

UC Berkeley

Archaeological X-ray Fluorescence Reports

Title

An Energy Dispersive X-Ray Fluorescence (EDXRF) Analysis of Obsidian Artifacts from Two Prehistoric Sites in The Laguna Mountain Recreation Area, San Diego County, California

Permalink

<https://escholarship.org/uc/item/48w4f0r4>

Author

Shackley, M. Steven

Publication Date

1994-09-19

Copyright Information

This work is made available under the terms of a Creative Commons Attribution-ShareAlike License, available at <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-sa/4.0/>

**AN ENERGY DISPERSIVE X-RAY FLUORESCENCE (EDXRF)
ANALYSIS OF OBSIDIAN ARTIFACTS FROM TWO PREHISTORIC
SITES IN THE LAGUNA MOUNTAIN RECREATION AREA, SAN
DIEGO COUNTY, CALIFORNIA**

by

M. Steven Shackley
Phoebe Hearst Museum of Anthropology
University of California at Berkeley

for

Affinis
El Cajon, California

19 September 1994

INTRODUCTION

Obsidian studies in the Laguna Mountain area have generally focused on hydration analysis rather than provenance (Graham 1981). The geochemical analysis here of five obsidian artifacts from SDI-5848 and SDI-8556 indicated that four of the specimens were produced from glass derived from the Obsidian Butte source in Imperial County, approximately 70 km northeast, and one specimen was derived from the San Felipe (Arroyo Matomí) source in northern Baja California, over 300 km south. The presence of San Felipe obsidian at SDI-8556 calls into question previous hydration analyses performed in the absence of chemical characterization.

ANALYSIS AND INSTRUMENTATION

All samples were analyzed whole, and were washed in distilled water before analysis. The results presented here are quantitative in that they are derived from "filtered" intensity values ratioed to the appropriate x-ray continuum regions through a least squares fitting formula rather than plotting the proportions of the net intensities in a ternary system (McCarthy and Schamber 1981; Schamber 1977). Or more essentially, these data through the analysis of international rock standards, allow for inter-instrument comparison with a predictable degree of certainty (Hampel 1984).

The trace element analyses were performed in the Department of Geology and Geophysics, University of California, Berkeley, using a Spectrace™ 400 (United Scientific Corporation) energy dispersive x-ray fluorescence spectrometer. The spectrometer is equipped with a Rh x-ray tube, a 50 kV x-ray generator, with a Tracor X-ray (Spectrace™) TX 6100 x-ray analyzer using an IBM PC based microprocessor and Tracor reduction software. The x-ray tube was operated at 30 kV, 0.20 mA, using a 0.127 mm Rh primary beam filter in a vacuum path at 250 seconds livetime to generate x-ray intensity $K\alpha$ -line data

for elements titanium (Ti), manganese (Mn), iron (as Fe^T), rubidium (Rb), strontium (Sr), yttrium (Y), zirconium (Zr), and niobium (Nb). Weight percent iron (Fe_2O_3^T) can be derived by multiplying ppm estimates by 0.00014297. Trace element intensities were converted to concentration estimates by employing a least-squares calibration line established for each element from the analysis of international rock standards certified by the National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST), the US Geological Survey (USGS), Canadian Centre for Mineral and Energy Technology, and the Centre de Recherches Pétrographiques et Géochimiques in France (Govindaraju 1989). Further details concerning the petrological choice of these elements in continental margin obsidians is available in Shackley (1988, 1990, 1992, 1994a; also Mahood and Stimac 1991; and Hughes and Smith 1993). Specific standards used for the best fit regression calibration for elements Ti through Nb include G-2 (basalt), AGV-1 (andesite), GSP-1 and SY-2 (syenite), BHVO-1 (hawaiite), STM-1 (syenite), QLM-1 (quartz latite), RGM-1 (obsidian), W-2 (diabase), BIR-1 (basalt), SDC-1 (mica schist), TLM-1 (tonalite), SCO-1 (shale), all US Geological Survey standards, and BR-N (basalt) from the Centre de Recherches Pétrographiques et Géochimiques in France (Govindaraju 1989). In addition to the reported values here, Pb, Ni, Cu, Zn, Ga, and Th were measured, but these are rarely useful in discriminating Tertiary glass sources and are not generally reported. These data are available on disk by request.

The data from the Tracor software were translated directly into Quattro Pro for Windows software for manipulation and on into SPSS for Windows for statistical analyses. In order to evaluate these quantitative determinations, machine data were compared to measurements of known standards during each run. Table 1 shows a comparison between values recommended for three international obsidian and rhyolite rock standards, RGM-1,

NBS(SRM)-278, and JR-2. One of these standards is analyzed during each sample run to check machine calibration. The results shown in Table 1 indicate that the machine accuracy is quite high particularly for the mid-Z elements, and other instruments with comparable precision should yield comparable results.

Trace element data exhibited in Tables 1 and 2 are reported in parts per million (ppm), a quantitative measure by weight. Table 2 exhibits the trace element concentrations for archaeological specimens.

DISCUSSION

Obsidian is generally common in Late Prehistoric sites in the northern Peninsular Ranges (Graham 1981; Shackley 1981). Unfortunately, little of this obsidian has been subjected to instrumental chemical analysis to determine the source. This is vexing in the light of the many attempts to model exchange and procurement range for Late Prehistoric contexts (Christenson 1980; Graham 1981; Shackley 1981; c.f. Christenson 1990; Pignoli 1992). While this is changing, there has been a general assumption that most if not all of the obsidian artifacts recovered in San Diego County sites was procured from Obsidian Butte in Imperial County:

If Obsidian Butte was the primary source of obsidian in the San Diego County area, and all available evidence suggests it was...(Christenson 1980:206).

While it is impossible to control for the results in the gray literature, using only my own work it is apparent that a significant amount of obsidian is derived from sources other than Obsidian Butte, including Casa Diablo and Coso to the north, and San Felipe to the south (Shackley 1984 1993a, 1993b, 1994b).

The most important caveat here is that any obsidian hydration based chronology can be seriously flawed without provenance studies (see Hughes 1988, 1994). Furthermore, it is hazardous to compare an assemblage that has received a chemical analysis to one that has not for all the reasons discussed above.

The San Felipe (Arroyo Matomí) Source, Baja California.

This Tertiary source of obsidian on the eastern scarp of the Peninsular Ranges has been discussed in some detail elsewhere (Banks 1971; Bouey 1984; Douglas 1981). The source has only recently been analyzed quantitatively, a plot of that data is provided in Figure 1. In my studies I have detected obsidian artifacts produced from this source in sites in Otay Mesa (SDI-11,952), Santee (SDI-9243), Indian Hill (SDI-2537), and Bahia de los Angeles in Baja California (Shackley 1993a, 1993b, 1994c). The source has been detected in a number of other contexts in San Diego County, even though it is over 300 airline kilometers south of the Laguna Mountains (Don Laylander: personal communication 1992). Typical of many Tertiary sources, the primary source for San Felipe in the Peninsular Ranges is eroding to the Gulf of California producing a relatively large procurement area (Banks 1971; Douglas 1981; see Shackley 1992). The source does appear to be variable in some mid-Z elements as shown in Figure 1.

The particular artifact that was made from the San Felipe obsidian is a straight-based, side notched arrowpoint, typical of the Desert Side-notch series (Holmer 1986; Thomas 1981). This form is rather common in San Diego County as well as northern Baja California, so no specific ties to the south can be drawn (Ritter 1994).

Conclusion

The mix of Obsidian Butte and San Felipe obsidian in these two sites appears to be typical of assemblages in the southern part of San Diego County. This small study coupled with the obsidian hydration analysis should begin to provide a baseline for other research in the Peninsular Ranges.

It is always relatively easy to determine the source provenance of obsidian artifacts and relatively difficult to determine how it arrived in archaeological context. It seems reasonable that the Late Prehistoric inhabitants in the Laguna Mountains were in contact with other Yuman speaking groups to the south, in this case probably Kiliwa (see Shackley 1981; Christenson 1990). Given the distance to the San Felipe source it seems sensible that exchange was the likely method of procurement, while at least some of the Obsidian Butte material could have been procured directly or by contact with groups living at some time of the year in the desert. There is ample ethnographic evidence to suggest that rather large ranges were occupied by the ancestors of the Tipai including desert, mountain, and coastal environments (Bolton 1930; Cline 1979; Coues 1900; Hicks 1963; Spier 1923). Therefore, the Obsidian Butte obsidian could have been procured directly or from relatives visiting the mountains in the fall (Graham 1981; Shackley 1981). The strong presence of San Felipe obsidian at Indian Hill in the late contexts suggests continued contact with groups to the south (Shackley 1993b). It is certainly possible that much of the San Felipe obsidian was transported into the mountains through one of the many passes from the Imperial Valley (Cline 1979; Graham 1981; Shackley 1981).

Regardless of the methods used to transport obsidian and other non-local materials into the mountains, this small study provides some important information. It is not sufficient to assume that all obsidian was procured from Obsidian Butte in the Late Prehistoric period.

Comparisons of chemically characterized obsidian assemblages to those that have not been characterized are hazardous, since there is little chance that Obsidian Butte and San Felipe glass hydrate at the same rate. This study, as well as a number of others indicate that there were important contacts into what is now Baja California, at least up to several hundred kilometers.

REFERENCES CITED

- Banks, Thomas Jeffrey
1971 Geologic Obsidian Sources for Baja California. *Pacific Coast Archaeological Society Quarterly* 7(1):24-26.
- Bolton, H.E.
1930 *Anza's California Expeditions*. University of California Press, Berkeley.
- Bouey, Paul D.
1984 Obsidian Studies and their Implications for Prehistory. *Pacific Coast Archaeological Society Quarterly* 20(1):55-60.
- Christenson, Andrew
1980 Obsidian Hydration Analysis. In *The Archaeology and History of the McCain Valley Study Area, Eastern San Diego County, California*, edited by J.R. Cook, and S.G. Fulmer, pp. 196-208. Archaeological Systems Management, for Bureau of Land Management, Riverside, California.
- Christenson, Lynne E.
1990 *The Late Prehistoric Yuman People of San Diego County California: Their Settlement and Subsistence System*. Ph.D. Dissertation, Arizona State University. University Microfilms, Ann Arbor.
- Cline, Lora L.
1979 *The Kwaamii: Reflections on a Lost Culture*. Imperial Valley College Museum Occasional Paper 5.
- Coues, Elliott, (translator and editor)
1900 *On the Trail of a Spanish Pioneer: The Diary and Itinerary of Francisco Garces* 2 vols. Francis P. Harper, New York.
- Douglas, Ronald D.
1981 An Archaeological Reconnaissance in Arriba de Arroyo Matomí, Baja California Norte, Mexico. *Pacific Coast Archaeological Society Quarterly* 17(1):63-69.
- Glascok, Michael D.
1991 Tables for Neutron Activation Analysis (3rd Edition). University of Missouri Research Reactor Facility.
- Govindaraju, K.
1989 1989 Compilation of Working Values and Sample Description for 272 Geostandards. *Geostandards Newsletter* 13 (special issue).
- Graham, William R.
1981 Cultural Resource Survey of the Laguna Mountain Recreation Area, San Diego County, California. Archaeological Systems Management, for U.S. Forest Service, Cleveland National Forest, San Diego, California.

- Hampel, Joachim H.
 1984 Technical Considerations in X-ray Fluorescence Analysis of Obsidian. In *Obsidian Studies in the Great Basin*, edited by R.E. Hughes, pp. 21-25. Contributions of the University of California Archaeological Research Facility 45. Berkeley.
- Hicks, Fredrick N.
 1963 *Ecological Aspects of Aboriginal Culture in the Western Yuman Area*. Unpublished Ph.D. dissertation, Department of Anthropology, University of California, Los Angeles.
- Holmer, Richard N.
 1986 Common Projectile Points of the Intermountain West. In *Anthropology of the Desert West: Essays in Honor of Jesse D. Jennings*, edited by C.J. Condie and D.D. Fowler, pp. 89-116. Anthropological Papers of the University of Utah 110, Salt Lake City.
 1988 The Coso Volcanic Field Reexamined: Implications for Obsidian Sourcing and Hydration Dating Research. *Geoarchaeology* 3(4):253-265.
- Hughes, Richard E., and Robert L. Smith
 1993 Archaeology, Geology, and Geochemistry in Obsidian Provenance Studies. In *Effects of Scale on Archaeological and Geoscientific Perspectives*, edited by J.K. Stein, and A.R. Linse, pp. 79-91. Geological Society of American Special Paper 283.
- Mahood, Gail A., and James A. Stimac
 1990 Trace-Element Partitioning in Pantellerites and Trachytes. *Geochemica et Cosmochimica Acta* 54:2257-2276.
- McCarthy, J.J., and F.H. Schamber
 1981 Least-Squares Fit with Digital Filter: A Status Report. In *Energy Dispersive X-ray Spectrometry*, edited by K.F.J. Heinrich, D.E. Newbury, R.L. Myklebust, and C.E. Fiori, pp. 273-296. National Bureau of Standards Special Publication 604, Washington, D.C.
- Pigniolo, Andrew
 1992 *Piedra del Lumbre "Chert" and Hunter-Gatherer Mobility and Exchange in Southern California*. Unpublished Master's thesis, Department of Anthropology, San Diego State University.
- Ritter, Eric W. (editor)
 1994 Investigaciones de ecología social y cambios entre culturas prehistóricas en la región de Bahía de los Angeles, Baja California (1993). For the Instituto Nacional de Antropología e Historia, Mexicali, Baja California.

Schamber, F.H.

- 1977 A Modification of the Linear Least-Squares Fitting Method which Provides Continuum Suppression. In *X-ray Fluorescence Analysis of Environmental Samples*, edited by T.G. Dzubay, pp. 241-257. Ann Arbor Science Publishers.

Shackley, M. Steven

- 1981 *Late Prehistoric Exchange Network Analysis in Carrizo Gorge and the Far Southwest*. Master's thesis, San Diego State University. Coyote Press, Salinas, California.
- 1984 *Archaeological Investigations in the Western Colorado Desert: A Sociological Approach* (3 volumes). Wirth Environmental Services: A Division of Dames and Moore, 824 5th Ave., San Diego, CA 92101.
- 1988 Sources of Archaeological Obsidian in the Southwest: An Archaeological, Petrological, and Geochemical Study. *American Antiquity* 53(4):752-772.
- 1990 *Early Hunter-Gatherer Procurement Ranges in the Southwest: Evidence from Obsidian Geochemistry and Lithic Technology*. Ph.D. dissertation, Arizona State University. University Microfilms, Ann Arbor.
- 1992 The Upper Gila River Gravels as an Archaeological Obsidian Source Region: Implications for Models of Exchange and Interaction. *Geoarchaeology* 7(4):315-326.
- 1993a An Additional Energy Dispersive X-Ray Fluorescence (EDXRF) Analysis of Obsidian Artifacts from CA-SDI-9243, San Diego County, California. For Brian Mooney Associates, San Diego.
- 1993b An Energy Dispersive X-Ray Fluorescence (EDXRF) Analysis of a Sample of Obsidian Artifacts from Indian Hill Rockshelter, East San Diego County, California. For Meg McDonald, Department of Anthropology, University of California, Riverside.
- 1994a Intersource and Intrasource Geochemical Variability in Two Newly Discovered Archaeological Obsidian Sources in the Southern Great Basin: Bristol Mountains, California and Devil Peak, Nevada. *Journal of California and Great Basin Anthropology* 16(1):in press.
- 1994b Letter Report: An Energy Dispersive X-Ray Fluorescence Analysis of One Obsidian Artifact from SDI-11,952, Otay Mesa, San Diego County, California. For Brian Mooney Associates, San Diego.
- 1994c An Energy Dispersive X-Ray Fluorescence (EDXRF) Analysis of Obsidian Artifacts from Eight Archaeological Sites at Bahia de los Angeles, Baja California Norte: The 1994 Field Season. For Eric Ritter, Bureau of Land Management, Redding.

Spier, Leslie

- 1923 Southern Diegueño Customs. *University of California Publications in American Archaeology and Ethnology* 20:297-358.

Thomas, David Hurst

1981 How To Classify the Projectile Points from Monitor Valley, Nevada.
Journal of California and Great Basin Anthropology 3(1):7-43.

Table 1. X-ray fluorescence concentrations for selected trace elements of three international rock standards. \pm values represent first standard deviation computations for the group of measurements. All values are in parts per million (ppm) as reported in Govindaraju (1989) and this study. RGM-1 is a U.S. Geological Survey rhyolite standard, NBS (SRM)-278 is a National Institute of Standards and Technology obsidian standard, and JR-2 is a Geological Survey of Japan rhyolite standard. Fe^{T} can be converted to $\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3^{\text{T}}$ with a multiplier of 0.00014297 (see also Glascock 1991).

SAMPLE	Ti	Mn	Fe	Rb	Sr	Y	Zr	Nb	Ba
RGM-1 (Govindaraju 1989)	1600	279	12998	149	108	25	219	8.9	807
RGM-1 (Glascock and Anderson 1993) 826 \pm 31	1079 \pm 120	323 \pm 7	863 \pm 210	145 \pm 3	120 \pm 10	n.r. ^a	150 \pm 7	n.r.	
RGM-1 (this study)	1516 \pm 58	259 \pm 19	13991 \pm 143	152 \pm 3	108 \pm 2	24 \pm 1	226 \pm 4	10 \pm 1	806 \pm 12
SRM-278 (Govindaraju 1989)	1469	402	14256	127.5	63.5	41	295	n.r.	1140 ^b
SRM-278 (Glascock and Anderson 1993) 891 \pm 39	875 \pm 162	428 \pm 8	9932 \pm 210	128 \pm 4	61 \pm 15	n.r.	208 \pm 20	n.r.	
SRM-278 (this study)	1376 \pm 96	372 \pm 17	15229 \pm 399	129 \pm 2	68 \pm 2	42 \pm 2	290 \pm 3	17 \pm 2	1090 \pm 38
JR-2 (Govindaraju 1989) ^b	540	852	6015	297	8	51	98.5	19.2	39
JR-2 (this study)	343 \pm 51	680 \pm 17	7358 \pm 65	300 \pm 5	10 \pm 1	49 \pm 3	94 \pm 2	16 \pm 2	34 \pm 6

^a n.r. = no report; n.m.=not measured

^b values proposed not recommended

Table 2. X-ray fluorescence concentrations for archaeological specimens. All measurements in parts per million (ppm).

<u>SAMPLE</u>	<u>Ti</u>	<u>Mn</u>	<u>Fe</u>	<u>Rb</u>	<u>Sr</u>	<u>Y</u>	<u>Zr</u>	<u>Nb</u>	<u>Source</u>
<u>SDI-5846</u>									
412	1478.79	333.27	18736.13	140.79	27.31	112.97	331.04	18.95	Obsidian Butte
419	1517.74	370.38	18879.14	138.60	28.89	110.25	331.47	24.38	Obsidian Butte
523	1409.51	381.31	19462.98	140.20	32.69	116.86	352.58	26.77	Obsidian Butte
<u>SDI-8556</u>									
53	776.45	312.99	14805.47	129.95	12.28	123.80	275.61	31.19	Obsidian Butte
93	630.88	232.42	11062.18	136.77	51.64	33.15	118.64	5.46	San Felipe, BC

Figure 1. Three dimensional plot of Y, Rb, and Zr for archaeological specimens.

