Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory

Recent Work

Title

A CdZnTe coplanar-grid detector array for environmental remediation

Permalink

<https://escholarship.org/uc/item/4614952w>

Journal

Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research Section A, 458(1/2/2008)

Author

Luke, P.N.

Publication Date

1999-10-05

ERNEST ORLANDO LAWRENCE BERKELEY NATIONAL LABORATORY

A CdZnTe Coplanar-Grid Detector Array for Environmental Remediation

P.N. Luke, M. Amman, J.S. Lee,
B.A. Ludewigt, and H. Yaver

Engineering Division

October 1999

Presented at the
*Eleventh International Workshop
on Room Temperature Semiconductor
X- and Gamma-Ray Detectors and
Associated Electronics,*
Vienna, Austria,
October 11-15, 1999,
and to be published in
the Proceedings

LOAN COPY
Circulates
For 4 weeks
7th Street Warehouse
Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory

DISCLAIMER

This document was prepared as an account of work sponsored by the United States Government. While this document is believed to contain correct information, neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor the Regents of the University of California, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by its trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof, or the Regents of the University of California. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof or the Regents of the University of California.

**A CdZnTe Coplanar-Grid Detector Array
for Environmental Remediation**

P.N. Luke, M. Amman, J.S. Lee, B.A. Ludewigt, and H. Yaver

Engineering Division
Ernest Orlando Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory
University of California
Berkeley, California 94720

October 1999

A CdZnTe coplanar-grid detector array for environmental remediation

P. N. Luke, M. Amman, J. S. Lee, B. A. Ludewigt, H. Yaver

Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, 1 Cyclotron Road, Berkeley, CA 94720, USA

Abstract

A portable, room-temperature gamma-ray spectrometer is being developed for use in environmental remediation activities. Good energy resolution and high detection efficiency are achieved using an array of CdZnTe coplanar-grid detectors. The array is of modular design, which allows for the stacking of an arbitrary number of detectors, as well as for the easy exchange of detectors for repairs if needed. Each element of the array consists of a 1 cm³ detector and low-power readout electronics. Each detector module including the electronics fits within a small footprint of 1.4 cm X 1.4 cm, thus allowing the detectors to be closely packed. A compliant detector-mounting scheme has been developed to protect the detectors from mechanical shock and vibration expected in field use. A prototype 2 X 2 array has been constructed and evaluated. The design of the detector, detector module and test results from the array are presented.

1. Introduction

CdZnTe has been developed over the past decade as a high-Z material suitable for room temperature gamma-ray detector fabrication. Because of the low mobility-lifetime product for holes in this material, poor energy resolution and/or low efficiency for gamma-ray detection result when simple planar detector geometry and conventional electronics are used. A number of techniques have been devised to circumvent the problem and improve spectral response. The coplanar-grid technique [1] has been successfully used to achieve good energy resolution combined with near full-volume photopeak efficiency. At the present time, the performance of coplanar-grid detectors is limited in large part by material nonuniformity. Gross material defects such as random grain boundaries can cause severe charge trapping and reduce photopeak efficiency [2]. Grain boundaries can be readily identified through visual inspection and IR transmission imaging, and they can be avoided by selective cutting. However, even single-crystal material can exhibit significant charge transport nonuniformity [2]. Therefore, additional material characterization is needed to screen materials for final detector fabrication. Obviously, the yield of good detector material decreases rapidly as the required volume increases. Our experience with current commercial CdZnTe materials indicates that a reasonable yield of good detectors can be obtained for a detector volume of 1 cm³. Another factor to consider is that the noise associated with the coplanar-grid electrode structure generally increases with the detector area. Given these considerations, we have

concluded that detector geometry in the form of a cube, 1 cm on a side, provides a good compromise between detector yield, noise, and detection efficiency.

For many applications, detector volumes substantially larger than 1 cm³ are desired. One of these applications we are pursuing is a portable gamma-ray spectrometer for use in environmental remediation activities. To achieve the high detection efficiency necessary for such applications, we have developed a modular detector design that would allow a number of 1 cm³ coplanar-grid detectors to be assembled into a two dimensional array to increase effective area and therefore detection efficiency. The design of the detector, detector module and test results from a prototype 2 X 2 array are presented.

2. Detector design

The principle of operation of the coplanar-grid detector has been described in past publications [1,2]. The basic configuration of the detector consists of two interdigitated grid electrodes on one surface of the detector and a full area electrode on the opposite surface. A negative high voltage is applied to the full area electrode so that electrons generated in the detector are collected towards the grid electrodes. A separate smaller bias voltage is applied across the two grid electrodes so that the electrons are collected at only one grid electrode. Signals from the two grid electrodes are processed independently with two charge-sensitive preamplifiers. The output signals from the preamplifiers are subtracted with an adjustable relative gain to give a net output signal. By adjusting the relative gain, the effect of electron trapping can be closely compensated, leading to a highly uniform detector response regardless of depth of gamma-ray interaction over most of the detector volume [2].

To maintain good spectral resolution, the detector response has to be uniform also in the lateral directions. The finite size of a detector gives rise to edge effects, which can produce significant lateral nonuniformity in detector response. Such edge effects can be greatly ameliorated through careful electrode design [3]. Fig.1 shows the design that we have adopted for the present detectors. It consists basically of a series of parallel strip electrodes interconnected to form the two interdigitated grid electrodes. Modifications to the electrode configuration were made to compensate for the edge effects. One modification was that the strip adjacent to the last one along each of two opposite edges of the detector was widened. This compensates for the edge effect due to those two sides of the detector. The two line electrodes that interconnect the strips would also introduce nonuniform response along the other two sides of the detector. Compensation in this case was achieved by adding a line electrode parallel to an interconnecting electrode and connected to the opposing grid electrode. This is similar to the design used by He et. al. [4]. To maintain a high degree of uniformity, it is also important that the gap between the outer perimeter of the electrodes and the edge of the detector be kept to a minimum. Even a gap of 1 mm would introduce significant nonuniformity. We typically keep the gap to within 0.25 mm. To accommodate slight variations in crystal size and shrinkage due to reprocessing, we produced 3 sets of masks with identical design but scaled to slightly different overall dimensions to accommodate crystals with areas ranging from 9.5 mm X

9.5 mm to 10 mm X 10 mm. A guard ring is deliberately avoided since our modeling results have indicated that this would create substantial lateral nonuniformity in the charge induction properties of the detector, thereby degrading energy resolution and spectral line shape.

Fig. 2a shows a ^{137}Cs spectrum obtained from a 1 cm^3 coplanar-grid detector made using the grid pattern of Fig. 1. The photopeak exhibited a near gaussian line shape with a FWTM/FWHM of 2.03. Fig. 2b is an early spectrum taken with a different 1 cm^3 that has a simple grid design with no edge compensation. The broadening seen near the base of the photopeak is attributed to nonuniform detector response due to uncompensated edge effects. The pulser peak in Fig. 2a has a width of 10.6 keV, which contributes substantially to the photopeak resolution. Subtracting this contribution yields a net resolution of 1.35%. This implies that the material uniformity is very good, and also indicates that the present grid electrode design gives a highly uniform detector response.

3. Detector module

The CdZnTe crystals used in this work were all obtained from eV Products. The crystals were supplied as 1-cm cubes, and they were selected to be free from random grain boundaries, although most of them do contain twins. Prior to coplanar-grid detector fabrication, each crystal was fabricated into a simple planar detector, and the electron transport uniformity was evaluated using alpha particles [5]. Detectors exhibiting good uniformity were then reprocessed into coplanar-grid detectors. Evaporated Au contacts were used on all the detectors. The grid electrode patterns were formed using shadow masks.

To allow the assembly of a two-dimensional array of detectors in a close-pack geometry, a detector module with a small footprint was developed. The module has a 1.4 cm X 1.4 cm frontal area and is 6 cm in length (Fig. 3). It consists of a detector mount and an electronics assembly. For field applications, the detectors will be subjected to mechanical vibration and shock. A compliant mounting scheme was therefore developed to minimize the chance of mechanical damage to the detectors. As shown in Fig. 3, the detector was installed inside a Lexan cup. There exists a small clearance between the detector's side surfaces and the inside wall of the cup. The detector was secured in the Lexan cup using silicone rubber adhesives, which were injected through holes along the sides until they came into contact with the edges of the detector. After the silicone rubber was cured, the detector became suspended by the silicone rubber, which serves as the mechanical isolation. Three feedthroughs at the back of the Lexan mount provide electrical connections to the two grid electrodes and the full-area electrode. Connections between the feedthroughs and the detector electrodes were made using gold wires and conductive epoxy.

The electronics consists of two small circuit boards assembled back-to-back, which contain two charge-sensitive preamplifiers and a signal subtraction circuit to implement the coplanar-grid charge sensing scheme. The circuit boards are secured to a Lexan

frame, which was then fastened to the back of the detector mount. The whole electronics assembly fits within the footprint of the detector mount. The differential gain adjustment for optimizing the detector response is made via a miniature potentiometer accessible through an opening on the side of the Lexan frame. The electronics runs on a power supply of ± 6 V with a total power consumption of 120 mW per detector. The noise of each preamplifier alone (without any detector connected and before signal subtraction) is 180 e rms at a shaping time of 2 μ s. Much of the noise is due to the use of relatively low-value load resistors (20 M Ω) that are needed to accommodate the leakage current of the detector. With the load resistors removed, the noise of the preamplifier decreases to 96 e rms.

Included in the electronics assembly is a high voltage filter and a voltage divider to derive the grid bias from the main detector bias. The ratio of the divider was chosen to provide the appropriate grid bias when the full detector bias is applied. Therefore, only one bias voltage is needed to operate the detector.

4. Detector array

Four detector modules were fabricated. The photopeak efficiency of each detector was measured separately using 662 keV gamma rays from a NIST traceable standard ^{137}Cs source. The source was located at 20 cm from the front surface of the detector to approximate parallel beam illumination. Signals from the detector module were processed using a conventional shaping amplifier and a MCA. Three of the detector modules operated at -1400 V bias, and one operated at -1300 V bias. The differential gain of each detector module was adjusted to give the best energy resolution. The measured photopeak counts for the four detectors amount to 6.9%, 6.9%, 6.2% and 6.1% of the calculated number of incident 662 keV gamma rays. Monte Carlo simulations showed that 7.7% of the incident gamma rays should have been fully absorbed in a 1 cm cube CdZnTe crystal (assuming 10% Zn concentration and density of 5.8 gm/cm³) [6]. This gives a relative photopeak efficiency of 89%, 89%, 80% and 79% for the four detectors. A small loss in efficiency is expected for a coplanar-grid detector because of the strong variation in detector response in the near-grid region. This region has a thickness comparable to the strip pitch of the grid electrodes, which is 0.5 mm for the present design. Events occurring within this region will generate substantially reduced signals and these will be distributed below the photopeak. Also, the actual size of each detector is slightly less than 1 cm³ due to material loss during processing. With these considerations, the 89% relative efficiency obtained for the two detectors may already be close to ideal. The detector with 79% relative efficiency is known to have a small random grain boundary that traps electrons. Events occurring in the region between the grain boundary and the cathode will have greatly reduced signals and thus would not contribute to the photopeak. The detector with 80% relative efficiency did not appear to have any significant random grain boundary. Each of the detectors contains a few twin planes.

The four detector module was assembled to form a 2 X 2 array, which was installed into an aluminum enclosure (Fig 4). Signals from the four detector modules were passed

outside the enclosure and processed through four shaping amplifiers, a multiplexer and a MCA. A shaping time of 2 μ s was used. Fig. 5 shows the spectral performance of each of the detectors obtained separately with a ^{137}Cs source and a ^{57}Co source. The FWHM at 662 keV ranges from 2.5% to 3.0%. The resolution at 122 keV is dominated by electronic noise, which was in large part associated with the coplanar-grid electrodes.

In actual operation, the four spectra can simply be summed to get a total spectrum. However, some improvements in peak to background can be expected if the signals were acquired in anti-coincidence mode, in which an event is rejected if signals were detected simultaneously in two or more detectors. These events are likely gamma rays that Compton scatter from one detector and interacted in the other detectors. Rejecting these events should lead to a reduction in the Compton background. Alternately, instead of rejecting these events, one could sum the amplitudes of the signals that occur in coincidence to recover the full gamma ray energy. This summed coincidence mode should enhance the photopeak efficiency compared to the simple summing of individual spectra.

To compare these different modes of operation, the shaped signals from the four detectors were digitized simultaneously whenever any one or more of the detectors showed an event. For each event, the digitized amplitude information from the four detectors was saved in a computer. The data was then processed off line to create spectra using the different summing modes. Fig. 6 (top) compares the spectra obtained using the simple summing and the anti-coincidence modes. A slight reduction in the Compton background can be seen. In the summed coincidence mode, a small increase in photopeak counts is observed in addition to the reduction in Compton background (Fig. 6 bottom). However, the improvements obtained were slight. This is due to the unfavorable geometry of the small array. Only a small fraction of the scattered gamma rays from a detector intersect the other three detectors, and just a fraction of those will be detected. A larger array would give a more favorable geometry and should give a much higher level of improvements.

5. Conclusions

The results from the 2X2 array of coplanar-grid CdZnTe detectors indicate that gamma-ray detectors with good energy resolution and high efficiency can be realized by arraying multiple detectors. The modular design developed in this work allows an arbitrary number of detectors to be assembled, as well as enables the easy interchange of detectors if needed. The small footprint of the module permits close packing of detectors. Improvements in Compton background and photopeak efficiency using anti-coincidence and summed coincidence were demonstrated. Construction of a much larger detector array should be possible using the same modular design to achieve higher detection efficiency or to provide imaging capability.

Acknowledgement

This work is supported by the U. S. Department of Energy, Office of Energy Research, Office of Biological and Environmental Research under Contract No. DE-AC03-76SF00098.

References

- [1] P. N. Luke, "Unipolar Charge Sensing with Coplanar Electrodes - Application to Semiconductor Detectors", IEEE Trans. Nucl. Sci., 42, 207 (1995).
- [2] P. N. Luke, E. E. Eissler, "Performance of Coplanar-Grid CdZnTe Gamma Ray Detectors", IEEE Trans. Nucl. Sci., 43, no. 3, 1481 (1996).
- [3] M. Amman, P. N. Luke, "Optimization Criteria for Coplanar-Grid Detectors", IEEE Trans. Nucl. Sci., Vol. 46, No. 3, PT1: 205-212, June 1999.
- [4] Z. He, G. F. Knoll, D. K. Wehe, Y. F. Du, Nucl. Instru. Meth. A V411, N1, p. 107-113.
- [5] M. Amman, J. S. Lee, P. N. Luke, "Electron Transport Uniformity Characterization of CdZnTe using Alpha Particles", SPIE....
- [6] T.H. Prettyman, private communication.

Fig. 1. Coplanar-grid electrode pattern with edge compensation.

Fig. 2. ^{137}Cs spectrum obtained from (a) a 1 cm³ coplanar-grid CdZnTe detector with edge-compensated grid electrodes and (b) another 1 cm³ detector with no edge compensation (b).

Fig. 4. A 2X2 array assembled from four detector modules.

Fig. 3. A 1 cm³ CdZnTe coplanar-grid detector mounted in a Lexan cup (left) and integrated with the electronics assembly to form a complete detector module (right).

Fig. 5. ^{57}Co and ^{137}Cs spectra obtained from each of the four detector modules.

Fig. 6. Comparison between simple summing and anticoincidence modes (top) and between simple summing and summed coincidence modes (bottom) for combining signals from the four detectors in the 2 X 2 array.

ERNEST ORLANDO LAWRENCE BERKELEY NATIONAL LABORATORY
ONE CYCLOTRON ROAD | BERKELEY, CALIFORNIA 94720

ABT751

LBL Libraries