of day, at the same time that he or she loses his or her monopless knowing subject of the rationalist tradition brought into the the knowing subject. Thus, we shall see the omnipotent and bodithat we might fruitfully return to-and reassess-our analysis of tion of scientific knowledge and simultaneously to suggest ways relevant again, my aim in this essay is to describe the deconstructhe knowing subject. To understand how such a question becomes these studies make it possible to reflect anew upon the nature of known object. In short, by offering a new definition of rationality, that takes for granted the dichotomy between knowing subject and epistemology of rationality (and/or a diffusionist model of science) nated the presuppositions of an implicitly or explicitly recognized practices, and socio-technical realities. In doing so they have elimistruction, or, better still, as an entanglement of multiple actions, describe science in terms of action, cultural practice, social con-The new anthropology, history, and sociology of science tend to

nesota Press, 2004). Hereafter cited as WB

### Editor Peter Skafish

### Managing Editor Alex Benson

### Editorial Board

Saleem Al-Bahloly Katrina Dodson Anastasia Kayiatos Marcelle Maese-Cohen Teresa K-Sue Park Simon Porzak Shaul Setter Allen Young

### Contents

- 1 Muslim.Jews
- GIL ANIDJAR
- 25 Blind Spots and Failed Performance: Abortion, Feminism, and Queer Theory JENNIFER DOYLE
- 53 Reincarnating the Knowing Subject: Scientific Rationality and the Situated Body HÉLÈNE MIALET
- 75 The Interesting
- MIKHAIL EPSTEIN

## Special Dossier

FOR FURTHER ACCIDENTS OF THOUGHT: THEORETICAL DE-EUROPEANIZATION

91 Introduction

PETER SKAFISH

- 97 The Magic Skin; or, The Franco-European Accident of Philosophy after Jacques Derrida
- BERNARD STIEGLER
- III The Liberatory Event in Paul of Tarsus ENRIQUE DUSSEL
- 181 Thinking between China and Greece: Breaking New Ground FRANÇOIS JULLIEN
- 211 Contributors
- 213 Books Received

