

# Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory

LBL Publications

## Title

Controlled Redox Chemistry at Cerium within a Tripodal Nitroxide Ligand Framework

## Permalink

<https://escholarship.org/uc/item/48r9069d>

## Journal

Chemistry - A European Journal, 21(49)

## ISSN

0947-6539

## Authors

Bogart, Justin A  
Lippincott, Connor A  
Carroll, Patrick J  
et al.

## Publication Date

2015-12-01

## DOI

10.1002/chem.201502952

## Copyright Information

This work is made available under the terms of a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives License, available at  
<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>

Peer reviewed

# Controlled Redox Chemistry at Cerium Within a Tripodal Nitroxide Ligand Framework

Justin A. Bogart,<sup>[a]</sup> Connor A. Lippincott,<sup>[a]</sup> Patrick J. Carroll,<sup>[a]</sup> Corwin H. Booth,<sup>[b]</sup> and Eric J. Schelter\*<sup>[a]</sup>

Dedication ((optional))

**Abstract:** Ligand reorganization has been shown to have a profound effect on the outcome of cerium redox chemistry. Through the use of a tethered, tripodal, trianionic nitroxide ligand,  $(((2\text{-BuNOH})\text{C}_6\text{H}_4\text{CH}_2)_3\text{N})^{3-}$  ( $\text{TriNOx}^{3-}$ ), controlled redox chemistry at cerium was accomplished and typically reactive complexes of tetravalent cerium were isolated. These included rare cationic complexes  $[\text{Ce}(\text{TriNOx})\text{thf}][\text{BAr}^{\text{F}}_4]$ , where  $\text{Ar}^{\text{F}} = 3,5\text{-(CF}_3)_2\text{-C}_6\text{H}_3$ , and  $[\text{Ce}(\text{TriNOx})\text{py}][\text{OTf}]$ . A rare complete Ce–halide series,  $\text{Ce}(\text{TriNOx})\text{X}$  where  $\text{X} = \text{F}^-, \text{Cl}^-, \text{Br}^-, \text{I}^-$ , was also synthesized. The solution chemistries of these complexes were explored through detailed solution phase electrochemistry and  $^1\text{H}$  NMR experiments and showed a unique shift in the ratio of species with inner and outer sphere anions with size of the anionic  $\text{X}^-$  group. DFT calculations on the series of calculations corroborated the experimental findings.

trianionic ligands to various transition metals as well as uranium in the f-block.<sup>[7]</sup>




Figure 1. Published *tris* silylamide complexes of cerium.

## Introduction

A recent survey of the literature by our group showed that the redox properties of cerium(III) are highly sensitive to ligand field.<sup>[1]</sup> For example, exchanging the weakly coordinating nitrate ligands in ceric ammonium nitrate ( $E_{1/2} = 1.21$  V vs  $\text{Fc}/\text{Fc}^+$ ) with catecholate ligands in  $[\text{Ce}(\text{O}_2\text{C}_6\text{H}_4)_4]^{4-}$  ( $E_{1/2} = -0.85$  V vs  $\text{Fc}/\text{Fc}^+$ ) changed the cerium cation from a potent oxidant to a potent reductant.<sup>[2]</sup> Understanding of the ability to tune the redox potential of cerium has broad applications in energy science,<sup>[3]</sup> separations chemistry,<sup>[4]</sup> and organic synthesis.<sup>[2b]</sup> This has motivated renewed interest by us and others in studying the coordination chemistry of cerium in the 4+ oxidation state.<sup>[5]</sup>

Few studies have explored the coordination chemistry of  $\text{Ce}^{\text{IV}}$  in tripodal, trianionic ligand environments.<sup>[6]</sup> In a seminal study by Scott and coworkers, use of the silyl substituted *tris*(2-aminoethyl)amine ligand,  $[\text{N}(\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{N}(\text{SiMe}_2\text{Bu}))_3]^{3-}$  ( $\text{NN}'_3$ ), afforded isolation of the  $\text{Ce}^{\text{IV}}$  oxidation state, in particular of the  $\text{Ce}(\text{NN}'_3)$  complex. In the cases of Cl and Br, however, the stability of the 4+ oxidation state was not sufficient to inhibit disproportionation to form mixed valent  $\text{Ce}^{\text{IV/III}}$  dimers,  $[\text{Ce}(\text{NN}'_3)_2(\mu\text{-X})]$ ,  $\text{X} = \text{Cl}^-, \text{Br}^-$ .<sup>[6a]</sup> In contrast, extensive studies have been performed on the coordination chemistry of tripodal

The coordination and redox chemistries of cerium within the related, untethered  $\text{Ce}[\text{N}(\text{SiMe}_3)_2]_3$  system have also been studied. Unlike the  $\text{Ce}(\text{NN}'_3)$  system, no reaction occurred upon addition of molecular halogens to  $\text{Ce}^{\text{III}}[\text{N}(\text{SiMe}_3)_2]_3$  ( $\text{Ce}^{\text{IV/III}} E_{1/2} = 0.35$  V vs.  $\text{Fc}/\text{Fc}^+$ ).<sup>[6a, 8]</sup> Therefore, while  $\text{Ce}(\text{NN}'_3)$  could be formed through oxidation with molecular  $\text{I}_2$ , an analogous reaction in the formation of  $\text{Ce}[\text{N}(\text{SiMe}_3)_2]_3$  did not occur. In fact,  $\text{Ce}[\text{N}(\text{SiMe}_3)_2]_3$  was formed through a halide transfer reaction from a cerium(IV) precursor,  $\text{CeF}[\text{N}(\text{SiMe}_3)_2]_3$ , using  $\text{Me}_3\text{Si-I}$  rather than through oxidation of  $\text{Ce}^{\text{III}}[\text{N}(\text{SiMe}_3)_2]_3$ .<sup>[6f]</sup> Scott and coworkers postulated that the increased stability of the  $\text{Ce}^{\text{IV}}$  state in their tethered  $\text{Ce}(\text{NN}'_3)$  systems compared to  $\text{Ce}[\text{N}(\text{SiMe}_3)_2]_3$  system was a result of reduced ligand reorganization involved in accommodating the  $\text{X}^-$  ligand in the former. Indeed, ligand reorganization has been shown to have profound effects on the redox chemistry at cerium.<sup>[5c]</sup> As shown by Anwender and coworkers, oxidation of  $\text{Ce}[\text{N}(\text{SiHMe}_2)_2]_3(\text{thf})_2$  with chlorinating agents in THF led to the clean formation of  $\text{Ce}[\text{N}(\text{SiHMe}_2)_2]_4$  through ligand redistribution pathways. Performing the same reaction in donor free solvents, however, led to the formation of the  $\text{Ce}_5[\text{N}(\text{SiHMe}_2)_2]_8\text{Cl}_7$  cluster and other intractable products.<sup>[5k]</sup> In this context, there is a clear need to expand the coordination chemistry of cerium using strongly donating, tethered tripodal systems where the reduced ligand reorganization effects would confer stability to the  $\text{Ce}^{\text{IV}}$  oxidation state.

Recently we communicated the synthesis of the tripodal trianionic nitroxide,  $(((2\text{-BuNOH})\text{C}_6\text{H}_4\text{CH}_2)_3\text{N})^{3-}$  ( $\text{TriNOx}^{3-}$ ), and its coordination chemistry with the rare earth elements La, Nd, Dy, and Y.<sup>[9]</sup> The  $\eta^2\text{-(N,O)}$  binding mode of the three ligand arms provided a single coordination site for substrate binding. Previous studies by our group showed that nitroxide ligand fields provided significant stabilization to the  $\text{Ce}^{\text{IV}}$  state through increased electron donation into the empty metal 4f orbitals from the well energy matched  $\text{N-O } \pi^*$  orbitals.<sup>[5b, 10]</sup> We hypothesized that the environment of  $\text{TriNOx}^{3-}$  would impart an improved

[a] J. A. Bogart, C. A. Lippincott, Dr. P. J. Carroll, Prof. E. J. Schelter  
Department of Chemistry  
University of Pennsylvania  
231 S. 34<sup>th</sup> St., Philadelphia, PA 19104 (USA)  
E-mail: schelter@sas.upenn.edu

[b] Dr. Corwin H. Booth  
Chemical Sciences Division  
Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory  
Berkeley, CA 94720 (USA)

Supporting information for this article is given via a link at the end of the document.


stabilization to the Ce<sup>IV</sup> state compared to the tren framework while providing a single coordination site to elucidate controlled redox chemistry.

Herein we report the synthesis of the monomeric Ce<sup>III</sup>(TriNOx)thf (**1**) and dimeric [Ce<sup>III</sup>(TriNOx)]<sub>2</sub> (**2**), analogues of the previously published M(TriNOx)thf, M = La, Nd, Dy, and Y, and [M(TriNOx)]<sub>2</sub>, M = La and Nd, complexes. Ce<sup>IV</sup> complexes, [Ce(TriNOx)thf][BAR<sup>F</sup><sub>4</sub>] (**3-BAR<sup>F</sup><sub>4</sub>**) and [Ce(TriNOx)pyr][OTf] (**3-OTf**), rare examples of crystallographically characterized cationic Ce<sup>IV</sup> complexes, were accessed from **1**. The complete halide series, Ce(TriNOx)X, where X = F (**3-F**), Cl (**3-Cl**), Br (**3-Br**), and I (**3-I**), are also reported.

Detailed characterization of the cerium complexes, to understand their coordination chemistries in solution, are disclosed. These include solution phase electrochemistry and <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectroscopy experiments. DFT calculations corroborated the experimental findings and provided evidence for 4f orbital mixing in the Ce<sup>IV</sup> complexes.

## Results and Discussion

### Synthesis and Characterization




**Scheme 1.** Schematic of the synthesis of Ce(TriNOx)thf (**1**), top, and the self-association equilibrium of **1** to form [Ce(TriNOx)]<sub>2</sub> (**2**), bottom.

The shortage of tridentate frameworks in the literature that stabilize the Ce<sup>IV</sup> oxidation state prompted us to study the redox chemistry of cerium using the TriNOx<sup>3-</sup> framework. The synthesis of the Ce<sup>III</sup>(TriNOx)thf complex (**1**) was achieved through an analogous route to the previously reported M<sup>III</sup>(TriNOx)thf complexes, M = La, Nd, Dy, and Y, where a hexanes solution of Ce[N(SiMe<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>]<sub>3</sub> was layered onto a THF solution of H<sub>3</sub>TriNOx (Scheme 1, top).<sup>[9]</sup>

X-ray crystallography confirmed **1** was isostructural with the M(TriNOx)thf complexes, with retention of the η<sup>2</sup>-(N,O)

bonding mode of the three nitroxide arms and the coordination of a THF molecule to the central cerium cation (Figure 1, top).



**Figure 2.** Thermal ellipsoid plot and spacefill diagram of **1** (top) and thermal ellipsoid plot of **2** (bottom). Hydrogen atoms omitted for clarity. Selected bond distances (Å): for **1**, Ce(1)–O(1): 2.2921(18), Ce(1)–N(1): 2.581(2), Ce(1)–O(2): 2.577(6); for **2**, Ce(1)–O(1): 2.297(3), Ce(1)–O(3): 2.273(3), Ce(1)–O(2): 2.364(3), Ce(1)–N(1): 2.639(3), Ce(1)–N(3): 2.606(3), Ce(1)–N(2): 2.689(3), Ce(1)–O(5): 2.523(3).

The coordination chemistry of **1** in solution was analogous to the early M cations, M = La and Nd, as indicated by <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectroscopy (See Supporting Information). The [Ce(TriNOx)]<sub>2</sub> complex (**2**) could be synthesized by dissolving **1** in toluene solution and removing the volatiles under reduced pressure (Scheme 1, bottom). X-ray quality crystals of **2** were formed by cooling a saturated Et<sub>2</sub>O solution of **1** to –25 °C, which confirmed its dimeric structure (Figure 1, bottom).

To gain insight into the chemically accessible redox states of **1**, electrochemistry experiments were performed in dichloromethane solution. As shown in Figure S18, the cyclic voltammogram of **1** showed only one wave centered at –0.96 V versus Fc/Fc<sup>+</sup> ( $E_{pa} = -0.88$  V,  $E_{pc} = -1.04$  V). Based on the open circuit potential of –1.14 V, this wave was assigned as the metal based Ce<sup>IV/III</sup> redox couple, which was later confirmed chemically through the isolation of a series of Ce<sup>IV</sup> complexes (*vide infra*). The measured potential was compared to that of the Ce<sup>IV/III</sup> redox couple for Ce[N(SiMe<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>]<sub>3</sub>, which showed a quasi-reversible Ce<sup>IV/III</sup> wave at  $E_{1/2} = 0.35$  V versus Fc/Fc<sup>+</sup>.<sup>[8]</sup> This 1.31 V shift in Ce<sup>IV/III</sup> redox potential between **1** and Ce[N(SiMe<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>]<sub>3</sub> indicated the stability to the 4+ oxidation state imposed by the TriNOx<sup>3-</sup> framework relative to the [N(SiMe<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>]<sup>-</sup> framework. Furthermore, the peak separation,  $\Delta E$ , of 0.16 V in **1** compared to ~0.5 V in Ce[N(SiMe<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>]<sub>3</sub> was suggestive of a relatively small


ligand reorganization involved in the oxidation of **1** compared to that in the oxidation of  $\text{Ce}[\text{N}(\text{SiMe}_3)_2]_3$ .

**Table 1.** Redox potentials of the  $\text{Ce}^{\text{IV/III}}$  couple (in V versus  $\text{Fc}/\text{Fc}^+$ ) for cerium in salient ligand frameworks.

	$E_{1/2} \text{Ce}^{\text{IV/III}}$	$\Delta E_{1/2} \text{Ce}^{\text{IV/III}}$	$I_{pa}/I_{pc}$	ref
$[\text{tBu}_4\text{N}]_2[\text{Ce}(\text{NO}_3)_6]$	0.62	0.075	–	[11]
$\text{Ce}[\text{N}(\text{SiMe}_3)_2]_3$	0.35	~0.5	~2	[12]
$\text{CeLiB}^{\text{[a]}}$	-0.76	0.64	1.94	[5c]
$\text{Ce}[2\text{-}(\text{tBuNO})\text{py}]_4$	-1.80	0.19	0.90	[10]
$\text{Ce}(\text{TriNOx})\text{THF}$	-0.96	0.16	1.08	this work

[a]  $\text{CeLiB} = [\text{M}_3(\text{THF})_4][(\text{binolate})_3\text{Ce}(\text{THF})]$

The absence of a second oxidation feature to 1.0 V suggested that the formation of a  $[\text{Ce}^{\text{IV}}(\text{TriNOx}^{2-})]^{2+}$  complex, where both the metal center and one of the nitroxide arms of the ligand were oxidized, was chemically unfeasible. This result was surprising given the ease at which free  $\text{H}_3\text{TriNOx}$  was oxidized ( $E_{1/2} = -0.55$  V versus  $\text{Fc}/\text{Fc}^+$ , Figure SX) and indicated that coordination to the highly Lewis acidic  $\text{Ce}^{\text{IV}}$  center stabilized the potential of the  $\text{TriNOx}^{3-}$  redox couple by over 1.0 V.



**Scheme 2.** Schematic of the redox chemistry performed on **1** to form the series of **3-X** complexes, where  $X = \text{BAr}^{\text{F}_4}$ , **OTf**, **F**, **Cl**, **Br**, and **I**.


Based on the measured redox potential of cerium within the  $\text{TriNOx}^{3-}$  ligand framework, ferrocenium salts were selected as oxidants for controlled oxidation chemistry at the cerium cation. The quasi-reversibility of the  $\text{Ce}^{\text{IV/III}}$  redox wave in the CV of **1** suggested that a cationic  $[\text{Ce}(\text{TriNOx})\text{thf}]^+$  complex could be isolated. In fact, reaction of **1** with  $\text{Fc}[\text{BAr}^{\text{F}_4}]$  in toluene led to the immediate formation of a sparingly soluble dark red-brown solid. Crystallization of this complex through vapor diffusion of pentane into a saturated THF solution induced formation of X-ray quality crystals of  $[\text{Ce}(\text{TriNOx})\text{thf}][\text{BAr}^{\text{F}_4}]$  (**3-BAr<sup>F</sup><sub>4</sub>**), where  $\text{Ar}^{\text{F}} = 3,5\text{-}(\text{CF}_3)_2\text{-C}_6\text{H}_3$ . Similarly, reaction of **1** with  $\text{Fc}[\text{OTf}]$  in toluene led to

the formation of an insoluble dark red-brown solid. Crystallization of this complex by vapor diffusion of  $\text{Et}_2\text{O}$  into a saturated pyridine solution afforded X-ray quality crystals of  $[\text{Ce}(\text{TriNOx})\text{pyr}][\text{OTf}]$  (**3-OTf**) (Scheme 2).

Complexes **3-BAr<sup>F</sup><sub>4</sub>** and **3-OTf** are rare examples of structurally characterized cationic cerium complexes. To date, only one other crystallographically characterized cationic  $\text{Ce}^{\text{IV}}$  complex,  $[(\text{TRENDSAL})\text{Ce}][\text{BPh}_4]$ , has been reported.<sup>[13]</sup> Like with  $\text{TriNOx}^{3-}$ , the Schiff base framework in  $[(\text{TRENDSAL})\text{Ce}][\text{BPh}_4]$  was sufficiently bulky and electron rich to mitigate the strong Lewis acidity of the  $\text{Ce}^{\text{IV}}$  cation and

prevent unwanted reactivity. The structural metrics of **3-BArF<sub>4</sub>** and **3-OTf** were consistent with the central cerium cation being in the 4+ oxidation state. The N–O bond lengths ranged from 1.418(8) Å to 1.436(7) Å in **3-BArF<sub>4</sub>** and 1.431(4) Å to 1.435(4) Å in **3-OTf**, which fell in the range of typical anionic nitroxide bond lengths and are similar to that of 1.433(2) Å for the N–O bonds found in **1**.<sup>[5b, 14]</sup> The average Ce–O<sub>nitroxide</sub> and Ce–N<sub>nitroxide</sub> bond lengths of 2.156(5) Å and 2.493(6) Å, respectively, in **3-BArF<sub>4</sub>** and 2.177(3) Å and 2.489(3) Å in **3-OTf** were ~0.10 – 0.14 Å shorter than those in **1** consistent with the smaller ionic radius of Ce<sup>IV</sup> compared to Ce<sup>III</sup>.<sup>[15]</sup> Lastly, the Ce–O<sub>thf</sub> bond distance of 2.507(4) Å in **3-BArF<sub>4</sub>** and the Ce–N<sub>pyr</sub> bond distance of 2.645(3) Å in **3-OTf** were typical of neutral oxygen and nitrogen donors bound to a Ce<sup>IV</sup> cation.<sup>[5a, 5g]</sup>

A spacefill diagram of **1** with the THF molecule removed indicated that the TriNOx<sup>3-</sup> framework limited the central cerium cation to one open coordination site that we postulated would allow for the formation of stable 1:1 adducts with anionic ligands upon oxidation. To test this hypothesis, we sought to synthesize the halide series, Ce(TriNOx)X, where X = F (**3-F**), Cl (**3-Cl**), Br (**3-Br**), and I (**3-I**), using AgF, Ph<sub>3</sub>CCl, Ph<sub>3</sub>CBr, and I<sub>2</sub> as oxidants, respectively. Indeed, these reactions proceeded cleanly and in good yields to dark red-brown products in pyridine solution for **3-F**, **3-Cl**, and **3-Br**, and toluene solution for **3-I** (Scheme 2). To the best of our knowledge, this is only the second complete halide series reported for Ce<sup>IV</sup> within a conserved ligand framework. The other reported halide series for Ce<sup>IV</sup> is the CeX[N(SiMe)<sub>3</sub>]<sub>3</sub> system completed by us with the isolation of CeF[N(SiMe)<sub>3</sub>]<sub>3</sub>.<sup>[12]</sup> The low solubility of these complexes in solution made growing diffraction quality crystals difficult.<sup>[16]</sup> X-ray quality crystals of **3-Cl** could be isolated, however, by layering Et<sub>2</sub>O onto a saturated pyridine solution of the complex.



**Figure 3.** Thermal ellipsoid plot of **3-Cl**. Hydrogen atoms omitted for clarity. Selected bond distances (Å): Ce(1)–O(1): 2.163(2), Ce(1)–O(2): 2.171(2), Ce(1)–O(3): 2.174(2), Ce(1)–N(1): 2.548(3), Ce(1)–N(2): 2.527(3), Ce(1)–N(3): 2.519(3), Ce(1)–Cl(1): 2.7436(8).

Figure 3 shows the thermal ellipsoid plot of **3-Cl**. Here, again, the structural metrics were consistent with a central Ce<sup>IV</sup> cation (Table 1). The Ce–Cl bond length of 2.7436(8) Å was significantly longer than that of 2.597(2) Å found in CeCl[N(SiMe)<sub>3</sub>]<sub>3</sub> indicative of the larger steric demand of the


TriNOx<sup>3-</sup> ligand.<sup>[17]</sup> However, the Ce–Cl bond length in **3-Cl** was significantly shorter than that of 3.0080(3) Å in the known [(Ce(NN')<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>(μ-Cl)], where NN' = [N(CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>N(SiMe<sub>2</sub>'Bu)<sup>3-</sup>, due to the bridging nature of the chloride moiety between the Ce<sup>IV/III</sup> sites in the latter.<sup>[6a]</sup>

**Table 1.** Bond metrics for **1**, **3-BArF<sub>4</sub>**, **3-OTf**, and **3-Cl**.

	<b>1</b>	<b>3-BArF<sub>4</sub></b>	<b>3-OTf</b>	<b>3-Cl</b>
<b>N–O</b>	1.433(2)	1.418(8)– 1.436(7)	1.431(4)– 1.435(4)	1.425(3)– 1.436(3)
<b>(Ce–O<sub>nitroxide</sub>)<sub>avg</sub></b>	2.2921(18)	2.156(5)	2.177(3)	2.169(2)
<b>(Ce–N<sub>nitroxide</sub>)<sub>avg</sub></b>	2.581(2)	2.493(6)	2.489(3)	2.531(3)
<b>Ce–X<sup>[a]</sup></b>	2.577(6)	2.507(4)	2.645(3)	2.7436(8)

[a] X = O<sub>thf</sub> for **1** and **3-BArF<sub>4</sub>**, N<sub>pyr</sub> for **3-OTf**, and Cl<sup>-</sup> for **3-Cl**.

The 4+ oxidation state of cerium in **3-Cl** was confirmed by Ce L<sub>III</sub>-edge X-ray absorption spectroscopy. Figure X shows the near edge regions of the XAS spectra of **1** and **3-Cl**. The spectrum of **3-Cl** showed the two features indicative of the core-hole excitation from a central Ce<sup>IV</sup> cation to final states 2p4f<sup>1</sup>L5d<sup>1</sup> and 2p4f<sup>0</sup>5d<sup>1</sup>, where L indicates a ligand hole. The data were compared to the spectrum of **1**, which showed only one feature indicative of the core-hole excitation from a central Ce<sup>III</sup> cation to final state 2p4f<sup>1</sup>5d<sup>1</sup>.<sup>[18]</sup>



**Figure 4.** Overlay of the Ce L<sub>III</sub>-edge XAS spectra of **1** and **3-Cl**.

Interestingly, the <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum of **3-Br** in d<sup>5</sup>-pyr showed two Ce<sup>IV</sup> species in solution in a 4:1 ratio by integration. To gain insight into the identities of these two species, **3-Br** was synthesized by an alternate route starting from the reported Ce<sup>IV</sup> complex, CeBr[N(SiMe<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>]<sub>3</sub>,<sup>[5f]</sup> and protonated H<sub>3</sub>TriNOx. The <sup>1</sup>H NMR of the isolated dark red-brown powder from this protonolysis reaction was identical to that of **3-Br** synthesized using Ph<sub>3</sub>CBr, with the same two Ce<sup>IV</sup> species in solution again in a 4:1 ratio by integration. These data indicated that the presence of two Ce<sup>IV</sup> species was characteristic of the solution

chemistry of the **3-Br** product and not due to different reaction pathways occurring during the oxidation process. Based on these results, the two Ce<sup>IV</sup> species were assigned as Ce(TriNOx)Br and [Ce(TriNOx)]Br, with inner and outer sphere bromide ions, respectively. This assignment was supported by electrochemistry experiments and corroborated by more detailed analysis of the <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectroscopic data (*vide infra*).

An analogous route to the formation of **3-F** from **1** was not readily available. However, a titanium fluoride complex supported by the TriNOx<sup>3-</sup> ligand framework was recently reported by us through reaction of [Ti(TriNOx)]Cl with AgF.<sup>[19]</sup> Reduction of Ag<sup>+</sup> by **1** to Ag<sup>0</sup> ( $E_{1/2} = 0.65$  V vs Fc/Fc<sup>+</sup> in DCM) would also be thermodynamically favorable.<sup>[20]</sup> Therefore, AgF was expected to act as both an oxidant and fluoride transfer reagent toward **1**. Indeed, reaction of **1** with AgF in pyridine led to the clean formation of Ag<sup>0</sup> and **3-F**.

The final complex of the series, **3-I**, was synthesized from **1** in an analogous manner as **3-F** using AgI as the oxidant. Our preferred method, however, was the synthesis of **3-I** in toluene using 0.5 equivalents of I<sub>2</sub> as the oxidant. This led to the precipitation of **3-I**, which was easily isolated as an analytically pure dark-brown powder.

## Solution Electrochemistry

Solution electrochemistry experiments were performed on the series of **3-X**, where **X** = **BAR**<sup>F</sup><sub>4</sub>, **OTf**, **F**, **Cl**, **Br**, and **I**, in 0.10 M [<sup>14</sup>Pr<sub>4</sub>N][BAR<sup>F</sup><sub>4</sub>] dichloromethane solutions. Figure 2 shows the metal-based Ce<sup>IV/III</sup> redox couples for the series of complexes.

The cyclic voltammograms of **3-BAR**<sup>F</sup><sub>4</sub> and **3-OTf** exhibited metal based features with  $E_{pa} = -0.86$  V and  $E_{pc} = -1.04$  V versus Fc/Fc<sup>+</sup>, which were at similar potentials to the Ce<sup>IV/III</sup> feature in **1**, consistent with their solid state structures of having non-coordinating outer sphere anions.

It was noteworthy that the cyclic voltammogram of **3-Br** exhibited two separate reduction features consistent with the <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum of the complex, which showed two Ce<sup>IV</sup> species in solution. The first reduction feature occurred with an  $E_{pc} \approx -1.04$  V, which was similar to those observed in **1**, **3-BAR**<sup>F</sup><sub>4</sub>, and **3-OTf**, supporting the assignment of this feature to the reduction of the [Ce(TriNOx)]Br species with an outer sphere bromide ion. The second reduction feature occurred with an  $E_{pc} = -1.16$  V, which was assigned as the reduction of the Ce(TriNOx)Br species, with an inner sphere bromide ion. Despite the presence of two species in solutions of **3-Br**, only one return metal-based oxidation feature with  $E_{pa} = -0.85$  V was observed in the cyclic voltammogram. Given the similarity of this feature to the return oxidation waves for **1**, **3-BAR**<sup>F</sup><sub>4</sub>, and **3-OTf**, this suggested that upon reduction to Ce<sup>III</sup>, the bromide ion dissociated from the [Ce<sup>III</sup>(TriNOx)Br]<sup>-</sup> species.


The cyclic voltammogram of **3-Cl** was similar to that of **3-Br** except that only one reduction feature with  $E_{pc} = -1.26$  V was observed. This observation was consistent with effectively all of the chloride ligand being bound to the cerium cation in solution. The shift of 100 mV towards more negative potentials between the  $E_{pc}$  of **3-Cl** and that of **3-Br** was a result of increased stabilization of the 4+ oxidation state of the central metal cation

by Cl<sup>-</sup> compared to Br<sup>-</sup> (Figure 2). The return oxidation feature, however, occurred with  $E_{pa} = -0.87$  V, which indicated that upon reduction of the metal center, the chloride ion dissociated from the central Ce<sup>III</sup> cation as in the case of the [Ce<sup>III</sup>(TriNOx)Br]<sup>-</sup> species in solutions of **3-Br**.

Unlike in the cyclic voltammograms of **3-Cl** and **3-Br**, the metal-based feature in the cyclic voltammogram of **3-F** was more reversible ( $E_{pa} = -1.31$  V,  $E_{pc} = -1.40$  V versus Fc/Fc<sup>+</sup>). The 140 mV shift towards more negative potentials between the  $E_{pc}$  of **3-F** and that of **3-Cl** indicated that F<sup>-</sup> more effectively stabilized the 4+ oxidation state of Ce than Cl<sup>-</sup>. The large shift in potential of 440 mV towards more negative potentials between the  $E_{pa}$  of **3-F** and that of **3-Cl**, however, indicated that the fluoride ion remained bound to the reduced [Ce<sup>III</sup>(TriNOx)F]<sup>-</sup> species.

Based on the similarity of the metal-based wave in the CV of **3-I** to that of **1**, **3-BAR**<sup>F</sup><sub>4</sub>, and **3-OTf**, the solution structure of **3-I** was assigned as [Ce(TriNOx)]I with completely outer sphere iodide. These results were corroborated through analysis of the <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectroscopic data for **3-F**, **3-Cl**, **3-Br**, and **3-I** (*vide infra*).


A similar trend in the potential of the Ce<sup>IV/III</sup> redox couple was observed in the electrochemistry of the related CeX[N(SiMe<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>]<sub>3</sub> halide series. There, the addition of a Br<sup>-</sup> ligand to the central cerium cation shifted the measured reduction potential by 0.66 V to more negative potentials; although replacing the Br<sup>-</sup> with a Cl<sup>-</sup> had no effect on the position of the measured redox potential. This potential was shifted by a further 0.25 V through the coordination of an F<sup>-</sup> ligand. The peak separations of the CeBr[N(SiMe<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>]<sub>3</sub> and CeCl[N(SiMe<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>]<sub>3</sub> complexes, however, were significantly smaller than the related **3-Br** and **3-Cl** complexes due to the dissociation of halide ligands upon reduction of the latter. The peak separation of the **3-F** complex where fluoride coordination was conserved during the redox cycling was significantly smaller than that of the CeF[N(SiMe<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>]<sub>3</sub> complex suggesting fast ET kinetics in the redox cycling of **3-F** due to the conformationally restricted TriNOx<sup>3-</sup> framework.



**Figure 5.** Metal-based Ce<sup>IV/III</sup> redox regions of the cyclic voltammograms for **1** and **3-X**.

### <sup>1</sup>H NMR Spectroscopy

The solution structures of **3-F**, **3-Cl**, **3-Br**, and **3-I** were studied in d<sup>5</sup>-pyr using <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectroscopy. As shown in Figure X, all the complexes displayed characteristic diamagnetic <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra with resonances appearing in the 0 – 10 ppm range. The presence of diastereotopic benzylic resonances between 2.5 – 5.0 ppm was indicative of coordination of TriNOx<sup>3-</sup> to the central Ce cation.



**Figure 6.** <sup>1</sup>H NMR (in d<sub>5</sub>-pyr) spectral overlay.

Subtle differences in the <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra were observed however. Both **3-F** and **3-Cl** have aromatic resonances between 7.5 and 7.3 ppm, diastereotopic benzylic proton resonances at ~4.5 ppm and 3 ppm for both species ( $\Delta\delta_{\text{benzylic-H}} > 1$  ppm), and *tert*-butyl resonances at around 0.90 ppm. Based on solid state structural determination of **3-Cl** and the solution electrochemical data across the series, these spectral signatures were attributed to species with coordinated halides.

In contrast, the aromatic resonances of **3-I** were more diffuse and appeared as far up field as 7.9 ppm. Furthermore, the diastereotopic benzylic proton resonances were closer together at 4.7 ppm and 4.0 ppm ( $\Delta\delta_{\text{benzylic-H}} = 0.7$  ppm), and the *tert*-butyl resonance was shifted upfield by 0.2 ppm to 0.7 ppm. These spectral signatures were assigned to the species with outer sphere halides.


These NMR characteristics are similar to what was observed in the case of Ti(TriNOx)F where coordinated fluoride compared to outer sphere chloride resulted in the appearance of an aromatic resonance downfield at 7.89 ppm as well as diastereotopic benzylic resonances with a smaller shift:  $\Delta\delta_{\text{benzylic-H}} (\Delta\delta_{\text{benzylic-H}} = 1.56$  for Ti(TriNOx)F;  $\Delta\delta_{\text{benzylic-H}} = 0.48$  for [Ti(TriNOx)Cl]).<sup>[19]</sup>

As mentioned above, **3-Br** was unique in that both species were present in solution as indicated by the presence of two sets of diastereotopic benzylic proton resonances in a 4:1 ratio by integration. The major species had an aromatic resonance at 7.95 ppm, diastereotopic benzylic proton resonances with a  $\Delta\delta_{\text{benzylic-H}}$  of 0.4 ppm and a *tert*-butyl resonance at 0.72 ppm. The minor species exhibited aromatic resonances between 7 – 7.5 ppm, diastereotopic benzylic proton resonances with a  $\Delta\delta_{\text{benzylic-H}}$  of 1.3 ppm, and a *tert*-butyl resonance at 0.94 ppm. These spectral characteristics supported the assignment of the major species as [Ce(TriNOx)]Br with an outer sphere bromide and the minor species as Ce(TriNOx)Br with an inner sphere bromide.

### DFT Calculations

Cerium pyridyl nitroxide systems reported recently by us showed strong stability of the 4+ oxidation state of Ce due to symmetry allowed donation from the N–O  $\pi^*$  orbitals into the Ce  $4f_{z(x^2-y^2)}$  as a result of the  $D_{2d}$  complex symmetry,<sup>[10]</sup> and Kozimor and coworkers recently describe metal-ligand covalency for a Ce<sup>IV</sup> chloride complex using chlorine K-edge XAS spectroscopy.<sup>[21]</sup> We hypothesized that symmetry allowed donation from TriNOx<sup>3-</sup> into the  $4f_{y(3x^2-y^2)}$  orbital of Ce as a result of the  $C_3$  complex symmetry was similarly lending structural stability to these complexes.

The frontier molecular orbitals of [Ce(TriNOx)thf]<sup>+</sup> (**[1]**<sup>+</sup>), the cationic portion of **3-BAr**F<sub>4</sub>, were compared with those of **1**. Indeed, the in-phase interaction between the oxygen 2p orbitals of TriNOx<sup>3-</sup> and the Ce  $4f_{y(3x^2-y^2)}$  orbital was observed in the HOMO–12 of **[1]**<sup>+</sup>. The corresponding out-of-phase interaction was observed in the LUMO+6. The main interaction between the orbitals of TriNOx<sup>3-</sup> and those of the central cerium cation in **[1]**<sup>+</sup>, however, was observed in the HOMO with head-on overlap of the N–O  $\pi^*$  orbitals with a linear combination of the Ce  $4f_{z^3}$  and Ce  $4f_{x(x^2-3y^2)}$  orbitals. The corresponding antibonding interaction of these orbitals was observed in the LUMO+3 (Figure 2). In contrast, the occupied frontier MOs of **1** contained significantly less Ce 4f character while the frontier unoccupied MOs of **1** contained significantly less ligand character.



**Figure 7.** HOMO–12 (top left), LUMO+6 (top right), HOMO (bottom left), and LUMO+3 (bottom right) of [Ce(TriNOx)thf]<sup>+</sup> (**[1]**<sup>+</sup>), the cationic portion of **3-BAr**F<sub>4</sub>.

To corroborate these findings, population analyses on **1** and **[1]**<sup>+</sup> were performed. Upon oxidation of the central metal cation from Ce<sup>III</sup> to Ce<sup>IV</sup>, there was a marginal increase in the natural charge on cerium,  $q_{\text{Ce}}$ , from 1.78 to 1.90 as expected for an increase in formal oxidation state. The natural charge to formal charge ratio, however, decreased significantly from 0.593

in **1** to 0.475 in **[1]**<sup>+</sup>. As shown in Table 2, this decrease was a result of increased donation of the ligand electron density into the unfilled 4f, 5d, and 6s orbitals on cerium.

Population and Mayer Bond Order (MBO) analyses were also performed on geometry-optimized structures of **3-F**, **3-Cl**, **3-Br**, and **3-I** in order to probe the ionicity and strength of the metal-halide bond.

**Table 2.** Natural Charges ( $q_{\text{Ce}}$  and  $q_{\text{X}}$ ), natural populations (6s, 5d, and 4f), Mayer Bond Orders (MBOs) and theoretical formal shortness ratios (FSR) for **1**, **[1]**<sup>+</sup>, **3-F**, **3-Cl**, **3-Br**, and **3-I**.

	Ce					MBO Ce–X	FSR <sup>[a]</sup>
	$q_{\text{Ce}}$	$q_{\text{X}}$	6s	5d	4f		
<b>1</b>	1.78	–0.60	0.11	0.83	0.17	0.202	
<b>[1]</b> <sup>+</sup>	1.90	–0.61	0.13	1.01	0.87	0.255	
<b>3-F</b>	1.89	–0.53	0.12	1.04	0.85	1.044	0.892
<b>3-Cl</b>	1.71	–0.48	0.15	1.17	0.88	0.973	0.946
<b>3-Br</b>	1.66	–0.44	0.17	1.20	0.88	0.940	0.948
<b>3-I</b>	1.68	–0.49	0.18	1.18	0.88	0.870	0.988

[a] FSR = (calculated bond distance<sub>Ce–X</sub>)/(ionic radius<sub>Ce<sup>IV</sup></sub> + ionic radius<sub>X<sup>-</sup></sub>)

The metrics suggested that the ionicity of the Ce–halide bond generally decreased upon traversing the series towards the heavier halides as indicated by the smaller positive natural charge on cerium and the smaller negative natural charge on the X ligand. The metal-iodide bond, however, was calculated to be more ionic than the metal-bromide bond and comparable to that of the metal-chloride bond.

Similarly, there was a general decrease in the strength of the Ce–X bond across the series as indicated by the decrease in MBO from 1.044 for **3-F** to 0.870 for **3-I**. This is consistent with the solution chemistry of these species in coordinating solvents such as pyridine where solvent molecules competed with the heavier halides for metal ligation.

Another useful metric for determining the strength of the metal-halide interaction is the theoretical formal shortness ratio (FSR) of the metal-halide bond. These were calculated for the series of Ce-halide complexes using the determined Ce–X bond length from the gas-phase optimized structures and the tabulated Shannon radii for Ce<sup>4+</sup> and X<sup>-</sup> ions. Values significantly less than 1 indicate stronger interactions between metal and halide ligand. As shown in Table 2, the theoretical FSR of the Ce–X bond increased significantly from 0.892 in **3-F** to 0.988 in **3-I**. This trend is consistent with experiment as well as the findings from the MBO analysis.

These results were contrasted with those obtained from calculations on the CeX[N(SiMe<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>]<sub>3</sub> system. Like in the **3-X** series, the ionicity of the metal halide bonds in the CeX[N(SiMe<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>]<sub>3</sub> series generally decreased with coordination of the heavier halides. Unlike in the **3-X** series, however, the calculated MBO of the Ce–X bond in the CeX[N(SiMe<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>]<sub>3</sub> system trended upward with coordination of the heavier halides.



## Conclusions

The Ce<sup>III</sup> precursor **1** was successfully synthesized and used as a starting material for controlled redox chemistry at the central cerium cation. Detailed solution electrochemistry experiments showed that the tripodal nitroxide environment of TriNOx<sup>3-</sup> provided significant stabilization of the Ce<sup>IV</sup> oxidation state as indicated by the measured Ce<sup>IV/III</sup> redox potential of –0.96 V versus Fc/Fc<sup>+</sup>.

The electron rich and sterically protected environment imposed by the TriNOx<sup>3-</sup> framework allowed for the isolation and characterization of the stable cationic Ce<sup>IV</sup> complexes, **3-BAr<sup>F</sup><sub>4</sub>** and **3-OTf**. Due to the high Lewis acidity of the Ce<sup>IV</sup> cation, these types of complexes are quite rare. The TriNOx<sup>3-</sup> framework, however, was sufficiently bulky and electron rich to mitigate the high Lewis acidity of Ce<sup>IV</sup>.

The complete halide series, **3-X**, where **X = F, Cl, Br, and I**, was also synthesized. Here, the bulky TriNOx<sup>3-</sup> framework caused diverse solution behaviors within the series. As the size of the X<sup>-</sup> ligand increased, we observed increased concentrations of [Ce(TriNOx)]X species, with outer sphere halides in solution. We are interested in exploring the use of Ce<sup>IV</sup> supported by TriNOx<sup>3-</sup>-type frameworks in Lewis acid catalysis, which would require binding and activation of substrate through polarization of the Ce–substrate bond. Further modification of the nitrogen R-groups is, therefore, warranted to accommodate larger anionic ligands in the cleft and to prevent the formation of outer sphere anions.

## Experimental Section

**General Methods.** Unless otherwise noted, all reactions and manipulations were performed under an inert atmosphere (N<sub>2</sub>) using standard Schlenk techniques or in a drybox equipped with a molecular sieves 13X / Q5 Cu–0226S catalyst purifier system. Glassware was oven-dried for at least 3 hrs at 150 °C prior to use. <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>19</sup>F{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR spectra were obtained on a Bruker DMX-300 Fourier transform NMR spectrometer at 300 MHz and 282.2 MHz, respectively. <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR spectra were obtained on a Bruker DRX-500 Fourier transform NMR spectrometer or a Bruker AVIII 500 Fourier transform NMR spectrometer equipped with a cryogenic probe at 125.7 MHz. Chemical shifts were recorded in units of parts per million downfield from residual proteo solvent for <sup>1</sup>H–NMR, characteristic solvent peaks for <sup>13</sup>C–NMR, or relative to an external CFCl<sub>3</sub> reference (0 ppm). Elemental analyses were performed either at the University of California, Berkeley, Microanalytical Facility using a Perkin-Elmer Series II 2400 CHNS analyzer or at Complete Analysis Laboratories, Inc. using a Carlo Erba EA 1108 analyzer.

**Materials.** Tetrahydrofuran, dimethoxyethane, diethyl ether, dichloromethane, toluene, hexanes, and pentane were purchased from Fisher Scientific. All solvents were sparged for 20 min with dry N<sub>2</sub> and dried using a commercial two-column solvent purification system comprising columns packed with Q5 reactant and neutral alumina respectively (for hexanes and pentane), or two columns of neutral alumina (for THF, Et<sub>2</sub>O, and CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>). Deuterated solvents were purchased from Cambridge Isotope Laboratories, Inc. and stored over 4A molecular sieves prior to use. Cerium chloride (Strem), potassium bis(trimethylsilyl)amide (Sigma), silver triflate, trityl chloride, and trityl

bromide were used as received. Iodine was sublimed prior to use. Fc[BAr<sup>F</sup><sub>4</sub>],<sup>[22]</sup> Fc[OTf],<sup>[23]</sup> Ce[N(SiMe<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>]<sub>3</sub>,<sup>[24]</sup> and [Pr<sub>4</sub>N][B(3,5-(CF<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>-C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>3</sub>)<sub>4</sub>]<sup>[25]</sup> were synthesized according to literature procedures.

**Electrochemistry.** All experiments were performed under an inert atmosphere (N<sub>2</sub>) in a drybox with electrochemical cells that consisted of a 4 mL vial, glassy carbon disk (3 mm diameter) working electrode, a platinum wire counter electrode, and a silver wire plated with AgCl as a quasi-reference electrode. The working electrode surfaces were polished prior to each set of experiments. Potentials recorded in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> were referenced versus ferrocene, which was added as an internal standard for calibration at the end of each run. Solutions employed during CV studies were ~3 mM in analyte and 100 mM in [Pr<sub>4</sub>N][BAr<sup>F</sup><sub>4</sub>]. All data were collected in a positive-feedback IR compensation mode. The CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> solution cell resistances were measured prior to each run to insure resistances ≤ ~500 Ω.<sup>[25]</sup> Scan rate dependences of 50–1000 mV/s were performed to determine electrochemical reversibility.

**X-Ray Crystallography.** X-ray intensity data were collected on a Bruker APEXII CCD area detector employing graphite-monochromated Mo-Kα radiation (λ = 0.71073 Å) at a temperature of 143(1) K. In all cases, rotation frames were integrated using SAINT,<sup>[26]</sup> producing a listing of unaveraged F<sup>2</sup> and σ(F<sup>2</sup>) values which were then passed to the SHELXTL<sup>[27]</sup> program package for further processing and structure solution. The intensity data were corrected for Lorentz and polarization effects and for absorption using TWINABS<sup>[28]</sup> or SADABS.<sup>[29]</sup> The structures were solved by direct methods (SHELXS-97).<sup>[30]</sup> Refinement was by full-matrix least squares based on F<sup>2</sup> using SHELXL-97.<sup>[30]</sup> All reflections were used during refinements. Non-hydrogen atoms were refined anisotropically and hydrogen atoms were refined using a riding model.

**X-Ray Absorption Spectroscopy.** Ce L<sub>III</sub>-edge XANES data were collected at the Stanford Synchrotron Radiation Lightsource, beamline 11-2, using a Si 220 (phi=0) double monochromator that was detuned to 20% in order to reduce harmonic contamination. The resulting data have an energy resolution limited by the broadening due to the 2p<sub>3/2</sub> corehole lifetime of 3.2 eV. Data were collected in transmission, using a CeO<sub>2</sub> reference to calibrate the energy scale, setting the first inflection point of the CeO<sub>2</sub> absorption to 5723 eV. A linear pre-edge background was subtracted and the data were subsequently normalized at 5800 eV.

The samples were prepared for these experiments using procedures outlined previously.<sup>[31]</sup> In particular, each sample was ground into a powder, mixed with dry boron nitride as a diluent, and then packed into the slots of a machined aluminum sample holder in an N<sub>2</sub> atmosphere drybox. Aluminized mylar was affixed to the holder with an indium-wire seal. After packaging, the samples were transported in dry, nitrogen-filled containers to the beamline. Sample holders were quickly transferred to the vacuum chamber, exposing the sealed holders to air for less than thirty seconds before pumping out the chamber and collecting the data under vacuum. Compound **1** shows extreme air sensitivity and has easily identifiable spectral changes upon exposure. This sample served as a “canary” sample and was monitored to check for sample holder integrity. Following measurement, no significant changes in the sample was observed.

**Computational Details.** Gaussian 09 Rev. D.01 was used in electronic structure calculations.<sup>[32]</sup> The B3LYP hybrid DFT method was employed, with a 28-electron small core pseudopotential on cerium with published segmented natural orbital basis set incorporating quasi-relativistic effects,<sup>[33]</sup> and the 6-31G\* basis set on all other atoms.<sup>[34]</sup> No restraints were imposed other than spin. Frequency calculations were performed to confirm the geometry was a minimum (no negative frequencies). NBO

calculations were run using the NBO6 package.<sup>[35]</sup> Fragment orbital analysis was performed using the AOMix software.<sup>[36]</sup> Molecular orbitals were rendered with the Chemcraft v1.6 program.<sup>[37]</sup>

## Synthetic Details and Characterization

**Ce(TriNOx)THF (1).** Ce(TriNOx)THF was synthesized by modifying a previously published procedure.<sup>[9]</sup> To a THF solution of H<sub>3</sub>TriNOx (0.26 g, 0.47 mmol, 1 equiv) was layered a hexanes solution of Ce[N(SiMe<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>]<sub>3</sub> (0.30 g, 0.47 mmol, 1 equiv). The reaction was allowed to sit, unperturbed, at room temperature for 48 h. The resulting red-orange crystals of **1** were collected, washed with THF, and dried under reduced pressure. Yield: 0.30 g (84%). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, *d*<sub>5</sub>-pyr): δ 13.04 (d, J = 6.5 Hz, 3H) 8.39 (dd, J = 6.7, 6.5 Hz, 3H), 7.15 (dd, J = 7.2, 6.7 Hz, 3H), 4.58 (d, J = 7.2 Hz, 3H), 3.66 (m, 4H), 2.95 (s, 27H), -3.28 (s, 3H), -3.58 (s, 3H). Anal. Calcd. for C<sub>37</sub>H<sub>53</sub>N<sub>4</sub>O<sub>4</sub>Ce: C, 58.63; H, 7.05; N, 7.39. Found: C, 58.88; H, 7.05; N, 7.56.

**[Ce(TriNOx)]<sub>2</sub> (2).** [Ce(TriNOx)]<sub>2</sub> was synthesized by modifying a previously published procedure.<sup>[9]</sup> Isolated **1** (0.088 g, 0.12 mmol, 1 equiv) was dissolved in toluene and solvent was removed under reduced pressure. Yield: 0.083 g (92%). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>): δ 30.31 (a.s., 2H), 16.76 (a.s., 2H), 13.87 (a.s., 2H), 10.65 (a.t., J = 7.2 Hz, 2H), 10.29 (a.s., 2H), 9.22 (d, J = 7.2 Hz, 2H), 6.76 (a.t., J = 7.2 Hz, 2H), 4.71 (br s, 18H), 2.06 (s, 2H), 1.38 (d, J = 5.5 Hz, 2H), 1.18 (s, 2H), 1.03 (s, 2H), 0.94 (a.t., J = 6.8 Hz, 2H), -0.08 (s, 18H), -0.79 (s, 2H), -2.46 (d, J = 7.2 Hz, 2H), -3.21 (a.s., 2H), -4.79 (s, 18H), -5.18 (s, 2H), -10.15 (a.s., 2H), -13.56 (a.s., 2H). Anal. Calcd. for C<sub>66</sub>H<sub>90</sub>N<sub>8</sub>O<sub>8</sub>Ce<sub>2</sub>·Et<sub>2</sub>O: C, 58.15; H, 6.97; N, 7.75. Found: C, 58.13; H, 6.89; N, 7.77.

**Ce(TriNOx)F (3-F).** To a pyridine solution of **1** (0.10 g, 0.13 mmol, 1 equiv) was added solid AgF (0.018 g, 0.15 mmol, 1.1 equiv) and the reaction was stirred in the dark for 6 h. The Ag<sup>0</sup> byproduct was removed by filtration through a coarse porosity fritted filter and the pyridine filtrate was collected. Volatiles were removed under reduced pressure and the resulting dark red-brown powder was washed with minimal diethyl ether and dried. Isolated Yield: 0.026 g (28%). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, *d*<sub>5</sub>-pyr): δ 7.56–7.47 (overlap 6H), 7.43 (ddd, J = 7.7, 7.5, 1.8 Hz, 3H), 7.34 (ddd, J = 7.6, 7.5, 1.5 Hz, 3H), 4.62 (d, J = 11.9 Hz, 3H), 3.06 (d, J = 11.9 Hz, 3H), 0.091 (s, 27H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (125.7 MHz, *d*<sub>5</sub>-pyr): δ 149.6, 133.3, 133.0, 130.1, 129.6, 127.9, 65.2, 62.1, 26.5. <sup>19</sup>F NMR (282.2 MHz, *d*<sub>5</sub>-pyr): δ 219.1. Anal. Calcd. for C<sub>33</sub>H<sub>45</sub>N<sub>4</sub>O<sub>3</sub>CeF: C, 56.23; H, 6.44; N, 7.95. Found: C, 55.99; H, 6.54; N, 8.09.

**Ce(TriNOx)Cl (3-Cl).** To a pyridine solution of **1** (0.10 g, 0.13 mmol, 1 equiv) was added solid Ph<sub>3</sub>CCl (0.056 g, 0.20 mmol, 1.5 equiv) and the reaction was stirred for 3 h. Volatiles were removed under reduced pressure and the resulting dark red-brown solid was washed with Et<sub>2</sub>O and dried. X-ray quality crystals were obtained by vapour diffusion of diethyl ether into a saturated pyridine solution of **3-Cl**. Yield: 0.079 g (84%). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, *d*<sub>5</sub>-pyr): δ 7.56–7.42 (overlap, 9H), 7.36 (a.t.d., J = 7.1, 2.1 Hz, 3H), 4.64 (d, J = 12.0 Hz, 3H), 3.14 (d, J = 12.0 Hz, 3H), 0.94 (s, 27H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (125.7 MHz, *d*<sub>5</sub>-pyr): δ 133.1, 133.0, 130.3, 129.9, 128.4, 66.3, 62.0, 26.8. Anal. Calcd. for C<sub>33</sub>H<sub>45</sub>N<sub>4</sub>O<sub>3</sub>CeCl: C, 54.95; H, 6.29; N, 7.77. Found: C, 54.57; H, 6.57; N, 7.76.

**Ce(TriNOx)Br (3-Br).** *Method A:* Ce(TriNOx)Br was synthesized in a similar manner to Ce(TriNOx)Cl except Ph<sub>3</sub>CBr was used as the oxidant. To a pyridine solution of **1** (0.074 g, 0.098 mmol, 1 equiv) was added solid Ph<sub>3</sub>CBr (0.047 g, 0.15 mmol, 1.5 equiv) and the reaction was stirred for 3 h. The reaction mixture was filtered and volatiles were removed under reduced pressure. The resulting dark red-brown solid was washed with Et<sub>2</sub>O and dried. Yield: 0.059 g (79%). *Method B:* To a THF solution

of H<sub>3</sub>TriNOx (0.060 g, 0.11 mmol, 1 equiv) was then added a THF solution of freshly prepared CeBr[N(SiMe<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>]<sub>3</sub> (0.077 g, 0.11 mmol, 1 equiv) and the reaction was allowed to react for 3h, after which a dark red-brown solid crashed out. This solid was isolated on a medium porosity fritted filter, washed with Et<sub>2</sub>O, and dried under reduced pressure. Yield: 0.030 g (36%) <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, *d*<sub>5</sub>-pyr): δ (major species, 80%) 7.95 (d, J = 7.6 Hz, 3H), 7.59–7.27 (overlap, 9H), 4.69 (d, J = 12.4 Hz, 3H), 4.29 (d, J = 12.4 Hz, 3H), 0.72 (s, 27H); (minor species, 20%) 7.59–7.27 (overlap, 12H), 4.64 (d, J = 12.1 Hz, 3H), 3.32 (d, J = 12.1 Hz, 3H), 0.94 (s, 27H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (125.7 MHz, *d*<sub>5</sub>-pyr): δ (major species) 146.9, 134.4, 132.9, 130.7, 130.5, 129.6, 66.9, 59.7, 26.9; (minor species) 148.7, 133.3, 133.1, 130.3, 130.0, 128.5, 66.6, 61.6, 26.9 (overlap). Anal. Calcd. for C<sub>33</sub>H<sub>45</sub>N<sub>4</sub>O<sub>3</sub>CeBr: C, 51.76; H, 5.92; N, 7.32. Found: C, 51.55; H, 5.82; N, 7.15.

**Ce(TriNOx)I (3-I).** To a toluene solution of **1** (0.20 g, 0.26 mmol, 1 equiv.) was added solid I<sub>2</sub> (0.040 g, 0.13 mmol, 0.6 equiv.) causing the immediate precipitation of a dark red-brown powder. The reaction was stirred for 6 h. The dark red-brown powder was isolated on a medium porosity fritted filter, washed with Et<sub>2</sub>O, and dried under reduced pressure. Yield: 0.17 g (79%). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, *d*<sub>5</sub>-pyr): δ 7.88 (dd, J = 7.5, 1.7 Hz, 3H), 7.56 (ddd, J = 8.0, 7.7, 1.7 Hz, 3H), 7.46 (ddd, J = 7.7, 7.5, 1.4 Hz, 3H), 7.32 (dd, J = 8.0, 1.4 Hz), 4.71 (d, J = 12.5 Hz, 3H), 4.05 (d, J = 12.5 Hz), 0.72 (s, 27H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (125.7 MHz, *d*<sub>5</sub>-pyr): δ 146.9, 134.2, 132.6, 130.9, 130.6, 129.7, 67.0, 60.0, 26.9. Anal. Calcd. for C<sub>33</sub>H<sub>45</sub>N<sub>4</sub>O<sub>3</sub>CeI: C, 48.77; H, 5.58; N, 6.89. Found: C, 48.63; H, 5.37; N, 6.79.

**[Ce(TriNOx)THF][BAR<sup>F</sup><sub>4</sub>] (3-BAR<sup>F</sup><sub>4</sub>).** To a toluene solution of **1** (0.30 g, 0.39 mmol, 1 equiv.) was added Fc[BAR<sup>F</sup><sub>4</sub>] (0.41 g, 0.39 mmol, 1 equiv) and the reaction was stirred for 14 h. Volatiles were removed under reduced pressure and the resulting dark red-brown powder was rinsed with hexanes and recrystallized from vapour diffusion of pentane into a saturated THF solution. Yield: 0.39 g (61%). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, *d*<sub>5</sub>-pyr): δ 8.43 (m, 8H), 7.84 (br s, 4H), 7.69–7.57 (overlap, 6H), 7.49 (a.t.d., J = 7.4, 1.2 Hz, 3H), 7.37 (dd, J = 8.0, 0.8 Hz, 3H), 4.75 (d, J = 12.5 Hz, 3H), 3.67 (m, 4H), 3.40 (d, J = 12.5 Hz, 3H), 1.63 (m, 4H), 0.74 (s, 27H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (125.7 MHz, *d*<sub>5</sub>-pyr): δ 163.1 (q, <sup>1</sup>J(<sup>11</sup>B, <sup>13</sup>C) = 49 Hz), 146.9, 133.9, 132.1, 131.1, 130.8, 130.3 (qq, <sup>2</sup>J(<sup>19</sup>F, <sup>13</sup>C) = 31, <sup>4</sup>J(<sup>19</sup>F, <sup>13</sup>C) = 3 Hz), 129.8, 125.5 (q, <sup>1</sup>J(<sup>19</sup>F, <sup>13</sup>C) = 273 Hz), 118.7 (sept, <sup>3</sup>J(<sup>19</sup>F, <sup>13</sup>C) = 4 Hz), 68.3, 67.1, 60.9, 26.8, 26.3. <sup>19</sup>F NMR (282.2 MHz, *d*<sub>5</sub>-pyr): δ -62.1; Anal. Calcd. for C<sub>69</sub>H<sub>65</sub>N<sub>4</sub>O<sub>4</sub>F<sub>24</sub>BCe: C, 51.12; H, 4.04; N, 3.46. Found: C, 50.87; H, 4.14; N, 3.24.

**[Ce(TriNOx)pyr][OTf] (3-OTf).** To a toluene solution of **1** (0.057 g, 0.075 mmol, 1 equiv.) was added solid FcOTf (0.025, 0.075 mmol, 1 equiv.) and the reaction was stirred for 5 h. The resulting dark red-brown powder was isolated on a medium porosity fritted filter and rinsed with Et<sub>2</sub>O. X-ray quality crystals of **3-OTf** were grown from vapour diffusion of Et<sub>2</sub>O into a saturated pyridine solution. Yield: 0.040 g (58%). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, *d*<sub>5</sub>-pyr): δ 7.79 (dd, J = 7.4, 1.7 Hz, 3H), 7.57 (ddd, J = 8.1, 7.4, 1.7 Hz, 3H), 7.48 (ddd, J = 7.4, 7.4, 1.4 Hz, 3H), 7.33 (dd, J = 8.1, 1.4 Hz, 3H), 4.73 (d, J = 12.5 Hz, 3H), 3.69 (d, J = 12.5 Hz, 3H), 0.72 (s, 27H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (125.7 MHz, *d*<sub>5</sub>-pyr): δ 146.8, 134.1, 132.4, 130.9, 130.7, 129.8, 67.0, 60.4, 26.9; <sup>19</sup>F NMR (282.2 MHz, *d*<sub>5</sub>-pyr): δ -77.2; Anal. Calcd. for C<sub>39</sub>H<sub>50</sub>N<sub>5</sub>O<sub>6</sub>F<sub>3</sub>SCe·0.5pyr: C, 52.27; H, 5.55; N, 8.08. Found: C, 52.22; H, 5.62; N, 7.96.

## Acknowledgements

E.J.S. acknowledges the U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Science, Early Career Research Program (Grant DE-SC0006518), the Research

Corporation for Science Advancement (Cottrell Scholar Award to E.J.S.), and the University of Pennsylvania for financial support of this work. This work used the Extreme Science and Engineering Discovery Environment (XSEDE), which is supported by the National Science Foundation Grant ACI-1053575. Portions of this work were supported by the Director, Office of Science (OS), Office of Basic Energy Sciences, of the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) under Contract No. DE-AC-02-05CH11231 and were carried out at SSRL, a Directorate of SLAC National Accelerator Laboratory and an OS user facility operated for the DOE OS by Stanford University.

**Keywords:** Cerium • Redox • DFT • Electrochemistry • Nitroxide

- [1] N. A. Piro, J. R. Robinson, P. J. Walsh, E. J. Schelter, *Coord. Chem. Rev.* **2014**, *260*, 21-36.
- [2] a) S. R. Sofen, S. R. Cooper, K. N. Raymond, *Inorg. Chem.* **1979**, *18*, 1611-1616; b) V. Nair, A. Deepthi, *Chem. Rev.* **2007**, *107*, 1862-1891.
- [3] a) E. Mamontov, T. Egami, *J. Phys. Chem. Solids* **2000**, *61*, 1345-1356; b) D. Hong, M. Murakami, Y. Yamada, S. Fukuzumi, *Energy Environ. Sci.* **2012**, *5*, 5708-5716; c) D. J. Wasylenko, C. Ganesamoorthy, M. A. Henderson, C. P. Berlinguette, *Inorg. Chem.* **2011**, *50*, 3662-3672.
- [4] C. K. Gupta, N. Krishnamurthy, *Int. Mater. Rev.* **1992**, *37*, 197-248.
- [5] a) B. D. Mahoney, N. A. Piro, P. J. Carroll, E. J. Schelter, *Inorg. Chem.* **2013**, *52*, 5970-5977; b) J. A. Bogart, A. J. Lewis, M. A. Boreen, H. B. Lee, S. A. Medling, P. J. Carroll, C. H. Booth, E. J. Schelter, *Inorg. Chem.* **2015**, *54*, 2830-2837; c) J. R. Robinson, P. J. Carroll, P. J. Walsh, E. J. Schelter, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2012**, *51*, 10159-10163; d) J. R. Robinson, C. H. Booth, P. J. Carroll, P. J. Walsh, E. J. Schelter, *Chem. Eur. J.* **2013**, *19*, 5996-6004; e) H. B. Lee, J. A. Bogart, P. J. Carroll, E. J. Schelter, *Chem. Commun.* **2014**, *50*, 5361-5363; f) U. J. Williams, P. J. Carroll, E. J. Schelter, *Inorg. Chem.* **2014**, *53*, 6338-6345; g) U. J. Williams, D. Schneider, W. L. Dorfner, C. Maichle-Mossmer, P. J. Carroll, R. Anwender, E. J. Schelter, *Dalton Trans.* **2014**, *43*, 16197-16206; h) D. Werner, G. B. Deacon, P. C. Junk, R. Anwender, *Chem. Eur. J.* **2014**, *20*, 4426-4438; i) D. Schneider, T. Spallek, C. Maichle-Mossmer, K. W. Tornroos, R. Anwender, *Chem. Commun.* **2014**, *50*, 14763-14766; j) A. R. Crozier, C. Schadle, C. Maichle-Mossmer, K. W. Tornroos, R. Anwender, *Dalton Trans.* **2013**, *42*, 5491-5499; k) A. R. Crozier, A. M. Bienfait, C. Maichle-Mossmer, K. W. Tornroos, R. Anwender, *Chem. Commun.* **2013**, *49*, 87-89; l) P. Dröse, A. R. Crozier, S. Lashkari, J. Gottfriedsen, S. Blaurock, C. G. Hrib, C. Maichle-Mössmer, C. Schädle, R. Anwender, F. T. Edelmann, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2010**, *132*, 14046-14047.
- [6] a) C. Morton, N. W. Alcock, M. R. Lees, I. J. Munslow, C. J. Sanders, P. Scott, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1999**, *121*, 11255-11256; b) P. Dröse, J. Gottfriedsen, *Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem.* **2008**, *634*, 87-90.
- [7] a) L. H. Gade, *Acc. Chem. Res.* **2002**, *35*, 575-582; b) K. M. Wampler, R. R. Schrock, *Inorg. Chem.* **2007**, *46*, 8463-8465; c) W. H. Harman, C. J. Chang, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2007**, *129*, 15128-15129; d) N. A. Piro, M. F. Lichterman, W. H. Harman, C. J. Chang, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2011**, *133*, 2108-2111; e) G. T. Sazama, T. A. Betley, *Organometallics* **2011**, *30*, 4315-4319; f) G. E. Greco, R. R. Schrock, *Inorg. Chem.* **2001**, *40*, 3850-3860; g) E. M. Matson, Y. J. Park, A. R. Fout, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2014**, *136*, 17398-17401; h) T. Taguchi, R. Gupta, B. Lassalle-Kaiser, D. W. Boyce, V. K. Yachandra, W. B. Tolman, J. Yano, M. P. Hendrich, A. S. Borovik, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2012**, *134*, 1996-1999; i) B. M. Gardner, G. Balázs, M. Scheer, F. Tuna, E. J. L. McInnes, J. McMaster, W. Lewis, A. J. Blake, S. T. Liddle, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2014**, *53*, 4484-4488; j) P. B. Duval, C. J. Burns, W. E. Buschmann, D. L. Clark, D. E. Morris, B. L. Scott, *Inorg. Chem.* **2001**, *40*, 5491-5496; k) A. J. Lewis, U. J. Williams, J. M. Kikkawa, P. J. Carroll, E. J. Schelter, *Inorg. Chem.* **2011**, *51*, 37-39.
- [8] U. J. Williams, J. R. Robinson, A. J. Lewis, P. J. Carroll, P. J. Walsh, E. J. Schelter, *Inorg. Chem.* **2014**, *53*, 27-29.
- [9] J. A. Bogart, C. A. Lippincott, P. J. Carroll, E. J. Schelter, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2015**, n/a-n/a.
- [10] J. A. Bogart, A. J. Lewis, S. A. Medling, N. A. Piro, P. J. Carroll, C. H. Booth, E. J. Schelter, *Inorg. Chem.* **2013**, *52*, 11600-11607.
- [11] H. Zheng, S. J. Yoo, E. Münck, L. Que, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2000**, *122*, 3789-3790.
- [12] U. J. Williams, J. R. Robinson, A. J. Lewis, P. J. Carroll, P. J. Walsh, E. J. Schelter, *Inorg. Chem.* **2013**, *53*, 27-29.
- [13] P. Dröse, J. Gottfriedsen, Cristian G. Hrib, Peter G. Jones, L. Hilfert, Frank T. Edelmann, *Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem.* **2011**, *637*, 369-373.
- [14] W. J. Evans, J. M. Perotti, R. J. Doedens, J. W. Ziller, *Chem. Commun.* **2001**, 2326-2327.
- [15] R. Shannon, *Acta Crystallogr. Sect. A* **1976**, *32*, 751-767.
- [16] The complexes would precipitate too quickly as microcrystalline needles.
- [17] O. Eisenstein, P. B. Hitchcock, A. G. Hulkes, M. F. Lappert, L. Maron, *Chem. Commun.* **2001**, 1560-1561.
- [18] a) C. H. Booth, M. D. Walter, M. Daniel, W. W. Lukens, R. A. Andersen, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **2005**, *95*, 267202; b) W. W. Lukens, N. Magnani, C. H. Booth, *Inorg. Chem.* **2012**, *51*, 10105-10110.
- [19] M. A. Boreen, J. A. Bogart, P. J. Carroll, E. J. Schelter, *Chem. Commun.* **2015**, Submitted.
- [20] N. G. Connelly, W. E. Geiger, *Chem. Rev.* **1996**, *96*, 877-910.
- [21] M. W. Löble, J. M. Keith, A. B. Altman, S. C. E. Stieber, E. R. Batista, K. S. Boland, S. D. Conradson, D. L. Clark, J. Lezama Pacheco, S. A. Kozimor, R. L. Martin, S. G. Minasian, A. C. Olson, B. L. Scott, D. K. Shuh, T. Tylliszczak, M. P. Wilkerson, R. A. Zehnder, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2015**, *137*, 2506-2523.
- [22] I. Chávez, A. Alvarez-Carena, E. Molins\*, A. Roig, W. Maniukiewicz, A. Arancibia, V. Arancibia, H. Brand, J. Manuel Manriquez\*, *J. Organomet. Chem.* **2000**, *601*, 126-132.
- [23] R. R. Schrock, L. G. Sturgeoff, P. R. Sharp, *Inorg. Chem.* **1983**, *22*, 2801-2806.
- [24] D. C. Bradley, J. S. Ghotra, F. A. Hart, *J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans.* **1973**, 1021-1023.
- [25] R. K. Thomson, B. L. Scott, D. E. Morris, J. L. Kiplinger, *C. R. Chimie* **2010**, *13*, 790-802.
- [26] Bruker (2009) SAINT. Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- [27] Bruker (2009) SHELXTL. Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- [28] Sheldrick, G. M. (2008) TWINABS. University of Gottingen, Germany.
- [29] Sheldrick, G. M. (2007) SADABS. University of Gottingen, Germany.
- [30] G. Sheldrick, *Acta Crystallogr. Sect. A* **2008**, *64*, 112-122.
- [31] C. H. Booth, M. D. Walter, D. Kazhdan, Y.-J. Hu, W. W. Lukens, E. D. Bauer, L. Maron, O. Eisenstein, R. A. Andersen, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2009**, *131*, 6480-6491.
- [32] Gaussian 09, Revision A.02, Frisch, M. J.; Trucks, G. W.; Schlegel, H. B.; Scuseria, G. E.; Robb, M. A.; Cheeseman, J. R.; Scalmani, G.; Barone, V.; Mennucci, B.; Petersson, G. A.; Nakatsuji, H.; Caricato, M.; Li, X.; Hratchian, H. P.; Izmaylov, A. F.; Bloino, J.; Zheng, G.; Sonnenberg, J. L.; Hada, M.; Ehara, M.; Toyota, K.; Fukuda, R.; Hasegawa, J.; Ishida, M.; Nakajima, T.; Honda, Y.; Kitao, O.; Nakai, H.; Vreven, T.; Montgomery, Jr., J. A.; Peralta, J. E.; Ogliaro, F.; Bearpark, M.; Heyd, J. J.; Brothers, E.; Kudin, K. N.; Staroverov, V. N.; Kobayashi, R.; Normand, J.; Raghavachari, K.; Rendell, A.; Burant, J. C.; Iyengar, S. S.; Tomasi, J.; Cossi, M.; Rega, N.; Millam, N. J.; Klene, M.; Knox, J. E.; Cross, J. B.; Bakken, V.; Adamo, C.; Jaramillo, J.; Gomperts, R.; Stratmann, R. E.; Yazyev, O.; Austin, A. J.; Cammi, R.; Pomelli, C.; Ochterski, J. W.; Martin, R. L.; Morokuma, K.; Zakrzewski, V. G.; Voth, G. A.; Salvador, P.; Dannenberg, J. J.; Dapprich, S.; Daniels, A. D.; Farkas, Ö.; Foresman, J. B.; Ortiz, J. V.; Cioslowski, J.; Fox, D. J. Gaussian, Inc., Wallingford CT, 2009.
- [33] a) X. Cao, M. Dolg, *J. Molec. Struct. (Theochem)* **2002**, *581*, 139-147; b) M. Dolg, H. Stoll, H. Preuss, *J. Chem. Phys.* **1989**, *90*, 1730-1734.
- [34] W. J. Hehre, R. Ditchfield, J. A. Pople, *J. Chem. Phys.* **1972**, *56*, 2257-2261.
- [35] E. D. Glendening, J. K. Badenhop, A. E. Reed, J. E. Carpenter, J. A. Bohmann, C. M. Morales, C. R. Landis, F. Weinhold, in *NBO 6.0*, Madison, WI., **2013**.
- [36] a) S. I. Gorelsky, A. B. P. Lever, *J. Organomet. Chem.* **2001**, *635*, 187-196; b) S. I. Gorelsky, *AOMix: Program for Molecular Orbital Analysis*, <http://www.sg-chem.net/>, University of Ottawa, version 6.5, **2011**.
- [37] Chemcraft. [www.chemcraftprog.com](http://www.chemcraftprog.com)


## Entry for the Table of Contents (Please choose one layout)

Layout 1:

### FULL PAPER

---

The use of a bulky and strongly donating, tethered tripodal nitroxide ligand allowed for the controlled redox chemistry at cerium. As a result, rare examples of cationic Ce<sup>IV</sup> complexes were synthesized and fully characterized. The full Ce–halide series supported by the tripodal ligand framework is also reported.



*J. A. Bogart, C. A. Lippincott, P. J. Carroll, C. H. Booth, E. J. Schelter\**

**Page No. – Page No.**

**Controlled Redox Chemistry of Cerium Within a Tripodal Nitroxide Ligand Framework**

---