Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory

LBL Publications

Title

Ionic liquid-water mixtures enhance pretreatment and anaerobic digestion of agave bagasse

Permalink

https://escholarship.org/uc/item/4499z5dh

Authors

Pérez-Pimienta, Jose A García-López, Rocío M Méndez-Acosta, Hugo O <u>et al.</u>

Publication Date 2021-11-01

DOI 10.1016/j.indcrop.2021.113924

Copyright Information

This work is made available under the terms of a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial License, available at <u>https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/</u>

Peer reviewed

1	IONIC LIQUID-WATER MIXTURES ENHANCE PRETREATMENT AND
2	ANAEROBIC DIGESTION OF AGAVE BAGASSE
3	Jose A. Pérez-Pimienta ^a , Rocío M. García-López ^b , Hugo O. Méndez-Acosta ^b , Víctor
4	González-Álvarez ^b , Blake A. Simmons ^c , Jorge A. Méndoza-Pérez ^d , Jorge Arreola-Vargas ^{e,*}
5	
6	^a Department of Chemical Engineering, Universidad Autónoma de Nayarit, Tepic, Mexico
7	^b Departamento de Ingeniería Química, CUCEI-Universidad de Guadalajara, Guadalajara,
8	Jalisco, México.
9	^c Joint BioEnergy Institute, Biological Systems and Engineering Division, Lawrence
10	Berkeley National Laboratory, Emeryville, CA, USA
11	^d Department of Engineering in Environmental Systems, Instituto Politécnico Nacional,
12	Mexico City, Mexico
13	^e Department of Plant Pathology and Microbiology, Texas A&M University, College
14	Station, 77843, Texas, USA.
15	
16	*Corresponding author.
17	E-mail address: jorgearreolav85@gmail.com, jorge.arreolav@tamu.edu

ABSTRACT

20 Agave bagasse (AB), a byproduct of tequila production, is a waste generated in large 21 quantities and an emerging alternative for biofuels production. Nevertheless, the use of 22 lignocellulosic biomass for this purpose requires a pretreatment that maximizes its 23 degradation and utilization. Although there are several pretreatment types, using ionic 24 liquids (IL) has become attractive due to its ability to dissolve the lignocellulosic biomass 25 under mild conditions of time and temperature. As a drawback are the high cost of IL's and 26 their high viscosity; thus mixing them with water is a way to overcome these hindrances. 27 This work aimed to evaluate the effect of pretreatment with three diluted ILs on the A. 28 *tequilana* bagasse structure and sugars production, as well as the potential of the enzymatic 29 hydrolysate to produce methane in batch mode. The evaluated ILs were: 1-ethyl-3-30 methylimidazolium acetate [Emim][OAc], choline lysinate [Ch][Lys] and ethanolamine 31 acetate [EOA][OAc]. The results showed that the IL with the best performance was 32 [Ch][Lys], which not only offered the highest yields of sugar production (0.57 ± 0.03) total 33 sugars / g bagasse) but also, it is possible to use it at 30% in a mix with water obtaining 34 similar yields as with pure IL. Enzymatic hydrolysis of IL pretreated AB achieved 50.7 kg 35 sugars and 49.3 kg of sugars for 90%-[Ch][Lys] and 30%-[Ch][Lys], respectively per 100 36 kg of untreated biomass. The enzymatic hydrolysate from the 30%-[Ch][Lys] pretreated 37 AB was able to achieve 0.28 L CH₄/g COD_{fed}, demonstrating that both sugar and methane 38 yields are maintained when pure or 30%-[Ch][Lys] are used for pretreatment, representing 39 a step forward towards process feasibility.

40

41 Keywords

42 Agave bagasse; bionic liquid, biogas; methane; saccharification

43 **1. INTRODUCTION**

44 Current world energy demand and concern about the environmental problems generated by 45 the use of fossil fuels motivates the search for alternative energy sources that are capable of 46 supplying the requirements of human society along with a lower environmental impact. 47 Biofuels and bioproducts generation from lignocellulosic biomass has been considered a 48 sustainable alternative as this is the most abundant plant material on the planet. Worldwide 49 generation of lignocellulosic biomass reaches 1300 million tons per year as a waste product 50 of multiple human activities such as agriculture, livestock, and industry (Baruah et al., 51 2018).

The production of commercially valuable chemicals and biofuels using lignocellulosebased processes has the potential to decrease greenhouse gas emissions, bring benefits to rural economies, and promote energy security (Bhatia et al., 2020). Likewise, the multi-step valorization of low-cost lignocellulosic biomass into a variety of chemicals, fuels and bioproducts in a biorefinery concept will have a great social and economic impact at regional level through maximizing local resources to promote industry development, generate added-value and create employment (Da Costa Lopes et al., 2018; Manzanares, 2020).

59 Agave is considered to be an energy crop with features suitable for commercial application, 60 among which stands out its high biomass productivity even in arid and semi-arid lands that 61 are typically not suitable for crop cultivation due to their excellent water use efficiency in 62 comparison to traditional bioenergy feedstocks (e.g., corn stover) (Pérez-Pimienta et al., 63 2017a). An emerging strategy for the whole valorization of agave is the use of the 64 lignocellulosic fiber (agave bagasse, AB) for biogas production through the anaerobic 65 digestion process; however, the use of lignocellulosic biomass for this purpose has not been 66 widely adopted because the complex structure of the cell wall of plant tissue makes it

67 resistant to microbial attack (Breton-Deval et al., 2018; Weber et al., 2020). Therefore, the 68 use of biomass pretreatments to maximize degradation is crucial. Among the different 69 pretreatments reported on AB, ionic liquid (IL) pretreatment has demonstrated to achieve 70 desirable sugar conversion (>90% glucan and >85% xylan conversion) after the enzymatic 71 saccharification step, while during biofuels generation has been able to achieve 125 kg 72 methane and 121 kg ethanol per Ton of untreated AB as shown in different reports (Pérez-73 Pimienta et al., 2020, 2017c).

74 ILs are organic salts with low melting points constituted of organic cations and inorganic or 75 organic anions. Some of these ILs are powerful solvents for lignocellulosic materials, 76 which is due to the basicity of the IL anion, which establish hydrogen bonds with the 77 biomass polymers, dissolving the cellulose under mild conditions of time and temperature 78 (Da Costa Lopes et al., 2018; Sun et al., 2016). In addition, ILs can be recovered for further 79 reuse. However, their main disadvantages are the high cost and viscosity, thus using 80 aqueous solutions of ILs could be an alternative that reduces both inconveniences. We 81 previously reported that when AB is pretreated with mixtures of imidazolium-based ILs (1-82 Ethyl-3-methylimidazolium acetate [Emim][OAc] and 1-butyl-3-ethylimidazolium acetate 83 [C4C1Im][OAc]) and water, a decrease in pretreatment efficiency was only found in 84 systems with a water content above 40% (Pérez-Pimienta et al., 2017b).

Recently, ILs containing choline cations and amino acid anions (e.g., cholinium lysinate [Ch][Lys]), also named bionic liquids or bio-derived ILs have caught the attention of researchers. These IL are less toxic to enzymes and microorganisms than imidazoliumbased ILs while maintaining high delignification and sugar production yields (Sun et al., 2014; Sun et al., 2016). Using pure [Ch][Lys] in AB at optimized pretreatment conditions (124 °C, 205 min, and 20% solids loading) demonstrated to achieve a high sugar yield of

0.514 g total sugars per g AB (Pérez-Pimienta et al., 2020). Another generation of 91 92 economic and easily synthesized ILs called protic ILs (PILs), formed in a one-step reaction 93 where a Bronsted-Lowry acid transfers a proton to a Bronsted-Lowry base, has recently 94 emerged (Greaves and Drummond, 2015). Ethanolamine acetate [EOA][OAc] is a PIL that 95 has been effective to pretreat a wide range of feedstocks from grasses (switchgrass) to 96 woody biomass (eucalyptus and pine) capable of maintaining its sugar yield in the presence 97 of [EOA][OAc] at 10 wt%, which is a great advantage when compared to imidazolium-98 based ILs that required multiple water-washes to remove it (Li et al., 2011; Sun et al., 2017). 99 Using IL-water mixtures as pretreatment agents could reduce viscosity, eliminate gel 100 formation during pretreatment and could significantly reduce the energy requirements and 101 costs associated with IL recycling. Furthermore, the improved biocompatibility and lower 102 synthesis costs of certain ILs such as [Ch][Lys] and [EOA][OAc] when compared to 103 [EOA][OAc] provides a potential way to improve the economy of a biorefinery (Gschwend 104 et al., 2020; Liu et al., 2018).

105 To the best of our knowledge, there are no reports in the current literature comparing the 106 effect of these different classes of ILs on the pretreatment of AB using IL-water mixtures 107 that allow reducing IL usage and cost. Thus, the objective of this work was to evaluate the 108 effect of different IL-water mixtures using different class of ILs ([Emim][OAc], [Ch][Lys], 109 and [EOA][OAc]) on the pretreatment of AB. Compositional analysis and different 110 characterization techniques were applied on the untreated and pretreated biomass to 111 evaluate the impact of pretreatment on the cell wall structure. Subsequent anaerobic 112 digestion of the enzymatic hydrolysates obtained at the best conditions was also evaluated 113 to estimate the methane production potential.

115 2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

116 **2.1. Experimental design**

117 Three different IL-water mixtures were prepared diluting each of the evaluated ILs 118 ([Emim][OAc], [Ch][Lys] and [EOA][OAc])) in water at different proportions (90-10%, 119 70-30%, 50-50%). Subsequently, the AB was pretreated using these mixtures, and after 120 pretreatment, the biomass was washed, dried, and enzymatically saccharified to determine 121 the most suitable ratio to increase sugars recovery. Based on the delignification and sugars 122 yield obtained, the IL-water mixture with the best performance was determined, and the 123 pretreated AB was characterized and compared with the untreated AB. Finally, the 124 enzymatic hydrolysate of AB pretreated with the most appropriate IL-water mixture was 125 used as a substrate for methane production in batch assays.

To select the best IL-water mixture, a set of unifactorial designs were used for pretreatment with each ionic liquid, where the response variable was the sugar yield per gram of AB, and the factor evaluated was the percentage of IL in the mixture. All the experiments were carried out in duplicate and the statistical analyses (one-way ANOVA and Tukey HSD post-hoc analyses at 99% confidence level (p < 0.01)) were performed by using Statgraphics Centurion XV.

132

133 **2.2. Materials**

Agave *tequilana* bagasse was obtained from the traditional tequila production process of the Casa Herradura distillery, which involves cooking AB for 24 hours at 90-100 °C and its subsequent grinding and pressing to extract the fermentable juices. The bagasse generated from this process was washed in order to remove residual sugars. Subsequently, it was dried at 60 °C and ground using a Pulvex mill equipped with a 20-mesh screen sieve 139 (Pulvex Plastic, Mexico City, Mexico). Commercial enzymatic cocktail CTec2with a filter

140 paper activity of 161 FPU/mL was a gift from Novozymes. Ethanolamine (≥99.5% w/v),

141 glacial acetic acid (≥99.5% w/v) were used for [EOA][OAc] synthesis and purchased from

- 142 Soluciones Analiticas Integrales (Mexico).
- 143 Aqueous choline (46% w/v), L-lysine monohydrate (≥99.0% w/v), and acetonitrile (≥99.5%
- 144 w/v) were used for [Ch][Lys] synthesis and purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (Mexico).

145 Others chemicals including [Emim][OAc] (≥95.0% w/v), citric acid (≥99.0% w/v), sodium

146 hydroxide (≥98.0% w/v), D-glucose (≥99.5% w/v), D-xylose (≥99% w/v), phenol (≥99%

- 147 w/v), sulfuric acid (≥98.0% w/v) were also purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (Mexico).
- 148

149 **2.3. Pretreatment with IL-water mixtures**

150 The IL-water mixtures were made at 90, 70, and 50% (by weight). Pretreatment for each IL 151 was carried out with pure IL and IL-water mixtures, except [Ch][Lys] where the maximum 152 concentration at which it was used was 90% due to its high viscosity making it challenging 153 to use at a higher concentration. Each of the IL-water mixtures was combined with AB in a 154 60 mL ACE glass pressure tube at 20% solids loading (20 g of IL-water mixture plus 5 g of 155 AB). Pretreatments were performed in a convection oven under optimal conditions for each 156 IL (Table 1) (Pérez-Pimienta et al., 2021, 2020, 2019). After the pretreatment, 40 mL of DI 157 water was added to each pressure tube, and then the pretreated bagasse was washed five 158 times with distilled water in order to ensure that excess IL was removed. Once washed, the 159 pretreated sample was dried in an oven at 45 °C for 48 h to obtain the recovery of solids by 160 weight difference with respect to the initial untreated AB.

161

162 **2.4. Enzymatic saccharification**

163 The enzymatic hydrolysis of pretreated AB was carried out by dispersing 4% (w/v) of the 164 pretreated solids in 50 mM citrate buffer solution (pH 4.8). Cellic CTec2 multienzymatic 165 cocktail was added at a concentration equivalent to 8 filter paper units (FPU) per g of 166 bagasse in dry weight, and the reaction took place in an incubator at 50 °C for 72 h 167 (Arreola-Vargas et al., 2016). The characterization of the hydrolysates was carried out by 168 quantifying total sugars (TS) (simple sugars, oligosaccharides, polysaccharides, and their 169 derivatives), hexoses, pentoses, inhibitory compounds, volatile fatty acids (VFAs), and 170 chemical oxygen demand (COD). The sugar yield was calculated as follows:

171 Sugar yield
$$\left(\frac{g TS}{g \text{ biomass}}\right) = \frac{TS\left(\frac{g}{L}\right)*reaction \text{ volume }(L)}{g \text{ pretreated biomass}} * \% recovered solids$$
 (1)

172

173 **2.5. Methane production**

174 After pretreatment and enzymatic hydrolysis, methane production was carried out from 175 enzymatic hydrolysate obtained at the best condition in an AMPTS II system (Bioprocess 176 Control, Lund Sweden), which consists of 15 mechanically stirred reactors (Arreola-Vargas 177 et al., 2016). During the experimental runs, the accumulated gas volume, temperature, and 178 pressure were measured in real time and were automatically recorded by the AMPTS II, 179 which generated a report with the accumulated gas and flow values. The inoculum used at 180 10g VSS (volatile suspended solids)/L was an anaerobic granular sludge from a UASB 181 reactor treating tequila vinasses at Casa Herradura distillery.

These experiments were carried out in triplicate with a working volume of 360 mL at 37 °C, with a mechanical stirring of 150 rpm and a mineral medium adjusted at pH 8 as previously reported (Arreola-Vargas et al., 2016). The substrate for the anaerobic digestion experiments were enzymatic hydrolysates from pretreated AB at a concentration of 5 g 186 TS/L, which were compared with glucose as a positive control. Endogenous metabolism187 and enzyme control experiments were also run.

188

189 **2.6. Analytical methods**

190 Compositional analysis of the untreated and pretreated AB was carried in terms of soluble 191 compounds, cellulose, hemicellulose, and lignin by using a semiautomatic fiber analyzer 192 (ANKOM Technology, Macedon, NY, USA) (Soest et al., 1991). TS produced from the 193 enzymatic saccharification (simple sugars, oligosaccharides, and polysaccharides) were 194 quantified by using the methodology reported by DuBois et al. (1956). In addition, hexoses 195 and pentoses were quantified using an Agilent 1200 Series HPLC system with a refraction 196 index detector and an Aminex HPX-87P column. For VFA the column Aminex HPX-87H 197 with a diode detector was used. The column temperature was maintained at 40 °C with a 198 flow of 1.0 mL/min and a water-acetonitrile mobile phase. Glucan and xylan conversions 199 were calculated as previously described (Pérez-Pimienta et al., 2017c). The COD was 200 obtained using the standardized method of APHA 5220 using vials TNT 822 in a DRB200 201 digester and a DR2800 spectrophotometer. The performance of the bioreactors for methane 202 production was recorded automatically by the AMPTS II.

The attenuated total reflectance (ATR)-FTIR spectroscopy was conducted using an infrared IR2 module coupled to a microscope of a HORIBA Jobin Yvon micro-Raman confocal spectrometer model LabRam HR-800. The lateral order index (LOI) was calculated by using absorbance (A) ratio as shown in the following equation (Karimi and Taherzadeh, 207 2016):

$$208 \quad LOI = \frac{A_{1430}}{A_{898}} \tag{2}$$

- A_{1430} = absorbance reading at 1430 cm-1
- 210 A_{898} = absorbance reading at 898 cm⁻¹
- 211 Additionally, the total crystallinity index (TCI) was calculated as follows:

212
$$TCI = \frac{A_{1378}}{A_{2900}}$$
 (3)

- A_{1378} = absorbance reading at 1378 cm-1
- A_{2900} = absorbance reading at 2900 cm⁻¹

Untreated and pretreated samples were placed on-site in a low emissivity slide in the infrared over the equipment platen. Spectra from untreated and pretreated samples were obtained with an average of 32 scans (in triplicates) over the range between 800 cm⁻¹ and 4000 cm^{-1} with a spectral resolution of 4 cm⁻¹.

219 X-ray powder diffraction patterns of untreated and pretreated samples were collected with a 220 Rigaku Miniflex 600 diffractometer equipped with a high-velocity Dtex ultra detector and 221 operated at 40 kV and 15 mA using Cu-*Ka* radiation (λ = 1.54 A°). Patterns were collected 222 in the 2 θ range of 5 to 50°, the step size was 0.01°, and a velocity of 3°/min. The 223 crystallinity index (*CrI*) of the biomass samples were determined according to Segal et al. 224 (1959):

225
$$C_r I(\%) = \frac{I_{total} - I_{am}}{I_{total}} * 100$$
 (4)

where I_{total} is the scattered intensity at the main peak, whereas I_{am} is the scattered intensity due to the amorphous portion.

Morphology of untreated and pretreated AB were analyzed using a high-resolution scanning electron microscope (SEM) by a JEOL JSM-7800F equipment. The images were acquired with a 1 kV accelerating voltage and analysis using 5 kV. Energy-dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDS) was used simultaneously with SEM imaging for the determination of element content. Confocal fluorescence microscopy images from untreated and pretreated
AB were captured using a Carl Zeiss LSM 710 NLO coupled with two laser sources (405
and 633 nm). All samples were labeled with Calcofluor white stain (0.1 %) for 5 min in
order to show microstructure based on lignin and cellulose distribution (autofluorescence).

236

237 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1. Effect of pretreatment with IL-water mixtures

One of the main effects of IL pretreatment is the dissolution of the plant cell wall components, which affects the amount of solids recovered after pretreatment. Therefore, Fig. 1 shows the pretreatment performance with IL-water mixtures in terms of solids recovery, sugar production, and sugar yield. The IL that offered the highest solids recovery was [Emim][OAc], with values above 90% for the 50% IL-water mixture.

244 However, the ANOVA showed that there is no statistical significance (P value=0.05) on the 245 recovery of solids from the different [Emim] [OAc]-water mixtures. Recently, we 246 demonstrated that long times and high temperatures significantly decreased the recovery of 247 solids and sugars produced during the optimization of process conditions (time, temperature, 248 and solids loading) with [Emim][OAc] (Pérez-Pimienta et al., 2019). These results indicate 249 that pretreatment severity plays a more critical role in the recovery of solids than the 250 amount of water present in the IL. On the contrary, with [EOA] [OAc] there was a 251 significant effect on the recovery of solids from the pretreated AB (P = 0.003), being more 252 significant when the [EOA][OAc] was at 50%. The latter suggests that fewer components 253 dissolve during pretreatment when the amount of water in the mixture increases.

The results obtained in saccharification show apparent differences between the three studied ILs to favor the hydrolysis process (Fig. 1). The quantity of sugars produced by the pretreated AB with undiluted [Emim][OAc] is consistent with the values previously reported that obtained around 27 g/L (Pérez-Pimienta et al., 2016). However, the 70% and 50% IL-water mixtures with [Emim][OAc] had very similar production to the sugars produced by untreated AB (~ 5 g/L) as shown by Pérez-Pimienta et al. (2019), which indicates that this IL loses effectiveness in the presence of water and suggests that the pretreatment did not produce any important change in the structure and composition.

For the pretreated AB with [EOA][OAc], the quantity of water does not seem to have such a severe detrimental effect, since the production of sugars is very close to that of pure IL in the 90 and 70% mixtures and is only significantly lower when used at 50%. The pretreated AB with [Ch][Lys] not only produced the highest amount of sugars in saccharification but also, no significant difference was observed in any of the mixtures (P = 0.52).

Sugar yield depends on both sugars released during the saccharification process and solids recovered after pretreatment. Fig. 1 shows that [Ch][Lys] achieved the highest sugar yield per gram of AB and [Emim][OAc] the lowest. In the case of [Emim][OAc], the results using pure IL are consistent with a recent report that employs the same pretreatment condition in AB, obtaining a sugar yield of 0.53 g TS/g biomass (Pérez-Pimienta et al., 2019).

This IL disrupts the extended hydrogen bond network in the lignocellulosic matrix, including hydrogen bonds in fibrils of highly crystalline cellulose (Li et al., 2010). The regenerated material after [Emim][OAc] pretreatment exhibits high yields after saccharification due to the higher accessibility of the sugar polymers and the low crystallinity of cellulose. However, the statistical analysis for pretreatment with this IL reveals that the amount of water in the mixture significantly affects sugar yield (P = 0.0046), which is evident by comparing the pretreatment using pure IL (0.52 g TS/g biomass) *vs.* 50% IL-water mixture (0.17 g TS/g biomass). The significant decrease in the effectiveness of [Emim][OAc] is consistent with a previous report, where it was suggested that even small amounts of water present in this IL (10%) affect the proton acceptor capacity of the acetate anion, a property that allows [Emim][OAc] to dissolve lignocellulosic biomass (Doherty et al., 2010).

Previous studies have also recommended to add 10–40% water (ionosolv pretreatment) for
effective fractionation of lignocellulosic biomass (Brandt et al., 2011; Gschwend et al.,
2016).

In this strategy, the IL-water mixture fractionates lignocellulose through dissolving lignin and hemicellulose but leaving behind the cellulose as a filterable solid. It is hypothesized that a fraction of water in IL is necessary for: (1) hydrolysis reactions that are required to separate the components from each other (hydrolysis of lignin ether bonds, ferulic acid ester bonds, and glycosidic hemicellulose bonds), (2) to avoid sulfation reactions between the hydrogen sulfate and hydroxyl groups in the biomass, and (3) to reduce the viscosity of the solvent (Brandt-Talbot et al., 2017).

295 Fig. 1 also shows that the highest sugar yields for all IL-water mixtures were achieved with 296 [Ch][Lys]. Since the AB pretreatment effectiveness with [Ch [Lys] did not show any 297 significant change, and even the yield slightly increased at the lowest concentration, it was 298 decided to test two additional concentrations to evaluate up to what dilution the 299 performance of this IL is affected. The selected concentrations were at 30 and 10% IL. 300 These concentrations were chosen because the literature has already evaluated the 301 performance of [Ch][Lys] at concentrations as low as 10% in the pretreatment of sorghum 302 and corn stover, obtaining glucose yields of ~80% (Sundstrom et al., 2018; Xu et al., 2016). 303 Fig. 2 presents the sugar yields obtained from pretreated AB with [Ch][Lys] at different

304 concentrations. The sugar yield resulted significantly lower when the AB was pretreated 305 with the 10% IL-water mixture (P = 0.0001).

306 Despite that previous reports on [Ch][Lys] diluted to 10% showed high effectiveness in the 307 pretreatment, several factors are considered, such as the type of biomass, solids loading, 308 and time/temperature. In the case of the 30% mixture, the high solids recovery contributed 309 to an increase in the sugar yield, and, consequently, to the fact that there was no significant 310 difference between the mixtures with higher IL content (P=0.07). From this analysis, it is 311 concluded that it is possible to use [Ch] [Lys] mixed with water at a concentration as low as 312 30% and obtain no statistical significance when compared to more concentrated IL (90%). 313 The latter presents several advantages, such as having better mass transfer during the 314 process, a decrease in the amount of IL used, and, consequently, a lower cost of 315 pretreatment. It is important to note that all of the saccharification experiments during the 316 present study were carried out with pretreated biomass that was extensively washed to 317 remove the ILs because the main aim of the study was to determine the effect of the IL-318 water mixtures pretreatment on the sugar yield. However, visualizing that these extensive 319 washing steps will be complex in scaled-up processes, the effect of the three ILs was also 320 evaluated on the saccharification step. Supplementary Fig. S1 shows that even at low 321 concentrations, such as 3.5% (by weight), the three ILs exert a negative impact on the 322 saccharification performance of the CTec2 enzymatic complex. In the case of [Ch][Lys], 323 the effect it exerts on saccharification does not seem to increase drastically with any 324 concentration used. Future studies are needed to integrate the pretreatment step with the 325 enzymatic hydrolysis.

326

327 **3.2.** Characterization of the enzymatic hydrolysate

328 In order to determine if there is any difference between the enzymatic hydrolysates 329 produced by the saccharification of the pretreated AB with concentrated [Ch][Lys] (90%) 330 and diluted [Ch][Lys] (30%), both hydrolysates were characterized in terms of relative 331 abundance of sugars by HPLC (Table 2). Additionally, as the most economically and 332 suitable option for downstream processes, the hydrolysate pretreated with 30% [Ch] [Lys] 333 was extensively characterized, as shown in Table S1. The similarity between monosaccharides and cellobiose in the hydrolysates demonstrates that not only the 334 335 effectiveness of the pretreatment in terms of sugar yield (g TS/g biomass) is similar 336 between the pretreated AB with concentrated IL and that diluted to 30%, but also the 337 composition of the enzymatic hydrolysates is similar. This suggests that the IL's mechanism 338 on the biomass during AB pretreatment is similar in both cases and supports the idea that it 339 is not necessary to use the concentrated IL to pretreat AB. Similarly, a previous report 340 analyzed the composition of the enzymatic hydrolysate produced by AB pretreated with [Emim][OAc], and found that it was constituted by ~47% glucose, ~25% xylose and ~28% 341 342 cellobiose where the same process conditions were employed as in the current study (Pérez-343 Pimienta et al., 2019).

344 It is then possible to conclude that pretreated AB with [Ch][Lys] (either diluted or 345 concentrated) presents modifications that favor a more complete hydrolysis of cellulose, 346 since these hydrolysates have a lower amount of cellobiose and their main component is 347 glucose. Based on the data presented in Table 2, it is possible to infer that the enzymatic 348 hydrolysate produced from pretreated AB with 30% [Ch][Lys] will be a suitable substrate 349 for methane production, since it contains C_5 and C_6 monosaccharides easily consumed by 350 anaerobic digestion microorganisms and does not present relevant amounts of compounds 351 that could be inhibitory for them (Table S1). As previously mentioned, this is one of the 352 advantages of using enzymatic hydrolysis after pretreatment, since a large amount of sugar

is released and practically no other degradation compounds are produced.

354

355 3.3. Mass balance and structural changes of pretreated AB with [Ch][Lys]

356 Mass balances for two pretreatment conditions (90%-[Ch][Lys] and 30%-[Ch][Lys]) were 357 performed to develop a clear overview of the pretreatment and saccharification processes. 358 Sugar yields were normalized to a common basis of 100 kg of dry AB as starting material. 359 A simplified representation is shown in Fig. 3 to illustrate both pretreatment conditions and 360 the amounts of each major plant cell wall component, solids recovery, and sugar production. 361 In terms of solids recovery after pretreatment, the 30%-[Ch][Lys] pretreated sample 362 recovered more solids than the 90%-[Ch][Lys] pretreated sample, 80.6% and 74.8%, 363 respectively; in both cases, the recovered material contained lower levels of residual lignin. 364 Compared to the untreated sample, lignin reductions of 33.1 and 18.6% were obtained for 365 the 90% and 30% [Ch][Lys] pretreated AB, respectively. Glucan values reported in the 366 literature for untreated AB agree with our results (26-46%), while for xylan they are 367 slightly higher (15-23%) (Pérez-Pimienta et al., 2017a).

For both pretreatments, a relative increase in the percentage of glucan and xylan was observed (Fig.3). As previously mentioned, the high sugar yields observed for both pretreatments (Fig. 1) were not only due to the increase in the digestibility of the bagasse but also to the small loss of the initial AB carbohydrates. Finally, per 100 kg of untreated bagasse, the enzymatic hydrolysates achieved 50.7 kg sugars for 90%-[Ch][Lys] pretreated AB and 49.3 kg of sugars for 30%-[Ch][Lys] pretreated AB.

The X-ray diffractograms of untreated and [Ch][Lys] pretreated AB are shown in Fig. 4. Biomass crystallinity as one important factor of enzymatic saccharification can be measured as a whole (considering cellulose, hemicellulose and lignin) using XRD while FTIR analysis determines the relative crystallinity of cellulose in the cellulose-rich material (Morais et al., 2016). It is possible to observe that the peak located at 22.1° in the untreated AB, changed at a smaller angle (20.8°) and became wider in the pretreated AB, which is indicative of a change from crystalline cellulose type I to cellulose type II (Pérez-Pimienta et al., 2017c).

The crystallinity index (CrI) values were 41.1% for the untreated AB while for the pretreated samples were 31.7% ([Ch][Lys] at 90%) and 23.0% ([Ch][Lys] at 30%). The crystalline structure of cellulose is usually modified in IL pretreatment either by the breaking of hydrogen bonds between the cellulose chains or by the selective removal of other amorphous components of the biomass.

These changes in the crystallinity index are not consistent with other reports that employed [Ch][Lys] in biomass pretreatment since they found that there was practically no variation in the crystallinity index of the pretreated biomass, probably due to the removal of other components such as lignin (Hou et al., 2017, 2012; Liu et al., 2017). However, it is essential to mention that in these reports, there is not a clear correlation between the crystallinity index of cellulose in the [Ch][Lys] pretreated biomass and the efficiency in its saccharification (Hou et al., 2012).

In addition, LOI and TCI parameters were calculated from the untreated and [Ch][Lys] pretreated AB samples. The LOI of untreated AB was 1.255 while the LOI for pretreated samples were 0.990 or [Ch][Lys] at 90% and 1.415 for [Ch][Lys] at 30%. The TCI is proportional to the crystallinity degree of cellulose, reflecting more disordered structure at low values (Dri et al., 2013; Karimi and Taherzadeh, 2016). The TCI of untreated AB was 0.972 while values for pretreated samples were 0.696 ([Ch][Lys] at 90%) and 0.876

400 ([Ch][Lys] at 30%). The overall decrease in LOI and TCI of the pretreated samples when 401 compared to the untreated AB indicates that IL pretreatment weakens the biomass ordered 402 cellulose structure. Only the LOI of AB-[Ch][Lys] at 30% increased possibly due to an 403 interference of the CaOX peaks on the absorbance reading. The changes in the CrI, LOI 404 and TCI parameters confirm that IL pretreatment with [Ch][Lys] can alter lignocellulose 405 structure decreasing its recalcitrance for downstream processing.

406 Since the compositional analysis of the AB does not indicate that pretreatments with [Ch][Lys] at 90 and 30% removed large amounts of hemicellulose or lignin, the decrease in 407 408 cellulose crystallinity was probably due to a different mechanism, where the amount of 409 water present had a significant effect. The peaks in the spectrum of untreated AB found at 410 angles 14.8°, 24.2°, 30.0°, and 38.0° correspond to monohydrated calcium oxalate, whose 411 presence has already been widely reported in this type of biomass (Pérez-Pimienta et al., 412 2019). Calcium oxalate crystals serve essential functions within plants, such as regulation 413 of calcium in tissues, protection, and detoxification of heavy metals. In particular, plants 414 with crassulacean acid metabolism (CAM), such as cacti or agave, have higher levels of 415 calcium oxalate compared to other sources of biofuels (Pérez-Pimienta et al., 2017a).

The effect of pretreatment with [Ch][Lys] at 90 and 30% on the removal of calcium oxalate from AB is evident in the decrease in peak intensity; and, from both pretreatments, [Ch] [Lys] at 30% shows a better performance in this regard. The effectiveness of IL pretreatment to remove oxalate of calcium from agave bagasse has been previously reported with [Emim] [OAc] (Pérez-Pimienta et al., 2017a).

421 ATR-FTIR was also used to evaluate the modifications produced by pretreatment in AB 422 with [Ch][Lys] at 90 and 30% (Fig. 5). Seven bands were used to monitor chemical 423 changes in lignin and carbohydrates and the relationship between crystalline and 424 amorphous cellulose, plus two additional bands for variations in calcium oxalate. The 425 relative changes are summarized in Table S2. The most noticeable changes occurred in the 426 bands corresponding to calcium oxalate (1321 and 1622 cm⁻¹), where there is a significant 427 reduction, consistent with the decrease of this compound in the pretreated biomass. There 428 are differences between the two pretreatments in the bands corresponding to amorphous 429 cellulose (900 cm⁻¹) and to the bonds and hydrogen bonds of cellulose (2900 and 3348 cm⁻ 430 ¹), which indicates that although both had an effect on AB, it was different according to the 431 IL concentration, and may also be related to the differences in the crystallinity of the 432 pretreated samples.

One of the most particular peaks in lignocellulosic biomass is the one corresponding to 1510 cm⁻¹, attributed to the aromatic skeleton of lignin. However, it is not possible to analyze it in agave bagasse due to the presence of the peak corresponding to calcium oxalate at 1622 cm⁻¹, which covers that area and prevents observing the pretreatment changes.(Pérez-Pimienta et al., 2017c) Despite this, the relative change in the 1745 cm⁻¹ peak, associated with the stretching of the carbonyl group, indicates the cleavage of lignin and secondary chains.(Pérez-Pimienta et al., 2019)

The images obtained by scanning electron microscopy of the untreated and pretreated AB with [Ch][Lys] at 90 and 30% were taken with a magnification X500 (Fig. 6 A-C) and X5,000 (Fig. 6 D-F). The untreated AB exhibits a homogeneous morphology, with a compact fibrillar structure and with microstructures of similar size on the surface.

Morphological changes resulting from pretreatment with [Ch][Lys] at 90 and 30% are evident, as the formation of pores, loss of homogeneity, and swelling of the fibers are observed. These results are consistent with those obtained with XRD and FTIR, and the increase in the hydrolysis rate of the pretreated AB previously discussed since the IL

448 pretreatment had an apparent effect on the fibers, generating a greater surface area and 449 accessibility to the chains of carbohydrates. Additionally, it is possible to appreciate in the 450 untreated AB crystals of a more or less homogeneous size, corresponding to calcium 451 oxalate. The amount of these crystals and their uniformity also seem to be affected by both 452 pretreatments, as observed in the images with higher magnification (Fig. 6 D-F). The 453 images obtained by confocal microscopy provide an idea of the distribution of 454 carbohydrates on the surface of the analyzed fibers (Fig. S2), where the distinctive 455 fluorescence intensities for lignin (blue) and cellulose (green) are observed. In the image 456 corresponding to the untreated AB, cellulose is mainly seen in a homogeneous and compact 457 form, where the lignin located closely between the fibers is visualized. The effect of 458 pretreatment with [Ch] [Lys] at both concentrations on the AB can be clearly observed 459 since, in the untreated sample, large amounts of agglutinated lignin are seen on the 460 cellulose, while the micrographs corresponding to the pretreated bagasse, a decrease in the 461 amount of lignin that covers the cellulose portion is perceived.

Although the pretreatment did not achieve a very high delignification in the structure, a loss of homogeneity in the fibers and delocalization of the remaining lignin is observed, which could contribute to the greater digestibility of the pretreated AB. Visually, no significant differences are perceived between bagasse pretreated with [Ch][Lys] at 90 and 30%, which is an indication that pretreatment with both concentrations could have followed similar mechanisms.

469 3.4. Production of methane from enzymatic hydrolysates of pretreated AB with [Ch]
470 [Lys] at 30%

471 The enzymatic hydrolysate of AB with [Ch][Lys] turned out to be a suitable substrate for 472 methane production, as shown in Fig. 7. After 14 days, 616 ± 43 mL of methane were 473 produced, a slightly higher production than the positive control (glucose), 561 ± 30 mL. 474 The contribution of methane produced by endogenous metabolism was evaluated separately 475 and subtracted from the total production of the other reactors. The fact that the hydrolysate 476 has generated a slightly higher production than the control is explained by the additional 477 COD in the enzymatic complex used during saccharification. Fig. 7 shows that the 478 enzymatic complex produces around 25% of the enzymatic hydrolysate methane production, 479 which agrees with our previous observations (Arreola-Vargas et al., 2016).

Although the methane yield is slightly lower in the hydrolysate compared to the positive control (0.28 *vs.* 0.35 L CH₄/g COD_{fed}), the methane production rate in the hydrolysate is higher (0.29 *vs.* 0.25 L CH₄/L*day), this is probably because this substrate is composed of different easily assimilated sugars (C₅ and C₆) and additional trace elements, which could favor the activity of microorganisms. The COD removal in the enzymatic hydrolysate was 87.3 \pm 2.5%, and the methane yield reached close to 75% of the theoretical value (0.35 L CH₄/g COD_{fed}).

487 This value is slightly higher compared to a recent study that obtained a yield of 0.26 L 488 CH4/g COD using enzymatic hydrolysate of pretreated AB with pure [Ch][Lys].(Pérez-489 Pimienta et al., 2020) Interestingly, in the present study no volatile fatty acids (VFAs) were 490 found at the end of the operation, which indicates that all the VFAs produced during the 491 acidogenesis stage were completely consumed for methane production. In recent years, the 492 reports on methane production from IL pretreated biomass have increased but still limited 493 when compared to others bioproducts. In a recent report by Duran-Cruz et al., (2021), the 494 authors employed steam explosion for 15 min at 142 °C for methane production from AB

495 obtaining a methane yield of 0.28 NL CH4/g COD_{fed}. On the other hand, Gao et al. (2013) 496 studied four different feedstocks (water hyacinth, rice straw, mango leaves and spruce) 497 using [Bmim][Cl] pretreatment at 120 °C for 2 h obtaining up to 233 mL CH₄/g 498 carbohydrates in 140 days. In another report, Xie et al., (2016) carried out the simulation 499 using Aspen Plus for biogas upgrading using imidazolium based ILs ([Hmim][Tf2N], 500 [Bmim][Tf2N] and [Bmim][PF6]) obtaining a 11% reduction in energy consumption in 501 [Bmim][Tf2N], which suggest the promising potential of IL pretreatment for biogas 502 upgrading. Table 3 presents some others relevant works regarding methane production with 503 various lignocellulosic substrates subjected to different pretreatments. The methane yield 504 obtained with the AB hydrolysate pretreated with 30% IL is comparable to that obtained 505 with others feedstocks and pretreatments. The most-reported studies from AB were carried 506 out by using acid hydrolysis as pretreatment. In this sense, it is essential to note that the 507 yields obtained with IL pretreatment and subsequent saccharification have been comparable 508 or even higher. Also, some disadvantages that occur when acid hydrolysis is performed, 509 such as the production of inhibitors and corrosion, are not observed with ILs. However, and 510 as indicated in Table 3, the production of methane from AB hydrolysate pretreated with ILs 511 has only been evaluated in batch and carrying out the conventional washing step of the 512 bagasse after pretreatment. Recent reports on AB valorization have proposed the use of 513 water (Aguirre-Fierro et al., 2020) or carbon dioxide (Navarro et al., 2021) at high pressure 514 as well as others approaches (e.g. Organosolv) (Robles et al., 2018) to improve 515 pretreatment. Nonetheless, IL pretreatment has a high potential to improve AB valorization 516 including selective extraction of xylan or lignin, bioproducts generation (including new 517 lignin derived ILs) as well as tailor made ILs for AB to name a few. Therefore, further 518 studies should be carried out on the scaling-up of both IL pretreatment and anaerobic digestion, especially with biocompatible ILs that could improve the robustness of currentreactors to apply one-pot schemes and continuous systems.

521

522 **4. CONCLUSIONS**

523 The use of IL-water mixtures proved to be effective for AB pretreatment, obtaining high 524 sugar yields comparable to pure ILs especially for diluted [Ch][Lys] at 30%. The increased 525 digestibility after pretreatment was mainly due to delignification and a decrease in cellulose 526 crystallinity.

527 On the other hand, the enzymatic hydrolysate of pretreated AB with [Ch][Lys] at 30% 528 achieved a yield of 0.28 L CH₄/gCOD_{fed}, comparable with other reports using pure IL 529 during pretreatment as well as to other feedstocks and pretreatments. This study highlights 530 the potential of employing IL-water mixtures that implicitly lead to a lower total process 531 cost while allowing easier handling due to its lower viscosity and achieving high methane 532 generation.

533

534 Acknowledgments

This research was supported by the Fund CONACyT-SENER-SUSTENTABILIDAD ENERGÉTICA, CEMIE-Bio-Clúster Biocombustibles Gaseosos 247006. This work was part of the DOE Joint BioEnergy Institute (http://www.jbei.org), supported by the U.S. Department of Energy, the Office of Science, and the Office of Biological and Environmental Research, through contract DE-AC02-05CH11231 between Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory and the U.S. Department of Energy.

541

543 **References**

- Aguirre-Fierro, A., Ruiz, H.A., Cerqueira, M.A., Ramos-González, R., Rodríguez-Jasso,
 R.M., Marques, S., Lukasik, R.M., 2020. Sustainable approach of high-pressure agave
 bagasse pretreatment for ethanol production. Renew. Energy 155, 1347–1354.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.renene.2020.04.055
- Arreola-Vargas, J., Flores-Larios, A., González-Álvarez, V., Corona-González, R.I.,
 Méndez-Acosta, H.O., 2016. Single and two-stage anaerobic digestion for hydrogen and methane production from acid and enzymatic hydrolysates of Agave tequilana
- 551 bagasse. Int. J. Hydrogen Energy 41, 897–904.
- 552 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijhydene.2015.11.016
- Baruah, J., Nath, B.K., Sharma, R., Kumar, S., Deka, R.C., Baruah, D.C., Kalita, E., 2018.
 Recent Trends in the Pretreatment of Lignocellulosic Biomass for Value-Added
 Products. Front. Energy Res. 6. https://doi.org/10.3389/fenrg.2018.00141
- Bhatia, S.K., Jagtap, S.S., Bedekar, A.A., Bhatia, R.K., Patel, A.K., Pant, D., Rajesh Banu,
 J., Rao, C. V., Kim, Y.G., Yang, Y.H., 2020. Recent developments in pretreatment
 technologies on lignocellulosic biomass: Effect of key parameters, technological
 improvements, and challenges. Bioresour. Technol. 300, 122724.
- 560 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2019.122724
- Brandt-Talbot, A., Gschwend, F.J.V., Fennell, P.S., Lammens, T.M., Tan, B., Weale, J.,
 Hallett, J.P., 2017. An economically viable ionic liquid for the fractionation of
 lignocellulosic biomass. Green Chem. 19, 3078–3102.
 https://doi.org/10.1039/c7gc00705a
- Brandt, A., Ray, M.J., To, T.Q., Leak, D.J., Murphy, R.J., Welton, T., 2011. Ionic liquid
 pretreatment of lignocellulosic biomass with ionic liquid-water mixtures. Green Chem.
 2489–2499. https://doi.org/10.1039/C1GC15374A
- Breton-Deval, L., Méndez-Acosta, H.O., González-Álvarez, V., Snell-Castro, R.,
 Gutiérrez-Sánchez, D., Arreola-Vargas, J., 2018. Agave tequilana bagasse for methane
 production in batch and sequencing batch reactors: Acid catalyst effect, batch
 optimization and stability of the semi-continuous process. J. Environ. Manage. 224,
 156–163. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2018.07.053
- Da Costa Lopes, A.M., Lins, R.M.G., Rebelo, R.A., Łukasik, R.M., 2018. Biorefinery
 approach for lignocellulosic biomass valorisation with an acidic ionic liquid. Green
 Chem. 20, 4043–4057. https://doi.org/10.1039/c8gc01763h
- 576 Doherty, T. V., Mora-Pale, M., Foley, S.E., Linhardt, R.J., Dordick, J.S., 2010. Ionic liquid
 577 solvent properties as predictors of lignocellulose pretreatment efficacy. Green Chem.
 578 12, 1967. https://doi.org/10.1039/c0gc00206b
- 579 Dri, F.L., Hector, L.G., Moon, R.J., Zavattieri, P.D., 2013. Anisotropy of the elastic
 580 properties of crystalline cellulose Iβ from first principles density functional theory
 581 with Van der Waals interactions. Cellulose 20, 2703–2718.
- 582 https://doi.org/10.1007/s10570-013-0071-8
- 583 DuBois, M., Gilles, K.A., Hamilton, J.K., Rebers, P.A., Smith, F., 1956. Colorimetric
 584 Method for Determination of Sugars and Related Substances. Anal. Chem. 28, 350–
 585 356. https://doi.org/10.1021/ac60111a017
- 586 Duran-Cruz, V., Hernández, S., Ortíz, I., 2021. Evaluation of Steam Explosion
 587 Pretreatment and Enzymatic Hydrolysis Conditions for Agave Bagasse in Biomethane
 588 Production. Bioenergy Res. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12155-021-10245-9

- Gao, J., Chen, L., Yuan, K., Huang, H., Yan, Z., 2013. Ionic liquid pretreatment to enhance
 the anaerobic digestion of lignocellulosic biomass. Bioresour. Technol. 150, 352–358.
 https://doi.org/http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2013.10.026
- Greaves, T.L., Drummond, C.J., 2015. Protic Ionic Liquids: Evolving Structure-Property
 Relationships and Expanding Applications. Chem. Rev. 115, 11379–11448.
 https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.chemrev.5b00158
- 595 Gschwend, F.J.V., Hallett, J.P., Brandt-Talbot, A., 2020. Exploring the effect of water 596 content and anion on the pretreatment of poplar with three 1-Ethyl-3-
- 597 methylimidazolium ionic liquids. Molecules 25, 1–14.
- 598 https://doi.org/10.3390/molecules25102318
- Gschwend, F.J. V., Brandt, A., Chambon, C.L., Tu, W.-C., Weigand, L., Hallett, J.P., 2016.
 Pretreatment of Lignocellulosic Biomass with Low-cost Ionic Liquids. J. Vis. Exp.
 https://doi.org/10.3791/54246
- Hou, Q., Ju, M., Li, W., Liu, L., Chen, Y., Yang, Q., 2017. Pretreatment of Lignocellulosic
 Biomass with Ionic Liquids and Ionic Liquid-Based Solvent Systems. Molecules 22,
 490. https://doi.org/10.3390/molecules22030490
- Hou, X.D., Smith, T.J., Li, N., Zong, M.H., 2012. Novel renewable ionic liquids as highly
 effective solvents for pretreatment of rice straw biomass by selective removal of lignin.
 Biotechnol. Bioeng. 109, 2484–2493. https://doi.org/10.1002/bit.24522
- Kabir, M.M., Niklasson, C., Taherzadeh, M.J., Horváth, I.S., 2014. Biogas production from
 lignocelluloses by N-methylmorpholine-N-oxide (NMMO) pretreatment: Effects of
 recovery and reuse of NMMO. Bioresour. Technol. 161, 446–450.
 https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2014.03.107
- Kafle, G.K., Kim, S.H., 2013. Anaerobic treatment of apple waste with swine manure for
 biogas production: Batch and continuous operation. Appl. Energy 103, 61–72.
 https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apenergy.2012.10.018
- Karimi, K., Taherzadeh, M.J., 2016. A critical review of analytical methods in pretreatment
 of lignocelluloses: Composition, imaging, and crystallinity. Bioresour. Technol. 200,
 1008–1018. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2015.11.022
- Li, C., Cheng, G., Balan, V., Kent, M.S., Ong, M., Chundawat, S.P.S., Sousa, L. daCosta,
 Melnichenko, Y.B., Dale, B.E., Simmons, B.A., Singh, S., 2011. Influence of physicochemical changes on enzymatic digestibility of ionic liquid and AFEX pretreated corn
 stover. Bioresour. Technol. 102, 6928–6936.
- 622 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2011.04.005
- Li, C., Knierim, B., Manisseri, C., Arora, R., Scheller, H. V., Auer, M., Vogel, K.P.,
 Simmons, B.A., Singh, S., 2010. Comparison of dilute acid and ionic liquid
 pretreatment of switchgrass: Biomass recalcitrance, delignification and enzymatic
 saccharification. Bioresour. Technol. 101, 4900–4906.
- 627 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2009.10.066
- Liu, E., Das, L., Zhao, B., Crocker, M., Shi, J., 2017. Impact of Dilute Sulfuric Acid,
 Ammonium Hydroxide, and Ionic Liquid Pretreatments on the Fractionation and
 Characterization of Engineered Switchgrass. Bioenergy Res.
 https://doi.org/10.1007/s12155-017-9868-x
- Liu, E., Li, M., Das, L., Pu, Y., Frazier, T., Zhao, B., Crocker, M., Ragauskas, A.J., Shi, J.,
 2018. Understanding lignin fractionation and characterization from engineered
 switchgrass treated by an aqueous ionic liquid. ACS Sustain. Chem. Eng. 6, 6612–
- 634 switchgrass treated by an aqueous tonic liquid. ACS Sustain. Chem. Eng. 6, 661.
- 635 6623. https://doi.org/10.1021/acssuschemeng.8b00384

636 Manzanares, P., 2020. The role of biorefinering research in the development of a modern 637 bioeconomy. Acta Innov. 37, 47-56. 638 https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.32933/ActaInnovations.37.4 Morais, A.R.C., Pinto, J.V., Nunes, D., Roseiro, L.B., Oliveira, M.C., Fortunato, E., Bogel-639 640 Łukasik, R., 2016. Imidazole: Prospect Solvent for Lignocellulosic Biomass 641 Fractionation and Delignification. ACS Sustain. Chem. Eng. 4, 1643–1652. 642 https://doi.org/10.1021/acssuschemeng.5b01600 643 Navarro, A., Montiel, C., Gracia-Fadrique, J., Tecante, A., Bárzana, E., 2021. Supercritical 644 carbon dioxide "explosion" on blue agave bagasse to enhance enzymatic digestibility. 645 Biomass Convers. Biorefinery. https://doi.org/10.1007/s13399-021-01557-z 646 Nosratpour, M.J., Karimi, K., Sadeghi, M., 2018. Improvement of ethanol and biogas 647 production from sugarcane bagasse using sodium alkaline pretreatments. J. Environ. 648 Manage. 226, 329–339. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2018.08.058 Pérez-Pimienta, J.A., Flores-Gómez, C.A., Ruiz, H.A., Sathitsuksanoh, N., Balan, V., da 649 650 Costa Sousa, L., Dale, B.E., Singh, S., Simmons, B.A., 2016. Evaluation of agave bagasse recalcitrance using AFEXTM, autohydrolysis, and ionic liquid pretreatments. 651 652 Bioresour. Technol. 211, 216-223. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2016.03.103 Pérez-Pimienta, J.A., Icaza-Herrera, J.P.A., Méndez-Acosta, H.O., González-Álvarez, V., 653 Méndoza-Pérez, J.A., Arreola-Vargas, J., 2020. Bioderived ionic liquid-based 654 pretreatment enhances methane production from: Agave tequilana bagasse. RSC Adv. 655 656 10, 14025-14032. https://doi.org/10.1039/d0ra01849j 657 Pérez-Pimienta, J.A., Icaza-Herrera, J.P.A., Méndoza-Pérez, J.A., González-Álvarez, V., Méndez-Acosta, H.O., Arreola-Vargas, J., 2019. Mild reaction conditions induce high 658 659 sugar yields during the pretreatment of agave tequilana bagasse with 1-ethyl-3methylimidazolium acetate. Bioresour. Technol. 275, 78-85. 660 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2018.12.041 661 Pérez-Pimienta, J.A., López-Ortega, M.G., Sanchez, A., 2017a. Recent developments in 662 663 Agave performance as a drought-tolerant biofuel feedstock: agronomics, characterization, and biorefining. Biofuels, Bioprod. Biorefining 11, 732–748. 664 665 https://doi.org/10.1002/bbb Pérez-Pimienta, J.A., Papa, G., Sun, J., Stavila, V., Sanchez, A., Gladden, J.M., Simmons, 666 B.A., 2021. One-pot ethanol production at optimized pretreatment conditions using 667 668 agave bagasse at high solids loading with low- cost biocompatible protic ionic liquid. 669 Green Chem. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-820297-5.00007-4 670 Pérez-Pimienta, J.A., Sathitsuksanoh, N., Thompson, V.S., Tran, K., Noyola, T.P., Stavila, 671 V., Singh, S., Simmons, B.A., 2017b. Ternary ionic liquid – water pretreatment 672 systems of an agave bagasse and municipal solid waste blend. Biotechnol. Biofuels 1– 15. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13068-017-0758-4 673 674 Pérez-Pimienta, J.A., Vargas-Tah, A., López-Ortega, K.M., Medina-López, Y.N., Mendoza-Pérez, J.A., Avila, S., Singh, S., Simmons, B.A., Loaces, I., Martinez, A., 675 676 2017c. Sequential enzymatic saccharification and fermentation of ionic liquid and 677 organosoly pretreated agave bagasse for ethanol production. Bioresour. Technol. 225, 678 191-198. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2016.11.064 679 Robles, E., Fernández-Rodríguez, J., Barbosa, A.M., Gordobil, O., Carreño, N.L.V., Labidi, 680 J., 2018. Production of cellulose nanoparticles from blue agave waste treated with 681 environmentally friendly processes. Carbohydr. Polym. 183, 294-302. 682 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.carbpol.2018.01.015

- 683 Segal, L., Creely, J.J., Martin, A.E., Conrad, C.M., 1959. An Empirical Method for 684 Estimating the Degree of Crystallinity of Native Cellulose Using the X-Ray 685 Diffractometer. Text. Res. J. 29, 786-794.
- https://doi.org/10.1177/004051755902901003 686
- 687 Soest, P.J. Van, Robertson, J.B., Lewis, B.A., 1991. Methods for Dietary Fiber, Neutral Detergent Fiber, and Nonstarch Polysaccharides in Relation to Animal Nutrition. J. 688 Dairy Sci. 74, 3583-3597. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.S0022-689 690
- 0302(91)78551-2
- Sun, J., Konda, N.V.S.N.M., Shi, J., Parthasarathi, R., Dutta, T., Xu, F., Scown, C.D., 691 Simmons, B.A., Singh, S., 2016. CO2 enabled process integration for the production 692 693 of cellulosic ethanol using bionic liquids. Energy Environ. Sci. 9, 2822-2834. 694 https://doi.org/10.1039/C6EE00913A
- 695 Sun, J., Konda, S., Ramakrishnan, P., Dutta, T., Valiev, M., Xu, F., Simmons, B., Singh, S., 696 2017. One-pot integrated biofuel production using low-cost biocompatible protic ionic 697 liquids. Green Chem. https://doi.org/10.1039/C7GC01179B
- 698 Sun, N., Parthasarathi, R., Socha, A.M., Shi, J., Zhang, S., Stavila, V., Sale, K.L., Simmons, 699 B.A., Singh, S., 2014. Understanding pretreatment efficacy of four cholinium and 700 imidazolium ionic liquids by chemistry and computation. Green Chem. 16, 2546-2557. 701 https://doi.org/10.1039/c3gc42401d
- Sun, S., Sun, Shaolong, Cao, X., Sun, R., 2016. The role of pretreatment in improving the 702 703 enzymatic hydrolysis of lignocellulosic materials. Bioresour. Technol. 199, 49-58. 704 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2015.08.061
- Sundstrom, E., Yaegashi, J., Yan, J., Masson, F., Papa, G., Rodriguez, A., Mirsiaghi, M., 705 706 Liang, L., He, Q., Tanjore, D., Pray, T.R., Singh, S., Simmons, B., Sun, N., Magnuson, 707 J., Gladden, J., 2018. Demonstrating a separation-free process coupling ionic liquid 708 pretreatment, saccharification, and fermentation with Rhodosporidium toruloides to 709 produce advances biofuels. Green Chem. https://doi.org/10.1039/c8gc00518d
- 710 Weber, B., Sandoval-Moctezuma, A.C., Estrada-Maya, A., Martínez-Cienfuegos, I.G., 711 Durán-García, M.D., 2020. Agave bagasse response to steam explosion and anaerobic 712 treatment. Biomass Convers. Biorefinery. https://doi.org/10.1007/s13399-020-00619-y
- 713 Xie, Y., Ma, C., Lu, X., Ji, X., 2016. Evaluation of imidazolium-based ionic liquids for 714 biogas upgrading. Appl. Energy 175, 69-81.
- 715 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apenergy.2016.04.097
- 716 Xu, F., Sun, J., Konda, N.V.S.N.M., Shi, J., Dutta, T., Scown, C.D., Simmons, B.A., Singh, 717 S., 2016. Transforming biomass conversion with ionic liquids: process intensification 718 and the development of a high-gravity, one-pot process for the production of cellulosic
- ethanol. Energy Environ. Sci. 9, 1042-1049. https://doi.org/10.1039/C5EE02940F 719
- 720

	IL	Solid loading (%)	Temperature (°C)	Time (min)
-	[Emim][OAc]	20	119	142
	[EOA][OAc]	20	160	205
	[Ch][Lys]	20	160	90
~				

_	Enzymatic hydrolysate	% Glucose	% Xylose	% Cellobiose
	90 %	65.8±5.2	25.7±2.1	3.3±0.1
	30 %	67.5±0.5	29.5±0.4	2.9±0.1
5		1		

Table 2. Relative abundance of glucose, xylose and cellobiose in the hydrolysates obtained

from AB pretreated with [Ch][Lys] at 30 and 90% concentration.

Feedstock	Pretreatment	Reactor configuration	Yield	Reference
Apple waste and swine manure (25-75)	-	CSTR	0.24 L CH ₄ /g COD _{fed}	(Kafle and Kim, 2013)
Barley straw	NMMO*	Batch	0.23 Nm ³ CH ₄ / kg VS	(Kabir et al., 2014)
Sugarcane bagasse	Alkaline (Na ₂ CO ₃)	Batch	0.264 L CH4/g COD _{fed}	(Nosratpour et al., 2018)
Woody residues	NMMO*	Batch	0.15 Nm ³ CH4/kg VS	(Kabir et al., 2014)
AB	Acid hydrolysis	Batch	0.09 (single stage) and 0.24 (two stage) L CH4/g COD _{fed}	(Arreola- Vargas et al., 2016)
AB	Enzymatic hydrolysis	Batch	0.18 L CH4/g VS	(Arreola- Vargas et al., 2016)
AB	Steam explosion	Batch	0.28 L CH4/g COD _{fed}	(Duran-Cruz et al., 2021)
AB	[Ch][Lys]	Batch	0.26 L CH4/g COD _{fed}	(Pérez- Pimienta et al., 2020)
AB	[Ch][Lys]-30%	Batch	0.28 L CH4/g COD _{fed}	This study

Table 3. Methane production from AB and others feedstocks.

729 *NMMO: N-methylmorpholine-N-oxide.

No	Title
1	Effect of AB pretreatment with IL-water mixtures on solids recovery (top),
	sugar production (medium) and sugar yield (bottom). Error bars represent the
	standard deviation.
2	Sugar yield (g TS/g biomass) of pretreated AB with [Ch][Lys] at different IL-
	water mixtures. Error bars represent the standard deviation.
3	Mass balance for pretreatment using [Ch][Lys] at 90 and 30% concentration
	followed by enzymatic saccharification from 100 kg of untreated AB.
4	XRD pattern from untreated and pretreated AB with [Ch][Lys] at 90 and
	30%.
5	FTIR spectra of untreated and pretreated AB with [Ch][Lys] at 90 and 30%.
6	Scanning electron microscopy images of untreated AB (A, D), pretreated AB
	with [Ch][Lys] at 30% (B, E) and pretreated AB with [Ch][Lys] at 90% (C,
	F). Images A, B and C have a magnification of X500 while images D, E and
	F have a magnification of X5000.
7	Batch methane production from the enzymatic hydrolysate of pretreated AB
	with [Ch][Lys] at 30%. Glucose was used as positive control and the enzyme
	control was used to measure methane generated by the enzymatic cocktail.
	Methane generation by endogenous metabolism was evaluated separately and
	subtracted from the experiments. Error bars represent the standard deviation.



Figure 1.



Figure 2.



Figure 3.



Figure 4.



Figure 5.







Figure 7.