## UC San Diego UC San Diego Previously Published Works

### Title

Efficacy, Safety, and Tolerability of Doravirine/Lamivudine/Tenofovir Disoproxil Fumarate Fixed-Dose Combination Tablets in Adolescents Living With HIV: Results Through Week 96 from IMPAACT 2014

### Permalink

https://escholarship.org/uc/item/48k1t1pk

### Journal

Journal of the Pediatric Infectious Diseases Society, 12(12)

### ISSN

2048-7193

### **Authors**

Rungmaitree, Supattra Aurpibul, Linda Best, Brookie M <u>et al.</u>

### **Publication Date**

2023-12-16

## DOI

10.1093/jpids/piad078

Peer reviewed

### ORIGINAL ARTICLE



# Efficacy, Safety, and Tolerability of Doravirine/Lamivudine/ Tenofovir Disoproxil Fumarate Fixed-Dose Combination Tablets in Adolescents Living With HIV: Results Through Week 96 from IMPAACT 2014

Supattra Rungmaitree,<sup>1,a</sup> Linda Aurpibul,<sup>2,a,©</sup> Brookie M. Best,<sup>3</sup> Xiang Li,<sup>4</sup> Meredith G. Warshaw<sup>5</sup> Hong Wan,<sup>6</sup> Nicole H. Tobin,<sup>7</sup> Patricia Jumes,<sup>6</sup> Randi Leavitt,<sup>6,\*</sup> Katie McCarthy,<sup>8</sup> Rachel Scheckter,<sup>8</sup> Pradthana Ounchanum,<sup>9</sup> Avy Violari,<sup>10</sup> Hedy Teppler,<sup>6,\*</sup> Havilland Campbell,<sup>6</sup> Chelsea Krotje,<sup>11</sup> Ellen Townley,<sup>12</sup> Jack Moye,<sup>13</sup> and Ann J. Melvin<sup>14</sup>; for the IMPAACT 2014 study team

<sup>1</sup>Department of Pediatrics, Faculty of Medicine Siriraj Hospital, Mahidol University, Bangkok, Thailand, <sup>2</sup>Research Institute for Health Sciences, Chiang Mai University, Chiang Mai, Thailand, <sup>3</sup>Skaggs School of Pharmacy and Pharmaceutical Sciences and Pediatrics Department, School of Medicine-Rady Children's Hospital San Diego, University of California San Diego, San Diego, California, USA, 4Frontier Science Technology and Research Foundation, Madison, Wisconsin, USA, 5Center for Biostatistics in AIDS Research, Harvard T.H. Chan School of Public Health, Boston, Massachusetts, USA, <sup>6</sup>Merck & Co., Inc., Rahway, New Jersey, USA, <sup>7</sup>Department of Pediatrics, Division of Pediatric Infectious Diseases, David Geffen School of Medicine, University of California, Los Angeles, California, USA, #FHI 360, Durham, North Carolina, USA, #Chiangrai Prachanukroh Hospital PHPT, Chiang Rai, Thailand, 1ºPerinatal HIV Research Unit, University of the Witwatersrand, Johannesburg, South Africa, 1ºFrontier Science, Amherst, New York, USA, <sup>12</sup>DAIDS/NIAID/NIH, Rockville, Maryland, USA, <sup>13</sup>NICHD/NIH, Bethesda, Maryland, USA, <sup>14</sup>Department of Pediatrics, Division of Pediatric Infectious Disease, University of Washington and Seattle Children's Research Institute, Seattle, Washington, USA

Background. IMPAACT 2014 study is a phase I/II, multicenter, open-label, nonrandomized study of doravirine (DOR) co-formulated with lamivudine (3TC) and tenofovir disoproxil fumarate (TDF) as fixed-dose combination (DOR FDC) in adolescents with HIV-1. We report the efficacy, safety, and tolerability of DOR FDC through 96 weeks.

Methods. Participants were adolescents aged 12 to <18 years who weighed at least 45 kg and who were either antiretroviral (ARV)-naïve or virologically suppressed without documented resistance mutations to DOR/3TC/TDF. The efficacy endpoint was the proportion of participants with HIV-1 RNA <40 copies/mL assessed at weeks 48 and 96 using the observed failure approach. Safety and tolerability outcomes were incidence of adverse events (AEs) and treatment discontinuations.

Results. A total of 45 adolescents, median age 15 (range, 12–17) years, 58% females, were enrolled and 2 (4.4%) participants were ARV naïve. Of the 45 participants, 42 (93.3%) completed the study and 41 (91.1%) completed the study treatment. At week 48, 41/42 (97.6%; 95% confidence interval [CI], 87.4-99.9) and week 96, 37/40 (92.5%; 95% CI, 79.6-98.4) participants had achieved or maintained HIV-1 RNA <40 copies/mL. There were no treatment-related discontinuations due to AEs and no drug-related AEs  $\geq$ grade 3 or deaths.

Conclusions. We found once-daily dosing of DOR FDC to be safe and well tolerated for maintaining viral suppression through 96 weeks in adolescents living with HIV-1.

adolescents; doravirine; HIV-1; MK-1439A. Key words:

#### INTRODUCTION

Effective combination antiretroviral (ARV) treatment of HIV infection has allowed children and adolescents with perinatal HIV worldwide to grow up [1]. There remains a need for ARV regimens that are effective, well-tolerated, and with a low pill burden to support adherence to life-long treatment. In addition, the availability of multiple regimens that fit these

Journal of the Pediatric Infectious Diseases Society 2023;12(12):602-609 criteria is essential for adolescents, as virologic failure is relatively common in this age group [2-4]. ARV regimens can be associated with toxicities including neuropsychiatric toxicities with efavirenz, gastrointestinal toxicities with protease inhibitors (PIs), weight gain with integrase strand transfer inhibitors (INSTIs), and dyslipidemia with multiple ARV classes [5]. The long-term efficacy of the non-nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitor (NNRTI), efavirenz, which has been used as a preferred first-line agent for the treatment of HIV-1 since the late 1990s, has been hindered by its low genetic barrier to resistance and neuropsychiatric adverse event (AE) profile. In part to address these concerns, second-generation NNRTIs, etravirine, and rilpivirine were developed and approved in 2008 and 2011, respectively [6]. Doravirine (DOR) is a novel NNRTI that has shown excellent efficacy and safety in adults, can be given once daily [7, 8] and is active against both wild-type HIV and commonly identified NNRTI-resistant variants [9]. Moreover, DOR

Received 24 May 2023; editorial decision 25 September 2023; accepted 27 September 2023 <sup>a</sup>These authors have contributed equally to the work.

<sup>\*</sup>Retired

Corresponding Author: Linda Aurpibul, Research Institute for Health Sciences, Chiang Mai University, 110 Intavaroros Road, Sriphum, Muang, Chiang Mai, Thailand 50200. E-mail: linda.a@cmu.ac.th.

<sup>©</sup> The Author(s) 2023. Published by Oxford University Press on behalf of The Journal of the Pediatric Infectious Diseases Society. All rights reserved. For permissions, please e-mail: journals.permissions@oup.com. https://doi.org/10.1093/jpids/piad078

is associated with a lower incidence of neuropsychiatric side effects relative to efavirenz [10, 11], no food restrictions when compared to rilpivirine [10], and fewer drug–drug interactions than many other ARVs [12–14]. Positive effects on cardiovas-cular risk and lipid profile have also been documented [15, 16].

A once-daily DOR-containing regimen may be an attractive option for children and adolescents, especially as a fixed-dose single-tablet regimen. The International Maternal Pediatric Adolescent AIDS Clinical Trials Network (IMPAACT) 2014 study investigated the safety, tolerability, and pharmacokinetics of the adult DOR tablet (100 mg DOR) and adult DOR/ lamivudine/tenofovir disoproxil fumarate (100/300/300 mg, DOR/3TC/TDF, MK-1439A) fixed-dose combination tablet (DOR FDC) in adolescents aged 12 to <18 years with HIV-1. Previously, we reported that the target plasma exposure was achieved with a single dose 100 mg DOR and DOR FDC was found to be well-tolerated and demonstrated good virologic efficacy through 24 weeks [17]. This report presents the efficacy, safety, and tolerability of DOR FDC through 96 weeks.

#### **METHODS**

#### **Study Design and Participants**

IMPAACT 2014 is a phase I/II open-label, nonrandomized, multicenter study of DOR and DOR FDC in children and adolescents with HIV-1. Participants were enrolled into one of two cohorts. Cohort 1 investigated the pharmacokinetics (PK) of a 100 mg dose of DOR in adolescents with HIV-1 and Cohort 2 evaluated the efficacy, safety, tolerability, and sparse PK of the DOR FDC following once-daily administration. There were 55 participants enrolled in the study: 10 participants into Cohort 1 and 45 into Cohort 2. Results of the Cohort 1 intensive PK analysis, and the efficacy, safety, tolerability, and sparse PK through week 24 for Cohort 2 were previously reported [17]. In Cohort 2, we enrolled adolescents aged 12 to <18 years of age who weighed at least 45 kg and were either ARV-naïve or virologically suppressed without prior documented resistance mutations to any component of DOR/3TC/TDF. To meet criteria for virologic suppression, participants must have had one or more HIV RNA results below the lower level of quantitation (BLLQ) within 6 months prior to enrollment, all HIV RNA measurements BLLQ within 3 months of enrollment, and an HIV RNA <40 copies/ mL at screening [18]. The study was conducted at IMPAACT Network sites following approvals from local institutional review boards and in-country ethics committees. Parents/legal guardians provided informed consent and all youth provided assent for participation before initiation of study procedures.

Participants discontinued their ARVs at study entry if they were on a previous regimen. Both the ARV-naïve and ARVexperienced participants were initiated on DOR FDC at study entry, with a planned treatment duration of 96 weeks. All participants had sparse PK evaluations for DOR at week 48 and after week 24 had study visits at 36, 48, 64, 80, and 96 weeks. The week 96 visit window was extended an additional 16 weeks via a letter of amendment, for a total of up to 24 weeks beyond the target date, to assure participant access to DOR FDC after study completion.

#### **Study Evaluations**

Safety laboratory tests (chemistries, complete blood count), pregnancy tests, and HIV-1 RNA were obtained at each study visit. Urinalysis, CD4 cell count, and lipid profiles were collected at several visits. Plasma HIV-1 RNA concentrations were determined by the Realtime HIV-1 (Abbott Molecular, Des Plaines, IL). Plasma samples were assayed for genotypic resistance at baseline for ARV-naïve participants and all participants in the case of virologic failure. Phenotypic resistance (Monogram Biosciences, San Francisco, CA) was also assessed if a participant experienced virologic failure. Adherence was determined using a self-report questionnaire at each visit starting at week 4. DOR plasma concentrations were quantified by liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry with the lower limit of quantification of 1 ng/mL (developed and validated by Syneos Health Clinique, Quebec, QC, Canada).

#### **Study Monitoring**

AEs were graded according to the Division of AIDS Table for Grading Severity of Pediatric and AEs (corrected version 2.1, July 2017) [19]. Grade  $\geq$ 3 AEs, serious AEs (SAE), malignancies, immune reconstitution inflammatory syndrome events, and pregnancies were reported in an expedited manner to the IMPAACT 2014 study team and to the study sponsor, National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases, Division of AIDS. Virologic failure was defined as two consecutive plasma HIV-1 RNA test results  $\geq$ 200 copies/mL at any time after the date of enrollment for those who entered the study with viral suppression, or at or after week 24 for participants who were ARV naïve at entry. Participants with confirmed virologic failure could remain on the study drug if the reason for failure was a remediable cause such as nonadherence and there were no resistance mutations to the study agents determined by genotypic resistance testing.

#### **Statistical Analyses**

Safety and tolerability outcomes were evaluated from all AEs, regardless of severity grade, and grade 3 or higher treatmentrelated AEs at weeks 48 and 96 and included all participants who were exposed to the study drug. For participants who discontinued the study drug prior to reaching week 96, safety data were restricted through four weeks after last dose date. Descriptive statistics were used to summarize the participant characteristics and tolerability. Unless otherwise stated, median and range were used to summarize continuous variables and proportion and 95% confidence intervals (CIs) were used for categorical variables.

Virologic efficacy analyses were based on the plasma HIV-1 RNA levels <40 copies/mL and <200 copies/mL, assessed at week 48 and 96 using the observed failure approach, in which missing values are considered as failures for participants missing data due to discontinuation of study drug, virologic failure or non-treatment-related reasons with last available RNA  $\geq$ 40/200 copies/mL; otherwise participants with missing values are excluded. Supplementary analyses used the FDA snapshot algorithm [20]. Based on this algorithm, participants were classified as virologic failures if they had missing HIV-1 RNA data throughout the windows surrounding the week 48 and 96 visits, discontinued, or switched from the study drug to another regimen prior to the time points of interest. The proportion of participants with plasma HIV-1 RNA <40 copies/mL and <200 copies/mL, bounded by 95% CIs, are presented. Immunologic response measured by mean changes in CD4 count and percent from baseline to weeks 48 and 96 are presented with 95% CIs, both in the aggregate and broken down by ARV treatment status at entry.

#### RESULTS

#### **Study Population**

Between September 23, 2019, and February 26, 2020, 45 adolescents were enrolled into Cohort 2. The last participant study visit was on May 25, 2022; the last data update was September 16, 2022. Of the 45 participants, 42 (93.3%) completed the study and 41 (91.1%) completed study treatment at week 96. Three participants prematurely discontinued study treatment and follow-up, two due to pregnancy and one due to nonadherence to study treatment. An additional participant discontinued study treatment at week 80 due to an AE that was not related to the study drug; this participant remained on the study (Supplementary Figure 1).

Participant baseline characteristics are summarized in Table 1. Twenty-six (57.8%) participants were female and 35 (77.8%) were from the Asia-Pacific region. At baseline, the median age was 15 (range, 12-17) years and the median weight was 51.6 (range, 45.1-79.8) kg. Two (4.4%) participants were ARV naïve. The median duration of prior ARV treatment for the 43 virologically suppressed participants was 2.8 (range, 0.3-14.9) years. Of the 43 virologically suppressed participants, most were on NNRTI-based regimens (32/43; 74.4%) prior to study entry, while 10 (23.3%) were on PI-based and 1 (2.3%) was on an INSTI-based regimen. For all Cohort 2 participants, the median baseline CD4 cell count was 713 (range, 84-1397) cells/mm3; and CD4 percentage was 34.2% (range, 5.7-50.0) and the median HIV-1 RNA was 1.6 (range, 1.6-5.9) log<sub>10</sub> copies/mL.

	Treatment-naïve (N = 2)	Virologically Suppressed (N = $43$ )	Total (N = 45)	
Characteristics	n (%)	n (%)		
Sex				
Male	1 (50.0)	18 (41.9)	19 (42.2)	
Female	1 (50.0)	25 (58.1)	26 (57.8)	
Ethnicity				
Hispanic or Latino	O (O)	1 (2.3)	1 (2.2)	
Not Hispanic or Latino	2 (100.0)	42 (97.7)	44 (97.8)	
Geography				
Africa	O (O)	9 (20.9)	9 (20.0)	
Asia/Pacific	2 (100.0)	33 (76.7)	35 (77.8)	
North America	O (O)	1 (2.3)	1 (2.2)	
Class of Prior ART				
NRTI	O (O)	43 (100.0)	43 (95.6)	
NNRTI	O (O)	32 (74.4)	32 (71.1)	
INSTI	O (O)	1 (2.3)	1 (2.2)	
PI	O (0)	10 (23.3)	10 (22.2)	
Not applicable	2 (100.0)	0 (0)	2 (4.4)	
/ariables	Median (min, max)	Median (min, max)	Median (min, ma	
Duration of prior ART (years)	-	2.8 (0.3, 14.9)	2.8 (0.3, 14.9	
Age (years)	15.5 (14, 17)	15 (12, 17)	15 (12, 17)	
Veight at baseline (kg)	59.3 (53.3, 65.2)	51.5 (45.1, 79.8)	51.6 (45.1, 79	
CD4 cell count (cells/mm³)	99 (84, 114)	715 (315, 1397)	713 (84, 139	
CD4 percent (%)	7.6 (5.7, 9.5)	34.4 (18.9, 50.0)	34.2 (5.7, 50.0	
HIV-1 RNAª (log <sub>10</sub> copies/mL)	5.8 (5.7, 5.9)	1.6 (1.6, 1.6)	1.6 (1.6, 5.9)	

Abbreviations: N, number of participants in each group; n (%), number (percent) of participants in each subcategory; ART, antiretroviral therapy; NRTI, nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitor; NNRTI, non-nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitor; INSTI, integrase strand transfer inhibitor; PI, protease inhibitor.

\*Since the assay's lower limit of quantification is 40 copies/mL, all participants with HIV-1 RNA value of <40 copies/mL are imputed as having 39 copies/mL.

#### Table 2. Efficacy Analysis at Weeks 48 and 96 According to Prior ARV Treatment Experience

		Treatment-naive (N = 2)		Virologica	Virologically Suppressed (N = 43)		Total (N = 45)	
	n/	N	% (95% CI)	n/N	% (95% CI)	n/N	% (95% CI)	
Week 48								
FDA snapshot approach								
Proportion of participants with HIV-1 RNA < 40 copies/mL		2 5	0.0 (1.3, 98.7)	40/43	93.0 (80.9, 98.5)	41/45	91.1 (78.8, 97.5)	
Proportion of participants with HIV-1 RNA < 200 copies/mL		2 5	0.0 (1.3, 98.7)	42/43	97.7 (87.7, 99.9)	43/45	95.6 (84.9, 99.5)	
Observed failure approach								
Proportion of participants with HIV-1 RNA < 40 copies/mL		2 5	0.0 (1.3, 98.7)	40/40	100.0 (91.2, 100.0)	41/42	97.6 (87.4, 99.9)	
Proportion of participants with HIV-1 RNA < 200 copies/mL		2 5	0.0 (1.3, 98.7)	42/42	100.0 (91.6, 100.0)	43/44	97.7 (88.0, 99.9)	
Week 96								
FDA snapshot approach								
	1/	2 5	0.0 (1.3, 98.7)	36/43	83.7 (69.3, 93.2)	37/45	82.2 (67.9, 92.0)	
Proportion of participants with HIV-1 RNA < 200 copies/mL		2 5	0.0 (1.3, 98.7)	38/43	88.4 (74.9, 96.1)	39/45	86.7 (73.2, 94.9)	
Observed failure approach								
Proportion of participants with HIV-1 RNA < 40 copies/	mL 1/	2 5	0.0 (1.3, 98.7)	36/38	94.7 (82.3, 99.4)	37/40	92.5 (79.6, 98.4)	
Proportion of participants with HIV-1 RNA < 200 copies	s/mL 1/	2 5	0.0 (1.3, 98.7)	38/40	95.0 (83.1, 99.4)	39/42	92.9 (80.5, 98.5)	
	Mean [n]		(95% CI)	Mean [n]	(95% CI)	Mean [n]	(95% CI)	
Week 48								
Change from baseline in log <sub>10</sub> plasma HIV-1 RNA	-2.1 [2]		-5.8, 26.1)	-	-	-	-	
Change from baseline in CD4 cell count (cells/mm³)	175.0 [2]		99.0, 937.4)	75.5 [41]	(6.7, 144.3)	80.1 [43]	(14.2, 146.0)	
Change from baseline in CD4 percent	9.1 [2]		-7.6, 29.4)	-0.9 [41]	(-2.1, 0.3)	-0.4 [43]	(-1.7, 0.9)	
Week 96								
Change from baseline in log <sub>10</sub> plasma HIV-1 RNA	Change from baseline in log <sub>10</sub> plasma HIV-1 RNA -4.3 [1]		-	-	-	-	-	
Change from baseline in CD4 cell count (cells/mm <sup>3</sup> )	455.0 [1]			31.4 [37]	(-40.7, 103.4)	42.5 [38]	(-31.1, 116.1)	
Change from baseline in CD4 percent 19.				-1.0 [37]	(-2.7, 0.7)	-0.5 [38]	(-2.5, 1.5)	

Abbreviations: N, number of participants in each group; n, number of participants in each subcategory.

Due to low specimen volume, some participants' plasma samples were diluted by a factor of 5 before being tested. This dilution increased the assay's limit of quantification (LoQ) from 40 to 200 copies/mL. In the analysis of proportion of patients with HIV-1 RNA < 40 copies/mL, such records were treated as missing values. Samples for two participants at Week 48 and Week 96 were diluted (by a factor of 5 each). For binary endpoints: n/N with % (95% CI) was reported for each group, where 95% CI is the exact 95% confidence interval. For continuous endpoints: mean changes with the 95% confidence intervals were reported. The 95% CIs were calculated based on t-distribution.

#### **Virologic Efficacy**

According to the FDA Snapshot Algorithm, 41/45 participants (91.1%; 95% CI [78.8, 97.5]) and 37/45 participants (82.2%; 95% CI [67.9, 92.0]) achieved or maintained HIV-1 RNA < 40 copies/mL at weeks 48 and 96, respectively, and 43/45 participants (95.6%; 95% CI [84.9, 99.5]) and 39/45 participants (86.7%; 95% CI [73.2, 94.9]) achieved or maintained HIV-1 RNA < 200 copies/mL at weeks 48 and 96, respectively. Based on the observed failure approach, 41/42 participants (97.6%; 95% CI [87.4, 99.9]) and 37/40 participants (92.5%; 95% CI [79.6, 98.4]) achieved or maintained HIV-1 RNA < 40 copies/ mL, at weeks 48 and 96, respectively, and 43/44 participants (97.7%; 95% CI [88.0, 99.9]) and 39/42 participants (92.9%; 95% CI [80.5, 98.5]) had achieved or maintained HIV-1 RNA < 200 copies/mL at weeks 48 and 96, respectively; two participants withdrew from the study due to pregnancy prior to week 96 (viral load at last study visits were <40 copies/mL), one was discontinued from study drug due to an unrelated AE (viral load at last study visit was also <40 copies/mL) and for two the result was provided as <200 copies/mL only as the sample required dilution 1:5 secondary to low volume. For the one ARV-naïve

participant who maintained viral suppression at week 96, the change from baseline in  $\log_{10}$  plasma HIV-1 RNA at week 96 was -4.3 (Table 2).

#### **Virological Failure**

Two participants experienced virologic failure. One of the treatment-naïve participants experienced virologic failure at week 24 due to nonadherence; this participant was allowed to remain in the study as no DOR FDC resistance-associated mutations were identified at that time. Viremia was documented at week 64, again due to nonadherence, and at that time the participant had developed two resistance-associated mutations for DOR: P225H and V106A. One participant with virologic suppression at entry experienced virologic failure at week 96; no DOR FDC resistance-associated mutations were identified at time of failure.

#### Immunologic Response

Among the 43 participants who had CD4 data at baseline and at week 48, mean (95% CI) change from baseline to week 48 in CD4 counts was 80.1 (14.2, 146.0) cells/mm<sup>3</sup> and the mean (95% CI) change in CD4 percentage was -0.4% (-1.7, 0.9). Mean

(95% CI) change from baseline to week 48 in CD4 counts was 175.0 (-99.0, 937.4) cells/mm<sup>3</sup> for ART-naïve participants and 75.5 (6.7, 144.3) cells/mm<sup>3</sup> for ART-experienced participants. Mean (95% CI) change from baseline to week 48 in CD4 percentage was 9.1% (-7.6, 29.4) for ART-naïve participants and -0.9% (-2.1, 0.3) for ART-experienced participants (Table 2).

Among the 38 participants who had CD4 data at baseline and at Week 96, mean (95% CI) change from baseline to week 96 in CD4 count and percentage was 42.5 (-31.1, 116.1) cells/ mm<sup>3</sup> and -0.5% (-2.5, 1.5), respectively. Change from baseline to week 96 was 455.0 cells/mm<sup>3</sup> for the ART-naïve participant and mean (95% CI) change was 31.4 (-40.7, 103.4) cells/mm<sup>3</sup> for ART-experienced participants. Change in CD4 percentage was 19.9% for the ART-naïve participant and mean change was -1.0% (-2.7, 0.7) for ART-experienced participants (Table 2).

#### **Safety and Tolerability**

Through the week 96 study visit, drug-related AEs were reported in four participants (8.9%) including a clinical symptom (grade 1 dizziness) and three abnormal laboratory values (grade 2 hyperbilirubinemia, grade 1 decreased neutrophil count, and grade 1 hypoglycemia). All these AEs were transient. All adolescents remained in the same body mass index (BMI) category; over the 96 weeks, the mean BMI change was 1.6 kg/m<sup>2</sup>. One participant (2.2%) had grade 4 increased ALT which was determined not to be related to study drug, as another cause (active hepatitis C infection) was identified. The event led to permanent treatment discontinuation at week 80, but the participant remained on study until completed at week 96. Two participants (4.4%) experienced SAEs, both determined not to be related to study treatment. One participant had a grade 3 scrotal abscess and the other participant had grade 3 gastroenteritis and grade 2 lip injury.

#### **Change in Clinical Parameters**

Serum creatinine increased more than 30% from baseline in 5/45 (11.1%) with an accompanying greater than 30% decrease in estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR) from baseline in 4/45 (8.9%). However, all creatinine and eGFR values remained in the normal range throughout week 96. DOR FDC had a favorable lipid profile: mean change from baseline for total HDL, and LDL cholesterol at week 96 were –29.2, –16.2, and –9.9 mg/ dL, respectively (Tables 3 and 4).

#### **Pharmacokinetics**

At week 48, the geometric mean (%CV) steady-state DOR predose and 0.5–2 hours pose-dose were 795 (237.5) nM, and 1310 (281.0) nM, respectively, exceeding the lower bound for efficacy (>560 nM) based on Phase 3 adult studies [21] (Supplementary Table1). The DOR/3TC/TDF FDC tablet was effective, safe, and welltolerated in this adolescent population. In this study, the virologic suppression rates to <40 copies/mL were 97.6% and 92.5% at 48 and 96 weeks, respectively, as defined by the observed failure approach. An increase in mean CD4 count from baseline was observed in both treatment-naïve and virologically suppressed groups. No drug-related AEs led to treatment discontinuation over the 96-week follow-up and there were no deaths.

Similar to the results in adults who were virologically suppressed and switched to DOR FDC [22, 23], we found that the majority of participants maintained virological suppression (<40 copies/mL); only one previously virologically suppressed participant experienced virologic failure at week 96, but no viral resistance to DOR was identified. These findings support once-daily DOR FDC as an option for maintaining viral suppression in adolescents considering a change in therapy. The treatment-naïve participant who experienced virologic failure due to nonadherence developed two resistance-associated mutations for DOR: P225H and V106A. This is consistent with reports from trials in adults where in almost all cases of virologic failure while on DOR treatment, V106A/M substitutions were selected first, with important secondary substitutions found at positions H221, P225, and/or F227 [24, 25]. However, a low rate of DOR resistance-associated mutations has been found (<2%) in clinical trials primarily attributed to poor adherence [26, 27]

#### Table 3. Summary of Adverse Events Through Week 96

Adverse Events	Total (N = 45) n (%)	95% CI	
≥1 AEs	45 (100)	(92.1, 100)	
≥1 drug-related AEsª	4 (8.9)	(2.5, 21.2)	
Permanent discontinuation due to an AE <sup>b</sup>	1 (2.2)	(0.1, 11.8)	
Permanent discontinuation due to a drug-related AE	0	(0, 7.9)	
≥1 serious AEs <sup>c</sup>	2 (4.4)	(0.5, 15.1)	
≥1 drug-related serious AEs	0	(0, 7.9)	
Deaths	0	(0, 7.9)	
≥Grade 3 AEs⁴	11 (24.4)	(12.9, 39.5)	
≥Grade 3 drug-related AEs	0	(0, 7.9)	
Most common AEs (>5% incidence overall) <sup>a</sup>			
Increase serum creatinine	5 (11.1)		
Decrease estimated glomer- ular filtration rate	4 (8.9)		

Abbreviations: AE, adverse events; CI, confident interval; SD, standard deviation.

<sup>a</sup>A treatment-naïve participant had grade 1 dizziness, resolved without discontinuation. <sup>b</sup>A participant had grade 4 increase ALT and active hepatitis C infection.

A participant had grade 4 increase ALI and active hepatitis C infection.

<sup>c</sup>One had grade 3 gastroenteritis and grade 2 lip injury, another had grade 3 scrotal abscess. <sup>a</sup>Grade  $\geq$  3 AEs included diarrhea (n = 1), gastroenteritis (n = 1), scrotal abscess (n = 1), increased ALT (n = 2), increased AST (n = 1), increased serum creatinine (n = 5), increased blood pressure (n = 2), decreased eGFR (n = 4), and hypertension (n = 2). Some participants had > 1 events.

eAll occurred in virologic-suppressed group.

Measurements	Study Week	n	Baseline Mean	Mean Change (95% CI)	SD
Height (cm)	Baseline	45	160.5		
	Week 96	42	160.6	3.1 (2.0, 4.1)	3.3
Weight (kg)	Baseline	45	53.8		
	Week 96	42	53.7	6.5 (4.5, 8.5)	6.5
Body mass index (kg/m²)	Baseline	45	20.9		
	Week 96	42	20.8	1.6 (0.9, 2.3)	2.2
Creatinine (mg/dL)	Baseline	45	0.6		
	Week 96	42	0.7	0.1 (0.0, 0.1)	0.1
eGFRª	Baseline	45	158.8		
	Week 96	42	159.1	-17.7 (-26.9, -8.5)	29.6
Cholesterol (mg/dL)	Baseline	45	168.7		
	Week 96	37	169.5	-29.2 (-40.3, -18.0)	33.4
HDL cholesterol (mg/dL)	Baseline	45	57.8		
	Week 96	38	59.3	-16.2 (-20.2, -12.1)	12.3
LDL cholesterol (mg/dL)	Baseline	45	89.2		
	Week 96	36	89.5	-9.9 (-18.5, -1.4)	25.2

Abbreviations: CI, confident interval; SD, standard deviation.

<sup>a</sup>eGFR, estimated glomerular filtration rate, from creatinine adjusted for BSA (mL/min/1.73 m<sup>2</sup>) using Modified Schwartz equation.

The safety profile of DOR FDC was documented in previous adult studies [8, 12, 16, 21, 22, 26, 27], and the 24-week results of this study [17]. We continued to see a favorable safety and tolerability profile in our adolescent participants for up to 96 weeks: no deaths, no drug-related SAEs, and no discontinuations due to drug-related AEs. Three of the four drug-related AEs were abnormal lab values which were determined as not clinically significant. In contrast to previous adult studies reporting clinical AEs in more than 10% of study participants [26, 27], few were seen in our study (dizziness, n = 1). We did not perform fasting lipids in all adolescents. However, we observed a decrease in mean total cholesterol, HDL, and LDL cholesterol from baseline to week 96. These findings are consistent with the favorable DOR effect on the lipid profile as previously reported in adult studies [7, 8, 22, 23, 26]. Additionally, all participants remained in the same BMI category; the mean change of BMI was 1.6 kg/m<sup>2</sup> over 96 weeks. Similarly, minimal weight gain was observed in both treatment-naïve and virologically suppressed adults after initiation of a DOR regimen [23, 27].

A concern could be raised about the effects of treatment on renal function. The most common AEs seen in our study participants were increased serum creatinine from baseline in 11.1% and decreased estimated glomerular filtration from baseline in 8.9%. While this could be associated with TDF which is a component in the FDC that has known renal effects, alternatively the increase in creatinine could be related to the expected increase in muscle mass in these adolescents who continued to grow during the 2 years of the study. It is noted as well that all creatinine and eGFR values remained within normal limits throughout the study. The percent of participants with creatinine increases was higher than what was seen in the study of DOR/3TC/TDF in adults through 96 weeks that reported increased creatinine (>1.3 times upper limit of normal or increase of >0.3 mg/dL from baseline) in 3–4% [26, 27]. Many adolescents in our study had perinatally acquired HIV and had been exposed to several medications for many years prior to the study entry, therefore they might be more vulnerable to renal dysfunction. Monitoring of renal function is recommended for those receiving TDF-including regimens to detect accelerated decline and offer other treatment options in a timely manner [28].

Pre-dose DOR levels at week 48 were slightly lower than typical steady-state trough DOR levels demonstrated in adults [21], suggesting more rapid clearance. However, the levels remained above the lower bound for efficacy based on adult studies and well above the  $IC_{50}$  for wild-type virus [21, 29]. As most participants maintained virologic suppression, DOR exposure at the current dose appears to be efficacious and safe for adolescents.

DOR was rationally designed to address limitations associated with former approved NNRTIs that have been used as the first-line agents for the treatment of HIV-1. In recent years, integrase strand transfer inhibitors (INSTIs) have replaced NNRTIs as preferred first-line agents in international guidelines [30, 31]. This is due to high potency and inherent barriers to drug resistance [32]. Direct comparison between DOR and INSTI-based regimens for virologic efficacy and adverse outcomes are limited. Indirect evidence is available from a recent systematic review of the efficacy and safety of DOR-based ART regimens in adults. In this review, DOR was shown to provide good virological suppression, however, the odds-ratios between DOR-based and INSTI-based regimens were ≥1, indicating INSTI-based regimens had a higher proportion of patients achieving virological suppression [33]. Trials in adults have consistently shown improvements in lipid levels and minimal

weight gain associated with DOR-based ART [26, 27, 34, 35] which suggests superiority over INSTI-based regimens for these safety outcomes. Thus, DOR might be considered as an alternative treatment option to an INSTI-based regimen particularly when elevated lipids or weight gain are a concern. Although the participants in Cohort 2 of this trial were limited to those with weights  $\geq$ 45 kg, the FDA recently approved DOR for use in adolescents  $\geq$ 35 kg based on the results of this trial and additional pharmacokinetic modeling data [36], thus making a switch from a dolutegravir fixed-dose combination tablet to DOR FDC practical for most adolescents.

There are some limitations to this study. First, only two participants were treatment-naïve which limits generalizability of the results in that group. In addition, one of two treatmentnaïve participants was not adherent to study drug and experienced virologic failure that likely falsely lowered estimated virologic efficacy in this subset. Another limitation is a selection bias as only virologically suppressed adolescents were enrolled into the treatment-experienced group. The participants had good adherence at baseline which likely contributed to the favorable outcome but limits the study's generalizability in adolescents with imperfect adherence.

In conclusion, DOR FDC offers excellent efficacy, safety, and tolerability for treatment of HIV-1 in adolescents. DOR FDC is an option for virologic-suppressed adolescents who require a long-term ARV treatment regimen. Further study is needed to confirm the efficacy among treatment-naïve adolescents.

#### **Supplementary Data**

Supplementary materials are available at the *Journal of The Pediatric Infectious Diseases Society* online (http://jpids.oxfordjournals.org).

#### Notes

Acknowledgments. The IMPAACT 2014 study team gratefully acknowledges the dedication and commitment of the adolescents and young adults and site study teams without whom this study would not have been possible.

*Author contributions.* Conceptualization and methodology by AJM, BB, HT, and NHT; project administration by KM, RS, CK, JP, HC, and ET; formal analysis by BB, HW, MGW, and XL; investigation by SR, LA, PO, and AV; writing—original draft by SR, LA, AJM, XL, and MGW; writing—review and editing by SR, LA, AJM, XL, MGW, and NHT; supervision by ET, JM, HC, PJ, and RL; and critical review or revision of the manuscript for important intellectual content by all authors.

*Financial support.* Overall support for the International Maternal Pediatric Adolescent AIDS Clinical Trials Network (IMPAACT) was provided by the National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases (NIAID) with co-funding from the Eunice Kennedy Shriver National Institute of Child Health and Human Development (NICHD) and the National Institute of Mental Health (NIMH), all components of the National Institutes of Health (NIH), under Award Numbers UM1AI068632 (IMPAACT LOC), UM1AI068616 (IMPAACT SDMC) and UM1AI106716 (IMPAACT LC), and by NICHD contract number HHSN2752018000011. The content is solely the responsibility of the authors and does not necessarily represent the official views of the NIH. Financial support and antiretrovirals (DOR and DOR/3TC/TDF) were provided by Merck Sharp & Dohme LLC, a subsidiary of Merck & Co., Inc., Rahway, NJ, USA.

**Potential conflicts of interest.** H. W., P. J., R. L. (now retired), H. T. (now retired), and H. C. are employees of Merck Sharp & Dohme LLC, a

subsidiary of Merck & Co., Inc., Rahway, NJ, USA [license holder Pifeltro<sup>\*</sup> and Delstrigo<sup>\*</sup>] and hold stock in Merck & Co., Inc., Rahway, NJ, USA; H. T. was involved in study design and implementation; H. W. assisted with the data analysis; R. L. assisted with interpretation of results and P. J. and H. C. assisted with project administration and supervision. The remaining authors have no conflicts of interest to declare.

Additional Study Team Members: NIAID/DAIDS: Justine Beck, PharmD, and Thucuma Sise, PharmD; NICHD: Bill G. Kapogiannis, MD; FHI 360: Kathleen George, MPH, and Patricia Morgan, MS; Frontier Science Foundation: Yvonne Woolwine-Cunningham, BA, Rebecca Leblanc, MS, and Kathleen Trabert; Merck: Jeanne Mendell, PhD; Harvard T.H. Chan School of Public Health: Carmelita Alvero, MS, Mona Farhad, MS, Sarah Pasyar, MSc, and Petronella Muresan, MS. UNITED STATES. St. Jude CRS, TN: Nehali Patel, MD, Adrienne English, RN, Ryan Heine, MBA, Sandra Jones, MSN; Boston Medical Center CRS, MA: Ellen Cooper, MD, Debra McLaud, RN; University of Colorado, CO: Elizabeth McFarland MD, Shane Curran Hays, MS, Jennifer Dunn, MS, FNP-BC, Kacey Navarro, MS, FNP-BC; Seattle Children's Research Institute, Seattle, WA: Amanda Robson, BS. SOUTH AFRICA. Perinatal HIV Research Unit, University of the Witwatersrand: Hilda Ndiwani, RN, Ruth Mathiba, MBChB, Avy Violari, FCPaed, Nastassja Ramsagar, BMedSc. THAILAND. Research Institute for Health Sciences, Chiang Mai University: Nuntisa Chotirosniramit, MD, Chintana Khamrong, MSc, Jiraporn Chantong, RN, BSN; Angkana Srita, RN., B. Ns; AMS-PHPT Research Collaboration, Chiang Mai University & Chiangrai Prachanukroh Hospital: Tim R. Cressey, PhD, Praornsuda Sukrakanchana, BSN; Chiangrai Prachanukroh Hospital: Kanyanee Kaewmamuang, BSN; Yupawan Thaweesombat, BPharm; Siriraj Hospital, Mahidol University, Bangkok: Nirun Vanprapar, MD, Kulkanya Chokephaibulkit, MD, Nantaka Kongstan, MSc, Watcharee Lermankul, PhD.

#### References

- 1. Flynn PM, Abrams EJ. Growing up with perinatal HIV. AIDS 2019; 33:597-603.
- Kibalama Ssemambo P, Nalubega-Mboowa MG, Owora A, et al. Virologic response of treatment experienced HIV-infected Ugandan children and adolescents on NNRTI based first-line regimen, previously monitored without viral load. BMC Pediatr 2021; 21:139.
- Salou M, Dagnra AY, Butel C, et al. High rates of virological failure and drug resistance in perinatally HIV-1-infected children and adolescents receiving lifelong antiretroviral therapy in routine clinics in Togo. J Int AIDS Soc 2016; 19:20683.
- Frange P, Blanche S, Veber F, Avettand-Fenoel V. Dolutegravir in the long term in children and adolescents: frequent virological failure but rare acquired genotypic resistance. HIV Med 2021; 22:958–64.
- Guidelines for the Use of Antiretroviral Agents in Adults and Adolescents Living with HIV 2021. Accessed June 3, 2021. https://clinicalinfo.hiv.gov/en/guidelines/ hiv-clinical-guidelines-adult-and-adolescent-arv/virologic-failure.
- Wang Y, De Clercq E, Li G. Current and emerging non-nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitors (NNRTIs) for HIV-1 treatment. Expert Opin Drug Metab Toxicol 2019; 15:813–29.
- Molina JM, Squires K, Sax PE, et al. Doravirine versus ritonavir-boosted darunavir in antiretroviral-naive adults with HIV-1 (DRIVE-FORWARD): 48-week results of a randomised, double-blind, phase 3, non-inferiority trial. Lancet HIV 2018; 5:e211–20.
- Orkin C, Squires KE, Molina JM, et al. Doravirine/lamivudine/tenofovir disoproxil fumarate is non-inferior to efavirenz/emtricitabine/tenofovir disoproxil fumarate in treatment-naive adults with human immunodeficiency virus-1 infection: week 48 results of the DRIVE-AHEAD Trial. Clin Infect Dis 2019; 68:535–44.
- Lai MT, Feng M, Falgueyret JP, et al. In vitro characterization of MK-1439, a novel HIV-1 nonnucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitor. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 2014; 58:1652–63.
- Colombier MA, Molina JM. Doravirine: a review. Curr Opin HIV AIDS 2018; 13:308–14.
- Gatell JM, Morales-Ramirez JO, Hagins DP, et al. Doravirine dose selection and 96-week safety and efficacy versus efavirenz in antiretroviral therapy-naive adults with HIV-1 infection in a Phase IIb trial. Antivir Ther 2019; 24:425–35.
- Anderson MS, Gilmartin J, Cilissen C, et al. Safety, tolerability and pharmacokinetics of doravirine, a novel HIV non-nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitor, after single and multiple doses in healthy subjects. Antivir Ther 2015; 20:397–405.

- Khalilieh S, Yee KL, Sanchez RI, et al. Results of a doravirine-atorvastatin drugdrug interaction study. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 2017; 61:e01364–16.
- Khalilieh SG, Yee KL, Sanchez RI, et al. A study to evaluate doravirine pharmacokinetics when coadministered with acid-reducing agents. J Clin Pharmacol 2019; 59:1093–8.
- Iannone V, Farinacci D, D'Angelillo A, et al. Cardiovascular disease risk in a cohort of virologically suppressed people living with HIV switching to doravirine: preliminary data from the real life. AIDS Res Hum Retroviruses 2022; 38:878–80.
- Thompson M, Orkin C, Molina JM, et al. Once-daily doravirine for initial treatment of adults living with human immunodeficiency virus-1: an integrated safety analysis. Clin Infect Dis 2020; 70:1336–43.
- Melvin AJ, Yee KL, Gray KP, et al. Pharmacokinetics, tolerability, and safety of doravirine and doravirine/lamivudine/tenofovir disoproxil fumarate fixeddose combination tablets in adolescents living with HIV: week 24 results From IMPAACT 2014. J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr 2023; 92:153–61.
- International Maternal Pediatric Adolescent AIDS Clinical Trials (IMPAACT). IMPAACT 2014: Phase I/II Study of the Pharmacokinetics, Safety and Tolerability of Doravirine (MK-1439) and Doravirine/Lamivudine/Tenofovir Disoproxil Fumarate (MK-1439A) in HIV-1-Infected Children and Adolescents. https:// www.impaactnetwork.org/studies/impaact2014.
- U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, National Institutes of Health, National Institute of Aleergy and Infectious diseases, Division of AIDS. Division of AIDS (DAIDS) Table for Grading the Severity of Adult and Pediatric Adverse Events Changes Highlighted Corrected Version 2.1, 2017. https://rsc.niaid.nih.gov/clinical-research-sites/ table-grading-severity-adult-pediatric-adverse-events-changes-highlighted.
- 20. Department of Health and Human services, Food and Drug Administration, Center for Drug Evaluation and Research (CDER). Human Immunodeficiency Virus-1 Infection: Developing Antiretroviral Drugs for Treatment Guidance for industry Rockville, Maryland 2015. https://www.fda.gov/regulatory-information/ search-fda-guidance-documents/human-immunodeficiency-virus-1-infectiondeveloping-antiretroviral-drugs-treatment.
- Khalilieh S, Yee KL, Sanchez R, Stoch SA, Wenning L, Iwamoto M. Clinical pharmacokinetics of the novel HIV-1 non-nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitor doravirine: an assessment of the effect of patient characteristics and drug-drug interactions. Clin Drug Investig 2020; 40:927–46.
- 22. Johnson M, Kumar P, Molina JM, et al. Switching to doravirine/lamivudine/ tenofovir disoproxil fumarate (DOR/3TC/TDF) maintains HIV-1 virologic suppression through 48 weeks: results of the DRIVE-SHIFT trial. J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr 2019; 81:463–72.
- Kumar P, Johnson M, Molina JM, et al. Brief report: switching to DOR/3TC/TDF maintains HIV-1 virologic suppression through week 144 in the DRIVE-SHIFT trial. J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr 2021; 87:801–5.

- Pham HT, Xiao MA, Principe MA, Wong A, Mesplède T. Pharmaceutical, clinical, and resistance information on doravirine, a novel non-nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitor for the treatment of HIV-1 infection. Drugs Context 2020; 9:2019–11–4.
- Martin EA, Lai MT, Ngo W, et al. Review of doravirine resistance patterns identified in participants during clinical development. J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr 2020; 85:635–42.
- Molina JM, Squires K, Sax PE, et al. Doravirine versus ritonavir-boosted darunavir in antiretroviral-naive adults with HIV-1 (DRIVE-FORWARD): 96-week results of a randomised, double-blind, non-inferiority, phase 3 trial. Lancet HIV 2020; 7:e16–26.
- 27. Orkin C, Squires KE, Molina JM, et al. Doravirine/lamivudine/tenofovir disoproxil fumarate (TDF) versus efavirenz/emtricitabine/TDF in treatmentnaive adults with human immunodeficiency virus Type 1 infection: week 96 results of the randomized, double-blind, Phase 3 DRIVE-AHEAD noninferiority trial. Clin Infect Dis 2021; 73:33–42.
- Venter WDF, Fabian J, Feldman C. An overview of tenofovir and renal disease for the HIV-treating clinician. South Afr J HIV Med 2018; 19:817.
- Yee KL, Ouerdani A, Claussen A, de Greef R, Wenning L. Population pharmacokinetics of doravirine and exposure-response analysis in individuals with HIV-1. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 2019; 63:e02502–18.
- World Health Organization (WHO). Consolidated Guidelines on HIV Prevention, Testing, Treatment, Service Delivery and Monitoring: Recommendations for a Public Health Approach. https://www.who.int/publications/i/ item/9789240031593
- 31. Department of Health and Human Services (DHHS), Panel on Antiretroviral Guidelines for Adults and Adolescents. Guidelines for the Use of Antiretroviral Agents in Adults and Adolescents Living With HIV. https://clinicalinfo.hiv.gov/ sites/default/files/guidelines/documents/adult-adolescent-arv/guidelines-adultadolescent-arv.pdf.
- Smith SJ, Zhao XZ, Passos DO, Lyumkis D, Burke TR Jr, Hughes SH. Integrase strand transfer inhibitors are effective anti-HIV drugs. Viruses 2021; 13:205.
- 33. Zhang K, Zhang Y, Zhou J, et al. Comparison of the efficacy and safety of a doravirine-based, three-drug regimen in treatment-Naïve HIV-1 positive adults: a Bayesian Network meta-analysis. Front Pharmacol 2022; 13:676831.
- Orkin C, Elion R, Thompson M, et al. Changes in weight and BMI with first-line doravirine-based therapy. AIDS 2021; 35:91–9.
- Calza L, Colangeli V, Pensalfine G, et al. Doravirine/lamivudine/tenofovir disoproxil fumarate in virologically suppressed people living with HIV: a real-life experience. Int J STD AIDS 2023; Aug 11:9564624231195084.
- Delstrigo (doravirine/lamivudine/tenofovir disoproxil fumarate) [package insert]. Food and Drug Administration. 2022. https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/ drugsatfda\_docs/label/2022/210807s008lbl.pdf.