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Cyclomarins are potent anti-inflammatory cyclic peptides originally encountered in the estuarine streptomycete, strain CNB-982, and subsequently isolated from the marine obligate actinobacterium Salinispora arenicola CNS-205 along with the truncated antibacterial diketopiperazine cyclomarazines. The cyclomarins are composed of seven amino acid residues, two of which are of unique biosynthetic interest, 2-amino-3,5-dimethylhex-4-enoic acid (ADH), which has never been reported as a constituent of any peptide, and N-(1,1-dimethyl-1-allyl)-tryptophan, which is a rare example of the prenylation of a bacterial non-ribosomal peptide. The N-prenylated tryptophan residue, along with δ-
hydroxyleucine, is present in both the cyclomarins and cyclomarazines, suggesting a common biosynthetic origin.

Because of the unique structural features of ADH and N-(1,1-dimethyl-1-allyl)-tryptophan, along with the extensive tailoring of the remaining residues of cyclomarin, we initiated the interrogation of the biosynthetic machinery behind cyclomarin and cyclomarazine synthesis. We were able to determine the basic steps of cyclomarin and cyclomarazine production, along with insights into how the two unique amino acids are synthesized. Furthermore, we were able to identify the oxidative enzymes involved in tailoring both peptides. Analysis of the genome sequence of *S. arenicola* CNS-205 revealed the *cym* biosynthetic gene cluster, which is dominated by *cymA*, encoding for a seven module non-ribosomal peptide synthetase. Downstream of *cymA* are the genes for N-(1,1-dimethyl-1-allyl)-tryptophan synthesis via the prenyltransferase CymD, and ADH via *cymE-H*. CymEF is an aldolase/dehydrogenase pair utilized for the key C-C bond forming step of ADH synthesis, along with a methyltransferase CymG, and a dehydrogenase CymH. Upstream of *cymA* is the Fe$^{2+}$/α-ketoglutarate dependent leucine δ-oxygenase CymW, and three cytochrome P450s oxidases, CymO, CymS, and CymV. Bioinformatic analysis coupled with in vivo mutagenesis confirmed the role of Cym W along with CymS as a N-(1,1-dimethyl-1-allyl)-tryptophan β-hydroxylase, and CymV as a N-(1,1-dimethyl-2,3-epoxypropyl)-tryptophan epoxidase, and suggested the function of CymO as a phenylalanine β-hydroxylase. In addition to establishing the function of the
aforementioned enzymes, we were able to generate the novel $N$-(1-methyl)-
tryptophan and $N$-(1-propargyl)-tryptophan analogs of both sized peptides in the
cymD deficient mutant, confirming the preference for $N$-alkylated tryptophan
residues and the amenability of cyclomarin and cyclomarazine to derivatization
via mutasynthesis.
Chapter 1: Introduction

The Chemical Diversity of Non-ribosomal Peptides
1.1: Introduction to non-ribosomal peptides

Non-ribosomal peptides (NRPs) have saved innumerable lives. Penicillin has been used for nearly three quarters of a century to treat bacterial infections. Nearly half of all antibiotics prescribed worldwide are β-lactams, a structural class of non-ribosomal peptides which includes the penicillins (Figure 1.1) and cephalosporins. The non-ribosomal glycopeptide vancomycin (Figure 1.2) has been utilized to treat methicillin resistant Staphylococcus aureus (MRSA) and other serious infections as an “antibiotic of last resort.” One of the most recently approved antibiotics is daptomycin (Figure 1.3), a non-ribosomal lipopeptide, which represents the first new structural class in antibiotics in the last thirty years. Life saving NRPs are not exclusively antibiotics; for instance, the immunosuppressant cyclosporine (Figure 1.1) has given many people a new lease on life by preventing the rejection of donor organs and tissues.

Humans have found a use for NRPs in medicine. Yet where do these natural products come from, and what are their roles in nature? Although derived from amino acids, NRPs are not synthesized by the ribosome, but are instead synthesized via modular megasynthetases. They fall into a class of compounds deemed “secondary metabolites,” originally believed to have non-essential, or secondary, roles in the metabolism of their host producers. This is in contrast to primary metabolites, which serve a common, well conserved role in the majority of organisms, supporting the most basic of life’s functions such as cellular respiration and energy storage, cellular structure, and genetic information storage and transfer. The roles of secondary metabolites in nature are much less
well understood, but play a role in chemical defense against predators\textsuperscript{7} or competitors\textsuperscript{8} or intra- or interspecies communication.\textsuperscript{9} The current view of secondary metabolites is that they are not “secondary”, but are integral to the survival and/or reproduction of an organism. Without these metabolites to facilitate communication or prevent predation, the organism (or species) would not be able survive in their environment, and thus are essential for their survival. These roles can be quite complex, one example of which is the oxylipins produced by the eggs of several brown algal species.\textsuperscript{10} These compounds act as a chemoattractant for spermatozoids, facilitating sexual reproduction. Degradants of these compounds act as a feeding deterrent, making the sessile brown algae unpalatable or toxic to predators, such sea urchins and amphipods.\textsuperscript{11} This dual functionality exemplifies how a compound can have a signaling role in its host, while having a strong bioactivity in an animal, which is an important parallel to medicinal use of secondary metabolites by humans.

The marine environment provides numerous examples of NRPs (Figure 1.4, Table 1.1), such as the actinobacterial compounds cyclomarin,\textsuperscript{12} cyclomarazine,\textsuperscript{13} and salinosporamide.\textsuperscript{14} Cyanobacteria are known as prolific producers of NRPs, such as lyngbyatoxin,\textsuperscript{15} nostocyclopeptide,\textsuperscript{16} nostopeptolides,\textsuperscript{17} and microcystin.\textsuperscript{18} Marine invertebrates are also represented by the sponge-derived jaspamide,\textsuperscript{19} the sea hare compound dolastatin\textsuperscript{20} and the tunicate-derived ET-743,\textsuperscript{21} although these compounds are most likely provided via symbiosis or dietary consumption of bacterial producers of these
compounds.\textsuperscript{22,23} ET-743\textsuperscript{21,24} has been approved as an anti-cancer agent, while salinosporamide\textsuperscript{25} and dolastatin\textsuperscript{24} are in clinical trials as such (Table 1.1).

Although several marine NRPs are known and a few are in development as drugs,\textsuperscript{24} the most famous and medicinally relevant examples come from the terrestrial environment. In addition to penicillin, cephalosporin, vancomycin, and daptomycin, the NRPs chloramphenicol,\textsuperscript{26} teichoplanin,\textsuperscript{27} and novobiocin\textsuperscript{28} have been or are in use as antibiotics. Other indications are also provided by terrestrial NRPs, such as the approved anti-cancer agents bleomycin\textsuperscript{24} while epothilone B\textsuperscript{29} and its analog ixabepilone\textsuperscript{30} are in clinical trials. The immunosuppressant activities of cyclosporine\textsuperscript{27} are utilized in anti-rejection therapies in transplant recipients and nikkomycin\textsuperscript{31} has entered clinical trials as an anti-fungal.

Although many NRPs are famous for curing diseases, some are medically relevant as potent toxins or virulence factors, or act as surfactants. The microcystins are powerful hepatotoxins,\textsuperscript{18} produced by various cyanobacteria. Lyngbyatoxin,\textsuperscript{15} a protein kinase C activating compound, is the causative agent of swimmer’s itch. Pyochelin,\textsuperscript{32} yersiniabactin\textsuperscript{33} vibriobactin\textsuperscript{34} are iron chelating siderophores that have been implicated as virulence factors\textsuperscript{35} in their host organisms, leading way to opportunistic infections, the plague, and dysentery. Syringomycin\textsuperscript{36} and surfactin\textsuperscript{37} both have detergent properties, and syringomycin has been noted as a virulence factor in \textit{Pseudomonas syringae} infections in stone fruit crop plants.\textsuperscript{36}
One of the impediments to the development of natural products as drugs is the difficulty in obtaining sufficient quantities for development and clinical use. This is even more problematic for marine compounds, especially those sourced from marine invertebrates.\textsuperscript{24,38} In addition to difficulties sourcing some of these compounds, there is a constant need to find analogs to thwart antibiotic resistance mechanisms\textsuperscript{39-41} or the need to generate derivatives to make the compound a better drug by optimizing solubility or bioavailability.\textsuperscript{42} One of the most promising solutions to these issues is to gain an understanding how these compounds are produced at the genetic and biochemical levels, and then utilize this knowledge to manipulate the biosynthesis of the compound of interest in the host organism, or to engineer another organism to produce it.

As shown in Figures 1.1-1.5 and Table 1, NRPs are very diverse in their structures and their bioactivities. How does nature provide so much chemical diversity?\textsuperscript{43} What is the mechanism by which is NRPs are biosynthesized? How are the numerous chlorinations, oxidations, prenylations, glycosylations, and other modifications installed on NRPs? How are some of the unusual amino acids, unavailable to ribosomal peptide assembly, produced and incorporated into NRPs? How has the knowledge of these processes been utilized to manipulate the structure of NRPs? The current state of knowledge in NRP biosynthesis as it pertains to these questions will be explored in this chapter. The remainder of this dissertation will focus on efforts toward understanding and manipulating the mechanisms by which cyclomarin and cyclomarazine are produced by the marine actinobacterium \textit{Salinispora arenicola} CNS-205, not in
order to develop these compounds as drugs, but to gain a greater understanding on how these compounds are produced. This knowledge can be utilized by other researchers to discover and manipulate the biosynthesis of other NRPs in an effort to provide new or better drugs or to understand the roles of these compounds in the environment.
Table 1.1: Non-ribosomal peptides, their sources, bioactivities, and clinical use

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>compound</th>
<th>source</th>
<th>bioactivity</th>
<th>clinical use?</th>
<th>ref</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>teichoplanin</td>
<td>actinobacteria</td>
<td>anti-bacterial</td>
<td>yes, EU only</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>novobiocin</td>
<td>actinobacteria</td>
<td>anti-bacterial</td>
<td>yes&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>chloramphenicol</td>
<td>actinobacteria</td>
<td>anti-bacterial</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>26,40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vancomycin</td>
<td>actinobacteria</td>
<td>anti-bacterial</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bleomycin</td>
<td>actinobacteria</td>
<td>anti-bacterial</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>daptomycin</td>
<td>actinobacteria</td>
<td>anti-bacterial</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>nikkomycin</td>
<td>actinobacteria</td>
<td>anti-fungal, insecticide</td>
<td>phase I&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>salinosporamide</td>
<td>actinobacteria</td>
<td>anti-cancer</td>
<td>phase II</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cyclomarin</td>
<td>actinobacteria</td>
<td>anti-inflammatory</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cyclomarazine</td>
<td>actinobacteria</td>
<td>anti-bacterial</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>chlorobiocin</td>
<td>actinobacteria</td>
<td>anti-bacterial</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>kutzneride</td>
<td>actinobacteria</td>
<td>anti-fungal</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>balhimycin</td>
<td>actinobacteria</td>
<td>anti-bacterial</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>chloroeremomycin</td>
<td>actinobacteria</td>
<td>anti-bacterial</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A54145</td>
<td>actinobacteria</td>
<td>anti-bacterial</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CDA</td>
<td>actinobacteria</td>
<td>anti-bacterial</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>surfactin</td>
<td>bacilli</td>
<td>surfactant</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>microcystin</td>
<td>cyanobacteria</td>
<td>hepatotoxin</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>lyngbyatoxin</td>
<td>cyanobacteria</td>
<td>dermal irritant</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>nostocyclopeptide</td>
<td>cyanobacteria</td>
<td>cytotoxic</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>nostopeptolide</td>
<td>cyanobacteria</td>
<td>not reported</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cyclosporine</td>
<td>fungi</td>
<td>immunosuppressant</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>penicillin</td>
<td>fungi</td>
<td>anti-bacterial</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>safracin</td>
<td>gammaproteobacteria</td>
<td>anti-bacterial, anti-cancer</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>syringomycin</td>
<td>gammaproteobacteria</td>
<td>plant pathogenicity</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pyochelin</td>
<td>gammaproteobacteria</td>
<td>siderophore</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>yersiniabactin</td>
<td>gammaproteobacteria</td>
<td>siderophore</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vibriobactin</td>
<td>gammaproteobacteria</td>
<td>siderophore</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>epothilone</td>
<td>myxobacteria</td>
<td>anti-cancer</td>
<td>phase III</td>
<td>52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>chondramide</td>
<td>myxobacteria</td>
<td>anti-cancer</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dolastatin</td>
<td>sea hare</td>
<td>anti-cancer</td>
<td>phase II&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;,</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>jaspamide</td>
<td>sponge</td>
<td>anti-cancer</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ET-743 (Yondelis)</td>
<td>tunicate</td>
<td>anti-cancer</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup>Removed from market and/or very restricted use

<sup>b</sup>Clinical trials discontinued for various reasons, but analogs are continuing
Figure 1.1: Terrestrial non-ribosomal peptides. The stereochemistry of chondramide B has not been reported.
Figure 1.2: Glycopeptide antibiotics
Figure 1.3: Lipopeptide antibiotics
Figure 1.4: Marine non-ribosomal peptides
1.2: NRPs are assembled via non-ribosomal peptide synthetases

As their moniker suggests, non-ribosomal peptides (NRPs) are assembled utilizing a mechanism that does not rely on the mRNA, tRNA, or the ribosome for the selection of amino acids and synthesis of the peptide bonds. Instead, amino acids are selected for incorporation into NRPs and peptide bonds are formed utilizing a large, modular enzyme complex, the non-ribosomal peptide synthetase (NRPS). These megasynthetases are ribosomally synthesized, and genes coding for them are often amongst the largest open reading frames (ORF) in the host organism.5,54,55

Figure 1.6 depicts non-ribosomal peptide synthesis of cyclo-L-Trp-L-N-methylleucine via a hypothetical NRPS. Each module is responsible for selecting and incorporating a single amino acid into the growing NRP, and furthermore, each module comprises several domains that are responsible for different functions in the process of selecting, incorporating, tailoring, and cleaving the NRP from the NRPS. The adenylation domain (A, Figure 1.6 panel A, highlighted in red) selects for and forms the adenylate of a specific amino acid, activating it for Claisen condensation with the phosphopantetheinyl (PPant) arm.
of the thiolation domain (T, also referred to as a peptidyl carrier protein). The PPant group is attached to the NRPS via a phosphopantetheinyl transferase utilizing coenzyme A (CoA) as the donor for this prosthetic group. The PPant arm provides flexibility, allowing the growing peptide to interact with other domains. The condensation domain (C, Figure 1.6 panel B) then catalyzes the condensation of the first amino acid with the second, cleaving the thioester bond between the first amino acid (Trp) and the T domain on module 1. Modifications to NRPs can occur while they are tethered to the T domain, and domains that can catalyze these modifications are often observed in NRPSs. In this example (Fig 1.6, panel C), a methyltransferase domain (MT) catalyzes the S-adenosyl methionine (SAM) dependent N-methylation of the leucine residue to provide the methylated product (Fig 1.6, panel D). To release the peptide product, a thioesterase domain (TE) domain catalyzes cyclization and thioester bond cleavage, providing the product peptide (cyclo-L-Trp-L-N-methylleucine) with the NRPS ready for another round of peptide synthesis.

So why would nature rely on such a metabolically expensive process to produce NRPs when the cell already has an efficient process to assemble peptides via the ribosome? The NRPS provides an assembly mechanism that allows for greater diversity in the amino acids that can be incorporated into the peptide and a greater ability to interact with other enzymes that may modify the growing peptide. Tailoring domains, such as MT domains, can modify the structure of amino acids after incorporation, while other tailoring enzymes can function in trans to modify tethered NRPs or act post-NPRS assembly. Individual
TE domains form a specific product, but as an enzyme class, are capable of forming both linear and cyclic peptides where the cyclization can form between any free amide or hydroxyl and the C-terminus or the NRP. Adenylation domains are not restricted to the 20 proteinogenic amino acids and can be specific for any amino acid that the organism has the machinery to produce.
Figure 1.6: Assembly mechanism of non-ribosomal peptides
1.3: Tailoring

One way by which nature is able to increase the chemical diversity of NRPs is to perform tailoring reactions to the amino acid residues of the peptide. These reactions can occur to the amino acids before being incorporated into the growing peptide, while tethered to the NRPS, or post-assembly. Some common modifications include epimerization, oxidation, halogenation, methylation, prenylation, and glycosylation, and cyclization of serine, threonine, or cysteine to form oxazoline or thiazoline heterocycles.

1.3.1: Epimerization

Proteinogenic amino acids can be incorporated into an NRP in their native L-configuration or can be converted to the corresponding D-configuration utilizing an epimerase or a racemase. This conversion can be catalyzed by an epimerase domain contained within the NRPS, such as in daptomycin and A541454 biosynthesis or via a free enzyme, as in the case of cyclosporin in which D-alanine is created from L-alanine via an alanine racemase, before being incorporated into the growing NRP.

1.3.2: Oxidation

Oxidation is a reoccurring theme in NRP biosynthesis, the most common of which is the installation of a hydroxyl group at the beta position of the amino acid residue. By 2001 at least 10 out of the 20 proteinogenic amino acids have been observed as a β-OH derivative in a natural product, two more of which are naturally β-hydroxy, serine and threonine, and glycine lacks a β-carbon to be oxidized. One prominent example is in the biosynthesis of the glycopeptide
antibiotic balhimycin (Figure 1.2), where a stand-alone NRPS, the AT didomain BpsD, accepts tyrosine, which is in turn β-oxidized by the cytochrome P450 (CYP) OxyD, followed by release by the thioesterase Bhp, yielding β-hydroxytyrosine. This oxidized tyrosine is then selected for by the appropriate A domain of the balhimycin NRPS for incorporation into the growing peptide. Tyrosine is also modified in a similar fashion by the CYP NovI after forming the tyrosyl-S-NovH aminoacyl enzyme, before being incorporated into novobiocin. Histidine is converted to β-hydroxyhistidine via the CYP NikP2 as an aminoacyl NRPS intermediate (Figure 1.7). The freed, oxidized amino acid is further modified to form the imidazolone base of nikkomycin X. CYP catalyzed β-oxidization of a tethered intermediate has also been implicated in the biosynthesis of salinosporamide (Figure 1.16 panel C).
β-oxidation can also be catalyzed by by Fe$^{2+}$/α-ketoglutarate-dependent oxygenase, one case of which is observed in CDA biosynthesis. Inactivation of the Fe$^{2+}$/α-ketoglutarate-dependent oxygenase SCO3236 in *S. coelestor* yielded the novel CDA analogs lacking a β-OH functionality on asparagine, establishing its function as a asparagine oxidase.$^{67}$ Additionally, the Fe$^{2+}$/α-ketoglutarate-dependent oxygenases KtzO and KtzP have been implicated in creating the
threo and erythro diastereomers of β-OH-glutamate residue of the cyclic depsipeptides kutznerides.\textsuperscript{68,69}

Recently, a novel monoxygenase, CmlA\textsuperscript{70} has been discovered from the pathway to chloramphenicol\textsuperscript{26} that installs the β-hydroxy group on the NRPS tethered NPAA L-\textit{p}-aminophenylalanine. This enzyme utilizes an oxo-bridged dinuclear iron cluster to provide L-\textit{p}-amino-β-hydroxyphenylalanine utilizing O\textsubscript{2} as the oxygen source. BLAST analysis of bacterial genomes revealed at least 40 genes with significant identity to CmlA,\textsuperscript{26,70} four of which are located in gene clusters that encode known β-hydroxylated NRPs.

Oxidation is not exclusive to the β position or to tethered peptidyl intermediates. The structurally related compounds safracin and saframycin both contain a 3-hydroxy-5-methyl-O-methyltyrosine residue. In vivo gene inactivation of Sac D in the safracin B producer \textit{Pseudomonas fluorescens} suggested its role in the oxidation of this tailored tyrosine precursor (Figure 1.8).\textsuperscript{50} The SacD homolog from the \textit{Streptomyces lavendulae} pathway to saframycin\textsuperscript{71} was also characterized, confirming the role of this enzyme.

Another important role of oxidation in tailoring NRPs is coupling. LtxB, a CYP, (Figure 1.9) facilitates the formation of the nitrogen-carbon bond between the \textit{N}-methyl valine residue and the indole during the biosynthesis of lyngbyatoxin.\textsuperscript{72,73} Oxidative coupling is key to forming the rigid peptide aglycone of the glycopeptide antibiotics.\textsuperscript{47,57}
Figure 1.8: Pathway to saframycin A requires two tyrosine residues that have been O- and C-methylated and oxidized, condensed with alanine and glycine via the NRPS SacA, SacB and SacC. Numerous reductive offloading concerted with biological Pictet-Spengler reactions facilitate the formation of the highly cyclized saframycin A product. Both saframycin and safacin are produced by a similar pathway and the safacin enzymes are depicted for the production of the highly oxidized and methylated tyrosine residue, while the saframycin enzymes are depicted for the remainder of the pathway.
1.3.3: Halogenation

Halogenation of secondary metabolites is catalyzed by one of four classes of enzymes, the FADH$_2$-dependent, non-heme Fe$^{2+}$/α-ketoglutarate dependent, SAM-dependent, or the haloperoxidases. FADH$_2$-dependent halogenases have been implicated in the chlorination of a tryptophan residue at the C2 position in the NRPS-PKS hybrids chondramide B and D, the 6,7-dichloro-tryptophan residue of kutzneride, and on the β-hydroxytyrosine residue of the glycopeptide antibiotic balhimycin, either while tethered to the NRPS, or after peptide release. The non-heme Fe$^{2+}$/α-ketoglutarate dependent chlorinase installs a chlorine atom on the γ methyl group of the L-threonine residue of syringomycin. Of the final two types of halogenases, only the SAM dependent class has been shown to modify amino acids or non-ribosomal peptide products. 5'-FDAS catalyzes the S$_{N}$2 type displacement of methionine, providing the 5'-fluoro-5'-deoxyadenosine precursor to 4-fluorothreonine, although it is uncertain if this product is a component of any NRP produced by the host of 5'-FDAS, *Streptomyces cattleya*. Also of note is SaIL, the enzyme responsible for producing the 5'-chloro-5'-deoxyadenosine precursor to the chloroethylmalonyl-CoA PKS extender utilized in the biosynthesis of the PKS-NRPS hybrid salinisporamide A.
Figure 1.9: Abbreviated pathway to lyngbyatoxin

1.3.4: Methylation

Numerous examples of tailoring via methylation occur in the assembly of NRPs, the majority of which are $N$-methylations, but there are examples at C and O positions. Generally, these methylations are catalyzed by SAM dependent methyltransferases, which can be found as free enzymes that methylate intermediates tethered to the NRPS, but are most often seen as cis acting modules embedded in the megasynthase. One example of an embedded $N$-methyltransferase is seen in lyngbyatoxin biosynthesis (Figure 1.9), where a SAM dependent methyltransferase domain methylates the free amine of the NRPS tethered valinyl thioester. The $N$-methylation of the amide nitrogen is a common theme, although it can vary from no occurrences as in the structure of daptomycin to seven out of eleven residues being $N$-methylated as seen in
cyclosporine. An additional example of N-methylation of a peptide amide, this time by a free enzyme on a post-NRPS product, is Sacl (Figure 1.8) in the biosynthesis of safracin and the structurally related saframycin. C-methyltransferase and O-methyltransferase activity is suggested for SacF and SacG, respectively, in the biosynthesis of safracin. SafC, involved with the biosynthesis of the same 3-hydroxy-5-methyl-O-methyltyrosine residue as SacF and SacG in saframycin biosynthesis was characterized biochemically, confirming its role as a O-methyltransferase. Surprisingly, SafC and SacG do not share significant identity, providing an interesting example of how two pathways towards two closely related secondary metabolites can utilize different enzymes to form an identical residue.

1.3.5: Prenylation

Another tailoring reaction seen in NRPs is prenylation, albeit rarely. Prenyltransferases catalyze an aromatic substitution reaction between an aromatic acceptor and a prenyl donor. Prenylation of an NRP (Figure 1.9) is exemplified in the biosynthesis of lyngbyatoxin. LtxA, the NRPS, catalyzes the formation of N-methyl-L-valyl-L-tryptophanol via condensation of Trp and Leu, N-methylation of the valine residue, followed by reductive offloading. The CYP LtxB catalyzes the cyclization to provide indolactam V, most likely via an epoxide intermediate. Prenylation is then catalyzed via LtxC, utilizing indolactam V as the aromatic acceptor and geranyl pyrophosphate as the prenyl donor.
1.3.6: Glycosolation

Tailoring by glycosylation also occurs in the biosynthesis of non-ribosomal peptides, the most prominent of which is the glycopeptides. Vancomycin, teicoplanin, and chloroeremomycin all contain two or more glycosylations that are required for their antibiotic activities against Gram-positive pathogens. Several of these glycosyltransferases have been cloned from strains ofAmycolatopsis orientalis that produce vancomycin or chloroeremomycin and were shown to glycosylate the vancomycin or teicoplanin aglycone or the glucosylated vancomycin or teicoplanin aglycone, depending on their native substrate specificity. It was also shown that novel hybrids of teicoplanin/vancomycin glycopeptides or a glucosyl A47934 derivative could be produced by mixing and matching these glycosyltransferases in vitro or in vivo.

1.3.7: Cyclization of serine, threonine, or cysteine to form oxazoline or thiazoline

Heterocycle formation is prominent in the formation of the siderophores pyochelin, yersiniabactin and vibriobactin, and can be observed in other classes of compounds, such as epothilone and bleomycin. These heterocycles are derived from serine, threonine, or cysteine in all of these cases, where NRPSs are utilized to create the heterocycle and form all or part of the final product. Cysteine cyclization to form the thiazoline ring of epothilone is catalyzed by the cyclase domain (Cy) of EpoB, which condenses the acetyl
group tethered to EpoA with cysteine to yield the acetylcystenyl intermediate similar to any other NRPS condensation domain. The Cy domain then catalyzes cyclization and dehydration to form a thiazolinyl intermediate. Further tailoring is carried out via the flavin mononucleotide dependent oxidation domain (Ox), which converts the thiazoline ring to a thiazole. Conversely, reduction could occur to provide the thiazolidine ring as observed in yersiniabactin. A Cy domain is present in the vibriobactin NRPS biosynthesis, and is responsible for forming the oxazoline rings from threonine.

Figure 1.10: Summarized scheme towards the biosynthesis of epothilone, highlighting the formation of the thiazole ring

1.3.8: Reductive offloading

The majority of NRPs are released from the synthetase via the action of a thioesterase as a linear or cyclic product. Some biosynthetic pathways do not contain a terminal thioesterase, and in its place is a domain with significant structural similarity to short chain dehydrogenases/reductases. The majority of the examples of these reductive offloading domains (Red) come from
cyanobacterial pathways, such as those to lyngbyatoxin (Figure 1.9)\textsuperscript{72,80} and nostocyclopeptide\textsuperscript{93}, although the pseudomonad NRPS utilized for safracin production and the actinobacterial saframycin NRPS (Figure 1.8) contains a reductive domain\textsuperscript{50,94}.

Both two and four electron reductions can be catalyzed by Red domains to provide a linear peptidyl alcohol or a reactive aldehyde that can undergo intermolecular condensation. During lyngbyatoxin biosynthesis, a four electron reduction occurs, reducing the carboxy terminus of \textit{N}-methyl-L-valyl-L-tryptophan to the corresponding alcohol\textsuperscript{80}. A two electron Red catalyzed reduction to the aldehyde followed by nucleophilic attach by the \textit{N}-terminal amine to form the cyclic imine has been implicated in the biosynthesis of the nostocyclopeptides\textsuperscript{93}. It has been proposed that the SafC NRPS is utilized iteratively to add both modified tyrosine residues. The Saf C red domain catalyzes a two-electron reduction, releasing peptidic safracin precursors as aldehydes that participate in Pictet-Spengler-like reactions to form the heterocyclic core of safracin B\textsuperscript{50}.

1.4: Biosynthetic pathways to non-proteinogenic amino acids

A second way in which NRPS structures can be diversified by nature is by incorporation of non-proteinogenic amino acids (NPAA\textsc{s}), which are specialized amino acids that go beyond the standard 20 amino acids utilized in both ribosomal and NRP synthesis. Often, the genes required for the biosynthesis of NPAA\textsc{s} are clustered with the NRPS. Adenylation domains (A-domains), although specific for one amino acid or closely related amino acids, are not
restricted to the standard 20 amino acids, providing a mechanism by which NPAAs are incorporated.

Pathways to non-proteinogenic amino acids are diverse. Some of these pathways utilize construction mechanisms similar to those for proteinogenic amino acids, occasionally borrowing enzymes utilized by the host organism to provide the standard 20 amino acids. On the other hand, some NPAAs are produced by pathways quite divergent from those utilized to produce the proteinogenic amino acids.

Classifying the amino acids incorporated by NRPS as tailored proteinogenic amino acids or as NPAAs can be tricky, especially when a proteinogenic amino acid is extensively tailored by multiple enzymes. One example of this is the pathway to 4-methylproline (Figure 1.11), a constituent of the nostopeptolide\textsuperscript{17} and the nostocyclopeptides.\textsuperscript{16} The pathway to nostopeptolide\textsuperscript{95} and nostocyclopeptides\textsuperscript{93} contains two enzymes, NosE and NosF, which have been characterized biochemically to confirm their role in the formation of 4-methylproline.\textsuperscript{96} Similarly, the peptidic precursor of penicillin contains the non-proteinogenic L-\(\alpha\)-amino adipic acid residue that is produced from lysine and involves a piperidine intermediate (Figure 1.17).\textsuperscript{5}
1.4.1: Direct intermediates of primary metabolic pathways

One common source of non-proteinogenic amino acids is the direct intermediates of primary metabolic pathways, such as kynurenine and ornithine, both of which are residues in the structure of daptomycin. Kynurenine is an intermediate of tryptophan degradation, and *Streptomyces roseosporus*, the producer of daptomycin, carries two copies of the tryptophan 2,3-dioxygenase (TDO), which is the first, committed step of tryptophan degradation\(^5\). One copy of TDO is clustered with the pathway to daptomycin, while the other copy resides elsewhere in the genome, and surprisingly is only 29% identical. Additionally, ornithine, a NPAA intermediate of arginine biosynthesis is a residue of daptomycin.

1.4.2: Transamination of $\alpha$-keto acids

One example of the production of NPAA$s$ comes from the non-ribosomal lipopeptide CDA in *Streptomyces coelicolor*. By inspection of the structure of CDA, one would expect 3-methyl-glutamic acid to come from the direct methylation of glutamic acid or an NRPS tethered intermediate of CDA production. Surprisingly, the methyltransferase GlmT from the CDA pathway
acts on α-ketoglutarate (Figure 1.12) to form 3-methyl-2-oxoglutarate, which in turn is transaminated via IlvE, the S. coelicolor transaminase borrowed from the biosynthesis of branched chain proteinogenic amino acids, to yield 3-methyl-glutamic acid.\textsuperscript{97} The adenylation domain responsible for the incorporation of 3-methyl-glutamic acid does show some substrate promiscuity, allowing for the incorporation of methyl and desmethyl glutamic acid, yielding the corresponding CDA analogs.\textsuperscript{98}

![Pathway to 3-methyl-glutamic acid](image)

**Figure 1.12: Pathway to 3-methyl-glutamic acid**

**1.4.3: Polyketide synthase derived**

PKS derived amino acids are also incorporated into NRPs, one of the most prominent examples of which is the 4-(2-butenyl)-4,N-dimethyl-L-threonine component of cyclosporine. Although the exact details of the pathway have not been worked out,\textsuperscript{5,60} experimental evidence suggests that this amino acid is derived from acetyl-CoA and malonyl-CoA, including a SAM-derived methylation and an oxidation to incorporate the alpha-keto group (Figure 1.13). After release from the PKS as a CoA thioester, it is transaminated to the amino acid at the alpha position before or after being converted to the free carboxylic acid.
Figure 1.13: Suggested pathway to the 4-(butenyl)-4-methyl-L-threonine component of cyclosporine. Boxed reactions are catalyzed by the PKS or by associated enzymes reacting in trans on PKS tethered intermediates.

Another example of a PKS derived NPAA is the 3,5-dihydroxyphenylglycine residue of vancomycin, which is formed by the condensation of 4 malonyl-CoA units via a type III PKS, followed by oxidation and transamination to the final product.

Figure 1.14: Pathway to 3,5-dihydroxyphenylglycine in vancomycin biosynthesis

1.4.5: Shikimate pathway

Often intermediates of aromatic amino acid biosynthesis, which are derived from the shikimate pathway, are utilized as the building blocks of cyclic or aromatic NPAAAs. This is evident in the biosynthesis of 4-hydroxyphenylglycine (Figure 1.15), an NPAA component found in both vancomycin and CDA, which is created from prephenic acid via 4-hydroxyphenylpyruvate. There are obvious similarities in how 4-hydroxyphenylglycine and 3,5-dihydroxy-
phenylglycine are created, both requiring oxidation to create an alpha-keto group which can be transaminated to give the amino acid, although the two pathways start from different precursors.

![Figure 1.15 Pathway to 4-hydroxyphenylglycine](image)

Another prominent example of a shikimate derived non-proteinogenic amino acid is L-3-cyclohex-2′-enylalanine (CHA), a component of the PK-NRP hybrid proteasome inhibitor salinosporamide. Stable isotope incorporation studies coupled with bioinformatic predictions and in vitro enzymology support a pathway by which prephenic acid is decarboxylated to give the endocyclic diene dihydro-4-hydroxyphenylpyruvate, which is non-enzymatically isomerized to form the exocyclic diene, followed by reduction and transamination to yield 3-cyclohex-2-enyl-L-alanine (Figure 1.16).
Figure 1.16 Pathway to salinosporamide A, highlighting the biosynthesis of the NPAA precursor cyclohexenylalanine and the unusual PK extender chloroethylmalonyl-CoA.
1.4.6: Aldolase/dehydrogenase heterodimers

NPAA hydroxypyridyl-homothreonine (HPHT), a component of nikkomycin X, is constructed from picolinate-CoA and 2-oxobutyrate through the action of SanN and SanM. These two enzymes comprise an aldolase/dehydrogenase heterodimer that catalyze an aldol condensation yielding 4-pyridyl-4-hydroxy-2-oxoisovalate (Figure 1.7). Further oxidation and transamination provides HPHT. Various bacteria, including certain pseudomonads and strains of *E. coli*, use a aldolase/dehydrogenase heterodimer pair to degrade phenolic compounds, while *Burkholderia cepacia* J2315 utilizes a similar enzymatic pair to degrade tryptophan. Thus, the pathway to HPHT provides an interesting example of where nature has evolved a degradative pathway to synthesize NPAAs.

1.5: Interfacing non-ribosomal peptide synthetases with polyketide synthases adds further chemical diversity

The final way to be discussed in which nature increases the structural diversity of NRPs is to interface with polyketide synthases (PKS) to produce hybrid NRP/PK molecules. Two of the numerous examples of this are salinosporamide and epothilone. During the biosynthesis of salinosporamide A (Figure 1.16), acetate is extended via the activity of the PKS SalA with chloroethylmalonyl-CoA to form the PK portion of this molecule. Sal B accepts L-3-cyclohex-2′-enylalanine, which is β-oxidized via SalD and then condensed with the PKS portion of this molecule. Further tailoring steps establish the highly functionalized γ-lactam-β-lactone core.
Epothilone (Figure 1.10) represents an extreme example in which an NRPS is utilized to provide a methylthiazolylcarboxy starter unit to a PKS system. Cysteine and acetate (via malonyl-CoA) are condensed by the PKS EpoA and the NRPS EpoB. The thiazole functionality of the methylthiazolylcarboxy starter is provided by cyclization and oxidation via EpoB, the eight rounds of polyketide extension via EpoC-F followed by additional tailoring steps provides epothilone.88

1.6: Engineering of nonribosomal peptides to increase nature’s peptide diversity

Although nature has developed numerous mechanisms to provide structural diversity in NRPs via tailoring reactions, use of non-proteinogenic amino acids, and by interfacing with other compound classes, humanity has developed clever means to further diversify NRPs. The need to engineer structural diversity beyond what is provided by nature has been driven by society’s pharmaceutical needs. Indeed, nature may provide a compound that is highly bioactive in a petri dish or test tube, but certain features of a compound may make it a poor drug in vivo. Solubility, bioavailability, stability, and metabolism42,111 are all features of a compound that may need to be altered to transform a bioactive compound into a useful drug. A common approach to diversify compounds is to produce analogs via total synthesis or semi-synthesis. This can be extremely difficult and time consuming when it comes to NRPs because of their size and the high level of functionalization. One solution is to manipulate the biosynthesis of the NRP to provide the desired analogs. Precursor directed biosynthesis, mutasynthesis, and combinatorial biosynthesis
are all biosynthetic techniques that have been utilized to manipulate the structures of NRPs. In addition to increasing the “drugability” of a compound, these approaches can teach us about how these compounds are made. This knowledge can then be utilized to manipulate the biosynthesis of other compounds or to direct further rounds of structural optimization.

1.6.1: Precursor directed biosynthesis and mutasynthesis

Precursor directed biosynthesis is performed by supplementing the culture media of a wild-type organism with a substrate that is similar to a natural precursor of a compound you wish to engineer. Depending on the specificities of the enzymatic machinery, this substrate may be incorporated into the structure of the final product, generating a novel analog. In addition to generating novel analogs, this approach can be used to probe the mechanism by which the compound is produce by providing predicted precursors that have been isotopically labeled with stable isotopes. Isolation of the compound followed by spectrometric analysis to observe the pattern of incorporation can provide significant clues to the biosynthetic process involved in making the wild type compound.

Precursor directed biosynthesis has been utilized to diversify the structure of penicillin (Figure 1.17). The first penicillin utilized clinically was penicillin G, which is produced by the fungus *Penicillium chrysogenum* when it is fermented on corn-steep liquor, a substrate high in phenylethylamine, which is converted into phenylacetic acid by *P. chrysogenum*. When the fermentation broth is supplemented with phenoxyacetic acid, penicillin V is produced, which is more
stable via oral administration, in contrast to penicillin G, which must be administered via injection or with an antacid because it degrades in the acidic environment of the stomach.5

The inherent disadvantage of precursor directed biosynthesis is the production of the wild type compound and the novel analog as a mixture. An additional limitation of this approach is that the unnatural substrate must compete for incorporation into the final product. One way to circumvent these disadvantages is to generate a mutant unable to produce the precursor of the wild-type compound, and then supplement this mutant with the novel precursor, making a novel compound via a mutasynthetic approach.42,111

There are numerous examples in which mutasynthesis has been utilized to diversify the structures of NRPs and NRP/PKS hybrid molecules. One such example includes diversification of pyochelin, which was accomplished by providing the appropriate aza or aryl acid analogs to a culture of Pseudomonas aeruginosa deficient in the biosynthesis of the salicylic acid starter. Analysis of the fermentation broth from the supplemented mutant revealed the production of new pyochelin analogs 5-fluoropyochelin, 6-azapyochelin, and 4-methylpyochelin. All three of these compounds retained their ability to chelate iron, the latter of which was more active than the natural pyochelin.83
Figure 1.17: Summarized pathway to penicillin highlighting the pathway to the NPAA L-α-aminoadipic acid and the precursor directed biosynthesis of penicillin G and V.

Nikkomycin has also been diversified using a similar approach in both the nucleoside moiety and the NPAA.\textsuperscript{111} To diversify the NPAA, a *Streptomyces tendae* Tü901 mutant deficient in lysine-2-aminotransferase (NikC, Figure 1.7) was supplemented with benzoic acid, which was accepted by the rest of the pathway to provide 2-amino-4-hydroxy-3-methyl-4-(4'-hydroxyphenyl)butanoic acid, replacing HPHT in the structure of nikkomycin X.\textsuperscript{113}

Clorobiocin has also been diversified via a mutasynthetic approach (Figure 1.18) in which the gene encoding the prenyltransferase *cloQ* was
inactivated\textsuperscript{114} in \textit{Streptomyces roseochromogenes}, abolishing production of known clorobiocin analogs. A series of 4-hydroxyphenylpyruvate analogs were provided to this mutant, which in turn produced novel clorobiocin analogs with substitutions on ring A where a prenyl group would have been installed in the wild type organism.\textsuperscript{115,116}

![Figure 1.18: Mutasynthesis of clorobiocin](image)

Inactivating 4-hydroxymandelate synthase in the pathway to 4-hydroxyphenylglycine in \textit{Streptomyces coelicolor} eliminated the production of CDA. Providing intermediates downstream of the 4-hydroxymandelate synthase, such as 4-hydroxymandelate, 4-hydroxyphenylglyoxylate, or 4-hydroxyphenylglycine restored CDA production. Supplementing this mutant with 4-fluorophenylglycine, 4-fluorophenylpyruvate, or 4-fluoromandelic acid provided a novel CDA analog in which 4-hydroxyphenylglycine was replaced with 4-fluorophenylglycine.\textsuperscript{49}
A series of analogs of the glycopeptide antibiotic balhimycin were created when the pathways to 3,5-dihydroxyphenylglycine and β-hydroxytyrosine where inactivated in the host producer *Amycolatopsis balhimycina*. When the 3,5-dihydroxyphenylglycine mutant was provided 3,5-dimethoxyphenylglycine, 3-methoxy,5-hydroxyphenylglycine, 3-methoxyphenylglycine, or 3-hydroxyphenylglycine, the corresponding substitutions were observed in the structure of balhimycin. In a similar fashion, 3-fluoro-β-hydroxytyrosine, 3,5-difluoro-β-hydroxytyrosine, and 2-fluoro-β-hydroxytyrosine were accepted, creating fluorinated balhimycin analogs.76

The structure of salinosporamide has also been successfully engineered utilizing mutasynthesis66,117. Providing a series of cyclic or linear alkyl or alkenyl amino acids to the *S. tropica salX* mutant, deficient in the production of L-3-cyclohex-2′-enylalanine, provided a series of novel salinosporamide analogs. Interestingly, the cyclopentenyl substituted derivative salinosporamide X7 was found to be nearly as potent as the natural product salinosporamide A in the proteasome inhibition assay, and even more potent that salinosporamide A against the human colon cancer cell line HCT-116.

![Figure 1.19: Mutasynthesis of salinosporamide](image)

Figure 1.19: Mutasynthesis of salinosporamide
1.6.2: Combinatorial biosynthesis

One of the most ambitious and exhaustive uses of combinatorial biosynthesis applied to NRPs was utilized to diversify the structure of daptomycin (A2197C) and the related lipopeptide A54145 in order to develop a drug effective against community-acquired pneumonia.\textsuperscript{118,119} Daptomycin is effective in vitro against the causative agent of community-acquired pneumonia (CAP), \textit{Streptococcus pneumoniae}, but failed to meet noninferiority criteria in clinical trials. This in vivo failure is believed to be caused by sequesterization of daptomycin in lung lipids. The goal of these studies was to create a daptomycin analog that was less susceptible to sequesterization while maintaining a level of efficacy against \textit{S. pneumonia} in vivo.

A domain swapping approach was utilized to create a series of hybrid NRPSs containing domains from the A2197C NRPS DptABCD and the A54145 NRPS LptABCD in either the host producer of A2197C,\textsuperscript{118} \textit{Streptomyces roseosporus}, or A54145,\textsuperscript{119} \textit{Streptomyces fradiae}, depending on which NRPS was utilized as the host for the swapped domains. In the example depicted in (Figure 1.20), modules 2 and 3 of LptA were swapped with modules 2 and 3 of DptA to create LptA'BCD and then expressed in \textit{S. fradiae}.\textsuperscript{119} Fermentation provided the novel compound CB-182,561. At least 24 different lipopeptides were produced by swapping different modules between the two systems,
Figure 1.20: Modular architecture of the daptomycin (A2197C) NRPS DptABCD and the A54145 NRPS LptABCD, and the hybrid NRPS LptA'BBCD created by swapping out modules 2 and 3 of LptA and replacing them with modules 2 and 3 of DptA. Modules depicted as boxes, amino acid specificities denoted within. Modules containing epimerase domains denoted via an E in brackets. NPAA amino acid abbreviations used: L-ornithine (Orn), L-threo-3-methyl-glutamic acid (3mGlu), and L-kynurenine (Kyn), methoxy-Asp (moAsp), hydroxyasparagine (hAsp), sarcosine (Sar). The structure of the natural product of DptABCD, A2197C and of LptABCD, A54145, are shown below the domain diagram. The product of the combinatorial NRPS LptA'BBCD, CB-182,561, is also shown. Amino acids in black denote invariable residues between the two natural products, blue represent amino acids native to the A2197C series, and red represents amino acids native to the A54145 series.

expressing them in S. fradiae or S. roseosporus, and isolating the resultant lipopeptides from the fermentation broth. CB-182,561 was found to be 32 times more effective than daptomycin against S. pneumoniae in vitro in the presence of bovine pulmonary surfactant, and was found to be efficacious without lethal toxicities in a mouse model of CAP in which daptomycin was ineffective.
Figure 1.21: Modular architecture of the balhimycin NRPS BpsABC and the modified balhimycin NRPS BpsAB'C. Modules depicted as boxes, amino acid specificities denoted within. NPAA abbreviations used: $\beta$-chlorohydroxytyrosine (Cht), 4-hydroxyphenylglycine (Hpg), 3,5-dihydroxyphenylglycine (Dpg). The structure of the natural product of BpsABC, the balhimycin aglycone is depicted below the module diagrams. The engineered product of BpsAB'C, the balhimycin aglycone “extended product” and the detected tailored products are also depicted.

The structure of the balhimycin aglycone$^{120}$ has been modified to incorporate an additional 4-hydroxyphenylglycine residue into the structure of this NRP (Figure 1.21). Inserting an additional module in between the other two modules specific for this NPAA provided an aglycone containing three 4-hydroxyphenylglycine residues instead of the typical two. Although most of the oxidative tailoring and glycosylation that one would have expected to occur to the balhimycin aglycone did not occur, some peptides were observed where the $\beta$-chlorohydroxytyrosine residue was glycosylated or the oxidative linkage was established between the side chains of $\beta$-chlorohydroxytyrosine and 4-hydroxyphenylglycine.
Creation of a novel surfactin analog was realized by deleting the second domain from the surfactin NRPS in Bacillus subtilis ATCC 21332 (Figure 1.22). Deletion of this domain, followed by LC-MS analysis of the resultant mutant revealed the production of Δ2-surfactin, an analog of surfactin lacking the Leu residue. It was reported that Δ2-surfactin was still hemolytic, but not as potent as the natural product surfactin.

Figure 1.22: Modular architecture of the surfactin NRPS SrfA-ABC and the modified surfactin NRPS SrfA-A-Δ2BC. Modules depicted as boxes, amino acid specificities denoted within. Modules containing epimerase domains denoted via an E in brackets. The structure of the natural product of SrfA-ABC, surfactin and the engineered product of SrfA-A-Δ2, SrfA-B, and SrfA-C, Δ2-surfactin are also denoted.

1.7: Conclusion

The NRPs are a class of secondary metabolites that are very structurally diverse. Because of the non-ribosomal assembly process, they may contain both proteinogenic and non-proteinogenic amino acids, the latter of which are provided by unique biosynthetic pathways. Furthermore, extensive tailoring before, during, or after peptide assembly provides even greater structural diversity. This diversity is paramount to their roles in nature and their use by man
to treat and cure disease. In the drive to find new or better drugs, man has developed techniques to further diversify these compounds by manipulating their biosynthesis.

Although numerous advances have been made in understanding how non-ribosomal peptides are assembled, there is still a lot to learn. Genome projects have provided a wealth of information about the prevalence of NRP biosynthetic pathways in nature, how NRPs are assembled and how NPAAs are biosynthesized from primary metabolites. A lot of work still needs to be done to characterize these mechanisms beyond in silico predictions, and to harness this knowledge to further understand the roles of NRPs in nature and to manipulate these biosynthetic mechanisms to provide new medicines.

The remainder of this dissertation addresses understanding the biosynthesis of cyclomarin and cyclomarazine, the pathway for which was found via sequencing of the genome of the marine actinobacterium Salinispora arenicola CNS-205. The focus is to understand how the non-proteinogenic and highly functionalized amino acid components of these related peptides are assembled and how this pathway can be manipulated to create analogs of these NRPs. Chapter 2 highlights the discovery and bioinformatic analysis of the cym biosynthetic pathway, providing a plausible biosynthetic route to cyclomarin and cyclomarazine and insights into the prenylation and oxidative tailoring of these peptides. Chapter 3 further explores the N-prenylation of the tryptophan residue contained in both sized peptides, and their amenability to manipulation via mutasynthesis. Chapter 4 documents efforts to understand and manipulate the
biosynthesis of 2-amino-3,5-dimethyl-4-hexenoic acid, the non-proteinogenic amino acid residue of cyclomarin.
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Chapter 2:

Biosynthesis of Cyclomarins and Cyclomarazines, Prenylated Cyclic Peptides of Marine Actinobacterial Origin
2.1: Abstract

The heptapeptide cyclomarin and the dipeptide cyclomarazine are structurally related cyclic peptides containing modified amino acid residues, including derivatives of \( N\)-(1,1-dimethylallyl)-tryptophan and \( \delta\)-hydroxyleucine, which are common in the di- and heptapeptide series. Stable isotope incorporation studies in \textit{Streptomyces} sp. CNB-982, which was first reported to produce the cyclomarin anti-inflammatory agents, illuminated the biosynthetic building blocks associated with the major metabolite cyclomarin A, signifying that this marine microbial peptide is nonribosomally derived. DNA sequence analysis of the 5.8 Mb \textit{S. arenicola} circular genome and PCR-targeted gene inactivation experiments identified the 47 kb cyclomarin/cyclomarazine biosynthetic gene cluster (\textit{cym}) harboring 23 open reading frames. The \textit{cym} locus is dominated by the 23 358 bp \textit{cymA}, which encodes a 7-module nonribosomal peptide synthetase (NRPS) responsible for assembly of the full-length cyclomarin heptapeptides as well as the truncated cyclomarazine dipeptides. The unprecedented biosynthetic feature of the megasynthetase CymA to synthesize differently sized peptides in vivo may be triggered by the level of \( \beta\) oxidation of the priming tryptophan residue, which is oxidized in the cyclomarin series and unoxidized in the cyclomarazines. Biosynthesis of the \( N\)-(1,1-dimethyl-2,3-epoxypropyl)-\( \beta\)-hydroxytryptophan residue of cyclomarin A was further illuminated through gene inactivation experiments, which suggest that the tryptophan residue is reverse prenylated by CymD prior to release of the cyclic peptide from the CymA megasynthetase, whereas the cytochrome P450 CymV
installs the epoxide group on the isoprene of cyclomarin C post-NRPS assembly. Additional gene inactivation experiments confirmed the role of CymS in tryptophan β oxidation and CymW in leucine δ oxidation. Last, the novel amino acid residue 2-amino-3,5-dimethylhex-4-enoic acid in the cyclomarin series was shown by bioinformatics and stable isotope experiments to derive from a new pathway involving condensation of isobutyraldehyde and pyruvate followed by S-adenosylmethionine methylation. Assembly of this unsaturated, branched amino acid is unexpectedly related to the degradation of 3-(3-hydroxyphenyl)propionic acid.

2.2: Introduction
2.2.1: Cyclomarin consists of several modified and non-proteinogenic amino acids of significant biosynthetic interest

Cyclomarins A-C (1-3) are potent anti-inflammatory marine natural products first described from an estuarine streptomycte, strain CNB-982 (Figure 1.1). Chemotyping analysis of Salinispora arenicola CNS-205, an actinobacterial isolate from Palau, revealed the production of potent secondary metabolites belonging to the rifamycin and staurosporin structural families in addition to the known anti-inflammatory cyclic peptides cyclomarin A (1) and C (3). The novel analog, cyclomarin D (4, Figure 1), was discovered by Dong-Chan Oh in the fermentation broth of this marine isolate, further extending the structural diversity of this peptide natural product. Slight variations in oxidation and methylation differentiate these 21-membered cyclic heptapeptides, which are comprised of a number of highly tailored proteinogenic and nonproteinogenic
amino acid residues, including N-(1,1-dimethyl-2,3-epoxypropyl)-β-hydroxytryptophan, N-methyl-δ-hydroxyleucine, β-methoxyphenylalanine, N-methylleucine, and 2-amino-3,5-dimethylhex-4-enoic acid (ADH) in addition to unmodified alanine and valine moieties. The syntheses of these unusual residues and the total synthesis of 3 have been described. In addition to the cyclomarins, the related diketopiperazines (DKPs) cyclomarazine A (5) and B (6) were isolated from S. arenicola CNS-205 by Dong-Chan Oh, which contain N-(1,1-dimethyl-1-allyl)-tryptophan and N-methyl-δ-hydroxyleucine residues. Because of the similarity between the first two residues of cyclomarin and cyclomarazine, it is likely that they share a common biosynthetic origin.

There is an interesting structural similarity between cyclomarin and rufomycin (Figure 2.2) class of compounds, which includes the ilamycins isolated from Streptomyces islandicus and K95-5076 isolated from Actinoplanes sp. K95-5076. Both sets of cyclic hepapeptides contain the N-(1,1-dimethyl-1-allyl)-tryptophan residue, which like cyclomarin is twice oxidized in some analogs, once at the β-position and once across the prenyl olefin. δ-oxidation of leucine is also observed in both compound classes, forming a hydroxyl functionality in 1-3 and a aldehyde function in ilamycin, and is likely to facilitate the formation of the lactam ring of K95-5076. Along with the extensive presence of aliphatic proteinogenic amino acids, both contain a non-proteinogenic hexenoic amino acid containing an unsaturation between the γ- and δ-positions of the side chain, in a position N terminal to the Trp derived residue. ADH is a novel structural unit of 1-3 and lacks a clear biosynthetic
origin. Although the structure of this eight-carbon, nonproteinogenic amino acid residue is characteristic of proteinogenic branched chain amino acids, it has not been encountered in other natural products. Cyclomarin’s δ-hydroxyleucine and reverse N-prenylated β-hydroxytryptophan units are rare peptidic building blocks with limited distribution beyond the rufomycin compounds. δ-Hydroxyleucine was previously described in depsipeptides from *Paecilomyces lilacinus* and *Biploris zeicola* fungal metabolites where preliminary enzymological studies suggest Fe(II)/α-ketoglutarate-dependent hydroxylases and related enzymes catalyze hydroxylation at aliphatic carbons of amino acid residues. The prenylation of indoles is commonplace in fungal metabolites such as the ergot alkaloids ergotamine and fumigaclavine, the diketopiperazines fumitremorgin B and brevianamide A and the indole diterpenes paxilline and lolitrem B, the N-prenylation of the indole nitrogen of tryptophan is a rare biosynthetic feature in fungi and bacteria. One of the few examples of N-prenylated tryptophan derivatives, in addition to the cyclomarins and rufomycins, is flustramine A from the marine bryozoan *Flustra foliacea*. Several enzymes responsible for the transfer of an isoprene to an indole ring have been characterized from bacteria and fungi, including LtxC from the cyanobacterium *Lyngbya majuscula*, which catalyzes the transfer of geranyl pyrophosphate to indolactam-V during the biosynthesis of lyngbyatoxin. Bioinformatic analysis of the genome sequence of *Aspergillus fumigatus* revealed several prenyltransferases (PTases), four of which have been characterized as of 2007. Three of these enzymes, FtmPT1, FgaPT2, and 7-DMATS, prenylate the indole ring at C2, C4, and C7,
respectively, while the other example, catalyzes a “forward” prenyl transfer to the indole nitrogen.\textsuperscript{20}

**Figure 2.1:** Structures of cyclomarin (1-4, 7, 10) and cyclomarazine (5, 6, 8, 9) cyclic peptides from *Salinispora arenicola* CNS-205 and *Streptomyces* sp. CNB-982. * Structures inferred by experimental evidence, but have not been fully characterized.
Figure 2.2: Compounds sharing structural features with cyclomarin and cyclomarazine. The stereochemistry of BZR-cotoxin II was not reported.
2.2.2: Specific Aims

On the basis of these unusual structural features, we set out to explore the biosynthesis of the cyclomarin peptides in *S. arenicola* CNS-205 in collaboration with Dong-Chan Oh, Daniel Udwary, William Fenical and Paul Jensen at Scripps Institution of Oceanography with a focus of understanding the origins of the unique structural features of these cyclic heptapeptides. In addition, we collaborated with John Carney, Thomas Williamson, and Steven Gould, formally of Oregon State University, who probed the biosynthesis of cyclomarin in *Streptomyces* sp. CNB-982 with stable isotopes. Through these collaborative studies, it was revealed that cyclomarin A, C and D along with the truncated diketopiperazine (DKP) cyclomarazines A-B, are produced by the marine actinomycete, *Salinispora arenicola* CNS-205,\(^{21,22}\) and appear to share a common biogenesis. Sequence analysis of the finished genome of *S. arenicola* CNS-205 (GenBank accession number NC_009953) provided the cyclomarin/cyclomarazine nonribosomal peptide synthetase (NRPS) biosynthetic gene cluster, which furthermore permitted us to explore the biosynthesis of these cyclic peptides at the biochemical and genetic levels. Re-analysis of the data provided from stable isotope feeding studies in *Streptomyces* sp. CNB-982, guided by bioinformatic analysis of the cyclomarin/cyclomarazine (*cym*) gene cluster, provided insights into the biosynthesis of both sized peptides. These insights directed gene inactivation studies along with new stable isotope incorporation studies in *S. arenicola*, confirming several bioinformatic predictions.
and solidified the role of the cym cluster in the biosynthesis of both sized peptides. Over the course of these studies, several new cyclomarin analogs were generated in mutants deficient in specific cym genes involved in prenylation and oxidative tailoring, and two previously unreported cyclomarazine analogs were observed. Here we report a comprehensive investigation of cyclomarin and cyclomarazine biosynthesis utilizing numerous techniques from the chemistry/biology interface.

2.3: Results

2.2.1: Molecular basis for the biosynthesis of the cyclomarins

Structural inspection of the cyclomarins and cyclomarazines suggested that these cyclic peptides are biosynthesized nonribosomally since they harbor modified amino acid residues (N-methylation, N-prenylation, and side chain oxidation) as well as the novel nonproteinogenic amino acid residue 2-amino-3,5-dimethyl-4-hexenoic acid (ADH). Feeding experiments with [U-13C]glucose, [methyl-13C]methionine, and [3'-13C]tryptophan in Streptomyces sp. CNB-982, performed by J. Carney, R. T. Williamson and S. Gould and reinterpreted here, established the biosynthetic origins of the precursor building blocks in 1, thereby confirming that all of the modified residues except for ADH are derived from standard amino acids (Figure 2.3, Table 2.2). Four methyl carbons in 1, namely, the two N-methyl amides, the O-methyl group at C38, and the C24 methyl of the ADH residue, are derived from methionine. Taken together, the biosynthesis of 1 is expected to involve a seven-module NRPS that assembles largely modified amino acid residues involving four methyltransferases (MTs), four oxygenases, a
prenyltransferase (PTase), and a dedicated pathway to ADH. Several proposals for the ADH pathway based on the biosynthesis of branched chain amino acids were put forth by Williamson\textsuperscript{24} and reproduced as Figure 2.4. The initial interpretation of the [U-\textsuperscript{13}C]glucose and [methyl-\textsuperscript{13}C]methionine feeding studies, in which the INADEQUATE \textsuperscript{13}C-\textsuperscript{13}C correlation between C18 and C19 was dismissed as an interunit coupling artifact, supported a pathway that incorporates leucine, acetate, and methionine. Therefore, a pathway similar to that for isoleucine biosynthesis is expected to provide the ADH residue of 1.

![Figure 2.3: Biosynthetic origin of the carbons in cyclomarin A (1). \textsuperscript{13}C-Labeling patterns from the incorporation of [U-\textsuperscript{13}C\textsubscript{6}]glucose (bold lines and dots), [methyl-\textsuperscript{13}C]methionine (diamonds), [3'-\textsuperscript{13}C]tryptophan (triangle), and [1-\textsuperscript{13}C]isobutyrate (asterisk) are depicted. Intact incorporation of \textsuperscript{13}C-\textsuperscript{13}C units was determined by INADEQUATE NMR.](image-url)
Table 2.1: NMR Data for [U-\textsuperscript{13}C]glucose-derived cyclomarin A (1)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>residue</th>
<th>C no.</th>
<th>( ^{13}\text{C} )</th>
<th>( J_{\text{C,C}} ) (Hz)</th>
<th>INADEQUATE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>N-(1,1-dimethyl-2,3-epoxypropyl)-( \beta )-OH-Trp</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>171.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>52.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>68.6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>123.4 71</td>
<td>C5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>111.9 71</td>
<td>C4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>127.0 56</td>
<td>C11</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>119.2 a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>119.8 a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>122.1 a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>113.7 a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>136.1 56</td>
<td>C6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>58.1 38</td>
<td>C16, C15b</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>57.8 29</td>
<td>C14</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>45.4 29</td>
<td>C13</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>23.1 38</td>
<td>C12c</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>24.5 38</td>
<td>C12</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADH</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>172.5 54</td>
<td>C18</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>58.1 55</td>
<td>C17, C19c</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>35.5 56</td>
<td>C18d</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>124.8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>134.4 42</td>
<td>C23</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>25.7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>18.9 42</td>
<td>C21</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>18.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N-Me-Leu</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>168.4 52</td>
<td>C26</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>58.6 52</td>
<td>C25</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>38.9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>25.0 35</td>
<td>C29</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>24.4 35</td>
<td>C28</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>23.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26-N-Me</td>
<td>29.6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Val</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>170.6 55</td>
<td>C32</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>55.2 55</td>
<td>C31</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>30.8 35</td>
<td>C35</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td>19.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>20.0 35</td>
<td>C33</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \beta )-OMe-Phe</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>169.6 50</td>
<td>C37</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37</td>
<td>55.9 50, 40</td>
<td>C36, C38</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38</td>
<td>80.0 40</td>
<td>C37</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39</td>
<td>135.1 57</td>
<td>C44</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>127.8 57</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41</td>
<td>128.3 a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42</td>
<td>128.7 a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43</td>
<td>128.3 a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44</td>
<td>127.8 57</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>57.8 a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ala</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>171.6 55</td>
<td>C47</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>47</td>
<td>50.6 55, 35</td>
<td>C46, C48</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48</td>
<td>20.8 35</td>
<td>C47</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N-Me-( \delta )-OH-Leu</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>168.8 52</td>
<td>C50</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>59.3 52</td>
<td>C49</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51</td>
<td>33.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52</td>
<td>33.2 35</td>
<td>C54</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>53</td>
<td>66.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>54</td>
<td>17.6 35</td>
<td>C52</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N-8-Me</td>
<td>29.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\( ^a \) Low-intensity coupled signals were observed, but \( ^1J \) was not determined.
\( ^b \) Minor coupling species indicative of the MEP pathway. \( ^c \) Single enrichment also evident. \( ^d \) Two coupling species identified: doublet (\( J_{\text{C17-C18}} \)) and doublet of doublets (\( J_{\text{C17-C18}, \text{C18-C19}} \)).
Figure 2.4: Potential pathways to ADH based on branched chain amino acid biosynthesis. Two pathways are depicted here that are analogous to isoleucine (A), and leucine (B) biosynthesis. The third pathway (C) depicts a pathway utilizing valine intermediates to arrive at ADH. Intact two carbon units from glucose are depicted with bold lines. Figure adapted from Williamson.24

We recently completed the genome sequence analysis of the cyclomarin/cyclomarazine-producing bacterium S. arenicola CNS-205, which revealed 10 NRPS-related biosynthetic gene clusters in its 5,786,361 bp
Further inspection identified a 47,477 bp biosynthetic gene cluster (cym) harboring 23 open reading frames (ORFs) whose deduced functions are consistent with cyclomarin biosynthesis. The cym locus is dominated by the largest ORF in the S. arenicola genome, the 23,358 bp cymA, which codes for a heptamodular NRPS (Figure 2.5). Its domain architecture is consistent with the cyclomarin heptapeptides in which modules-2 and -6 additionally harbor MT domains, suggesting that the cyclic peptide framework is wholly assembled by this single megasynthetase. Bioinformatic analysis of the seven adenylation (A) domains in CymA strongly correlated module-4 to the activation of L-phenylalanine (Table 2.2) while the specificities of the remaining A domains were less clear.\textsuperscript{26,27} Taken together, these data suggested the order of incorporation of the amino acid residues in cyclomarin biosynthesis starting with the tryptophan derivative and ending with ADH to yield a linear heptapeptide intermediate bound to the thiolation (T) domain in module-7 (Figure 2.5). Subsequent release and macrocyclization is then putatively achieved by the C-terminal thioesterase (TE). The cyclomarazine DKPs are consistent with this biosynthetic model in which the module-2-bound diketide is cleaved from CymA to yield the diketopiperazine. This premature cleavage reaction may be facilitated by the type II TE\textsuperscript{28} CymQ.
Figure 2.5: Biosynthetic gene cluster organization of cym and proposed biosynthesis of cyclomarin A (1) and cyclomarazine A (5). Each arrow represents the direction of transcription of an ORF and is color coded to signify enzyme function which is further reflected chemically. NRPS-related genes are colored blue with enzymatic domain abbreviations as follows: A, adenylation; T, thiolation (peptidyl carrier protein); C, condensation; MT, methyltransferase; and TE, thioesterase. Oxidative genes are colored red, O-methyltransferases in orange, prenylation related genes in green, ADH biosynthetic genes in purple, and regulatory/transport/other genes in black.

The cym cluster further harbors four oxygenases (cymO, cymS, cymV, and cymW), a putative PTase (cymD), an O-MT (cymP), a four-gene operon associated with ADH biosynthesis (cymE-H), four genes putatively involved in regulation and resistance, and two others in phosphoenolpyruvate metabolic flux (Table 2.3). Pseudogenes containing fragments of integrases and transposases flank the cym locus, suggesting that this cluster may have been acquired.
horizontally. This observation may explain the co-occurrence of this pathway in S. sp. CNB-982.

**Table 2.2: Cyclomarin synthetase (CymA) adenylation domain specificity**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>A-domain sequence</th>
<th>closest match</th>
<th>% identity</th>
<th>predicted specificity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 DVWTHGGVAK</td>
<td>DAWTYGGVIK</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>Bmt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 DALHSGAVAK</td>
<td>DALVMGAVMK</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>Phe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 DVFFVGLVAK</td>
<td>DVFSVGLVMK</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>D-lyserg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 DAWTVAAVCK</td>
<td>DAWTVAAVCK</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>Phe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 DALFVGLVAK</td>
<td>DALFFGLVDK</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>Leu</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 DALLIGAVVK</td>
<td>DAALIGAVFK</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>Val or Leu</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 DAMVLGVVAK</td>
<td>DAAQDLGVVDK</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>Gln</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Bmt = (4R)-(4E)-2-butenyl-4-methyl-L-threonine  
D-lyserg = D-lysergic acid

**2.2.2: 2-Amino-3,5-dimethyl-4-hexenoic acid (ADH) biosynthesis**

Six of seven amino acid constituents in 1 are clearly recognizable as derived from common protein amino acids modified by methylation, prenylation, and/or hydroxylation. The remaining residue ADH has no proteinogenic counterpart. A number of scenarios involving analogous reactions in branched chain amino acid biosynthesis were envisaged and first probed in S. sp. CNB-982 with stable isotopes. The labeling pattern resulting from [U-13C]glucose and [methyl-13C]methionine assimilation (Figure 2.2) initially suggested the involvement of leucine, acetate, and methionine building blocks (Figure 2.4 panel B). The C24 methyl group is clearly derived from methionine, whereas the remaining ADH carbons originate from glucose fragments. The origin of the C17-C19 three-carbon unit in 1 initially led us astray due to two discernible 13C-labeling patterns involving intact and fragmented incorporations of glucose units in which the 13C NMR signal of C19 was singly enriched as well as coupled to
C18, which in turn couples with C17. At the time, we incorrectly assumed that the coupling between C18 and C19 was an artifact arising from interunit coupling. Hence, our working hypothesis to ADH biosynthesis involved a pathway by analogy to leucine biosynthesis (Figure 4.2 panel B) in which α-ketoisocaproic acid could condense with acetyl-CoA followed by isomerization, oxidative decarboxylation, β-methylation, transamination, and desaturation.

Inspection of the *S. arenicola* cym cluster revealed the four gene operon *cymE-H* downstream of the *cymA* NRPS, which by the process of elimination was predicted to be involved in the biosynthesis of ADH. Indeed, the presence of a SAM dependent methyltrasferase in this operon corresponded well with the [methyl-\(^{13}\)C]methionine labeling data. Unfortunately, the three remaining genes have little in common with leucine biosynthesis, nor would be sufficient to support a pathway similar to those depicted in Figure 4.2, panel A or C.

When the proposed functions of CymE-H were taken individually, along with the original interpretation of the [U-\(^{13}\)C]glucose, it was difficult to propose a reasonable pathway to ADH. Reanalysis of CymE-H as an operon, not as individual genes, along with reanalysis of the stable isotope incorporation data suggested an entirely different pathway to ADH involving isobutyrate, pyruvate, and methionine precursors (Figure 2.4a). Three of the four genes were homologous to those involved in the degradation of 3-(3-hydroxyphenyl)propionic acid (3-HPP) in *Comamonas testosteroni* TA441.\(^{29}\)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Protein</th>
<th>Accession</th>
<th>Protein Family (pfam)</th>
<th>Proposed Function</th>
<th>Mutant Chemotype</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CymI</td>
<td>SARE4547</td>
<td>phosphoenolpyruvate synthase</td>
<td>PEP synthesis from pyruvate</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CymJ</td>
<td>SARE4548</td>
<td>no conserved domain</td>
<td>unknown</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CymK</td>
<td>SARE4549</td>
<td>fructose-2,6-bisphosphatase</td>
<td>glycolysis regulation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CymL</td>
<td>SARE4550</td>
<td>ABC-type multidrug transporter</td>
<td>cyclomarin transporter</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CymM</td>
<td>SARE4551</td>
<td>ABC-type multidrug transporter</td>
<td>cyclomarin transporter</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CymN</td>
<td>SARE4552</td>
<td>DnrI, transcriptional activator</td>
<td>regulation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CymO</td>
<td>SARE4553</td>
<td>cytochrome P450</td>
<td>phenylalanine β-hydroxylation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CymP</td>
<td>SARE4554</td>
<td>O-methyltransferase</td>
<td>O-methylation of β-hydroxyphenylalanine</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CymQ</td>
<td>SARE4555</td>
<td>thioesterase</td>
<td>thioesterase(^1)</td>
<td>wild type</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CymR</td>
<td>SARE4556</td>
<td>no conserved domain</td>
<td>unknown</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CymS</td>
<td>SARE4557</td>
<td>cytochrome P450</td>
<td>tryptophan β-hydroxylation(^1)</td>
<td>loss of 1,3 gain of 10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CymT</td>
<td>SARE4558</td>
<td>transposase</td>
<td>transposase</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CymU</td>
<td>SARE4559</td>
<td>LuxR</td>
<td>regulation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CymV</td>
<td>SARE4560</td>
<td>cytochrome P450</td>
<td>epoxidation of prenylated tryptophan(^1)</td>
<td>loss of 1 increase of 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CymW</td>
<td>SARE4561</td>
<td>dioxygenase</td>
<td>leucine δ-hydroxylation(^1)</td>
<td>loss of 1,3,4,5,8 gain of 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CymA</td>
<td>SARE4562</td>
<td>NRPS</td>
<td>cyclomarin synthetase</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CymB</td>
<td>SARE4563</td>
<td>MbtH-like protein</td>
<td>NRPS associated</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CymC</td>
<td>SARE4564</td>
<td>IPP isomerase type I</td>
<td>isopentenyl diphosphate isomerase</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CymD</td>
<td>SARE4565</td>
<td>no conserved domain(^2)</td>
<td>N-prenylation of tryptophan residue(^1)</td>
<td>loss of 1,3,4,5,8 gain of 7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CymE</td>
<td>SARE4566</td>
<td>acetaldehyde dehydrogenase</td>
<td>ADH(^3) biosynthesis</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CymF</td>
<td>SARE4567</td>
<td>4-hydroxy-2-ketovalerate ADH biosynthesis aldolase</td>
<td>ADH biosynthesis</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CymG</td>
<td>SARE4568</td>
<td>O-methyltransferase</td>
<td>ADH biosynthesis</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CymH</td>
<td>SARE4569</td>
<td>2-keto-4-pentenoate hydratase</td>
<td>ADH biosynthesis</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^1\)Function interrogated in this work via PCR directed gene inactivation and chemotype analysis
\(^2\)No entry reported in pfam database for soluble aromatic prenyltransferases
\(^3\)2-amino-3,5-dimethyl-4-hexenoic acid
In the catabolism of 3-HPP, the degradant 2-hydroxypenta-2,4-dienoic acid is hydrated by MhpD to 4-hydroxy-2-oxopentanoic acid to facilitate the reverse aldol reaction to pyruvate and acetaldehyde (Figure 2.6b). Acetaldehyde is then subsequently converted to acetyl-CoA by the NAD+-dependent dehydrogenase MhpF. In an analogous manner yet operating in the reverse biosynthetic direction, valine-derived isobutyraldehyde via isobutyryl-CoA is homologated with pyruvate to give 4-hydroxy-5-methyl-2-oxohexanoic acid via CymE and CymF, respectively (Figure 2.6a). Reinterpretation of the [U-\(^{13}\)C]glucose INADEQUATE data as a legitimate coupling between C17-C18 and C18-C19 supported pyruvate as a precursor. CymE and CymF share high sequence identity with MhpF (36%; BAA82883) and MhpE (41%; BAA82884), respectively. Dehydration by CymH, which is 35% identical to MhpD (BAA82880), putatively yields 2-hydroxy-5-methylhexa-2,4-dienoic acid. Methylation by the SAM-dependent MT CymG followed by transamination utilizing a branched-chain amino acid transaminase such as SARE1130 would provide ADH. These last two enzymatic reactions are homologous to those in the biosynthesis of the (2S,3R)-3-methylglutamate residue of CDA from *Streptomyces coelicolor* A3(2),\(^{30}\) although CymG shares no significant structural identity with the CDA MT (NP_627429). Support for this revised biosynthetic pathway to ADH was achieved in *S. arenicola* CNS-205 with the administration of sodium [1-\(^{13}\)C]isobutyrate, which specifically enriched C20 of 1 at 29%.
Figure 2.6: Proposed pathway for the biosynthesis of (A) 2-amino-3,5-dimethyl-4-hexenoic acid (ADH) from valine and pyruvate (bold) in *S. arenicola* CNS-205 and its relatedness to the catabolism of (B) 3-(3-hydroxyphenyl)propionic acid (3-HPP) in *C. testosteroni* TA441 (Mhp pathway). Boxed percentages denote % identity of Cym to corresponding enzymes in the Mhp pathway. \( 1^{o} \) denotes primary enzymatic reactions in *S. arenicola* encoded by genes outside the *cym* cluster.

2.2.3: Reverse *N*-prenylation of the tryptophan residue

The \([\text{U-}^{13}\text{C}]\text{glucose feeding experiment revealed that the isopentenyl pyrophosphate (IPP)-derived unit attached to the indole nitrogen atom originates in } *S*. sp. CNB-982 predominantly from the mevalonate pathway with minor incorporation from the methylerythritol (MEP) pathway (Figure 2.3). While the presence of both isoprenoid pathways has been demonstrated in other streptomycetes,\(^{31}\) genomic analysis of *S. arenicola* exclusively revealed the complete MEP pathway. Although the *S. arenicola* MEP pathway genes are dispersed in the genome, the *cym* cluster harbors two terpenoid-related genes,
namely, the type I IPP isomerase \textit{cymC} and the PTase \textit{cymD} (Figure 2.5, Table 2.3). CymD shares 23\% identity to the dimethylallyltyrosine synthase SirD (AAS92554) involved in the biosynthesis of sirodesmin PL from the plant pathogenic fungus \textit{Leptosphaeria maculans}\textsuperscript{32} and 24\% identity to the PTase LtxC (AAT12285), which is involved in the C-geranylation of a tryptophan residue during lyngbyatoxin biosynthesis in the cyanobacterium \textit{Lyngbya majuscula}\textsuperscript{15}.

To characterize the function of CymD, we inactivated the encoding gene by PCR-targeted gene replacement utilizing a protocol previously adapted in \textit{Salinispora tropica}\textsuperscript{33}. Replacement of \textit{cymD} with an apramycin resistance/oriT cassette in the \textit{pCC1Fos}-based clone BPPW9569 harboring a 38 kb fragment of the \textit{cym} cluster gave the \textit{S. arenicola cymD}\textsuperscript{-} knockout mutant. HPLC-MS analysis of the mutant verified elimination of cyclomarin and cyclomarazine production (Figure 2.7). We anticipated the formation of new desprenyl cyclomarin and cyclomarazine analogs in comparable quantities to the wildtype compounds assuming that these molecules would be the substrates for CymD. Although we did isolate and partially characterize desprenylcyclomarin C (7) by HR-FTMS (\textit{m/z}) 981.5408 [M + Na]\textsuperscript{+}, 981.5420 calcd), it was produced in the mutant at approximately 100-fold less than the corresponding 1 in the wild-type strain, thereby impeding its complete chemical characterization via NMR. Further analysis by Wei-Ting Liu, Julio Ng, et al.\textsuperscript{34} utilizing MS based cyclic peptide sequencing confirmed the structure of 7 as the desprenyl analog.
Figure 2.7: Figure 5. LC-MS analysis of the organic extracts of *S. arenicola* CNS-205 (WT) and the *S. arenicola* CNS-205 mutants *cymD*<sup>−</sup>, *cymQ*<sup>−</sup>, *cymS*<sup>−</sup>, *cymV*<sup>−</sup>, and *cymW*<sup>−</sup>. Chromatograms recorded at 210 nm. Panel A, dipeptide analysis, panel B, heptapeptide analysis. See Materials and Methods section for details. Note the complete loss of peaks corresponding to 1, 3-5, 8 in the *cymD* replacement mutant. Inactivation of *cymQ* had no significant effect on *cym* related metabolites. Inactivation of *cymS* or *cymV* had no effect on the production of 5 or 8, while inactivation of *cymW* abolished cyclomarazine (5, 8) production. The loss of production of 1 was observed in *cymS*<sup>−</sup>, or *cymV*<sup>−</sup>, or *cymW*<sup>−</sup> and a 10-fold concomitant increase in production of 3 in the *cymV* mutant. Also of note is the appearance of a novel metabolite predicted to be cyclomarin E (10) in the *cymS*<sup>−</sup> mutant and the appearance of cyclomarin B (2) in the *cymW*<sup>−</sup> mutant, which has not been observed in *S. arenicola* CNS-205 WT.
2.2.4: Oxidative tailoring

In agreement with the chemical structure of cyclomarin A (1), the cym gene cluster harbors four oxygenase-encoding genes. BLAST analysis of the Fe(II)/α-ketoglutarate-dependent dioxygenase CymW and combined BLAST and phylogenetic analysis (Appendix 2.7.1) of the three cytochrome P450s CymO, CymS, and CymV, provided insight into their putative biosynthetic functions in amino acid modification (Table 2.3). While there are no ferrodoxins associated with the cym locus to accompany the three P450s, there are eight ferrodoxin encoding genes distributed throughout the S. arenicola genome. CymO belongs to a family of NRPS-associated amino acid β-hydroxylases that oxidize the β-position of PCP-bound amino acid residues.35 Cyclomarin A harbors two such residues, β-methoxyphenylalanine and β-hydroxytryptophan. Immediately downstream of cymO is the putative MT cymP, which strongly suggests that their protein products are jointly involved in the β-oxidation/methylation of CymA-(T4)-bound phenylalanine prior to the condensation with the CymA-module-3 tripeptide precursor (Figure 2.5). The coding specificity of the module-4 A domain is strongly consistent with an unmodified phenylalanine substrate (Table 2.2),26,27 further supporting the hypothesis that these amino acid modifications transpire while tethered to CymA.

Bioinformatic analysis of CymV suggested that this cytochrome P450 is involved in olefin epoxidation. CymV shows sequence similarity to SalD (42% identity; ABG02269) and LtxB (31% identity; AAT12284), which are putative epoxidases involved in the biosynthesis of salinomycin36 and lyngbyatoxin,15
respectively. To probe the function of CymV as the oxygenase responsible for the epoxidation of the isoprene olefin of \( \text{3} \) to \( \text{1} \), we similarly inactivated the encoding gene cymV by PCR-based mutagenesis. HPLC-MS analysis of the resulting knockout mutant extract showed the loss of \( \text{1} \) with concomitant formation of \( \text{3} \), which was produced at trace levels in the wild type (Figure 2.7). The structure of the mutant-derived \( \text{3} \) was verified by NMR comparison with published spectra.\(^1\) Since \( \text{3} \) is produced in the mutant at levels comparable to the production of \( \text{1} \) in the wildtype strain, the CymV-catalyzed installation of the epoxide group probably occurs post-NRPS assembly. The wild-type and mutant strains both synthesize the olefinic \( \text{4-6} \) as anticipated.

The remaining two oxygenases CymS and CymW are homologous to cytochrome P450s and \( \alpha \)-ketoglutarate-dependent iron dioxygenases, respectively, which hydroxylate aliphatic residues. CymS is homologous to CYP107Z4 (51% identity, AAT45275) from \textit{Streptomyces lydicus}, which converts avermectin to 4'-oxo-avermectin at an aliphatic position.\(^37\) CymW has 41% identity to a characterized L-proline 4-hydroxylase\(^38\) (accession BAA20094) from a member of the \textit{Dactylosporangium} genus. PCR targeted gene replacement of \text{cymS} and \text{cymW} confirmed their roles in \( \beta \)-hydroxylation of the tryptophan and stereospecific \( \delta \)-hydroxylation of leucine, respectively. Inactivation of \text{cymS} abolished production of \( \text{1, 3-4} \) while the DKP series was unaffected. Metabolic profiling (Figure 2.7) revealed the presence of a new compound, cyclomarin E (\( \text{10} \)), with two pseudomolecular ions, \([\text{M+Na}]^+ = 1033 \) and \([\text{M+H}]^+ = 1011 \) and a retention time longer than that for \( \text{4} \), which is consistent with an analog of \( \text{4} \).
lacking an oxidation at the β position of tryptophan. The ion [M+H-H₂O]⁺ was not observed for 10, also supporting the lack of the hydroxyl group on Trp since all known cyclomarins produce this characteristic ionization due to elimination of water from this position.³⁴ A new peak with a mass and retention appropriate for 2 was observed in the cymW− mutant, a compound not previously observed in S. arenicola CNS-205 but previously described in Streptomyces sp. CNB-982.¹ This mutant did not produce 1, 3-4, nor 5-6, all of which contain δ-hydroxyleucine.

Comparative metabolite profiling of S. arenicola CNS-205 wild type and cym mutants revealed the presence of two additional cyclomarazine analogs, cyclomarazine C (8) and D (9), containing the epoxide functionality characteristic of 1. These compounds are not present in the cymD− or cymW− mutants, but surprisingly were still produced in the epoxidase mutant cymV−. Both 8 (ESI-MS (m/z) 436.2 [M + Na]⁺, 436.2 calcd) and 9 (ESI-MS (m/z) 422.2 [M + Na]⁺, 422.2 calcd) eluted as two peaks with equivalent peak areas and MS spectra, indicative of a diastereomeric pair of compounds. Repeated rounds of preparative chromatography enriched the purity of the diastereomers of 8, providing 8a and 8b. Full characterization of 8a via interpretation of ¹H, HMBC and HSQC NMR data (Table 2.4) confirmed the presence of the epoxide functionality between C13 and C14. The ¹H chemical shift values for the 1,1-dimethyl-2,3-epoxypropyl functionality of 8a [(δH, assignment), (3.25, 13), (2.86, 14), (1.46, 15), (1.61, 16)] compared favorably with those reported¹ for 1 [(δH, assignment), (3.22, 13), (2.90, 14), (1.57, 15), (1.66, 16)]. Similarly, the ¹³C values for 8a [(δC, assignment),
Comparison of $8\text{a}$ with $8\text{b}$ via comparison of $^1$H-NMR data confirmed subtle differences in the chemical shifts for H4, H7, H8, and H13-16, validating their diastereomeric relationship with an inversion of stereochemistry at C13. The mass spectrum and reduced chromatographic retention time of 9 is consistent with an analog of 8 lacking the $N$-methylation on the $\delta$-hydroxyleucine residue, although 9 was produced in quantities that impeded full structural analysis. The presence of the epoxides 8-9 in the cymV deficient mutant suggests that olefin oxidation in the dipeptide series is a non-enzymatic process, or is a side reaction of an unrelated oxidase. This assumption is supported by the presence of both diastereomers of 8-9 in equivalent quantities.

2.4: Discussion

In this work we employed a multidisciplinary approach involving natural product discovery, stable isotope incorporation studies, genomics, and in vivo mutagenesis to unravel the biosynthesis of the related cyclomarazine dipeptides and the cyclomarin heptapeptides from two unrelated marine actinomycetes. These complementary experiments revealed an intriguing biosynthetic mechanism for nonribosomal peptides involving new pathways to nonproteinogenic amino acid residues as well as the in vivo formation of different size cyclic peptide products from the same NRPS assembly line.
Table 2.4 NMR Spectroscopic Data for Cyclomarazine C (8) in DMSO-$d_6$

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>position</th>
<th>$\delta^a_C$</th>
<th>$\delta^b_H$, (J in Hz)</th>
<th>HMBC</th>
<th>$\delta^b_H$, (J in Hz)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>165.6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>56.0</td>
<td>4.14, d (3.4)</td>
<td>1, 3, 5, 17</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NH-2</td>
<td>8.24, d (2.9)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3a</td>
<td>30.1</td>
<td>3.15, dd (14.4, 5.4)</td>
<td>1, 2, 4, 5, 6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3b</td>
<td>30.1</td>
<td>3.05, dd (14.4, 4.2)</td>
<td>1, 2, 4, 5, 6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>125.21</td>
<td>7.18, s</td>
<td>2, 3, 5, 6, 7, 10, 11, 12</td>
<td>7.19, s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>107.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>129.7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>119.1</td>
<td>7.54, d (7.8)</td>
<td>5, 6, 9, 10, 11</td>
<td>7.54, d (7.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>118.6</td>
<td>7.00, t (7.4)</td>
<td>5, 6, 9, 10, 11</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>120.8</td>
<td>7.07, t (7.6)</td>
<td>6, 7, 10, 11</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>112.8</td>
<td>7.70, d (8.4)</td>
<td>6, 8</td>
<td>7.71, d (8.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>135.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>57.6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>58.8</td>
<td>3.25, m</td>
<td>12, 14, 15, 16</td>
<td>3.27, m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>44.7</td>
<td>2.86, s</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>2.89, s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>22.8</td>
<td>1.46, s</td>
<td>12, 16</td>
<td>1.45, s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>23.8</td>
<td>1.61, s</td>
<td>12, 15</td>
<td>1.62, s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>166.8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>58.8</td>
<td>3.62, dd (9.0, 3.0)</td>
<td>1, 17, 19, 20</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NMe-18</td>
<td>31.9</td>
<td>2.73, s</td>
<td>1, 18</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19a</td>
<td>36.0</td>
<td>0.71, m</td>
<td>17, 18, 20, 21, 22</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19b</td>
<td>36.0</td>
<td>0.55, ddd (13.7,10.4, 5.9)</td>
<td>17, 18, 20, 21, 22</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>32.4</td>
<td>1.39, m</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21a</td>
<td>66.0</td>
<td>2.83, m</td>
<td>19, 20, 22</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21b</td>
<td>66.0</td>
<td>2.75, m</td>
<td>19, 20, 22</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OH-21</td>
<td>4.29, m</td>
<td></td>
<td>20, 21</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>16.2</td>
<td>0.61, d (6.6)</td>
<td>19, 20, 21</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$Assignment by HMQC and HMBC correlations. $^b$600 MHz. $^c$Only shifts that differentiate the two stereoisomers listed here

Analysis of the structural features of the cyclomarin and cyclomarazine analogs along with analysis of stable isotope incorporation patterns into 1 from S.sp. CNB-982 were the starting point for developing biosynthetic proposals that
were further evaluated genetically upon completion of the genome sequence of *S. arenicola* CNS-205. Bioinformatic and in vivo mutagenesis experiments correlated the cym locus to cyclomarin and cyclomarazine biosynthesis, thereby providing the molecular basis for the assembly of their amino acid components and their cyclic structures.

The molecular architecture of the NRPS megasynthetase CymA perfectly correlates with the synthesis of the cyclomarin heptapeptides starting with the tryptophan residue and ending with ADH. The majority of the cyclomarin building blocks are modified proteinogenic amino acids that have undergone methylation, oxidation, and prenylation reactions catalyzed by tailoring enzymes encoded by genes flanking the massive 23 kb *cymA* gene. Tryptophan, which is the priming amino acid residue, undergoes a series of modification events involving reverse *N*-prenylation by CymD, β-hydroxylation by CymS, and epoxidation by CymV to yield *N*-(1,1-dimethyl-2,3-epoxypropyl)-β-hydroxytryptophan as in 1. The timing of these biosynthetic events relative to peptide synthesis was probed in vivo, suggesting that prenylation and β-hydroxylation occur either on the free amino acid or while it is covalently tethered via a thioester linkage to CymA, whereas epoxidation occurs post NRPS assembly. Precedence for the prenylation reaction, however, suggested that the reaction would rather occur post NRPS assembly based on the biosynthesis of other prenylated NRPS products such as terrequinone A$^{39}$ and lyngbyatoxin$^{15}$. Gene inactivation of the PTase *cymD* eliminated the production of the natural cyclomarins and cyclomarazines in *S. arenicola*, thereby establishing the biosynthetic linkage of these differently sized
peptides. Furthermore, production of desprenylcyclomarin C (7) at a substantially lower concentration in the mutant relative to the corresponding cyclomarin and cyclomarazines in the wild-type organism suggested that prenylation is an integral biosynthetic reaction and that it must occur prior to the stage of the diketide intermediate common to the cyclomarazines and cyclomarins. Chapter 3 reports further vivo and in vitro characterization of CymD, which confirms that prenylation occurs on free Trp before incorporation by CymA. In contrast to the low production of 7 in the PTase mutant, inactivation of the cytochrome P450 epoxidase cymV resulted in a mutant that efficiently shifted cyclomarin production from the epoxide 1 to the olefin 3, which indicates that the liberated 3 is the substrate of CymV.

Intriguingly, the co-occurrence of the two cyclic peptide series derived from the same NRPS system is to the best of our knowledge unprecedented in nature. The key structural difference between the common amino acid residues in these peptides is the oxidation state of the β-position of the tryptophan moiety, which is oxidized in the heptapeptides and unoxidized in the diketide DKPs. DKPs have been reported to derive from NRPSs as well as NRPS-independent systems. However, the majority of those deriving from NRPSs harbor a proline residue at the second amino acid position and are proposed to form spontaneously resulting from conformational constraints induced by the proline residue. Few examples exist contrary to the necessity of proline for DKP formation by NRPSs, and in those cases, the associated NRPS is programmed to produce a diketide. Whether formation of the cyclomarazine
DKPs is facilitated by the type II TE CymQ serving an editing function to hydrolyze the incompletely processed dipeptide or its cleavage is nonenzymatic due to ineffective further processing by module 3 of the NRPS CymA is not clear (Figure 2.5). Since inactivation of CymQ had little effect on the production ratio of the di- and heptapeptides (Figure 2.7), it is unlikely that it is responsible for catalyzing DKP formation dependent on the oxidation state of Trp. In addition, inactivation of the tryptophan β-hydroxylase did not increase cyclomarazine production and did provide a putative cyclomarin lacking this oxidation. These results support, but do not confirm, that partitioning to the diketide is nonenzymatic. Surprisingly, a β-oxidized cyclomarazine has not been observed in any wild type or mutant extract.

A further unusual feature identified in this study involves the unexpected formation of the nonproteinogenic amino acid residue ADH. While our initial isotope labeling experiments led us astray in the formulation of a biosynthetic model, bioinformatic analysis of the cym locus suggested an alternative pathway related to the degradation of 3-HPP, yet operating in the reverse direction (Figure 2.6). The acquisition and evolution of the ADH biosynthetic pathway represents an intriguing example of pathway creation, which is being further explored biochemically, the results of which are reported in chapter 4.

2.5: Experimental Section

2.5.1: General experimental procedures

Low-resolution LC/MS data were acquired using a Hewlett-Packard series 1100 LC/MS system utilizing electrospray ionization in positive mode, with a
linear gradient of 10–90% aqueous MeCN at a flow rate of 0.7 mL/min over 24 min on a reversed-phase C\textsubscript{18} column (Phenomenex Luna, 4.6 mm x 100 mm, 5 \textmu m) for general extract screening. High-resolution mass spectra were collected by HR-ESI-TOFMS at the Scripps Research Institute, La Jolla, CA and ESI-HR-FTMS at the Chemistry & Biochemistry Mass Spectrometry Facility, University of California San Diego. NMR spectral data was obtained on a Bruker 600 MHz spectrometer equipped with a 1.7 mm cryoprobe.

2.5.2: Bacterial strains, plasmids, culture conditions, and DNA manipulations

The marine actinomycete strain CNS-205 was isolated from a sediment sample collected at a depth of 20 m off Palau in 2004 by the Fenical and Jensen laboratories at Scripps Institution of Oceanography, UCSD. The strain was identified as \textit{S. arenicola} by the Fenical and Jensen laboratories based on 16S rDNA analysis (100% identity; NC\textsubscript{009953}) and DNA–DNA hybridization studies with the \textit{S. arenicola} type strain CNH-643.\textsuperscript{22} \textit{Streptomyces} sp. CNB-982 was previously described.\textsuperscript{1} Both bacteria were grown in A1 media (10 g starch, 4 g yeast extract, 2 g peptone, and 10 mL of 1 M Tris (pH 8.0) per liter seawater) at 28 °C and 200 rpm unless otherwise noted. \textit{Escherichia coli} DH\textsubscript{5}\alpha (Invitrogen) was used for cloning experiments as described,\textsuperscript{45} and \textit{E. coli} S17-1\textsuperscript{46} and ET12567/pUZ8002\textsuperscript{47} were used for conjugation experiments. Previously adapted for use in \textit{Salinispora},\textsuperscript{33} REDIRECT\textsuperscript{®} (Plant Bioscience Limited, Norwich, UK) technology was utilized for PCR targeting.\textsuperscript{47} The \lambda-\textit{Red} function was provided by pKD20 (bla).\textsuperscript{46} Fosmid BPPW9569 containing a fragment of the \textit{cym} gene cluster
from \textit{cymS} and a transposase directly downstream of \textit{cymH} in the pCC1FOS vector (Epicentre) was obtained from the Joint Genome Institute, Walnut Creek, CA and utilized for both \textit{cymD} and \textit{cymV} deletions. Apramycin (100 \(\mu\)g/mL for \textit{S. arenicola}; 50 \(\mu\)g/mL for \textit{E. coli}), chloramphenicol (2.5 \(\mu\)g/mL for \textit{S. arenicola}; 12.5-25.0 \(\mu\)g/mL for \textit{E. coli}), carbenicillin (100 \(\mu\)g/mL), and nalidixic acid (100 \(\mu\)g/mL) were used for selection of recombinants. DNA purification and manipulation was performed according to standard procedures.\textsuperscript{45,48}

\textbf{2.5.3: Cultivation of \textit{S arenicola} and isolation of 1, 8, and 9}

\textit{S. arenicola} CNS-205 was cultured at 27 °C with shaking at 250 rpm in 10 2.8-L Fernbach flasks containing 1 L of the medium A1+BFe (10 g starch, 4 g yeast extract, 2 g peptone, 40 mg Fe\(_2\)(SO\(_4\))\(_3\)•4H\(_2\)O, 100 mg KBr, and 1 L seawater). After 10 days organic chemical constituents from 10 L culture were collected by solid phase extraction using Amberlite XAD-7 resin. The crude extract was prepared by washing the resin with acetone and evaporating the solvent in vacuo. The pH of the remaining aqueous residue was adjusted to pH ~12 with NaOH and partitioned with EtOAc. The EtOAc layer was dried under vacuum to yield ~1 g of crude material, which was fractionated utilizing Sephadex LH-20 resin (GE Healthcare, 35 g resin in a 30 mm wide column) with MeOH as the solvent. Fractions were screened by LC-MS, and those containing cyclomarin or cyclomarazines were pooled. The cyclomarin pool was injected on a Phenomenex Synergi 10μm 250 x 21.2 mm column with a gradient of 48-90% MeCN in H\(_2\)O over 30 min at a flow rate of 13 mL/min. Cyclomarin A was eluted at 20.6 min. Cyclomarin A was further purified utilizing a Phenomenex Luna
C$_{18}$(2) semi-preparative column C$_{18}$ 10 mm x 250 mm column at 2.5 mL/min) using a isocratic solvent of 57.5% MeCN in H$_2$O. 3.2 mg of 1 eluted at 28 min from WT S. arenicola CNS-205 per liter of culture.

To purify cyclomarazine C and D, the cyclomarazine fraction from above was injected on a Phenomenex Synergi 10µm 250 x 21.2 mm column with a gradient of 20-40% MeCN in H$_2$O over 30 min at a flow rate of 13 mL/min. Cyclomarazine C and D coeluted at 22.5 min. The fraction containing 8 and 9 were injected on a Phenomenex Onyx C$_{18}$ 10 x 100 mm column and separated with 15% MeCN in H$_2$O at a flow rate of 3.5 mL/min. Compounds 8 and 9 both eluted as a pair of peaks that could not be resolved to baseline (Appendix figure 2.S2), suggesting that they are diasterioisomers, with 9a and 9b eluting at 9.5 and 10.5 min, and 8a and 8b eluting at 37.5 min and 39.7 min, respectively. Repetitive rounds of chromatography were utilized to separate the stereoisomers of 8a and 8b, yielding 2.1 mg of 8a, and 0.6 mg of 8b. Note that these compounds were of equal peak area in the first injection and the yield of 8b was low because of experimental error.

**Cyclomarazine C (8a).** NMR data see Table 2.1. MS (ESI$^+$) 436.2 m/z [M+Na]$^+$ (calcd for C$_{21}$H$_{25}$N$_3$O$_4$Na 436.2).

**Cyclomarazine C (8b).** NMR data see Table 2.1. MS (ESI$^+$) 436.2 m/z [M+Na]$^+$ (calcd for C$_{21}$H$_{25}$N$_3$O$_4$Na 436.2).

**Cyclomarazine D (9a&b).** MS (ESI$^+$) 422.2 m/z [M+Na]$^+$ (calcd for C$_{20}$H$_{23}$N$_3$O$_3$Na 422.2).
2.5.4: Labeling experiments

Initial feeding experiments were carried out in cultures of *Streptomyces* sp. CNB-982 and isolation of the resulting labeled cyclomarin A was carried out by J. Carney, R. T. Williamson and S. Gould.⁴ Production cultures in A1 media were incubated in Fernbach flasks for 6-7 d at 230 rpm and 23 °C. A mixture of 1 g of D-[U-\(^{13}\)C\(_6\)]glucose and 1 g D-glucose was pulse-fed at 48, 62, 73, 88, and 96 h to a 1 L production culture. Pulse-feeding [methyl-\(^{13}\)C]methionine (52.5 mg) at 48, 60, 72, 85, and 94 h and DL-[\(^{3'}-{13}\)C]tryptophan (90.1 mg) at 40, 52, 64, 76, and 88 h to two separate 1L production cultures was also carried out. An additional feeding experiment was carried out with cultures of *S. arenicola* CNS-205. Production cultures consisting of A1+BFe media (1 L) were incubated in Fernbach flasks for 10 d at 230 rpm and 28 °C. Sodium [1-\(^{13}\)C]isobutyrate⁴⁹ (25 mg) was fed at 60 h.

2.5.5: DNA sequence analysis of the cyclomarin gene cluster (cym)

The putative cyclomarin NRPS cymA was identified from a draft genome assembly of *S. arenicola* CNS-205 (GenBank accession CP00850) provided by the Joint Genome Institute (JGI) through the Community Sequencing Program. NRPS domain structure for cymA was determined as described,⁵⁰ and adenylation domain specificity was predicted using NRPSPredictor.⁵¹ Sequence analysis and putative functions for enzymes encoded by genes surrounding cymA were predicted using the suite of tools available at NCBI (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/blast/Blast.cgi). Open reading frames were further analyzed by FramePlot.⁵²
2.5.6: Conjugation protocol for *S. arenicola*

*S. arenicola* CNS-205 was cultivated for 5 days in 50 mL A1 in a 250 mL flask containing a stainless steel spring. Mycelium was harvested from 25 mL and resuspended in 2 mL A1. 0.5 mL of *E. coli* S17-1 suspension was mixed with 0.5 mL of the *S. arenicola* suspension and then plated on A1 agar plates. After 1 d incubation at 34 °C, the plates were overlaid with 2.5 mg each apramycin and nalidixic acid. Exconjugates were visible 10 days after plate inoculation.

2.5.7: Inactivation of *cymD, cymQ, cymS, cymV* and *cym W*

*cymD* was inactivated utilizing the modified PCR targeting system previously described for *S. tropica.* In fosmid BPPW9569, *cymD* was replaced by the apramycin resistance (*acc(3)IV*) cassette from pIJ773 utilizing λ-Red-mediated recombination. The *cymD* replacement cassette was generated by PCR using primers *cymDkoF1* and *cymDkoR1* (See appendix table 2.S1). Lowercase letters represent 39 nt homologuous extensions immediately upstream and downstream of *cymD*, respectively, including the putative start and stop codons. This cassette was introduced into *E. coli* BW25113/pKD2046 containing the chloramphenicol-resistant fosmid BPPW9569. Gene replacement was verified using PCR primers *cymDckF1* and *cymDckR1*. The mutated fosmid was introduced into *S. arenicola* CNS-205 by conjugation from *E. coli* S17-1 and confirmed by PCR analysis. Identical methodology was utilized to inactivate *cymQ, cymS, cymV* and *cym W* utilizing primers listed in appendix table 2.S1.
2.5.8: Analysis of the *S. arenicola cym* mutants

One hundred µL of 4 d cultures of the *S. arenicola* WT or mutants were used to inoculate duplicate 50 mL cultures in A1+BFe containing 100 µg/mL apramycin (mutants only) in 250 mL Erlenmeyer flasks containing stainless steel springs. On day 10, the cultures were extracted with EtOAc, dried in vacuo and combined with ~40 mg Celite. The sample and Celite were loaded on a 1g C\textsubscript{18} solid phase extraction column (Thermo) and eluted with a step gradient of 20, 40, 60, 80, and 100% MeCN in H\textsubscript{2}O (6 mL steps). Eighty % MeOH fractions were dried and reconstituted in 700 µL MeOH and analyzed for cyclomarazine analogs with a gradient of 20-40% MeCN over 30 min. One Hundred % MeOH fractions were dried and reconstituted in 100 µL and analyzed for cyclomarin analogs, utilizing isocratic 57.5% MeCN in H\textsubscript{2}O. For both analyses, a reversed-phase C\textsubscript{18} column (Phenomenex Luna, 4.6 mm x 100 mm, 5 µm) at 0.7 ml/min was used. Larger scale fermentations of the *cymV*– (1 L) and *cymD*– (10 L) mutants were cultured, extracted, and the products isolated as described above. The *S. arenicola cymV* knockout mutant yielded 2.1 mg of 3, whereas the *cymD*– mutant gave 0.5 mg of a crude fraction containing 7 (HR-FTMS [M+Na]\textsuperscript{+} m/z 981.5408, calcd 981.5420).
2.6: Appendix

2.6.1: Phylogenetic analysis of the cytochrome P450s CymO, CymS, CymV to predict their role in cyclomarin and cyclomarazine biosynthesis

Appendix figure 2.S1: Neighbor joining phylogenetic tree of CymO, CymS, and CymV

Deduced protein sequences for putative cytochrome P450s (CYP) from the cyclomarin (cym) cluster from Salinispora arenicola CNS-205 were blasted against the NCBI non-redundant (nr) database using the blastp algorithm.53 BLAST hits with E values less than $10^{-50}$ in which the function of the sequence has been characterized or can be deduced were utilized. A multiple sequence
alignment of the CYP protein sequences was carried out using an
implementation of clustalW algorithms in Molecular Evolutionary Genetics
Analysis (MEGA) version 3.1. The Gonnet protein weight matrix was utilized
with GOP at 15 and GEP at 0.3 for both pairwise and multiple alignment. After
the first round of alignment, overhanging N and C termini were deleted, and GOP
and GEP was set at 10 and 0.2 for the final round of alignment. Alignment
data from the CYP sequences was also fed into the neighbor-joining (NJ)
algorithm (figure 3) including a 1000 repetition bootstrap analysis (figure 4).
Default parameters included complete deletion of gaps with the Poisson
correction and the assumption of uniform rates of mutation. The MEGA tree
visualizer, part of MEGA 3.1, was utilized for displaying and editing trees.

Figure 2.S1 depicts the unrooted NJ phylogenetic tree derived from this
analysis of the CYP protein sequence data. The red clade contains members
involved in β oxidation of peptidyl carrier protein tethered amino acids. NikQ converts histidine to β-hydroxy-histidine. SimI, Novl, and the CYP from A.
orientalis catalyze the conversion of tyrosine to β-hydroxy-tyrosine and
SalD catalyzes a similar reaction on CHA to β-OH-CHA. Because all of the
P450s in the red clade are β-hydroxylating enzymes, we can deduce that CymO
is a β-hydroxylating P450, likely to oxidize the phenylalanine or the N-(1,1-
dimethylallyl)-tryptophan residue of cyclomarin.

CymV is a member of two clades labeled blue in Figure 2.S1, the
members of which install epoxide or hydroxyl groups on aromatic carbons. It is
possible that this enzyme is forming the epoxide moiety on the isoprene unit of
cyclomarin, since Stro3622 from *S. tropica* \(^{50}\) is a component of an enediyne biosynthetic cluster. In the same clade is CCM4719, which may provide the epoxide needed to form the macolide structure of salinomycin \(^{36}\). LtxB is theorized to form an epoxidated cryptic intermediate in the biosynthesis of lyngbyatoxin \(^{15}\). NocL \(^{61}\) and NikF \(^{62}\) are involved in installing hydroxyl groups in aromatic systems.

CymS may be involved in hydroxylating the isoleucine residue in cyclomarin A, or the β position of the prenylated tryptophan residue. Most of the members of the green clade oxidize an aliphatic carbon. The *S. lydicus* derived P450 is known to convert avermectin to oxo-avermectin. \(^{37}\) Sare1221 is involved in rifamycin production. \(^{63}\) EryF hydroxylates an aliphatic position of the erythromycin aglycone, \(^{64}\) while PikC also catalyzes the hydroxylation on the alkane side chain of pikromycin. \(^{65}\) Phoslactomycin B is hydroxylated on an alkane ring via PlmS2. \(^{66}\) RubU \(^{67}\) and Sare3040 \(^{25}\) are also expected to oxidize at aliphatic positions.
### Appendix table 2.S1: Primers for PCR directed gene inactivation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Replacement cassette primers&lt;sup&gt;a,b&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>cymDKOF1</td>
<td>cgccctcgacgttgtgatgtctgaacaaggagaaccgggttgATTCCGGGGATCCGTCGACC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cymDKOR1</td>
<td>gacgtctgcatctccgtgacaggttagacacccctctcccgTGTAGGCTGGAGCTGCTTC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cymQKOF1</td>
<td>ctgggggcagccccggcgcgcacactgtcttcttgatATTCGCGCCGATCCGTCGACC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cymQKOR1</td>
<td>gtcgcagttgctcggtgcataagtctgccgacacactcccgTGTAGGCTGGAGCTGCTTC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cymSKOF1</td>
<td>atatgtcgagacagcagcagttcagctgccgacctgATTCGCGCCGATCCGTCGACC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cymSKOR1</td>
<td>gacggcagttgctcgcgtacgctcgcagttgcctccagtcATTCGCGCCGATCCGTCGACC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cymVKOF1</td>
<td>ccgcagttgctcgcgtacgctcgcagttgcctccagtcATTCGCGCCGATCCGTCGACC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cymVKOR1</td>
<td>gacggcagttgctcgcgtacgctcgcagttgcctccagtcATTCGCGCCGATCCGTCGACC</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Verification primers&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>cymDckF1</td>
<td>TGGTTCCCGGCCGCTGCAGTCCG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cymDckR1</td>
<td>CGGCGAACAGGACGCACGTC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cymQckF1</td>
<td>TGCCGAGTGTCACGCAGAA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cymQckR1</td>
<td>GCGAGCGCTGACCGCTGT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cymSckF1</td>
<td>ATGGGTGCCGGCAGCGTCG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cymSckR1</td>
<td>GGAGGAACCCGGCAGAG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cymVckF1</td>
<td>AGCGTGAGATCGGCCTAGGG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cymVckR1</td>
<td>TTGTGGTAGCTCGCAGCTG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cymWckF1</td>
<td>CGGTCGCGCTTCTCTTCG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cymWckR1</td>
<td>CGACTCGTACAACCGGAG</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup>All primers denoted 5’ to 3’. <sup>b</sup>Capital letters denote sequence homologous to apramycin resistance cassette, lower case letters homologous to gene being replaced.
Appendix figure 2.S2: Preparative HPLC chromatogram of cyclomarazine C (8a,8b) and D (9a,9b)
Appendix figure 2.S3: $^1$H spectrum of cyclomarazine C (8a) in DMSO-$d_6$
Appendix figure 2.S4: HSQC spectrum of cyclomarazine C (8a) in DMSO-$d_6$
Appendix figure 2.S5: HMBC spectrum of cyclomarazine C (8a) in DMSO-$d_6$
Appendix figure 2.S6: $^1$H spectrum of cyclomarazine C (8b) in DMSO-$d_6$
Appendix figure 2.S7: $^{13}$C spectrum of natural abundance cyclomarin A (1) in CDCl$_3$
Appendix Figure 2.S8: $^{13}$C spectrum of cyclomarin A from [U-$^{13}$C$_6$] glucose feeding (1a) in CDCl$_3$
Appendix Figure 2.S9: $^{13}$C spectrum (150 MHz) of 1a in CDCl$_3$, 165-175 ppm
Appendix Figure 2.S10: $^{13}$C spectrum of 1a in CDCl$_3$, 165-175 ppm
Appendix Figure 2.S11: $^{13}$C spectrum of 1a in CDCl$_3$, 110-138 ppm
Appendix Figure 2.S12: $^{13}$C spectrum of 1a in CDCl$_3$, 50-80 ppm
Appendix Figure 2.S13: $^{13}$C spectrum of 1a in CDCl$_3$, 29-45 ppm
Appendix Figure 2.S14: $^{13}$C spectrum of 1a in CDCl$_3$, 17-26 ppm
Appendix figure 2.S15: 2D INADEQUATE NMR spectrum of 1a in CDCl₃ showing ADH residue couplings
Appendix figure 2.S16: 2D INADEQUATE NMR spectrum of 1a in CDCl$_3$ showing β-OMe-Phe residue couplings
Appendix figure 2.S17: 2D INADEQUATE NMR spectrum of 1a in CDCl₃ showing N-Me-5-OH-Leu residue couplings
Appendix figure 2.S18: 2D INADEQUATE NMR spectrum of 1a in CDCl$_3$ showing Val residue couplings
Appendix figure 2.S19: 2D INADEQUATE NMR spectrum of 1a in CDCl₃ showing N-Me-Leu residue couplings
Appendix figure 2.S20: 2D INADEQUATE NMR spectrum of 1a in CDCl₃ showing $N\text{-}(1,1\text{-dimethyl-2,3-epoxypropyl})\text{-}\beta\text{-OH-Trp}$ residue couplings
Appendix figure 2.S21: 2D INADEQUATE NMR spectrum of 1a in CDCl₃ showing Ala residue couplings
Appendix figure 2.S22: $^{13}$C NMR spectrum of cyclomarin A from [methyl-$^{13}$C]methionine feeding (1b) in CDCl$_3$
Appendix figure 2.S23: $^{13}$C NMR spectrum of 1b in CDCl$_3$, expansion
Appendix figure 2.2S4: $^{13}$C NMR spectrum of cyclomarin A from [3-$^{13}$C]tryptophan feeding (1c) in CDCl$_3$
Appendix figure 2.S25: $^{13}$C NMR spectrum of 1c in CDCl$_3$, expansion
Appendix figure 2.S26: $^{13}$C NMR spectrum of cyclomarin A from sodium $[1^{-13}$C$]$isobutyrate feeding (1d) in CDCl$_3$
Appendix figure 2.S27: $^{13}$C NMR spectrum of 1d in CDCl$_3$, expansion
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Chapter 3:

Functional Characterization of the Cyclomarin/Cyclomarazine Prenyltransferase

CymD Directs the Biosynthesis of Unnatural Cyclic Peptides
3.1: Abstract

In vitro and in vivo characterization of the cyclomarin/cyclomarazine prenyltransferase CymD revealed its ability to prenylate tryptophan prior to incorporation into both cyclic peptides by the non-ribosomal peptide synthetase CymA. This knowledge was utilized to bioengineer novel derivatives of these marine bacterial natural products by providing synthetic N-alkyl tryptophans to a prenyltransferase deficient mutant of *Salinispora arenicola* CNS-205.

3.2: Introduction

The anti-inflammatory cyclomarin A$^1$ (Figure 3.1, 8) and antibacterial cyclomarazine A$^2$ (5) are cyclic hepta- and dipeptides produced by the marine actinobacterium *Salinispora arenicola* CNS-205.$^3$ Common to both peptides is a \( N\)-(1,1-dimethyl-1-allyl)-tryptophan (2) residue, which is further oxidized in 8 and cyclomarin C (9). While prenylated indoles, such as 2, are common structural features in fungal and plant natural products,$^4$-8 these tryptophan-derived moieties are rare in bacteria. Indeed, there are numerous examples of prenylated indoles of fungal origin, such as fumigaclavine C, fumitremorgin B, and terrequinone A isolated from various *Aspergillus* species$^4$ (Figure 3.2). Through sequencing efforts,$^9$ many of the prenyltransferases involved in the biosynthesis of these compounds and other fungal indole-prenyl hybrids have been identified, and their functions characterized.$^4$-8,$^{10}$-13 In both fumitremorgin B and terrequinone A (Figure 3.2)$^4$, prenylation occurs after the assembly of the amino acid derived core. Prenylation post NRPS assembly is also observed in the biosynthesis of lyngbyatoxin A (Figure 1.9),$^{14}$ a rare example of a prenyl-indole
hybrid of bacterial origin. The majority of PTase reactions follow this trend, although it has been suggested that prenylation of aromatic amino acid residues precedes the assembly of sirodesmin PL as well as an unknown *Aspergillus fumigatus* peptide containing a C-7 prenylated tryptophan residue provided by the PTase 7-DMATS (Figure 3.3).

We recently characterized the 47,477-bp cyclomarin/cyclomarazine gene cluster *cym*, which is dominated by the 23,358-bp *cymA* gene encoding a heptamodular nonribosomal peptide synthetase (NRPS) responsible for the dual assembly of 5 and 8. The discovery of the *cym* locus led to the in vivo characterization of the prenyltransferase (PTase) CymD, which in turn suggested its role in prenylating a common biosynthetic precursor to both peptides prior to assembly in contrast to the majority of peptidyl PTase reactions. This implies that CymD prenylates a NRPS tethered intermediate, or is a *N*-(1,1-dimethyl-1-allyl)-tryptophan synthase and this prenylated amino acid is selected for and incorporated by module 1 of CymA. To the best of our knowledge, this would be the only known example of a 2 synthase, although it has been shown that FtmPT1 and CdpNPT can convert tryptophan to 2 (Figure 3.4) in vitro at a diminished catalytic efficiency in comparison to their preferred DKP substrates (Figure 3.2 and 3.4). In this study, we established the biological function of CymD as 2 synthase, which provided a general strategy to readily generate unnatural *N*-alkylated tryptophan analogs of 5 and 8 by a unified mutasynthetic approach.
Figure 3.1: Structures and biosynthesis of natural and unnatural cyclomarin and cyclomarazine analogs. Supplementing cultures of the *S. arenicola* cymD− mutant with tryptophan analogs yields known and novel cyclomarins and cyclomarazines, thereby establishing 2 as the in vivo substrate for the heptamodular CymA NRPS. Thick arrows denote the pathway operative in wild type *S. arenicola* CNS-205, while thin lines represent pathways accessible via the cymD-deficient mutant. Abbreviations: A, adenylation domain; C, condensation domain; M1–M7, modules 1–7; MT, methyltransferase; T, thiolation domain; and TE, thioesterase.
Figure 3.2: Pathways to fungal prenyl-indole hybrids highlighting the prenyltransferases integral to their biosynthesis
Figure 3.3: Two fungal pathways in which prenylation is suggested to occur prior to peptide assembly

Figure 3.4: CdpNPT and FtmPT1 fungal PTases and their promiscuity towards tryptophan derived indoles. (A) The preferred substrate for CdpNPT is DKPs and FtmPT1 is the D KP brevianamide F (Figure 3.2), (B) It has been shown that both PTases are promiscuous and will convert tryptophan to \(N-(1,1\text{-dimethyl-1-allyl})\)-tryptophan, albeit at significantly reduced catalytic efficiency.
3.3: Results

The targeted disruption of the PTase gene *cymD* in *S. arenicola* CNS-205 previously led to a mutant deficient in the known cyclomarin and cyclomarazine chemistry and provided a novel analogue, desprenylcyclomarin C (*10*).\(^2,15\) The >100-fold lower production of *10* in the *cymD* knockout mutant not only implied that prenylation occurs pre-NRPS assembly, but also suggested that tryptophan is a poor substrate for CymA.\(^2\) We thus set out to explore the in vitro function of CymD, which was prepared as a 42 kD octahistidyl-tagged recombinant protein in *Escherichia coli*. The affinity-purified protein (see Appendix Figure 3.S16) was incubated with L-tryptophan (*1*) and dimethylallyl pyrophosphate (DMAPP) to yield *2* (Figure 3.5), which was identical chromatographically and spectroscopically to synthetic *2*. Reactions in which DMAPP was replaced by isoprenyl, geranyl, or farnesyl pyrophosphate yielded no detectable products, suggesting a high level of specificity for the isoprene donor. Moreover, addition of Ca\(^{2+}\) or Mg\(^{2+}\) to the reaction mixture did not significantly alter yield, nor did addition of the cation-chelating agent ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid, suggesting that CymD functions cation independently. Alignment of CymD with the fungal indole PTase FgaPT2 (Figure 3.6) revealed the presence of two conserved lysine residues at positions 146 and 207 consistent with cation-independent fungal PTases\(^13\) that belong to an emerging group of divergent prenylating enzymes from bacteria and fungi that share a α/β barrel fold.\(^16,17\)
Figure 3.5: LC-DAD analysis of $N$-(1,1-dimethyl-1-allyl)-tryptophan (2) at 210 nm. Trace A, synthetic 2; Trace B, natural 2 in the crude organic extract of $S. arenicola$ CNS-205; Trace C, enzymatic 2 from the CymD-catalyzed reaction of DMAPP and tryptophan (1); and Trace D, the CymD boiled control of the enzymatic reaction in C.

Analysis of the $S. arenicola$ CNS-205 fermentation broth revealed that 2 is indeed a natural product produced at 2 mg/L (Figure 3.5) and that its assembly ceases in the cymD– mutant. The structure of natural 2 was identical in all regards to enzymatic and synthetic 2 (Appendix Figure 3.S3). These data suggested that 2 is preformed and selected by the initiating NRPS module M1 on the heptamodular CymA synthetase to give a common biosynthetic intermediate that ultimately gives rise to natural cyclomarin and cyclomarazine cyclic peptides (Figure 3.1). We verified this conclusion by the chemical complementation of the cymD– mutant with synthetic 2 that restored the in vivo production of 5 and 8 to wild type levels (Appendix Figures 3.S1 and 3.S2), establishing 2 as the endogenous substrate of the CymA loading didomain M1.
Figure 3.6: Portion of an alignment of CymD with the active site of FgaPT2 and other prenyltransferases. Fungal indole prenyltransferases (PTases) from *Aspergillus fumigatus* FgaPT2 (accession no. AAX08549), CdpNPT (ABR14712), and FtmPT2 (AFUA_8G00250) were aligned with bacterial indole PTases CymD from *Salinispora arenicola* CNS-205 (SARE_4565) and LtxC (*Lyngbya majuscula*, AAT12285) and bacterial aromatic PTases NphB (*Streptomyces* sp. CL190, AB187169) and CloQ (*Streptomyces roseochromogenes* AF329398). Li and coworkers\textsuperscript{13} have identified the conserved residues in bold, two of which are lysines (*) responsible for the non-metal dependent activity of fungal indole PTases.

Since prenylation in the cyclomarin/cyclomarazine series occurs on free tryptophan rather than on a peptide precursor as in precedent examples,\textsuperscript{4-6,14} it provided us an opportunity to employ a mutasynthesis approach\textsuperscript{18} to explore whether *N*-alkyl tryptophan analogues could be simultaneously assimilated into both natural product classes to give rise to novel analogues. Commercially available *N*-(1-methyl)-tryptophan (3) was first evaluated by administering it to a culture of the *cymD*\textsuperscript{−} mutant. LC-MS analysis of the resulting organic extract revealed anticipated products that were subsequently isolated and fully characterized by NMR and high-resolution MS to give the novel *N*-methyl indoles.
Table 3.1: NMR Spectroscopic Data for Cyclomarins M (11) and P (12) in CDC13

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Position</th>
<th>δ in ppm (11)</th>
<th>δ in ppm (12)</th>
<th>HMBC</th>
<th>δ in ppm (11)</th>
<th>δ in ppm (12)</th>
<th>HMBC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>170.8</td>
<td>170.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>52.9</td>
<td>53.3</td>
<td></td>
<td>4.58, t (4.1)</td>
<td>1, 3.5, 17</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NH-2</td>
<td>6.70, d (2.9)</td>
<td>6.75, d (1.9)</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>67.9</td>
<td>68.3</td>
<td></td>
<td>5.28, d (4.4)</td>
<td>1, 4.5, 6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OH-3</td>
<td>4.13, s</td>
<td>4.04, t (2.3)</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>126.9</td>
<td>125.4</td>
<td></td>
<td>7.21, s</td>
<td>2, 3.5, 10, 11</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>112.3</td>
<td>113.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>125.3</td>
<td>127.9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>118.8</td>
<td>119.4</td>
<td></td>
<td>7.58, d (8.0)</td>
<td>4.5, 8.9, 10, 11</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>119.7</td>
<td>120.4</td>
<td></td>
<td>7.11, s</td>
<td>4.9, 10, 11</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>122.5</td>
<td>122.9</td>
<td></td>
<td>7.24, m</td>
<td>6.7, 11</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>109.9</td>
<td>110.0</td>
<td></td>
<td>7.36, d (8.3)</td>
<td>4.8, 9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>137.0</td>
<td>136.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>32.8</td>
<td>35.8</td>
<td></td>
<td>4.79, d (2.4)</td>
<td>4.11, 13, 14</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>77.1</td>
<td>74.2</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.4, t (2.5)</td>
<td>12</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>172.4</td>
<td>172.6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>58.1</td>
<td>58.2</td>
<td></td>
<td>4.05, d (9.4)</td>
<td>17, 19, 20, 24, 25</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NH-18</td>
<td>8.00, d (9.4)</td>
<td>8.00, d (9.4)</td>
<td>17, 18, 25</td>
<td>17, 18, 25</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>18, 25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>35.5</td>
<td>35.6</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.61, m</td>
<td>18, 20, 21, 23</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>124.7</td>
<td>124.8</td>
<td></td>
<td>4.72, d (9.9)</td>
<td>19, 22, 23</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>134.6</td>
<td>134.6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>25.7</td>
<td>25.8</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.24, s</td>
<td>18, 20, 21, 24</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>19.5</td>
<td>18.9</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.72, s</td>
<td>18, 20, 21, 24</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>18.6</td>
<td>18.5</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.62, d (6.5)</td>
<td>18, 19, 20</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>168.5</td>
<td>168.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>58.6</td>
<td>58.6</td>
<td></td>
<td>4.85, m</td>
<td>25, 27, 28, 31, NMe-26</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NMe-26</td>
<td>29.5</td>
<td>29.5</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.82, s</td>
<td>26, 31</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27a</td>
<td>38.8</td>
<td>38.9</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.25, m</td>
<td>25, 26, 28, 29</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27b</td>
<td>38.8</td>
<td>38.9</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.03, m</td>
<td>25, 26, 28, 29, 30</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>25.2</td>
<td>25.0</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.45, m</td>
<td>27, 30</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>23.3</td>
<td>22.8</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.85, d (6.6)</td>
<td>27, 28</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>22.4</td>
<td>23.4</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.88, d (6.6)</td>
<td>27, 28</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>170.4</td>
<td>171.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>55.3</td>
<td>55.3</td>
<td></td>
<td>4.35, d (8.7)</td>
<td>33, 34, 35, 36</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NH-32</td>
<td>7.93, d (8.0)</td>
<td>7.93, d (8.0)</td>
<td>32, 33, 36</td>
<td>7.93, d (8.0)</td>
<td>32, 33, 36</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>30.7</td>
<td>30.8</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.21, m</td>
<td>32, 34, 35</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td>19.3</td>
<td>19.2</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.04, d (6.7)</td>
<td>32, 33, 35</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>19.9</td>
<td>20.5</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.92, d (6.7)</td>
<td>32, 33, 35</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>169.6</td>
<td>169.6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37</td>
<td>55.9</td>
<td>55.9</td>
<td></td>
<td>4.88, m</td>
<td>36, 38, 39, 46</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NH-37</td>
<td>7.14, d (4.7)</td>
<td>7.13, d (4.7)</td>
<td>36, 37, 46</td>
<td>7.13, d (4.7)</td>
<td>36, 37, 46</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38</td>
<td>79.8</td>
<td>79.9</td>
<td></td>
<td>5.05, d (5.3)</td>
<td>36, 37, 39, 40, 44-45</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39</td>
<td>135.1</td>
<td>135.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>36, 37, 39, 40, 44-45</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40-44</td>
<td>127-128</td>
<td>127-128</td>
<td></td>
<td>7.23-7.25, m</td>
<td>38, 39</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>57.8</td>
<td>57.8</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.34, s</td>
<td>38</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46</td>
<td>171.7</td>
<td>171.8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>47</td>
<td>50.7</td>
<td>50.6</td>
<td></td>
<td>4.86, m</td>
<td>46, 48</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NH-47</td>
<td>8.19, d (10.3)</td>
<td>47, 49</td>
<td></td>
<td>8.17, d (10.2)</td>
<td>47, 49</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48</td>
<td>20.8</td>
<td>20.8</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.28, d (7.3)</td>
<td>46, 47</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>49</td>
<td>169.7</td>
<td>169.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>59.3</td>
<td>59.3</td>
<td></td>
<td>4.68, dd (10.5, 3.0)</td>
<td>1, 49, 52, NMe-8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NMe-50</td>
<td>29.4</td>
<td>29.3</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.64, s</td>
<td>1, 50</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51a</td>
<td>32.9</td>
<td>32.8</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.16, m</td>
<td>49, 52, 53, 54</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51b</td>
<td>32.9</td>
<td>32.8</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.41, m</td>
<td>49, 52, 53, 54</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52</td>
<td>33.3</td>
<td>33.2</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.26, m</td>
<td>50, 51, 53, 54</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>53a</td>
<td>66.1</td>
<td>66.3</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.18, m</td>
<td>51, 54</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>53b</td>
<td>66.1</td>
<td>66.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>51, 54</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>54</td>
<td>17.9</td>
<td>17.7</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.65, d</td>
<td>52, 53</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Numbering based on ref. 2. * Assignment by HMBC and HMQC correlations. * 600 MHz. * Overlapping signals.

cyclomarin M (Figure 3.1, 11) and cyclomarazine M (6). NMR analyses (Tables 3.1 and 3.2) verified the loss of the respective prenyl groups of 8 and 5 while
maintaining the remainder of the peptidic structures. HMBC correlations and chemical shift analyses further established the N-methyl substitution (C-12) on the tryptophan indole ring.

Table 3.2: NMR Spectroscopic Data for Cyclomarazines M (6) and P (7) in DMSO-d$_6$

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>position</th>
<th>$\Delta_c^a$</th>
<th>$\Delta_C^a$, ($\nu$ in Hz)</th>
<th>HMBC</th>
<th>$\Delta_c^a$</th>
<th>$\Delta_C^a$, ($\nu$ in Hz)</th>
<th>HMBC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>166.2</td>
<td>165.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>55.7</td>
<td>55.6</td>
<td>1, 3, 5, 17</td>
<td>4.12, dd (7.7, 4.3)</td>
<td>5, 3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NH-2</td>
<td>3.19, dd (14.4, 4.9)</td>
<td>1, 2, 18</td>
<td>8.20, d (2.5)</td>
<td>1, 2, 4, 5, 6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3b</td>
<td>3.06, dd (14.4, 4.5)</td>
<td>1, 2, 4, 5, 6</td>
<td>3.18, dd (14.4, 4.9)</td>
<td>1, 2, 4, 5, 6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>7.02, s</td>
<td>3.5, 6, 7, 11, 12</td>
<td>7.11, s</td>
<td>2, 3, 5, 6, 11, 12</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>108.4</td>
<td>109.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>128.6</td>
<td>125.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>3.75, d (7.9)</td>
<td>5, 6, 8, 11</td>
<td>11.9</td>
<td>7.53, d (7.9)</td>
<td>5, 6, 9, 11</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>6.98, dd (7.5, 7.5)</td>
<td>4, 10</td>
<td>118.9</td>
<td>7.02, dd (7.3, 7.3)</td>
<td>6, 10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>7.11, dd (7.6, 7.6)</td>
<td>6, 8, 10, 11</td>
<td>121.3</td>
<td>7.14, dd (7.6, 7.6)</td>
<td>7, 11</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>7.34, d (8.2)</td>
<td>6, 8, 9</td>
<td>109.7</td>
<td>7.44, d (8.2)</td>
<td>8, 6, 9, 11</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>135.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>3.72, s</td>
<td>4, 11</td>
<td>34.6</td>
<td>5.01, m</td>
<td>4, 11, 13, 14</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>79.1</td>
<td>57.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>75.4</td>
<td>3.39, t (2.4)</td>
<td>12, 13</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>167.5</td>
<td>166.7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>3.57, dd (9.0, 3.2)</td>
<td>1, 17, 19, NMe-18</td>
<td>58.6</td>
<td>3.57, dd (9.0, 3.1)</td>
<td>1, 17, 19, 20</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NMe-18</td>
<td>2.66, s</td>
<td>1, 18</td>
<td>31.6</td>
<td>2.68, s</td>
<td>1, 17, 18</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19a</td>
<td>0.52, dd (13.1, 9.5, 3.3)</td>
<td>17, 18, 20, 21, 22</td>
<td>35.0</td>
<td>0.55, m</td>
<td>17, 18, 20, 21, 22</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19b</td>
<td>0.38, dd (13.7, 9.1, 4.2)</td>
<td>17, 18, 20, 21, 22</td>
<td>35.0</td>
<td>0.41, dd (13.7, 9.0, 4.3)</td>
<td>17, 18, 20, 21, 22</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>1.32, m</td>
<td>19, 21, 22</td>
<td>32.2</td>
<td>1.34, m</td>
<td>19, 20</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21a</td>
<td>2.83, d (10.3, 5.3)</td>
<td>19, 20, 22</td>
<td>65.9</td>
<td>2.81, m</td>
<td>19, 20</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21b</td>
<td>2.72, dd (10.1, 7.0)</td>
<td>19, 20, 22</td>
<td>65.9</td>
<td>2.74, m</td>
<td>19, 20</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OH-21</td>
<td>4.34, m</td>
<td>4.28, m</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>0.57, d (6.6)</td>
<td>19, 20</td>
<td>15.9</td>
<td>0.58, d (6.6)</td>
<td>17, 19, 20, 21</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*a Numbering based on ref 2. *a Assignment by HSQC and HMBC. † 600 MHz. ‡ Overlapping signals.

We next explored incorporating an N-propargyl group to these cyclic peptides in order to prepare analogs appropriate for biological evaluation via semi-synthesis utilizing Click chemistry.19 Upon the addition of 4 to the S. arenicola cymD knockout mutant, new cym analogs cyclomarin P (12) and cyclomarazine P (7) carrying the anticipated propargyl side chains (C-12 through C-14) were similarly produced by fermentation. The yields of unnatural 11 and 12 were comparable to that of natural 8 in S. arenicola CNS-205 at 1–3 mg/L with a similar trend observed in the cyclomarazine series. These observations coupled with the inability of tryptophan to restore wild type biosynthetic levels2 suggest that the native CymA loading adenylation (A) domain in module 1 (M1) can
accommodate varied \( N \)-1 substituted tryptophan substrates for assimilation into the \( cym \) hepta- and dipeptides.

### 3.4: Discussion

Herein we report the characterization of CymD as a bacterial \( N \)-(1,1-dimethyl-1-allyl)-tryptophan synthase, which alkylates tryptophan with DMAPP as the prenyl donor in a cation-independent manner prior to NRPS assembly. Although several biochemical studies have been performed on bacterial\(^5\) and fungal indole PTases\(^4-8\) few studies have probed the in vivo timing of the prenyltransfer reaction. In vitro kinetic analysis suggests tryptophan and tyrosine are prenylated by 7-DMATS\(^7\) and SirD\(^8\), respectively, prior to incorporation into non-ribosomal peptides, although the final product of the 7-DMATS containing pathway is unknown and in vivo radioisotope incorporation studies contradict the in vitro kinetic analysis of SirD\(^20\). Numerous studies have revealed the promiscuity of bacterial\(^16\) and fungal\(^4\) prenyltransferases towards related aromatic substrates, making it difficult to solely extend in vitro observations to in vivo biological relevance. \( N \)-(1,1-Dimethyl-1-allyl)-tryptophan synthase activity was recently reported for the fungal PTase CdpNPT, which functions to reverse prenylate various tryptophan-containing DKPs at the indole nitrogen yet exhibits a high level of in vitro promiscuity toward indoles such as tryptophan.\(^5\) In contrast to CdpNPT’s preference to preformed peptides, CymD prenylates free tryptophan, providing both in vitro and in vivo evidence that prenylation occurs prior to NRPS-mediated incorporation into the cyclomarin and cyclomarazine peptides. The preference of CymA module 1 for 2 over tryptophan provided us a
unique opportunity to prepare novel N-alkylated cyclomarin and cyclomarazine analogs, which in turn supported the pre-NRPS timing of CymD catalyzed prenyl transfer. This work demonstrates the amenability of cyclomarin/cyclomarazine to diversification via mutasynthesis, providing novel analogues of these di- and heptapeptides and furthering our general understanding of NPRS specificity and amenability to engineering.

3.5: Experimental section

3.5.1: General experimental procedures

Chemicals were acquired from Sigma-Aldrich or Fisher Scientific unless noted otherwise. NMR spectroscopic data were obtained on a Bruker 600 MHz spectrometer equipped with a 1.7 mm cryoprobe. LC analysis was performed on a Agilent 1200 series LC or a HP series 1100 LC-MS system utilizing electrospray ionization in positive mode with a linear gradient of 10-90% acetonitrile at 0.7 mL/min over 24 min utilizing a Luna 4.6 x 100 mm, 5 µm C18(2) column (Phenomenex). High-resolution mass spectra were collected by ESI-HR-FTMS at the Chemistry & Biochemistry Mass Spectrometry Facility, University of California San Diego.

3.5.2: Bacterial strains and culture conditions

Wild type S. arenicola CNS-205 and the prenyltransferase deficient mutant S. arenicola cymD were grown in A1+BFe media (10 g starch, 4 g yeast extract, 2 g peptone, 40 mg of Fe₂(SO₄)₃•4H₂O, 100 mg KBr, 1 L seawater) at 28 °C and 200 rpm. Production cultures were grown for 10 days in 2.8 L Fernbach flasks containing 1 L of media unless stated otherwise. Escherichia coli DH5α.
was used for cloning and expression experiments as described.\textsuperscript{21} DNA purification and manipulation was performed according to standard procedures.\textsuperscript{21,22}

3.5.3: CymD purification

The \textit{cymD} gene (GenBank protein accession SARE_4565, genome ascension CP00850) was amplified from genomic \textit{S. arenicola} CNS-205 DNA via PCR utilizing the forward primer \textit{cymDF1} 5'-CGTGGTTC\textsuperscript{gagctc}TTGACCGAGGAGTTGACGACGGTCCG (Sacl site underlined) and the reverse primer \textit{cymDR1} 5'-GCTCGAATTC\textsuperscript{aagctt}TCATTCGGTTCTCCCTCTCG (HindIII site underlined). Ligation into pHIS8\textsuperscript{23} yielded plasmid pHIS8-\textit{cymD}, which was transformed into \textit{E. coli} BL21(DE3) (Invitrogen) for expression. Transformants were grown in ZYP-5052 autoinduction media\textsuperscript{24} containing 50 µg/mL kanamycin at 16 °C for two days. Cells were harvested and lysed by sonication in lysis buffer (50 mM NaH\textsubscript{2}PO\textsubscript{4}, 300 mM NaCl, 10 mM imidazole, 10% glycerol, 2 mM β-mercaptoethanol) with the addition of 0.5 g/L lysozyme. The lysate was cleared by centrifugation at 20,000 rpm for 50 min, and the resulting supernatant was loaded onto a polypropylene column containing 6 mL Ni-NTA agarose (Qiagen). The column was washed with wash buffer (50 mM NaH\textsubscript{2}PO\textsubscript{4}, 300 mM NaCl, 20 mM imidazole, 10% glycerol, 2 mM β-mercaptoethanol), and eluted in elution buffer (50 mM NaH\textsubscript{2}PO\textsubscript{4}, 300 mM NaCl, 250 mM imidazole, 10% glycerol, 2 mM β-mercaptoethanol). The resulting eluate was placed in a 10 kD cut off dialysis tube and dialyzed overnight against 2 L of phosphate buffer (50 mM sodium
phosphate pH 8.0, 300 mM NaCl, 2 mM DTT). Octahistidyl-tagged CymD was further purified by FPLC (GE Healthcare) utilizing a Superdex 200 26/60 size exclusion column with phosphate buffer as the mobile phase, and fractions containing CymD were pooled and concentrated to a final concentration of 2.34 mg/mL using a Centriprep Ultracel YM-10 (10 kD cutoff, Millipore).

3.5.4: CymD prenyltransferase assay

His$_8$-tagged CymD (1 µg, 0.2 µM) was incubated with 1 mM L-tryptophan and 1 mM dimethylallyl pyrophosphate (DMAPP) in 100 µL 50 mM imidazole buffer, pH 6.8, and incubated for 2 h at 37 °C. The reaction was quenched with 10 µL 1.5 M TCA, and centrifuged for 10 min at 13,000 rpm. The supernate was then analyzed by LC or LC-MS. To assay for isoprene pyrophosphate specificity, DMAPP was replaced with 1 mM isopentenyl pyrophosphate, geranyl pyrophosphate, or farnesyl pyrophosphate. Metal dependence was interrogated by including 10 mM Ca$^{2+}$, 10 mM Mg$^{2+}$, or no metal added with or without 50 mM EDTA. To characterize the product of of CymD-catalyzed tryptophan conversion, 3.25 mmol L-tryptophan, 3.25 mmol DMAPP, and 380 µg enzyme were incubated in 3.25 ml 50 mM imidazole buffer, pH 6.8, overnight at 37 °C. The reaction was dried in vacuo, redissolved in a minimal volume of MeOH, and was fractionated by preparative HPLC utilizing an Onyx C$_{18}$ 100 x 10 mm column (Phenomenex) in isocratic 40% MeOH at 5 mL/min, with N-(1,1-dimethyl-1-allyl)-tryptophan eluting at 9.5 min, yielding 2.3 mg product after removing the mobile phase in vacuo.
3.5.5: Purification of \textit{N}(1,1-dimethyl-1-allyl)-tryptophan from \textit{S. arenicola CNS-205}

A 4 L production culture of \textit{S. arenicola} CNS-205 was incubated with XAD-7HP resin for 2 h. The resin and cells were collected by filtration and extracted in acetone, which in turn was evaporated in vacuo. The pH of the remaining aqueous residue was adjusted to \(~\text{14}\) with \(10\ \text{N NaOH}\) and washed with EtOAc. The aqueous phase was then adjusted to \(~\text{pH 2}\) with concentrated HCl and extracted with EtOAc. The EtOAc fraction was dried over MgSO\(_4\) and evaporated in vacuo. The resulting residue was fractionated using YMC ODS-A resin (60 mm x 100 mm) with a step gradient of aqueous 20\% MeOH followed by 50\% MeOH. Fractions containing \textit{N}(1,1-dimethyl-1-allyl)-tryptophan (2) were concentrated in vacuo, and the remaining aqueous residue was acidified with glacial acetic acid to pH \(~\text{4}\)\. Compound 2 was absorbed onto an equilibrated 1 g SCX cation-exchange SPE cartridge (Phenomenex) from the acidified fractions, which in turn was eluted with 3 M NH\(_4\)OH. The eluate was desalted using a 1 g C\(_{18}\) SPE cartridge (Thermo), yielding 2.3 mg 2 HRMS (ESI\(^+\)) \(m/z\ 273.1599\) [M+H\(^+\)] (calcd for C\(_{16}\)H\(_{21}\)N\(_2\)O\(_2\), 273.1597).
3.5.6: Chemical complementation of the cymD- mutant and the production of novel cyclomarin and cyclomarazine analogues via mutasynthesis

Duplicate 50 mL cultures of S. arenicola CNS-205 and the cymD- mutant strain were grown in 250 mL Erlenmeyer flasks containing stainless steel springs. To duplicate mutant cultures, 4 mg tryptophan, N-(1,1-dimethyl-1-allyl)-tryptophan (2), N-(1-methyl)-tryptophan (3), or N-(1-propargyl)-tryptophan (4) were added as 1 mg aliquots daily from day 6 through and including day 9. On the following day 10, the cultures were extracted with EtOAc, and the crude residues analyzed by analytical LC-MS as described above. For the production of analogs, 1 L cultures of the cymD- mutant were administered compounds 3 (1 g) or 4 (50 mg) dissolved in H2O in four equal daily aliquots starting on the 6th day of growth. The cultures were extracted by EtOAc partitioning to yield approximately 130 mg crude organic extracts, which were fractionated utilizing Sephadex LH-20 resin (GE Healthcare, 35 g resin in a 30 mm wide column) with MeOH as the mobile phase. Fractions containing the target compound, as judged on the basis of ESI-MS were pooled, dried in vacuo, and subjected to purification via preparative HPLC utilizing a Luna C18(2) 250 x 10 mm column (Phenomenex) under isocratic conditions with a flow rate of 2.5 mL/min and monitored at 210 nm. Methyl indole derivatives cyclomazine 6 (0.4 mg) eluted at 33 min with 21% MeCN and cyclomarin 11 (2.6 mg) eluted at 36 min with 52% MeCN. Propargyl derivatives cyclomarazine 7 (1.5 mg) eluted at 33 min with 24% MeCN, while cyclomarin 12 (1.0 mg) eluted at 29 min with 56% MeCN.
Cyclomarazine M (6). NMR data, see Table 3.2; HRMS (ESI+) m/z 366.1790 [M+Na]^+ (calcd for C_{19}H_{25}N_{3}O_{3}Na, 366.1788).

Cyclomarazine P (7). NMR data see Table 3.2. HRMS (ESI+) 390.1790 m/z [M+Na]^+ (calcd for C_{21}H_{25}N_{3}O_{3}Na 390.1788).

Cyclomarin M (11). NMR data see Table 3.1. HRMS (ESI+) 995.5587 m/z [M+Na]^+ (calcd for C_{52}H_{76}N_{8}O_{10}Na 995.5577).

Cyclomarin P (12). NMR data see Table 3.1. HRMS (ESI+) 1019.5582 m/z [M+Na]^+ (calcd for C_{54}H_{76}N_{8}O_{10}Na 1019.5577).
Appendix Figure 3.51: Analysis of relative yield of cyclomarin analogs in *Salinispora arenicola* CNS-205 wild type and *cymD*- mutant. Trace A: Wild type *S. arenicola* CNS-205. Trace B-F, *S. arenicola* *cymD*– mutant. Traces C-F represent cultures supplemented with 80 mg/L tryptophan analogs. Trace C: \(N\)-(1,1-dimethyl-1-allyl)-tryptophan, D: tryptophan, E: \(N\)-(1-methyl)-tryptophan, F: \(N\)-(1-propargyl)-tryptophan. Chromatograms recorded at 210 nm. Note similar production levels of cyclomarin M (11, trace E) and cyclomarin P (12, trace F) in comparison to cyclomarin A (8) in trace A and C. Desprenylcyclomarin C (10, RT=15.2 min) is produced at levels below the detection limit of this analysis in the *cymD*– mutant (trace B), and did not increase to a detectable level with the addition of tryptophan (trace D).
Appendix Figure 3.S2: Analysis of production levels of cyclomarazine analogs in Salinispora arenicola CNS-205 wild type and cymD- mutant.

Trace A: Wild type S. arenicola CNS-205. Trace B-F, S. arenicola cymD- mutant. Traces C-F represent cultures supplemented with 80 mg/L tryptophan analogs. Trace C: N-(1,1-dimethyl-1-allyl)-tryptophan, D: tryptophan, E: N-(1-methyl)-tryptophan, F: N-(1-propargyl)-tryptophan. Chromatograms represent the ESI-MS extracted ion for the [M+Na]⁺ species as labeled. Note similar production levels of cyclomarazine M (6, trace E) and cyclomarazine P (7, trace F) in comparison to cyclomarazine A (5) in trace A and C. Production of desprenylcyclomarazine (expected [M+Na]⁺ = 352) in the cymD- mutant has never been observed utilizing our assay conditions.
Appendix Figure 3.S3: $^1$H-NMR comparison of $N$-(1,1-dimethyl-1-allyl-tryptophan (2) in DMSO-$d_6$
Appendix Figure 3.S4: $^1$H-NMR of cyclomarazine P (7) in DMSO-\textit{d$_6$}
Appendix Figure 3.S5: HSQC NMR of cyclomarazine P (7) in DMSO-$d_6$
Appendix Figure 3.S6: HMBC NMR of cyclomarazine P (7) in DMSO-$d_6$
Appendix Figure 3.S7: $^1$H-NMR of Cyclomarazine M (6) in DMSO-$d_6$
Appendix Figure 3.S8: HSQC NMR of cyclomarazine M (6) in DMSO-\textit{d}_6
Appendix Figure 3.S9: HMBC NMR of cyclomarazine M (6) in DMSO-$d_6$
Appendix Figure 3.S10: $^1$H-NMR of cyclomarin P (12) in CDCl₃
Appendix Figure 3.S11: HSQC NMR of cyclomarin P (12) in CDCl₃
Appendix Figure 3.S12: HMBC NMR of cyclomarin P (12) in CDCl$_3$
Appendix Figure 3.S13: $^1$H-NMR of cyclomarin M (11) in CDCl$_3$
Appendix Figure 3.S14: HSQC NMR of cyclomarin M (11) in CDCl₃
Appendix Figure 3.S15: HMBC NMR of cyclomarin M (11) in CDCl₃
Appendix Figure 3.S16: Ni\textsuperscript{2+} affinity purified CymD. Image depicts the SDS-PAGE gel analysis of Ni\textsuperscript{2+} affinity purified CymD provided by \textit{E. coli} BL21(DE3) harboring the plasmid pHIS8cymD. L: Fisher EZ-Run Rec Protein Ladder 1: Purified CymD. Gel is 4-20\% SDS-PAGE stained with Coomassie Brilliant Blue R-250.
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Chapter 4:

Efforts towards Functional Characterization and Biosynthetic Manipulation of the 2-Amino-3,5-dimethyl-4-hexenoic Acid Residue of Cyclomarin
4.1: Abstract

In vivo characterization of *cymF*, *cymG*, and *cymH* via PCR directed gene inactivation confirmed their role in the production of 2-amino-3,5-dimethyl-4-hexenoic acid and provided a novel cyclomarin in which this amino acid residue was replaced by phenylalanine. Extensive attempts to heterologously overexpress CymEF failed to provide soluble protein, impeding in vitro characterization of this pathway, although soluble CymG, CymH and Sare1130 were obtained. An attempt to reconstruct the ADH pathway in *Streptomyces coelicolor* is also described within.

4.2: Introduction

As mentioned in chapter 2, all of the known cyclomarins (Figure 4.1, 1-4) contain the non-proteinogenic amino acid residue 2-amino-3,5-dimethyl-4-hexenoic acid (ADH). This aliphatic amino acid is similar to the branched chain amino acids leucine, valine and isoleucine, although ADH contains two more carbon atoms than the largest example of this class. Another unique feature of ADH is the unsaturation between the γ and δ carbons of the side chain, which is not observed in any aliphatic proteinogenic amino acid. Although ADH is unique to the cyclomarin natural products, a related amino acid, 2-amino-4-hexenoic acid, is a residue of the rufomycin\(^1\) compounds K95-5076\(^2\) and ilamycin\(^3\) and is highlighted in Figure 4.2. Previous bioinformatic analysis coupled with stable isotope precursor incorporation studies (Chapter 2) confirmed a biosynthetic
origin that has more in common with the catabolism of 3-(3-hydroxyphenyl) propanoic acid\textsuperscript{4} than the anabolism of branched chain amino acids.

Figure 4.1: Structures of cyclomarin (1-4, 7) and cyclomarazine (5 and 6) cyclic peptides from \textit{Salinispora arenicola} CNS-205 \*Structures inferred by experimental evidence, but have not been fully characterized.

The putative pathway for the biosynthesis of ADH (Figure 4.3) begins with the conversion of the valine catabolite isobutyryl-CoA to isobutyraldehyde via CymE (Sare4566), which is channeled to its heterodimeric partner CymF (Sare4567) that catalyzes an aldol condensation with pyruvate to yield 4-hydroxy-5-methyl-2-oxo-hexanoic acid. Dehydration via CymH (Sare4569) yields 5-methyl-2-hydroxy-2,4-dihexenoic acid, which is methylated by the SAM-dependent enzyme CymG (Sare4568) to provide 3,5-dimethyl-2-oxo-4-hexenoic acid. Transamination via the branched chain amino acid aminotransferase Sare1130 would provide ADH. Precedence for “borrowing” the branched chain
amino acid aminotransferase from primary metabolism is observed in the biosynthesis of many nonproteinogenic amino acids, including the 3-methylglutamate residue\(^5\) of calcium dependent antibiotic in \textit{Streptomyces coelicolor} A3(2).

![Figure 4.2: Structures of cyclomarin, K95-5076, and ilamycin, highlighting the non-proteinogenic branched chain amino acids contained within.](image)

The amino acid residues, highlighted in red, all contain a desaturation between the \(\gamma\) and \(\delta\) carbons of the side chain, and are N-terminal to the \(N-(1,1\text{-dimethyl-2,3-epoxypropyl})\text{-tryptophan residue common to all three cyclic heptapeptides.}

Bioinformatic analysis coupled with stable isotope precursor incorporation studies detailed in Chapter 2 provided support for this biosynthetic pathway to ADH. CymE, CymF, and CymH are significantly similar to MhpF, MhpE, and MhpD which are utilized by \textit{Comamonas testosteroni} TA441 to degrade 3-hydroxyphenylpropionic acid via hydration and reverse aldol cleavage (Figure 4.3 A and B). The high level of similarity between the three enzymes and their proximity in their respective genomes implied similar functionality between the two pathways. Providing \([U^{13}\text{C}]\text{glucose and [methyl}^{13}\text{C}]\text{methionine to the cyclomarin producer} \textit{Streptomyces. sp. CNB-982 followed by NMR analysis of}
the corresponding $^{13}$C labeled cyclomarin A revealed an isotope incorporation pattern consistent with pyruvate, valine-derived isobutyryl-CoA, and SAM-dependent methylation. Supplementing cultures of *S. arenicola* CNS-205 with sodium [1-$^{13}$C]isobutyrate, likely converted to isobutyl-CoA via a native CoA-ligase, provided cyclomarin A in which the $\gamma$ carbon (C20) of the ADH residue was solely and specifically enriched, further supporting this hypothetical pathway.

Hydroxypyridyl-homothreonine (HPHT), a component of nikkomycin X (Figure 1.7), is the only other non-proteinogenic amino acid known to be produced via an aldolase/dehydrogenase pair. In the biosynthesis of this amino acid, the dehydrogenase SanN converts picolinate-CoA to picolinaldehyde, which is condensed with 2-oxobutyrate via the SanM aldolase, providing the $\alpha$-ketoacid 4-pyridyl-4-hydroxy-2-oxoisovalate (POHIV)$^6$. Yeast two-hybrid assays and immunoprecipitation confirmed that SanMN forms a heterodimer. The SanMN complex was shown to condense picolinaldehyde and 2-oxobutyrate to form POHIV in vitro, and SanN showed dehydrogenase activity towards the structural analog of picolinate-CoA, benzoate-CoA, to provide benzaldehyde. Further oxidation and transamination yielded HPHT. Blast analysis of CymE to SanN and CymF to SanM reveal 40% and 50% identity, respectively, suggesting these enzyme pairs are functionally related.
Figure 4.3: Aldolase/dehydrogenase pathways related to ADH biosynthesis. (A): Isobutyryl-CoA from valine metabolism is converted to isobutyraldehyde via CymE, which in turn is channeled to CymF, which catalyzes the aldol condensation between isobutyraldehyde and pyruvate to yield 4-hydroxy-5-methyl-2-oxo-hexanoic acid. The dehydratase CymH catalyzes the formation of the diene 5-methyl-2-hydroxy-2,4-dihexenoic acid, which in turn is methylated by the SAM dependent methyltransferase CymG. Transamination by the branched chain amino acid aminotransferase Sare1130 provides the amino acid, ADH. Acid catalyzed lactonization could be utilized to convert 4-hydroxy-5-methyl-2-oxo-hexanoic acid to the corresponding lactone, facilitating analysis. (B) depicts the 3-hydroxyphenylpropionic acid degradation pathway from *Comamonas testosterone* TA441 and the tryptophan degradation pathway present in *S. arenicola* CNS-205 which relies on an aldolase/dehydrogenase pair with similar function to CymEF. (C) depicts the proposed pathway to the 2-amino-4-hexenoic acid, a constituent of K95-5076 and the ilamycins.
4.2.1: Hydratases, aldolases, and dehydrogenases and their role in aromatic degradation

Several studies have been conducted on MhpD-F and their homologs, which operate downstream of the Meta fission product (MFP) hydrolases in aromatic degradation pathways. MhpD from Escherichia coli W3110/pTB7 was characterized as a Mn$^{2+}$-dependent 2-hydroxypentadienoic acid hydratase to provide 4-hydroxy-2-keto-pentanoate. A crystal structure of HpcG, which catalyzes the hydration of 2-ketohepta-4-ene-1,7-dioate to 4-hydroxy-2-ketoheptane-1,7-dioate, supported the role of Mn$^{2+}$ in catalysis.

Functional characterization of MhpE confirmed its role as a 4-hydroxy-2-keto-pentanoic acid aldolase. Expression of MhpE and MhpF in E. coli is coupled and MhpF is required for proper folding of MhpE. The related 4-hydroxy-2-ketovalerate aldolase DmpG and acylating acetaldehyde dehydrogenase DmpF have been shown to form a heterodimer when co-crystallized, and a channel appropriate for the transfer of acetaldehyde between the active sites of DmpG and DmpF is present, preventing the exposure of the cell to this reactive intermediate. BphI is also related to DmpG and MhpE and was shown via in vitro enzymology to channel acetaldehyde to its dehydrogenase partner BphJ. All three are type II, Mn$^{2+}$-dependent aldolases.

The MhpF related dehydrogenase BphJ and DmpF utilize the coenzyme nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide (NAD$^+$) as an oxidizing agent in the conversion of acetyldehyde to acetyl-CoA. BphIJ was shown to accept longer
chain aldehydes, providing the corresponding acyl-CoA, albeit with a higher $K_m$ than that observed when 4-hydroxy-2-oxopentanoate was provided BphIJ. This study confirmed the role of BphIJ as an acylating aldehyde dehydrogenase and supporting a substrate channeling mechanism\textsuperscript{14}.

The recently discovered pathway for tryptophan catabolism characterized in \textit{Burkholderia cepacia} J2315\textsuperscript{15} utilizes a 2-ketopentenoate hydratase, 2-keto-4-hydroxypentanoate aldolase, and an acetaldehyde dehydrogenase to provide pyruvate and acetate in an analogous manner to the Mhp pathway. Interestingly, \textit{Salinispora arenicola} and \textit{Salinispora tropica}, along with some streptomycetes, contain the genes that constitute this pathway, as highlighted in Figure 4.3B. The presence of this route for Trp degradation in actinobacteria may suggest its role as the progenitor to the pathways for ADH and HPHT production.

There is significant interest in utilizing aldolases in asymmetric synthesis\textsuperscript{16-18} due to their ability to form carbon-carbon bonds in a stereospecific manner without the production of side products. In a study comparing BphI to HpaI\textsuperscript{19}, another type II aldolase that converts 4-hydroxy-2-oxo-1,7-heptanedioate to pyruvate and succinic semialdehyde, the earlier was shown to catalyze aldol condensations with a higher level of stereospecificity, while the latter has broader substrate specificity and a higher turnover.\textsuperscript{13} Aldolases are generally specific for their donor compound, such as pyruvate, but have relaxed specificity in the chain length acceptor molecule, as long as they contain the necessary aldehyde functionality\textsuperscript{14,17}.
Because of the similarity between the ADH and 2-amino-4-hexenoic acid residues in the structurally related heptapeptides cyclomarin and rufomycin, one could also speculate on the involvement of a similar pathway towards this non-proteinogenic amino acid (Figure 4.3c). Replacing isobutyryl-CoA with propionyl-CoA in a pathway lacking a methyltransferase could provide 2-amino-4-hexenoic acid. Indeed, BphI has been shown to catalyze the formation of propionyl-CoA from propionaldehyde\(^ {14}\), suggesting that longer chain aldehydes could be accommodated by this class of enzymes.

**4.2.2: Specific aims**

On basis of the similarity between the ADH and HPHT anabolic pathways and the numerous aromatic degradation pathways, we set forth to characterize the biosynthesis of this unique, unsaturated, branched chain amino acid component of cyclomarin. Building upon the bioinformatic analysis and precursor incorporation studies reported in chapter 2, we set forth to further characterize the substrate specificity of this pathway with a goal to harness it to generate unnatural amino acids and unnatural cyclomarin analogs. Here we report a comprehensive investigation of the ADH pathway and its role in cyclomarin biosynthesis involving in vivo functional analysis and heterologous protein expression.
4.3: Results

4.3.1: Inactivation of ADH biosynthetic genes and partial characterization of the novel cyclomarin F.

To characterize the in vivo function of CymF, CymG, and CymH, we inactivated each encoding gene by PCR-targeted gene replacement\(^{20}\) as individual *S. arenicola* CNS-205 clones. Replacement of *cymF* with an apramycin resistance/oriT cassette in the pCC1Fos-based clone BPPW978 harboring a fragment of the *cym* cluster containing *cymF-cymH* gave the *S. arenicola* *cymF* knockout mutant. A similar procedure provided the *S. arenicola* *cymG* and *cymH* mutants. HPLC-MS analysis of the mutants verified elimination of production of the known cyclomarins A, C, and D (1-3) while maintaining cyclomarazine A and C (5,6) production (Figure 4.4), supporting their putative role in ADH biosynthesis. Interestingly, we saw the production of a novel peak in all three ADH deficient mutants with a ESI-MS ionization pattern of \([M + Na]^+\) 1073 and \([M-H_2O + H]^+\) of 1033, which is diagnostic for cyclomarin compounds containing the β-hydroxylated *N*-prenylated tryptophan residue\(^{21}\). This chemical species, deemed cyclomarin F (Figure 4.1, 7), is 8 Da heavier than 1 that corresponds well with the substitution of ADH with phenylalanine. Replacement of ADH with a proteinogenic amino acid might be expected, since inactivation of the prenyltransferase CymD provided desprenylcyclomarin C (4) where Trp was incorporated when the preferred substrate, *N-(1,1-dimethyl-1-allyl)-tryptophan*, was no longer produced (Chapters 2 and 3).
Figure 4.4: LC-MS analysis of the organic extracts of *S. arenicola* CNS-205 (WT) and the *S. arenicola* CNS-205 mutants *cymD*, *cymF*, *cymG*, *cymH*. Chromatograms recorded at 210 nm. (A) cyclomarazine analysis, (B) cyclomarin analysis. See materials and methods section for details. Note the complete loss of peaks corresponding to 1-3, 5 and 6 in the *cymD* replacement mutant. Inactivation of *cymF*, *cymG* or *cymH* had no effect on the production the cyclomarazines 5 or 6, while abolishing the production of known cyclomarins 1-3. Note the appearance of the novel metabolite predicted to be cyclomarin F (7) in all three mutants of the ADH biosynthetic pathway with a mass of 1073 for the [M+Na]^+ ion.

Although we did isolate and partially characterize 7 by ESI-MS (m/z) 1073.5 [M + Na]^+, 1073.6 calcd), and NMR, complete structural characterization could not be completed due to insufficient material of adequate purity which degraded upon standing in CDCl₃. 7 was produced in the *cymG* mutant at approximately 10-fold lower concentration than that of the corresponding 1 in the wild-type strain. ^1^H-NMR (Appendix figure 4.S1) confirmed 7’s identity as a cyclomarin analog containing resonances appropriate for amide, indole, and aromatic protons in the 8.5-6.5 ppm region which compared favorably to the
reported structure of 1.\textsuperscript{22} Interference due to the chloroform solvent peak and impurities made precise integration of these resonances impossible, which would have confirmed the presence of Phe in addition to the \(N\)-(1,1-dimethyl-2,3-epoxypropyl)-\(\beta\)-hydroxytryptophan and \(\beta\)-methoxyphenylalanine residues. Analysis of the 5.5-4.5 ppm region contained two resonances appropriate for protons connected to oxidized \(\beta\)-carbons and seven resonances for \(\alpha\)-protons, two sets of which were overlapping (Table 4.1). HSQC analysis was utilized to determine the chemical shifts of the carbons attached to these protons, the values of which compare favorably to values determined for 1\textsuperscript{22} (See Table 4.1).

By elimination, the amino acid replacing ADH has a \(\delta_H\) of 4.48 and a \(\delta_C\) of 54.8 for C18, which is significantly different than the values reported for the ADH residue (C18, \(\delta_H = 3.22\) and \(\delta_C = 57.7\)) of 1. Also of note is the lack of a resonance corresponding to the proton attached to \(\gamma\) position of ADH (C20, expected \(\delta_H = 4.77\) and \(\delta_C = 124.7\)), in this region confirms the loss of this residue in 7. Signals appropriate for H19 (\(\delta_H = 1.66\)) H22 (\(\delta_H = 1.26\)) and H23 (\(\delta_H = 1.73\)) of 1 were not observed in the 2.0-0.0 ppm region of the 1D \(^1\)H and HSQC spectra. Surprisingly, a signal appropriate for position 24 was observed (\(\delta_H = 0.63\) and \(\delta_C = 17.7\) observed, \(\delta_H = 0.64\) and \(\delta_C = 18.5\) reported for 1), although the quantitation is below what would be expected for a methyl group and is most likely an impurity peak, since numerous superfluous signals appear in this region.

An HMBC data set was collected (data not shown), but it was of insufficient quality to confirm the identity of any of the amino acid residues of cyclomarin.
Table 4.1: Partial NMR data for cyclomarin F in CDCl₃, characterization of α- and select β- resonances

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>position</th>
<th>δ_C</th>
<th>δ_H</th>
<th>δ_C</th>
<th>δ_H</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>a</td>
<td>b</td>
<td>a</td>
<td>b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(J in Hz)</td>
<td>(J in Hz)</td>
<td>(J in Hz)</td>
<td>(J in Hz)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>53.4</td>
<td>4.62</td>
<td>53.3</td>
<td>4.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>68.7</td>
<td>5.19</td>
<td>68.7</td>
<td>5.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>54.8</td>
<td>4.48</td>
<td>58.1</td>
<td>4.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>58.8</td>
<td>4.71</td>
<td>58.7</td>
<td>4.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>54.8</td>
<td>4.48</td>
<td>55.3</td>
<td>4.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37</td>
<td>56.0</td>
<td>4.93</td>
<td>55.9</td>
<td>4.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38</td>
<td>79.9</td>
<td>5.09</td>
<td>80.1</td>
<td>5.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>47</td>
<td>50.6</td>
<td>4.87</td>
<td>50.6</td>
<td>4.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>58.8</td>
<td>4.71</td>
<td>59.2</td>
<td>4.81</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

aAssignment by HMQC correlations. b600 MHz. coverlapping resonances
das reported in Renner et al.22

4.3.2: Progress towards the heterologous overexpression and in vitro reconstitution of the ADH pathway

In order to further characterize Cy mE-H and Sare1130 in vitro, we set forth to heterologously overexpress each of these enzymes as histidyl-tagged recombinant proteins in *E. coli*. Each of the five corresponding genes were individually cloned into the pHIS8 vector. Expression of pHIS8*cymG* and pHIS8*sare1130* in *E. coli* followed by Ni²⁺-affinity purification successfully provided soluble octahistidyldyl-tagged Sare1130 (40 kDa) and CymG (35 kDa). Optimal expression was achieved in the *E. coli* strain BL21(DE3) utilizing ZYP-5052 autoinduction media at 37 °C and 30 °C respectively. Coomassie stained SDS-PAGE gel analysis of these purified proteins is shown in Figure 4.5. Sare1130 was produce at 8 mg/L, while CymG was produced in a concentration of 6 mg/L.
Figure 4.5: Ni\textsuperscript{2+} affinity purified recombinant proteins. (A) depicts the SDS-PAGE gel analysis of Ni\textsuperscript{2+} affinity purified Sare 1130 provided by *E. coli* BL21(DE3) harboring the plasmid pHIS8\textit{sare1130}. (B) CymG provided by pHIS8\textit{cymG} in *E. coli* BL21(DE3). (C) CymH from heterologous expression of the *E. coli*--\textit{Streptomyces} shuttle vector pXY200oriT\textit{cymH} in \textit{Streptomyces lividans}TK24.

Unfortunately, overexpression of pHIS8\textit{cymE}, pHIS8\textit{cymF}, and pHIS8\textit{cymH} in BL21(DE3) did not provide soluble protein (data not shown). In the case of CymE and CymF, this was not surprising, since it has been shown that production of MhpF and MhpE is transcriptionally coupled and that this heterodimeric pair must be produced together to provide soluble protein.\textsuperscript{11} To attempt co-expression of \textit{cymE} and \textit{cymF}, two plasmids were generated, pHIS8\textit{cymEF} and pETDuet\textit{cymEF}, in which \textit{cymE} and \textit{cymF} is produced under the control of the same and individual \textit{lacT7} promoters, respectively.\textsuperscript{25} Expression of pHIS8\textit{cymEF} and pETDuet\textit{cymEF} in BL21(DE3) cells in utilizing autoinduction at various temperatures unfortunately did not provide soluble protein (data not shown).
A large array of different *E. coli* expression strains, optimized for low temperature production (Arctic Express), reducing cytoplasmic conditions (SHuffle T7), or optimized codon usage and repressed basal production (Rosetta 2 pLysS) were explored in attempts to provide soluble CymEF. Figure 4.6 depicts a Coomassie stained SDS-PAGE gel utilized in analyzing some of these experiments, the samples for which are described in Table 4.2. Note in lane 1 and 3, which contain the Ni$^{2+}$ purified soluble fraction obtained via 10 °C expression in Arctic Express cells, contain bands appropriate for soluble CymE. If CymF was soluble and properly folded, we would expect it to co-purify with CymE in nickel affinity chromatography,$^{11}$ which is not observed in this gel. No
products were observed when these fractions were assayed for aldolase activity (data not shown), further supporting that soluble, functional CymF is not being produced in *E. coli*.

Table 4.2: Combinations of *E. coli* strains and *cymE* and *cymF* containing vectors utilized in heterologous overexpression experiments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>lane</th>
<th><em>E. coli</em> strain</th>
<th>vector</th>
<th>sample</th>
<th>CymE* (kD)</th>
<th>Cym F* (kD)</th>
<th>temp °C</th>
<th>IPTG (mM)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Arctic Express</td>
<td>pETDuetcymEF-R</td>
<td>Ni²⁺ eluate</td>
<td>32.8</td>
<td>39.8</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Arctic Express</td>
<td>pETDuetcymEF-R</td>
<td>insoluble</td>
<td>32.8</td>
<td>39.8</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Arctic Express</td>
<td>pHIS8cymEF</td>
<td>Ni²⁺ eluate</td>
<td>33.8</td>
<td>36.6</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Arctic Express</td>
<td>pHIS8cymEF</td>
<td>insoluble</td>
<td>33.8</td>
<td>36.6</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>SHuffle T7</td>
<td>pETDuetcymEF-R</td>
<td>Ni²⁺ eluate</td>
<td>32.8</td>
<td>39.8</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>SHuffle T7</td>
<td>pETDuetcymEF-R</td>
<td>insoluble</td>
<td>32.8</td>
<td>39.8</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>SHuffle T7</td>
<td>pHIS8cymEF</td>
<td>Ni²⁺ eluate</td>
<td>33.8</td>
<td>36.6</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>SHuffle T7</td>
<td>pHIS8cymEF</td>
<td>insoluble</td>
<td>33.8</td>
<td>36.6</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Rosetta 2 pLysS</td>
<td>pETDuetcymEF-R</td>
<td>Ni²⁺ eluate</td>
<td>32.8</td>
<td>39.8</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Rosetta 2 pLysS</td>
<td>pETDuetcymEF-R</td>
<td>insoluble</td>
<td>32.8</td>
<td>39.8</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Rosetta 2 pLysS</td>
<td>pHIS8cymEF</td>
<td>Ni²⁺ elute</td>
<td>33.8</td>
<td>36.6</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Rosetta 2 pLysS</td>
<td>pHIS8cymEF</td>
<td>insoluble</td>
<td>33.8</td>
<td>36.6</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*CymE contains a N-terminal His₈ tag from the pHIS8 construct, and a His₆ tag from the pETduet construct, while CymF contains a C-terminal S-tag from the pETduet construct. This accounts for the expected differences in peptide size.*

Attention shifted towards utilizing *Streptomyces lividans* TK24 to produce soluble CymE, CymF, and CymH. Co-expression of SanNM in *S. lividans* TK24 was successfully utilized by Lee et al., and we expected a similar approach would provide CymEF. The pXY200²⁶ derivative pXY200oriT was provided by Tobias Guilder (Moore Laboratory, Scripps Institution of Oceanography), which allows for conjugation from *E. coli* into streptomycetes and provides a His₆ tag and thiostrepton inducible overexpression. Cloning of *cym* genes into pXY200oriT provided pXY200oriTcymE, pXY200oriTcymF, pXY200oriTcymEF, and pXY200oriTcymH. Expression of pXY200oriTcymH provided a soluble
product of the expected size (29 kDa, Figure 4.5 panel C, yield not determined),
while expression of \textit{cymE} and \textit{cymF} together and individually in \textit{S. lividans} failed
to provide soluble recombinant enzyme.

\textbf{4.3.3: Progress towards in vivo heterologous production of ADH}

Since attempts to produce recombinant CymEF via heterologous
overexpression in \textit{E. coli} and \textit{S. lividans} for in vitro analysis was unfruitful, other
approaches towards characterization of the ADH pathway were explored. We
decided to pursue heterologous in vivo pathway expression in \textit{Streptomyces}
\textit{coelicolor} YU105\textsuperscript{27} utilizing pRM5\textsuperscript{28} as a host vector. This vector has been
utilized successfully to produce actinorhodin precursors\textsuperscript{28}, enterocin\textsuperscript{29}, and the
rifamycin\textsuperscript{30} precursor 3-amino-5-hydroxybenzoic acid. We created two
derivatives, pRM5\textit{cymEF} and pRM5\textit{cymEFGH} (Figure 4.7) in which the \textit{act}
genes from \textit{actKS/At} through \textit{actIV}, contained within PacI and EcoRI sites, were
replaced with \textit{cymEF} or \textit{cymEFGH} containing the native \textit{cymE} ribosome binding
site, and transformed these vectors into protoplasts of \textit{Streptomyces coelicolor}
YU105\textsuperscript{27}. We assumed that the native branched chain amino acid
aminotransferase could convert 3,5-dimethyl-2-oxo-4-hexenoic acid to ADH, and
Figure 4.7: Cloning scheme for generation of pRM5cymEFGH from pRM5 for in vivo heterologous production of ADH in S. coelicolor YU105. (A) The plasmid pRM5 was digested with PacI and EcoRI to remove the act genes from actKS/At through actIV. cymEFGH was amplified from genomic DNA, subcloned into pCR®-Blunt II-TOPO®, and digested with PacI and EcoRI to liberate the fragment cymEFGH. cymEFGH was then ligated into the pRM5 backbone to yield pRM5cymEFGH. A similar strategy was utilized to create pRM5cymEF. See materials and methods for details. pRM5 vector map reproduced and adapted from McDaniel et al.²⁸ (B) Agarose gel of digested pRM5cymEFGH and pRM5cymEF confirms the correct insert size of 2 kb for cymEF and 4kb for cymEFGH.
therefore we did not clone sare1130 into the pRM5 based constructs. Recovered transformants were assayed for the production of ADH via aqueous normal phase LC-MS and for 3,5-dimethyl-2-oxo-4-hexenoic acid by acid-catalyzed lactonization followed by extraction by reversed phase LC-MS. The results of these studies were inconclusive (data not shown), and require further analysis that is ongoing.

4.4: Discussion

Herein we report the partial characterization of cymEFGH as a four gene operon required for the production of 2-amino-3,5-dimethyl-4-hexenoic acid, a non-proteinogenic amino acid component of cyclomarin. Inactivation of cymF, cymG, or cymH abolished production of the known cyclomarins and provided a novel heptapeptide, cyclomarin F, which lacks the ADH residue, confirming the necessity of each of these genes in the production of ADH. The production of cyclomarin F, in which ADH was replaced by another endogenous amino acid (most likely Phe), suggests that a mutasynthetic approach could be utilized to further diversify cyclomarin at this position. The aldolase/dehydrogenase pair CymEF likely converts isobutyryl-CoA to isobutyraldehyde, which is in turn condensed with pyruvate, forming a new C–C bond to provide 4-hydroxy-5-methyl-2-oxo-hexanoic acid. The similarity to other aldolase/dehydrogenase pairs such as SanNM and MhpEF along with previous precursor incorporation studies support this function for CymEF. Unfortunately, attempts to heterologously overexpress CymEF to provide enzyme for in vitro conformation of their function failed in both E. coli and S. lividans. The remaining components
of this pathway, CymG, CymH, and Sare1130 were easily provided as soluble, polyhistydyly-tagged proteins. Attempts to provide 4-hydroxy-5-methyl-2-oxo-hexanoic acid via heterologous pathway reconstruction in *S. coelicolor* is ongoing, and could provide confirmation of the function of CymEF. This product could then be incubated with recombinant CymG, CymH and Sare1130 to further characterize this pathway. Additionally, co-expression of *cymEFGH* with the aid of the endogenous branched chain amino acid aminotransferase should provide ADH.

4.5: Experimental section

4.5.1: General experimental procedures

Low-resolution LC-MS data was acquired using a Hewlett-Packard series 1100 LC/MS system utilizing electrospray ionization in positive mode, with a linear gradient of 10–90% aqueous MeCN at a flow rate of 0.7 mL/min over 24 min on a reversed phase C18 column (Phenomenex Luna, 4.6 mm x 100 mm, 5 μm) for general extract screening. High-resolution mass spectra were collected by ESI-HR-FTMS at the Chemistry & Biochemistry Mass Spectrometry Facility, University of California San Diego. NMR spectral data was obtained on a Bruker 600 MHz spectrometer equipped with a 1.7 mm cryoprobe.
4.5.2: Bacterial strains, plasmids, culture conditions, and DNA manipulations

*Salinispora arenicola* CNS-205 was grown in A1 media (10 g starch, 4 g yeast extract, and 2 g peptone) at 28 °C and 200 rpm unless otherwise noted. *Escherichia coli* DH5α (Invitrogen) or *E. coli* Top10 (Invitrogen) was used for cloning experiments as described,32 and *E. coli* S17-133 and ET12567/pUZ800234 were used for conjugation experiments. Previously adapted for use in *Salinispora*,20 REDIRECT® (Plant Bioscience Limited, Norwich, UK) technology was utilized for PCR targeting.35 The λ-Red function was provided by pKD20 (bla).36 Fosmid BPPW978 containing a fragment of the *cym* gene cluster from *cymA* to several kb beyond *cymH* in the pCC1FOS vector (Epicentre) was obtained from the Joint Genome Institute, Walnut Creek, CA and utilized for both *cymF, cymG* and *cymH* deletions. Apramycin (100 μg/ml for *S. arenicola*; 50 μg/ml for *E. coli*), chloramphenicol (2.5 μg/ml for *S. arenicola*; 12.5-25.0 μg/ml for *E. coli*), carbenicillin (100 μg/ml), and nalidixic acid (100 μg/ml) were used for selection of recombinants. DNA purification and manipulation was performed according to standard procedures.32,37 Identities of constructed plasmids were verified by restriction digest and sequencing.

4.5.3: Conjugation protocol for *S. arenicola*

*S. arenicola* CNS-205 was cultivated for 5 days in 50 mL A1 in a 250 mL flask containing a stainless steel spring. Mycelium was harvested from 25 mL and resuspended in 2 mL A1. 0.5 mL of *E. coli* S17-1 suspension37 was mixed
with 0.5 mL of the *S. arenicola* suspension and then plated on A1 agar plates. After 1 d incubation at 34 °C, the plates were overlaid with 2.5 mg each apramycin and nalidixic acid. Exconjugates were visible 10 days after plate inoculation.

### 4.5.4: Inactivation of *cymF*, *cymG*, and *cymH*

*cymF* was inactivated utilizing the modified PCR targeting system previously described for *S. tropica.* In fosmid BPPW978, *cymF* was replaced by the apramycin resistance (*acc(3)IV*) cassette from pIJ773 utilizing λ-Red-mediated recombination. The *cymF* replacement cassette was generated by PCR using primers cymFkoF1 and cymFkoR1 (See appendix Table 4.S1). Lowercase letters represent 39 nt homologous extensions immediately upstream and downstream of *cymF*, respectively, including the putative start and stop codons. This cassette was introduced into *E. coli* BW25113/pKD20 containing the chloramphenicol-resistant fosmid BPPW978. Gene replacement was verified using PCR primers cymFckF1 and cymFckR1. The mutated fosmid was introduced into *S. arenicola* CNS-205 by conjugation from *E. coli* S17-1 and confirmed by PCR analysis. Identical methodology was utilized to inactivate *cymG*, *cymH*, utilizing primers listed in appendix Table 4.S1.

### 4.5.5: Analysis of the *S. arenicola cym* mutants

100 μL of 4 d cultures of the *S. arenicola* WT or mutants was used to inoculate duplicate 50 mL cultures in A1+BFe containing 100 μg/ml apramycin (mutants only) in 250 mL Erlenmeyer flasks containing stainless steel springs. On day 10, the cultures were extracted with EtOAc, dried in vacuo and combined
with ~40 mg celite. The sample and celite was loaded on a 1g C18 solid phase extraction column (Thermo) and eluted with a step gradient of 20, 40, 60, 80, and 100% MeCN in H2O (6 mL steps). 80% MeOH fractions were dried and reconstituted in 700 µL MeOH and analyzed for cyclomarazine analogs with a gradient of 20-40% MeCN over 30 min. 100% MeOH fractions were dried and reconstituted in 100 µL and analyzed for cyclomarin analogs, utilizing isocratic 57.5% MeCN in H2O. For both analyses, a reversed phase C18 column (Phenomenex Luna, 4.6 mm x 100 mm, 5 µm) at 0.7 ml/min was used.

4.5.6: Purification of cyclomarin F from S. arenicola CNS-205 cymF mutant

S. arenicola CNS-205 was cultured at 27 °C with shaking at 250 rpm in 10 2.8-L Fernbach flasks containing 1 L of the medium A1+BFe. After 10 days organic chemical constituents from 10 L culture were collected by solid phase extraction using Amberlite XAD-7HP resin. The crude extract was prepared by washing the resin with acetone and evaporating the solvent in vacuo. The pH of the remaining aqueous residue was adjusted to pH ~12 with NaOH and partitioned with EtOAc. The EtOAc layer was dried under vacuum to yield ~1 g of crude material, which was fractionated utilizing Sephadex LH-20 resin (GE Healthcare, 35 g resin in a 30 mm wide column) with MeOH as the solvent. Fractions were screened by LC-MS, and those containing cyclomarin F were pooled. The cyclomarin pool was injected on a Phenomenex Synergi 10µm 250 x 21.2 mm column with a gradient of 48-90% MeCN in H2O over 30 min at a flow rate of 13 mL/min. Cyclomarin F was eluted at 19.5 min. Cyclomarin F was further purified utilizing a Phenomenex Luna C18(2) semi-preparative column (10
mm x 250 mm column at 2.5 mL/min) using a isocratic solvent of 60% MeCN in H₂O. 0.8 mg of 7 eluted at 23.2 min.

4.5.7: Cloning, heterologous expression and purification of ADH related enzymes in E.coli

The cymE gene (GenBank protein accession SARE4566, genome ascension CP00850) was amplified from genomic S. arenicola CNS-205 DNA via PCR utilizing the forward primer cymEF1 and reverse primer cymER1 (Appendix Table 4.S2). Ligation into pHIS815 yielded plasmid pHIS8-cymE, which was transformed into E. coli BL21(DE3) (Invitrogen) for expression. Transformants were grown in ZYP-5052 autoinduction media16 containing 50 µg/mL kanamycin at 16 °C for two days. Cells were harvested and lysed by sonication in lysis buffer (50 mM NaH₂PO₄, 300 mM NaCl, 10 mM imidazole, 2 mM β-mercaptoethanol) with the addition of 0.5 g/L lysozyme. The lysate was cleared by centrifugation at 20,000 rpm for 50 min, and the resulting supernatant was loaded onto a polypropylene column containing 0.5 mL Ni-NTA agarose (Qiagen). The column was washed with wash buffer (50 mM NaH₂PO₄, 300 mM NaCl, 20 mM imidazole, 2 mM β-mercaptoethanol), and eluted in elution buffer (50 mM NaH₂PO₄, 300 mM NaCl, 250 mM imidazole, 2 mM β-mercaptoethanol). A similar cloning and expression strategy was utilized to express cymF, cymG, cymH, and sare1130 individually, the primers for which are denoted in Appendix Table 4.S2). To express cymEF together, primers cymEF1 and cymFR1 were utilized and cloned into pHIS8 under control of the singular T7lac promoter of
pHIS8. SDS-PAGE analysis followed by Coomassie staining was utilized to assay for expression and solubility of the recombinant enzymes.

The *E. coli* expression vector pETDuet-1 (Invitrogen) was utilized for co-expression of *cymE* and *cymF* under the control of individual promoters, and was selected for using 50 μg/ml ampicillin. The primers cymEduetF1 and cymEduetR1 were utilized to clone *cymE* into the first multiple cloning site, cymFduetF1 and cymFduetR1 was utilized to clone *cymF* into the second site without a stop codon to include the C-terminal s-tag to create pETDuet*cymEF* (Appendix Table 4.S2).

Additional *E. coli* expression strains, chaperone plasmids, and culturing conditions for heterologous expression were screened. Both pHIS8*cymEF* and pETDuet*cymEF* were transformed into Arctic Express (DE3) (Agilent, 100 μg/ml gentamicin), Rosetta 2 (DE3) pLysS (Merck, 68 μg/ml chloramphenicol), and SHuffle T7 (NEB, no selection) utilizing the manufacturer’s protocols. For IPTG induction experiments, 50 mL culture with appropriate antibiotics in a 250 mL Erlenmeyer flask was inoculated with 100 μL overnight culture and grown at 37°C for 3-4 hrs to an OD of ~0.8. When at the appropriate OD, the culture temperature was adjusted to 10°C or 16°C and induced with IPTG at concentrations form 0.1 μM to 1.0 μM and grown overnight. Cells were harvested by centrifugation and screened for soluble protein expression as above.
4.5.8: Cloning, heterologous expression and purification of ADH related enzymes in *Streptomyces lividans* TK24

The cymE gene was amplified from genomic *S. arenicola* CNS-205 DNA via PCR utilizing the forward primer cymEactF1 and reverse primer cymEactR1 (Appendix Table 4.S2). Ligation into pXY200oriT\(^{15}\) yielded plasmid pXY200oriTcymE, which was transformed into *S. lividans* TK24 spores via conjugation as described elsewhere,\(^ {37}\) and was plated on MS agar and incubated at 30°C. Ex-conjugants were selected by flooding with apramycin to a concentration of 50 μg/ml 18 hrs after conjugation. Individual colonies were inoculated into 50 mL TSB, and grown for 3-4 days. A 1 ml aliquot was utilized to inoculate 50 mL of YEME containing 50 μg/mL apramycin and 25 μg/mL nalidixic acid in 250 mL Erlenmeyer flasks containing stainless steel springs. After 24 hrs, expression was induced with 5 μg/ml thiostrepton. Cells where harvested 1-2 d later, and recombinant proteins where isolated via Ni\(^{2+}\) affinity chromatography and SDS-PAGE as described for *E. coli*. A similar strategy was utilized to clone and express cymF and cymH. As in pHIS8 expression, cymEF was also cloned together under control of the same *P_{tipA}* promoter to yield pXY200oriTcymEF.
**4.5.9: Generation of plasmids for In vivo heterologous production of ADH in *S. coelicolor* YU105**

To construct *E. coli*-Streptomyces shuttle plasmids appropriate for production of ADH, *cymEFGH* was amplified from genomic *S. arenicola* CNS-205 DNA via PCR utilizing the reverse primer cymHRM5R3 (Appendix Table 4.S2) and forward primer cymEFRBS3, including the ribosome binding site directly upstream of *cymE*. The *cymEFGH* amplicon was subcloned into pCR®-Blunt II-TOPO® (Invitrogen), digested with PacI and EcoRI and then ligated into pRM5, which was previously digested with PacI and EcoRI to remove the *act* genes from *actKS/At* through *actIV*, to form pRM5*cymEFGH*. A similar approach was utilized to produce an expression plasmid, pRM5*cymEF* with primers cymEFRBS3 and cymFRM5R2 (Appendix Table 4.S2) for production of 4-hydroxy-5-methyl-2-oxo-hexanoic acid. The resultant plasmids were utilized to transform protoplasts of *Streptomyces coelicolor* YU105, which were plated on R5YE. After ~18 h, transformants were selected for by flooding with 12.5 μg/ml thiostrepton.

**4.5.10: Assay for In vivo heterologous production of ADH in *S. coelicolor* YU105**

1 mL of a 4 d starter was used to inoculate single 50 mL cultures in modified R5YE lacking sucrose to prevent catabolite repression. Utilizing a variation of the pure MeOH extraction method, cells were harvested by centrifugation after 10 d of growth. 25 mL MeOH was added to the cell pellet, vortexed, frozen in N₂, thawed at room temperature, and centrifuged at 1000 g.
for 20 min. This was repeated once. The MeOH fractions were pooled, and two 4 mL aliquots were concentrated to dryness in vacuo, and the remaining MeOH extract retained for further analysis. Two one dried aliquot 3 ml dd H₂O was added with 0.5 mL concentrated HCl and heated at 100 °C for 10 min⁸. When cool, the solution was extracted with 2 x 3 mL EtOAc and the organic phase dried in vacuo. This was reconstituted in MeOH, filtered, and analyzed by reverse phase LC-MS. To the second aliquot of the sample, 200 µL ddH₂O + 800 µL MeCN +0.1% formic acid was added, vortexed and centrifuged at 13,000 kpm for 10 min, and filtered. The samples were then analyzed by aqueous normal phase LC-MS utilizing a gradient from 5% to 50% A in B, where A is 0.1% formic acid in ddH₂O, B is 0.1% formic acid in MeCN on a Cogent diamond hydride 4.6 x 100 mm, 4 µm column at 1.0 mL/min and monitored by ESI-MS.

4.5.11: Assay for aldolase activity

Aldolase activity was assayed using procedures similar to those by Pollard et al.¹⁰ Protein fractions potentially containing soluble, active CymF were concentrated with Nanosep 10 kD spin columns (Pall) and reconstituted in a minimal amount of 50 mM phosphate buffer. 50 µL concentrated enzyme was incubated at 37° C for 3-4 hrs with 2 mM isobutyraldehyde, 4 mM pyruvate, 1 mM MnCl₂, 1 mM DTT, 50 mM sodium phosphate buffer, pH 8.0 in a total volume of 1 mL. For the control, the buffered enzyme was boiled for 10 min before the addition of substrates. 100 µL of this reaction was mixed with 400 µL MeCN + 0.1% formic acid, vortexed, and centrifuged at 13,000 rpm for 10 min. The supernate was filtered and analyzed by aqueous normal phase LC-MS as
described above. Additionally, a 100 µL sample was mixed with 10 µL concentrated HCl, boiled for 10 min\(^8\), and centrifuged. The supernate was analyzed by reversed phase LC-MS.
### 4.6: Appendix

**Appendix table 4.S1: Primers for PCR directed gene inactivation**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Replacement cassette primers&lt;sup&gt;a,b&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>cymFKOF1</td>
<td>tgtgactcgacggtgcggacagccacaccacgccgctgctATTCCGGGGATCCGTCGACC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cymFKOR1</td>
<td>cgtcaggtccggagccgccgtcgcgcggcaggccatTGTAGGCTGGAGCTGCTTC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cymGKOF1</td>
<td>ttgttgaccacactgacgtgggaatgagaatatctgATTTCCGGGGATCCGTCGACC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cymGKOR1</td>
<td>gccggccaggccgctggaacgcttttgttgagaTTTAGGGATCCGTCGACC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cymHKOF1</td>
<td>gtggagctctgggaggcccgggaccgctcgccgggtctATTCCGGGGATCCGTCGACC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cymHKOR1</td>
<td>aacgtagttcgcggcaccagatccaccgccgctggaTTTAGGGATCCGTCGACC</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Verification primers&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>cymFckF1</td>
<td>GACTGCTACCTGCTCACCGT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cymFckR1</td>
<td>GGCTCGGCCGCGTCGACC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cymGckF1</td>
<td>TGGCCGCCAGGAGGACAC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cymGckR1</td>
<td>CGTCCGCGCGGTCGAGTTC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cymHckF1</td>
<td>CTTCATGAGCGTACACCCTCC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cymHckR1</td>
<td>CACCCACATCGACCCACAG</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup>All primers denoted 5’ to 3’.  
<sup>b</sup>Capital letters denote sequence homologous to apramycin resistance cassette, lower case letters homologous to gene being replaced.
**Appendix table 4.S2: Primers for PCR gene amplification utilized in heterologous expression experiments**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>name</th>
<th>RE site</th>
<th>sequence</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>pHIS8 expression primers</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cymEF1</td>
<td>BamHI</td>
<td>CGTGGTTT&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;gga&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;tccATGAGTACGCAGACGCATCCAGCTCC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cymER1</td>
<td>HindIII</td>
<td>GCTCGAATT&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;T&lt;sup&gt;catg&lt;/sup&gt;tTCAACGCCTCAGCAGCCGCTC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cymFF1</td>
<td>BamHI</td>
<td>CGTGGTTT&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;gga&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;tccATGAGTACGCAGACGCATCCAGCTCC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cymFR1</td>
<td>HindIII</td>
<td>GCTCGAATT&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;T&lt;sup&gt;catg&lt;/sup&gt;tTCAACGCCTCAGCAGCCGCTC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cymGF1</td>
<td>Ncol</td>
<td>CGTGGTTT&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;c&lt;sup&gt;catg&lt;/sup&gt;gATGAGTACGCAGACGCATCCAGCTCC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cymGR1</td>
<td>HindIII</td>
<td>GCTCGAATT&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;T&lt;sup&gt;catg&lt;/sup&gt;tTCAACGCCTCAGCAGCCGCTC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cymHF1</td>
<td>Ncol</td>
<td>CGTGGTTT&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;c&lt;sup&gt;catg&lt;/sup&gt;gATGAGTACGCAGACGCATCCAGCTCC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cymHR1</td>
<td>HindIII</td>
<td>GCTCGAATT&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;T&lt;sup&gt;catg&lt;/sup&gt;tTCAACGCCTCAGCAGCCGCTC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sare1130F1</td>
<td>EcoRI</td>
<td>CGTGGTTT&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;g&lt;sub&gt;aattc&lt;/sub&gt;TATGAGTACGCAGACGCATCCAGCTCC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sare1130R1</td>
<td>HindIII</td>
<td>GCTCGAATT&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;T&lt;sup&gt;catg&lt;/sup&gt;tTCAACGCCTCAGCAGCCGCTC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>pETDuet-1 expression primers</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cymEduetF1</td>
<td>BamHI</td>
<td>CGTGGTTT&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;gga&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;tccATGAGTACGCAGACGCATCCAGCTCC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cymEduetR1</td>
<td>HindIII</td>
<td>GCTCGAATT&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;T&lt;sup&gt;catg&lt;/sup&gt;tTCAACGCCTCAGCAGCCGCTC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cymFduetF1</td>
<td>NdeI</td>
<td>CGTGGTTT&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;c&lt;sup&gt;atg&lt;/sup&gt;gATGAGTACGCAGACGCATCCAGCTCC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cymFduetR1</td>
<td>EcoRV</td>
<td>GCTCGAATT&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;T&lt;sup&gt;catg&lt;/sup&gt;tTCAACGCCTCAGCAGCCGCTC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>pXY200oriT expression primers</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cymEactF1</td>
<td>NdeI</td>
<td>CGTGGTTT&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;c&lt;sup&gt;atg&lt;/sup&gt;gATGAGTACGCAGACGCATCCAGCTCC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cymEactR1</td>
<td>EcoRI</td>
<td>GCTCGAATT&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;T&lt;sup&gt;catg&lt;/sup&gt;tTCAACGCCTCAGCAGCCGCTC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cymFactF1</td>
<td>NdeI</td>
<td>CGTGGTTT&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;c&lt;sup&gt;atg&lt;/sup&gt;gATGAGTACGCAGACGCATCCAGCTCC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cymFactR1</td>
<td>EcoRI</td>
<td>GCTCGAATT&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;T&lt;sup&gt;catg&lt;/sup&gt;tTCAACGCCTCAGCAGCCGCTC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cymHactF1</td>
<td>NdeI</td>
<td>CGTGGTTT&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;c&lt;sup&gt;atg&lt;/sup&gt;gATGAGTACGCAGACGCATCCAGCTCC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cymHactR1</td>
<td>EcoRI</td>
<td>GCTCGAATT&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;T&lt;sup&gt;catg&lt;/sup&gt;tTCAACGCCTCAGCAGCCGCTC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>pRM5 expression primers</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cymEFRBS3</td>
<td>PacI</td>
<td>ttaatt&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;aCAGCATA&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;TCAACCTGAGCAGACGCATCCAGCTCC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cymFRM5R2</td>
<td>EcoRI</td>
<td>&lt;sup&gt;ga&lt;/sup&gt;tt&lt;sub&gt;c&lt;/sub&gt;TCAACCTGAGCAGACGCATCCAGCTCC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cymHRM5R3</td>
<td>none</td>
<td>CAGACCC&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;TATG&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;TCCAGGCGCCGCTC</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup>Italics: random sequence, underline: restriction site, standard uppercase: sequence homologous to gene of interest
Appendix Figure 4.S1: $^1$H-NMR of partially purified cyclomarin F in CDCl$_3$
Appendix Figure 4S2: $^1$H-NMR of partially purified cyclomarin F in CDCl$_3$, expansion from 5.3 ppm to 4.35 ppm
Appendix Figure 4.S3: HSQC of partially purified cyclomarin F in CDCl₃
Appendix Figure 4-S4: HSQC of partially purified cyclomarin F in CDCl3, expansion from 5.25 to 4.40 ppm and 52.5 to 90.0 ppm.
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Chapter 5:

Conclusion and Future Directions
Countless lives have been saved because of the ability of penicillin and vancomycin to cure bacterial infections, and the ability of cyclosporine to suppress immune functions allowing for organ transplantation. These drugs are just three examples of the numerous clinically relevant non-ribosomal peptides produced by certain bacteria and fungi. Indeed, microbial fermentation is utilized, and will continue to be utilized for the foreseeable future, to provide these useful compounds due to their structural complexity and useful biological activity. Because these compounds are difficult to make or derivatize by chemical synthesis, there is significant interest in creating analogs of these compounds by manipulating their biosyntheses\textsuperscript{1}. There are numerous reasons to develop analogs of these clinical agents; one of the most significant is to combat the growing threat posed by antibiotic resistant pathogens\textsuperscript{2}. Knowledge gained by understanding the biosynthesis of non-ribosomal peptides, even those not used clinically, could be applied to engineer producers of the compound of interest to provide new analogs. This knowledge can also be applied to de novo drug discovery efforts by screening genomes for the genetic potential to produce novel compounds\textsuperscript{3}.

Developing drugs useful for treating disease is the most compelling reason to study how these peptides are biosynthesized, but this is not the only reason. Beyond the pure intellectual curiosity about how these compounds are made, there is interest in understanding the enzymology behind assembly and tailoring of the amino acid components, along with the details of how the peptides are assembled. This knowledge in turn can be utilized to develop enzymes for
industrial catalysis\textsuperscript{4} and chemoenzymatic synthesis\textsuperscript{5}. Understanding the biosynthesis of non-ribosomal peptides could provide insight into the roles of these compounds in their host producers.

This dissertation has chronicled efforts to understand and manipulate the biosynthesis of the non-ribosomal peptides cyclomarin and cyclomarazine. This work was not focused on developing these compounds as drugs, but instead in understanding how they are biosynthesized so that this knowledge can in turn be applied to engineer other non-ribosomal peptides or to develop tools for chemoenzymatic synthesis. Indeed, several features of these cyclic, non-ribosomal peptides are of significant biosynthetic interest. The \textit{N}-(1,1-dimethyl-1-allyl)-tryptophan residue shared between cyclomarin and cyclomarazine is a rare example of prenylation of non-ribosomal peptide in a bacterial system. In addition, cyclomarin contains the non-proteinogenic amino acid 2-amino-3,5-dimethyl-4-hexenoic acid (ADH), which has not been observed in any other secondary metabolite. Beyond these key structural features, four oxidations and three methylations are present on the fully fictionalized cyclomarin A, some of the genes for which have been characterized during this study.

Cyclomarin and cyclomarazine biosynthesis begins with the tryptophan derived residue \textit{N}-(1,1-dimethyl-1-allyl)-tryptophan, and the mechanism by which tryptophan is tailored to provide this residue was determined. We found that tryptophan is prenylated by the prenyltransferase CymD prior to being incorporated by the cyclomarin synthetase CymA. Purified, recombinant CymD was shown to convert tryptophan and DMAPP to \textit{N}-(1,1-dimethyl-1-allyl)-
tryptophan in a cation-independent manner. The preference for \(N\)-alkylated tryptophan over endogenous tryptophan was established by providing \(N\)-(1,1-dimethyl-1-allyl)-tryptophan, \(N\)-(1-methyl)-tryptophan, and \(N\)-(1-propargyl)-tryptophan to a \(cymD\) deficient mutant of \(S. arenicola\ CNS-205\), which efficiently restored the production of the known cyclomarin A and cyclomarazine A and provided the corresponding \(N\)-methyl or \(N\)-propargyl mutasynthetic analogs at wild type levels. Further oxidative tailoring of this amino acid is provided by two cytochrome P450s, CymV and CymS, the first of which installs the epoxide, and the second of which installs the \(\beta\)-hydroxyl group, forming the fully functionalized \(N\)-(1,1-dimethyl-2,3-epoxypropyl)-\(\beta\)-hydroxytryptophan residue of cyclomarin A. Bioinformatic analysis coupled with in vivo mutagenesis established the functions for these two CYPs.

The second residue contained in both cyclomarin and cyclomarazine is \(\delta\)-hydroxyl-\(N\)-methyl-leucine. Inactivation of the Fe(II)/\(\alpha\)-ketoglutarate-dependent hydroxylase CymW supported its role in providing the \(\delta\)-hydroxyl functionality by eliminating the production of all known cyclomarins and cyclomazarines containing the \(\delta\)-hydroxylation on leucine. Module 2 of CymA contains a methyltransferase domain that likely catalyzes the methyl transfer to this residue from the methyl donor SAM.

Modules 3 through 6 are likely to incorporate the proteinogenic amino acids alanine, phenylalanine, valine, and leucine into the structure of cyclomarin. Tailoring of phenylalanine is most likely provided by the cytochrome P450 CymO while tethered to the NRPS followed by SAM dependent methylation via CymP to
provide the β-methoxyphenylalanine residue. Although the functions of these enzymes were not probed in this work, bioinformatic analysis along with in vivo characterization of the remaining oxidases and methyltransferases in the pathway supports the functions of CymO and CymP. To provide the final \( N \)-methyl group of cyclomarin, the methyltransferase domain in module 6 would convert the leucine residue to \( N \)-methylleucine.

The final amino acid incorporated is ADH, a non-proteinogenic amino acid provided by the four gene operon \( \text{cymE-H} \), three genes of which share significant identity with enzymes from \( \text{meta} \) cleavage pathways utilized to degrade phenolic compounds in some bacteria. Stable isotope incorporation studies coupled with bioinformatic analysis establish the origin of ADH from isobutyryl-CoA, pyruvate and SAM in a pathway operating in an analogous, yet reverse manner to the degradative pathways for phenolic compounds. Inactivation of \( \text{cymF, cymG, or cymH} \), in \( S. \text{arenicola} \) abolished the production of the known cyclomarins and provided a new analog in which ADH was replaced by an endogenous substrate, most likely phenylalanine. These inactivation studies confirmed the role of \( \text{cymF-H} \) in the production of ADH and suggest that this residue of cyclomarin may be amendable to modification via mutasynthesis. Key to forming the carbon framework of this amino acid is the aldolase/dehydratase pair CymEF, which convert isobutyryl-CoA to isobutyraldehyde, which is in turn condensed with pyruvate to give 4-hydroxy-5-methyl-2-oxohexanoic acid. Dehydration via CymH provides a substrate appropriate for SAM dependent methylation by CymG followed by transamination via Sare1130 to yield ADH. Numerous attempts were
made to provide soluble CymEF via heterologous overexpression in E. coli and
*Streptomyces lividans* for in vitro characterization and to probe their usefulness in
chemoenzymatic synthesis. Unfortunately, the appropriate conditions to provide
active, soluble CymEF were not discovered. Current studies to produce ADH in
*Streptomyces coelicolor* via in vivo heterologous pathway reconstitution are
underway.

This work has highlighted the power of an integrated, interdisciplinary
approach on the chemistry/biology interface to elucidate the details of cyclomarin
and cyclomarazine biosynthesis. Stable isotope incorporation studies coupled
with bioinformatic analysis established the origins of the residues of these cyclic
peptides and provided a putative pathway to ADH. In vivo and in vitro
characterization of several Cym enzymes established their role in tailoring of the
proteinogenic amino acid residues and solidified the pathway to the non-
proteinogenic amino acid. In addition, we were able to engineer both the
cyclomarin and cyclomarazine series to provide analogs in which the prenyl
group on tryptophan was missing or replaced by a methyl or propargyl group, or
the ADH residue was replaced by another endogenous substrate. Metabolic
profiling of the various mutants highlighted the presence of a previously
uncharacterized cyclomarazine analog containing the epoxide functionality on the
tryptophan derived residue.
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