

UC Berkeley

UC Berkeley Previously Published Works

Title

Fisheries rely on threatened salt marshes

Permalink

<https://escholarship.org/uc/item/4b1769tm>

Journal

Science, 370(6517)

ISSN

0036-8075

Authors

Baker, Ronald
Taylor, Matthew D
Able, Kenneth W
[et al.](#)

Publication Date

2020-11-06

DOI

10.1126/science.abe9332

Peer reviewed



The Oriental stork (*Ciconia boyciana*) is threatened by human activities in its migratory stopover points in China.

Edited by Jennifer Sills

Aquaculture jeopardizes migrating Oriental storks

The Oriental stork (*Ciconia boyciana*), once the most common bird of the Far East, is now listed in Appendix I of the Convention on International Trade in Endangered Species of Wild Fauna and Flora (CITES) and classified as Endangered on the International Union for Conservation of Nature's (IUCN's) Red List (1, 2). Over the past few decades, illegal poaching, habitat loss, and environmental pollution have caused a decline in the Oriental stork population in its Northeast Asian breeding grounds (3). Fewer than 3000 individuals remained worldwide by 2018 (2). The Oriental stork now faces a severe survival threat at migratory stopover sites in China's Bohai coastal region, where human disturbances drive habitat degradation (4).

The Qilihai and Caofeidian wetland reserves, located north of the Bohai Bay, are the most important stopover sites for the Oriental stork (5). More than 2000 individuals refuel in these wetlands during their fall migration (6). However, large areas of these reserves (including about 80 to 90% of the Caofeidian wetland) have been leased to aquacultural farmers to create fishponds (7). The farmers dislike foraging storks and often try to scare them away with firecrackers (4). For aquaculture, wetlands are maintained at a relatively high water level, which makes foraging difficult for storks

and prevents them from finding enough food (4). As a result, the storks relocate to private fishponds, where they face an increased risk of poisoning and poaching. In 2019, 19 storks were poisoned in the Qilihai and Caofeidian wetlands (8).

The Oriental stork has been categorized as a terrestrial species under state protection (with a beneficial, economic, or scientific value) since 2000 (9), but the species' extremely limited population size indicates that this designation is not enough. To better protect the Oriental stork, the Chinese government is currently updating the special state protection list and changing the protection of this bird to the highest first-class level (10). In addition, immediate habitat restoration is required. Fishponds in protected areas must be restored to natural wetlands to create favorable living conditions and reverse population decline. Local governments should rapidly formulate eco-compensation measures and publicize wildlife protection to mediate human-bird conflicts. Only by taking action to protect this species and its habitat can we prevent its looming extinction.

Yanfeng Sun^{1,2}, Liqiang Du³, Yuan Yin², Yuefeng Wu², Aijun Cai³, Dongming Li^{2*}

¹Ocean College, Hebei Agricultural University, Qinhuangdao 066000, China. ²Key Laboratory of Animal Physiology, Biochemistry and Molecular Biology of Hebei Province, College of Life Sciences, Hebei Normal University, Shijiazhuang 050024, China. ³College of Marine Resources & Environment, Hebei Normal University of Science & Technology, Qinhuangdao 066600, China.

*Corresponding author.

Email: lidongming@hebtu.edu.cn

REFERENCES AND NOTES

1. CITES, "Appendices" (2020); <https://cites.org/eng/app/appendices.php>.
2. BirdLife International, "*Ciconia boyciana*" (IUCN Red List of Threatened Species, 2018).
3. Y. Yamada *et al.*, *Ecol. Res.* **34**, 277 (2019).
4. IUCN Commission on Environmental, Economic, and Social Policy, "A reflection on protected areas in serving wildlife migration: Endangered Oriental storks" (2019).
5. W. Peng *et al.*, *Int. J. Ecol.* **9**, 108 (2020) [in Chinese].
6. Z. J. Zhao, *Avifauna of China* (Jilin Science and Technology Press, vol. 1, 2001) [in Chinese].
7. "Ninety percent of Caofeidian wetland was outsourced and turned into fishponds" [China Biodiversity Conservation and Green Development Foundation (CBCGDF), 2019].
8. "In-depth analysis of 'murder' on the journey: What's behind the continuous death of Oriental white stork? Is it a 'gang' crime?" (CBCGDF, 2019); www.cbcgdf.org/NewsShow/4854/10817.html [in Chinese].
9. National Forestry and Grassland Administration, Government of China, "Lists of terrestrial wildlife under state protection, which are beneficial or of important economic or scientific value" (2000); www.forestry.gov.cn/main/3954/content-959027.html [in Chinese].
10. National Forestry and Grassland Administration, Government of China, "List of wildlife under special state protection (draft)" (2020); www.forestry.gov.cn/main/153/20200619/092731170435586.html [in Chinese].

10.1126/science.abf2089

Indigenous rights to Patagonia's Guafo island

In September, private investors put 20,000-ha Guafo island up for sale for US \$20 million (1). The island, located in northern Chilean Patagonia, is a stronghold of unique biodiversity (2, 3) and a biocultural heritage site for Patagonia Indigenous groups and the country (4). The owners bought the island a decade

ago with plans to conduct coal mining operations (1), but after Chile made a climate change commitment to become carbon neutral by 2050 (5), the difficulty obtaining mining permits made them rethink their investment. The Chilean government should protect these valuable ecosystems by deeding the island to the Indigenous people who claim it.

The challenges facing Guafo island are emblematic of environmental problems throughout Patagonia. A growing exotic salmon aquaculture industry has brought about environmental degradation (6). Climate change-induced drought has led to harmful algal blooms (6, 7) that may have contributed to the stranding of hundreds of endangered Sei whales (8). Terrestrial ecosystems face increasing tensions from tourist developments, a growing human population, peat bog degradation, exotic species invasion, and climate change (6), which threatens glacial freshwater reserves (9) and increases the likelihood of fires (6).

Disrespecting Indigenous peoples' heritage in Patagonia threatens the region's biodiversity. Degrading the capacity of carbon sequestration in forest soils, peatbogs, and kelp forests (6) and disrupting the carbon sequestration processes fostered by large whales and other marine vertebrates (10) will initiate a perfect storm of increasing warming and ecosystem degradation with global consequences. It is incumbent upon the Chilean government to set an example for Patagonian policy by protecting Guafo island and its surrounding seascapes, requiring that the salmon industry withdraw operations from protected waters, and giving Indigenous people the rights to their ancestral lands. In 2008, Chile passed the Mapuche-Lafkenche Marine and Coastal Areas for Indigenous Peoples (MCAIP) law (11). The legislation enables the allocation and administration of coastal marine areas to Indigenous communities, who can ensure the sustainability and conservation of marine resources and ecosystems. There is already an MCAIP claim for Guafo island

by Indigenous communities from nearby Chiloé island (12), the "Wafo Wapi ancestral land for conservation." The Chilean government should support this claim.

Pablo A. Marquet^{1,2*}, Juan Carlos Castilla¹, Aurora Gaxiola^{1,2}, Rodrigo Hucke-Gaete³, Alfredo Pena-Vega⁴

¹Departamento de Ecología, Facultad de Ciencias Biológicas, Pontificia Universidad Católica de Chile, CP 8331150, Santiago, Chile. ²Instituto de Ecología y Biodiversidad (IEB), Santiago, Chile. ³Programa Austral Patagonia, Instituto de Ciencias Marinas y Limnológicas, Universidad Austral de Chile, Campus Isla Teja, Valdivia, Chile. ⁴Ecole des Hautes Etudes en Sciences Sociales/Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique Institut Interdisciplinaire d'Anthropologie du Contemporain, Paris, France.

*Corresponding author. Email: pmarquet@bio.puc.cl

REFERENCES AND NOTES

1. D. Collins, "Activists outraged that sacred Chilean island is listed for sale for \$20m." *The Guardian* (2020).
2. R. Hucke-Gaete, L. P. Osman, C. A. Moreno, K. P. Findlay, D. K. Ljungblad, *Proc. R. Soc. London Ser. B* **271**, S170 (2004).
3. R. Reyes-Arriagada, P. Campos-Ellwanger, R. P. Schlatter, C. Baduini, *Biodivers Conserv.* **16**, 913 (2007).
4. R. Álvarez, M. Navarro, in *Conservando el Mar de Chiloé, Palena, y Guaitecas*, R. Hucke-Gaete, P. Lo Moro, J. Ruiz, Eds. (Universidad Austral de Chile, 2010), pp. 65–123 [in Spanish].
5. Climate Action Tracker, Chile, Pledges and Targets (2020); <https://climateactiontracker.org/countries/chile/pledges-and-targets/>.
6. P. A. Marquet *et al.*, Eds., "Biodiversidad y cambio climático en Chile: Evidencia científica para la toma de decisiones" (Comité Científico COP25; Ministerio de Ciencia, Tecnología, Conocimiento e Innovación, 2019) [in Spanish].
7. J. León-Muñoz, M. A. Urbina, R. Garreaud, J. L. Iriarte, *Sci. Rep.* **8**, 1330 (2018).
8. V. Häussermann *et al.*, *PeerJ* **5**, e3123 (2017).
9. M. Rodell *et al.*, *Nature* **557**, 651 (2018).
10. S. Lutz, R. Barnes, T. Kurvitis, "Fish carbon: Exploring marine vertebrate carbon services" (GRID-Arendal, Arendal, Norway, 2014), p. 36.
11. L. Hiriart-Bertrand, J. Silva, S. Gelicich, *Ocean Coast. Manag.* **193**, 105233 (2020).
12. F. Araos *et al.*, *Coast. Manag.* **48**, 289 (2020).

10.1126/science.abf1962

Fisheries rely on threatened salt marshes

Salt marsh ecosystems and the seascapes in which they are embedded serve as critical habitats for species harvested by fisheries (1), which provide food and

economic security for hundreds of millions of people (2). Historical marsh losses coupled with increasing pressures from coastal development and climate change place these intertidal ecosystems and surrounding uplands under growing threat (3). Preventing further losses of salt marshes and associated fisheries production will require greater public awareness and difficult choices in coastal policy and management, underpinned by greater understanding of marsh function.

Quantifying the value of salt marsh habitat to fisheries production is challenging. Many fisheries species feed and shelter in the salt marsh only as juveniles, and it is difficult to assess the marsh's effect once they have moved to a new location (1). It is also unclear how marsh landscape fragmentation under sea level rise will affect fisheries; it may boost fishery production, at least temporarily (4), but it could also disrupt food web processes that support fisheries (5).

Projections of marsh expansion offer hope (6) but are largely dependent on changes in coastal watershed management. For instance, human development may prevent marshes from migrating upland with sea level rise and thus lead to marsh drowning (7). Adequate sediment supply is also essential for marsh resilience, but many coastal areas in the world are sediment-starved (8). Much effort has been made to restore natural riverine flow and other sources of sediment delivery into marshes, although such efforts may have negative impacts on the very fisheries these marshes support (9).

To design effective policies for salt marsh restoration and conservation that protect fisheries production, we need to better understand the role of salt marshes. Researchers should continue to explore the fundamental linkages between salt marshes and fisheries (10), the marsh habitat value within the context of the interconnected and increasingly urbanized mosaic of coastal ecosystems, and the value of salt marshes created by upland transgression and active engineering. Restoration and conservation planning must take a long-term view that specifically recognizes sea level rise and its interaction with other anthropogenic stressors.

Ronald Baker^{1*}, Matthew D. Taylor², Kenneth W. Able³, Michael W. Beck⁴, Just Cebrían⁵, Denise D. Colombano⁶, Rod M. Connolly⁷, Carolyn Currin⁸, Linda A. Deegan⁹, Ilka C. Feller¹⁰, Ben L. Gilby¹¹, Matthew E. Kimball¹², Thomas J. Minello¹³, Lawrence P. Rozas¹⁴, Charles Simenstad¹⁵, R. Eugene Turner¹⁶, Nathan J. Waltham¹⁷, Michael P. Weinstein¹⁸, Shelby L. Ziegler¹⁹, Philine S.E. de Ermgassen²⁰, Caitlin Alcott²¹, Scott B. Alford²², Myriam A. Barbeau²³, Sarah C. Crosby²⁴, Kate Dodds²⁵, Alyssa Frank¹, Janelle Goeke²⁶, Lucy

NEXTGEN VOICES: SUBMIT NOW

Defining events of 2020

Add your voice to **Science!** Our new NextGen Voices survey is now open:

What new word or phrase would you add to the dictionary to help scientists explain the events of 2020 to future generations?

To submit, go to www.sciencemag.org/nextgen-voices

Deadline for submissions is 20 November. A selection of the best responses will be published in the 1 January 2021 issue of *Science*. Anonymous submissions will not be considered.

A. Goodridge Gaines¹¹, Felicity E. Hardcastle¹¹, Christopher J. Henderson¹¹, W. Ryan James²⁷, Matthew D. Kenworthy²⁸, Justin Lesser²⁷, Debbrota Mallick¹, Charles W. Martin²², Ashley E. McDonald²⁶, Catherine McLuckie²⁹, Blair H. Morrison¹, James A. Nelson²⁷, Gregory S. Norris²³, Jeff Ollerhead³⁰, James W. Pahl³¹, Sarah Ramsden¹, Jennifer S. Rehage³², James F. Reinhardt³³, Ryan J. Rezek³⁴, L. Mark Risse³⁵, Joseph A.M. Smith³⁶, Eric L. Sparks^{37,38}, Lorie W. Staver³⁹

¹Department of Marine Sciences, University of South Alabama, Dauphin Island Sea Lab, Dauphin Island, AL 36528 USA. ²Port Stephens Fisheries Institute, New South Wales Department of Primary Industries, Nelson Bay, NSW 2315, Australia. ³Department of Marine and Coastal Sciences, Rutgers, State University of New Jersey, New Brunswick, NJ 08901, USA. ⁴Institute of Marine Sciences, University of California, Santa Cruz, CA 95062, USA. ⁵Northern Gulf Institute, Mississippi State University, Stennis Space Center, MS 39529, USA. ⁶Department of Environmental Science, Policy, and Management, University of California, Berkeley, Berkeley, CA 94720, USA. ⁷Australian Rivers Institute—Coast & Estuaries, School of Environment and Science, Griffith University, Gold Coast, QLD 4222, Australia. ⁸National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) National Centers for Coastal Ocean Science, Beaufort, NC 28516, USA. ⁹Woodwell Climate Research Center, Falmouth, MA 02540, USA. ¹⁰Smithsonian Environmental Research Center, Edgewater, MD 21037, USA. ¹¹School of Science and Engineering, University of the Sunshine Coast, Maroochydore, QLD 4558, Australia. ¹²Baruch

Marine Field Laboratory, University of South Carolina, Georgetown, SC 29442, USA. ¹³NOAA Fisheries, Southeast Fisheries Science Center, Galveston, TX 77551, USA. ¹⁴NOAA Fisheries, Estuarine Habitats and Coastal Fisheries Center, Lafayette, LA 70506, USA. ¹⁵School of Aquatic and Fishery Sciences, University of Washington, Seattle, WA 98195–5020, USA. ¹⁶Department of Oceanography and Coastal Sciences, Louisiana State University, Baton Rouge, LA 70803, USA. ¹⁷Centre for Tropical Water and Aquatic Ecosystem Research, and Marine Data Technologies Hub, College of Science and Engineering, James Cook University, Townsville, QLD, 4811, Australia. ¹⁸New Jersey Marine Sciences Consortium, Fort Hancock, Sandy Hook, NJ 07043, USA. ¹⁹Moss Landing Marine Laboratories, Moss Landing, CA 95039, USA. ²⁰Changing Oceans Group, School of Geosciences, Grant Institute, University of Edinburgh, EH9 3FE, UK. ²¹Interfluvium, Hood River, OR 97031 USA. ²²University of Florida, Institute of Food and Agricultural Sciences, Nature Coast Biological Station, University of Florida, Cedar Key, FL 32625 USA. ²³Department of Biology, University of New Brunswick, Fredericton, NB E3B 5A3, Canada. ²⁴Harbor Watch, Earthplace, Inc., Westport, CT 06880, USA. ²⁵Department of Biological Sciences, Macquarie University, Sydney, NSW 2109, Australia. ²⁶Department of Marine Biology, Texas A&M University at Galveston, Galveston, TX 77554, USA. ²⁷Department of Biology, University of Louisiana at Lafayette, Lafayette, LA 70504, USA. ²⁸Department of Marine and Environmental Sciences, Savannah State University, Savannah, GA 31404, USA. ²⁹Department of Environmental Science and Management, University of Newcastle, Ourimbah, NSW 2258, Australia. ³⁰Geography and Environment Department, Mount

Allison University, Sackville, NB E4L 1E4, Canada. ³¹Louisiana Coastal Protection and Restoration Authority, Baton Rouge, LA 70802, USA. ³²Institute of Environment, Florida International University, Miami, FL 33199, USA. ³³NOAA Fisheries, Restoration Center, Silver Spring, MD 20910, USA. ³⁴Department of Earth and Environment, Institute of Environment, Florida International University, Miami, FL 33199, USA. ³⁵University of Georgia Marine Extension and Georgia Sea Grant, Athens, GA 30602, USA. ³⁶U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Galloway, NJ, 08205, USA. ³⁷Coastal Research and Extension Center, Mississippi State University, Biloxi, MS 39532, USA. ³⁸Mississippi Alabama Sea Grant Consortium, Ocean Springs, MS 39564, USA. ³⁹University of Maryland Center for Environmental Science, Horn Point Laboratory, Cambridge, MD 21617, USA. *Corresponding author. Email: rbaker@disl.org

REFERENCES AND NOTES

1. M. W. Beck *et al.*, *Bioscience* **51**, 633 (2001).
2. J. C. Rice, S. M. Garcia, *ICES J. Mar. Sci.* **68**, 1343 (2011).
3. K. B. Gedan, B. R. Silliman, M. D. Bertness, *Annu. Rev. Mar. Sci.* **1**, 117 (2009).
4. E. J. Chesney, D. M. Baltz, R. G. Thomas, *Ecol. Appl.* **10**, 350 (2000).
5. G. A. Hyndes *et al.*, *Biol. Rev.* **89**, 232 (2014).
6. M. Schuerch *et al.*, *Nature* **561**, 231 (2018).
7. J. Fitzsimons, M. W. Beck, L. Hale, K. Leo, C. Gillies, *Ocean Coast. Manag.* **175**, 180 (2019).
8. M. L. Kirwan *et al.*, *Geophys. Res. Lett.* **37**, L23401 (2010).
9. T. J. Mozdzer, E. B. Watson, W. H. Orem, C. Swarzenski, R. E. Turner, *Sci. Tot. Environ.* **743**, 140420 (2020).
10. J. S. Lefcheck *et al.*, *Conserv. Lett.* **12**, e12645, (2019).

10.1126/science.abe9332



PUT YOUR RESEARCH OUT IN FRONT

Submit your research: [cts.ScienceMag.org](https://cts.science.org)

ScienceSignaling

AAAS

Twitter: @SciSignal

Facebook: @ScienceSignaling

Fisheries rely on threatened salt marshes

Ronald Baker, Matthew D. Taylor, Kenneth W. Able, Michael W. Beck, Just Cebrian, Denise D. Colombano, Rod M. Connolly, Carolyn Currin, Linda A. Deegan, Ilka C. Feller, Ben L. Gilby, Matthew E. Kimball, Thomas J. Minello, Lawrence P. Rozas, Charles Simenstad, R. Eugene Turner, Nathan J. Waltham, Michael P. Weinstein, Shelby L. Ziegler, Philine S.E. zu Ermgassen, Caitlin Alcott, Scott B. Alford, Myriam A. Barbeau, Sarah C. Crosby, Kate Dodds, Alyssa Frank, Janelle Goeke, Lucy A. Goodridge Gaines, Felicity E. Hardcastle, Christopher J. Henderson, W. Ryan James, Matthew D. Kenworthy, Justin Lesser, Debbrota Mallick, Charles W. Martin, Ashley E. McDonald, Catherine McLuckie, Blair H. Morrison, James A. Nelson, Gregory S. Norris, Jeff Ollerhead, James W. Pahl, Sarah Ramsden, Jennifer S. Rehage, James F. Reinhardt, Ryan J. Rezek, L. Mark Risse, Joseph A.M. Smith, Eric L. Sparks and Lorie W. Staver

Science **370** (6517), 670-671.
DOI: 10.1126/science.abe9332

ARTICLE TOOLS

<http://science.sciencemag.org/content/370/6517/670.1>

REFERENCES

This article cites 10 articles, 0 of which you can access for free
<http://science.sciencemag.org/content/370/6517/670.1#BIBL>

PERMISSIONS

<http://www.sciencemag.org/help/reprints-and-permissions>

Use of this article is subject to the [Terms of Service](#)

Science (print ISSN 0036-8075; online ISSN 1095-9203) is published by the American Association for the Advancement of Science, 1200 New York Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20005. The title *Science* is a registered trademark of AAAS.

Copyright © 2020 The Authors, some rights reserved; exclusive licensee American Association for the Advancement of Science. No claim to original U.S. Government Works