## Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory

**LBL Publications** 

## Title

Tracing the Sources of Indoor Aerosols Using Evolved Gas Analysis

## Permalink

https://escholarship.org/uc/item/48p2967g

## Authors

Daisey, J.M. Gundel, L.A.

Publication Date 1989-06-01

UC-350 LBL-27212

Bldg.

С 0

Copy 1 Library.

\_BL-27212

# Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

# APPLIED SCIENCE DIVISION

Presented at the 82nd Annual Meeting of the Air and Waste Management Association, Anaheim, CA, June 25–30, 1989

Tracing the Sources of Indoor Aerosols Using Evolved Gas Analysis

J.M. Daisey and L.A. Gundel

June 1989

For Reference

Not to be taken from this room



APPLIED SCIENCE DIVISION

#### DISCLAIMER

This document was prepared as an account of work sponsored by the United States Government. While this document is believed to contain correct information, neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor the Regents of the University of California, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by its trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof, or the Regents of the University of California. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof or the Regents of the University of California.

## TRACING THE SOURCES OF INDOOR AEROSOLS USING

#### **EVOLVED GAS ANALYSIS**

by

Joan M. Daisey and Lara A. Gundel Indoor Environment Program Applied Science Division Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory Berkeley, CA 94720

Paper No. 89-145.3 presented at the 82nd Annual Meeting of the Air and Waste Management Association, June 25-30, 1989, Anaheim, CA.

Ì

#### ABSTRACT

An exploratory study was conducted to determine if micro-analytical evolved gas analysis (EGA) for measuring carbon and nitrogen could be used to distinguish aerosols from different indoor sources. The method requires less than 20  $\mu$ g of particulate matter and it is rapid and inexpensive. Thermograms (rate of evolution of CO<sub>2</sub> and NO<sub>x</sub> versus temperature) were determined for samples of environmental tobacco smoke (ETS), kerosene soot, indoor aerosol from woodburning homes and outdoor aerosol from New Jersey. The thermograms were used to calculate the amounts of carbon and nitrogen for five temperature intervals from 50 to 600°C, normalized to particle mass.

The thermograms from different sources showed distinct differences. ETS and kerosene soot had the highest mass percentages of carbon and nitrogen (37% C, 4% N and 27% C, 10% N, respectively), although their nitrogen thermograms differed substantially. Organic nitrogen dominated the ETS samples while most of the nitrogen in kerosene soot was  $(\text{NH}_4)_2\text{SO}_4$ . The kerosene soot samples also had the highest percentages of black (elemental) carbon and could thus be distinguished from woodsmoke and outdoor aerosol. These results on a limited number of samples suggest that, with additional research, this method may be useful for distinguishing the contributions of ETS, kerosene soot, woodsmoke and outdoor aerosol in indoor atmospheres.

#### INTRODUCTION

Cigarette smoke and other indoor combustion sources such as woodburning stoves and kerosene heaters can be major contributors to concentrations of airborne particulate matter in indoor environments.<sup>1-3</sup> Infiltration of outdoor air also contributes to indoor concentrations of airborne particulate matter and, in some instances, may be the major source of indoor aerosol.<sup>4</sup> Indoor combustion sources can substantially increase the indoor concentrations of particulate organic matter and its mutagenic activity.<sup>5-7</sup> Both the chemical composition and the biological activity of particles vary depending upon their sources,<sup>8,9</sup> and their health impacts are also expected to differ. Thus, it would be useful to be able to estimate the contributions from various sources. The relative contributions of outdoor air and various indoor sources to indoor concentrations of particles, however, are not easily determined because there are large differences in source usage and ventilation and infiltration rates among homes.

Sexton and Hayward<sup>10</sup> have suggested that receptor source apportionment models might be useful for estimating the contributions of various sources to indoor concentrations of airborne particles. However, appropriate tracers have not yet been identified for all of the major sources of indoor aerosols. If tracers or patterns of tracers could be found for each major indoor source type, then receptor source apportionment modeling could provide the means to determine the contributions of each of these sources to indoor concentrations or to personal exposures to airborne particulate matter. Emissions from most of the indoor sources are largely organic in nature; thus, analyses for the many individual organic compounds in these source emissions may offer the potential for distinguishing sources. These analyses, however, are expensive and require sampling hundreds of cubic meters of air. Therefore, there is a need for a more rapid and inexpensive analytical method for measuring source tracers, particularly for use for large surveys. Such a method should require only a small sample of indoor particulate matter since there are constraints on the volume of air that can be sampled in a given time period in indoor environments without perturbing the concentrations of the species being sampled.

In this study, we investigated the applicability of the micro-analytical evolved gas analysis (EGA) method developed by Dod and Novakov<sup>11</sup> for receptor source apportionment modeling in indoor air. Samples of emissions from indoor sources or source-influenced particulate matter were obtained from various investigators and analyzed by EGA for organic and inorganic carbon and nitrogen. Temperature intervals were identified for the isolation of different features in the thermograms from the EGA and the composition profiles from each source type were compared.

#### EXPERIMENTAL

#### Method

The method of analysis was temperature-programmed evolved gas analysis in oxygen.<sup>11,12</sup> Thermograms (rate of evolution of  $CO_2$  and  $NO_x$  as a function of temperature) were determined for particulate samples of environmental tobacco (cigarette) smoke (ETS), kerosene heater emissions, indoor air samples from woodburning homes, and outdoor aerosol from a site in New Jersey. The thermograms were determined by heating a 1.7 cm<sup>2</sup> disk of each loaded filter at a constant rate (10°C per minute) in oxygen from 50°C to 700°C. The evolved gases resulting from the volatilization, decomposition and combustion of the sample are passed over a hot platinum catalyst to convert all carbon to  $CO_2$  and all nitrogen to  $NO_x$ . The  $CO_2$  and  $NO_x$  are monitored continuously, by using two gas specific detectors: a non-dispersive infrared  $CO_2$  analyzer and a chemiluminescence  $NO_x$  analyzer. The use of pure oxygen greatly minimizes the pyrolysis of organics.<sup>13</sup> The resultant thermograms are plots of rate of evolution of gas versus temperature; the integrated areas of the curves are proportional to the carbon and nitrogen content of the sample. Quantitation was effected through calibration with gases of known concentrations and by measuring the gas flow rate through the system. The calibration was verified by analyzing samples of known elemental content. Quartz filters are the preferred substrate for the particles but samples collected on glass fiber can also be analyzed by this method. Samples collected on Teflon-coated glass fiber filters (with fabric backing removed) were only heated to about 450°C and, consequently, yield only lower limits for concentrations of elemental carbon. The percentages of evolved carbon and nitrogen in the particulate matter on the filters were then calculated from the thermograms. All data were blank corrected for the appropriate filter medium. Particle mass on the filters was determined gravimetrically.

The EGA method requires only a very small sample of particulate matter. For this study, particle masses on the  $1.7 \text{ cm}^2$  filter disks ranged from 30 to 400 micrograms. However, EGA analysis can be done with as little as 10 micrograms of material.

#### Samples

Table I presents a summary of the samples used in this exploratory research, their sources, type of filter, and the loading on each filter. The environmental tobacco smoke samples were provided by J. Lewtas, EPA, Health Effects Research Laboratory, and were generated in an environmental chamber. Samples of kerosene soot were provided by P.M. Boone and B. Leaderer, John B. Pierce Foundation, Yale University School of Medicine and were generated in an environmental chamber and collected at the ceiling level. Samples were obtained for a convective/radiant heater, a convective heater, and a radiant heater. The woodsmoke samples were provided by one of the authors (J.M.D.) and were collected in homes in Wisconsin with woodburning stoves but no other indoor combustion sources. Details of sample collection Have been reported by Daisey et al.<sup>7</sup> The outdoor samples were collected in Ringwood, N.J., a rural, wooded site in northern New Jersey, as part of the ATEOS Study.<sup>14</sup> Since the samples were collected on pre-heated glass fiber filters, which produce sulfate artifacts during sampling, the filters were not pre-weighed. Average summertime mass concentrations at this site, determined from samples collected on Spectrograde filters, were used to estimate the mass concentrations for these samples.

#### **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

#### Analysis of the Thermograms

Figure 1 presents examples of thermograms obtained for three source types. In order to compare different samples, the thermograms were compared to each other and to thermograms of standards such as  $NH_4HSO_4$ ,  $(NH_4)_2SO_4$ ,  $NH_4NO_3$  and selected organic compounds. The temperature intervals were chosen by comparison of thermogram structural features for the various samples. The minima and maxima in the rates of gas evolution represent reasonable choices which captured the features of each thermogram while emphasizing common features for all. Temperature intervals were selected independently for the carbon and nitrogen thermograms. The first temperature interval for nitrogen was subdivided to separate highly volatile organic nitrogen in the thermogram of  $(NH_4)_2SO_4$  (region Ib). A second peak for  $(NH_4)_2SO_4$  and a single peak for  $NH_4HSO_4$  are found in region II.<sup>11</sup> Based on these comparisons the following temperature intervals were integrated:

٦

1

I. 50 to 240°C: Thermally volatilizable organic carbon; 50 to 235°C: organic and inorganic

nitrogen.

Ĵ

Ia. 50 to 150<sup>o</sup>C: Organic nitrogen of high volatility and low molecular weight;

- Ib. 150 to  $235^{\circ}$ C: Organic nitrogen of intermediate volatility, inorganic ammonium salts,  $NH_4NO_3$ ,  $(NH_4)_2SO_4$ .
- II. 240 to 390<sup>o</sup>C: Organic carbon of intermediate volatility and molecular weight; 235 to 380<sup>o</sup>C: organic nitrogen and ammonium salts, NH<sub>4</sub>HSO<sub>4</sub> and (NH<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> (second peak).
- III. 390 to 520°C: Black (elemental)<sup>15, 16</sup> carbon and some high molecular weight organic carbon; 380 to 520°C: low volatility organic nitrogen, probably part of the black carbon matrix;
- IV. 520 to 600<sup>o</sup>C: Black (elemental)<sup>15,16</sup> carbon, if large particles are present or sample is nearly all black carbon; nitrogen associated with black carbon.

The temperature intervals defined for black carbon correspond to the combustion of the stronly optically absorbing component of combustion-derived particles, above  $390^{\circ}$ .<sup>11</sup> Urban atmospheric particles, combustion source samples and polycrystalline graphite have similar Raman spectra.<sup>15, 16</sup> Black carbon corresponds to what many investigators call elemental carbon. In an interlaboratory comparison, EGA in oxygen gave values for black carbon which were within  $\pm$  10% of the mean of all 17 of the methods of analysis for elemental carbon.<sup>17</sup>

It is clear from Figure 1 that the different source types have different thermograms. The  $CO_2$  and  $NO_x$  thermograms for the ETS were very similar but differed for the other source types. Pure nitrogen-containing organic compounds also have similar  $CO_2$  and  $NO_x$  thermograms.<sup>12</sup> Each source type shows  $CO_2$  peaks in approximately the same regions but the relative amounts in the regions differ.

The NO<sub>x</sub> thermograms show two distinct patterns: inorganic nitrogen, principally  $(NH_4)_2SO_4$ , gives sharp peaks (regions Ib and II) as seen in kerosene soot. Inorganic nitrogen peaks were also seen in region Ib for woodsmoke (not shown) and outdoor aerosol samples. For cigarette smoke, all of the peaks correspond to organic nitrogen, including the unusual feature at high temperature (region III). The nitrogen in kerosene soot is almost wholly inorganic, with little or no evidence of organic nitrogen.

The differences among source types were quantified and normalized to particle mass. The averages for each source type and each temperature interval were then calculated. The results are presented in Figure 2 as percent of carbon or nitrogen  $\pm$  standard deviation, for each of the temperature intervals of the thermograms. Numerical data are presented in Tables II and III which also include the results of the analyses of the samples from each of the individual kerosene heaters.

<sup>&</sup>lt;u>Organic Carbon</u> Cigarette smoke had the highest percentage of organic carbon (OC) (regions I and II), particularly in the lowest temperature interval, I. The kerosene soot samples also showed high percentages of OC when compared to the woodsmoke and New Jersey outdoor aerosol samples. Some of the differences in region I may be due to differences in filter face velocities and filter media. The woodsmoke (indoor) and New Jersey outdoor samples were all collected at high face velocities, i.e., about 17 cm-s<sup>-1</sup>. At higher face velocities, the semi-volatiles tend to be lost from the filter, particularly for long sampling times.<sup>10</sup> The ETS samples were collected at a lower face velocity of about 4 cm-s<sup>-1</sup>.

were collected on Teflon-coated glass fiber filters while the cigarette smoke and kerosene soot were collected on quartz filters. Several investigators have recently reported evidence that quartz filters pick up organic vapors during sampling.<sup>19,20</sup>

The percentage of OC in the woodsmoke samples, which were collected in houses, averaged 9.2% Stiles<sup>21</sup> has reported an average of 29.2% OC for emissions from burning oak with a similar moisture content in a woodburning stove. His samples were collected on quartz filters. Quartz filter adsorb some gas-phase hydrocarbons, thus increasing the relative concentration of low molecular weight organics in the samples in comparison with samples collected on Teflon-coated glass fiber filters. Rau<sup>22</sup> has recently reported values of  $14 \pm 4$  % OC for emissions from burning hardwood under hot burn conditions; OC averaged  $57 \pm 5$  % for cool burn conditions. Rau's samples were collected on quartz filters but a correction was made for filter adsorption of organic vapors during sampling.

The average concentration of OC (6.3 %) estimated for the two New Jersey outdoor aerosol samples in this study is consistent with the average concentration of 8.2% reported previously for this site using a different method and more samples.<sup>23</sup>

<u>Black (Elemental) Carbon</u>. The kerosene soot samples had the highest concentrations of black (and high molecular weight) carbon,  $14.9 \pm 8.1$  % of particle mass (regions III and IV). There was considerable variation among the individual samples from different types of kerosene heaters, as can be seen in Table II. The convective/radiant heater emitted particles with the highest percentage of black carbon, 22 %, followed by the convective heater with 17 % and the radiant heater with only 5 %. The average concentration of black carbon in the woodsmoke samples was only 3 %. There may have been some additional black carbon in the woodsmoke samples which could not be measured because of the interference from the Teflon-coated filter in the highest temperature region. Stiles<sup>21</sup> reported concentrations of elemental carbon in woodsmoke from oak to range from 1.6 to 7.2%, depending on the percent moisture in the wood. (The method used by Stiles to measure the elemental carbon differs from the method used here to measure black carbon but the two measurement methods should give similar, although not identical, values.) Rau<sup>22</sup> reported an average elemental carbon concentration of  $16 \pm 4$  % for hot burning hardwood and  $5 \pm 1$  % for cool burning hardwood.

The concentration of elemental carbon in the two outdoor aerosol samples from a rural site in New Jersey was estimated to be 3.5 %. The average concentration of elemental carbon in outdoor aerosol collected in the summertime in New Jersey at the Ringwood site was 1.5%, measured by another method for a different and larger set of samples.<sup>23</sup>

<u>Nitrogen.</u> The average percentages of nitrogen in the particles, reported in Table III, were lower than those of carbon. The cigarette smoke and the kerosene soot showed the highest average percentages of nitrogen, 4 % and 8 %, respectively. Based on the thermograms in Figure 1, however, the chemical nature of the nitrogen from these two sources appears to be different. For the kerosene soot, the two peaks with maxima at 190°C and 270°C in regions Ib and II are characteristic of  $(NH_4)_2SO_4$ . The shape of the thermogram for the cigarette smoke is quite different, with a broad peak in region Ia having a maximum at about 120°C. Thus, by further dividing region I into two sub-regions from 50 to 150°C and 150 to 235°C, these two sources can better be distinguished. The woodsmoke samples (not shown) had region Ia maxima indicating the presence of organic nitrogen compounds.<sup>12</sup>

Υ.

#### Source Discrimination

Ŕ١

 $\mathbf{O}$ 

The purpose of this research was to provide preliminary information on the ability of the EGA method to differentiate among various sources and estimate their contributions to airborne particulate matter. Because readily available samples of source emissions or source-influenced aerosol were used, there has been no standardization of sampling method or filter medium. Despite this, the thermograms show clearly different patterns for the different source types.

In Figure 2 the average concentrations of carbon and nitrogen in each interval are shown for cigarette smoke, kerosene soot, woodsmoke (influenced) aerosol and outdoor aerosol. The patterns shown are clearly different for the different sources and support the feasibility of using the method for source discrimination. Cigarette smoke and kerosene had the highest total concentrations of both carbon and nitrogen. For cigarette smoke, the fraction of nitrogen in the smoke was 2.5 times greater than that in the woodsmoke and 1.6 times that in the outdoor aerosol. The distribution of the nitrogen in the cigarette smoke was similar to that of the carbon while the other source profiles showed little or no correlation in distributions of carbon and nitrogen. These differences should enhance discrimination of cigarette smoke from these sources.

There were differences in region Ia and Ib/II nitrogen that should also enhance source discrimination among the tobacco smoke, the kerosene soot and the woodsmoke. Cigarette smoke had a high concentration of organic nitrogen in region Ia (1.65%) while the kerosene soot had only one-sixth to one-ninth the concentration and woodsmoke had even less. The kerosene soot was enriched in  $(NH_d)_2SO_d$  (regions Ib and II; 5.6 to 8.9 %) while the tobacco smoke had only 1.6 % nitrogen in these regions. The kerosene soot also differed from the cigarette smoke in black carbon composition. The carbon concentration in regions III and IV was about 3 times higher, on average, for the kerosene soot than the cigarette smoke. The woodsmoke aerosol could be discriminated from cigarette smoke by region III nitrogen which was about 4 times higher in the ETS than in the woodsmoke aerosol. There may also be significant differences in region I nitrogen between these two source types, although differences in sampling method for the two samples prevented any clear conclusions. However, very little nitrogen would be expected in this region for a sample of woodsmoke collected at the same flow rate and filter as the ETS. Such sampling would be expected to enhance the measured organic carbon in region Ia of the woodsmoke because of the lower flow rate.

It is also possible to combine the data from EGA with other tracer measurements to increase discrimination among sources. Trace metal analysis, for example, is now done very routinely and inexpensively on very small samples of aerosol. Source profiles for outdoor sources typically include trace element concentrations plus organic and elemental carbon. For indoor sources, it may be useful to develop profiles consisting of EGA data combined with selected trace elements such as K, S and Fe.

There is another potentially important contributor to indoor aerosol, i.e., cooking. So little work has been done on aerosols from this source that it is difficult to even speculate about composition. Volatilization of fats during cooking and their subsequent condensation would be expected to produce an aerosol with high concentrations of VOC. Burning food will produce pyrolysis products including soot. Some organic nitrogen aerosol may also be produced during the cooking of meats. This source type may be much more variable than the other types, and therefore, its contribution to aerosol levels may be difficult to estimate. It may be that its overall contribution for a 24 hour sample collected in a house is not very large (although exposures to the cook might be quite high). In a chemical mass balance source apportionment model, this source may simply end up in the residual or unattributable aerosol mass.

#### CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

This preliminary research, despite limitations in the samples available for analysis, indicates that the EGA method may be useful for discriminating the contributions of various sources of indoor aerosols in a source apportionment model. The method has the advantages of being simple, rapid and inexpensive. In addition, very little sample is needed for the analysis. Additional experimental data, however, are needed to prove the utility of the method. The following specific recommendations are made:

- 1. Source emissions samples should be collected on the same filter medium, at the same sampling rate and then analyzed and compared;
- 2. Mixtures of aerosols from two or three sources should be generated and analyzed by this method to determine how well the method works for "real world" mixtures; further refinement in the thermogram analysis protocol might also be required;
- 3. Once good source emissions profiles have been generated, the data should be subjected to the single value decomposition analysis recommended by Henry (1982) to evaluate multicollinearities and determine which sources can be distinguished accurately using EGA composition profiles, taking into account source variabilities.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Work Order No. 7D4014GGBX, by the Assistant Secretary for Conservation and Renewable Energy, Office of Buildings and Community Systems, Buildings Systems Division of the U.S. Department of Energy under contract DE-AC03-76SF00098 and by the Director, Office of Energy Research, Office of Health and Environmental Research, Human Health and Assessments Division of the U.S. Dept. of Energy under Contract No. DE-AC03-76SF00098.

The authors gratefully acknowledge the samples contributed by J.E. Lewtas, P.M. Boone, and B. Leaderer, and the comments of R. Dod, A.T. Hodgson, and T. Novakov during the preparation of this manuscript.

#### REFERENCES

1. D.W. Dockery and J.D. Spengler, "Indoor-outdoor relationships of respirable sulfates and particles," *Atmos. Environ.* 15: 335 (1981).

2. J.D. Spengler and K. Sexton, "Indoor air pollution: A public health perspective," Science, 221: 9 (1983).

7

Ę,

3. G.W. Traynor, J.R. Allen, M.G. Apte, J.R. Girman and C.D. Hollowell, "Pollutant emissions from portable kerosene-fired space heaters," *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 17: 369 (1983).

4. P.J. Lioy, J.M. Waldman, A. Greenberg, R. Harkov and C. Pietarinen, "The total human environmental exposure study (THEES) to benzo(a)pyrene: comparison of the inhalation and food pathways," *Arch. Environ Health*, 43: 304 (1988).

5. G. Lofroth, L. Nilsson and I. Alfheim. "Passive smoking and urban air pollution: Salmonella/Microsome mutagenicity assay of simultaneously collected indoor and outdoor

particulate matter," In: Short-Term Bioassays in the Analysis of Complex Environmental Mixtures, III, Waters, Sandhu, Lewtas, Claxton, Chernoff and Nesnow, Eds., Plenum Publishing Corp., New York. pp. 515 (1983).

6. J. Lewtas, S. Goto, K. Williams, J.C. Chuang, B.A. Petersen and N.K. Wilson, "The mutagenicity of indoor air particles in a residential pilot field study: application and evaluation of new methodologies," *Atmos. Environ.*, 21: 443 (1987).

7. J.M. Daisey, J.D. Spengler, P. Kaarakka, "A comparison of the organic chemical composition of indoor aerosols during woodburning and non-woodburning periods," *Environ. Int.*, (In Press).

8. R.E. Albert, J. Lewtas, S. Nesnow, T.W. Thorslund and E. Anderson, "Comparative potency method for cancer risk assessment: application to diesel particulate emissions," *Risk Anal.*, 3: 101 (1983).

 $\langle \rangle$ 

3

9. J.P. Butler, T. J. Kneip and J. M. Daisey, "An investigation of interurban variation in the chemical composition and mutagenic activity of airborne particulate organic matter using an integrated chemical class/bioassay system," *Atmos. Environ.* 21: 883 (1987).

10. K. Sexton, and S.B. Hayward, "Source apportionment of indoor air pollution," Atmos. Environ., 21: 407 (1987).

11. R.L. Dod and T. Novakov, "Application of thermal analysis and photoelectron spectroscopy for the characterization of particulate matter," In: *Industrial Applications of Surface Analysis*, L.A. Casper and C.J. Powell, Eds., American Chemical Society Symposium Series No. 199, American Chemical Society, Washington, D.C., 397 (1982).

12. R.L. Dod, L.A. Gundel, W.H. Brenner and T. Novakov, "Non-ammonium reduced nitrogen species in atmospheric aerosol particles," *Sci. Total Environ.*, 36: 277 (1984).

13. S.H. Cadle, P.J. Groblicki and P.A. Mulawa, "Problems in the sampling and analysis of carbon particulate," *Atmos. Environ.*, 17: 593 (1983).

14. P.J. Lioy and J.M. Daisey, "Airborne toxic elements and organic substances," *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 20: 8 (1986).

15. Rosen, H., A.D.A. Hansen, R.L. Dod and T. Novakov, "Soot in urban atmospheres: Determination by an optical absorption technique," *Science*, 208: 741 (1980).

16. Rosen, H., A.D.A. Hansen, L. Gundel and T. Novakov, "Identification of the optically absorbing component in urban aerosols," *Appl. Optics*, 17: 3859 (1978).

17. Groblicki, P.J., S.H. Cadle, C.C. Ang and P.A. Mulawa, "Interlaboratory comparison of methods for the analysis of organic and elemental carbon in atmospheric particulate matter," Report No. GMR-4054, ENV-152, General Motors Research Laboratories, Warren, MI 48090, May 9, 1983.

18. G.P. Schwartz, J.M. Daisey, and P.J. Lioy, "Effects of sampling duration on the concentration of particulate organics collected on glass fiber filters," Am. Ind. Hyg. Assoc. J., 42: 258 (1981).

19. D. Fitz, "Reduction of the positive organic artifact on quartz filters," Paper presented at the Third International Conference on Carbonaceous Particles in the Atmosphere, Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory, University of California, October 5-8, 1987.

20. S.R. McDow and J.J. Huntzicker, "Vapor adsorption artifact in the sampling of organic aerosol," Paper presented at the Third International conference on Carbonaceous Particles in the Atmosphere, Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory, University of California, October 5-8, 1987.

21. D.C. Stiles, "Evaluation of an  $S^2$  sampler for receptor modeling of woodsmoke emissions," Paper No. 83-54.6, Proceedings of the 76th Annual Meeting of the Air Pollution Control Association, Atlanta, GA, June 19-24, 1983.

22. Rau, J.A., "Composition and size-distribution of residential woodsmoke particles," Aerosol Sci. Tech., 10:181 (1989).

23. J.M. Daisey, "Chemical composition of inhalable particulate matter - seasonal and intersite comparisons," In: Toxic Air Pollution: A Comprehensive Study of Non-Criteria Air Pollutants, P.J. Lioy and J.M. Daisey, Eds., Lewis Publishers, Inc., Chelsea, MI, 47 (1987).

V

l

Samples	Filter Type	Loading, $\mu g/cm^2$		
Cigarette smoke	Quartz	120-231		
Kerosene soot	Quartz	19-32		
Woodsmoke	Teflon-coated glass fiber <sup>a</sup>	59-142		
Outdoor aerosol	Glass fiber	220-252		

v.

7

)

Table I. Nature of samples, filter media and filter loading.

a = The fabric backing was removed before analysis; CEGA to ~450  $^{\circ}$ C

٩.,

6

۲

Ŀ

Source		Region				
	nª	I	II	III	IV	SUM
Cigarette smoke	2	21.6±2.6	10.5±1.1	4.5±0.8	0.57±0.16	37.2
Wood smoke	2	1.9±0.9	7.3±1.8	2.9±2.8	b	12.2
Kerosene soot convective	1	7.3	3.2	13.4	3.4	27.3
Kerosene soot convective/radiant	1	9.2	5.9	20.4	1.4	37.0
Kerosene soot radiant	1	6.6	2.6	5.1	0.91	15.2
New Jersey outdoor aerosol	2	2.1 <b>±0.6</b>	4.2±0.6	3.1±0.1	0.35±0.13	9.8

Table II. Carbon as a percentage of total particle mass.

a = Number of samples

b = Not analyzed because of interference from Teflon in filter

Source		Region					
	nª	Ia	Ib	II	III	IV	SUM
Cigarette smoke	2	1.6±0.1	0.74±0.01	0.89±0.03	0.67±0.04	0.05±0.02	4.0
Wood smoke	2	0.12±0.01	0.72±0.58	0.59±0.26	0.15±0.15	N/A <sup>b</sup>	1.6
Kerosene soot convective	1	0.32	5.3	3.62	0.55	0.10	9.9
Kerosene soot convective/radiant	1	0.52	4.4	2.9	0.88	0.26	8.9
Kerosene soot radiant	1	0.18	2.9	2.7	0.22	0	6.0
New Jersey outdoor aerosol	2	0.15±0	1.6±0.1	0.47±0.15	0.20±0.06	0.04±0.03	2.5

Table III. Nitrogen as a percentage of total particle mass.

a = Number of samples

Ø

2

0

b = N/A = not analyzed due to interference from Teflon coating of filter

## FIGURE CAPTIONS

- Figure 1. Thermograms of particulate matter samples from various sources. Left side, carbon; right side, nitrogen. The four temperature intervals are indicated by the numerals I, II, III, and IV for the two thermograms at the top of the figure.
- Figure 2. Average percentages of carbon (left) and nitrogen (right) for each source type, starting with temperature interval I at the left. For woodsmoke, no data were obtained for region IV because of filter interferences.



XBL 8810-3488A



XBL 8810-8991A

G

٢.

7

•

LAWRENCE BERKELEY LABORATORY TECHNICAL INFORMATION DEPARTMENT 1 CYCLOTRON ROAD BERKELEY, CALIFORNIA 94720

-