## UC Irvine UC Irvine Previously Published Works

## Title

Dips Associated with the A2 Trajectory

Permalink https://escholarship.org/uc/item/48p5n4w6

**Journal** Physical Review D, 2(7)

**ISSN** 2470-0010

**Authors** Bander, Myron Gotsman, E

Publication Date 1970-10-01

DOI 10.1103/physrevd.2.1345

## **Copyright Information**

This work is made available under the terms of a Creative Commons Attribution License, available at <a href="https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/">https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/</a>

Peer reviewed

## Dips Associated with the $A_2$ Trajectory\*

Myron Bander and E. Gotsman<sup>†</sup> Department of Physics, University of California, Irvine, California 92664 (Received 24 June 1970)

Arguments are presented indicating that the  $p\Delta A_2$  vertex is primarily spin flip. Using this information, predictions of various cut models for dips at t = -0.6 GeV<sup>2</sup> are compared with experiment.

WITH increasing experimental accuracy it has become evident that there has to be some modification of the description of high-energy scattering in terms of Regge poles alone. From purely theoretic and phenomenological grounds it has become necessary to introduce Regge cuts. In phenomenology the most used cuts are those generated by an absorptive mechanism. The various methods of generating these cuts may be placed into two groups: "weak" or "Argonne" cuts1 and "strong" or "Michigan" cuts.<sup>2</sup> Into the second grouping one may place the model of Dar et al.3 and of Harari.<sup>4</sup> The contention of the strong-cut advocates is that the gross features of near forward or near backward high-energy scattering is due to strong cancellations of pole and cut contributions. In the weakcut model, many gross features are still given by the leading Regge poles. In this note we wish to present arguments against the strong-cut model.

One of the features of scattering at forward angles is the appearance, in some reactions, of dips at  $t \sim -0.6$ GeV<sup>2</sup>. There is an impressive list of reactions where the presence of absence of these dips is accounted for in a pure Regge-pole model<sup>5</sup> and in the strong-cut model.<sup>2-4</sup> Of the three SU(3)-related reactions<sup>6</sup>

(i) 
$$\pi^+ p \to \pi^0 \Delta^{++},$$
  
(ii)  $\pi^+ p \to \eta^0 \Delta^{++},$  (1)  
(iii)  $K^+ p \to K^0 \Delta^{++}.$ 

only the first one is observed to have a dip at t = -0.6GeV<sup>2</sup>. Reaction (i) is dominated by the exchange of a  $\rho$ trajectory, while (ii) is dominated by the exchange of an  $A_2$  trajectory, and (iii) by the exchange of  $\rho$  and  $A_2$ trajectories. The pure Regge-pole model or one including small-cut modifications would ascribe the presence of the dip in reaction (i) to a wrong-signature zero at  $\alpha_{\rho} = 0$ , while in reactions (ii) and (iii) this zero is

canceled by the pole of the  $A_2$  passing through a right integer point.

In the strong-cut description the presence of a dip is determined by the dominant helicity amplitude of the reaction. Reactions dominated by  $|\Delta h| = 1$  amplitudes exhibit dips at t = -0.6 GeV<sup>2</sup>, while those with sizable  $|\Delta h| = 0$  or 2 parts do not.<sup>7</sup>

There is strong evidence that reaction (i) is a  $|\Delta h| = 1$ transition. Using the  $\rho$ -photon analogy, Stodolsky and Sakurai<sup>8</sup> suggested that the  $p\Delta\rho$  vertex is an M1 transition, implying that for reaction (i) the following relations hold for the *t*-channel helicity amplitudes:

$$f_{0,0;\frac{1}{2}} = f_{0,0;\frac{3}{2},-\frac{1}{2}} = 0, \qquad (2a)$$

$$f_{0,0;\frac{1}{2},-\frac{1}{2}} = (1/\sqrt{3}) f_{0,0;\frac{3}{2},\frac{1}{2}}.$$
 (2b)

These relations imply definite values for the Jacksonframe density-matrix elements of the  $\Delta$ , namely,

$$\rho_{33} = 3/8,$$
  
 $\operatorname{Re}\rho_{31} = 0,$ 
  
 $\operatorname{Re}\rho_{3,-1} = \sqrt{3}/8.$ 
  
(3)

Equation 2(a) shows that the transition (i) is purely  $|\Delta h| = 1$  and this will exhibit a dip in a model using the strong absorption. The absence of dips in reactions (ii) and (iii) would be explained by the possibility of large  $|\Delta h| = 1$  and/or 2 amplitude due to the exchange of the  $A_2$ . However, we wish to present arguments that the spin structure of the  $A_2$  exchange is the same as that of the  $\rho$  exchange. The density matrices for reaction (ii) have been studied<sup>6,9,10</sup> and found to satisfy the relations of Eq. (3), leading to the suggestion that the  $p\Delta A_2$ vertex is likewise an M1 transition. The same chain of arguments as above implies that reactions (ii) and (iii) are  $|\Delta h| = 1$  and thus should exhibit dips.

Why this destructive cut-pole interference should only be present in reaction (i) and be negligible (weak cut) in reactions (ii) and (iii) is hard to understand, especially in the light of the good agreement with experimental data that Mathews<sup>9</sup> has obtained, using

 $\mathbf{2}$ 

<sup>\*</sup> Partially supported by the National Science Foundation.

 <sup>†</sup> On leave from Tel Aviv University, Tel Aviv, Israel.
 <sup>1</sup> R. C. Arnold and M. L. Blackmon, Phys. Rev. 176, 2082

<sup>(1968).</sup> <sup>2</sup> F. Henyey, G. L. Kane, J. Pumplin, and M. Ross, Phys. Rev. 182, 1579 (1969).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> A. Dar, T. L. Watts, and V. F. Weisskopf, Nucl. Phys. B13, 477 (1969).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> H. Harari in, Proceedings of the 1969 International Conference <sup>a</sup> H. Harari in, Proceedings of the 1909 International Conference on Electron and Proton Interactions (Daresbury Nuclear Physics Laboratory, Daresbury, England, 1969).
<sup>b</sup> M. Bander and E. Gotsman, Phys. Rev. 2, 224 (1970);
<sup>c</sup> C. Chiu and S. Matsuda, Phys. Letters **31B**, 455 (1970); C. Carlitz and M. Kislinger, Phys. Rev. D 2, 336 (1970).
<sup>e</sup> M. Krammer and U. Maor, Nucl. Phys. **B13**, 651 (1969).

<sup>7</sup> The essence of the spin dependence of the strong-cut models may be observed in the prescriptions of Refs. 3 and 4. The amplitudes are essentially proportional to the Bessel function  $J_{\lfloor \Delta h \rfloor}(R\sqrt{(-t)})$ , with  $R\sim 0.9$  F.  $J_1$  has a zero for  $t\sim -0.6$  GeV<sup>2</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> LA (UV) (-1), with R -0.5 1 and a 2017 for the first of t

the simple-SU(3) relations between the vertices (in a pure pole model) to predict the  $\rho_{ij}$  and  $d\sigma/dt$  for the reaction  $K^+ \rho \rightarrow K^0 \Delta^{++}$  from those of reactions (i) and (ii).

We believe that the experimental evidence favors the

"Argonne"<sup>8</sup> recipe for generating cuts, in which the absorptive correction only causes moderate changes from the pure pole term and where the dips are a result of the ghost-eliminating factors present in the pole amplitude.