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ABSTRACT

Background: Cognitive dysfunction in schizophrenia is the key predictor of functional disability and drives economic burden. Inflammation has been increasingly implicated in the pathogenesis of schizophrenia, yet its role in cognitive decline has not been evaluated. This study explores the association between inflammation and cognitive functioning in persons with schizophrenia.

Methods: Participants included 143 persons with schizophrenia (PwS) and 139 non-psychiatric comparison subjects (NCs) from an ongoing study of aging. Cognitive assessments included validated measures for executive functioning, processing speed, and visuospatial skills. Plasma levels of nine biomarkers associated with inflammation (high sensitivity C-reactive protein, intercellular adhesion molecule 1, serum amyloid A, interleukin-6, interleukin-8, interferon gamma-induced protein-10, monocyte chemotactic protein-1, fractalkine, and brain-derived neurotrophic factor) were quantified using commercially available, enzyme-linked immunoassay methods. Partial least squares regression was used to develop a composite "inflammatory profile" to maximize correlations with the cognitive outcomes. We then constructed a best-fit model using these composites and their interactions with diagnosis and sex as the predictors, controlling for covariates.

Results: The biomarker composite, which best correlated with scores on cognitive testing, included high sensitivity C-reactive protein, intercellular adhesion molecule 1, serum amyloid A, interleukin-6, interleukin-8, interferon gamma-induced protein-10, monocyte chemotactic protein-1, fractalkine, and brain-derived neurotrophic factor, for a 5-biomarker "inflammatory profile." The best-fit model showed a significant biomarker composite by diagnosis by sex three-way interaction, for executive function and processing speed, but not visuospatial skill.

Conclusions: This approach to building an "inflammatory profile" may provide insight into inflammatory pathways affecting brain function and potential targets for anti-inflammatory interventions to improve cognition in schizophrenia.

1. Introduction

Cognitive deficits in schizophrenia are the strongest predictor of functional disability and subsequent costs, yet the underlying biology remains unclear and effective treatments are lacking (Cloutier et al., 2016). Although inflammation has been implicated in the pathogenesis of schizophrenia, its role in cognitive decline is not fully established.

Persons with schizophrenia (PwS) are often in a persistent inflammatory state, with abnormalities in blood-based biomarkers reflective of acute phase and chronic responses. A meta-analysis determined that C-reactive protein (CRP) is elevated in PwS, regardless of antipsychotic use (Fernandes et al., 2016). Consistent with this finding, our group found...
increases in high sensitivity CRP (hs-CRP) (Joseph et al., 2015), F2-isoprostanes (a marker of oxidative stress) (Lee et al., 2016), and inflammatory cytokines (TNF-α and IL-6) (Lee et al., 2017). Another meta-analysis reported elevation of IL-6 with psychosis and subsequent normalization with antipsychotics, while TNF-α remained chronically elevated (Miller et al., 2011). We also reported elevations in various chemokines (MCP-1, MIP-1b, Eotaxin-1, TARC, MDC) (Hong et al., 2017) and vascular endothelial biomarkers (ICAM-1 and VCAM-1) (Nguyen et al., 2018), utilizing composite measures.

In addition to persistent inflammation, PwS have significant and broad neurocognitive deficits spanning episodic memory, processing speed, working memory, and executive function, with relative sparing of crystallized verbal knowledge and visuospatial skills (Palmer et al., 2009). Premorbid cognitive deficits often appear by first grade, reaching a standard deviation below the mean by the end of high school. Further cognitive decline occurs at the first psychotic break, most significantly impacting verbal memory and executive function (Aas et al., 2014). Thereafter, cognitive functioning appears to stabilize, though there are age-related declines in certain domains, like executive functioning (Fucetola et al., 2000). No consensus exists regarding the etiology for these cognitive deficits, although it is thought that inflammation may contribute. One study reported that elevations in CRP during psychotic episodes were inversely associated with cognition, though these relationships did not persist with the resolution of psychotic symptoms (Johnsen et al., 2016). Furthermore, anti-inflammatory treatment trials have not shown global cognitive improvements among PwS (Buchanan et al., 2020).

Sex differences in plasma levels of several inflammatory biomarkers have been noted (O’Connor and Irwin, 2010; Slavich and Irwin, 2014), suggesting sex-specific molecular profiles in PwS (Joseph et al., 2015; Ramsey et al., 2013). These sex differences in cognitive deficits among PwS have been partly attributed to hormonal changes, with low estrogen levels linked to lower verbal and executive function in women of reproductive age (Ko et al., 2006b). In postmenopausal PwS, administration of a selective estrogen receptor modulator (SERM) had positive effects on verbal memory and executive function (Huerta-Ramos et al., 2014). However, the literature linking sex-specific differences in inflammatory markers with cognitive dysfunction in PwS remains lacking.

The present study sought to expand on previous work regarding the association between inflammation in neurocognitive dysfunction in PwS, with exploration of sex differences. Nine inflammatory biomarkers were evaluated representing different sources of inflammation: acute phase reactants (hs-CRP, SAA), chemoattractants (MCP-1, IL-8, IP-10), pro-inflammatory (ICAM-1, IL-6), and anti-inflammatory (BDNF, Fractalkine) biomarkers. We investigated three neurocognitive domains: executive functioning, processing speed, and visuospatial performance. We then examined the intersecting role of diagnostic group on the associations between inflammation and cognition. Based on our previous work, we hypothesized that 1) PwS would show more severe cognitive deficits and greater inflammation compared to NCs, and 2) inflammation would be associated with cognitive deficits differentially based on the cognitive domain examined. We further explored sex differences in the relationship between inflammation and cognitive measures by diagnostic group.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Participants

Study methodology has been previously described (Lee et al., 2016). Briefly, 282 participants were recruited from the greater San Diego area and provided written informed consent. A diagnosis of schizophrenia was based on the Structured Clinical Interview for the DSM-IV-TR (SCID) (First et al., 2002). DSM-5 (American Psychiatric Association, 2013) was not yet available at the time the first study participants were recruited, but the subjects recruited since its availability have met criteria for a diagnosis of schizophrenia under both definitions. Non-psychiatric comparison participants (NCs) were recruited from an ongoing survey study of successful aging in healthy adults and were excluded from the study if they had a past or present diagnosis of a major neuropsychiatric disorder based on the Mini-International Neuropsychiatric Interview (MINI) (Sheehan et al., 1998). Exclusion criteria were: 1) other current DSM-IV-TR Axis I diagnoses; 2) alcohol or other non-tobacco substance abuse or dependence within 3 prior months; 3) diagnosis of dementia, intellectual disability disorder, or a major neurological disorder; 4) medical disability affecting a subject’s ability to complete study procedures. The University of California San Diego (UCSD) Office of IRB Administration reviewed and approved the study protocol. The investigation was carried out in accordance with the latest version of the Declaration of Helsinki, the study design was reviewed by an appropriate ethical committee, and informed consent of the participants was obtained after the nature of the procedures had been fully explained.

2.2. Sociodemographic and clinical characteristics

Sociodemographic characteristics (sex, age, ethnicity/race, and education), as well as health behavior (smoking status) and schizophrenia-related variables (age of onset, duration of illness, daily dose of antipsychotics) that could affect levels of inflammatory biomarkers were obtained through participant interviews and review of records. Body mass index (BMI) was determined from participant’s measured height and weight. Subjects completed standardized assessments for mental health (Short Form Health Survey - Mental), psychopathology (Patient Health Questionnaire-9 for depression, Calgary Depression Scale for schizophrenia), Scales for the Assessment of Positive and Negative Symptoms (SAPS and SANS), physical health (Short Form Health Survey - Physical), and medical co-morbidity (Cumulative Illness Rating Scale - Geriatric) (Addington et al., 1994; Andreasen and Olsen, 1982; Kroenke et al., 2001; Linn et al., 1968; Ware and Sherbourne, 1992).

2.3. Neurocognitive assessments

As executive functioning may be especially vulnerable to cognitive decline in PwS (Fucetola et al., 2000), we included three subtests from the Delis-Kaplan Executive Function System (Delis et al., 2001): Trail Making (letter-number sequencing task), Color Word Inhibition (switching condition), and the Letter Fluency task (total F, A, and S trials). T-scores coded such that higher scores represent better performance and were then averaged into an executive functioning composite score. Processing speed was included given a meta-analysis identified it as a central feature in the cognitive deficit of PwS (Dickinson et al., 2007), and was derived from the time taken to complete executive functioning tests. Visuospatial skill was added as a relatively spared domain in PwS to check for specificity of findings in the other two domains, and was assessed with the Judgment of Line Orientation test (JOLO) (Benton et al., 1994). In secondary analyses to compare PwS with cognitive deficits to those without, we used a Deficit Score (DS) approach, converting T-scores for the executive function subtests and processing speed measures into DS. Global DS was calculated as the mean of the individual subscores and was used to classify subjects as impaired (global DS ≥ 0.50) or non-impaired (global DS < 0.50) (Carey et al., 2004).

2.4. Biomarker assays

Participants had a fasting blood draw, where 65 mL of blood was collected in ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA)-treated vacutainers between 7:00 a.m. and 12:00 p.m. for testing various biomarkers. Samples were centrifuged at 3000 rpm, and plasma was stored at –80 °C until assays were performed. Plasma biomarker levels were quantified using Meso Scale Discovery (MSD) MULTI-SPOT® Assay System and
analyzed on a SECTOR Imager 2400 instrument (Rockville, MD, USA). Using MSD Discovery Workbench® analysis software, standard curves were formed by fitting ECL signal from calibrators to a 4-parameter logistic model with a 1/y2 weighting. Samples were run in duplicates, using V-PLEX Human Biomarker panels (Catalog #K151A0H-2) to measure the biomarkers. Human fractalkine/CX3CL1 kit (Catalog #K151MKD-2) was used to assay fractalkine levels. V-PLEX kits are fully validated according to fit-for-purpose principles and the FDA’s analytical validation guidelines according to the manufacturer (MSD). The laboratory technician performing the assays was “blind” to the subject’s diagnosis. Intra- and inter-assay variability was <10% for all assays, except the inter-assay variation for MCP-1 (16.5%). Plasma hs-CRP levels were measured with a commercially available (MSD, Rockville, MD) enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) at the UCSD Clinical & Translational Research Institute (CTRI). Intra- and inter-assay coefficients were <5%. The lowest detected levels for specific biomarkers were as follows: 0.11 pg/mL (IL-6), 40.95 pg/mL (MCP-1), 0.96 pg/mL (IL-8), 82.93 pg/mL (IP-10), 36.20 ng/mL (ICAM-1) and 2040.14 pg/mL (fractalkine), 0.10 pg/mL (hs-CRP), 142.03 ng/mL (SAA), and 17.51 pg/mL (BDNF). No sample showed levels below the detection limits.

2.5. Statistical analysis

Biomarker levels were log-transformed for all analyses to reduce heteroscedasticity and improve inference efficiency. Sociodemographic, biomarker and clinical outcome variables were summarized, and their differences were compared using independent sample t-tests, chi-square tests, ANOVAs, or linear regression.

We used partial least squares (PLS) regression to determine associations of biomarkers with each of the cognitive outcomes (Garthwaite, 1994). Unlike standard multiple linear regression, PLS constructs a series of ordered composite variables from a linear combination of biomarkers so that the first composite variable has the highest correlation with a cognitive outcome, followed by the second, third, and so on. Relationships between cognitive outcomes and biomarkers were examined through these composite variables. Another popular approach for creating composite variables is principal component analysis (PCA). Like PCA, PLS composite variables are also ordered. But, unlike PCA, PLS composite variables are ordered by their correlations with the response in the regression model (Garthwaite, 1994). As our interest here is to find a small set of composite variables of the biomarkers to explain the most variability in the cognitive outcome, we opted for PLS.

We determined the number of composite variables in each analysis by changes in adjusted R squares and p-values. We examined potential moderation effects by group and sex by including their interactions with the selected composite variables, centering them at their respective means to reduce multicollinearity. We also used the least absolute shrinkage and selection operator (LASSO) for variable selection from a list of covariates (age, education, BMI, smoking status) to create final parsimonious models. To control for potential effects of impairment status and diagnostic group, we performed sensitivity analyses. Notably, we included these two variables and their 2- and 3-way interactions as well as their interactions with sex, while controlling for covariates when performing PLS. For executive function, none of the interactions were significant, therefore, we recomputed PLS while controlling for main effects of impairment status, diagnostic group, and covariates. For processing speed, some of the 3-way interactions could not be fit because of limited impairment in NCs compared to PwS. We removed such interactions and refit PLS accordingly. We then performed linear regression analyses for both outcomes using the extracted composite variables. We imputed missing data for some biomarkers (0–28% of values, depending on the group and biomarker) using their relationships with each other to maximize all observed biomarker data in the analyses. We performed analyses for both the original and imputed data and obtained similar results. For consistency, we report results based on the imputed data. Statistical significance was set at p < 0.05 (two-tailed), adjusted for false discovery rate (Benjamini and Hochberg, 1995).

3. Results

3.1. Demographics and sex characteristics

PwS and NCs were similar in age, race, and sex distribution, thus observed group differences in biomarkers can be interpreted as independent of any biomarker relationships with those demographics (Table 1). NCs had more years of education, lower BMI, and fewer active smokers. When further split by sex, female PwS had higher BMI than male PwS (both groups had higher BMIs than NCs). Other sociodemographic variables were similar by sex. PwS had higher depressive symptoms, lower mental and physical well-being, and higher medical comorbidity (as measured by the Cumulative Illness Rating Scale) than NCs. PwS had worse executive functioning than NCs with no sex difference. Female PwS had worse processing speed than the other groups (female PwS < male PwS < female NCs = male NCs). Female PwS had worse visuospatial skills than the other groups (female PwS < males PwS = female NCs < male NCs).

3.2. Inflammatory biomarker differences, PLS & canonical correlation analysis

PwS had higher hs-CRP (t(277) = −3.749, p < 0.001), SAA (t(244) = −2.256, p = 0.025), and ICAM-1 (t(244) = −2.967, p = 0.003) compared to NCs. When stratified by sex and diagnosis, these 3 biomarkers with the addition of fractalkine had a main effect of group (Table 1). There was no main effect of group for IL-8, MCP-1, BDNF, IP-10, and IL-6, though the latter two showed a trend towards significance (F(3,246) = [2.528], p = 0.058 and F(3,245) = [2.571], p = 0.055, respectively). Post hoc comparisons determined that elevation of plasma SAA was specific to male PwS (p = 0.021, 95% CI = [1994.0, 34420.9]), and for IL-6 was specific to female PwS (p = 0.037, 95% CI = [0.02, 1.15]).

Using PLS regression, we obtained an inflammatory biomarker composite that reflected the highest correlation with results on cognitive functioning tests while controlling for covariates (Supplemental Table 1). For executive functioning, the linear regression with this composite variable showed a significant three-way biomarker composite by diagnostic group by sex interaction after variable selection using LASSO, with education remaining as a covariate (Table 2A). Wald tests for the effects of each subgroup demonstrated a significant effect for male NCs in driving the interaction (Z = 10.64, p = 0.001), with subgroup comparisons showing a significant difference between male NCs and female NCs (Z = 4.77, p = 0.03), as well as between male NCs and male PwS (Z = 6.79, p = 0.009). Male NCs had better executive function than female NCs and male PwS.

Applying the same approach for processing speed, we identified a significant three-way biomarker composite by diagnosis by sex interaction after variable selection using LASSO, with education remaining as a covariate (Table 2B). Wald tests for the effects of each subgroup demonstrated a significant effect for female PwS in driving the interaction (Z = 4.36, p = 0.04), with subgroup comparisons showing a significant difference between female and male PwS (Z = 5.23, p = 0.02). Female PwS had worse processing speed than male PwS.

For visuospatial functioning, in contrast to executive function and processing speed, the final model showed no significant three-way interaction after variable selection by LASSO, with education and BMI remaining as covariates (Table 2C). The trimmed model showed that higher inflammation was associated with worse visuospatial functioning.

The results of sensitivity analyses controlling for impairment status and diagnostic group demonstrated that PLS biomarker composites still yielded results similar to our original analysis, justifying the inclusion of all PwS regardless of cognitive impairment when deriving biomarker
Table 1
Diagnosis and sex differences across demographic and clinical features, neurocognitive tests, and inflammatory biomarkers.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Schizophrenia female</th>
<th>Schizophrenia male</th>
<th>Non-psych comparison female</th>
<th>Non-psych comparison male</th>
<th>ANOVA/Chi square test/ t-test</th>
<th>Post hoc paired comparisons</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>N Mean SD</td>
<td>N Mean SD</td>
<td>N Mean SD</td>
<td>N Mean SD</td>
<td>F/X²/t</td>
<td>Sf = Sm &gt; Nf = Nm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sociodemographic</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age at visit</td>
<td>64 48.6 10.26</td>
<td>79 47.8 10.05</td>
<td>74 48.3 11.63</td>
<td>65 49.1 10.76</td>
<td>0.40 280 0.693</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Race (%)</td>
<td>64 42.2</td>
<td>79 46.8</td>
<td>40 54.1</td>
<td>40 61.5</td>
<td>14.10 15 0.518</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caucasian</td>
<td>22 80.3</td>
<td>79 46.8</td>
<td>40 54.1</td>
<td>40 61.5</td>
<td>14.10 15 0.518</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hispanic/Latinx</td>
<td>2 3.1</td>
<td>7 8.9</td>
<td>5 6.8</td>
<td>3 4.6</td>
<td>70.87 3 &lt;.001</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Neurocognitive</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Executive functioning</td>
<td>63 3.6 3.74</td>
<td>79 3.3 3.91</td>
<td>73 0.8 1.52</td>
<td>65 0.5 1.37</td>
<td>−7.91 278 &lt;.001</td>
<td>Sf = Sm &gt; Nf = Nm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Processing speed</td>
<td>64 43.8 20.1</td>
<td>79 12.1 2.30</td>
<td>74 14.6 1.94</td>
<td>65 14.4 2.40</td>
<td>8.31 280 &lt;.001</td>
<td>Sf = Sm &lt; Nf = Nm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Visuospatial functioning</td>
<td>39 17.8 5.15</td>
<td>61 22.8 5.68</td>
<td>54 23.3 4.09</td>
<td>42 26.8 3.02</td>
<td>5.37 194 &lt;.001</td>
<td>Sf = Sm &lt; Nf &lt; Nm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Illness-specific characteristics</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age of Onset</td>
<td>63 22.7 8.12</td>
<td>77 23.2 7.30</td>
<td>74 55.1 6.98</td>
<td>65 53.3 8.09</td>
<td>7.93 277 &lt;.001</td>
<td>Sf = Sm &lt; Nf = Nm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration of Illness</td>
<td>63 26.0 11.45</td>
<td>77 24.3 10.62</td>
<td>64 2.9 3.41</td>
<td>−7.66 278 &lt;.001</td>
<td>Sf = Sm &lt; Nf = Nm</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antipsychotics dose*</td>
<td>64 1.7 1.65</td>
<td>79 2.0 1.49</td>
<td>67 51.3 8.37</td>
<td>63 51.3 10.02</td>
<td>6.99 267 &lt;.001</td>
<td>Sf = Sm &lt; Nf = Nm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Positive symptoms (SAPS)</td>
<td>64 6.5 3.94</td>
<td>79 6.6 4.43</td>
<td>73 27.7 7.59</td>
<td>65 27.6 5.49</td>
<td>−5.37 276 &lt;.001</td>
<td>Sf = Sm &gt; Nf = Nm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Negative symptoms (SANS)</td>
<td>64 7.2 4.28</td>
<td>79 7.2 4.48</td>
<td>54 23.3 4.09</td>
<td>42 26.8 3.02</td>
<td>5.37 194 &lt;.001</td>
<td>Sf = Sm &lt; Nf &lt; Nm</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(continued on next page)
composites. The final models for executive functioning and processing speed showed a significant 3-way interaction between the inflammation composite, diagnosis, and sex. Considering impairment status as a covariate, the revised final models show the same 3-way interactions remaining significant (see Tables 2A and 2B). Furthermore, the biomarkers that made up the inflammatory composite remained the same, with only slight differences in the loadings (see Supplemental Table 1).

### 4. Discussion

We identified sex-specific associations between inflammation and two cognitive domains (processing speed and executive functioning) but not visuospatial processing. Increased inflammation was associated with worse processing speed only in female PwS. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first report linking sex-specific differences in inflammatory markers with cognitive dysfunction in PwS.

We did not detect a sex-specific relationship between inflammation and executive function in PwS; increased inflammation was associated with worse executive function only in male NCs. A meta-analysis by Bora et al. (2019) reported a significant relationship between CRP levels and speed-based executive functioning (r = −0.18, p = 0.04), though the studies did not specifically examine sex differences and all three study cohorts were predominantly male (Boozalis et al., 2017; Bora, 2019; Bulzacka et al., 2016; Dickerson et al., 2012). The same meta-analysis also reported a significant relationship between CRP levels and processing speed (r = −0.10, CI 0 to −0.19, p = 0.04). While the current findings, the meta-regression did not find any significant effect of sex on the CRP-executive functioning relationship. These findings may reflect the complexity of chronic schizophrenia, where many other factors (smoking, obesity, medication exposures, comorbid medical illnesses) may be contributing to executive functioning deficits, despite our best efforts to mitigate their effects by including them as covariates in our analyses.

The current study’s association between processing speed and inflammation is consistent with previously published studies (Bora, 2019; Bulzacka et al., 2016). One meta-analysis reported higher CRP levels were associated with lower processing speed scores (r = −0.11, CI 0.04 to −0.19, p = 0.004), though the effect size was small and sex differences were not specifically examined in these six studies (Bora, 2019). Female PwS were previously shown to have better processing speed (Leger and Neill, 2016; Torniainen et al., 2011; Tsai et al., 2012). The sex-specific differences in the current study were consistent with a large study of older non-demented community-dwelling older adults that found a significant association between increased IL-12 levels and processing speed deficits only in women (Trollor et al., 2012). These observed sex differences may reflect sex hormone-mediated cognitive aging (Alwerdt et al., 2019; Hogervorst et al., 2004; Kilpi et al., 2020) and could contribute to higher prevalence of non-amnestic MCI and all-type dementia in women (Su et al., 2017; Cao et al., 2020).

The current study found higher inflammation was associated with worse visuospatial functioning, which was consistent with prior studies. One study of 905 PwS found the −1031T/C polymorphism of the tumor necrosis factor gene was associated with worse visuospatial functioning (Xiu et al., 2018). Another study of 27 PwS showed that percentage of IL-17 producing NK cells was associated with visuospatial functioning (Borovcanin et al., 2020). In contrast to executive function and processing speed, we did not detect sex-specific associations between inflammation and visuospatial functioning, consistent with other studies of PwS (Bozikas et al., 2010; Han et al., 2012).

Interestingly, the acute phase proteins hs-CRP and SAA made the greatest contribution to the inflammatory composite variables for all three cognitive domains. Inflammatory stimuli activate the release of peripheral cytokines such as IL-6, which then activates the release of hs-CRP and SAA from the liver resulting in a systemic inflammatory response (Sack, 2020). SAA’s role may be similar to hs-CRP, as both are regulated by IL-6 activity within the liver (Gur et al., 2017; Schultz and Arnold, 1990). SAA was the only biomarker examined with elevation specific to male PwS (as opposed to the more pronounced elevation of hs-CRP and IL-6 in female PwS). These sex-specific biomarker discrepancies may partly explain the worse overall cognitive performance in female PwS compared to male PwS, with the important caveat that these findings of sex differences were part of exploratory analyses meant to generate rather than test specific hypotheses. IL-6, ICAM-1 and BDNF contributed less to the inflammatory composite variable, but their potential role in cognitive deficits could still be significant. For example, the results from one study suggested that IL-6 may play a role in processing speed deficits in PwS (Frydecka et al., 2015). ICAM-1, an endothelial protein that binds to integrins found on the leukocyte surface to regulate immune cell trafficking into the brain, is elevated in plasma and contributes to processing speed deficits in PwS (Cai et al., 2020; Weickert et al., 2018). Finally, an association between lower BDNF and cognitive deficits including processing speed in PwS was reported in a meta-analysis (Bora, 2019).

Cognitive deficits have been resistant to treatment with current antipsychotic drugs (Carpenter and Koenig, 2008; Fusar-Poli et al., 2015). The association of processing speed with inflammation in female PwS suggests that anti-inflammatory treatment may be a useful
approach to enhancing processing speed in this selected population. Overall, the evidence for anti-inflammatory or hormone treatments improving cognition in PwS remains lacking (Buchanan et al., 2020).

However, there have been some reports that anti-inflammatories have improved cognitive deficits. For example, hormone replacement therapy (HRT), which includes the anti-inflammatory estrogen, improved processing speed in female PwS (Ko et al., 2006a). A meta-analysis that examined minocycline and pregnenolone augmentation therapy improved visual learning/memory, attention, and executive function in PwS is illustrative of inconsistencies in this area, with no reported improvement in processing speed (Cho et al., 2019). These limited and disparate findings regarding anti-inflammatory treatments in PwS underscore the need for better mechanistic understanding of the link between inflammation and cognitive deficits in PwS. Further studies will be required to help stratify which subpopulations may benefit the most from anti-inflammatory treatment approaches.

5. Limitations

Inflammatory biomarkers were assayed in plasma as a surrogate for measuring levels directly in cerebrospinal fluid and levels of peripheral biomarkers may not reflect levels of these biomarkers in the central nervous system (CNS). In support of using peripheral biomarker levels, one study reported that CNS changes in immune/inflammatory mRNA expression are reflected in similar mRNA expression changes in lymphocytes (Gatta et al., 2021). Selection of specific biomarkers and cognitive tests were based on available literature, and we may have overlooked additional important inflammatory biomarkers as well as cognitive domains. Given this study population consisted of stable outpatients on medication, the generalizability of our findings to other groups of PwS such as acutely psychotic patients, chronically hospitalized patients, or medication-free patients is quite limited. Furthermore, the cross-sectional nature of the present study does not address the question whether the relationships described between inflammation, sex, and cognition remain stable over time.

6. Summary

The “inflammatory profile” utilized in this study could help identify pathways affecting processing speed in female PwS. This cognitive domain would be an important priority to address given its central role in the cognitive deficits of PwS and that many other cognitive operations are dependent on speed. Interventions aimed at reducing levels of biomarkers like hs-CRP and/or SAA may prove effective at mitigating deficits in processing speed in female PwS, though timing of these interventions remains unclear. It will be important to investigate the association between the inflammatory composite variable from this study and processing speed in future longitudinal studies to determine if the association between inflammation and processing speed in female PwS evolves over time.
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