UCSF UC San Francisco Previously Published Works

Title

New classification of HLA-DRB1alleles in rheumatoid arthritis susceptibility: a combined analysis of worldwide samples

Permalink https://escholarship.org/uc/item/4b036905

Journal Arthritis Research & Therapy, 10(1)

ISSN 1478-6354

Authors

Barnetche, Thomas Constantin, Arnaud Cantagrel, Alain <u>et al.</u>

Publication Date 2008

DOI

10.1186/ar2379

Peer reviewed

Research article **Open Access** New classification of *HLA-DRB1* alleles in rheumatoid arthritis susceptibility: a combined analysis of worldwide samples

Thomas Barnetche¹, Arnaud Constantin^{1,2}, Alain Cantagrel^{2,3}, Anne Cambon-Thomsen¹ and Pierre-Antoine Gourraud^{1,4}

¹Department of Epidemiology and Public Health, UMR Inserm U 558, University Paul Sabatier Toulouse III, Faculty of Medicine Purpan, 37 Allées Jules Guesde, Toulouse cedex 7, 31073, France

²Rheumatology Department, Larrey University Hospital, 24 chemin de Pouvourville, Toulouse cedex 9, 31059, France

³JE2510, University Paul Sabatier Toulouse III, 118 route de Narbonne, Toulouse, 31062 cedex 9, France

⁴UF de Méthodologie de la recherche clinique, Epidemioloy Unit, Toulouse University Hospital, 37 Allées Jules Guesde, Toulouse cedex 7, 31073, France

Corresponding author: Pierre-Antoine Gourraud, gourraud@cict.fr

Received: 19 Sep 2007 Revisions requested: 19 Oct 2007 Revisions received: 12 Dec 2007 Accepted: 28 Feb 2008 Published: 28 Feb 2008

Arthritis Research & Therapy 2008, 10:R26 (doi:10.1186/ar2379)

This article is online at: http://arthritis-research.com/content/10/1/R26

© 2008 Barnetche et al.; licensee BioMed Central Ltd.

This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<u>http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/2.0</u>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Abstract

Introduction Rheumatoid arthritis (RA) is a complex polygenic disease of unknown etiology. *HLA-DRB1* alleles encoding the shared epitope (SE) (RAA amino acid pattern in positions 72 to 74 of the third hypervariable region of the DR β 1 chain) are associated with RA susceptibility. A new classification of *HLA-DRB1* SE alleles has been developed by Tezenas du Montcel and colleagues to refine the association between *HLA-DRB1* and RA. In the present study, we used RA samples collected worldwide to investigate the relevance of this new *HLA-DRB1* classification in terms of RA susceptibility across various Caucasoid and non-Caucasoid patients.

Methods Eighteen subsamples were defined from a total number of 759 cases and 789 controls and grouped in 10 samples on the basis of their ethnic origin. *HLA-DRB1* alleles were divided into five groups (S_1 , S_2 , S_{3D} , S_{3P} , and X) according to the new *HLA-DRB1* allele classification. The whole analysis was performed by comparing carrier frequencies for the five HLA-DRB1 allele groups between RA patients and controls across the 10 Caucasoid and non-Caucasoid samples. The Mantel-Haenszel method of meta-analysis provided a global odds ratio (OR) estimate with 95% confidence interval (CI).

Results A positive association with RA susceptibility was found for S₂ allele carriers (OR 2.15, 95% CI 1.54 to 3.00; $\rho < 10^{-5}$) and S_{3P} allele carriers (OR 2.74, 95% CI 2.01 to 3.74; $\rho < 10^{-5}$). A negative association was found for S₁ alleles (OR 0.60, 95% CI 0.48 to 0.76; $\rho < 10^{-4}$) and X alleles (OR 0.58, 95% CI 0.39 to 0.84; $\rho = 4 \times 10^{-3}$). No significant association was highlighted for the S_{3D} group of alleles (OR 0.89, 95% CI 0.69 to 1.14; $\rho = 0.89$). The complementary genotype analysis fit with the genotype risk hierarchy previously reported in Caucasoid RA patients.

Conclusion So far, the present study is the first attempt to investigate the relevance of this new *HLA-DRB1* classification in terms of RA susceptibility on both Caucasoid and non-Caucasoid samples. Our results support the hypothesis of a differential role played by different *HLA-DRB1* allele groups in RA susceptibility across different ethnic backgrounds and confirm the interest of such an *HLA-DRB1* classification in differentiating predisposing and protective alleles.

Introduction

Rheumatoid arthritis (RA) is the most frequent chronic inflammatory rheumatic disease in the world, with prevalence estimates of 0.25% to 0.5%. Its pathogenesis is multifactorial and genetic factors may contribute for 40% to 60% of the total risk [1]. Among possible genetic factors, the *HLA-DRB1* gene appears clearly associated with RA [2]. This association was first suggested more than 30 years ago [3] and was elaborated 10 years later by Gregersen and colleagues [4], who demonstrated that RA was associated with several *HLA*-

Anti-CCP = anti-cyclic citrullinated peptide; CI = confidence interval; IHWG = International Histocompatibility Working Group; OR = odds ratio; RA = rheumatoid arthritis; SE = shared epitope.

DRB1 alleles (DRB1*0101, DRB1*0102, DRB1*0401, DRB1*0404, DRB1*0405, DRB1*0408, DRB1*1001, and DRB1*1402) encoding the RAA sequence of amino acids at positions 72 to 74 in the third hypervariable region of the DR β 1 chain, known as the shared epitope (SE). Despite significant improvement in molecular biology techniques, association mechanisms between HLA-DRB1*SE+ alleles and RA remain debated and authors have demonstrated that each SE allele does not confer the same risk [4-6].

In a more recent study, Tezenas du Montcel and colleagues [8] advanced a new classification of HLA-DRB1 alleles, reconsidering the SE model in RA susceptibility. According to this new classification, the susceptibility to RA, which depends on whether the RAA sequence occupies positions 72 to 74, was modulated by the amino acids at positions 70 and 71, which led to the definition of five groups of HLA-DRB1 alleles: S₁, S₂, S_{3P}, S_{3D}, and X alleles. Michou and colleagues [9] tested and validated this new classification in an independent sample of 100 French Caucasoid RA trio families, providing estimates for the susceptibility risk genotypes. In the present study, we used worldwide RA samples from the 13th International Histocompatibility Working Group (IHWG) to investigate the relevance of this new HLA-DRB1 allele classification in terms of RA susceptibility across various Caucasoid and non-Caucasoid population samples.

Materials and methods Selection process of case and control population samples

RA cases and healthy controls included in the present study were selected from a population of 2,376 individuals (1,210 cases and 1,166 controls), initially gathered by 19 laboratories in 17 countries in the framework of the 13th IHWG. The data are publicly available from the dbMHC (Major Histocompatibility Complex database) website of the National Center for Biotechnology Information (Bethesda, MD, USA) [7]. All RA cases met the following criteria: adult onset RA (by definition, 16 years of age or older) and the American College of Rheumatology criteria for RA [8]. For each laboratory, healthy controls were selected within the same geographical area as the RA cases. A selection procedure of cases and controls was carried out in order to allow the comparison of the data issued from the different laboratories that participated in the 13th IHWG: (a) cases and controls of undocumented origin were excluded, (b) samples consisting of cases without controls and samples of less than 20 individuals were discarded, and (c) cases and controls that were matched beforehand for specific HLA-DRB1-HLA-DQB1 haplotypes were excluded. Data from different submitters consisting of individuals from the same origin were pooled when no significant departures were found as assessed by an admixture test, which asymptotically follows a chi-square distribution with 1 degree of freedom. According to this selection procedure, 758 cases and 789 controls, issued from 10 different ethnic origin subsamples, were included in the present study (Table 1).

HLA-DRB1 genotyping

All RA cases and controls were genotyped for *HLA-DRB1*. *HLA-DRB1* typing techniques used in the framework of the 13th IHWG are described extensively in the 13th IHWG Proceedings [9,10].

HLA-DRB1 classification

HLA-DRB1 alleles were divided into five groups according to the classification proposed by Tezenas du Montcel and colleagues [11,12]. Briefly, the *HLA-DRB1* alleles were first divided into two groups according to the presence or absence of the RAA sequence at positions 72 to 74 and were denoted S and X alleles, respectively. The S alleles were subsequently divided into three groups according to the amino acid (alanine [A], glutamic acid [E], lysine [K], or arginine [R]) at position 71: S₁ for ARAA and ERAA, S₂ for KRAA, and S₃ for RRAA. Since an aspartic acid (D) at position 70 was reported to be protective against RA in contrast to a glutamine (Q) or an arginine (R) at the same position [13], two additional groups were defined: S_{3D} for DRRAA and S_{3P} for QRRAA or RRRAA [11,12].

Statistical analysis

To identify association with RA susceptibility, odds ratios (ORs) were calculated for the presence of the S_1 , S_2 , S_{3P} , S_{3D} , and X alleles. Confidence intervals (CIs) are given at 95% confidence. Consistently with previous findings [7-9] and with the main objective of this work (which is to challenge these previous findings in various Caucasoid and non-Caucasoid populations), we performed the whole analysis under a dominant effect model by comparing carrier frequencies for the different *HLA-DRB1* allele groups defined according to the classification between RA patients and controls across the 10 Caucasoid and non-Caucasoid samples.

We used a meta-analysis approach to combine the data issued from the different laboratories that participated in the 13th IHWG. The Mantel-Haenszel method assumes a fixed effect and combines studies using a method similar to inverse variance approaches to determine the weight given to each study. It provides a common OR estimate, taking into account the weight of the different samples and 95% Cl. OR and 95% Cl are shown on forest plots for each allele group studied. Statistical heterogeneity of the considered samples was assessed on the basis of the Q test (chi-square), using a significance level of 0.05, and reported with the I² statistic (in which high values indicate high heterogeneity). An I² value of greater than 50% was considered the threshold for heterogeneity. Genotype risk analyses were conducted using the same method. All computations were performed using the Revman 4.2.8 software package developed by the Nordic Cochrane Center (Copenhagen, Denmark) [14] and Stata version 7.0 software (StataCorp LP, College Station, TX, USA). All p val-

Table 1

Composition of the selected rheumatoid arthritis case and control population samples

Ancestry	Sample origin	Number of cases	Number of controls
Caucasian	Greek	37	31
Caucasian	Spanish	141	234
Caucasian	American (Whites)	206	105
Amerindian	North American (Amerinds)	98	78
African-American	North American (Blacks)	46	51
African	San (Bushman)	23	57
Isian	Korean	81	68
Isian	Chinese	21	20
Isian	Javanese	66	72
Blavic	Russian	40	73
otal		759	789

These data were extracted from the 13th International Histocompatibility Working Group rheumatoid arthritis samples and are available on the National Center for Biotechnology Information website [28]. For the sample selection procedure, please refer to the Materials and methods section of this article.

ues were two-sided. *P* values of less than 0.05 were considered significant, and corrections for multiple testing were mentioned when relevant.

Results

Carrier frequencies of the different *HLA-DRB1* allele groups in RA cases and controls for the various Caucasoid and non-Caucasoid population samples

Figure 1 shows the carrier frequencies for the different *HLA*-*DRB1* allele groups, as defined according to the classification developed by Tezenas du Montcel and colleagues [11], in cases and controls of each sample selected from the 13th IHWG. No significant departures from Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium were observed (all p > 0.05 after correction for multiple testing). Statistical testing for heterogeneity in the X allele group revealed a significant difference between samples (l² = 62.9%, $p = 4 \times 10^{-3}$). No significant heterogeneity could be observed for the S₁ (l² = 0%, p = 0.57), S₂ (l² = 15.9%, p = 0.30), S_{3P} (l² = 19.5%, p = 0.27), or S_{3D} (l² = 23.6%, p = 0.23) groups of *HLA-DRB1* alleles.

Carrier frequency comparisons of the different *HLA-DRB1* allele groups between RA cases and controls across the various Caucasoid and non-Caucasoid population samples and overall effect estimation

Results of allele carrier frequency comparisons between RA cases and controls across the various Caucasoid and non-Caucasoid population samples are presented in Figure 1. An overall positive association with RA susceptibility was found for S₂ alleles (OR 2.15, 95% CI 1.54 to 3.00; $p < 10^{-5}$) and S_{3P} alleles (OR 2.74, 95% CI 2.01 to 3.74; $p < 10^{-5}$). An overall negative association with RA susceptibility was highlighted for S₁ alleles (OR 0.60, 95% CI 0.48 to 0.76; $p < 10^{-4}$) and X alleles (OR 0.58, 95% CI 0.39 to 0.84; $p = 4 \times 10^{-3}$). No sig-

nificant association with RA susceptibility was found for the S_{3D} group of alleles (OR 0.89, 95% Cl 0.69 to 1.14; p = 0.88). In such an analysis, a potential bias may be introduced by the presence of allele adverse effect in the control group. For example, in the analysis of the S_2 effect, the association may be overestimated due to the presence of S_{3D} carriers in the control group (noncarrier of S_2). Similarly, the effect of S_2 may be underestimated thanks to the presence of S_{3D} carriers. After controlling for the adverse effect of S_{3D} and S_1 in the analysis of S_2 , the association with RA susceptibility remained significant (p < 0.05).

Carrier frequency comparisons of the different *HLA*-*DRB1* allele groups between RA cases and controls in Caucasoid and non-Caucasoid population samples

Results of allele carrier frequency comparisons between RA cases and controls in Caucasoid and non-Caucasoid population samples are presented in Table 2. In the Caucasoid population sample, S₂ alleles (OR 2.61, 95% Cl 1.87 to 3.64) and S_{3P} alleles (OR 1.86, 95% Cl 1.39 to 2.49) were positively associated with RA susceptibility, whereas S₁ alleles (OR 0.59, 95% Cl 0.45 to 0.79) and X alleles (OR 0.74, 95% Cl 0.56 to 0.96) were negatively associated with RA susceptibility. In the non-Caucasoid population sample, S_{3P} alleles (OR 2.93, 95% Cl 2.21 to 4.04) were positively associated with RA susceptibility, whereas S₁ alleles (OR 0.57, 95% Cl 0.37 to 0.71) and X alleles (OR 0.61, 95% Cl 0.45 to 0.83) were negatively associated with RA susceptibility.

Overall effect estimation of genotypes resulting from the classification of HLA-DRB1 alleles on RA susceptibility

Using the approach proposed by Michou and colleagues [9], we further pooled the three low-risk allele groups (S_1 , S_{3D} , and X), thus producing a new grouping called L alleles. Thus, in

Figure 1

S₁					
	RA cases	Controls	0.5	050/ 01	Formation
Sample origin	n(%)	n(%)	OR	95% CI	Forest plot
Amerindians	7(7.1%)	6(7.7%)	0.92	[0.30;2.87]	
Chinese	4(19%)	9(45%)	0.92	[0.07;1.17]	
Greek	11(30%)	10(32%)	0.89	[0.32;2.49]	
Javanese	27(41%)	31(43%)	0.92	[0.47;1.8]	
Korean	20(24.7%)	33(48%)	0.35	[0.17:0.70]	1
North American Blacks	21(45.6%)	27(53%)	0.75	[0.34;1.66]	
Russian	10(25%)	31(42%)	0.45	[0.19;1.06]	
San (Bushman)	8(34.8%)	34(60%)	0.36	[0.11;0.99]	
Spanish	49(34.7%)	100(43%)	0.71	[0.46;1.10]	_ _ ₽_
USA Whites	55(26.8%)	42(40%)	0.55	[0.33;0.90]	_ _
Global effect	212(28%)	323(40%)	0.60	[0.48:0.76]	
Giobal ellect	212(2076)	525(4078)			•
			Uaas	ratio range	0.1 0.2 0.5 1 2 5 10
S ₂	RA cases	Controls	OR	95% CI	
Sample origin	n(%)	n(%)	UK	35 /8 CI	Forest plot
Amerindians	8(8.2%)	1(1.3%)	6.84	[0.84;55.95]	_
Chinese	7(3.3%)	4(20%)	2	[0.48;8.3]	
Greek	13(35.1%)	6(19.3%)	2.26	[0.74;6.9]	
Javanese	11(16.7%)	6(8.3%)	2.2	[0.76;6.33]	+
Korean	5(6.2%)	2(2.9%)	2.17	[0.41;11.56]	
North American Blacks	5(10.9%)	9(17.6%)	0.57	[0.18;1.84]	
Russian	19(47.5%)	11(15%)	5.1	[2.09;12.45]	
San (Bushman)	12(52.2%)	15(26%)	3.05	[1.11;8.37]	
Spanish	24(17%)	23(9.8%)	1.88	[1.02;3.48]	
USA Whites	77(37.5%)	26(25%)	1.83	[1.08;3.09]	
Global effect	181(24%)	103(13%)	2.15	[1.54;3.00]	◆
			Odds	ratio range	0.1 0.2 0.5 1 2 5 10
S _{3D}	RA cases	Controls			
Sample origin	n(%)	n(%)	OR	95% CI	Forest plot
Amerindians	6(6%)	5(6%)	0.95	[0.28;3.24]	
Chinese	14(66.7%)	13(65%)	1.08	[0.30;3.92]	
Greek	23(62.1%)	25(81%)	0.39	[0.13;1.20]	
Javanese	45(68,1%)	56(78%)	0.61	[0.29;1.31]	
Korean	21(26%)	14(20%)	1.35	[0.63;2.91]	
		14(27%)		[0 66 3 65]	
North American Blacks	17(37%)	14(27%) 49(67%)	1.55	[0.66;3.65] [0.55:3.02]	
North American Blacks Russian	17(37%) 29(72.5%)	14(27%) 49(67%) 18(31%)		[0.55;3.02]	
North American Blacks	17(37%)	49(67%)	1.55 1.29		
North American Blacks Russian San (Bushman)	17(37%) 29(72.5%) 9(39%)	49(67%) 18(31%)	1.55 1.29 1.39	[0.55;3.02] [0.51;3.81]	
North American Blacks Russian San (Bushman) Spanish	17(37%) 29(72.5%) 9(39%) 27(19%)	49(67%) 18(31%) 57(24%)	1.55 1.29 1.39 0.74	[0.55;3.02] [0.51;3.81] [0.44;1.23]	
North American Blacks Russian San (Bushman) Spanish USA Whites	17(37%) 29(72.5%) 9(39%) 27(19%) 44(21%)	49(67%) 18(31%) 57(24%) 28(27%)	1.55 1.29 1.39 0.74 0.75 0.89	[0.55;3.02] [0.51;3.81] [0.44;1.23] [0.44;1.30]	
North American Blacks Russian San (Bushman) Spanish USA Whites Global effect	17(37%) 29(72.5%) 9(39%) 27(19%) 44(21%) 235(31%)	49(67%) 18(31%) 57(24%) 28(27%) 279(35%)	1.55 1.29 1.39 0.74 0.75 0.89	[0.55;3.02] [0.51;3.81] [0.44;1.23] [0.44;1.30] [0.69;1.14]	
North American Blacks Russian San (Bushman) Spanish USA Whites Global effect S _{3P}	17(37%) 29(72.5%) 9(39%) 27(19%) 44(21%)	49(67%) 18(31%) 57(24%) 28(27%)	1.55 1.29 1.39 0.74 0.75 0.89	[0.55;3.02] [0.51;3.81] [0.44;1.23] [0.44;1.30] [0.69;1.14]	0.1 0.2 0.5 1 2 5 10 Forest plot
North American Blacks Russian San (Bushman) Spanish USA Whites Global effect S _{3P} Sample origin	17(37%) 29(72.5%) 9(39%) 27(19%) 44(21%) 235(31%) RA cases n(%)	49(67%) 18(31%) 57(24%) 28(27%) 279(35%) Controls n(%)	1.55 1.29 1.39 0.74 0.75 0.89 OR	[0.55;3.02] [0.51;3.81] [0.44;1.23] [0.44;1.30] [0.69;1.14] a ratio range 95% Cl	
North American Blacks Russian Spanish USA Whites Global effect S _{3P} Sample origin Amerindians	17(37%) 29(72.5%) 9(39%) 27(19%) 44(21%) 235(31%) RA cases n(%) 84(85.7%)	49(67%) 18(31%) 57(24%) 28(27%) 279(35%) Controls n(%) 46(59%)	1.55 1.29 1.39 0.74 0.75 0.89 Odds OR 4.17	[0.55;3.02] [0.51;3.81] [0.44;1.23] [0.44;1.30] [0.69;1.14] <i>ratio range</i> 95% Cl [2.02;8.61]	
North American Blacks Russian San (Bushman) Spanish USA Whites Global effect S _{3P} Sample origin	17(37%) 29(72.5%) 9(39%) 27(19%) 44(21%) 235(31%) RA cases n(%) 84(85.7%) 1(4.8%)	49(67%) 18(31%) 57(24%) 28(27%) 279(35%) Controls n(%) 46(59%) 0(0%)	1.55 1.29 1.39 0.74 0.75 0.89 OR	[0.55;3.02] [0.51;3.81] [0.44;1.23] [0.44;1.30] [0.69;1.14] ratio range 95% Cl [2.02;8.61] [0.12;78.04]	
North American Blacks Russian San (Bushman) Spanish USA Whites Global effect S _{3P} Sample origin Amerindians Chinese Greek	17(37%) 29(72.5%) 9(39%) 27(19%) 44(21%) 235(31%) RA cases n(%) 84(85.7%)	49(67%) 18(31%) 57(24%) 28(27%) 279(35%) Controls n(%) 46(59%)	1.55 1.29 1.39 0.74 0.75 0.89 Odds OR 4.17 3	[0.55;3.02] [0.51;3.81] [0.44;1.23] [0.44;1.30] [0.69;1.14] <i>ratio range</i> 95% Cl [2.02;8.61]	
North American Blacks Russian San (Bushman) Spanish USA Whites Global effect S _{3P} Sample origin Amerindians Chinese	17(37%) 9(39%) 27(19%) 44(21%) 235(31%) RA cases n(%) 84(85.7%) 1(4.8%) 9(24%)	49(67%) 18(31%) 57(24%) 28(27%) 279(35%) 279(35%) Controls n(%) 46(59%) 0(0%) 0(0%)	1.55 1.29 1.39 0.74 0.75 0.89 Odds OR 4.17 3 21	[0.55;3.02] [0.51;3.81] [0.44;1.23] [0.44;1.30] [0.69;1.14] <i>a ratio range</i> 95% Cl [2.02;8.61] [0.12;78.04] [1.17;377.3]	
North American Blacks Russian San (Bushman) Spanish USA Whites Global effect S _{3P} Sample origin Amerindians Chinese Greek Javanese Korean	17(37%) 29(72.5%) 9(39%) 27(19%) 44(21%) 44(21%) 235(31%) RA cases n(%) 84(85.7%) 1(4.8%) 9(24%) 5(7.5%)	49(67%) 18(31%) 57(24%) 28(27%) 279(35%) Controls n(%) 46(59%) 0(0%) 0(0%) 2(2.8%)	1.55 1.29 1.39 0.74 0.75 0.89 Odds OR 4.17 3 21 2.87	[0.55;3.02] [0.51;3.81] [0.44;1.23] [0.44;1.30] [0.69;1.14] <i>ratio range</i> 95% Cl [2.02;8.61] [0.12;78.04] [1.17;377.3] [0.54;15.32]	
North American Blacks Russian San (Bushman) Spanish USA Whites Global effect Sap Sample origin Amerindians Chinese Greek Javanese Korean North American Blacks Russian	17(37%) 29(72.5%) 9(39%) 27(19%) 44(21%) 235(31%) 84(85.7%) 1(4.8%) 9(24%) 5(7.5%) 51(63%)	49(67%) 18(31%) 57(24%) 28(27%) 279(35%) 279(35%) 279(35%) 46(59%) 0(0%) 0(0%) 2(2.8%) 22(32%) 8(16%) 0(0%)	1.55 1.29 1.39 0.74 0.75 0.89 Odds Odds 0 4 .17 3 21 2.87 3.55 5.38	[0.55;3.02] [0.51;3.81] [0.44;1.23] [0.44;1.30] [0.69;1.14] ratio range 95% Cl [2.02;8.61] [0.12;78.04] [1.17;377.3] [0.54;15.32] [1.8;7.01]	
North American Blacks Russian San (Bushman) Spanish USA Whites Global effect S _{3P} Sample origin Amerindians Chinese Greek Javanese Korean North American Blacks Russian San (Bushman)	17(37%) 29(72.5%) 9(39%) 27(19%) 44(21%) 235(31%) 235(31%) 84(85.7%) 1(4.8%) 9(24%) 5(7.5%) 51(63%) 23(50%) 0(0%) 6(26%)	49(67%) 18(31%) 57(24%) 28(27%) 279(35%) 279(35%) 46(59%) 0(0%) 2(2,8%) 0(0%) 22(32%) 8(16%) 0(0%) 12(21%)	1.55 1.29 1.39 0.74 0.75 0.89 Odds OR 4.17 3 21 2.87 3.55 5.38 No 1.32	[0.55;3.02] [0.51;3.81] [0.44;1.23] [0.44;1.30] [0.69;1.14] Fratio range 95% CI [2.02;8.61] [0.12;78.04] [1.17;377.3] [0.54;15.32] [1.8;7.01] [2.08;13.91] testimable [0.43;4.09]	
North American Blacks Russian San (Bushman) Spanish USA Whites Global effect S _{3P} Sample origin Amerindians Chinese Greek Javanese Korean North American Blacks Russian San (Bushman) Spanish	17(37%) 9(39%) 27(19%) 44(21%) 235(31%) RA cases n(%) 84(85.7%) 1(4.8%) 9(24%) 5(7.5%) 51(63%) 23(50%) 0(0%)	49(67%) 18(31%) 57(24%) 28(27%) 279(35%) 279(35%) Controls n(%) 46(59%) 0(0%) 2(2.8%) 22(32%) 8(16%) 0(0%) 12(21%) 85(36%)	1.55 1.29 1.39 0.74 0.75 0.89 Odds Odds O 4 .17 3 21 2.87 3.55 5.38 No 1.32 2.23	[0.55;3.02] [0.51;3.81] [0.44;1.23] [0.44;1.30] [0.69;1.14] [0.69;1.14] [0.69;1.14] [0.56;1.14] [0.12;78.04] [1.17;377.3] [0.54;15.32] [1.8;7.01] [2.08;13.91] [4.8;1.91] [4.8;1.91]	
North American Blacks Russian San (Bushman) Spanish USA Whites Global effect S _{3P} Sample origin Amerindians Chinese Greek Javanese Korean North American Blacks Russian San (Bushman)	17(37%) 29(72.5%) 9(39%) 27(19%) 44(21%) 235(31%) 235(31%) 84(85.7%) 1(4.8%) 9(24%) 5(7.5%) 51(63%) 23(50%) 0(0%) 6(26%)	49(67%) 18(31%) 57(24%) 28(27%) 279(35%) 279(35%) 46(59%) 0(0%) 2(2,8%) 0(0%) 22(32%) 8(16%) 0(0%) 12(21%)	1.55 1.29 1.39 0.74 0.75 0.89 Odds OR 4.17 3 21 2.87 3.55 5.38 No 1.32	[0.55;3.02] [0.51;3.81] [0.44;1.23] [0.44;1.30] [0.69;1.14] Fratio range 95% CI [2.02;8.61] [0.12;78.04] [1.17;377.3] [0.54;15.32] [1.8;7.01] [2.08;13.91] testimable [0.43;4.09]	
North American Blacks Russian San (Bushman) Spanish USA Whites Global effect S _{3P} Sample origin Amerindians Chinese Greek Javanese Korean North American Blacks Russian San (Bushman) Spanish	17(37%) 29(72.5%) 9(39%) 27(19%) 44(21%) 235(31%) RA cases n(%) 84(85.7%) 1(4.8%) 9(24%) 5(7.5%) 51(63%) 23(50%) 0(0%) 6(26%) 79(56%)	49(67%) 18(31%) 57(24%) 28(27%) 279(35%) 279(35%) Controls n(%) 46(59%) 0(0%) 2(2.8%) 22(32%) 8(16%) 0(0%) 12(21%) 85(36%)	1.55 1.29 1.39 0.74 0.75 0.89 Odds Odds O 4 .17 3 21 2.87 3.55 5.38 No 1.32 2.23	[0.55;3.02] [0.51;3.81] [0.44;1.23] [0.44;1.30] [0.69;1.14] a ratio range 95% Cl [2.02;8.61] [0.12;78.04] [1.17;377.3] [0.54;15.32] [1.8;7.01] [2.08;13.91] t estimable [0.43;4.09] [1.46;3.42]	
North American Blacks Russian San (Bushman) Spanish USA Whites Global effect S _{3P} Sample origin Amerindians Chinese Greek Javanese Korean North American Blacks Russian San (Bushman) Spanish USA Whites	$\begin{array}{c} 17(37\%)\\ 29(72.5\%)\\ 9(39\%)\\ 27(19\%)\\ 44(21\%)\\ 44(21\%)\\ 44(21\%)\\ 14(31\%)\\ 84(85.7\%)\\ 1(4.8\%)\\ 9(24\%)\\ 5(7.5\%)\\ 5(7$	49(67%) 18(31%) 57(24%) 28(27%) 279(35%) Controls n(%) 46(59%) 0(0%) 2(2.8%) 2(2.8%) 2(2.8%) 2(2.1%) 8(16%) 0(0%) 2(2.1%) 85(36%) 23(22%)	1.55 1.29 1.39 0.74 0.75 0.89 OR 4.17 3 55 5.38 No 1.32 2.23 1.89 2.74	[0.55;3.02] [0.51;3.81] [0.44;1.23] [0.44;1.30] [0.69;1.14] a ratio range 95% Cl [2.02;8.61] [0.12;78.04] [1.17;377.3] [0.54;15.32] [1.8;7.01] [2.08;13.91] t estimable [0.43;4.09] [1.46;3.42] [1.1;3.26] [2.01;3.74]	Forest plot
North American Blacks Russian San (Bushman) Spanish USA Whites Global effect S _{3P} Sample origin Amerindians Chinese Greek Javanese Korean North American Blacks Russian San (Bushman) Spanish USA Whites Global effect	17(37%) 29(72.5%) 9(39%) 27(19%) 44(21%) 235(31%) 235(31%) 235(31%) 84(85.7%) 1(4.8%) 9(24%) 5(7.5%) 51(63%) 23(50%) 0(0%) 6(26%) 79(56%) 71(34.6%) 329(43.4%)	49(67%) 18(31%) 57(24%) 28(27%) 279(35%) Controls n(%) 46(59%) 0(0%) 0(0%) 0(0%) 22(32%) 8(16%) 0(0%) 12(21%) 85(36%) 23(22%) 198(25%)	1.55 1.29 1.39 0.74 0.75 0.89 OR 4.17 3 55 5.38 No 1.32 2.23 1.89 2.74	[0.55;3.02] [0.51;3.81] [0.44;1.23] [0.44;1.30] [0.69;1.14] a ratio range 95% Cl [2.02;8.61] [0.12;78.04] [1.17;37.3] [0.54;15.32] [1.8;7.01] [2.08;13.91] t estimable [0.43;4.09] [1.46;3.42] [1.1;3.26]	
North American Blacks Russian San (Bushman) Spanish USA Whites Global effect S _{3P} Sample origin Amerindians Chinese Greek Javanese Korean North American Blacks Russian San (Bushman) Spanish USA Whites Global effect	17(37%) 29(72.5%) 9(39%) 27(19%) 44(21%) 235(31%) RA cases n(%) 84(85.7%) 1(4.8%) 9(24%) 51(63%) 23(50%) 0(0%) 6(26%) 79(56%) 71(34.6%) 329(43.4%) RA cases	49(67%) 18(31%) 57(24%) 28(27%) 279(35%) Controls n(%) 46(59%) 0(0%) 22(32%) 8(16%) 0(0%) 12(21%) 85(36%) 23(22%) 198(25%) Controls	1.55 1.29 1.39 0.74 0.75 0.89 OR 4.17 3 55 5.38 No 1.32 2.23 1.89 2.74	[0.55;3.02] [0.51;3.81] [0.44;1.23] [0.44;1.30] [0.69;1.14] a ratio range 95% Cl [2.02;8.61] [0.12;78.04] [1.17;377.3] [0.54;15.32] [1.8;7.01] [2.08;13.91] t estimable [0.43;4.09] [1.46;3.42] [1.1;3.26] [2.01;3.74]	Forest plot
North American Blacks Russian San (Bushman) Spanish USA Whites Global effect S _{3P} Sample origin Amerindians Chinese Greek Javanese Korean North American Blacks Russian San (Bushman) Spanish USA Whites Global effect X Sample origin	17(37%) 29(72.5%) 9(39%) 27(19%) 44(21%) 235(31%) 84(85.7%) 1(4.8%) 9(24%) 51(63%) 23(50%) 0(0%) 6(26%) 79(56%) 71(34.6%) 329(43.4%) RA cases n(%)	49(67%) 18(31%) 57(24%) 28(27%) 279(35%) Controls n(%) 46(59%) 0(0%) 22(32%) 8(16%) 0(0%) 12(21%) 85(36%) 23(22%) 198(25%) Controls n(%)	1.55 1.29 1.39 0.74 0.75 0.89 OR 4.17 3 21 2.87 3.55 5.38 No 1.32 2.23 1.89 2.74 Odds OR	[0.55;3.02] [0.51;3.81] [0.44;1.23] [0.44;1.30] [0.69;1.14] a ratio range 95% Cl [2.02;8.61] [0.12;78.04] [1.17;377.3] [0.54;15.32] [1.8;7.01] [2.08;13.91] t estimable [0.43;4.09] [1.46;3.42] [1.1;3.26] [2.01;3.74] ratio range 95% Cl	Forest plot
North American Blacks Russian San (Bushman) Spanish USA Whites Global effect S _{3P} Sample origin Amerindians Chinese Greek Javanese Korean North American Blacks Russian San (Bushman) Spanish USA Whites Global effect X Sample origin Amerindians	17(37%) 29(72.5%) 9(39%) 27(19%) 44(21%) 235(31%) 235(31%) 235(31%) 235(31%) 235(31%) 235(31%) 23(51%) 23(50%) 0(0%) 6(26%) 71(34.6%) 329(43.4%) 23(51%)	49(67%) 18(31%) 57(24%) 28(27%) 279(35%) Controls n(%) 46(59%) 0(0%) 2(2.8%) 2(2.8%) 2(2.8%) 2(2.8%) 2(2.1%) 8(16%) 0(0%) 2(2.1%) 85(36%) 23(22%) 198(25%) Controls n(%) 58(74%)	1.55 1.29 1.39 0.74 0.75 0.89 Odds OR 4.17 3 21 2.87 3.55 5.38 No 1.32 2.23 1.89 2.74 Odds 0R	[0.55;3.02] [0.51;3.81] [0.44;1.23] [0.44;1.30] [0.69;1.14] a ratio range 95% Cl [2.02;8.61] [0.12;78.04] [1.17;377.3] [0.54;15.32] [1.8;7.01] [2.08;13.91] t estimable [0.43;4.09] [1.46;3.42] [1.1;3.26] [2.01;3.74] ratio range 95% Cl [0.19;0.68]	Forest plot
North American Blacks Russian San (Bushman) Spanish USA Whites Global effect S ₃ p Sample origin Amerindians Chinese Greek Javanese Korean North American Blacks Russian San (Bushman) Spanish USA Whites Global effect X Sample origin Amerindians Chinese	17(37%) 29(72.5%) 9(39%) 27(19%) 44(21%) 235(31%) 235(31%) 235(31%) 235(31%) 235(31%) 235(31%) 23(51%) 9(24%) 5(7.5%) 51(63%) 23(50%) 9(26%) 71(34.6%) 329(43.4%) 23(61%) 7(33%)	49(67%) 18(31%) 57(24%) 28(27%) 279(35%) Controls n(%) 46(59%) 0(0%) 0(0%) 0(0%) 22(32%) 8(16%) 0(0%) 12(21%) 85(36%) 23(32%) 198(25%) Controls n(%) 58(74%) 11(55%)	1.55 1.29 1.39 0.74 0.75 0.89 Odds 0R 4.17 3 55 5.38 No 1.32 2.23 1.89 2.74 Odds 0.36 0.41	[0.55;3.02] [0.51;3.81] [0.44;1.23] [0.44;1.30] [0.69;1.14] Fratio range 95% Cl [2.02;8.61] [0.12;78.04] [1.17;377.3] [0.54;15.32] [1.8;7.01] [2.08;13.91] testimable [0.43;4.09] [1.46;3.42] [1.1;3.26] [2.01;3.74] ratio range 95% Cl [0.19;0.68] [0.12;1.45]	Forest plot
North American Blacks Russian San (Bushman) Spanish USA Whites Global effect S _{3P} Sample origin Amerindians Chinese Greek Javanese Korean North American Blacks Russian San (Bushman) Spanish USA Whites Global effect X Sample origin Amerindians Chinese Greek	17(37%) 29(72.5%) 9(39%) 27(19%) 44(21%) 44(21%) 235(31%) 84(85.7%) 1(4.8%) 9(24%) 5(7.5%) 5(7.5%) 5(7.5%) 5(63%) 23(50%) 0(0%) 6(26%) 71(34.6%) 329(43.4%) 8A cases n(%) 50(51%) 7(33%) 7(39%) 7(19%)	49(67%) 18(31%) 57(24%) 28(27%) 279(35%) 279(35%) 46(59%) 0(0%) 46(59%) 0(0%) 22(32%) 22(32%) 198(25%) Controls n(%) 58(74%) 11(55%) 12(39%)	1.55 1.29 1.39 0.74 0.75 0.89 Odds OR 4.17 3 21 2.87 3.55 5.38 No 1.32 2.23 1.89 2.74 Odds 0R 0.41 0.37	[0.55;3.02] [0.51;3.81] [0.44;1.23] [0.44;1.30] [0.69;1.14] a ratio range 95% Cl [2.02;8.61] [0.12;78.04] [1.17;377.3] [0.54;15.32] [1.8;7.01] [2.08;13.91] t estimable [0.43;4.09] [1.46;3.42] [1.1;3.26] [2.01;3.74] ratio range 95% Cl [0.19;0.68] [0.12;1.45] [0.12;1.10]	Forest plot
North American Blacks Russian San (Bushman) Spanish USA Whites Global effect S3P Sample origin Amerindians Chinese Greek Javanese Korean North American Blacks Russian San (Bushman) Spanish USA Whites Global effect X Sample origin Amerindians Chinese Greek Javanese	17(37%) 29(72.5%) 9(39%) 27(19%) 44(21%) 235(31%) 235(31%) 235(31%) 235(31%) 235(31%) 23(51%) 9(24%) 9(24%) 9(24%) 9(24%) 51(63%) 23(50%) 0(0%) 6(26%) 71(34.6%) 329(43.4%) 329(43.4%) 50(51%) 7(33%) 7(19%) 28(42%)	49(67%) 18(31%) 57(24%) 28(27%) 279(35%) 279(35%) Controls n(%) 46(59%) 0(0%) 2(2.8%) 2(2.8%) 2(2.8%) 2(2.8%) 2(2.2%) 198(25%) 198(25%) Controls n(%) 58(74%) 11(55%) 12(39%) 17(24%)	1.55 1.29 1.39 0.74 0.75 0.89 Odds OR 4.17 3.55 5.38 No 1.32 2.23 2.23 1.89 2.74 Odds 0.36 0.41 0.36 0.41 0.33	[0.55;3.02] [0.51;3.81] [0.44;1.23] [0.44;1.30] [0.69;1.14] a ratio range 95% Cl [2.02;8.61] [0.12;78.04] [1.17;377.3] [0.54;15.32] [1.8;7.01] [2.08;13.91] t estimable [0.43;4.09] [1.46;3.42] [1.13;26] [2.01;3.74] ratio range 95% Cl [0.19;0.68] [0.12;1.45] [0.12;1.10] [1.15;4.95]	Forest plot
North American Blacks Russian San (Bushman) Spanish USA Whites Global effect S _{3P} Sample origin Amerindians Chinese Greek Javanese Korean San (Bushman) Spanish USA Whites Global effect X Sample origin Amerindians Chinese Greek Javanese Korean	17(37%) 29(72.5%) 9(39%) 27(19%) 44(21%) 235(31%) 235(31%) 235(31%) 84(85.7%) 1(4.8%) 9(24%) 5(7.5%) 51(63%) 23(50%) 71(34.6%) 329(43.4%) 84(85.7%) 71(34.6%) 329(43.4%)	49(67%) 18(31%) 57(24%) 28(27%) 279(35%) Controls n(%) 46(59%) 0(0%) 22(32%) 8(16%) 0(0%) 12(21%) 85(36%) 23(22%) 198(25%) 198(25%) Controls n(%) 58(74%) 11(55%) 12(39%) 17(24%) 50(73%)	1.55 1.29 1.39 0.74 0.75 0.89 Odds OR 4.17 3.55 5.38 No 1.32 2.23 1.89 2.74 Odds 0.36 0.41 0.37 2.38 0.30	[0.55;3.02] [0.51;3.81] [0.44;1.23] [0.44;1.30] [0.69;1.14] Fratio range 95% Cl [2.02;8.61] [0.12;78.04] [1.17;377.3] [0.54;15.32] [1.46;3.42] [1.46;3.42] [1.46;3.42] [1.1;3.26] [2.01;3.74] ratio range 95% Cl [0.19;0.68] [0.12;1.45] [0.12;1.45] [0.12;1.61]	Forest plot
North American Blacks Russian San (Bushman) Spanish USA Whites Global effect Sap Sample origin Amerindians Chinese Greek Javanese Korean North American Blacks Russian San (Bushman) Spanish USA Whites Global effect X Sample origin Amerindians Chinese Greek Javanese Korean North American Blacks	17(37%) 29(72.5%) 9(39%) 27(19%) 44(21%) 235(31%) 235(31%) 235(31%) 235(31%) 84(85.7%) 1(4.8%) 9(24%) 5(7.5%) 51(63%) 0(0%) 6(26%) 71(5%) 51(63%) 0(0%) 6(26%) 71(34.6%) 329(43.4%) 50(51%) 7(19%) 28(42%) 37(46%) 17(37%)	49(67%) 18(31%) 57(24%) 28(27%) 279(35%) 279(35%) Controls n(%) 46(59%) 0(0%) 2(2.8%) 22(32%) 46(59%) 0(0%) 2(21%) 85(36%) 23(22%) 198(25%) 58(74%) 11(55%) 12(39%) 58(74%) 11(55%) 12(39%) 58(74%) 11(55%) 12(39%) 58(73%) 27(53%) 27(53%)	1.55 1.29 1.39 0.74 0.75 0.89 Odds OR 4.17 3.55 5.38 No 1.32 2.23 1.89 2.74 Odds 0.36 0.41 0.37 2.38 0.36 0.41 0.37 2.38 0.37 0.52	[0.55;3.02] [0.51;3.81] [0.44;1.23] [0.44;1.30] [0.69;1.14] a ratio range 95% Cl [2.02;8.61] [0.12;78.04] [1.17;377.3] [0.54;15.32] [1.8;7.01] [2.08;13.91] t estimable [0.43;4.09] [1.46;3.42] [1.1;3.25] [2.01;3.74] ratio range 95% Cl [0.19;0.68] [0.12;1.45] [0.12;1.45] [0.12;1.45] [0.12;1.45] [0.15;0.61] [0.23;1.17]	Forest plot
North American Blacks Russian San (Bushman) Spanish USA Whites Global effect S3P Sample origin Amerindians Chinese Greek Javanese Korean North American Blacks Russian San (Bushman) Spanish USA Whites Global effect X Sample origin Amerindians Chinese Greek Javanese Korean North American Blacks Russian	17(37%) 29(72.5%) 9(39%) 27(19%) 44(21%) 235(31%) RA cases n(%) 84(85.7%) 1(4.8%) 9(24%) 5(7.5%) 51(63%) 23(50%) 0(0%) 6(26%) 71(34.6%) 329(43.4%) 329(43.4%) 7(19%) 50(51%) 7(33%) 7(19%) 28(42%) 37(46%) 17(37%) 12(30%)	49(67%) 18(31%) 57(24%) 28(27%) 279(35%) Controls n(%) 46(59%) 0(0%) 0(0%) 0(0%) 22(32%) 8(16%) 0(0%) 12(21%) 85(36%) 23(22%) 198(25%) Controls n(%) 58(74%) 11(55%) 12(39%) 57(73%) 27(53%) 31(42%)	1.55 1.29 1.39 0.74 0.75 0.89 Odds OR 4.17 3 5.58 5.38 No 1.32 2.23 2.23 1.89 2.74 Odds 0.36 0.41 0.37 2.38 0.30 0.58	[0.55;3.02] [0.51;3.81] [0.44;1.23] [0.44;1.23] [0.44;1.30] [0.69;1.14] Fatio range 95% Cl [2.02;8.61] [0.12;78.04] [1.17;377.3] [0.54;15.32] [1.8;7.01] [2.08;13.91] [1.46;3.42] [1.1;3.26] [1.1;3.26] [1.1;3.26] [2.01;3.74] ratio range 95% Cl [0.19;0.68] [0.12;1.45] [0.12;1.45] [0.12;1.45] [0.15;0.61] [0.23;1.17] [0.26;1.32]	Forest plot
North American Blacks Russian San (Bushman) Spanish USA Whites Global effect S _{3P} Sample origin Amerindians Chinese Greek Javanese Korean San (Bushman) Spanish USA Whites Global effect X Sample origin Amerindians Chinese Greek Javanese Korean Amerindians Chinese Greek Javanese Korean North American Blacks Korean North American Blacks Russian San (Bushman)	17(37%) 29(72.5%) 9(39%) 27(19%) 44(21%) 235(31%) 235(31%) RA cases n(%) 84(85.7%) 1(4.8%) 9(24%) 57(5%) 51(63%) 23(50%) 0(0%) 6(26%) 71(34.6%) 71(34.6%) 71(34.6%) 7(19%) 28(42%) 37(46%) 17(37%) 12(30%) 6(26%)	49(67%) 18(31%) 57(24%) 28(27%) 279(35%) Controls n(%) 46(59%) 0(0%) 22(32%) 8(16%) 0(0%) 12(21%) 85(36%) 23(22%) 198(25%) 198(25%) 1(55%) 12(39%) 17(24%) 50(73%) 27(53%) 31(42%) 29(51%)	1.55 1.29 1.39 0.74 0.75 0.89 OR 4.17 3.55 5.38 No 1.32 2.23 1.32 2.23 1.32 2.74 OR 0.36 0.41 0.37 2.38 0.30 0.52 0.52 0.34	[0.55;3.02] [0.51;3.81] [0.44;1.23] [0.44;1.30] [0.69;1.14] ratio range 95% Cl [2.02;8.61] [0.12;78.04] [1.17;377.3] [0.54;15.32] [1.8;7.01] [2.08;13.91] t estimable [0.43;4.09] [1.46;3.42] [1.1;3.26] [2.01;3.74] ratio range 95% Cl [0.19;0.68] [0.12;1.45] [0.12;1.45] [0.12;1.45] [0.12;1.45] [0.12;1.45] [0.12;1.45] [0.12;1.45] [0.12;1.661] [0.23;1.17] [0.23;1.17] [0.23;1.17] [0.26;1.32] [0.12;0.99]	Forest plot
North American Blacks Russian San (Bushman) Spanish USA Whites Global effect S3P Sample origin Amerindians Chinese Greek Javanese Korean North American Blacks Russian San (Bushman) Spanish USA Whites Global effect X Sample origin Amerindians Chinese Greek Javanese Korean North American Blacks Russian San (Bushman) San (Bushman) San (Bushman) San (Bushman) San (Bushman) San (Bushman) San (Bushman) Spanish	17(37%) 29(72.5%) 9(39%) 27(19%) 44(21%) 235(31%) 235(31%) RA cases n(%) 84(85.7%) 1(4.8%) 9(24%) 5(7.5%) 51(63%) 23(50%) 0(0%) 6(26%) 71(34.6%) 329(43.4%) RA cases n(%) 50(51%) 7(19%) 28(42%) 37(46%) 12(30%) 6(26%) 70(50%)	49(67%) 18(31%) 57(24%) 28(27%) 279(35%) 279(35%) Controls n(%) 46(59%) 0(0%) 2(2.8%) 22(32%) 46(59%) 0(0%) 12(21%) 85(36%) 23(22%) 198(25%) 58(74%) 11(55%) 12(39%) 14(2%) 50(73%) 31(42%) 29(51%) 139(59%)	1.55 1.29 1.39 0.74 0.75 0.89 Odds OR 4.17 3.55 5.38 No 1.32 2.23 1.89 2.74 Odds 0.36 0.41 0.37 2.38 0.36 0.41 0.52 0.58 0.30 0.52 0.58 0.52 0.58 0.67	[0.55;3.02] [0.51;3.81] [0.44;1.23] [0.44;1.30] [0.69;1.14] a ratio range 95% Cl [2.02;8.61] [0.12;78.04] [1.17;377.3] [0.54;15.32] [1.8;7.01] [2.08;13.91] t estimable [0.43;4.09] [1.46;3.42] [1.1;3.26] [2.01;3.74] ratio range 95% Cl [0.19;0.68] [0.12;1.45] [0.12;1.10] [1.15;4.95] [0.12;0.61] [0.23;1.17] [0.26;1.32] [0.24;1.03]	Forest plot
North American Blacks Russian San (Bushman) Spanish USA Whites Global effect S3P Sample origin Amerindians Chinese Greek Javanese Korean North American Blacks Russian San (Bushman) Spanish Global effect X Sample origin Amerindians Chinese Greek Javanese Korean Amerindians Chinese Greek Javanese Korean North American Blacks Russian San (Bushman) Spanish North American Blacks Russian San (Bushman) Spanish USA Whites	17(37%) 29(72.5%) 9(39%) 27(19%) 44(21%) 235(31%) 235(31%) 235(31%) 235(31%) 235(31%) 235(31%) 235(31%) 9(24%) 9(24%) 9(24%) 9(24%) 9(24%) 51(63%) 23(50%) 0(0%) 6(26%) 71(34.6%) 23(51%) 7(33%) 23(51%) 7(33%) 23(24%) 23(25%) 23(24%) 23(25%) 23(24%) 23(25%) 23(24%) 23(24%) 23(25%) 23(24%) 23(24%) 23(24%) 23(25%) 23(24%) 23(24%) 23(25%) 23(24%) 23(49(67%) 18(31%) 57(24%) 28(27%) 279(35%) 279(35%) Controls n(%) 46(59%) 0(0%) 2(2.8%) 22(32%) 46(59%) 0(0%) 22(21%) 85(36%) 23(22%) 198(25%) 58(74%) 11(55%) 12(39%) 58(74%) 11(55%) 12(39%) 31(42%) 29(51%) 139(59%) 62(59%) 62(59%)	1.55 1.29 1.39 0.74 0.75 0.89 Odds OR 4.17 3.55 5.38 No 1.32 2.23 2.23 2.23 2.74 Odds 0.36 0.41 0.37 0.36 0.41 0.36 0.41 0.37 0.38 0.30 0.58 0.30 0.58 0.30 0.58 0.30 0.58 0.30 0.58	[0.55;3.02] [0.51;3.81] [0.44;1.23] [0.44;1.23] [0.44;1.30] [0.69;1.14] Fatio range 95% Cl [2.02;8.61] [0.12;78.04] [1.17;377.3] [0.54;15.32] [1.87,01] [2.08;13.91] [1.46;3.42] [1.1;3.26] [1.1;3.26] [1.1;3.26] [1.1;3.26] [1.1;3.26] [2.01;3.74] ratio range 95% Cl [0.19;0.68] [0.12;1.45] [0.12;1.45] [0.12;1.45] [0.15;0.61] [0.23;1.17] [0.26;1.32] [0.44;1.03] [0.52;1.34]	Forest plot
North American Blacks Russian San (Bushman) Spanish USA Whites Global effect S3P Sample origin Amerindians Chinese Greek Javanese Korean North American Blacks Russian San (Bushman) Spanish USA Whites Global effect X Sample origin Amerindians Chinese Greek Javanese Korean North American Blacks Russian San (Bushman) San (Bushman) San (Bushman) San (Bushman) San (Bushman) San (Bushman) San (Bushman) Spanish	17(37%) 29(72.5%) 9(39%) 27(19%) 44(21%) 235(31%) 235(31%) RA cases n(%) 84(85.7%) 1(4.8%) 9(24%) 5(7.5%) 51(63%) 23(50%) 0(0%) 6(26%) 71(34.6%) 329(43.4%) RA cases n(%) 50(51%) 7(19%) 28(42%) 37(46%) 12(30%) 6(26%) 70(50%)	49(67%) 18(31%) 57(24%) 28(27%) 279(35%) 279(35%) Controls n(%) 46(59%) 0(0%) 2(2.8%) 22(32%) 46(59%) 0(0%) 12(21%) 85(36%) 23(22%) 198(25%) 58(74%) 11(55%) 12(39%) 14(2%) 50(73%) 31(42%) 29(51%) 139(59%)	1.55 1.29 1.39 0.74 0.75 0.89 Odds 0R 4.17 3.55 5.38 No 1.32 2.23 2.23 1.89 2.74 Odds 0.36 0.41 0.37 0.36 0.41 0.37 0.58 0.58	[0.55;3.02] [0.51;3.81] [0.44;1.23] [0.44;1.30] [0.69;1.14] a ratio range 95% Cl [2.02;8.61] [0.12;78.04] [1.17;377.3] [0.54;15.32] [1.8;7.01] [2.08;13.91] t estimable [0.43;4.09] [1.46;3.42] [1.1;3.26] [2.01;3.74] ratio range 95% Cl [0.19;0.68] [0.12;1.45] [0.12;1.10] [1.15;4.95] [0.12;0.61] [0.23;1.17] [0.26;1.32] [0.24;1.03]	Forest plot

Carrier frequency comparisons of the different HLA-DRB1 allele groups between rheumatoid arthritis (RA) cases and controls across the various Caucasoid and non-Caucasoid population samples and overall effect estimation. This figure provides a summary meta-analysis of allele carrier frequencies according to HLA-DRB1 allele classification, in selected samples among the data available from the 13th International Histocompatibility Working Group on Rheumatoid Arthritis. For each population sample, odds ratios (ORs) and 95% confidence intervals (95% CIs) evaluate the significance of the association between the different HLA-DRB1 allele groups and RA susceptibility (blue boxes). The combined ORs and 95% CIs evaluate the significance of the global effect of the different HLA-DRB1 allele groups on RA susceptibility over all population samples. *P* values were calculated with the Mantel-Haenszel method (black diamonds).

Table 2

Carrier frequency comparisons of the different HLA-DRB1 allele groups between rheumatoid arthritis cases and controls in Caucasoid and non-Caucasoid population samples

	RA cases, n (%)	Controls, n (%)	OR (95% CI)
S ₁ alleles			
Caucasoids	125 (29.4%)	183 (41.3%)	0.59 (0.45–0.79)
Non-Caucasoids	87 (26%)	140 (40%)	0.52 (0.37–0.71)
S ₂ alleles			
Caucasoids	133 (31%)	66 (15%)	2.61 (1.87–3.64)
Non-Caucasoids	48 (14%)	37 (11%)	1.40 (0.88–2.21)
S_{3D} alleles			
Caucasoids	123 (29%)	159 (36%)	0.73 (0.55–0.97)
Non-Caucasoids	112 (33%)	120 (35%)	0.95 (0.69–1.30)
S _{3P} alleles			
Caucasoids	159 (37.5%)	108 (24%)	1.86 (1.39–2.49)
Non-Caucasoids	170 (51%)	90 (26%)	2.93 (2.12–4.04)
X alleles			
Caucasoids	201 (47%)	244 (55%)	0.74 (0.56–0.96)
Non-Caucasoids	145 (43%)	192 (55%)	0.61 (0.45–0.83)

The Caucasoid sample population refers to the combination of the following population samples: Greek, Spanish, Russian, and American (Whites). The non-Caucasoid sample population refers to the combination of the following population samples: North American (Amerinds), North American (Blacks), Bushmen, Korean, Chinese, and Javanese. The combined odds ratios (ORs) and 95% confidence intervals (Cls) evaluate the significance of the global effect of the different HLA-DRB1 allele groups on rheumatoid arthritis (RA) susceptibility in Caucasoids and non-Caucasoids.

Table 3

Overall effect estimation of genotypes resulting from the classification of HLA-DRB1 alleles on rheumatoid arthritis susceptibility

Genotypes	Genotype dist	ribution, n (%)	OR (95% CI)	P values
	RA cases, $n = 758$	Controls, $n = 789$		
S ₂ /S _{3P}	39 (5.1%)	7 (0.9%)	7.25 (3.26–16.14)	<10 ⁻⁵
S _{3P} /S _{3P}	74 (9.8%)	31 (4%)	5.15 (2.91–9.12)	<10-5
S ₂ /S ₂	24 (3.2%)	8 (1%)	4.95 (2.2–11.18)	<10-4
S ₂ /L	121 (16%)	78 (9.9%)	2.41 (1.60-3.65)	<10-4
S _{3P} /L	179 (23.6%)	136 (17.2%)	2.33 (1.57–3.45)	<10-4
L/L	321 (42.3%)	529 (67%)	1	

 S_1 , S_2 , S_{3P} , S_{3D} , and X allele groups were defined according to the amino acid sequence at positions 70 to 74. According to the approach proposed by Michou and colleagues [9], we pooled the three low-risk allele groups (S_1 , S_{3D} , and X), so called L alleles. Thus, in subsequent analyses, we considered only three allele groups (S_2 , S_{3P} , and L alleles), with six corresponding genotypes [12]. The reference genotype is L/L. The combined odds ratios (ORs) and 95% confidence intervals (Cls) evaluate the significance of the global effect of the different HLA-DRB1 genotype groups on rheumatoid arthritis (RA) susceptibility over all population samples. *P* values were calculated with the Mantel-Haenszel method.

subsequent analyses, we considered only three allele groups (S₂, S_{3P}, and L alleles), with six corresponding genotypes [12]. The results of observed genotype distributions and of genotype relative risks are shown in Table 3. S₂/S_{3P} and S_{3P}/S_{3P} were associated with the greatest risks for RA, with ORs

(95% Cls) of 7.25 (3.26 to 16.14) and 5.15 (2.91 to 9.12), respectively. These are followed by S_2/S_2 , S_2/L , and S_{3P}/L , with ORs (95% Cls) of 4.95 (2.2 to 11.18), 2.41 (1.60 to 3.65), and 2.33 (1.57 to 3.45), respectively. These analyses were all performed using the L/L genotype as reference.

Discussion

In the present association study, we investigated the relevance of the classification of *HLA-DRB1* alleles proposed by Tezenas du Montcel and colleagues [11] regarding susceptibility to RA, across various Caucasoid and non-Caucasoid population samples, using publicly available data from the 13th IHWG RA studies. Across these various population samples, our approach strengthens the relevance of this classification, exhibiting an overall positive association with RA susceptibility for S₂ and S_{3P} alleles and an overall negative association with RA susceptibility for S₁ and X alleles. The genotype analysis performed in the present study fits with the genotype risk hierarchy previously reported in Caucasoid RA sporadic cases [11] and families [12].

The present combined analysis included 10 samples from different genetic backgrounds. Although we did not observe significant heterogeneity for S_1 , S_2 , S_{3D} and S_{3P} allele groups, we observed significant heterogeneity for the X allele group across the different population samples. The fixed effect model of the Mantel-Haenszel method, used for the overall effect analysis of the HLA-DRB1 allele and genotype groups on RA susceptibility in the present study, assumes that each allele group carries out a homogeneous effect on RA susceptibility across the various Caucasoid and non-Caucasoid samples. The heterogeneity observed for the X allele group may be questioned according the heterogeneity of the HLA-DRB1 allele and genotype groups at two levels across the different population samples: the effect level and the frequency level. Our data suggest that there is a differential effect of the S_1 , S_2 , S_{3D} and S_{3P} allele groups on RA susceptibility. Each of these effects seems homogenous across the various population samples. Because the SE allele distribution varies across these populations, the resulting effect of the X allele group on RA susceptibility depends both on the frequency of the S_1, S_2 , S_{3D} and S_{3P} allele groups, and their respective effects on RA susceptibility, which might explain the observed heterogeneity of the effect of the X allele group in our study.

The contribution of SE alleles to RA susceptibility has been confirmed by numerous studies on different populations. For example, a recent meta-analysis on Latin American RA patients has shown the important role played by SE in RA susceptibility [15]. However, RA prevalence studies have shown differences in frequency estimations between populations with different genetic backgrounds. The highest prevalence rates have been found in Native American populations with estimation ranges of 32 to 48 per 1,000 men and 59 to 70 per 1,000 women. In Afro-Caribbean people who live in the UK, RA prevalence appeared to be lower than that in the general population. In urban African populations, RA prevalence was estimated around 10 per 1,000 and was found to be significantly higher than in rural populations. Studies on Chinese populations have reported lower prevalence estimations than in European ones. Molokhia and McKeigue previously pointed out the difficulty brought up by admixture in investigating the etiology of rheumatic diseases, notably for RA [16]. The significant variations observed in the incidence and prevalence of RA among different populations or ethnic groups could be explained, in part, by genetic variations in the HLA region, especially variations in the prevalence of SE in different populations [17,18]. In addition, as no consideration of environmental exposure variations between the population samples studied was made, the heterogeneity could be explained by the different impact of environmental factors on RA susceptibility in each different sample, such as nutrition as previously suggested, in particular in the Greek population [18,19]. In addition to nutrition, environmental factors such as exposure to cigarette smoking [20,21] or individual factors such as gender [22] may influence susceptibility to RA by interacting with genetic factors such as HLA-DRB1.

The classification proposed by Tezenas du Montcel and colleagues [11], based on amino acid sequence at positions 70 to 74, does not aim to account for all previously reported associations between particular HLA-DRB1 alleles and RA susceptibility in specific ethnic backgrounds. For example, the previously reported association between the *HLA-DRB1**0901 allele and RA susceptibility in East Asian populations could not be tested in the present study, as this particular allele was classified together with many others as an X allele [23-25]. The high frequency of the *HLA-DRB1**0901 allele in the Javanese population could contribute both to the association found between X alleles and susceptibility to RA in this particular population sample and to the observed heterogeneity of the X allele group.

The contribution of the *HLA-DRB1* allele classification in accounting for the genetic contribution of the *HLA-DRB1* gene was previously analyzed in terms of RA severity and in terms of autoantibody production such as anti-cyclic citrullinated peptide (anti-CCP) antibodies and anti-deiminated human fibrinogen autoantibodies. As RA severity outcomes as well as anti-CPP information were not collected in the framework of the 13th IHWG, we were not able to discuss the relevance of the classification of HLA-DRB1 alleles proposed by Tezenas du Montcel and colleagues [8] regarding RA severity or autoantibody production in the various Caucasoid and non-Caucasoid population samples included in the present study.

Conclusion

Across these various samples coming from both Caucasoid and non-Caucasoid populations, we investigated the relevance of the classification of HLA-DRB1 alleles proposed by Tezenas du Montcel and colleagues [11] regarding susceptibility to RA. We confirm previous findings on the contribution of the S₂ and S_{3P} risk allele groups to RA susceptibility. In spite of the small sample size in some ethnic groups, the present study allows the differentiation between predisposing and protective HLA-DRB1 SE alleles in both Caucasoid and non-Caucasoid RA patients.

This report also emphasized the very crucial importance of public release of large-scale study data in genetic epidemiology. The need for large samples to refine the study of effects of modest magnitude and the necessity to replicate studies across different ethnic backgrounds rely on easy access to a large variety of data organized in a systematic way. After an initial period of restricted use of the data by the initial investigators, the access to clinical and genetic anonymous individual data should be made possible; this is the current policy of the National Institutes of Health (Bethesda, MD, USA) for genome-wide association study results [26]. Combined with a detailed description of the sampling scheme for both patients and controls, advanced statistical analysis will contribute to enhance secondary uses of data valorizing the efforts of previously completed studies [27].

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Authors' contributions

TB, ACo, and P-AG took the leadership of the study in both immunological and statistical aspects. ACa contributed through the assessment of clinical aspects. AC-T contributed to the statistical analysis. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Acknowledgements

The authors wish to gratefully acknowledge the contributions of John Hansen and Lee Nelson at the Fred Hutchinson Cancer Research Center (Seattle, WA, USA) and Mike Feolo and the dbMHC (major histocompatibility complex database) team at the National Center for Biotechnology Information (Bethesda, MD, USA) and the help of technical and clinical collaborators from the rheumatoid arthritis international working group, for clinical data management and laboratory typings. The authors are indebted to patients and controls for their kind participation. All of these contributions were invaluable for the setting up of the database used in this article. Infrastructures and facilities from Institut National de la Santé et de la recherche médicale Inserm, Unit 558, Toulouse, and from University Paul Sabatier Toulouse III were used, in particular the TIERSMIP platform for managing multi-site clinical research data management and analysis. The authors were supported by the following institutions: Institut National de la Santé et de la recherche médicale: INSERM, Unit 558, Toulouse, France (P-AG, AC-T, and AC); Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique (AC-T); Department of Rheumatology (AC); Department of Epidemiology (P-AG); and University Hospital, Bordeaux (TB).

References

- Silman AJ, MacGregor AJ, Thomson W, Holligan S, Carthy D, Farhan A, Ollier WE: Twin concordance rates for rheumatoid arthritis: results from a nationwide study. Br J Rheumatol 1993, 32:903-907.
- Newton JL, Harney SM, Wordsworth BP, Brown MA: A review of the MHC genetics of rheumatoid arthritis. *Genes Immun* 2004, 5:151-157.
- 3. Stastny P: Mixed lymphocyte cultures in rheumatoid arthritis. J Clin Invest 1976, 57:1148-1157.

- 4. Korendowych E, Dixey J, Cox B, Jones S, McHugh N: The Influence of the HLA-DRB1 rheumatoid arthritis shared epitope on the clinical characteristics and radiological outcome of psoriatic arthritis. *J Rheumatol* 2003, **30:**96-101.
- Génin E, Babron MC, McDermott MF, Mulcahy B, Waldron-Lynch F, Adams C, Clegg DO, Ward RH, Shanahan F, Molloy MG, O'Gara F, Clerget-Darpoux F: Modelling the major histocompatibility complex susceptibility to RA using the MASC method. *Genetic Epidemiology* 1998, 15:419-430.
- Genetic Epidemiology 1998, 15:419-430.
 Tézenas du Montcel S, Reviron D, Genin E, Roudier J, Mercier P, Clerget-Darpoux F: Modeling the HLA component in rheumatoid arthritis: sensitivity to DRB1 allele frequencies. *Genet Epidemiol* 2000, 19:422-428.
- Wheeler DL, Barrett T, Benson DA, Bryant SH, Canese K, Chetvernin V, Church DM, DiCuccio M, Edgar R, Federhen S, Geer LY, Kapustin Y, Khovayko O, Landsman D, Lipman DJ, Madden TL, Maglott DR, Ostell J, Miller V, Pruitt KD, Schuler GD, Sequeira E, Sherry ST, Sirotkin K, Souvorov A, Starchenko G, Tatusov RL, Tatusova TA, Wagner L, Yaschenko E: Database resources of the National Center for Biotechnology Information. Nucleic Acids Res 2007, 35:D5-12.
- Arnett Frank C, Edworthy Steven M, Bloch Daniel A, Mcshane Dennis J, Fries James F, Cooper Norman S, Healey Louis A, Kaplan Stephen R, Liang Matthew H, Luthra Harvinder S, Medsger Thomas A Jr, Mitchell Donald M, Neustadt David H, Pinals Robert S, Schaller Jane G, Sharp John T, Wilder Ronald L, Hunder Gene G: The American Rheumatism Association 1987 revised criteria for the classification of rheumatoid arthritis. Arthritis Rheum 1988, 31:315-324.
- Hansen JA, Dupont B: HLA 2004: Immunobiology of the Human MHC. Proceedings of the 13th International Histocompatibility Workshop and Congress. Volume I & II. IHWG press, Seattle, WA; 2004.
- Tilanus MGJ, Hansen JA, Hurley CK: IHWG Technical Manual Genomic analysis of the Human MHC, distributed by the International Histocompatibility Working Group edn. Seattle: IHWG press; 2002.
- du Montcel ST, Michou L, Petit-Teixeira E, Osorio J, Lemaire I, Lasbleiz S, Pierlot C, Quillet P, Bardin T, Prum B, Cornelis F, Clerget-Darpoux F: New classification of HLA-DRB1 alleles supports the shared epitope hypothesis of rheumatoid arthritis susceptibility. Arthritis Rheum 2005, 52:1063-1068.
- Michou L, Croiseau P, Petit-Teixeira E, du Montcel ST, Lemaire I, Pierlot C, Osorio J, Frigui W, Lasbleiz S, Quillet P, Bardin T, Prum B, Clerget-Darpoux F, Cornélis F, European Consortium on Rheumatoid Arthritis Families: Validation of the reshaped shared epitope HLA-DRB1 classification in rheumatoid arthritis. Arthritis Res Ther 2006, 8:R79.
- Zanelli E, Breedveld FC, de Vries RR: HLA class II association with rheumatoid arthritis: facts and interpretations. *Hum Immunol* 2000, 61:1254-1261.
- Review Manager (RevMan) [Computer program]. Version 4.2 for Windows. Copenhagen: The Nordic Cochrane Centre TCC; 2003.
- 15. Delgado-Vega AM, Anaya JM: Meta-analysis of HLA-DRB1 polymorphism in Latin American patients with rheumatoid arthritis. *Autoimmun Rev* 2007, **6:**402-408.
- 16. Molokhia M, McKeigue P: Risk for rheumatic disease in relation to ethnicity and admixture. *Arthritis Res* 2000, **2:**115-125.
- Gorman JD, Lum RF, Chen JJ, Suarez-Almazor ME, Thomson G, Criswell LA: Impact of shared epitope genotype and ethnicity on erosive disease: a meta-analysis of 3,240 rheumatoid arthritis patients. *Arthritis Rheum* 2004, 50:400-412.
- Ioannidis JP, Tarassi K, Papadopoulos IA, Voulgari PV, Boki KA, Papasteriades CA, Drosos AA: Shared epitopes and rheumatoid arthritis: disease associations in Greece and meta-analysis of Mediterranean European populations. *Semin Arthritis Rheum* 2002, 31:361-370.
- Linos A, Kaklamani VG, Kaklamani E, Koumantaki Y, Giziaki E, Papazoglou S, Mantzoros CS: Dietary factors in relation to rheumatoid arthritis: a role for olive oil and cooked vegetables? *Am J Clin Nutr* 1999, **70:**1077-1082.
- 20. Padyukov L, Silva C, Stolt P, Alfredsson L, Klareskog L: A geneenvironment interaction between smoking and shared epitope genes in HLA-DR provides a high risk of seropositive rheumatoid arthritis. *Arthritis Rheum* 2004, **50**:3085-3092.

- Mattey DL, Hutchinson D: Smoking and HLA-DR shared epitope alleles in rheumatoid arthritis: comment on the article by Padyukov et al. Arthritis Rheum 2005, 52:3675-3676. author reply 3676–3678
- Meyer JM, Han J, Singh R, Moxley G: Sex influences on the penetrance of HLA shared-epitope genotypes for rheumatoid arthritis. Am J Hum Genet 1996, 58:371-383.
- Kochi Y, Yamada R, Kobayashi K, Takahashi A, Suzuki A, Sekine A, Mabuchi A, Akiyama F, Tsunoda T, Nakamura Y, Yamamoto K: Analysis of single-nucleotide polymorphisms in Japanese rheumatoid arthritis patients shows additional susceptibility markers besides the classic shared epitope susceptibility sequences. Arthritis Rheum 2004, 50:63-71.
- 24. Lee HS, Lee KW, Song GG, Kim HA, Kim SY, Bae SC: Increased susceptibility to rheumatoid arthritis in Koreans heterozygous for HLA-DRB1*0405 and *0901. Arthritis Rheum 2004, 50:3468-3475.
- 25. Wakitani S, Imoto K, Murata N, Toda Y, Ogawa R, Ochi T: The homozygote of HLA-DRB1* not its heterozygote, is associated with rheumatoid arthritis in Japanese. *Scand J Rheumatol* 0901, **27**:381-382.
- 26. Fact Sheet on Genome Wide Association Studies (GWAS) proposed policy [http://grants.nih.gov/grants/gwas/ fact_sheet.htm]. (Last update: oct 6, 2006; last access date: July 23, 2007)
- NCI-NHGRI Working Group on Replication in Association Studies, Chanock SJ, Manolio T, Boehnke M, Boerwinkle E, Hunter DJ, Thomas G, Hirschhorn JN, Abecasis G, Altshuler D, Bailey-Wilson JE, Brooks LD, Cardon LR, Daly M, Donnelly P, Fraumeni JF Jr, Freimer NB, Gerhard DS, Gunter C, Guttmacher AE, Guyer MS, Harris EL, Hoh J, Hoover R, Kong CA, Merikangas KR, Morton CC, Palmer LJ, Phimister EG, Rice JP, Roberts J, et al.: Replicating genotype-phenotype associations. Nature 2007, 447:655-660.
- 28. National Center for Biotechnology Information website [http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov]