

# UCSF

## UC San Francisco Previously Published Works

### Title

Changing Azole Resistance: A Secondary Analysis of the MUTT I Randomized Clinical Trial.

### Permalink

<https://escholarship.org/uc/item/42p878vr>

### Journal

JAMA ophthalmology, 134(6)

### ISSN

2168-6165

### Authors

Prajna, N Venkatesh  
Lalitha, Prajna  
Rajaraman, Revathi  
[et al.](#)

### Publication Date

2016-06-01

### DOI

10.1001/jamaophthalmol.2016.0530

Peer reviewed



# HHS Public Access

Author manuscript

*JAMA Ophthalmol.* Author manuscript; available in PMC 2017 June 01.

Published in final edited form as:

*JAMA Ophthalmol.* 2016 June 1; 134(6): 693–696. doi:10.1001/jamaophthalmol.2016.0530.

## Changing Azole Resistance:

### A Secondary Analysis of the MUTT I Randomized Clinical Trial

**N. Venkatesh Prajna, MD, Prajna Lalitha, MD, Revathi Rajaraman, MD, Tiruvengada Krishnan, MD, Anita Raghavan, MD, Muthiah Srinivasan, MD, Kieran S. O'Brien, MPH, Michael Zegans, MD, Stephen D. McLeod, MD, Nisha R. Acharya, MD, Jeremy D. Keenan, MD, Thomas M. Lietman, MD, Jennifer Rose-Nussbaumer, MD, and for the Mycotic Ulcer Treatment Trial Group**

Aravind Eye Care System at Madurai, Pondicherry, and Coimbatore, India (Prajna, Lalitha, Rajaraman, Krishnan, Raghavan, Srinivasan); Francis I. Proctor Foundation, University of California, San Francisco (O'Brien, Acharya, Keenan, Lietman, Rose-Nussbaumer); Department of Ophthalmology, Dartmouth Medical School, Hanover, New Hampshire (Zegans); Department of Ophthalmology, University of California, San Francisco (McLeod, Acharya, Keenan, Lietman, Rose-Nussbaumer); Department of Epidemiology and Biostatistics, University of California, San Francisco (Acharya, Lietman); Department of Optometry, University of California, Berkeley (Rose-Nussbaumer).

### Abstract

**IMPORTANCE**—The development of multiple triazole resistance in pathogenic filamentous fungi has become an increasing clinical concern and has been shown to increase the risk for treatment failure.

**OBJECTIVE**—To determine whether antifungal resistance increased during the Mycotic Ulcer Treatment Trial I (MUTT I), as measured by minimum inhibitory concentrations (MICs) in baseline cultures.

**DESIGN, SETTING, AND PARTICIPANTS**—This secondary analysis of a double-masked, multicenter, randomized clinical trial included patients with culture- or smear-positive filamentous fungal corneal ulcer and a baseline visual acuity of 20/40 to 20/400. Culture-positive samples with

---

**Corresponding Author:** Jennifer Rose-Nussbaumer, MD, Francis I. Proctor Foundation, University of California, San Francisco, 513 Parnassus, Office S334, San Francisco, CA 94122 (jennifer.rose@ucsf.edu).

**Author Contributions:** Drs Lietman and Rose-Nussbaumer had full access to all the data in the study and take responsibility for the integrity of the data and the accuracy of the data analysis.

*Study concept and design:* Prajna, Zegans, McLeod, Lietman, Rose-Nussbaumer.

*Acquisition, analysis, or interpretation of data:* Prajna, Lalitha, Rajaraman, Krishnan, Raghavan, Srinivasan, O'Brien, Zegans, Acharya, Keenan, Lietman, Rose-Nussbaumer.

*Drafting of the manuscript:* Raghavan, Rose-Nussbaumer.

*Critical revision of the manuscript for important intellectual content:* Prajna, Lalitha, Rajaraman, Krishnan, Srinivasan, O'Brien, Zegans, McLeod, Acharya, Keenan, Lietman, Rose-Nussbaumer.

*Statistical analysis:* Keenan, Rose-Nussbaumer.

*Obtained funding:* Zegans, Lietman.

*Administrative, technical, or material support:* Prajna, Lalitha, Rajaraman, Krishnan, Raghavan, O'Brien, Zegans, McLeod, Acharya.

*Study supervision:* Prajna, Krishnan, Srinivasan, McLeod, Acharya, Lietman.

**Conflict of Interest Disclosures:** All authors have completed and submitted the ICMJE Form for Disclosure of Potential Conflicts of Interest and none were reported.

susceptibility testing were included in this analysis. The patients were treated at multiple locations of the Aravind Eye Care Hospital system in South India. Data were collected from April 3, 2010, to December 31, 2011, and analyzed from July 15 to September 1, 2015.

**INTERVENTIONS**—Corneal smears and cultures were obtained from all study participants at baseline. Susceptibility testing was performed for each culture-positive specimen.

**MAIN OUTCOMES AND MEASURES**—Minimum inhibitory concentration of voriconazole and natamycin in baseline cultures.

**RESULTS**—Of 323 participants with smear-positive specimens (183 men [56.7%]; 140 women [43.3%]; median [interquartile range] age, 47 [38–56] years), fungal-positive cultures were obtained for 256 (79.3%). The MIC data were available for 221 of 323 participants (68.4%), because 35 samples had no growth during susceptibility testing. A 2.14-fold increase per year (95% CI, 1.13–4.56;  $P = .02$ ) in voriconazole MICs after controlling for the infectious organism was found. This association was not found when looking at natamycin MICs of baseline cultures after controlling for the infectious organism (1.26; 95% CI, 0.13–12.55;  $P = .85$ ).

**CONCLUSIONS AND RELEVANCE**—Susceptibility to voriconazole appeared to decrease during the relatively short enrollment period of the clinical trial. This decrease may be more related to increased resistance of environmental fungi rather than previous treatment with azoles, because presenting with azole treatment was not a risk factor for resistance.

**TRIAL REGISTRATION**—[clinicaltrials.gov](http://clinicaltrials.gov) Identifier: [NCT00996736](https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/study/NCT00996736)

The development of multiple triazole resistance among pathogenic filamentous fungi has become an increasing clinical concern and has been shown to increase the risk for treatment failure.<sup>1</sup> The mechanism for azole resistance in filamentous fungi may be a mutation in the *CYP51* gene responsible for producing the lanosterol-14 $\alpha$ -demethylase enzyme, which is the drug target.<sup>2</sup> Development of resistance has been documented in patients with chronic fungal infections such as pulmonary aspergillosis after prolonged use of triazole antifungals.<sup>3</sup> However, specimens have also been cultured from azole-naïve patients, which suggests that the azole-resistant organisms were acquired in the environment.<sup>4,5</sup> India has reported the emergence and spread of azole-resistant fungi in the environment owing to widespread agricultural use.<sup>3,6</sup> Recovery of azole-resistant filamentous fungi from soil samples in India has increased dramatically from 0% to 5% in 2002 to 2004 to 17% to 20% just 5 years later.<sup>3,6</sup>

The Mycotic Ulcer Treatment Trial I (MUTT I), a double-masked, randomized clinical trial funded by the National Institutes of Health, found natamycin to be superior to voriconazole in the treatment of filamentous fungal ulcers, and in particular those infected with *Fusarium* species.<sup>7</sup> Secondary analyses collected from MUTT I data showed that a 2-fold increase in minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) was associated with increased odds of perforation and increased 3-month scar size, but not decreased visual acuity.<sup>1</sup> In this non-prespecified subgroup analysis, we investigate how azole resistance patterns changed during MUTT I.

## Methods

Detailed MUTT I methods have been published previously.<sup>7,8</sup> Briefly, patients with smear-positive filamentous fungal corneal ulcers and visual acuity ranging from 20/40 to 20/400 who were enrolled at Aravind Eye Care System (Madurai, Pondicherry, and Coimbatore) were randomized to receive topical natamycin, 5%, or topical voriconazole, 1%. The primary MUTT I outcome was the 3-month best spectacle-corrected visual acuity; prespecified secondary outcomes included 3-month infiltrate and/or scar size, time to reepithelialization, and corneal perforation or the need for therapeutic penetrating keratoplasty. The trial was approved by the institutional review boards of the Aravind Eye Care System, Dartmouth Medical School, and the University of California, San Francisco. The study adhered to the guidelines in the Declaration of Helsinki.<sup>9</sup> All patients provided written informed consent.

Data were collected from April 3, 2010, to December 31, 2011. Microbiologic methods used in MUTT I have been described in detail previously.<sup>10</sup> Baseline scrapings and cultures were obtained from the corneal ulcers of all study participants. Using the standards set by the Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute, speciation and analysis of natamycin and voriconazole MICs were performed for all positive fungal samples collected. The MIC was defined as the lowest concentration of antifungal required to reduce solution turbidity by 100%.

Data were analyzed from July 15 to September 1, 2015. Log<sub>2</sub>-transformation of the MIC as a continuous variable was used for all statistical models. In this non-prespecified subgroup analysis, we performed multiple linear regression to analyze the association between the date of enrollment in the study as our primary predictor of interest and the baseline MIC, adjusted for the infectious organism. This process resulted in a change in log<sub>2</sub>-transformed voriconazole MIC per day. To obtain the fold change in MIC per year, we took the antilog of the coefficient multiplied by 365. The infectious organism (*Aspergillus*, *Fusarium*, and other species) was treated as a categorical variable. We also performed sensitivity analyses looking at the effects of pretreatment and of autocorrelation of temporally adjacent observations on the final statistical model. Unless otherwise specified, data were expressed as mean (SD).

## Results

From April 3, 2010, to December 31, 2011, positive fungal cultures were obtained from 256 of 323 study participants (79.3%) with smear-positive specimens. The MIC data were available for 221 of 323 participants (68.4%), because 35 samples had no growth during susceptibility testing. The mean voriconazole MIC for all organisms during the entire study was 3.19 (3.62) µg/mL. Mean voriconazole MICs were 4.69 (3.79) µg/mL for *Fusarium* species, 0.99 (1.34) µg/mL for *Aspergillus* species, and 1.47 (2.83) µg/mL for all other organisms. Mean voriconazole MICs for all organisms increased from 1.86 (1.87) µg/mL in 2010 to 3.79 (4.04) µg/mL in 2011.

Results of multivariate linear regression giving fold change in MIC per year are outlined in the Table. A 2.14-fold increase per year (95% CI, 1.13–4.56; *P* = .02) was found in the

voriconazole MIC after controlling for the infectious organism. Controlling for pretreatment status did not change the results of the analysis appreciably. After accounting for autocorrelation of voriconazole MICs, the increase in voriconazole MIC per year was 1.97 (95% CI, 1.41–2.76;  $P < .001$ ); after correcting for the organism, the increase in MIC was 1.29 (95% CI, 1.14–1.61;  $P = .046$ ). This association was not found for natamycin MICs for baseline cultures after controlling for the infectious organism (1.26; 95% CI, 0.13–12.55;  $P = .85$ ).

## Discussion

We found an increase in azole resistance of filamentous fungi recovered from baseline corneal cultures during MUTT I after controlling for the infectious organism. Azole resistance has important clinical implications because azole antifungals are some of the most commonly used fungicides to control human fungal pathogens. Systemic aspergillosis with azole-resistant strains has an extremely poor prognosis.<sup>3</sup> Reduced success in the treatment of corneal ulcers has also been associated with increasing MICs to voriconazole.<sup>1</sup> Treatment with azoles before presentation and enrollment in MUTT I was not shown to increase voriconazole MICs.<sup>11</sup> This finding suggests that the increased resistance patterns observed in this study are not owing to treatment of disease with antifungals.

Another potential mechanism that would explain our observation is the rapidly increasing resistance of fungi recovered from soil samples in India owing to the widespread use of azoles in agriculture.<sup>4,6</sup> Among MUTT I study participants, 48% were agricultural workers and 64% experienced ocular trauma with vegetative material as the main risk factor for the fungal keratitis. By contrast, increasing resistance to polyenes, such as natamycin, was not observed in our study or generally in clinical infections. This finding may reflect inherent differences in the ability of fungi to acquire resistant mutations to polyenes or may be owing to the fact that polyenes are rarely used in agriculture. Concerns about the contribution of agricultural practices to the development of azole resistance in clinical infections are not isolated to India, because similar observations have been seen in other parts of the world.<sup>5</sup>

In subgroup analyses looking at individual organisms, we were unable to detect the development of *Aspergillus* resistance. We found evidence of acquisition of *Fusarium* resistance. Although *Fusarium* infection outside the eye is rare in humans, it is an emerging pathogen among immunocompromised patients, and the development of resistance to azoles in vitro has been described.<sup>12</sup>

Our study has several limitations. We performed a non-prespecified, hypothesis-generating analysis, and therefore this question requires further study. The causative organisms found in our study participants likely exhibit different in vitro and in vivo activity. The small numbers of each organism in the study make comparisons difficult. In addition, the study duration was only 20 months, which has likely reduced our ability to detect the development of resistance.

## Conclusions

Susceptibility to voriconazole apparently decreased during the relatively short enrollment period of a clinical trial. These microbiologic findings highlight the primary clinical trial result that natamycin is superior to voriconazole in the treatment of filamentous fungal keratitis. A trend of increasing azole resistance could affect treatment of human mycoses.

## Acknowledgments

**Funding/Support:** The design and conduct of the study and the collection, management, analysis, and interpretation of the data were financially supported in by grant U10-EY018573-01A1 from the National Eye Institute (Mycotic Ulcer Treatment Trial; principal investigator, Dr Lietman). Data management, analysis and interpretation of the data, and preparation of the manuscript were supported by grant K12-EY-017269 from University of California, Berkeley (Dr Rose-Nussbaumer), and an unrestricted grant from the Peirles Foundation (Dr Rose-Nussbaumer).

**Role of the Funder/Sponsor:** The funding sources had no role in the design and conduct of the study; collection, management, analysis, and interpretation of the data; preparation, review, or approval of the manuscript; and decision to submit the manuscript for publication.

## Group Members

Investigators for the Mycotic Ulcer Treatment Trial are as follows: *Aravind Eye Hospital, Madurai, Tamil Nadu, India:* N. Venkatesh Prajna, MD (principal investigator), Prajna Lalitha, MD, Jeena Mascarenhas, MD, Muthiah Srinivasan, MD, FRCOphth, MA, Rajarathinam Karpagam, Malaiyandi Rajkumar, S. R. Sumithra, and C. Sundar; *Aravind Eye Hospital, Coimbatore, Tamil Nadu, India:* Revathi Rajaraman, MD (site director), Anita Raghavan, MD, and P. Manikandan, MPhil; *Aravind Eye Hospital, Pondicherry, Tamil Nadu, India:* K. Tiruvengada Krishnan, MD (site director), and N. Shivananda; and *F. I. Proctor Foundation, University of California, San Francisco:* Thomas M. Lietman, MD (principal investigator), Nisha R. Acharya, MD, MS (principal investigator), Stephen D. McLeod, MD, John P. Whitcher, MD, MPH, Salena Lee, OD, Vicky Cevallos, MT(ASCP), Brett L. Shapiro, MD, Catherine E. Oldenburg, MPH, Kieran S. O'Brien, MPH, and Kevin C. Hong, BA. *Data and Safety Monitoring Committee:* Marian Fisher, PhD (chair), Anthony Aldave, MD, Donald Everett, MA (project office, National Eye Institute, Rockville, Maryland), Jacqueline Glover, PhD, K. Ananda Kannan, MD, Steven Kymes, PhD, and Ivan Schwab, MD. *Coordinating Center (F. I. Proctor Foundation):* Thomas M. Lietman, MD, Nisha R. Acharya, MD, David Glidden, PhD, Stephen D. McLeod, MD, John P. Whitcher, MD, MPH, Salena Lee, OD, Kathryn Ray, MA, Vicky Cevallos, MT(ASCP), Brett L. Shapiro, MD, Catherine E. Oldenburg, MPH, Kevin C. Hong, BA, and Kieran S. O'Brien, MPH. *Photography Reading Center (Dartmouth Medical School, Lebanon, New Hampshire):* Michael E. Zegans, MD, and Christine M. Kidd, PhD.

## REFERENCES

1. Sun CQ, Lalitha P, Prajna NV. Mycotic Ulcer Treatment Trial Group. Association between in vitro susceptibility to natamycin and voriconazole and clinical outcomes in fungal keratitis. *Ophthalmology*. 2014; 121(8):1495.e1–1500.e1. [PubMed: 24746358]
2. Cuenca-Estrella M. Antifungal drug resistance mechanisms in pathogenic fungi: from bench to bedside. *Clin Microbiol Infect*. 2014; 20(suppl 6):54–59. [PubMed: 24372680]

3. Chowdhary A, Kathuria S, Xu J, Meis JF. Emergence of azole-resistant *Aspergillus fumigatus* strains due to agricultural azole use creates an increasing threat to human health. *PLoS Pathog*. 2013; 9(10):e1003633. [PubMed: 24204249]
4. Snelders E, Huis In 't Veld RA, Rijs AJ, Kema GH, Melchers WJ, Verweij PE. Possible environmental origin of resistance of *Aspergillus fumigatus* to medical triazoles. *Appl Environ Microbiol*. 2009; 75(12):4053–4057. [PubMed: 19376899]
5. Mortensen KL, Mellado E, Lass-Flörl C, Rodriguez-Tudela JL, Johansen HK, Arendrup MC. Environmental study of azole-resistant *Aspergillus fumigatus* and other aspergilli in Austria, Denmark, and Spain. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother*. 2010; 54(11):4545–4549. [PubMed: 20805399]
6. Chowdhary A, Kathuria S, Xu J, et al. Clonal expansion and emergence of environmental multiple-triazole-resistant *Aspergillus fumigatus* strains carrying the TR<sub>34</sub>/L98H mutations in the *cyp51A* gene in India. *PLoS One*. 2012; 7(12):e52871. [PubMed: 23285210]
7. Prajna NV, Krishnan T, Mascarenhas J. Mycotic Ulcer Treatment Trial Group. The Mycotic Ulcer Treatment Trial: a randomized trial comparing natamycin vs voriconazole. *JAMA Ophthalmol*. 2013; 131(4):422–429. [PubMed: 23710492]
8. [ClincialTrials.gov](https://clinicaltrials.gov). [Accessed July 21, 2015] Mycotic Ulcer Treatment Trial I (MUTT I). NCT00996736. <https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT00996736>
9. World Medical Association. World Medical Association Declaration of Helsinki: ethical principles for medical research involving human subjects. *JAMA*. 2013; 310(20):2191–2194. [PubMed: 24141714]
10. Lalitha P, Prajna NV, Oldenburg CE, et al. Organism, minimum inhibitory concentration, and outcome in a fungal corneal ulcer clinical trial. *Cornea*. 2012; 31(6):662–667. [PubMed: 22333662]
11. Prajna NV, Prajna L, O'Brien KS. Mycotic Ulcer Treatment Trial Group. Association of pretreatment with antifungal medication and fungal resistance in the Mycotic Ulcer Treatment Trial I. *JAMA Ophthalmol*. 2015; 133(10):1210–1211. [PubMed: 26110254]
12. Paphitou NI, Ostrosky-Zeichner L, Paetznick VL, Rodriguez JR, Chen E, Rex JH. In vitro activities of investigational triazoles against *Fusarium* species: effects of inoculum size and incubation time on broth microdilution susceptibility test results. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother*. 2002; 46(10):3298–3300. [PubMed: 12234865]

### Key Points

**Question**

Did antifungal drug resistance increase during the Mycotic Ulcer Treatment Trial I?

**Findings**

The decrease in susceptibility to voriconazole during the clinical trial was statistically significant. Resistant strains have been cultured in azole-naïve patients, suggesting increasing resistance of environmental fungi.

**Meaning**

A trend of increasing azole resistance could affect the treatment of human mycoses.



**Table**

## Multiple Linear Regression Predicting Voriconazole Susceptibility

Organism	No. (%) of Participants	Fold Change in MIC		P Value
		Mean (SD)	Fold Increase per Year (95% CI) <sup>a</sup>	
All	221 (100)	3.19 (3.62)	2.14 (1.13–4.56)	.02
Subgroup species				
<i>Aspergillus</i>	52 (23.5)	0.99 (1.34)	0.98 (0.60–1.66)	.95
<i>Fusarium</i>	126 (57.0)	4.69 (3.79)	3.48 (1.03–9.75)	.045
Other	43 (19.5)	1.47 (2.83)	2.75 (0.78–7.57)	.13

Abbreviation: MIC, minimum inhibitory concentration.

<sup>a</sup> Multiple linear regression used the date of enrollment in days and the organism as predictors, with the slope converted to years.

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript