Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory

Recent Work

Title

DETERMINATION OF THE ELECTRON DISTRIBUTION IN A TRANSITION METAL CARBENE COMPLEX BY X-RAY PHOTOELECTRON SPECTROSCOPY

Permalink

<https://escholarship.org/uc/item/45m8r757>

Authors

Perry, Winfield B.
Schaaf, Theodore F.
Jolly, William L.
et al.

Publication Date

1973-09-01

Submitted to
J. Am. Chem. Soc.

LBL-2237
Preprint

c.1

DETERMINATION OF THE ELECTRON DISTRIBUTION IN A
TRANSITION METAL CARBENE COMPLEX BY
X-RAY PHOTOELECTRON SPECTROSCOPY

Winfield B. Perry, Theodore F. Schaaf,
William L. Jolly, Lee J. Todd and David L. Cronin

September 1973

Prepared for the U. S. Atomic Energy Commission
under Contract W-7405-ENG-48

For Reference

Not to be taken from this room

LBL-2237

DISCLAIMER

This document was prepared as an account of work sponsored by the United States Government. While this document is believed to contain correct information, neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor the Regents of the University of California, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by its trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof, or the Regents of the University of California. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof or the Regents of the University of California.

Determination of the Electron Distribution in a Transition Metal
Carbene Complex by X-Ray Photoelectron Spectroscopy

Sir:

Much interest centers on the structure and bonding of transition metal carbene complexes.^{1,2} For a carbene complex in which the carbene carbon atom is bonded to a hetero-atom with nonbonding electrons, as in LMC(OR)R , we may write the following resonance structures.

We have used X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy^{3,4} in an attempt to determine the relative importance of these structures in methoxy(methyl)carbene-pentacarbonylchromium(0), $(\text{CO})_5\text{CrC}(\text{OCH}_3)\text{CH}_3$.⁵ This complex has sufficient volatility at room temperature so that we could study it as a gas and avoid the problems associated with solid-state spectra.⁶ The carbon 1s spectrum is shown in Figure 1. The only features due to pure core ionization are the peak at 292.37 eV and its low binding energy shoulder. Undoubtedly the main peak is principally due to the five carbonyl carbon atoms. The shoulder and perhaps part of the intensity of the main peak are due to the other three carbon atoms. This band has been resolved by a least-squares curve-fitting routine into four peaks with intensity ratios of 5:1:1:1 and binding energies of 292.37(10), 291.7(3), 291.7(3), and 290.4(3) eV, respectively.

The band with a binding energy 5.4 eV higher than that of the main peak, with an intensity 0.18 of that of the main peak, is similar to a band observed in the oxygen 1s spectrum, about 5.0 eV from the main peak, with a relative intensity of 0.24. These bands we assigned to shakeup,⁷ just as analogous bands in the spectra of hexacarbonylchromium(0) (Figure 2) and other chromium complexes⁸ have been assigned. Two facts argue against a carbon 1s peak being fortuitously submerged in the shakeup band of the carbene complex. (1) The intensity of the shakeup band is approximately one-sixth that of the main band, corresponding to one carbon atom. Therefore if any significant fraction of the observed intensity is to be ascribed to shakeup, all of it must be, and none of the intensity can be ascribed to a carbon atom. (2) We calculated relative carbon 1s binding energies for $(\text{CO})_5\text{CrC}(\text{OCH}_3)\text{CH}_3$ using the potential model equation³ ($E_B = kQ + V + \ell$) and CHELEQ atomic charges⁹⁻¹¹ for I, II, III, and various hybrids thereof. The only cases for which a carbon binding energy was calculated to be near that of the shakeup band were those for which two such binding energies were calculated to be much greater than that of the carbonyl carbon binding energy; these structures were ruled out because they are obviously inconsistent with the spectrum. We conclude that there is no plausible electronic structure for which a carbon atom has a binding energy near that of the shakeup band.

Thus the spectrum shows that none of the carbon atoms in the $\text{C}(\text{OCH}_3)\text{CH}_3$ ligand has a binding energy greater than that of the carbonyl carbon atoms. If we assume a proportionality between binding energy and atomic charge, we may conclude that the compound contains no carbon atom with a high positive charge. This conclusion is in agreement with analyses of the

data based on the potential model, in which binding energies were calculated using CNFLEQ atomic charges. As can be seen in Table I, the calculated relative binding energies for two hybrid structures agree fairly well with the experimental values. If we increase the percentage of structure II, the fit of the oxygen binding energies improves, but the fit of the carbon binding energies deteriorates. Very poor fits are obtained for hybrids weighted more than 80% in either I, II, or III or weighted less than 20% in I. It appears that (1) none of the ligand atoms in the carbene complex is highly charged, either negatively or positively, (2) no one resonance structure can satisfactorily account for the electron distribution, and (3) contrary to previous interpretations of other physical properties,² structure I contributes significantly to the bonding. The fact that the carbene carbon atom bonded to the chromium atom is less positive than the carbonyl carbon atoms may be rationalized by considering the carbene as a CO molecule to which two electropositive (relatively electron-donating) methyl groups have been added.

Acknowledgment.— This work was supported by the U.S. Atomic Energy Commission and the National Science Foundation (Grant GP-33267x).

Winfield B. Perry, Theodore F. Schaaf,
William L. Jolly*

Department of Chemistry, University of
California, and Inorganic Materials
Research Division, Lawrence Berkeley
Laboratory, Berkeley, California 94720

Lee J. Todd, David L. Cronin

Department of Chemistry, Indiana

University, Bloomington, Indiana 47401

Table I

Relative Core Binding Energies and Calculated Atomic Charges

Compound and atom ^a	Relative E _B , eV			Calcd charge	
	Expt	Calcd	Calcd		
		33% I	40% I	33% I	40% I
		67% III	20% II	67% III	20% II
			40% III		40% III
Cr(CO) ₆ [*]	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.21	0.21
(CO) ₅ CrC(OCH ₃)CH ₃ [*]	-0.7	-0.4	-0.5	0.20	0.20
(CO) ₅ CrC [*] (OCH ₃)CH ₃	-2.7	-2.3	-3.7	0.10	0.06
(CO) ₅ CrC(OCH ₃)CH ₃ [*]	-1.4	-2.1	-0.6	0.05	0.11
(CO) ₅ CrC(OCH ₃)CH ₃ [*]	-1.4	-1.3	-2.5	0.04	0.02
Cr(CO) ₆ [*]	0.0	0.0	0.0	-0.06	-0.06
(CO) ₅ CrC(OCH ₃)CH ₃ [*]	-0.8 ^c	-0.5	-0.5	-0.08	-0.07
(CO) ₅ CrC [*] (OCH ₃)CH ₃	-0.8 ^c	-3.2	-3.0	-0.17	-0.16
CrO ₂ Cl ₂ ^b	-0.0	0.0	0.0	0.92	0.92
Cr(CO) ₆ [*]	-5.4	-5.9	-5.7	-0.88	-0.88
(CO) ₅ CrC(OCH ₃)CH ₃ [*]	-6.2	-5.7	-6.0	-0.76	-0.81

^aAsterisked atoms correspond to the listed binding energies (C 1s, O 1s, Cr 2p_{3/2}) and charges. ^bE_B(Cr 2p_{3/2}) = 587.64 eV. ^cA single O 1s peak was observed, with E_B = 539.19 eV.

References

- (1) E. O. Fischer and A. Maasböl, Angew. Chem. Internat. Ed., 3, 580 (1964).
- (2) D. J. Cardin, B. Cetinkaya, M. J. Doyle and M. F. Lappert, Chem. Soc. Rev., 2, 99 (1973).
- (3) K. Siegbalm, C. Nordling, G. Johansson, J. Hedman, P. Hedan, K. Hamrin, U. Gelius, T. Bergmark, L. Werme, R. Manne, and Y. Baer, "ESCA Applied to Free Molecules," North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1969.
- (4) D. A. Shirley, ed., "Electron Spectroscopy," North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1972.
- (5) E. O. Fischer and A. Maasböl, Chem. Ber., 100, 2445 (1967).
- (6) Spectra were obtained with the Berkeley iron-free magnetic-focussing spectrometer using $MgK\alpha_{1,2}$ X-rays. Argon ($E_B(2p_{3/2}) = 248.45$ eV) was introduced as a standard reference with each sample.
- (7) G. K. Wertheim, R. L. Cohen, A. Roseuwaig, and H. J. Guggenheim, ref 4, pp. 813-820; T. Novakov and R. Prins, ref 4, pp. 821-826.
- (8) M. Barber, J. A. Connor, M. F. Guest, M. B. Hall, I. H. Hillier, and W. N. E. Meredith, Faraday Disc. Chem. Soc., 54, (1972); S. Pignataro, A. Foffani, and G. Distefano, Chem. Phys. Lett., 20, 350 (1973).
- (9) W. L. Jolly and W. B. Perry, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 95, 5442 (1973).
- (10) Although the CHELEQ electronegativity equalization method⁹ was devised for elements of the first-row, we have found that it can be extended throughout the periodic table by using $c = 1.8$ for elements of atomic number greater than 18. In our calculations we have used a zero-charge electronegativity of 1.56 for the bonding orbitals of chromium.¹¹ For the calculation of the relative binding energies we used k values of 31.06 and 30.43 for carbon and oxygen, respectively.⁹ The calculated relative chromium core energies correspond to least-

squares fits to the potential equation.

- (11) A. L. Allred and E. G. Rochow, J. Inorg. Nucl. Chem., 5, 264 (1958).

Figure Captions

Figure 1. Carbon 1s spectrum of methoxy(methyl)carbenepentacarbonylchromium(0). The curve through the points is the sum of the computer-resolved curves for individual peaks. Two of the resolved peaks in the shoulder of the main peak are almost superimposed. The weak peak with $E_B \approx 284$ eV is due to the $MgK\alpha_{3,4}$ satellite of the exciting radiation.

Figure 2. Carbon 1s spectrum of hexacarbonylchromium(0). The shakeup satellite has a binding energy 5.5 eV greater than that of the main peak.

XBL 739-1789

Fig. 1

XBL 739-1790

Fig. 2

LEGAL NOTICE

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by the United States Government. Neither the United States nor the United States Atomic Energy Commission, nor any of their employees, nor any of their contractors, subcontractors, or their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights.

TECHNICAL INFORMATION DIVISION
LAWRENCE BERKELEY LABORATORY
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
BERKELEY, CALIFORNIA 94720