# UCLA UCLA Previously Published Works

## Title

Risk Factors for Hyperosmolar Hyperglycemic State in Pediatric Type 2 Diabetes.

## Permalink

https://escholarship.org/uc/item/4418199h

# Authors

Everett, Estelle Copeland, Timothy Chao, Lily <u>et al.</u>

## **Publication Date**

2023

# DOI

10.1155/2023/1318136

## **Copyright Information**

This work is made available under the terms of a Creative Commons Attribution License, available at <u>https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/</u>

Peer reviewed



# **HHS Public Access**

Author manuscript *Pediatr Diabetes.* Author manuscript; available in PMC 2023 August 23.

Published in final edited form as: *Pediatr Diabetes.* 2023 ; 2023: . doi:10.1155/2023/1318136.

# **Risk Factors for Hyperosmolar Hyperglycemic State in Pediatric Type 2 Diabetes**

## Estelle M. Everett<sup>1,2,3</sup>, Timothy Copeland<sup>4</sup>, Lauren E. Wisk<sup>2,4</sup>, Lily C. Chao<sup>5,6</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Division of Endocrinology, Diabetes, & Metabolism, Department of Medicine, David Geffen School of Medicine, University of California, Los Angeles, CA, USA

<sup>2</sup>Division of General Internal Medicine & Health Services Research, Department of Medicine, David Geffen School of Medicine, University of California, Los Angeles, CA, USA

<sup>3</sup>VA Greater Los Angeles Healthcare System, Los Angeles, CA, USA

<sup>4</sup>Department of Health Policy and Management, Fielding School of Public Health, University of California, Los Angeles, CA, USA

<sup>5</sup>Center for Endocrinology, Diabetes and Metabolism, Children's Hospital Los Angeles, Los Angeles, CA, USA

<sup>6</sup>Department of Pediatrics, Keck School of Medicine, University of Southern California, Los Angeles, CA, USA

## Abstract

**Background.**—There is a paucity of data on the risk factors for the hyperosmolar hyperglycemic state (HHS) compared with diabetic ketoacidosis (DKA) in pediatric type 2 diabetes (T2D).

**Methods.**—We used the national Kids' Inpatient Database to identify pediatric admissions for DKA and HHS among those with T2D in the years 2006, 2009, 2012, and 2019. Admissions were identified using ICD codes. Those aged <9yo were excluded. We used descriptive statistics to summarize baseline characteristics and Chi-squared test and logistic regression to evaluate factors associated with admission for HHS compared with DKA in unadjusted and adjusted models.

**Results.**—We found 8,961 admissions for hyperglycemic emergencies in youth with T2D, of which 6% were due to HHS and 94% were for DKA. These admissions occurred mostly in youth 17–20 years old (64%) who were non-White (Black 31%, Hispanic 20%), with public insurance (49%) and from the lowest income quartile (42%). In adjusted models, there were increased odds for HHS compared to DKA in males (OR 1.77, 95% CI 1.42–2.21) and those of

Conflicts of Interest

This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Correspondence should be addressed to Estelle M. Everett; eeverett@mednet.ucla.edu.

Authors' Contributions

EE conceptualized and designed the study data analysis, interpreted the data, drafted the initial manuscript, and reviewed and revised the manuscript. TC contributed to the study design, data analysis, and revision of the manuscript. LW contributed to the study design, acquisition of the data, and the revision of the manuscript. LC contributed to the conceptualization, study design, writing of the initial draft, and revision of the manuscript.

The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest.

Black race compared to those of White race (OR 1.81, 95% CI 1.34–2.44). Admissions for HHS had 11.3-fold higher odds for major or extreme severity of illness and 5.0-fold higher odds for mortality.

**Conclusion.**—While DKA represents the most admissions for hyperglycemic emergencies among pediatric T2D, those admitted for HHS had higher severity of illness and mortality. Male gender and Black race were associated with HHS admission compared to DKA. Additional studies are needed to understand the drivers of these risk factors.

## 1. Introduction

Diabetic ketoacidosis (DKA) and hyperglycemic hyperosmolar state (HHS) are hyperglycemic emergencies that can occur in both type 1 and type 2 diabetes in the setting of insulin deficiency. In both conditions, hyperglycemia contributes to osmotic diuresis, dehydration, and electrolyte abnormalities. It is thought that the relative state of insulin deficiency contributes to the differing clinical presentations. DKA occurs in the state of profound insulin deficiency, resulting in the lack of suppression of gluconeogenesis, increased glycogenolysis and lipolysis, and decreased glucose utilization. Increased lipolysis results in the conversion of free fatty acids to ketones including beta-hydroxybutyrate, acetone, and acetoacetate [1]. The ensuing acidemia and acute symptoms of nausea, vomiting, and abdominal pain prompt patients and families to seek emergent medical care. In HHS, while insulin deficiency is present, there is still sufficient insulin production to suppress gluconeogenesis and prevent lipolysis [2]. Dehydration is more profound in HHS, and severe electrolyte abnormalities can occur. Hyperosmolarity, a consequence of severe dehydration and hyperglycemia, results to maintain circulatory volume. While reversal of ketoacidosis with insulin infusion is the mainstay of DKA management, volume repletion and gradual correction of hyperglycemia are essential to prevent vascular collapse in HHS [3]. As the clinical presentation of DKA and HHS can be similar, biochemical criteria are used to distinguish between these two entities. In HHS, venous pH is above 7.25 (arterial pH > 7.3), serum bicarbonate is above 15 mmol/L, plasma glucose concentration is above 600 mg/dL, ketones are absent or small in quantity, and an effective (not measured) serum osmolarity is above 320 mOsm/kg [4].

Although more common in type 1 diabetes, hyperglycemic emergencies are also observed in type 2 diabetes in children [5]. Despite the rising prevalence of pediatric type 2 diabetes in the U.S., the SEARCH registry reported a decline in DKA incidence at the presentation from 2002–2003 to 2008–2010 [6]. However, during the COVID-19 pandemic, higher DKA and HHS incidence was observed in new-onset type 2 diabetes in youth [7–9]. Given the historically low incidence of hyperglycemic emergencies in children with type 2 diabetes, there is a paucity of data on the demographics and risk factors for children with these conditions. Studies on HHS in children are largely descriptive, single-center case reports that represent a homogenous patient population [10–12]. It is also unknown if the demographics differ between DKA and HHS in youth with type 2 diabetes. We present here the first epidemiological evaluation of hyperglycemic emergencies among pediatric type 2 diabetes, comparing relative risk factors, resource utilization, and mortality rates for DKA and HHS.

#### 2. Methods

#### 2.1. Study Population.

We used the Healthcare Cost and Utilization Project (HCUP) Kids' Inpatient Database (KID), developed by the AHRQ [13]. KID is a publicly available deidentified database containing admissions from children and youth 20 years old (yo) from 42,000 hospitals across 46 states, sampled at a rate of 80% for non-newborn admissions. KID data are available every 3 years, but 2015 data were not released, and instead, 2016 data were released due to the transition from the International Code of Diseases (ICD) 9 to ICD-10 coding. We identified patients admitted with a diagnosis of type 2 diabetes and DKA in study years 2006, 2009, 2012, 2016, and 2019 using the ICD-9 and ICD-10 codes for type 2 diabetes with ketoacidosis (250.10, 250.12, E11.10, E11.11) and type 2 diabetes with hyperosmolality (250.20, 250.22, E11.00, E11.01). However, the ICD-9 codes for DKA in type 2 diabetes were discontinued on September 30, 2015, and the corollary ICD-10 did not go into effect until 10/1/2017. We thus excluded admissions occurring in 2016. We also excluded admissions with concomitant type 1 diabetes ICD-9/10 codes and admission of those aged less than 9 years old as they are unlikely to have a true diagnosis of type 2 diabetes [14]. Although type 2 diabetes is reported in children younger than the age of 10, they represent a minority of the patient population [5]. As we do not have access to individual records to verify the coding accuracy, which is especially a concern in the age category of 5 to 8 years, we have elected to exclude their data in this analysis as well.

#### 2.2. Data Elements.

We described patient levels and hospital factors associated with both DKA and HHS admissions. These data elements were described in a former publication [15]. Patient level factors included age, biological sex, selfreported race and ethnicity, insurance payer, urban/ rural status, and median household income. Admission payers included public insurance (e.g., Medicare, Medicaid), private payers (e.g., private HMOs, PPOs), other (worker's compensation, title V, Civilian Health and Medical Health and Medical Program of the Department of Veteran Affairs or other government programs), and self-pay or no charge (e.g., charity care). Median household income was reported as quartiles and was determined based on median household income per zip code for the calendar year. Patient location was reported per the 2010 United States urban-rural classification, which defines an urbanized area as 50,000 residents or more and a nonurban area as less than 50,000 residents. Hospital-level variables included hospital region, ownership, and size. The severity of illness on admission was determined using All Patient Refined Diagnosis-Related Groups (APR-DRG), which classify patients according to their reasons for admission, severity of illness, risk of mortality, and resource intensity. Length of stay (LOS) is defined as the difference in days between the admission date and the discharge date. Total charges for admission are reported from the hospital to KID and do not include professional fees and noncovered charges. Charges accounted for inflation in the Consumer Price Index and were reported as 2019 US dollars.

#### 2.3. Statistical Analysis.

Weights provided by KID were used to generate nationally representative estimates of hospital admissions, and all analyses accounted for the stratified sampling design. We used descriptive statistics to summarize the characteristics of all hyperglycemic emergency admissions and those of DKA and HHS admissions. Counts less than or equal to 10 are noted as 10 per HCUP policy to preserve the amenity and privacy rights of those individuals. Chi-squared test was used to evaluate for unadjusted statistical differences between DKA and HHS admissions. We performed logistic regression to evaluate what patient and hospital factors were associated with HHS admission in an adjusted model. We evaluated for a difference in clinical features at presentation and discharge, specifically the severity of illness on admission and mortality. We performed logistic regression to evaluate the adjusted odds of a major or extreme severity of illness admission and of mortality in HHS compared with DKA. All analyses were performed with Stata version 15.1 (StataCorp, College Station, TX). This study was IRB-exempt as it was a secondary analysis of pre-existing and deidentified data. This report follows the STROBE reporting guidelines for cross-sectional studies [16].

### 3. Results

We found 8,961 admissions for hyperglycemic emergencies in youth with T2D, of which 6% were due to HHS and 94% were for DKA (Table 1). These admissions occurred mostly in youth 17–20years old (64%) who were non-White race (Black 31%, Hispanic 20%) with public insurance (49%) and from the lowest income quartile (42%) and most admitted in large (60%) private (69%) hospitals and in Southern United States (49%).

When HHS admissions were compared to DKA admissions, we found that HHS admissions were most likely to occur in males (OR 1.77, 95% CI 1.42–2.21, p< 0.001) and those of Black race (OR 1.81, 95% CI 1.34–2.44, p < 0.001) compared to those of White race (Table 2). There was no significant difference between those admitted for HHS compared to DKA with regard to age, other racial-ethnic groups, insurance payers, income, hospital region, or ownership. Small hospitals had lower odds of HHS admission (OR 0.61, 95% CI 0.41–0.89, p 0.01) compared to large hospitals.

Admissions for HHS had higher severity of illness, with 55% classified as major and 13% as extreme severity of illness, whereas for DKA, 12% were classified as major and 3% as extreme severity of illness (Table 3). HHS admissions had an 11.3-fold increase in odds (OR 11.3, 95% CI 8.9–14.4) for classification as major or extreme severity of illness, after adjusting for patient and hospital features (data not shown). The mortality rate was 1.8% for HHS compared to 0.3% in DKA. HHS admissions had a 5-fold increased adjusted odds for mortality (OR 4.97, 95% CI 2.1–11.9) compared with DKA (data not shown).

In terms of LOS and health expenditures, HHS admissions were associated with a slightly higher LOS of 3.94 (95% CI 3.12–4.75) compared to 3.16 days (95% CI 3.07–3.26) in DKA (Table 4). Similarly, the admission cost was higher at \$35,034 (95% CI \$26,616–\$43,453) in HHS compared to \$27,008 (\$25,846–\$28,170) in DKA.

### 4. Discussion

We present here the first epidemiological analysis of DKA and HHS in children and youth with type 2 diabetes in a nationally representative cohort. Using the Kids' Inpatient Database, that consists of admissions data from 42,000 hospitals across 46 states in the United States, we identified 8,961 cases of hyperglycemic emergencies in patients between ages 9 to 20. DKA and HHS occurred mostly in the 17-to-20-year age group although the mean age for DKA was slightly younger (16.9 yo [95% CI 16.8–17.0] vs.17.5 yo [95% CI 17.2–17.8]). Compared to DKA admissions, we found that male sex and Black race are independent risk factors for HHS admissions. Patients with HHS had an increased severity of illness and length of stay. In this large cohort of HHS patients (560 cases), the mortality rate was 1.8%, representing a 5.0-fold increased adjusted odds compared with DKA. This mortality rate was lower than the 3.3% previously reported for pediatric type 2 diabetes cases and increased recognition of these two distinct hyperglycemic emergencies, which may facilitate more appropriate treatment plans to reduce morbidity and mortality.

We report here a disproportionate representation of males in HHS compared to DKA. Pediatric type 2 diabetes is female-predominant in the U.S., with the female-to-male ratio ranging from 1.2 to 1.8, with the lowest ratio coming from a retrospective review of new-onset type 2 diabetes cases and higher ratios coming from a prospective clinical trial or natural history registries [7, 18, 19]. We found that male accounts for 62.0% of all HHS cases. Given the infrequent occurrence of HHS in pediatric type 2 diabetes, most reports on this condition consist of small case series or retrospective reviews consisting of 7 to 9 cases [5, 10, 11]. In both Fourtner et al. and Morales et al., male sex was observed in 71 to 85% of HHS cases [10, 11]. Bagdure et al. previously analyzed the epidemiology of HHS syndrome in children aged 0 to 17 between the years 1997 to 2009 in the U.S. and similarly found that male comprised of 60.2% of T2D patients with HHS admissions (317 patients) [17]. Analyses in adult T2D HHS cases have not shown a male predominance [20, 21]. The cause of male predominance in pediatric HHS cases is unknown. Several reports have shown reduced healthcare usage in older adolescent males (aged 16 to 20 years) and preventive health services in young adult males [22–24]. A delay or omission of routine physical examination and screening services may delay the diagnosis of type 2 diabetes in at-risk youth. A delay in accessing medical care may also contribute to the higher severity of illness of HHS admissions. The signs and symptoms of HHS are more insidious compared to DKA, which may delay clinical presentation until there are severe metabolic decompensation and symptoms of weakness and altered mental status, which may contribute to the higher severity of illness at presentation. Future studies evaluating the relationship between routine preventive care and HHS as well as barriers in seeking care in older male adolescents may provide more insight into this finding.

We also identified Black race as a risk factor for HHS hospitalization among pediatric type 2 diabetes admitted for hyperglycemic emergencies. Previous case reports have highlighted a disproportionate representation of Black youth in HHS [10]. In a 6-year retrospective review of HHS cases in 10- to 30-year-olds, Canarie et al. also found that 77% of patients with presumed type 2 diabetes were African American [25]. Other studies focused on

Everett et al.

adults with type 2 diabetes have also shown that Black race was a risk factor for the hyperglycemic crisis, even after adjusting for socioeconomic, clinical, and treatment-related factors [26]. Racial differences in  $\beta$ -cell glucose response may contribute to the increased propensity for HHS among Black youth. A study of healthy adolescents showed that insulin secretory response was higher in Blacks compared to Whites in hyperglycemic clamp studies [27]. Compared to White adolescents, obese Black adolescents exhibited an early insulin secretory response and decreased insulin clearance during a 2-hour oral glucose tolerance test [28]. Reduced insulin clearance in Black adolescents in the Bogalusa heart study has also been reported previously [29]. It is possible that the enhanced  $\beta$ -cell glucose response in Black youth may protect them from severe insulin deficiency but increase their risk for HHS if diabetes remains undiagnosed. HHS may also occur due to barriers in accessing medical care. Social factors such as institutional racism, implicit clinician bias, and lower quality of care may foment distrust in the healthcare system and a reluctance to seek medical care. Historical discriminatory housing policies have also contributed to racially segregated neighborhoods with inadequate housing, food swamps, and exposure to environmental pollutants, which all contribute to the higher risk for type 2 diabetes [30, 31]. The development of strategies to improve equitable healthcare access and culturally sensitive care models has the potential for early detection of type 2 diabetes, which in turn may reduce hyperglycemic emergencies and associated morbidity and mortality in this vulnerable population.

The limitations of this study include potential misclassification of diabetes type, DKA or HHS diagnoses, given KID is a claims-based database. In our analysis, 98 admissions (1.5%) had ICD codes for both DKA and HHS, which may represent hyperosmolar DKA. As this assumption could not be verified, these cases were removed from this analysis. In addition, we are unable to account for changes in coding practices in the setting of ICD-9 and ICD-10 transition. During this transition, no ICD code was available to capture DKA in type 2 diabetes in 2016, precluding us from calculating DKA case rates over time. Compared to 2006, the odds ratio of HHS to DKA admission rose in 2009 and 2012, however, but was unchanged as of 2019 (Table 2). Although it is not possible to determine causation from this analysis, we speculate that increased awareness of the distinction between these two entities may have improved clinical outcomes. Another limitation is that this dataset does not allow us to distinguish between new onset diabetes cases from repeat admissions. Finally, as this is an inpatient database, we are unable to report the total prevalence of type 2 diabetes to calculate the incidence and prevalence of HHS and DKA. Nevertheless, this study is one of the largest studies that capture the most recent estimates of DKA and HHS in pediatric type 2 diabetes in a real world, nationally representative sample. We identified distinct patient risk factors for DKA and HHS, which speaks to the complex interaction between the pathophysiology of these hyperglycemic states and race and sex.

With the rise in pediatric type 2 diabetes cases, hyperglycemic emergencies will become more prevalent. Strategies that identify at-risk populations have the potential to prevent DKA and HHS. These strategies may include increased screening for type 2 diabetes and improving access to medical care for the at-risk population. Given the increased disease severity and mortality of HHS, there is a pressing need for not only endocrinologists but also emergency medicine physicians to recognize the distinction in presentation and treatment

between DKA and HHS. Future studies are also needed to study the prevalence, disease severity, and mortality of hyperosmolar DKA. Current guidelines for hyperosmolar DKA and HHS are adapted from adult practice guidelines. However, the lack of consensus on optimal fluid rates in children with obesity and concern for cerebral edema remain treatment conundrums. A multicenter clinical trial is needed to provide evidencebased treatment for fluid and insulin infusion rates for children with hyperosmolar DKA and HHS, to reduce the morbidity and mortality associated with these hyperglycemic crises.

#### Acknowledgments

The preliminary results of this study were presented as an abstract at the American Diabetes Association 82<sup>nd</sup> Scientific Session New Orleans, LA, June 2022 [32]. The authors wish to also acknowledge the generous funding support from the National Institute of Diabetes and Digestive and Kidney Diseases (NIDDK) (K23DK132482, PI: Everett), (L40DK129996, PI: Everett), (K01DK116932, PI: Wisk).

#### Data Availability

The data used to support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author upon request.

#### References

- Gosmanov AR and Kitabchi AE, Diabetic Ketoacidosis, Endotext KRF, Ed., NIH, South Dartmouth, MA, USA, 2000.
- [2]. Zeitler P, Haqq A, Rosenbloom A, and Glaser N, "Hyperglycemic hyperosmolar syndrome in children: pathophysiological considerations and suggested guidelines for treatment," The Journal of Pediatrics, vol. 158, no. 1, pp. 9–14, 2011. [PubMed: 21035820]
- [3]. Zeitler P, Arslanian S, Fu J et al., "ISPAD clinical practice consensus guidelines 2018: type 2 diabetes mellitus in youth," Pediatric Diabetes, vol. 19, pp. 28–46, 2018. [PubMed: 29999228]
- [4]. Glaser N, Fritsch M, Priyambada L et al., "ISPAD clinical practice consensus guidelines 2022: diabetic ketoacidosis and hyperglycemic hyperosmolar state," Pediatric Diabetes, vol. 23, no. 7, pp. 835–856, 2022. [PubMed: 36250645]
- [5]. Klingensmith GJ, Connor CG, Ruedy KJ et al., "Presentation of youth with type 2 diabetes in the pediatric diabetes consortium," Pediatric Diabetes, vol. 17, no. 4, pp. 266–273, 2016. [PubMed: 25951940]
- [6]. Dabelea D, Rewers A, Stafford JM et al., "Trends in the prevalence of ketoacidosis at diabetes diagnosis: the SEARCH for diabetes in youth study," Pediatrics, vol. 133, no. 4, pp. e938–e945, 2014. [PubMed: 24685959]
- [7]. Magge SN, Wolf RM, Pyle L et al., "The coronavirus disease 2019 pandemic is associated with a substantial rise in frequency and severity of presentation of youth-onset type 2 diabetes," Jornal de Pediatria, vol. 251, pp. 51–59.e2, 2022.
- [8]. Marks BE, Khilnani A, Meyers A, Flokas M, and Gai J, "Increase in the diagnosis and severity of presentation of pediatric type 1 and type 2 diabetes during the COVID-19 pandemic," Hormone Research in Paediat 1cs, vol. 94, 2021.
- [9]. Chao LC, Vidmar AP, and Georgia S, "Spike in diabetic ketoacidosis rates in pediatric type 2 diabetes during the COVID-19 pandemic," Diabetes Care, vol. 44, no. 6, pp. 1451–1453, 2021.
   [PubMed: 33905347]
- [10]. Fourtner SH, Weinzimer SA, and Levitt Katz LE, "Hyperglycemic hyperosmolar non-ketotic syndrome in children with type 2 diabetes \*," Pediatric Diabetes, vol. 6, no. 3, pp. 129–135, 2005. [PubMed: 16109068]
- [11]. Morales AE and Rosenbloom AL, "Death caused by hyperglycemic hyperosmolar state at the onset of type 2 diabetes," The Journal of Pediatrics, vol. 144, no. 2, pp. 270–273, 2004.
   [PubMed: 14760275]

Everett et al.

- [12]. Rosenbloom AL, "Hyperglycemic hyperosmolar state:an emerging pediatric problem," The Journal of Pediatrics, vol. 156, no. 2, pp. 180–184, 2010. [PubMed: 20105637]
- [13]. Anonymous, "HCUP-KID overview," 2020, https://www.hcup-us.ahrq.gov/kidoverview.jsp.
- [14]. Jensen ET and Dabelea D, "Type 2 diabetes in youth: new lessons from the SEARCH study," Current Diabetes Reports, vol. 18, no. 6, p. 36, 2018. [PubMed: 29737424]
- [15]. Everett EM, Copeland TP, Moin T, and Wisk LE, "National trends in pediatric admissions for diabetic ketoacidosis, 2006–2016," Journal of Clinical Endocrinology and Metabolism, vol. 106, no. 8, pp. 2343–2354, 2021. [PubMed: 33942077]
- [16]. Elm EV, Altman DG, Egger M, Pocock SJ, Gøtzsche PC, and Vandenbroucke JP, "Strengthening the Reporting of Observational Studies in Epidemiology (STROBE) statement: guidelines for reporting observational studies," BMJ, vol. 335, no. 7624, pp. 806–808, 2007. [PubMed: 17947786]
- [17]. Bagdure D, Rewers A, Campagna E, and Sills MR, "Epidemiology of hyperglycemic hyperosmolar syndrome in children hospitalized in USA," Pediatric Diabetes, vol. 14, no. 1, pp. 18–24, 2013. [PubMed: 22925225]
- [18]. Lawrence JM, Divers J, Isom S et al., "Trends in prevalence of type 1 and type 2 diabetes in children and adolescents in the US, 2001–2017," JAMA, vol. 326, no. 8, pp. 717–727, 2021. [PubMed: 34427600]
- [19]. Today Study Group, Zeitler P, Hirst K et al., "A clinical trial to maintain glycemic control in youth with type 2 diabetes," New England Journal of Medicine, vol. 366, no. 24, pp. 2247–2256, 2012. [PubMed: 22540912]
- [20]. Pasquel FJ and Umpierrez GE, "Hyperosmolar hyperglycemic state: a historic review of the clinical presentation, diagnosis, and treatment," Diabetes Care, vol. 37, no. 11, pp. 3124–3131, 2014. [PubMed: 25342831]
- [21]. Tittel SR, Sondern KM, Weyer M et al., "Multicentre analysis of hyperglycaemic hyperosmolar state and diabetic ketoacidosis in type 1 and type 2 diabetes," Acta Diabetologica, vol. 57, no. 10, pp. 1245–1253, 2020. [PubMed: 32488499]
- [22]. Marcell AV, Klein JD, Fischer I, Allan MJ, and Kokotailo PK, "Male adolescent use of health care services: where are the boys?" Journal of Adolescent Health, vol. 30, no. 1, pp. 35–43, 2002.
- [23]. Lau JS, Adams SH, Irwin CE, and Ozer EM, "Receipt of preventive health services in young adults," Journal of Adolescent Health, vol. 52, no. 1, pp. 42–49, 2013.
- [24]. Westwood M and Pinzon J, "Adolescent male health," Paediatrics and Child Health, vol. 13, no. 1, pp. 31–36, 2008. [PubMed: 19119350]
- [25]. Canarie MF, Bogue C, Banasiak K, Weinzimer S, and Tamborlane W, "Decompensated hyperglycemic hyperosmolarity without significant ketoacidosis in the adolescent and young adult population," Journal of Pediatric Endocrinology & Metabolism: Journal of Pediatric Endocrinology & Metabolism, vol. 20, no. 10, pp. 1115–1124, 2007. [PubMed: 18051930]
- [26]. McCoy RG, Galindo RJ, Swarna KS et al., "Sociodemographic, clinical, and treatment-related factors associated with hyperglycemic crises among adults with type 1 or type 2 diabetes in the US from 2014 to 2020," JAMA Network Open, vol. 4, no. 9, Article ID e2123471, 2021.
- [27]. Hannon TS, Bacha F, Lin Y, and Arslanian SA, "Hyperinsulinemia in African-American adolescents compared with their American white peers despite similar insulin sensitivity: a reflection of upregulated beta-cell function?" Diabetes Care, vol. 31, no. 7, pp. 1445–1447, 2008. [PubMed: 18417751]
- [28]. Tinius RA, Cahill AG, Strand EA, and Cade WT, "Maternal inflammation during late pregnancy is lower in physically active compared with inactive obese women," Applied Physiology Nutrition and Metabolism, vol. 41, no. 2, pp. 191–198, 2016.
- [29]. Jiang X, Srinivasan SR, Radhakrishnamurthy B, Dalferes ER, and Berenson GS, "Racial (blackwhite) differences in insulin secretion and clearance in adolescents: the Bogalusa heart study," Pediatrics, vol. 97, no. 3, pp. 357–360, 1996. [PubMed: 8604270]
- [30]. Golden SH, Joseph JJ, and Hill-Briggs F, "Casting a health equity lens on endocrinology and diabetes," Journal of Clinical Endocrinology and Metabolism, vol. 106, no. 4, pp. e1909–e1916, 2021. [PubMed: 33496788]

Everett et al.

- [31]. Hill-Briggs F, Ephraim PL, Vrany EA et al., "Social determinants of health, race, and diabetes population health improvement: black/african Americans as a population exemplar," Current Diabetes Reports, vol. 22, no. 3, pp. 117–128, 2022. [PubMed: 35239086]
- [32]. Everett EM, Wisk LE, and Chao L, "979-P: risk factors for hyperosmolar hyperglycemic state in youth-onset type 2 diabetes," Diabetes, vol. 71, no. Supplement\_1, 2022.

Author Manuscript

Admission characteristics for hyperglycemic emergencies in pediatric type 2 diabetes.

		B	DK	EA		Tot	lal
	N	* <sup>(%)</sup>	N	* <sup>(%)</sup>	P value	N	*(%)
Total	560	6.2	8,401	93.8		8,961	100.0
Age					0.010		
9–12	39	7.0	1,075	12.8		1,114	12.4
13–16	123	21.9	1,929	23.0		2,052	22.9
17-20	397	70.8	5,358	63.8		5,754	64.2
Unknown	10	0.3	40	0.5		42	0.5
Sex					<0.001		
Male	346	61.9	4,144	49.3		4,491	50.1
Female	211	37.6	4,222	50.3		4,433	49.5
Unknown	10	0.5	35	0.4		38	0.4
Race/ethnicity					<0.001		
White	129	23.0	2,540	30.2		2,669	29.8
Black	246	43.9	2,613	31.1		2,859	31.9
Hispanic	95	17.0	1,716	20.4		1,811	20.2
Asian or Pacific Islander	10	1.8	127	1.5		137	1.5
Native American	10	1.5	100	1.2		109	1.2
Other/unknown	72	12.9	1,305	15.5		1,378	15.4
Payer					0.621		
Private	164	29.3	2,684	32.0		2,848	31.8
Public	282	50.3	4,151	49.4		4,433	49.5
Self-pay or no charge	88.0	15.8	1,168	13.9		1,256	14.0
Other/unknown	26	4.6	398	4.7		423	4.7
Urbanicity					0.102		
Nonurban areas	85	15.2	1,434	17.1		1,519	17.0
Urban areas	468	83.5	6,924	82.4		7,392	82.5
Unknown	10	1.3	43	0.5		51	0.6
Household income					0.842		

$N$ $v_{0}$ , $N$ $v_{0}$ , $N$ $v_{0}$ , $N$ $v_{0}$ Quartile 1         2.35         42.0         3.540         42.1         3.775 $v_{0}$ Quartile 2         141         25.1         2.000         24.9         2.231 $v_{1}$ Quartile 2         141         25.1         2.000         24.9         2.231 $v_{1}$ Quartile 3         106         18.9         1.603         19.1         1.709 $v_{2}$ Quartile 4         59         10.6         965         11.5         1.025 $v_{1}$ Northeast         86         15.4         1,192         14.2         1.278 $v_{3}$ Northeast         86         15.4         1,609         1.278 $v_{3}$ $v_{3}$ Northeast         88         14.9         1,609         19.2         1.693 $v_{3}$ Northeast         88         14.9         1,609         1.693 $v_{3}$ $v_{3}$ $v_{3}$ Northeast         88         14.9         1,609         19.2         1.693 $v_{1}$ $v_{1}$ $v_{1}$		N	*			<i>P</i> value		
Quartile 1         235         42.0         3.540         42.1         3.775           Quartile 2         141         25.1         2.090         24.9         2.231           Quartile 2         106         18.9         1.603         19.1         1.709           Quartile 4         59         10.6         965         11.5         1.025           Quartile 4         59         10.6         965         11.5         1.025           Region of hospital         19         3.4         204         2.4         2.24         2.22           Northeast         86         15.4         1.192         14.2         1.278         2.22           Northeast         86         51.1         4.059         48.3         4.345         2.22           Northeast         83         14.9         1.609         19.2         1.693         2.771           Northeast         233         14.9         1.609         19.2         1.646         2.435           Northeast         88         14.9         1.646         2.435         2.4345         2.4345           Northeast         233         14.9         1.609         19.2         1.646         1.646			(%)	N	*(%)		N	* <sup>(%)</sup>
Quartile 214125.12.09024.92.231Quartile 310618.91.60319.11.709Quartile 45910.696511.51.025Quartile 45910.696511.51.025Quartile 45910.696514.21.025Northeast8615.41.19214.21.278Northeast8615.41.9019.21.278Northeast8651.14.05948.34.345Northeast8314.91.60919.21.693Northeast8314.91.60919.21.693Nest8314.91.60919.21.693Norteship12822.82.64331.52.771Public12822.82.64331.52.771Public13315.61.3840.001Public541.8462.201.981Public542.42.332.35Neat33363.05.03860.0Small541.8462.201.981Large33563.05.03860.0Small132.42.42.31Pubrown183.32052.4Otodu19.12.42328.82.53020051482.41.771.907201210719.12.4232.82013105 <t< td=""><td>Quartile 1</td><td>235</td><td>42.0</td><td>3,540</td><td>42.1</td><td></td><td>3,775</td><td>42.1</td></t<>	Quartile 1	235	42.0	3,540	42.1		3,775	42.1
Quantile 3         106         18.0         1.603         19.1         1.709           Quartile 4         59         10.6         965         11.5         1.025           Unknown         19         3.4         204         2.4         2.22           Unknown         19         3.4         204         2.4         2.22           Region of hospital         104         18.6         1.542         18.4         1.278           Northeast         86         51.1         4,059         48.3         4,345           Nottheast         104         18.6         1.542         18.4         1.693           West         83         14.9         1.609         19.2         1.693           West         83         14.9         1.609         9.2         1.693           Public         128         22.8         5.630         6.191         0           Public         128         2.43         31.5         0.001         1.969           Public         128         2.643         31.5         0.771         1.981           Public         128         2.43         31.5         0.001         1.981           Red size	Quartile 2	141	25.1	2,090	24.9		2,231	24.9
Quartile 4         59         10.6         965         11.5         1.025           Unknown         19         3.4         2.04         2.4         2.22           Region of hospital         86         15.4         1.192         14.2         1.278           Northeast         86         15.4         1.192         14.2         1.546           Northeast         86         1.49         1.609         19.2         1.646           South         2.86         51.1         4.059         48.3         4.345         4.345           West         83         14.9         1.609         19.2         1.693         5.771           West         83         14.9         1.609         19.2         1.693         5.771           Private         13.5         5.759         68.5         5.771         5.771         5.771           Private         13.5         2.4.1         1.846         2.771         5.771         5.771           Private         3.5         5.759         68.5         6.191         6.951         5.771           Red size         5.771         5.753         6.743         1.561         1.367         1.367	Quartile 3	106	18.9	1,603	19.1		1,709	19.1
Unknown19 $3.4$ $204$ $2.4$ $222$ Region of hospital $0.261$ $0.261$ $226$ Northeast $86$ $15.4$ $1.192$ $14.2$ $1.278$ Northeast $104$ $18.6$ $1.542$ $18.4$ $1.646$ Northeast $286$ $51.1$ $4,059$ $48.3$ $4,345$ South $286$ $51.1$ $4,059$ $48.3$ $4,345$ Netreship $286$ $51.1$ $4,059$ $48.3$ $4,345$ Ownership $128$ $2.643$ $31.5$ $0.001$ Public $128$ $2.643$ $31.5$ $0.003$ Red $133$ $15.6$ $1.313$ $15.6$ Naall $54$ $9.6$ $1.313$ $15.6$ $1.387$ Medium $135$ $2.41$ $1.846$ $2.20$ $2.730$ Neat $3.33$ $205$ $2.44$ $2.530$ Large $3.33$ $205$ $2.44$ $2.33$ Neat $2.09$ $1.77$ $2.732$ Neat $2.09$ $1.77$ $2.732$ Neat $2.09$ $1.77$ $2.732$ Neat $2.09$ $2.09$ $1.907$ Neat $2.09$ $2.92$	Quartile 4	59	10.6	965	11.5		1,025	11.4
Region of hospital       0.261         Northeast       86       15.4       1,192       14.2       1.278         Midwest       104       18.6       1,542       18.4       1.646         South       286       51.1       4,059       48.3       4,345       4         West       83       14.9       1,609       19.2       1,693       4       4,345         West       83       14.9       1,609       19.2       0.001       1,693       4       4,345       4       4,345       4       4,345       4       4,345       4       4,345       4       4,345       6,191       6       6,191       6       6,191       6       6,191       6       6,191       6       6,191       6       6,191       6       6,191       6       6,191       6       6,191       6       6       6,191       6       6       6,191       6       6       1,367       6       1,367       6       1,367       6       1,367       6       1,367       1,367       1,367       1,367       1,367       1,367       1,367       1,367       1,367       1,367       1,367       1,367       1,367       1,367       <	Unknown	19	3.4	204	2.4		222	2.5
Northeast         86         15.4         1,192         14.2         1,278           Midwest         104         18.6         1,542         18.4         1,646           South         286         51.1         4,059         48.3         4,345           West         83         14.9         1,609         19.2         1,693           West         83         14.9         1,609         19.2         1,693           West         83         14.9         1,609         19.2         1,693           Ownership         128         22.8         2,643         31.5         2,771           Private         432         77.2         5,759         68.5         6,191         0           Bed size         0.01         135         24.1         1,846         22.0         1,367           Medium         135         24.1         1,846         22.0         1,981         233           Vear         1         1,846         22.0         1,981         233         2,43         233           Year         2006         107         1,846         20.0         1,981         233         2,43         233         2,43         2,33	Region of hospital					0.261		
Midwest104 $18.6$ $1.542$ $18.4$ $1.646$ South $286$ $51.1$ $4.059$ $48.3$ $4.345$ $4.345$ West $83$ $14.9$ $1.609$ $19.2$ $1.693$ $4.345$ Wonership $1.28$ $2.643$ $31.5$ $2.771$ $2.771$ Public $128$ $22.8$ $2.643$ $31.5$ $2.771$ $2.771$ Public $137$ $1.313$ $15.6$ $1.367$ $2.771$ Bed size $3.3$ $20.5$ $2.44$ $2.30$ $1.367$ Small $5.33$ $63.0$ $5.038$ $60.0$ $5.390$ $1.237$ Wedium $18$ $3.3$ $205$ $2.4$ $2.23$ $2.23$ Unknown $18$ $3.3$ $205$ $2.4$ $2.330$ $2.730$ Year $1.77$ $1.779$ $2.732$ $2.930$ $1.907$ 2009 $148$ $26.4$ $1.779$ $2.932$ $2.930$ 2012 $107$ $2.723$ $2.909$ $1.907$ $2.909$ 2013 $20.9$ $1.77$ $2.929$ $2.975$ $2.975$ 2012 $107$ $2.729$ $2.729$ $2.975$ $2.$	Northeast	86	15.4	1,192	14.2		1,278	14.3
South $286$ $51.1$ $4,059$ $48.3$ $4,345$ West $83$ $14.9$ $1,609$ $19.2$ $1,693$ Ownership $14.9$ $1,609$ $19.2$ $1,693$ Ownership $128$ $2.8$ $2,643$ $31.5$ $2,771$ Private $432$ $77.2$ $5,759$ $68.5$ $2,771$ Private $432$ $77.2$ $5,759$ $68.5$ $6,191$ Bed size $54$ $9.6$ $1,313$ $15.6$ $1,367$ Small $54$ $9.6$ $1,313$ $15.6$ $1,367$ Medium $135$ $24.1$ $1,846$ $22.0$ $1,981$ Medium $135$ $24.1$ $1,846$ $22.0$ $1,981$ Vear $3.3$ $205$ $2.4$ $223$ $2330$ Vear $2006$ $107$ $19,17$ $2233$ $2009$ $148$ $26.4$ $1,759$ $20.9$ $1,907$ $2009$ $148$ $26.4$ $1,759$ $20.9$ $1,907$ $2012$ $160$ $28.5$ $1,490$ $17.7$ $1,650$ $2012$ $145$ $26.0$ $2,729$ $32.5$ $2.875$ $2012$ $145$ $26.0$ $2,729$ $32.5$ $2.875$ $2012$ $145$ $26.0$ $2,729$ $32.5$ $2.875$ $1,576$ $2,729$ $32.5$ $2.875$ $2.875$	Midwest	104	18.6	1,542	18.4		1,646	18.4
West8314.91,60919.21,693Ownership $0.001$ $0.001$ $0.001$ $0.001$ $0.001$ Public128 $22.8$ $2,643$ $31.5$ $2,771$ Private $432$ $77.2$ $5,759$ $68.5$ $6,191$ $0.030$ Bed size $432$ $77.2$ $5,759$ $68.5$ $6,191$ $0.030$ Brivate $432$ $77.2$ $5,759$ $68.5$ $6,191$ $0.030$ Brivate $135$ $24.1$ $1,846$ $22.0$ $1,367$ Medium $135$ $24.1$ $1,846$ $22.0$ $1,981$ Medium $135$ $24.1$ $1,846$ $22.0$ $1,981$ Vear $3.3$ $205$ $2.4$ $223$ $233$ Vear $107$ $19.1$ $2.723$ $2009$ $1,981$ $2009$ $107$ $19.1$ $2.423$ $28.8$ $2.530$ $2012$ $107$ $19.1$ $2.723$ $2.875$ $2.875$ $2012$ $145$ $26.0$ $2.729$ $32.5$ $2.875$ $2012$ $145$ $26.0$ $2.729$ $32.5$ $2.875$ $2012$ $145$ $26.0$ $2.729$ $32.5$ $2.875$ $2012$ $145$ $26.0$ $2.729$ $32.5$ $2.875$	South	286	51.1	4,059	48.3		4,345	48.5
Ownership       0.001         Public       128       22.8       2.643       31.5       2.771       2.771         Public       128       22.8       2.643       31.5       2.771       2.771         Public       128       22.8       2.643       31.5       6.191       0         Bed size       432       77.2       5.759       68.5       6.191       0         Bed size       5.3       9.6       1,313       15.6       1,367       0         Medium       135       24.1       1,846       22.0       1,367       0         Unknown       18       3.3       205       2.4       2.3       2.3       0         Year       19.1       19.46       2.6       1,759       2.0       1,961       2         2006       107       19.1       2,423       28.8       2.530       2       2.530       2       2       2.530       2       2       2.530       2	West	83	14.9	1,609	19.2		1,693	18.9
Public         128         22.8         2.643         31.5         2.771           Private         432         77.2         5.759         68.5         6.191         6           Bed size         5.7         9.6         1.313         15.6         1.367         5.390           Small         54         9.6         1.313         15.6         1.981         1.367           Medium         135         24.1         1.846         22.0         1.981         2.330           Large         353         63.0         5.038         60.0         5.390         2.530           Unknown         18         3.3         205         2.4         2.23         2.330         2.23           Year         2006         107         19.1         2.433         2.33         2.333         2.333           2009         18         3.3         2.05         2.4         2.333         2.333           2009         19.1         2.423         28.8         2.530         2.333           2009         19.1         2.423         28.8         2.530         2.333           2009         19.1         2.423         28.8         2.530         2.333 <td>Ownership</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>0.001</td> <td></td> <td></td>	Ownership					0.001		
Private       432       77.2       5,759       68.5       6,191         Bed size       0.030       9.6       1,313       15.6       1,367         Small       54       9.6       1,313       15.6       1,981       367         Medium       135       24.1       1,846       22.0       1,981       37         Large       353       63.0       5,038       60.0       5,390       373         Vear       3.3       205       2.4       223         Year        3.3       205       2.4       233         2006       107       19.1       2,423       28.8       2,530       2,530         2009       148       26.4       1,759       20.9       1,907       3         2019       145       26.0       2,729       32.5       2,875       3         HHS = hyperosmolar hyperglycemic state. DKA = diabetic ketoacidosis,       2,875       3       3       3       3       3       5       3       5       3       5       3       5       3       5       3       5       3       5       3       5       3       5       3       5       3 <t< td=""><td>Public</td><td>128</td><td>22.8</td><td>2,643</td><td>31.5</td><td></td><td>2,771</td><td>30.9</td></t<>	Public	128	22.8	2,643	31.5		2,771	30.9
Bed size       0.030         Small       54       9.6       1,313       15.6       1,367         Medium       135       24.1       1,846       22.0       1,981       2         Large       353       63.0       5,038       60.0       5,390       2         Unknown       18       3.3       205       2.4       223       2         Year       19.1       2,423       2.8       2.530       2       2         2006       107       19.1       2,423       2.530       2       2       2       2         2009       148       26.4       1,759       20.9       1,907       2	Private	432	77.2	5,759	68.5		6,191	69.1
Small     54     9.6     1,313     15.6     1,367       Medium     135     24.1     1,846     22.0     1,981       Large     353     63.0     5,038     60.0     5,390     9       Unknown     18     3.3     205     2.4     22.3       Year     -0.001     18     3.3     205     2.4     22.3       Year     -0.001     19.1     2.423     28.8     2.530       2009     148     26.4     1,759     20.9     1,907       2012     160     28.5     1,490     17.7     1,650       2019     145     26.0     2,729     32.5     2.875	Bed size					0.030		
Medium         135         24.1         1,846         22.0         1,981         3           Large         353         63.0         5,038         60.0         5,390         6         5,390         6         3         5,390         6         5,390         6         5,390         6         5,390         6         3         3         205         2.4         223         7         2         2         2         3         205         2         4         2         <	Small	54	9.6	1,313	15.6		1,367	15.3
Large     353     63.0     5,038     60.0     5,390       Unknown     18     3.3     205     2.4     223       Year      3.3     205     2.4     223       Yoar     107     19.1     2,423     28.8     2,530       2009     148     26.4     1,759     20.9     1,907       2012     160     28.5     1,490     17.7     1,650       2019     145     26.0     2,729     32.5     2,875	Medium	135	24.1	1,846	22.0		1,981	22.1
Unknown     18     3.3     205     2.4     223       Year            Year            2006     107     19.1     2,423     28.8     2,530       2009     148     26.4     1,759     20.9     1,907       2012     160     28.5     1,490     17.7     1,650       2019     145     26.0     2,729     32.5     2,875	Large	353	63.0	5,038	60.0		5,390	60.2
Year     <0.001       2006     107     19.1     2,423     28.8     2,530     2       2009     148     26.4     1,759     20.9     1,907     2       2012     160     28.5     1,490     17.7     1,650       2019     145     26.0     2,729     32.5     2,875	Unknown	18	3.3	205	2.4		223	2.5
2006     107     19.1     2,423     28.8     2,530       2009     148     26.4     1,759     20.9     1,907     3       2012     160     28.5     1,490     17.7     1,650       2019     145     26.0     2,729     32.5     2,875	Year					<0.001		
2009     148     26.4     1,759     20.9     1,907       2012     160     28.5     1,490     17.7     1,650       2019     145     26.0     2,729     32.5     2,875       HHS = hyperosmolar hyperglycemic state, DKA = diabetic ketoacidosis,	2006	107	19.1	2,423	28.8		2,530	28.2
2012     160     28.5     1,490     17.7     1,650       2019     145     26.0     2,729     32.5     2,875       HHS = hyperosmolar hyperglycemic state, DKA = diabetic ketoacidosis,	2009	148	26.4	1,759	20.9		1,907	21.3
2019     145     26.0     2,729     32.5     2,875     3.875       HHS = hyperosmolar hyperglycemic state, DKA = diabetic ketoacidosis,	2012	160	28.5	1,490	17.7		1,650	18.4
HHS = hyperosmolar hyperglycemic state, DKA = diabetic ketoacidosis,	2019	145	26.0	2,729	32.5		2,875	32.1
	HHS = hyperosmolar hyperglyc	cemic s	tate, DK	$\mathbf{A} = diab$	etic ketos	tcidosis,	,	

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

#### Table 2:

Odds for HHS admission compared to DKA admission.

	OR	959	% CI	P value
Age				
9–12		Refe	erence	
13–16	1.81	1.11	2.93	0.017
17–20	2.12	1.35	3.32	0.001
Unknown	0.72	0.04	14.67	0.831
Sex				
Male	1.77	1.42	2.21	< 0.001
Female		Refe	erence	
Unknown	5.50	0.61	49.64	0.129
Race/ethnicity				
White		Refe	erence	
Black	1.81	1.34	2.44	< 0.001
Hispanic	1.11	0.77	1.61	0.580
Asian or Pacific Islander	1.43	0.64	3.18	0.379
Native American	1.57	0.65	3.78	0.315
Other/unknown	1.14	0.77	1.69	0.516
Payer				
Private		Refe	erence	
Public	1.13	0.87	1.45	0.363
Self-pay or no charge	1.08	0.76	1.54	0.653
Other/unknown	1.04	0.62	1.77	0.874
Urbanicity				
Nonurban areas	0.95	0.67	1.33	0.755
Urban areas		Refe	erence	
Unknown	2.23	0.90	5.55	0.083
Household income				
Quartile 1	0.91	0.61	1.37	0.657
Quartile 2	1.04	0.69	1.57	0.856
Quartile 3	1.03	0.67	1.57	0.904
Quartile 4		Refe	erence	
Unknown	1.36	0.66	2.81	0.403
Region of hospital				
Northeast		Refe	erence	
Midwest	0.99	0.65	1.51	0.976
South	1.03	0.71	1.50	0.861
West	0.77	0.50	1.20	0.246
Ownership				
Public	0.75	0.56	1.02	0.067
Private		Ref	erence	

	OR	95%	6 CI	P value
Bed size				
Small	0.61	0.41	0.89	0.010
Medium	1.06	0.81	1.40	0.660
Large		Refe	rence	
Unknown	1.22	0.61	2.44	0.579
Year				
2006		Refe	rence	
2009	1.56	1.09	2.22	0.015
2012	2.02	1.39	2.95	< 0.001
2019	0.96	0.66	1.40	0.826

HHS = hyperosmolar hyperglycemic state, DKA = diabetic ketoacidosis, CI = confidence interval.

#### Table 3:

Severity of illness and mortality.

	Н	HS	DK	A	D 1	Tot	al
	N	(%)	N	(%)	P value	N	(%)
Severity of illness					< 0.001		
No class	10	0.0	10	0.0		10	0.0
Minor	10	0.5	28	0.3		30.3	0.3
Moderate	181	32.3	7,095	84.4		7,276	81.2
Major	306	54.6	1,042	12.4		1,348	15.0
Extreme	70	12.6	235	2.8		305	3.4
Mortality					0.0001		
Died	10	1.8	28	0.3		38	0.4

HHS = hyperosmolar hyperglycemic state, DKA = diabetic ketoacidosis.

Author Manuscript

Length of stay (LOS) and admission charge.

	SHH	95% confidence interval	DKA	95% confidence interval
LOS (days)	3.94	3.12-4.75	3.16	3.07–3.26
Charge (2019 dollars)	\$35,034.20	\$26,615.58-\$43,452.83	\$27,008.10	\$25,845.72-\$28,170.48

HHS = hyperosmolar hyperglycemic state, DKA = diabetic ketoacidosis.