UC Irvine
UC Irvine Previously Published Works

Title

Catalytic Alkyne Arylation Using Traceless Directing Groups

Permalink

Journal

ISSN

Authors

Park, Jung-Woo
Kang, Bubwoong
Dong, Vy M

Publication Date

2018-10-08

DOI

Peer reviewed

Catalytic Alkyne Arylation Using Traceless Directing Groups

**Jung-Woo Park**[a],[b], **Bubwoong Kang**[a], **Vy M. Dong**[a]

[a]Dr. Jung-Woo Park, Dr. Bubwoong Kang, Prof. Dr. Vy M. Dong Department of Chemistry University of California, Irvine 4403 Natural Sciences 1, Irvine, California 92697, United States

[b]Dr. Jung-Woo Park Center for Catalytic Hydrocarbon Functionalizations Institute for Basic Science (IBS) Daejeon 34141, Republic of Korea

Abstract

Using Pd(0)/Mandyphos, we achieve a three-component aminoarylation of alkynes to generate enamines, which hydrolyze to either α-arylphenones or α,α-diarylketones. This Pd-catalyzed method overcomes established pathways to enable the use of amines as traceless directing groups for C–C bond formation.

Graphical Abstract

Keywords

alkynes; aminoarylation; multi-component coupling; palladium; regiocontrol

In nature, regiocontrol results from the selective binding of substrates in an enzymatic pocket, while synthetic chemists devise strategies that include tuning of reagents, catalysts, and directing groups. Hydroamination is an attractive way to functionalize alkynes, whereby both *anti*-Markovnikov and Markovnikov selective variants have been demonstrated.[1,2] In these studies, regioselectivity for the aminopalladation step[3] depends on the amine, where bulky amines add to the less hindered position of a terminal alkyne (Scheme 1A).[2a] Inspired by these findings, we hypothesized that amines could be used as directing groups for C–C bond formation in the related, but less explored, carboamination of alkynes.[4–6] Herein, we report a three-component carboamination of alkynes that upon hydrolysis affords access to either α-arylphenone **4** or α,α-diarylketone **5**, depending on choice of the amine (Scheme 1B). This Pd-catalyzed strategy occurs by a distinct mechanism and offers complementary

scope to emerging hydrative arylation of alkynes and α-arylation of ketones.[7,8] Moreover, we showcase the use of amines as traceless directing groups for alkyne functionalization.[9]

Pioneering aminoarylation of alkynes include intramolecular variants[4a–c] and annulative examples.[4a,d,e] To date, only one intermolecular, two-component aminoarylation has been demonstrated. In this case, enamines are generated from Michael acceptors, such as alkyl- or aryl-propynoic esters.[6] We imagined developing a three-component coupling between an amine, unactivated alkyne, and aryl-electrophile by Pd-catalysis (Scheme 1B). As part of our design, we proposed that aryl-electrophile **2** would undergo oxidative addition to Pd(0) to generate a π-acidic complex, which would bind the alkyne. This π-complex can undergo addition with an amine to form two possible regioisomers.

We reasoned that choice of the amine could control regioselectivity in the nucleopalladation step, whereby bulkier amines favor addition to the less hindered position. However, a number of transformations could compete,[10] including Buchwald-Hartwig amination,[11] hydroarylation,[12] hydroamination,[1] and aryl-alkyne coupling (Scheme 1C).[13] Overcoming these established pathways would result in the first alkyne aminoarylation to occur by three-component coupling. Hydrolysis of the resulting enamines furnish the α-arylphenone **4** and α,α-diarylketone **5**, two useful building blocks for natural products and pharmaceuticals.[14]

To begin our studies, we chose 1-phenyl-1-propyne (**1a**), 3-methoxyphenyl triflate (**2a**), and morpholine (**3a**) as model substrates (Table 1). Treatment of **1a**, **2a** and **3a** with Pd and a variety of bisphosphine ligands gave no desired aminoarylation.[15] For example, with a Pd/ DPPF catalyst, aryl triflate **2a** reacts with alkyne **1a** to form polyenes, with no amine incorporated. We observed a breakthrough by using a P–N ligand; specifically, the Fc-PHOX ligand provided aminoarylation in a 23% yield (**4aa:5aa** = 3:1), but starting material **2a** remained. We examined other ligands that bear P–N and P–P moieties and found Knochel's Mandyphos[16] **L1** promising. In tuning the aryl-substituents, we found that **L3** gave the best results (85% yield, 4:1 *rr*). Polyene mixtures from aryl triflate-alkyne coupling was prevented by use of high concentration (*c* > 0.8 M with amine **3a**). Weak bases suppress the amination of aryl triflates, while use of lithium *tert*-butoxide (*t*-BuOLi) base led to Buchwald-Hartwig product, *N*-arylmorpholine.[17] Thus, the choice of base and ligand were both critical.

Next, we focused on achieving regiocontrol via the amine (Table 2). We studied cyclic amines and found morpholine (**3a**) optimal for high yields and selectivity for the α-arylphenone **4a** (**4a:5a** = 4:1, 68% **4a**, entry 1).[18] We examined acyclic secondary amines and discovered that the steric bulk of the amine could switch the regioselectivity to favor α,α-diarylacetone **5a**. For example, the use of *N*-methyl-α-methylbenzylamine (**3b**) resulted in preference for **5a** over **4a** (2.1:1 *rr*). To improve regioselectivity, we designed and prepared *N*-methyl-α-isopropylbenzylamine (**3e**).[19] Increasing the size of the α-substituent from a methyl group to an isopropyl group resulted in an increase of 2.1:1 to greater than 20:1 *rr*.[20]

As shown in Table 3, we used alkynes (**1a-1h**) and aryl triflates (**2a-2h**) to prepare α-arylphenones **4** in 39–77% yields (4–10:1 *rr*). Heteroaromatic groups could be incorporated

(**4f-4g**). Substitution was tolerated on the aryl triflate (**2a-2h**), including electron donating and withdrawing groups. Using methyl 3-phenyl-2-propyn-1-yl ether (**1i**) gave α-arylphenone **4m**, where the methoxymethyl group was cleaved *in situ* (48% **4m**). Thus, alkyne **1i** can be used as a masked phenylacetylene.

In addition, we accessed a natural product, *O*-desmethylangolensin (**4o**), an intestinal bacterial metabolite of daidzein (soy phytoestrogen),[14a,b] and precursors of natural neolignans **4q** and **4r**.[14c] the coupling of **1h** with aryl triflate **2g** and morpholine (**3a**) gave ketone **4n** after hydrolysis (70% **4n**, 10:1 *rr*). *O*-Desmethylangolensin (**4o**) was obtained by demethylation of **4n** using BBr$_3$.[21] From alkyne **1e** and triflate **2h**, we prepared ketone **4p**, a precursor for natural neolignans.

With amine **3e**, we apply analogous conditions to make α,α-diarylacetones **5** (Table 4). Three-component coupling of arylalkynes (**1a**, **1b**, **1j**–**1p**) with substituted aryl triflates (**2a**–**2f**) yielded α,α-diarylacetones **5** in 48–79% isolated yields for the major isomer. From ketone **5a**, which was prepared by three-component aminoarylation with amine **3e**, we prepared a MeO-analogue of BRL-15572, an antidepressant from GlaxoSmithKline (eq 1).[23]

$$(1)$$

On the basis of our observations and literature,[3,4c] we propose the mechanism shown in Scheme 2. The Pd(0)-complex activates the aryl triflate **2** to form arylpalladium(II)-intermediate **6**. Nucleopalladation with amine **3** then occurs to afford vinylpalladium(II)-intermediate **7**. Regioselectivity for nucleopalladation depends on the sterics of the amine **3a** versus **3e**. Amines bearing small substituents (*e.g.*, **3a**) favor attack of the carbon adjacent to aryl group, while amines bearing large substituents (*e.g., **3e**) favor the carbon distal. Reductive elimination of vinylpalladium **7** generates enamine **8** and regenerates Pd(0).

Future studies involve (1) designing chiral amines for stereoselective applications[23] and (2) elucidating the mechanism to guide other alkyne functionalizations.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgements

References

[1]. (a)For reviews on hydroamination of alkynes, see: Pirnot MT, Wang Y-M, Buchwald SL, Angew. Chem 2016, 128, 48; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2016, 55, 48.b) Huang L, Arndt M, Gooßen K, Heydt H, Gooßen LJ, Chem. Rev 2015, 115, 2596. [PubMed: 25721762] (c)Yim JC-H, Schafer LL, Eur. J. Org. Chem 2014, 6825.(d)Müller TE, Hultzsch KC, Yus M, Foubelo F, Tada M, Chem. Rev 2008, 108, 3795. [PubMed: 18729420] (e)Severin R, Doye S, Chem. Soc. Rev 2007, 36, 1407. [PubMed: 17660874] (f)Pohlki F, Doye S, Chem. Soc. Rev 2003, 32, 104. [PubMed: 12683107] (g)For a recent anti-Markovnikov hydroamination of alkynes with ammonia surrogates, see: Lui EKJ, Brandt JW, Schafer LL, J. Am. Chem. Soc 2018, DOI: 10.1021/jacs. 7b13783.

[2] (a). Yim JC-H, Bexrud JA, Ayinla RO, Leitch DC, Schafer LL, J. Org. Chem 2014, 79, 2015. [PubMed: 24506303] (b)Hartung CG, Tillack A, Trauthwein H, Beller M, J. Org. Chem 2001, 66, 6339. [PubMed: 11559184] (c)For an early report on regiodiverse hydroamination of olefin by controlling sterics of amine, see: Åkermark B, Bäckvall JE, Hegedus LS, Zetterberg K, J. Organomet. Chem 1974, 72, 127.

[3]. (a)For reviews describing nucleopalladation, see: McDonald RI, Liu G, Stahl SS, Chem. Rev 2011, 111, 2981. [PubMed: 21428440] (b)Garlets ZJ, White DR, Wolfe JP, Asian J Org. Chem 2017, 6, 636.(c)Gurak JA, Engle KM, Synlett 2017, 28, 2057.(d)For early reports on aminopalladation studies, see: Hegedus LS, Åkermark B, Zetterberg K, Olsson LF, J. Am. Chem. Soc 1984, 106, 7122.(e)Åkermark B, Bäckvall JE, Siirala-Hanse K, Sjöberg K, Zetterberg K, Tetrahedron Lett, 1974, 15, 1363 See also ref 3c.

[4]. (a)IUPAC Gold book (http://goldbook.iupac.org/pdf/goldbook.pdf) defines "intramolecular" as a transfer (of atoms, groups, electrons, etc.) between different parts of the same molecular entity, while "annulation" denotes fusion of a new ring via two new bonds. For reviews on intramolecular alkyne aminoarylation, see: Zeni G, Larock RC, Chem. Rev 2006, 106, 4644. [PubMed: 17091931] (b)Zeni G, Larock RC, Chem. Rev 2004, 104, 2285. [PubMed: 15137792] (c)For a recent example of intramolecular aminoarylation using guanidinoalkynes, see: Zavesky BP, Babij NR, Wolfe JP, Org. Lett 2014, 16, 4952. [PubMed: 25198672] (d)For selected reviews on annulative alkyne aminoarylation via C–H activation, see: Ackermann L, Acc. Chem. Res 2014, 47, 281. [PubMed: 23379589] (e)Gulevich AV, Dudnik AS, Chernyak N, Gevorgyan V, Chem. Rev 2013, 113, 3084. [PubMed: 23305185] (f)Song G, Wang F, Li X, Chem. Soc. Rev 2012, 41, 3651. [PubMed: 22377832] (g)Satoh T, Miura M, Chem.-Eur. J 2010, 16, 11212. [PubMed: 20740508]

[5]. (a)For catalytic alkyne carboaminations via metal-nitrenoids, see: Ruck RT, Zuckerman RL, Krska SW, Bergman RG, Angew. Chem 2004, 116, 5486; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2004, 43, 5372. (b)Basuli F, Aneetha H, Huffman JC, Mindiola DJ, J. Am. Chem. Soc 2005, 127, 17992. [PubMed: 16366536] (c)Basuli F, Wicker B, Huffman JC, Mindiola DJ, J. Organomet. Chem 2011, 696, 235.(d)Odom AL, McDaniel TJ, Acc. Chem. Res 2015, 48, 2822. [PubMed: 26295382] (e)Gilbert ZW, Hue RJ, Tonks IA, Nat. Chem 2016, 8, 63. [PubMed: 26673265] (f)Davis-Gilbert ZW, Yao LJ, Tonks IA, J. Am. Chem. Soc 2016, 138, 14570. [PubMed: 27790910]

[6]. Rabet PTG, Boyd S, Greaney MF, Angew. Chem 2017, 129, 4247; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2017, 56, 4183.

[7]. (a)For selected recent hydrative arylations of alkynes to form α-arylphenones, see: Huang L, Rudolph M, Rominger F, Hashmi ASK, Angew. Chem 2016, 128, 4888; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2016, 55, 4808.(b)Dateer RB, Chang S, J. Am. Chem. Soc 2015, 137, 4908. [PubMed: 25846477] (c)Zhang X, Qi Z, Li X, Angew. Chem 2014, 126, 10970; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2014, 53, 10794.(d)Kaiser D, Veiros LF, Maulide N, Chem. Eur. J 2016, 4727. [PubMed: 26918863] (e)Tlahuext-Aca A, Hopkinson MN, Garza-Sanchez RA, Glorius F, Chem. Eur. J 2016, 5909. [PubMed: 26888456] (f)Barsu N, Sen M, Premkumarb JR, Sundararaju B, Chem. Commun 2016, 52, 1338.(g)For an arylative rearrangement of propagylic alcohols to form α-arylphenones, see: Collins BSL, Suero MG, Gaunt MJ, Angew. Chem 2013, 125, 5911; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2013, 52, 5799.

[8]. (a)For reviews on α-arylation of ketones, see: Johansson CCC, Colacot TJ, Angew. Chem 2010, 122, 686; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2010, 49, 676.(b)Bellina F, Rossi R, Chem. Rev 2010, 110,

1082. [PubMed: 19610600] (c)Culkin DA, Hartwig JF, Acc. Chem. Res 2003, 36, 234. [PubMed: 12693921] (d)For selected recent α-arylations of ketones, see: Jiao Z, Beiger JJ, Jin Y, Ge S, Zhou J, Hartwig JF, J. Am. Chem. Soc 2016, 138, 15980. [PubMed: 27960323] (e)Drapeau MP, Fabre I, Grimaud L, Ciofini I, Ollevier T, Taillefer M, Angew. Chem 2015, 127, 10733; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2015, 54, 10587.(f)Takise R, Muto K, Yamaguchi J, Itami K, Angew. Chem 2014, 126, 6909; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2014, 53, 6791.(g)Liang Y, Fu GC, J. Am. Chem. Soc 2014, 136, 5520. [PubMed: 24678878]

[9]. (a)For use of traceless directing groups in arene functionalization, see: Zhang J, Shrestha R, Hartwig JF, Zhao P, Nature Chem 2016, 8, 1144. [PubMed: 27874862] (b)Zhang F, Spring DR, Chem. Soc. Rev 2014, 43, 6906. [PubMed: 24983866] (c)Font M, Quibell JM, Perry GJP, Larrosa I, Chem. Commun, 2017, 53, 5584 See also ref 1f.

[10]. Chinchilla R, Nájera C, Chem. Rev 2014, 114, 1783. [PubMed: 23789922]

[11] (a). Ruiz-Castillo P, Buchwald SL, Chem. Rev 2016, 116, 12564. [PubMed: 27689804] (b)Hartwig JF, Acc. Chem. Res 2008, 41, 1534. [PubMed: 18681463]

[12]. (a)For selected reviews, see: Boyarskiy VP, Ryabukhin DS, Bokach NA, Vasilyev AV, Chem. Rev 2016, 116, 5894. [PubMed: 27111159] (b)Manikandan R, Jeganmohan M, Org. Bioorg. Chem 2015, 13, 10420.(c)Yamamoto Y, Chem. Soc. Rev 2014, 43, 1575. [PubMed: 24336638] (d)Kitamura T, Eur. J. Org. Chem 2009, 1111.(e)Shen HC, Tetrahedron 2008, 64, 3885.(f)Nevado C, Echavarren AM, Synthesis 2005, 2, 167.

[13] (a). Horiguchi H, Tsurugi H, Satoh T, Miura M, Adv. Synth. Catal 2008, 49, 509.(b)Horiguchi H, Hirano K, Satoh T, Miura M, Adv. Synth. Catal 2009, 351, 1431.

[14]. (a)For selected examples of natural products or pharmaceuticals bearing α-arylphenone or α,α-diarylketone moieties, see: Frankenfeld CL, Adv. Nutr 2011, 2, 317. [PubMed: 22332073] (b)Goto H, Terao Y, Akai S, Chem. Pharm. Bull 2009, 57, 346. [PubMed: 19336928] (c)David JM, Yoshida M, Gottlieb OR, Phytochemistry 1994, 36, 491.(d)Tong X-G, Wu G-S, Huang G-C, Lu Q, Wang Y-H, Long C-L, Luo H-R, Zhu H-J, Cheng Y-X, J. Nat. Prod 2010, 73, 1160. [PubMed: 20476749] (e)He Y-C, Peng C, Xie X-F, Chen M-H, Li X-N, Li M-T, Zhou Q-M, Guo L, Xiong L, RSC Adv 2015, 5, 76788.(f)Fredheim OMS, Moksnes K, Borchgrevink PC, Kaasa S, Dale O, Acta Anaesthesiol. Scand 2008, 52, 879. [PubMed: 18331375]

[15]. See SI for ligands evaluated in this transformation.

[16]. Almena Perea JJ, Börner A, Knochel P, Tetrahedron Lett 1998, 39, 8073.

[17]. See SI for the detailed discussion on base effect.

[18]. See SI for the results of other cyclic amines applied.

[19]. The amine 3e is prepared in one-step from isobutyrophenone with methylamine and Ti(Oi-Pr)4.

[20]. With amine 3e, concentrations higher than 1.5 M is required to suppress undesired aryl triflate-alkyne coupling reaction.

[21]. The shortest reported route to 4o is Friedel-Crafts acylation using resorcinol and α-methyl-(p-hydroxy)phenylacetic acid. See ref 14b.

[22]. The commercial route is not available in literature.

[23]. For a review on enantioselective protonation of enamines, see: Fu N, Zhang L, Luo S, Org. Biomol. Chem 2018, 16, 510. [PubMed: 29302677]

**Scheme 1.**
Three-component aminoarylation

Scheme 2.
Proposed mechanism

Table 1.

Ligand effects on alkyne aminoarylation.[a]

[a] **1** (0.1 mmol), **2** (1.25 equiv), **3** (1.7 equiv), and MS 4A (25 mg / 0.1 mmol) were applied to the reactions. Yields and regioisomeric ratio (*rr*) determined by 1H NMR using triphenylmethane as an internal standard.

[b] Determined by GC-FID and GC-MS. DIPEA=*N,N*-diisopropylethylamine, MS=molecular sieves, THF: tetrahydrofuran, OTf=trifluoromethanesulfonyl, DPPF=1,1'- diphenylphosphinoferrocene.

Table 2.

Amine effects on regioselectivity[a]

[a] **1** (0.08–0.1 mmol), **2** (1.25 equiv), **3** (1.7 equiv), and MS 4A (25 mg / 0.1 mmol) were applied to the reactions.

[b] Determined by 1H NMR using triphenylmethane as an internal standard.

amine (3)	3a	3b	3c	3d	3e
4a:5a[b]	4:1	1:2.1	1:3.1	1:4.7	1:>20
yield of major isomer	4a 68%	5a 49%	5a 50%	5a 56%	5a 68%

Table 3.

Preparation of α-arylphenone **4** using morpholine (**3a**)[a]

[a] **1** (0.08–0.1 mmol), **2** (1.25 equiv), **3** (1.7 equiv), and MS 4A (25 mg / 0.1 mmol) were applied to the reactions. See SI for detailed reaction conditions. Yields and regioisomeric ratio (*rr*) determined by 1H NMR using triphenylmethane as an internal standard. 1H NMR yield of the major isomer **4** are in parenthesis.
[b] 0.25 mmol **1a** was used. [c] 0.5 mmol **1a** was used. [d] Methyl 3-phenyl-2-propyn-1-yl ether (**1i**) was used.
[e] Reaction condition: BBr₃ (10 equiv), DCM, rt, 12 h.

Table 4.

Preparation of α,α-diarylketone **5** using amine **3e**[a]

[a] **1** (0.08–0.1 mmol), **2** (1.25 equiv), **3** (1.7 equiv), and MS 4A (25 mg / 0.1 mmol) were applied to the reactions. See SI for detailed reaction conditions. Regioisomeric ratio (*rr*) determined by 1H NMR using an internal standard. Isolated yields of major isomer **5a-l**.

[b] 0.25 mmol **1a** was used.