

## **UC Merced**

### **Proceedings of the Annual Meeting of the Cognitive Science Society**

#### **Title**

Language Models Show Within- and Cross-language Similarities in Concrete Noun Meaning, but not Differences Between L1 and L2 English Speakers

#### **Permalink**

<https://escholarship.org/uc/item/4748z6vz>

#### **Journal**

Proceedings of the Annual Meeting of the Cognitive Science Society, 45(45)

#### **Authors**

She, Jingyuan Selena  
Ma, Gabrielle  
Wen, Ping  
[et al.](#)

#### **Publication Date**

2023

Peer reviewed

# Language Models Show Within- and Cross-language Similarities in Concrete Noun Meaning, but not Differences Between L1 and L2 English Speakers

**Jingyuan She**

Haverford College, Haverford, Pennsylvania, United States

**Gabrielle Ma**

Swarthmore College, Swarthmore, Pennsylvania, United States

**Ping Wen**

Haverford College, Haverford, Pennsylvania, United States

**Benjamin Zinszer**

Swarthmore College, Swarthmore, Pennsylvania, United States

## Abstract

Monolingual and bilingual speakers of the same languages derive unique meanings for words, partly based on between-language differences in meaning of dictionary-translated words. Do language models also capture this variability between speakers? We compared several models of lexical semantic representation and their correspondences to a word-meaning similarity rating task done by both L1 and L2 English speakers. We found most language models do not differently correlate with L1 vs. L2 English speakers. Further, these models exhibit more cross-language similarity between Mandarin and English representations than is supported by psycholinguistic research. Only GloVe and OpenAI's Davinci models more strongly correlated with L1 speakers than L2, but individual participants' similarity to these models did not relate to language history variables that might otherwise predict bilingual lexical semantic native-likeness. We concluded that language models are not yet reliable references for tracking lexical semantic learning and discuss future directions for computational and psycholinguistics.