UC Santa Cruz UC Santa Cruz Previously Published Works

Title

A non-enzymatic glucose sensor enabled by bioelectronic pH control.

Permalink

https://escholarship.org/uc/item/4339g4cf

Journal

Scientific Reports, 9(1)

Authors

Strakosas, Xenofon Selberg, John Pansodtee, Pattawong <u>et al.</u>

Publication Date

2019-07-26

DOI

10.1038/s41598-019-46302-9

Peer reviewed

SCIENTIFIC REPORTS

Received: 31 October 2018 Accepted: 10 June 2019 Published online: 26 July 2019

OPEN A non-enzymatic glucose sensor enabled by bioelectronic pH control

Xenofon Strakosas, John Selberg, Pattawong Pansodtee, Nebyu Yonas, Pattawut Manapongpun, Mircea Teodorescu & Marco Rolandi

Continuous glucose monitoring from sweat and tears can improve the guality of life of diabetic patients and provide data for more accurate diagnosis and treatment. Current continuous glucose sensors use enzymes with a one-to-two week lifespan, which forces periodic replacement. Metal oxide sensors are an alternative to enzymatic sensors with a longer lifetime. However, metal oxide sensors do not operate in sweat and tears because they function at high pH (pH > 10), and sweat and tears are neutral (pH = 7). Here, we introduce a non-enzymatic metal oxide glucose sensor that functions in neutral fluids by electronically inducing a reversible and localized pH change. We demonstrate glucose monitoring at physiologically relevant levels in neutral fluids mimicking sweat, and wireless communication with a personal computer via an integrated circuit board.

Over 30.3 million people in the US have diabetes, a condition that now affects 18% of the worldwide population^{1,2}. Typically, a person with diabetes has to monitor their blood sugar level (2-20 mM range) up to five times a day to regulate their metabolism. This monitoring involves the "prick test" to extract the blood, which could be painful, especially for children. Patients that avoid or forget to monitor themselves could suffer health repercussions. In severe cases, these repercussions can be fatal. Continuous glucose monitoring (CGM) that uses minimally invasive sources such as sweat is less demanding for patients. It improves healthcare by providing a higher data collection rate with an increased reliability while avoiding the discomfort of the "prick test3". The glucose concentration in sweat ranges from 0.2 mM to 0.6 mM⁴, thus glucose sensing in sweat requires higher sensitivity than in blood. Devices capable of CGM are particularly useful and many sensors exist that can detect glucose from sweat and tears⁵⁻⁹. Google and Novartis have developed the smart Google contact lens, in which the sensing, storage, and transmission of the glucose levels occur on the contact lens¹⁰. In a parallel path, glucose monitoring skin patches are able to measure glucose in sweat³. All these examples are enzymatic sensors — the current standard for continuous monitoring of glucose¹¹. These sensors detect the presence of glucose by measuring the rate of glucose oxidation from the enzymes glucose oxidase or glucose dehydrogenase¹². For each glucose molecule oxidized, this reaction transfers an electron through a mediator to the sensing electrode. The sensing electrode records this electron transfer either by reading the electrode current or electrode potential. Enzymatic glucose sensors are highly sensitive, but the lifetime of these sensors is limited by decreasing enzymatic activity with time, this lifespan is typically one to two weeks. This relatively short lifetime increases cost and reduces the scope of enzymatic biosensors11,13

Non-enzymatic glucose sensors based on metal oxides at the interface with nanostructured porous metals or carbon materials have longer lifetime than enzymatic sensors because they do not contain a biological component^{14,15}. Metal oxide sensors detect glucose via the direct oxidation reaction of glucose with an activated metal oxide contact; the reaction results in an electron transfer to the contact which is recorded by the sensor as a current¹⁶. A highly sensitive and stable substrate for glucose detection is cobalt oxide at the interface with nanoporous gold¹⁷. However, this sensor only works in high pH ($pH \ge 11$) because it requires the presence of hydroxide ions¹⁸. This restriction of working only at high pH is not limited to cobalt oxide sensors but applies to many metal oxide and inorganic material-based sensors that oxidize target molecules¹⁹. Thus, the development of metal oxide sensors for CGM applications has struggled since bodily fluids such as sweat and tears have a pH range of 4-7²⁰. Here, we have developed a cobalt oxide-based glucose sensing platform that is able to detect glucose in solutions with the same pH as the bodily fluids such as sweat and tears. The proposed sensor includes the bioelectronic control of pH in the proximity of the cobalt-oxide sensor surface enabling sensing glucose at high pH even in an otherwise neutral fluid.

Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering, University of California Santa Cruz, Santa Cruz, CA, 95064, USA. Xenofon Strakosas and John Selberg contributed equally. Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to M.R. (email: mrolandi@ucsc.edu)



Figure 1. Non-enzymatic glucose sensor. (A) Schematic of the non-enzymatic glucose biosensor. A Pd contact (blue) locally creates basic conditions. In basic conditions, a nanoporous Au/Co₃O₄ (red) contact catalyzes glucose to gluconic acid. Two Ag/AgCl electrodes (grey) act as reference electrodes to the Pd and Au/Co₃O₄. A small conditioning board controls the device, acquires current, and transmits wirelessly to an external device. (**B**) Optical image (top) of the modified contacts glucose biosensor. Au contacts were modified with Pd, Co₃O₄, and Ag/AgCl by using electrodeposition. SEM image (bottom) of the platform showing the interdigitated contacts with a 20 µm gap between each contact. (**C**) Operating principle for glucose sensing. When the device is on (top), $V_{pH} = -1$ V, the Pd contact absorbs H⁺ from the solution and increases its pH. At high pH, the Au/Co₃O₄ contact is in its more reactive CoO₂ oxidized state. With $V_g = 0.5$ V, the CoO₂ contact oxidizes glucose and the resulting I_g is collected, which increases with increased glucose concentration. When the device is off (bottom), $V_{pH} = 0$ V, the pH is at physiological values, typically pH 7, no sensing occurs from the Au/Co₃O₄ and I_g = 0 A.

Results

The glucose sensor comprises cobalt oxide (Co₃O₄), palladium (Pd), and silver/silver chloride (Ag/AgCl) contacts electrochemically grown on gold (Au) strips defined on a glass substrate. The Co_3O_4 contact is used to sense glucose, the Pd contact is used to change the local pH of the fluid, and the Ag/AgCl contacts act as reference electrodes that balance the half reactions for glucose and pH modulation respectively (Fig. 1A,B). These contacts are connected to an external circuit board that provides control voltages (V_g for the Co_3O_4 and V_{oH} for Pd), measures the contact currents (I_g for Co_3O_4 and I_{pH} for Pd), and provides signal analysis and wireless communication to a personal computer (Fig. 1A). The geometry of the platform consists of long and narrow interdigitated contacts with a gap of 20 μ m. The specific geometry was designed with the aim to increase the I_g and I_{pH} currents by increasing the surface area and minimize the time for pH diffusion towards the Co₃O₄ by reducing the spacing between Pd and Co_3O_4 contacts. The novelty of this glucose sensing platform is the ability to create localized and transient alkaline conditions (high pH) for glucose sensing to occur on the Co₃O₄ contact even in neutral fluids (Fig. 1C). This transient high pH is needed because in neutral pH solution, at low voltage (e.g., $V_g = 0.2-0.5 V$), the glucose oxidation reaction does not occur on the Co_3O_4 contact and thus the presence of glucose cannot be detected. In order to induce local alkaline conditions of a solution starting at pH 7, we set $V_{pH} = -1 V$ between the Pd and the Ag/AgCl. Pd has the ability to absorb H⁺ from the solution by first reducing H⁺ into H at the Pd/ solution interface and then absorbing H into its metal lattice to form PdH_x with x being the atomic ratio of H to Pd and its value can reach up to 0.6^{21} . In this fashion, our group has already demonstrated transfer of H⁺ to and from hydrated proton conducting polymers^{22,23} as well as membrane proteins^{24,25}, modulation of solution pH for monitoring enzymatic reactions²⁶, controlling bioluminescence²⁷, and targeted cargo delivery to cells²⁸. By setting the value of V_{pH} , we control the pH of the fluid by multiple units in proximity to the cobalt oxide contact, thus creating a local environment for glucose sensing to occur. When the measurements are completed, the pH is restored to its original value, by switching the V_{pH} off which causes PdH_x to release its stored H back into solution as H⁺ (Fig. 1C).

The Au contacts are etched electrochemically by alloying/dealloying in the presence of zinc chloride following the protocol previously reported by Lang *et al.*¹⁷, to increase the surface area (Supplemental Figs 4 and 5) and improve the sensitivity towards glucose sensing. Cobalt oxide is then deposited on the gold surface as Co_3O_4 and, in solution, undergoes a series of oxidation reactions with hydroxide (Fig. 2A). These reactions increase the oxidation state from Co(II/III) to Co(III) and Co(IV), respectively¹⁸:

$$Co_3O_4 + OH^- + H_2O \rightarrow 3CoOOH + e^- \tag{1}$$

and



Figure 2. Glucose Sensor Operation. (**A**) Sensing mechanism of Co_3O_4 contacts. At pH 7, the contact is primarily Co_3O_4 , which does not oxidize glucose. In alkaline conditions (pH \ge 11), the contact is now mainly CoO_2 . CoO_2 species react with glucose and are converted to CoOOH. This CoOOH is then oxidized back to CoO_2 . For every oxidized glucose molecule, the contact collects two electrons measured as I_g . (**B**) Cyclic voltammetry of a nanoporous Au/Co₃O₄ contact in 0.1 M NaCl at pH 7 and 0.1 M NaCl + 0.001 M NaOH at pH 11 both containing 10 mM of glucose. (**C**) pH of 0.1 M NaCl (initial pH = 7) after pH modulation by a Pd electrode with a $V_{pH} = -1$ V between 10 s and 5 minutes. (**D**) Device operation. Current response of device at a constant voltage $V_g = 0.5$ V over increasing concentrations of glucose in 0.1 M NaCl solution. Pd contacts cycle the pH between pH 7 ($V_{pH} \ge 0$ V) and pH 11 ($V_{pH} = -1$ V). During the pH 7 phase, glucose concentration is stepped. (**E**) Calibration curve of sensing platform versus glucose concentration with a fit for pH 11 and pH 7 induced by Pd for 100 sec.

$$CoOOH + OH^- \rightarrow CoO_2 + H_2O + e^-$$
 (2)

While both Co_3O_4 and CoOOH species can oxidize glucose, the primary mechanism for oxidation of glucose to gluconolactone in cobalt oxide sensors involves two Co(IV) atoms in the reaction^{18,29}:

$$2CoO_2 + C_6 H_{12}O_6 \to 2CoOOH + C_6 H_{10}O_6 \tag{3}$$

In (3), two Co(IV) atoms are reduced to Co(III) as CoOOH. These CoOOH species are in turn oxidized back to CoO₂, for each Co(III) oxidized to Co(IV), two electrons are collected by the cobalt oxide contact and are recorded as current (I_g) (2). At neutral pH, the concentration of hydroxide species necessary to create Co(IV) is

low thus greatly limiting the glucose oxidation reaction kinetics. At high pH (Fig. 2A), the cobalt surface contains many more Co(IV) and the glucose oxidation reaction is faster allowing glucose detection at lower concentrations respect to neutral pH. For this reason, metal oxide sensors and other inorganic sensors that directly oxidize glucose operate at high pH¹⁹.

To see the behavior of the sensing element in our platform, we performed cyclic voltammetry measurements (CV) using a Co₃O₄ contact in NaCl solutions containing 10 mM glucose at pH 7 (Fig. 2B, black trace) and NaCl with 0.001 M NaOH at pH 11 (Fig. 2B, red trace). From the CV it is clear that the glucose oxidation reaction at pH 7 is barely detectable, while at pH 11 in 0.001 M NaOH the peak current associated with oxidation Co(III) to Co(IV) after the contact is reduced by the reaction with glucose occurs around V = 0.5 V (Supplemental Fig. 11). Similarly, we performed CV of glucose in pH 12 and pH 13. When the pH increases, both oxidation peak current magnitude increases as well as its peak position shifts to lower potential (Supplemental Fig. 10). In order to reproduce the alkaline conditions found when the glucose sensor is immersed in NaOH, we perform pH control in proximity to the cobalt oxide contact using the Pd contact with $V_{pH} = -1$ V for different amounts of time (t) in NaCl at pH 7 (Fig. 2C). For t < 300 s the pH increases with time because H⁺ are able to transfer from the solution to the Pd contact. However, at t = 300 s the solution pH saturates because there is a low concentration of H⁺ while an OH⁻ constant concentration is reached at the interface with the Pd. Even with lower $V_{pH} = -3$ V, the solution pH saturates at pH 11–12 (Supplemental Fig. 1). This transient pH change is reversible when the V_{pH} is returned to 0 V (Supplemental Fig. 2 and Video). We are limited in the magnitude of V_{pH} by electrolysis of water. We thus choose a $V_{pH} \sim -1 V$ for our glucose sensing. This V_{pH} value corresponds to a solution of pH 11. For glucose detection, we measure the current at the cobalt oxide sensing contact (I_g) with $V_g = 0.5 V$ (Fig. 2D). However, the increased current from glucose oxidation can begin to occur in lower voltage $V_g \sim 0.2 V$ (Supplemental Fig. 10). A low Vg ~ 0.2 V can be useful in order to improve the selectivity towards interferent species such as lactic acid and uric acid that exist in sweat. During the measurement we cycle the solution pH from neutral (V_{pH} = 0.3 V) to pH 11 ($V_{pH} = -1$ V). At neutral pH, I_g is very small for glucose concentrations below 1 mM. However, at pH 11, I_{o} raises above the noise level and further increases with addition of glucose in concentrations from 0.2 mM to 50 mM during periods of pH 11 ($V_{pH} = -1 V$). The resulting I_g is plotted against glucose concentration for 0.1-3 mM both at pH 7 and pH 11 (Fig. 2E). These data clearly show that the glucose oxidation is more efficient at pH 11 and is required for better sensitivity of our biosensor. Additionally, a Langmuir isotherm fit corresponding to the adsorption of glucose at the surface of the Co3O4 is in agreement with the experimental data at the concentration range between $100 \,\mu$ M–3 mM. allowing for detection of glucose in sweat concentrations while electronically inducing basic conditions in electrolytes of physiological pH. Co₃O₄ sensors have shown limit of detection in the nanomolar range in high alkaline conditions¹⁸, however, in this work we did not perform measurements for finding the detection limit. At pH 11, the reaction rate, is higher because there are more Co (IV) species available to oxidize the glucose. It is worth mentioning that when V_{pH} goes from $V_{pH} = -1$ V to $V_{pH} \ge 0$ the pH in the vicinity of the Co_3O_4 is higher than pH 7 due to slow H⁺ diffusion. This may result in the increased signal in the black curve of Fig. 2E (pH 7).

To demonstrate the feasibility of the non-enzymatic cobalt oxide glucose sensor in real world continuous glucose monitoring measurements, we developed a prototype low-cost and low-power miniature board that can apply voltages (V_{g} , V_{pH}), record and condition the signal as well as transmit it to an external device (WI-FI) for storage and post processing (Fig. 3A). The board includes a WI-FI enabled microcontroller, a multiplexer, an amplifier, and analogue to digital converter, and two batteries. We connect the board to the Co₃O₄, Pd, and Ag/AgCl contacts to the inputs and outputs of the board (Fig. 3B). A high-level schematic is presented in Fig. 3C and a more detailed one is presented in the Supplemental Fig. 3. The board features two electronic circuits, which are isolated from each other and each of them has an adjustable power circuit. The first circuit supplies V_g (from 10 mV to 3.2 V) to the Co_3O_4 contact for glucose sensing to occur, and the second circuit supplies V_{pH} (from -1.1 V to 0.3 V) to the Pd in order to create the alkaline conditions. We used a WI-FI enabled microcontroller (ESP8266,) and a 16-bit analog-to-digital converter to measure I_g . Figure 3D shows the experimental I_g current acquired from the glucose sensing platform and the circuit board by increasing concentrations of glucose in a NaCl solution made to reproduce human sweat. This I_{σ} data is filtered by the conditioning board to remove noise and recorded with a customized software in a personal laptop wirelessly connected to the microcontroller. Figure 3D shows the measured current as a function of time in seconds (gray) as well as the filtered signal using a moving average filtering technique with a 1/3 of a second window (black). The data is consistent with what we acquired with the bulkier and non-portable potentiostat and semiconductor parameter analyzer and follows the analogous calibration curve presented in Fig. 2E.

Conclusions

We have developed a non-enzymatic metal oxide glucose sensor that is able to detect physiologically relevant glucose levels in neutral bodily fluids such as sweat and tears. This sensor is superior to other metal oxide glucose sensors because it does not require an alkaline fluid for operation. To sense glucose in neutral fluids, this sensor induces a localized and reversible pH change with a Pd contact that absorbs H⁺ from the neutral fluid and increases the pH. This flexibility allows for the seamless integration with current glucose sensing platforms such as contact lenses¹⁰, and skin patches³. With respect to the current enzymatic sensors, this metal oxide sensor does not suffer from limited lifetime due to enzyme degradation over time. This strategy of controlling local pH to enable sensing in neutral biological fluid is broadly applicable to other metal oxide and oxidative inorganic sensors for biologically relevant analytes including but not limited to ascorbic acid, dopamine, glycerol, ethylene glycol, and nitrite³⁰.



Figure 3. Integrated biosensor and sensing/excitation board. (**A**) Photo of the excitation and signal conditioning board used to provide V_{pH} and V_g and measure the current generated by the chemical reaction to determine the glucose level (I_g). (**B**) The biosensor (left) and the microcontroller signal conditioning/sensing board (right) that applies V_{pH} and V_g , extracts and amplifies the sensing current, and transmits the results via WI-FI for further analysis. Please note that one microscope slide fits 4 experimental biosensors. (**C**) The circuit schematic explaining how the components shown in (**A**) are integrated with the biosensor. (**D**) I_g vs time recording with microcontroller from sensing at 0 mM, 0.1 mM, 0.2 mM, 0.5 mM, 1 mM, 2 mM, 3 mM glucose concentration in 0.1 M NaCl solution.

Materials and Methods

Glucose sensor fabrication. Glass slides were sonicated for 20 min in 80% v/v acetone and 20% v/v iso-propanol (IPA), and dried with N2. S1813 (Dow chemicals) photoresist was deposited on top of the glass substrates, following standard protocols (Spin-coated at 3000 RPM, baked 1 min. at 110 °C), to create the Au patterns. A 5 nm Titanium adhesion layer and a 120 nm Au layer were evaporated on glass microscope slides. Deposition of photoresist was repeated prior to each electrodeposition following the same process. To increase the sensitivity of the sensor, we increased surface area of Au strip a process adapted from Lang et al. (Supplemental Fig. 4)¹⁷. Nanoporous Au was produced by electrochemically etching the 100 nm thick Au layer with a solution of 1.5 M $ZnCl_2$ in Benzyl Alcohol at 120 °C. To cycles of a cyclic voltammetry routine from -0.4 V to 1.7 V vs AgCl was performed with Zn wire reference and counter electrodes and a Metrohn Autolab Potentiostat (PGSTAT128N). This routine corresponds to two rounds of Zn-Au alloying/dealloying. The devices were then washed with 0.1 M H₂SO₄, IPA, and di-water. This treatment was only performed on the contact destined for cobalt oxide. The nanoporous Au has higher capacitance resulting from a larger surface area (Supplemental Fig. 5). Cobalt Oxide was deposited from a solution of 5 mM Cobalt(II) Nitrate suspended in 0.1 M H₂SO₄. A CV routine (-1.2 V to -0.2 V) with a glass AgCl reference electrode and a Pt wire counter electrode. This was performed with a Metrohn Autolab Potentiostat (PGSTAT128N). To deposit Pd, we used 10 wt.% Palladium Nitrate (PdNO₃), purchased from sigma, and diluted with di-water to give a 1 wt.% PdNO₃ solution. PdNPs were electrochemically deposited onto the Pd contacts using a DC voltage of V = -0.3 V with a deposition time of 3 seconds with a glass AgCl reference and Pt counter electrode (Supplemental Fig. 6). This resulted in a darkening of the contacts where the NPs were successfully deposited. The Pd nanoparticles have an increased surface area which greatly enhances the pH change effect over planar Pd and area stable over many pH cycles (Supplemental Figs 7 and 8). To create the Ag/AgCl electrode, we electrodeposited Ag on top of the Au contact in the reference electrodes by using a constant current of 0.5 mA for 15s (outer contact) and 0.15 mA for 150s (inner contact), from a solution containing 50 mM of AgNO3 and 0.2 M sulfuric acid in di-water. A glass Ag/AgCl (V ~ 0.2 vs SHE) electrode was used as a reference electrode and Pt wire was used as a counter electrode. CV was used to form AgCl on the Ag, by using a solution containing 0.5 M NaCl in di-water. 5 cycles were carried from -0.5 to 0.9 V with a scan rate of 50 mV/s (Supplemental Fig. 6). An SU8 photoresist layer was patterned to insulate the Au interconnect and define the area of the electrodes.

Characterization of cobalt oxide contact. The planar Au, nanopourous-etched Au, and nanoporous Au/ Co_3O_4 coated surfaces were characterized by cyclic voltammetry in 0.1 M NaCl solution (pH 7) vs a glass AgCl

electrode (Supplemental Fig. 9). A CV current typical gold profile (black) is amplified when the surface area of the contact is increased after etching (blue). After deposition of Co_3O_4 , the recorded current is lower than that of the nanoporous Au as a result of the oxide film being more resistive, this is true until a broad peak at 0.5 V which is characteristic of further oxidation of Co_3O_4 .

pH control and characterization. pH cycling was controlled with an Autolab potentiostat connected to the Pd contact and an external AgCl pellet (electrode potential value V = 0.21 vs standard hydrogen electrode). The quantification of solution pH was recorded with a micro-pH meter (Fisher Scientific). Diffusion of pH in the Co₃O₄ was recorded with a Keyence VHX-5000 series digital microscope. The solution was 0.1 M NaCl initially at pH 7 with a universal pH indicator dye (Fisher Chemical) at a volume of 0.1 mL.

Glucose measurements - NI and potentiostat. pH cycling was controlled by an Autolab potentiostat connected to the on-chip Pd and AgCl electrodes. The on-chip cobalt oxide-AgCl circuit was controlled with an NI PXI with a digital multimeter and source measuring unit. Measurements began initially in 0.1 M NaCl in di-water. During cycling of pH, glucose concentration was increased during periods of pH 7.

Glucose measurements - microchip. The board consists of two layers (sides). The WI-FI-enabled microcontroller (Espressif Systems microcontroller ESP8266) is installed on the "front" side while the rest of the electronics on the "back" side. This include: (i) an analog amplifier INA122U Texas Instruments, (ii) a 16-bit analog-to-digital converter (ADS1115 - Texas Instruments), (iii) a multiplexer (Texas Instruments TS5a4624) which together with a fixed +1.4 V power supply circuit (ABLIC S-13R1A14) and an adjustable (+0.1 to +3.2 V) adjustable power supply circuit (Microchip MCP601OT) we obtained the voltages required for the excitation (+0.3 V and -1.1 V), (iv) a power supply (Microchip MCP601OT) for the sensing circuit, (v) two opto-isolator components (Vishay Semiconductor VOS618A) to separate the sensing and excitation sides of the circuit board. Measurements were performed between the on-chip Pd contact and an external AgCl pellet and the on-chip Co₃O₄ contact and an external AgCl pellet. Measurements began initially in 0.1 M NaCl, glucose concentration was increased during periods of pH 7.

Electrical characterization (CV). Device characterization was done utilizing both an Autolab potentiostat and national instruments (NI) PXI with a digital multimeter (DMM) and a source measurement unit (SMU). A custom labview program was controlling the NI system. Potentiostat tests were run to gauge the performance of the devices, cyclic voltammetry, and frequency response analysis (FRA).

References

- 1. Prevention, C. F. D. C. A. National Diabetes Statistics Report, 2017. Atlanta, GA: Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, US Dept of Health and Human Services (2017).
- 2. Zimmet, P., Alberti, K. G., Magliano, D. J. & Bennett, P. H. Diabetes mellitus statistics on prevalence and mortality: facts and fallacies. *Nat Rev Endocrinol* 12, 616–622, https://doi.org/10.1038/nrendo.2016.105 (2016).
- Bandodkar, A. J. & Wang, J. Non-invasive wearable electrochemical sensors: a review. Trends Biotechnol 32, 363–371, https://doi. org/10.1016/j.tibtech.2014.04.005 (2014).
- Witkowska Nery, E., Kundys, M., Jelen, P. S. & Jonsson-Niedziolka, M. Electrochemical Glucose Sensing: Is There Still Room for Improvement? *Anal Chem* 88, 11271–11282, https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.analchem.6b03151 (2016).
- Gao, W. et al. Fully integrated wearable sensor arrays for multiplexed in situ perspiration analysis. Nature 529, 509–514, https://doi. org/10.1038/nature16521 (2016).
- Yao, H. et al. A contact lens with integrated telecommunication circuit and sensors for wireless and continuous tear glucose monitoring. Journal of Micromechanics and Microengineering 22, 075007, https://doi.org/10.1088/0960-1317/22/7/075007 (2012).
- Kim, J., Campbell, A. S. & Wang, J. Wearable non-invasive epidermal glucose sensors: A review. Talanta 177, 163–170, https://doi. org/10.1016/j.talanta.2017.08.077 (2018).
- Martin, A. et al. Epidermal Microfluidic Electrochemical Detection System: Enhanced Sweat Sampling and Metabolite Detection. ACS Sens 2, 1860–1868, https://doi.org/10.1021/acssensors.7b00729 (2017).
- 9. Lee, H. *et al.* Wearable/disposable sweat-based glucose monitoring device with multistage transdermal drug delivery module. *Sci Adv* **3**, e1601314, https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.1601314 (2017).
- Liao, Y.-T., Yao, H., Lingley, A., Parviz, B. & Otis, B. P. A 3-uW CMOS Glucose Sensor for Wireless Contact-Lens Tear Glucose Monitoring. *IEEE Journal of Solid-State Circuits* 47, 335–344, https://doi.org/10.1109/jssc.2011.2170633 (2012).
- 11. van Enter, B. J. & von Hauff, E. Challenges and perspectives in continuous glucose monitoring. *Chem Commun (Camb)* 54, 5032–5045, https://doi.org/10.1039/c8cc01678j (2018).
- Lee, H., Hong, Y. J., Baik, S., Hyeon, T. & Kim, D. H. Enzyme-Based Glucose Sensor: From Invasive to Wearable Device. Adv Healthc Mater 7, e1701150, https://doi.org/10.1002/adhm.201701150 (2018).
- De Block, C., Manuel-y-Keenoy, B. & Van Gaal, L. A review of current evidence with continuous glucose monitoring in patients with diabetes. J Diabetes Sci Technol 2, 718–727, https://doi.org/10.1177/193229680800200426 (2008).
- Rahman, M. M., Ahammad, A. J., Jin, J. H., Ahn, S. J. & Lee, J. J. A comprehensive review of glucose biosensors based on nanostructured metal-oxides. Sensors (Basel) 10, 4855–4886, https://doi.org/10.3390/s100504855 (2010).
- Tian, K., Prestgard, M. & Tiwari, A. A review of recent advances in nonenzymatic glucose sensors. *Mater Sci Eng C Mater Biol Appl* 41, 100–118, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.msec.2014.04.013 (2014).
- Toghill, K. E. & Compton, R. G. Electrochemical Non-enzymatic Glucose Sensors: A Perspective and an Evaluation. International Journal of Electrochemical Science 5, 1246–1301 (2010).
- Lang, X. Y. et al. Nanoporous gold supported cobalt oxide microelectrodes as high-performance electrochemical biosensors. Nat Commun 4, 2169, https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms3169 (2013).
- Ding, Y. et al. Electrospun Co₃O₄ nanofibers for sensitive and selective glucose detection. Biosens Bioelectron 26, 542–548, https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.bios.2010.07.050 (2010).
- Zhu, H., Li, L., Zhou, W., Shao, Z. & Chen, X. Advances in non-enzymatic glucose sensors based on metal oxides. *Journal of Materials Chemistry B* 4, 7333–7349, https://doi.org/10.1039/c6tb02037b (2016).
- Corrie, S. R., Coffey, J. W., Islam, J., Markey, K. A. & Kendall, M. A. Blood, sweat, and tears: developing clinically relevant protein biosensors for integrated body fluid analysis. *Analyst* 140, 4350–4364, https://doi.org/10.1039/c5an00464k (2015).
- Strakosas, X., Selberg, J., Hemmatian, Z. & Rolandi, M. Taking Electrons out of Bioelectronics: From Bioprotonic Transistors to Ion Channels. Adv Sci (Weinh) 4, 1600527, https://doi.org/10.1002/advs.201600527 (2017).

- Josberger, E. E. et al. Proton conductivity in ampullae of Lorenzini jelly. Sci Adv 2, e1600112, https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.1600112 (2016).
- Zhong, C. *et al.* A polysaccharide bioprotonic field-effect transistor. *Nat Commun* 2, 476, https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms1489 (2011).
- Hemmatian, Z. et al. Electronic control of H⁺ current in a bioprotonic device with Gramicidin A and Alamethicin. Nat Commun 7, 12981, https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms12981 (2016).
- Soto-Rodriguez, J., Hemmatian, Z., Josberger, E. E., Rolandi, M. & Baneyx, F. A Palladium-Binding Deltarhodopsin for Light-Activated Conversion of Protonic to Electronic Currents. *Adv Mater* 28, 6581–6585, https://doi.org/10.1002/adma.201600222 (2016).
- Miyake, T., Josberger, E. E., Keene, S., Deng, Y. & Rolandi, M. An enzyme logic bioprotonic transducer. APL Materials 3, 014906, https://doi.org/10.1063/1.4900886 (2015).
- Deng, Y., Miyake, T., Keene, S., Josberger, E. E. & Rolandi, M. Proton mediated control of biochemical reactions with bioelectronic pH modulation. *Sci Rep* 6, 24080, https://doi.org/10.1038/srep24080 (2016).
- Hemmatian, Z. et al. Delivery of Cargo with a Bioelectronic Trigger. ACS Appl Mater Interfaces, https://doi.org/10.1021/ acsami.8b02724 (2018).
- Park, S., Boo, H. & Chung, T. D. Electrochemical non-enzymatic glucose sensors. Anal Chim Acta 556, 46–57, https://doi. org/10.1016/j.aca.2005.05.080 (2006).
- Bollella, P. *et al.* Beyond graphene: Electrochemical sensors and biosensors for biomarkers detection. *Biosens Bioelectron* 89, 152–166, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bios.2016.03.068 (2017).

Acknowledgements

We would like to acknowledge Tom Yuzvinski and the W.M. Keck center for Nanoscale Optofluidics for assistance with scanning electron microscopy. We acknowledge funding from the Air Force Office of Sponsored Research under award # FA9550-15-1-0273 part of the CyborgCell program directed by Dr. Patrick Bradshaw.

Author Contributions

X.S and J.S. conceived the research, designed the research, performed experiments, analyzed the data, and wrote the paper. P.P. and P.M. designed and fabricated the circuit board. N.Y. helped with device fabrication. M.T. supervised the design of the circuit board. M.R. supervised the research, and wrote the paper. All authors revised the paper.

Additional Information

Supplementary information accompanies this paper at https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-019-46302-9.

Competing Interests: The authors declare no competing interests.

Publisher's note: Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons license and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this license, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

© The Author(s) 2019