Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory

Title
CYCLIC D6h HEXAAZABENZENEu-A RELATIVE MINIMUM ON THE N6 POTENTIAL ENERGY HYPERSURFACE?

Permalink
https://escholarship.org/uc/item/4434j59n

Author
Schaefer, H.F.

Publication Date
1982-07-01

Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

Materials & Molecular Research Division

CYCLIC D_{6h} HEXAAZABENZENE--A RELATIVE MINIMUM ON THE N_6 POTENTIAL ENERGY HYPERSURFACE?

Paul Saxe and Henry F. Schaefer III

July 1982

DISCLAIMER

Cyclic D_{6h} Hexaazabenzene---A Relative Minimum on the N_6

Potential Energy Hypersurface?

Paul Saxe and Henry F. Schaefer III

Department of Chemistry and Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory
University of California
Berkeley, California 94720
U.S.A.

Abstract

Vogler has recently reported laboratory evidence for the formation of hexaazabenzene from photochemical elimination in cis-diazidobis(triphenylphosphorane) platinum(II). Previous theoretical studies have suggested that the D_{6h} benzene-like structure is not a minimum on the N_6 potential energy hypersurface. Here the N_6 problem has been addressed at the self-consistent-field (SCF) level of theory using double zeta (DZ) and double zeta plus polarization (DZ+P) basis sets. The smaller basis set yields the prediction that the D_{6h} structure is a transition state connecting two equivalent bond alternant N_6 equilibrium geometries. A second transition state for dissociation to three nitrogen molecules (which are energetically much lower than N_6) was also located. Contrary to previous theoretical work, hexaazabenzene is found to be a minimum at the highest completely consistent level of theory. The equilibrium geometry occurs for r_e(N-N) = 1.288 $\overset{o}{A}$, a bond distance suggesting that N_6 is a classic aromatic molecule. The transition

state to $3N_2$ lies 10.3 kcal higher and has the planar, bond alternant structure r_1(N–N) = 1.178 Å, r_2(N–N) = 1.551 Å. Harmonic vibrational frequencies for hexaazabenzene are predicted with both theoretical methods and demonstrate that the energy surface is very flat with respect to bond alternating B_{2u} displacements. The inclusion of correlation effects lowers the barrier to N_6 dissociation when geometrical structures obtained at the SCF level of theory are assumed.

Introduction

The N_6 molecule hexazine or hexaazabenzene is the final member of the series of aromatic and potentially aromatic molecules

In this series of thirteen unsubstituted compounds, seven are well known and at least reasonably stable:[1,2] benzene (1), pyridine (2), pyridazine (3a), pyrimidine (3b), pyrazine (3c), s-triazine (4c), and s-tetrazine (5c). The eighth and last known compound of the series 1,2,4 triazine (4b), was synthesized in 1966[3] and an improved synthesis reported in 1974,[4] but 4b is apparently not well known, since a recent review[1] states that "the parent of this class has never been prepared."

Substituted examples of only one of the remaining five classes of compounds 1-7 have been prepared. The first 1,2,3 triazine (4a) prepared was the 4,5,6-triphenyl species reported by Chandross and Smolinsky[5] in 1960, and seventeen additional 1,2,3 triazenes are

noted in Neunhoeffer's 1978 review.[2] Based on the stability of the 4,5,6-trimethyl-1,2,3 triazine, reported by Closs and Harrison[6] in 1972, it has been suggested[2] that the parent 4a should eventually prove (when synthesized) to be a relatively stable compound. However, there are no known examples of 1,2,3,4-tetrazines (parent 5a), 1,2,3,5-tetrazines (parent 5b) or pentazines (parent 6).

With this experimental background in mind, it is not at all surprising that no report of the synthesis of hexazine was reported prior to 1980. In a certain sense, of course, hexazine is the most intriguing of all the azabenzenes, since at least in principle it could share benzene's D_{6h} structure, all six CH fragments having been replaced by nitrogen atoms. For these reasons, the report by Vogler, Wright, and Kunkely[7] of evidence for the formation of hexaazabenzene was a startling one. These authors studied the low-temperature photolysis of the azide complex cis-$[Pt(N_3)_2(PPh_3)_2]$ and analyzed the photoproducts in glasses of organic solvents (ethanol or methyltetrahydrofuran) at 77°K. A yellow coloration rapidly occurred (absorption maximum at $\lambda = 380$ nm) which on warming the matrix immediately disappeared with simultaneous evolution of N_2.[7] Vogler, Wright, and Kunkely (VWK) concluded that the N_6 molecule is formed as the azide ligands are cleaved, i.e.,

$$\text{cis}[Pt(N_3)_2(P\ Ph_3)_2] \rightarrow Pt(P\ Ph_3)_2 + N_6 \qquad (1)$$

At room temperature, flash photolysis of the same platinum azide in the same solvents gave a similar uv absorption at λ = 380 nm.

Prior to the VWK experiment,[7] there had already been at least six theoretical investigations[8-13] of hexazabenzene. As early as 1962, Roberts[8] noted that Hückel calculations of the electronic states of hexazabenzene would be the same as those for benzene, "provided the extra unshared electron pairs are regarded as being strictly localized." However, among quantitative theoretical studies, there is no compelling evidence that D_{6h} hexazine is a relative minimum on the N_6 potential energy hypersurface. VWK appear to have been misled in this regard by certain statements in Wright's papers.[11,12] VWK state that Wright's calculations "lead to the conclusion that N_6 is slightly stabilized," whereas in fact Wright only suggested[12] that D_{6h} N_6 lies somewhat below N_2 plus the highly energetic N_4 species. Although N_6 certainly does have "stability" in the sense attributed by Wright, such "stability" may have little bearing on whether N_6 could be prepared in the laboratory. Since only D_{6h} geometries were considered in his work, Wright did not attempt to examine whether hexaazabenzene was a relative minimum on the N_6 potential surface.

In this light it may be noted that Dewar's MINDO/3 and MNDDO/1 studies[13] of the dissociaton process

$$D_{6h} \; N_6 \rightarrow N_2 + N_2 + N_2 \qquad (2)$$

represent the earliest attempt to ascertain whether N_6 might be an observable species in the gas phase. MINDO/3 predicts N_6 to

be a relative minimum, while MNDDO/1 (stated to generally provide

more satisfactory results) predicts that reaction (2) proceeds

without an activation barrier. A more recent, and in some respects

the most useful, study of the N_6 problem is that of Ha, Cimiraglia,

and Nguyen.[14] Using a 4-31G basis set[15] (of nearly double zeta

quality[16]) they conclude (in concurrence with Dewar[13]) from self-

consistent-field (SCF) and configuration interaction (CI) studies

that even within D_{3h} symmetry, hexazine falls apart in the gas phase

to $3N_2$ without a barrier. This result notwithstanding, Ha[14] predicted

an $n \rightarrow \pi^*$ electronic transition at 391 nm, in reasonable agreement with VWK's

observed[7] λ_{max} = 380nm. Ha concluded that harmony with the VWK experiment

might be reached if hexazine were stabilized by the ethanol matrix

at 77°K.

As this manuscript was in the final stages of preparation, a

semi-popular review of theoretical aspects of the N_6 problem

appeared.[17] This review focussed our attention on the 1982 N_6 publication

of Huber,[18] who applied the SCF method with a small double zeta

basis including polarization by floating orbitals. Huber demonstrated

via normal coordinate analysis that the D_{6h} form of N_6 is not a minimum but

a transition state at this level of theory. Huber states that "based on

experience with calculations of this level, the result should not differ

substantially by extending the basis". The present research indicates, among

other things, that this suggestion by Huber is incorrect. That

is, application of higher levels of theory to N_6 does bring about

qualitative changes in the potential energy hypersurface.

Theoretical Perspective and Details

Thus the best theoretical calculations to date concur that hexaazabenzene is <u>not</u> a minimum on the N_6 potential energy hypersurface. Although Ha[14] suggests the possibility that cyclic N_6 would be matrix-stabilized, no information concerning the flatness or shape of the N_6 energy surface near the optimum D_{6h} structure is provided. The present study picks up the N_6 problem at this point and pursues it at a higher level of theory. Specifically, it may be mentioned that the existence of a minimum on the D_{6h} potential curve is of limited value. Since D_{6h} N_6 has only one adjustable geometrical parameter, a minimum will necessarily exist as long as energies below that of six infinitely separated nitrogen atoms are found. Given the great strength of the N≡N bond in N_2, it is no surprise that all properly carried out theoretical studies to date agree that D_{6h} N_6 lies well below 6N. The goal of the present research was, then, to consider all the other vibrational degrees of freedom in the vicinity of the presumed hexaazabenzene structure in order to determine (a) whether the D_{6h} structure becomes a minimum at higher levels of theory and/or (b) whether the N_6 energy hypersurface is sufficiently flat near the D_{6h} structure that stabilization by a matrix is plausible.

Two basis sets of contracted gaussian functions[16] were used in the present research. The first was the standard N(9s5p/4s2p) double zeta (DZ) basis of Huzinaga[19] and Dunning.[20] In the second basis, a set of six cartesian d-like functions (polarization

functions) with orbital exponent $\alpha=0.8$ were added to each nitrogen atom. This double zeta plus polarization (DZ+P) basis set may be designated N(9s 5p 1d/4s 2p 1d). The DZ basis thus consists of 60 contracted gaussian functions and the DZ+P basis 96 contracted functions. All results presented here were obtained at the self-consistent-field (SCF) level of theory. It was possible to obtain configuration interaction (CI) wavefunctions and energies for a few selected geometries, but the SCF results (to be described below) indicated that such a procedure could be very misleading. That is, the N_6 potential energy hypersurface is so flat near the constrained D_{6h} minimum that anything short of a complete geometrical optimization and harmonic vibrational analysis would appear to be of limited value.

Stationary point molecular geometries were determined using both analytic gradient[21] and direct energy search methods. The latter, although no longer generally helpful, proved useful in this context due to the extreme flatness of the N_6 energy surface and the small number of independent geometrical parameters (1 for D_{6h} structures, 2 for D_{3h} structures). Given the D_{6h} stationary point structures, quadratic force constants were obtained as differences of analytic forces and subjected to standard harmonic vibrational analyses. DZ+P basis computation times on the Harris 800 minicomputer for SCF plus gradient were typically seven hours for geometries of C_{2v} symmetry and fifteen hours for point group C_s, with only a single reflection plane.

Structures and Energetics

The DZ SCF and DZ+P SCF descriptions of N_6 turned out to be very different, even in a qualitative sense. Therefore the lower level of theory will be discussed first. As seen in Figure 1, there are no less than <u>three</u> N_6 stationary points in the vicinity of the anticipated D_{6h} hexazine structure. As will be demonstrated in the next section, the D_{6h} structure is indeed a transition state, as suggested by the nearly comparable 4-31G study of Ha, Cimiraglia, and Nguyen[14] and the work of Huber,[18] carried out with a small DZ basis set. Not mentioned by Ha or Huber is the fact that a very shallow (with respect to the D_{6h} transition state) D_{3h} minimum occurs nearby at the DZ SCF level of theory. This D_{3h} minimum lies only 0.05 kcal below the D_{6h} transition state and has unique bond distances r_1(N-N) = 1.295 Å, r_2(N-N) = 1.353 Å, as seen in Figure 2. These distances correspond to an increase of 0.031 Å in one bond distance and a compression of 0.027 Å in the other, relative to the D_{6h} perfectly "aromatic" structure.

Since a D_{3h} equilibrium geometry was found by DZ SCF there must be a second transition state (in addition to the D_{6h} structure) connecting the N_6 minimum with (the energetically much lower) three separated N_2 molecules. This transition state is shown in Figures 1 and 2 and is quite well separated structurally from the other stationary points. Specifically, the longer N-N distance is increased by 0.193 Å and the shorter distance decreased by 0.098 relative to the D_{6h} transition state. Despite this rather large shift in geometry, from two equal bond distances of 1.322 Å

to long and short N-N distances differing by 0.291 $\overset{\circ}{A}$, the
accompanying energy difference is only 1.27 kcal. This finding
suggests that the N_6 energy difference is extraordinarily flat in
the region of the D_{6h} hexaazabenzene structure. Although the
DZ SCF characterization of the D_{6h} structure as a transition state
will shortly be challenged, this more general conclusion of flatness
is supported by the higher level results to be presented.

Contrary to previous theoretical studies,[13,14,18] the highest
consistent level of theory yet used to address this problem
suggests that D_{6h} hexazine _is_ a relative minimum on the N_6 energy
surface. The fact that the DZ+P SCF method yields this result is
demonstrated in Figure 1, with Figure 2 and Tables I and II providing
additional details. The predicted N-N separation of the hexa-
azabenzene miminum is 1.288 $\overset{\circ}{A}$, or only 0.034 $\overset{\circ}{A}$ shorter than the
analogous DZ D_{6h} stationary point prediction. The striking
difference, of course, is that with DZ SCF the D_{6h} structure is
a transition state, while adding a set of d functions to each
nitrogen atom transforms hexazine into a genuine potential
minimum. Since the D_{6h} structure is a minimum with DZ+P SCF,
there must be a transition state separating it from the dissociation
limit of three nitrogen molecules. This transition state is seen
in Figures 1 and 2, which show alternating bond distances of
1.178 and 1.551 $\overset{\circ}{A}$. Comparison of the DZ and DZ+P SCF results
shows the surprising (relative to the structures of normal closed-
shell molecules[16]) result that d functions _increase_ the longer
predicted N-N distance, by 0.036 $\overset{\circ}{A}$. The shorter N-N distance,

which is much more like that in isolated N_2, shows the expected decrease (by 0.046 Å) when polarization functions are added to the basis.

Quite unexpected relative to earlier theoretical work is the DZ+P SCF prediction (see Table I) that the D_{6h} N_6 minimum is separated by a barrier of 10.3 kcal from the energetically much lower three N_2 asymptote. Such a barrier is surprisingly large and, if correct, would readily explain Vogler's apparent low temperature observation[7] of N_6. Even if the precise value of the N_6 dissociation barrier from DZ+P SCF theory is too high, the fact that the energy changes by so very little for such a broad range of N-N separations (1.551-1.178=0.373 Å) is encouraging in terms of experimentally trapping N_6 via matrix isolation techniques.

A matter of lesser interest here is the energy difference between D_{6h} hexaazabenzene and three separated N_2 molecules. Previous theoretical studies[10-14] have demonstrated that hexazine lies energetically far above three N_2 molecules. To make this comparison, the structure of the N_2 molecule was optimized at the DZ SCF (r_e = 1.100 Å) and DZ+P SCF (r_e = 1.083 Å) levels of theory. The predicted bond distances are in acceptable agreement with the experimental value[22] r_e = 1.098 Å. Three times the SCF energies of N_2 are given in Table I, from which a bit of subtraction shows that hexazine lies 231.7 kcal above $3N_2$ with the DZ SCF method and 227.6 kcal above $3N_2$ with DZ+P SCF. As Ha[14] has noted,

correlation effects will reduce these energy differences, inasmuch

as D_{6h} N_6 is described more poorly in the Hartree-Fock approximation

than is the $3N_2$ asymptote.

Vibrational Frequencies of Hexaazabenzene

Consideration of the structure alone of D_{6h} hexazine would

lead one to believe that it is, if anything, even more aromatic

than benzene. Benzene has a C-C bond distance intermediate

between typical C=C and C-C distances, being a bit closer to

former. However, the predicted N-N distance in D_{6h} hexazine

(1.322 Å DZ, 1.288 Å DZ+P) is very much closer to the double

bonded diimide value[23] [r(N=N) = 1.25 Å] than to that of the

single bond in hydrazine[24] [r(N-N) = 1.447 Å]. Furthermore, the

predicted N-N distance is a bit shorter than the 1.32 Å found

from the crystal structure[25] of the aromatic s-tetrazine molecule

5c. Thus the analogy between hexazine and benzene would appear

to be a very good one based on the structural data presented here.

However, the predicted vibrational frequencies demonstrate

clearly the dramatic differences between hexaazabenzene and

benzene itself. These frequencies are given in Table II, where

comparison is made with the benzene vibrational frequencies[26]

associated with the carbon skeleton. Of course, some mixing

between the C-H and C-C degrees of freedom is inevitable for

benzene, so the comparison is not a perfect one.

From the DZ SCF results alone it is apparent that the B_{2u}

vibrational frequencies of hexazine and benzene are about as

different as one could imagine. The B_{2u} mode allows one C-C

distance to contract and the adjacent C-C bond to expand

(3)

destroying the aromaticity and moving toward a structure with

distinguishable alternating single and double bonds. It has

been known for years[26] that this is an expensive displacement

energetically for benzene and this is reflected in the observed

Kekulé stretching frequency of 1309 cm^{-1}. However for

hexaazabenzene as modeled by DZ SCF, the B_{2u} mode becomes an

imaginary vibration frequency (232 i), i.e., the D_{6h} structure

is a maximum with respect to B_{2u} displacements. Thus the harmonic

vibrational analysis of this species demonstrates conclusively

that at the DZ SCF level of theory, hexazine is a transition

state for nitrogen-nitrogen bond switching. One can imagine

a degenerate isotopic reaction

(4a)

$$2\ N_2^{14} + N_2^{15} \longrightarrow N_2^{14} + 2\ N^{14}N^{15}$$

(4b)

that would employ such a transition state, but termolecular reactions of this general type are thought to be relatively unlikely.[27]

It is not without precedent for the nature of a stationary point to change in going from DZ to DZ+P SCF theory. For example, in the SH_4 molecule, the SF_4-like C_{2v} minimum disappears when polarization functions are added to the basis set.[28] Similarly for the H_7^+ complex $H_3^+(H_2)_2$ the known equilibrium geometry is predicted to be a transition state when CI is carried out with a DZ basis set.[29] In the same vein, the DZ SCF D_{6h} transition state becomes a minimum when d functions are added to the N_6 basis set.

Of particular interest in Table II is the B_{2u} vibrational frequency predicted by DZ+P SCF. This frequency, while no longer imaginary (as with the DZ SCF method), is nevertheless very different from the experimental value for benzene. Specifically, Table II shows that the carbon skeletal B_{2u} frequency in C_6H_6 is 1309 cm^{-1}, while the analogous DZ+P SCF frequency for hexazine is 343 cm^{-1}, almost a factor of four smaller. This is of course further concrete evidence that the N_6 potential energy hypersurface is very flat in the region of the D_{6h} stationary point. The lowest DZ+P SCF vibrational frequency is not the B_{2u} mode but rather the degenerate E_{2u} frequency at 320 cm^{-1}. This is an out-of-plane bending mode quite analogous to that observed at 404 cm^{-1} for benzene. Thus one sees that the N_6 energy hypersurface is only marginally flatter than benzene with respect to this ring deformation. In this general vein, one concludes that the DZ+P SCF

N_6 vibrational frequencies are all roughly comparable to the analogous skeletal modes of benzene except for the ring stretching B_{2u} Kekulé displacement.

Preliminary Results from Correlated Wave Functions

As noted earlier, it was not considered feasible at the present time to determine stationary point geometries and vibrational frequencies at the configuration interaction (CI) level of theory. However, assuming the SCF stationary point structures in Figure 2, single point CI wave functions were determined including all valence single and double excitations with respect to the N_6 Hartree-Fock reference configuration. In C_{2v} point group (the symmetry of the SCF transition states for $N_6 \rightarrow 3N_2$ is actually higher, D_{3h}) there are a total of 33,320 configurations with the DZ basis set and 142,130 configurations with the DZ+P basis. These variational calculations were made possible by use of the recently developed shape-driven graphical unitary group approach,[30] and the larger CI required 12 hours on the Harris Corporation Series 800 minicomputer.

The results obtained from correlated wave functions are summarized in Table III. One sees first that electron correlation reduces the exothermicity of the $N_6 \rightarrow 3N_2$ process by only $\sim$ 17 kcal, to $\sim$ 211 kcal. A much greater reduction ($\sim$ 115 kcal) in this exothermicity was earlier predicted by Ha, Cimiraglia, and Nguyen,[14] and we suspect that the latter result is not reliable, perhaps due to an improper use of configuration selection techniques.

With geometries determined at the SCF level of theory, the effect of electron correlation is apparently to raise the energy of the D_{6h} stationary point relative to adjacent regions of the N_6 energy hypersurface. Thus with the larger DZ+P basis set the barrier to N_6 dissociation is seemingly reduced to 1.3 kcal from the SCF value of 10.3 kcal. Moreover, appendage of the Davidson correction[31] for unlinked clusters further reduces the energy of the geometry corresponding to the DZ+P SCF transition state, to 3.8 kcal below the energy of the analogous hexazine structure.

It is at best difficult to assess the significance of the correlated energy differences just reported. If the results obtained had involved complete geometry optimization at the correlated levels of theory, then one would be inclined to doubt the existence of hexazine as a relative minimum on the N_6 potential surface. However, we must emphasize that no such correlated geometry determination was attempted and thus the results may be misleading. It should also be recalled that a counterintuitive result was found (in the longer of the alternating N-N distances for the N_6 dissociation transition state) in going from the DZ to the DZ+P basis set. Thus it may not be possible to reliably estimate the effects of correlation of the N_6 stationary point geometries. In conclusion, great progress has been made along the path to a reliable prediction of the properties of hexazine, but the process has not yet been carried to an entirely satisfactory conclusion.

Concluding Remarks

The present theoretical study, carried out at a significantly higher level and degree of thoroughness than previous work, predicts the D_{6h} structure of hexaazabenzene to be a relative minimum on the N_6 potential energy hypersurface. More pictorially, hexaazabenzene is predicted to be a dimple on top of a mountain. A question that must be addressed in this context is "Do the authors believe this result to be definitive?" To that question we must in candor give an equivocal answer. In the sense that we are confident that raising the level of theory applied will ultimately give correct answers to all such problems, then the DZ+P SCF prediction that N_6 is a minimum must be considered more "reliable" than previous theoretical predictions to the contrary. However, this line of reasoning only suggests a most probable result and carries with it not a trace of infallibility. The primary and definitive conclusion of this research is rather that the N_6 energy surface is very flat in the vicinity of the D_{6h} hexaazabenzene structure. Changes in the N-N bond distance of up to 0.37 Å may be accommodated with a rise in the total energy of only 10 kcal.

Has hexaazabenzene actually been made[7] by Vogler, Wright, and Kunkely? At this point it appears to the present authors a bit premature to form an educated judgment. Further experiments are absolutely essential in this regard and it is hoped that the vibrational frequencies predicted here might assist in a matrix infrared spectroscopic identification of N_6. In any case, the unusual broadness of the N_6 potential surface near the hexaazabenzene

stationary point does support the view that D_{6h} N_6 might be trapped in a low-temperature matrix, as claimed by VWK.[7]

Acknowledgments

References

1. A. E. A. Porter, pages 145-154 of Volume 4, <u>Comprehensive Organic Chemistry,</u> editors D. Barton and W. D. Ollis (Pergamon Press, Oxford, 1979).

2. H. Neunhoeffer and P. F. Wiley, <u>Chemistry of 1,2,3-Triazines, 1,2,4-Triazines, Tetrazines, and Pentazines</u> (John Wiley, New York, 1978).

3. W. W. Paudler and J. M. Barton, J. Org. Chem. <u>31</u>, 1720 (1966).

4. D. Krass and W. W. Paudler, Synthesis <u>6</u>, 351 (1974).

5. E. A. Chandross and G. Smolinsky, Tetrahedron Lett. <u>13</u>, 19 (1960).

6. G. L. Closs and A. M. Harrison, J. Org. Chem. <u>37</u>, 1051 (1973).

7. A. Vogler, R. E. Wright, and H. Kunkely, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. <u>19</u>, 717 (1980).

8. See page 77 of J. D. Roberts, <u>Notes on Molecular Orbital Calculations</u> (Benjamin, New York, 1962).

9. M. H. Palmer, A. J. Gaskell, and R. H. Findlay, Tetrahedron Lett. <u>47</u>, 4659 (1973).

10. M. H. Palmer, A. J. Gaskell, and R. H. Findlay, J. Chem. Soc. Perkin Trans. II 778 (1974).

11. J. S. Wright, J. Amer. Chem. Soc. <u>96</u>, 4753 (1974).

12. J. S. Wright, Theoret. Chim. Acta <u>36</u>, 37 (1974).

13. M. J. S. Dewar, Pure and Applied Chem. <u>44</u>, 767 (1975).

14. T.-K. Ha, R. Cimiraglia, and M. T. Nguyen, Chem. Phys. Lett. <u>83</u>, 317 (1981).

15. W. J. Hehre, R. Ditchfield, and J. A. Pople, J. Chem. Phys. <u>56</u>, 2257 (1972).

16. H. F. Schaefer, The Electronic Structure of Atoms and Molecules; A Survey of Rigorous Quantum Mechanical Results (Addison-Wesley, Reading, Massachusetts, 1972).

17. R. Dagani, "Theorists Mull Stability of Ring with Six Nitrogen Atoms," Chemical and Engineering News, page 36, May 24, 1982.

18. H. Huber, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 21, 64 (1982). Huber's basis set is not precisely described, but yields an energy of -325.988 hartrees for N_6, or about 0.3 hartrees higher than the standard DZ SCF energies seen in Table I.

19. S. Huzinaga, J. Chem. Phys. 42, 1293 (1965).

20. T. H. Dunning, J. Chem. Phys. 53, 2823 (1970).

21. P. Pulay, pages 153-185 of Volume 4, Modern Theoretical Chemistry, editor H. F. Schaefer (Plenum, New York, 1977).

22. K. P. Huber and G. Herzberg, Constants of Diatomic Molecules (Van Nostrand Reinhold, New York, 1979).

23. M. Carlotti, J. W. C. Johns, and A. Trombetti, Can. J. Phys. 52, 340 (1974).

24. R. B. Collin and W. N. Lipscomb, Acta Cryst. 4, 10 (1951); S. Tsunekawa, J. Phys. Soc. Japan 41, 2077 (1976).

25. F. Bertinotii, G. Giacomello, and A. M. Liquori, Acta Cryst. 9, 510 (1956).

26. G. Varsanyi, Vibrational Spectra of Benzene Derivatives (Academic Press, New York, 1968).

27. H. S. Johnston, Gas Phase Reaction Rate Theory (Ronald Press, New York, 1966).

28. Y. Yoshioka, J. D. Goddard, and H. F. Schaefer, J. Chem. Phys. 74, 1855 (1981).

29. Y. Yamaguchi, J. F. Gaw, and H. F. Schaefer, to be published.

30. P. Saxe, D. J. Fox, H. F. Schaefer, and N. C. Handy, J. Chem. Phys.

31. E. R. Davidson, pages 17-30 of <u>The World of Quantum Chemistry</u>, editors R. Daudel and B. Pullman (D. Reidel, Dordrecht, Holland, 1974).

Table I. Total energies (hartrees) and relative energies (kcal/mole) for N_6 stationary points at various levels of theory. Relative energies are given with respect to the benzene-like D_{6h} stationary point. Note that while the D_{3h} structures reported here are definitely stationary points, they have not been proven by normal coordinate analysis to be minima and transition states, respectively.

| | DZ SCF | | DZ+P SCF | |
	Total Energy	Relative Energy	Total Energy	Relative Energy
D_{6h} N_6	-326.26567[a]	0.00	-326.51427	0.00
D_{3h} N_6 minimum	-326.26574	-0.05		No such stationary point
D_{3h} N_6 transition state	-326.26364	+1.27	-326.49784	+10.3
Three N_2 molecules	-326.63493	-231.7	-326.87698	-227.6

[a]An energy of -326.26557 hartrees (0.0001 hartree higher) was reported by Ha, Cimiraglia, and Nguyen[14] apparently using the identical DZ SCF method. Their D_{6h} geometry is $r(N-N) = 1.320$ Å, while the present stationary point geometry is $r(N-N) = 2.4974$ bohrs = 1.322 Å.

Table II. Predicted vibrational frequencies (in cm^{-1}) for hexaazabenzene compared with those known experimentally for benzene. To provide a more realistic comparison, the DZ+P SCF harmonic frequencies have also been reduced by 10% and these empirically corrected frequencies are given in parentheses. Experimental frequencies and assignments are from reference 26.

Normal Mode	Hexazine DZ SCF	Hexazine DZ+P SCF	Benzene Experimental	Benzene Assignments
In-plane frequencies				
E_{2g}	1539	1723 (1551)	1599	C-C stretching
E_{1u}	1257	1412 (1271)	1482	C-C stretching
B_{1u}	1160	1258 (1132)	1010	C-C-C trigonal bending
A_{1g}	1083	1185 (1067)	993	breathing
E_{2g}	769	809 (728)	606	C-C-C in-plane bending
B_{2u}	232i	343 (309)	1309	C-C stretching (Kekulé)
Out-of-plane frequencies				
B_{2g}	913	959 (863)	707	C-C-C puckering
E_{2u}	288	320 (288)	404	C-C-C out-of-plane bending

Table III. Total energies (in hartrees) and relative energies (in kcal/mole) from
correlated wave functions for N_6. Relative energies are given with
respect to the benzene-like D_{6h} stationary point. Note that the geometries
used here are those in Figure 2, i.e., optimized at the single configuration
SCF level of theory. Thus reoptimizing the structures (<u>assumed</u> here) at
the CI level could significantly effect these predictions.

	DZ CI		DZ+P CI	
	Total Energy	Relative Energy	Total Energy	Relative Energy
D_{6h} N_6	-326.80802	0.0	-327.28247	0.0
D_{3h} N_6 minimum	-326.80884	-0.5	--	--
D_{3h} N_6 transition state	-326.82266	-9.2	-327.28035	+1.3
Three N_2 molecules	-327.17498	-230.3	-327.61638	-209.5

Figure Captions

Figure 1. Qualitative sketches of the potential energy surfaces

connecting D_{6h} hexaazabenzene with three infinitely

separated N_2 molecules. As a one-dimensional reaction

coordinate, the longer of the two distinct N-N distances

in D_{3h} N_6 was chosen. Of course the two N-N distances

coalesce as one passes from D_{3h} to D_{6h} point group.

Figure 2. Stationary point geometrical structures for N_6. N-N

bond distances are given in $\overset{\circ}{A}$. Note in Figure 1 that

the DZ SCF and DZ+P SCF potential surfaces are very

different.

Figure 1

XBL826-3914

DZ SCF

Transition State (D_{6h}) → Minimum (D_{3h}) → Transition State (D_{3h}) → 3N_2

DZ+P SCF

Minimum (D_{6h}) → Transition State (D_{3h}) → 3N_2

Figure 2

XBL829-4081