UCSF UC San Francisco Previously Published Works

Title

Nutritional interventions to ameliorate the effect of endocrine disruptors on human reproductive health: A semi-structured review from FIGO

Permalink https://escholarship.org/uc/item/4cc7m7wc

Journal

International Journal of Gynecology & Obstetrics, 157(3)

ISSN 0020-7292

Authors

Corbett, Gillian A Lee, Sadhbh Woodruff, Tracey J <u>et al.</u>

Publication Date

2022-06-01

DOI

10.1002/ijgo.14126

Peer reviewed

DOI: 10.1002/ijgo.14126

REVIEW ARTICLE

Gynecology

Nutritional interventions to ameliorate the effect of endocrine disruptors on human reproductive health: A semi-structured review from FIGO

Gillian A. Corbett¹ | Sadhbh Lee¹ | Tracey J. Woodruff² | Mark Hanson^{3,4} | Moshe Hod^{3,5} | Anne Marie Charlesworth² | Linda Giudice^{6,7} | Jeanne Conry⁸ | Fionnuala M. McAuliffe^{1,3} | International Federation of Gynecology and Obstetrics (FIGO) Committee on Impact of Pregnancy on Long-term Health and the FIGO Committee on Climate Change and Toxic Environmental Exposures

¹UCD Perinatal Research Centre, UCD School of Medicine, University College Dublin, National Maternity Hospital, Dublin, Ireland

²Program on Reproductive Health and Environment, Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Philip R. Lee Institute for Health Policy Studies, University of California, San Francisco, California, USA

³International Federation of Gynaecology and Obstetrics (FIGO) Committee on Impact of Pregnancy on Long-term Health, Dublin

⁴Institute of Developmental Sciences and NIHR Biomedical Research Centre, University of Southampton and NIHR Biomedical Research Centre, University Hospital Southampton, Southampton, UK

⁵Mor Comprehensive Women's Health Care Centre, Tel Aviv, Israel

⁶International Federation of Gynecology and Obstetrics (FIGO) Committee on Climate Change and Toxic Environmental Exposures

⁷Centre for Reproductive Sciences, Department of Obstetrics, Gynecology & Reproductive Sciences, University of California, San Francisco, California, USA

⁸Environmental Health and Leadership Foundation, USA

Correspondence

Fionnuala M. McAuliffe, Consultant Obstetrician & Gynecologist, UCD Perinatal Research Centre, UCD School

Abstract

Background: Endocrine disrupting chemicals have harmful effects on reproductive, perinatal, and obstetric outcomes.

Objective: To analyze the evidence on nutritional interventions to reduce the negative effects of endocrine disruptors on reproductive, perinatal, and obstetric outcomes. **Search strategy:** A search of MEDLINE (PubMed), Allied Health Literature (CINAHL), EMBASE, Web of Science, and the Cochrane Database was conducted from inception to May 2021.

Selection criteria: Experimental studies on human populations.

Data collection and analysis: Data were collected from eligible studies. Risk of bias assessment was completed using the Cochrane risk of bias tool and the ROBINS-I Tool. **Results:** Database searches yielded 15 362 articles. Removing 11 181 duplicates, 4181 articles underwent abstract screening, 26 articles were eligible for full manuscript review, and 16 met full inclusion criteria. Several interventions were found to be effective in reducing exposure to endocrine disruptors: avoidance of plastic containers, bottles, and packaging; avoidance of canned food/beverages; consumption of fresh and organic food; avoidance of fast/processed foods; and supplementation with vitamin C, iodine, and folic acid. There were some interventional studies examining therapies to improve clinical outcomes related to endocrine disruptors.

Conclusion: Dietary alterations can reduce exposure to endocrine disruptors, with limited data on interventions to improve endocrine-disruptor-related clinical outcomes. This review provides useful instruction to women, their families, healthcare providers, and regulatory bodies.

This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

© 2022 The Authors. International Journal of Gynecology & Obstetrics published by John Wiley & Sons Ltd on behalf of International Federation of Gynecology and Obstetrics.

🛞 WILEY

YNECOLOGY Obstftbics GYNECOLOGY OBSTETRICS

Email: fionnuala.mcauliffe@ucd.ie

I FY-

Funding information

JPB Foundation, Grant/Award Number: 1P30ES030284 - 01A1

1 | INTRODUCTION

Endocrine disruptors are exogenous agents that interfere with synthesis, secretion, transport, metabolism, binding action, or elimination of natural blood-borne hormones that are present in the body and are responsible for homeostasis, reproduction, and the developmental process.¹ An important source of exposure to endocrine-disrupting chemicals (EDCs) is diet, with ingestion being the dominant source² of exposure globally. Dermal absorption and inhalation exposure to EDCs has also been reported. Building materials and industrial products also contain EDCs, including flame retardants and PVC.^{3,4} Cosmetics, personal care products, antimicrobial products and cleaning products, and household or industrial pesticides all contain EDCs.⁵

Endocrine disruptors affect a broad range of hormonal axes and physiological systems. Their effects have previously been divided into six types of toxicity including reproductive, developmental, and metabolic toxicity, neurotoxicity, immunotoxicity, respiratory toxicity, and carcinogenic effects.⁶ For a woman or couple considering a pregnancy, EDCs can affect conception, in-utero development, neonatal life as well as long-term child and maternal health. There are several windows of vulnerability throughout this process, where the woman and the fetus are particularly vulnerable to the adverse effects of endocrine disruptors. Oocyte integrity is compromised by EDCs, through oxidative stress and apoptosis⁷ and by reducing counts of antral follicles.⁸ This, too, has transgenerational effects. Pathological hormonal changes can affect gonadal development both in utero and after birth, causing estrogen-mimetic effects on male gametogenesis with testicular failure, and testosterone-related effects on female development, altering the onset of puberty and menarche and increasing the risk of precocious puberty.⁹ During the first weeks of fetal life, critical fetal neurogenesis requires adequate quantities of thyroid hormone. Where maternal exposure to EDCs interferes with thyroid function,¹⁰ dysfunctional neurogenesis can result.² Some studies have linked prenatal endocrine exposure to cognitive and behavioral impairment, autistic spectrum disorders, and lower intelligent quotient (IQ) scores for the child in later life.¹¹⁻¹³

The burden of morbidity with EDCs is complicated for many reasons: heterogeneity of exposure; multiple exposures at the same time; and difficulty in measuring the specific type of EDC exposure. EDCs can be either lipophilic or hydrophilic. Some lipophilic EDCs can accumulate in adipose tissue, giving these EDCs a relatively long half-life in the human body. Other EDCs are hydrophilic and have shorter half-lives though are often ubiquitously measured

KEYWORDS

endocrine disruptors, lifestyle interventions, nutritional interventions, perinatal morbidity, reproductive outcomes

due to repeated and consistent exposure.¹⁴ Diabetogenic effects of EDCs are exerted through two main pathways. Alterations in fetal development of the pancreatic beta-cells and the immune system cause type 1 diabetes mellitus later in life.¹⁵ Separately, endocrine disruptor interference with glucose and lipid metabolism contribute to insulin resistance and type 2 diabetes mellitus,^{16,17} as well as metabolic toxicity and obesity.^{18–20} There is a synergistic effect here as some EDCs are themselves obesogenic, promoting weight gain, slowing weight loss, and increasing the volume of adipose and subsequent bioaccumulation of EDC.²¹ Endocrine disruptors have also been detected in the placenta and amniotic fluid, where they are proposed to be linked to adverse obstetric outcomes including preterm birth, gestational diabetes, pre-eclampsia, and fetal growth restriction.^{22–25}

While there are strong bodies of evidence on the harmful effects of EDCs on female and male reproductive health and fetal development,^{14,26} there is less clear guidance on how to minimize these effects. The pervasiveness of endocrine disruptors in every aspect of food cultivation, transport, storage, and preparation leads to exposure to women and their families. EDCs have the potential to have a life-altering impact on families and societies in general and urgent global action is needed to reduce systematic exposure in every aspect of modern living. In the interim, the aim of the present systematic review was to summarize the evidence of nutritional interventions to minimize exposure to EDCs and to ameliorate the adverse effects of EDCs when exposure does occur. It is hoped that the present study will be a useful tool to women and their families, to healthcare providers, and to governmental bodies, empowering them in making evidence-based decisions to reduce the effect of EDCs on the lives of their populations.

2 | MATERIALS AND METHODOLOGY

In order to specify the study question, a Population-Intervention-Control-Outcome (PICO) tool for the study was created through collaboration between the authors:

- Population: Human populations (both adults and children, male and female, and pregnant and non-pregnant cohorts) with exposure to endocrine disrupting chemicals
- Intervention: Any nutritional intervention undertaken with the aim of reducing the exposure or effect of EDCs upon the population
 - Altering diet content: fruit/vegetables, organic foods, variety,

avoidance of processed foods, Dietary Approaches to Stop Hypertension (DASH) diet, Mediterranean diet, high fiber diet, high protein diet, anti-oxidant diet

- Minimizing exposure to EDCs: avoidance of pesticides, limiting exposure to phthalates, breastfeeding, avoidance of processed baby food/canned foods, altering methods of food preparation
- Iodine replacement
- Folic acid replacement
- Nutraceuticals: dietary supplementations including antioxidant supplements (vitamin C, vitamin E, selenium, melatonin)
- Microbiome: probiotic therapy or correction of dysbiosis
- **Control:** Placebo or non-exposure to the intervention
- **Outcomes:** Centered around reproductive, maternal, and fetal health
 - Fertility: pregnancy rate, both male and female. Fertility parameters and assisted reproduction
 - Pregnancy outcomes: placental bioaccumulation, miscarriage, pregnancy loss, adverse obstetric outcomes including preterm birth (PTB), pre-eclampsia, gestational diabetes (GDM), and fetal growth restriction
 - Fetal development: neurocognitive morbidity linked to thyroid dysfunction, HPA axis alteration and steroidogenesis, congenital fetal anomaly
 - Maternal health: thyroid metabolism, insulin resistance, diabetes, obesity
 - Exposure to endocrine disruptors: typically measured as serum or urine levels of endocrine disruptor metabolites

The search was created using the PICO structure to create a concept map of Medical Subject Headings (MeSH) search terms. The search methodology is reported here using the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) guidelines.²⁷

2.1 | Eligibility criteria

For the search, inclusion and exclusion criteria were based on the study question and characteristics as described in the PICO above.

Inclusion criteria were as follows: original research articles; observational cohort studies – both retrospective and prospective; experimental studies – both prospective and non-randomized control/ crossover studies; human studies; and English or non-English reporting. Exclusion criteria were as follows: non-human subjects; reviews or other non-original research articles; exposure or intervention not reported; and results not reported.

Studies that met the selection criteria were screened by title and abstract, filtering the results for articles aligned with the PICO. Articles with titles and abstracts in keeping with the aim of the review were then moved forward for full article review. Where it was unclear from title and abstract review if the article met the inclusion criteria, it too was included for full review.

2.2 | Information sources

The Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions and the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews were used to guide the systematic review. The following databases were searched: MEDLINE (PubMed) 1966–2021; Allied Health Literature (CINAHL) 1990–2021; EMBASE 2000–2021; Web of Science 2000–2021; and the Cochrane Database 1996–2021. The search was performed on February 27, 2021, and was repeated on May 15, 2021. Reference lists for included articles were hand-searched for additional articles meeting the inclusion criteria.

2.3 | Search strategy

All relevant studies were included regardless of language or publication status (published, unpublished, in press, or in progress). Reference lists for all articles yielded through the database search were examined for additional articles for inclusion, including published reviews on this topic or similar topic content. The PICO structure was used to create a concept map of MeSH search terms of the PICO. Each concept of the PICO was used as a concept title, and a comprehensive search of each concept was then created using the advanced search function on each database. The concepts were then combined using Boolean search terms (AND/OR) as below:

- Patient: "Endocrine Disruptor Exposure" AND
- "Pregnancy" OR
- Intervention: "Diet Content Intervention" OR "Minimising EDC Exposure" OR "Iodine Replacement" OR "Folic Acid Replacement" OR "Neutraceuticals" OR "Microbiome" OR
- Outcomes: "Fertility" OR "Pregnancy Outcomes" OR "Fetal Development" or "Maternal Health"

Search filters were also used, based around the inclusion and exclusion criteria. Filters included randomized controlled trials, clinical trials and human-only studies. All search results were then collated into one master search results folder on reference management software, EndNote.²⁸

2.4 | Selection process

Results of all database searches were collected on reference management software.²⁸ Duplicates were removed and article title and abstracts were then screened for inclusion. Articles were screened by author GAC using titles and abstracts against the selection criteria. A second author (SL) screened 25% of search results independently, with no discrepancies between the two. During screening, if an abstract was deemed eligible or inconclusive, the full study article was then examined in close detail. Articles were then deemed suitable for inclusion thereafter.

2.5 | Process of data collection

WILEY- GYNECOLOGY OBSTETRICS

After an article was deemed eligible for inclusion by the two reviewers, the data were examined and manually collected by two reviewers (GAC, SL). Data were collected on a data collection sheet created for the present study. Where data appeared incomplete or additional information was required, the corresponding author of the study was contacted. The review was reported using the PRISMA guidelines.²⁷

2.6 | Data items

Target data included study author name, year and location of publication, study design, study population and size, and specific intervention and control group regimens. The outcome domains centered around the outcomes identified in the PICO: fertility; pregnancy outcomes; fetal development; maternal health; and changes in exposure to EDCs.

2.7 | Study risk of bias assessment

For randomized controlled trials and crossover trials, the Risk of Bias and methodological quality was assessed using the Cochrane risk-of-bias tool version 6.2.^{29,30} Methodological domains in this assessment include random sequence generation, allocation concealment, blinding of participants and personnel, blinding of outcome assessment, incomplete outcome data, selective reporting, and other biases. The ROBINS-I tool was used to assess each domain of bias for non-randomized trials. Domains of bias included confounding bias, selection bias, bias in classification of interventions, bias due to deviations from intended interventions, bias due to missing data, bias in measurement of outcomes and bias in selection of the reported results. Bias was judged by two reviewers (GAC, SL). We evaluated each of the domains separately as this is consistent with previous empirical analyses.³¹⁻³³ If discrepancies occurred, the senior author (FMcA) was consulted to resolve assessments.

3 | RESULTS

3.1 | Study selection

The database searches yielded 15362 articles. A total of 11181 duplicates were removed, leaving 4181 articles for title and abstract screening. After title and abstract scanning, 26 articles were considered relevant, and their full manuscripts were reviewed for inclusion. Of these studies, 16 met the inclusion criteria (Figure 1). Ten articles were excluded, with reasons for exclusion also included in Figure 1.

3.2 | Study characteristics

Key characteristics of each study are presented in Table 1. The studies were published between 2009 and 2021. Population size per study was in the range of 15–355 participants. Three studies included pregnant participants, six included young healthy participants, two included families including parents and children, and two studies examined interventions in solely school-going children. Four studies included mixed-gender populations, including one on type 2 diabetic patients, one on cardiac atheromatous disease, and one on men and women aged over 60 years.

3.3 | Risk of bias in studies

Of the 16 included studies, eight were randomized controlled trials. In addition, there were three crossover trials and six non-randomized experimental trials. The risk of bias assessment is presented in Table 2.

4 | DISCUSSION

The present systematic review found high-quality evidence to support the consumption of organic food, avoidance of plastics, and avoidance of canned food and beverages in reducing dietary exposure to endocrine disruptors. Interventions including avoidance of fast food, iodine supplementation, vegetarian diet, fatty fish diet, alteration of personal care products, removal of dust, and altering fish feed are all supported by high-quality evidence. Avoidance of plastics in the diet was incorporated into interventions by using glass or stainless-steel bottles and containers, and cardboard rather than plastic packaging (or no packaging at all), and avoidance of plastic utensils and non-stick pans in the kitchen. These interventions yielded significant reductions in exposure to EDCs, measured as urinary BPAs or other EDC metabolites. Minimizing consumption of canned food and beverages also significantly reduces dietary exposure to EDCs. For women and families, this is particularly useful in the preparation of food and choices of baby food. There is observational evidence to support avoidance of bottle feeding and processed baby food to effectively reduce exposure to EDCs.^{34,35} Avoiding canned food and processed food is an effective way to minimize dietary exposure to EDCs.

4.1 | Replacement of folic acid and iodine

EDC-related thyroid dysfunction has been linked to neurodevelopmental and cognitive dysfunction.³⁶⁻³⁸ lodine deficiency itself has been shown to increase the risk of attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder (ADHD) and low IQ.^{39,40} Although there is ample observational evidence that iodine supplementation reduces this risk, this has not yet been demonstrated in prospected experimental trials. While there is

[∭]-Wiley[⊥]



N = number of studies. EDC = Endocrine disruptor

FIGURE 1 PRISMA flow diagram for article processing during review. EDC, endocrine disruptor; N, number of studies

observational evidence that the replacement of folic acid is protective against the adverse effects of EDCs on prenatal development⁴¹ including autistic spectrum disorder,^{42,43} the present review highlights that folic acid as a potential therapy for EDC-related adverse effects has not yet been investigated in experimental studies. With the prevalence of autistic spectrum disorder rising, particularly in the past 20 years,^{44–47} this protective potential of folic acid supplementation is an exciting potential protective therapy. The abundance of observational data with the absence of interventional evidence on both folic acid and iodine therapy poses a challenge for academic and clinical communities. The question centers on whether prospective interventional evidence is required before the recommendation of these therapies or, given their low side effect profile and other benefits on prenatal development, whether they can be recommended based on existing observational evidence alone.

4.2 | Strengths and weaknesses

The strengths of the present study are the comprehensive literature searches including all relevant publications, including non-English articles with bibliographies also searched for relevant articles, a broad inclusion criteria, and search strategy that allowed all published nutritional interventions to be captured in the review. Heterogeneity of study populations, interventions, and reported outcomes of included studies limit the results analysis. Where a meta-analysis could be performed on studies that reported urinary BPAs, this would exclude almost half of the studies (n = 7/16) that did not report urinary BPA and would significantly narrow the range of interventions included in the present review. Most included studies did not examine clinical outcomes; rather they reported levels of exposure to EDCs. This represents an additional caveat for prospective trial protocols. Investigation may require a two-step approach, with the first simply being a reduction in body burden, and the second being a change in clinical outcomes. Observational and epidemiological evidence was excluded from the present review. However, epidemiological data are important contributory resources in this discussion. A broader review of epidemiological evidence on interventions to ameliorate the impact of endocrine disruptors is needed as the next step from the present review, with techniques such as the Navigation Guide highly suitable for this purpose. While non-human studies have informed the pathophysiology of endocrine disruptors,

		FIGO		8	
Findings	Exposure outcome: Geometric mean urinary BPA μg/L Intervention: 1.2 μg/L, 95% Cl 1.0-1.4 Control: 2.0 μg/L, 95% Cl 1.7-2.4	Exposure outcome: Urinary BPA ng/ml Intervention: 0.88, 95% CI 0.42-1.34 Control: 1.37, 95% CI 0.59-2.15	Exposure outcome: Urinary BPA µg/L Intervention: 1.6, 95% CI 0.9–2.3 Control: 1.4, 95% CI 0.7–2.1	Exposure outcome: Urinary bPA ng/ml, with 95 CI for slope estimate of chance in geometric mean bPA over time period Intervention: 1.2, 95% CI 0.6-1.6 Control: 3.7, 95% CI -1.6 to -0.55	Exposure outcome: Urinary BPA ng/ml Intervention: 1.12, 95% Cl 0.9–2.21 Control: 1.21, 95% Cl 1.2–1.38
Intervention active group	Impact of stainless-steel versus poly-carbonated bottles for drinking beverages on urinary BPA Intervention: Stainless-steel drinking beverages Control: Poly-carbonated beverages bottles Duration of intervention: 1 week Summary of findings: Consumption of beverages from poly-carbonated beverages significantly increased exposure to BPA	Impact of lifestyle interventions compared to control on urinary BPA concentration. Intervention: Lifestyle interventions include education sessions, BPA-free tupperware/water bottles, makeup, hygiene and feminine products, organic foods packaged in BPA-free glass/ cardboard containers. Also included the control intervention of weekly newsletter as below Control: Weekly newsletter on BPA information, healthy eating, and physical activity Duration of Intervention: 3 weeks Summary of findings: Lifestyle Intervention significantly reduced BPA urine concentration	Impact of 5-day complete dietary replacement compared to education on urinary phthalates and BPA Intervention: Dietary replacement consistent of fresh/organic food, catered foods prepared without plastics Control: Education alone, conducted via handouts Duration of intervention: 5 days Summary of findings: Dietary intervention caused unexpectedly statistically significant increase in levels of urinary BPA Caused by food contamination with DEHP - high concentrations of DEHP in ground coriander and milk	Impact of EDC-reducing diet versus typical diet on urinary EDC analytes Intervention: Diet consisting of fresh organic fruit, veg, grains, and meats. Avoiding canned foods, plastic utensils, and non-stick cookware. Glass containers with BPA-free plastics, stainless- steel water bottles and containers Control: Typical diet Duration of treatment: 3 days Summary of findings: Levels of urinary EDC decreased with intervention and increased again with resumption of typical diet	Impact of plastic-free canteen food versus normal mealtime habits on concentrations of urinary BPA. Intervention: Certified compostable materials only. Control: Typical plastic beverage bottles, plates, etc. Duration of intervention: 5 days Summary of findings: Significant reduction in levels of urinary BPA with non-plastic intervention
Study design, population, and study size	Non-RCT Non-blind College students (n = 77)	Double-blinded RCT - researchers, lab staff Healthy premenopausal college-aged women with normal BMI (n = 24)	Non-blinded RCT Families - 10 households with 2 or more children aged 4-8 years, 1 parent living 100% in same household as children (<i>n</i> = 40)	Non-randomized non- blinded crossover trial Five families who frequently consumed canned foods, excluding night shifts, low-carb diets $(n = 20)$	Interventional School-going children in Italy (n = 130)
Study author and year	Carwile, 2009 ⁵⁴	Hagobian, 2017 ⁵⁵	Sathyanarayana, 2012 ⁴⁸	Rudel, 2011 ⁵⁶	Sessa, 2021 ⁵⁷

TABLE 1 Characteristics, interventions, and findings of included studies (n = 16)

(÷))

Study author and year	Study design, population, and study size	Intervention active group	Findings	
Carwile, 2011 ⁵⁸	Randomized single-blinded crossover trial University student and staff volunteers (<i>n</i> = 75)	Effect of canned soup versus fresh soup on urinary BPA Intervention: Fresh soup Control: Canned soup Duration of intervention: 5 days of each intervention/control, with 2-day washout period between crossover Summary of findings: Canned soup had 1221% higher urinary BPA compared to fresh soup	Exposure outcome: Urinary BPA μg/L Intervention: 1.1, 95% Cl 0.9-1.4 Control: 20.8, 95% Cl 17.9-24.1	
Bae, 2014 ⁵⁹	Double-blinded randomized crossover trial – data analyzers not blinded People aged >60 years (n = 120)	Impact of canned containers versus glass containers for drinking beverages on urinary BPA Intervention: Glass containers for beverages Control: Canned containers Duration of intervention: 1 week on each intervention, with weeklong washout period between Summary of findings: Canned beverage avoidance reduced urinary BPA. But this did not translate to improvements in blood pressure	Clinical outcome: BP intervention Control: Exposure outcome: Mean urinary BPA $\mu g/L$, $\pm SD$ Intervention: 1.13 \pm 1.76 Control: 7.93 \pm 6.01	
Curl, 2019 ⁶⁰	Single-blinded RCT Pregnant women recruited in first trimester (<i>n</i> = 24)	Impact of organic versus conventional fruit and vegetable consumption on urinary phthalates (PBA, PNP, and TCPY) Intervention: Organic fruit and veg Control: Conventional fruit and veg Duration of treatment: 24 weeks, from second through to third trimester of pregnancy Summary of findings: Organic diet significantly reduces BPA. No difference in PBP or TCPY	Exposure outcome: Urinary 3-BPA μg/L at 50th centile Intervention: 0.27 μg/L Control: 0.95ug/L	
Bethune ⁶¹	RCT - 3 groups CAD patients (n = 50)	Consumption of salmon fed with fish oil versus fish oil/rapeseed oil versus rapeseed oil alone on plasma dioxins/DLPCBs, PCBS, flame retardants (PBDEs) Intervention: Salmon fed with rapeseed oil Control: Salmon fed with FO or FO/RO combination Duration of treatment: 6 weeks Summary of findings: Fish with non-marine feeds had lower contamination levels but higher plasma levels for patients	Exposure outcome: Plasma PCBs, PBDEs DLPCBs (mean \pm SD) PCBs $\mu g/g^{-1}$ Intervention: 3.00 ± 0.81 Control: FO 7.25 ± 1.34 , FO/RO 4.85 ± 0.64 Dioxins and DLPCBs pg g^{-1} Intervention: 435.85 ± 89.03 Control: FO 1432 ± 216 FO/RO 766 ± 323 Flame retardants PBDEs pg g^{-1} Intervention: 1047 ± 193 Control: FO 2144 ± 327 , FO/RO 1698 ± 243	
Sears, 2020 ⁶²	Double-blinded RCT - researchers, lab staff Pregnant women recruited from antenatal clinics (<i>n</i> = 355)	Impact of paint stabilization and dust removal compared to reducing injury hazards on urinary phthalates Intervention: Reducing injury hazards included injury prevention devices, stair gates, cabinet locks, smoke detectors Control: Duration of treatment: Recurrent measure implementation from 32 weeks of gestation through to 3 years of life Summary of findings: Paint non-randomized & dust removal was associated with lower urinary DEPH/MCOP/MCNP but not u-MEP	Exposure outcome: Mean urinary DEHP ng/ml Intervention: 73, 95% CI -35 to -5 Control: 91, 95% CI -18 to 19	FIGO
			(Continues)	

TABLE 1 (Continued)

496	Findings	 (18 PCBs, 7 OCPs, Exposure outcome: Plasma PCBs and PBDEs Mean of sum PCBs x 9, ng/mL ± SE Intervention: 0.48 ± 0.09 Control: 0.52 ± 0.10 Mean of sum PBDEs ng/mL Intervention: 1.04 ± 0.34 Control: 1.08 ± 0.38 	Exposure outcome: 5× Organochlorinated compounds (pg/ml) 2× Dioxin-like PCBs (pg/ml) 8× non-dioxin-like PCBs	Exposure outcome: Serum levels of POPs Sum PCBs ng/g Intervention: 4.83, 95% CI 4.58-5.1 Control: 4.16, 95% CI 3.94-4.37 Dn in serum level	menstrual pain Clinical outcome: Difference in menstrual pain impact on women's scores between low and high adherence tainers, strategies to groups rt via social network Intervention: 6 (1–8) Control: 8 (6–10) Exposure outcome: Urinary BPA levels µg/gCr Intervention: 0.41 (0.06–1.42) Control: 0.99 (0.22–3.99)	comes and maternal Exposure outcome: 15 various EDCs ancy vitamins.
	Intervention active group	Impact of vitamin C versus no vitamin C on plasma levels of EDC metabolites and 5 PBDEs Intervention: Vitamin C 1000 mg/day Control samples: Before vitamin C supplementation Duration of treatment: 2 months Outcomes were compared from before and after intervention Summary of findings: Vit C reduced PCBs and OCPs but not PBDEs	Impact of fatty fish (salmon) consumption versus nuts on serum POPs Intervention: Consumption of fatty fish and nuts Control: Usual diet with avoidance of fatty fish/nuts Duration of treatment: 6 months Summary of findings: No reduction in POPs with fatty fish consumption	Intervention: Vegetarian diet (no fish or meat) Control: Isocaloric conventional antidiabetic diet Duration of treatment: 12-week intervention period Summary of findings: No reduction of serum levels w vegetarian versus conventional diet. Reductio POPs with reduction in HbA1c - independent of BMI	Impact of reducing consumption of fast/processed food on urinary BPAs and Intervention: Small group education (90-min session on EDC information and reproductive health problems), sources of EDCs in food, cooking and cont reduce BPA exposure in dietary habits, follow-up monitoring, peer-suppor communication Control: Baseline period before intervention Duration of treatment: Single intervention Summary of findings: Intervention reduced pain for 3 cycles and reduced urin 2 cycles	Impact of iodine supplementation versus control on neurodevelopmental out milk EDCs. Intervention: lodine supplementation of 150 µg/day in iodine-enriched pregn Control: Pregnancy vitamins not enriched with iodine Duration of freatment: From first trimester through pregnancy
ed) Study design, population,	and study size	Prospective experimental pilot study Non-randomized Non-blinded Crossover trial Healthy female individuals (n = 15)	Non-blinded RCT Men and women age 35- 70 years (n = 133)	Non-blinded RCT Men and women with T2DM (n = 74)	Prospective non- controlled experimental trial Blinding of data analyzers to results Female college students (n = 30)	Prospective non- randomized trial Pregnant women (86 enrolled) – 44 in long- term neuropsychiatric
FABLE 1 (Continue Study author and	year	Guo, 2016 ⁶³	Dusanov, 2019 ⁶⁴	Kahleova, 2016 ⁶⁵	Park, 2021 ⁶⁶	Brucker-Davis, 2015 ⁶⁷

CORBETT ET AL.

RBETT ET	AL.	GYNECOLOGY OBSTETRICS	
Findings	Exposure outcomes: Gravity-corrected conc (SE), ng/ml, with 95% Cl percent change MonoEthy/Phthalate (MEP) Intervention: 56.4 (1.1) Control: 78 (1.1) Percent change: -27.4%, 95% Cl -39.3 to -13.2 Mono-n-buty/ phthalate (MnBP) Intervention: 25.1 (1.1) Control: 28.3 (1.1) Percent change: -11.3%, 95% Cl -22.2 to 1.1 Mono-isobuty/ phthalate (MiBP) Intervention: 15.2 (2.3) Control: 15.2 (1.1) Percent change: -0.5%, 95% Cl -12.6 to 13.3 Methy/ Paraben Intervention: 43.2 (1.2) Control: 15.2 (1.1) Percent change: -0.5%, 95% Cl -61.3 to -18.8 Propy/ Paraben Intervention: 12.3 (1.2) Control: 12.3 (1.2) Control: 12.3 (1.2) Control: 12.3 (1.2) Control: 22.6 (1.3) Percent change: -45.4%, 95% Cl -63.7 to -17.9	Exposure outcome: Urinary metabolites (mean \pm SD) (ug/L) MDA Intervention: 0.3 ± 0.9 Control: 2.9 ± 50 TCPY Intervention: 1.7 ± 2.7 Control: 7.2 ± 5.8 IMPY Intervention: -0.7 ± 0.1 Control: 7.2 ± 5.8 IMPY Intervention: -0.7 ± 0.1 Control: -0.7 ± 0.2 DEAMPY Intervention: -0.2 ± 0.03 Control: -0.2 ± 0.03 Control: -0.2 ± 0.03	te and mono-2-ethyl-5-carboxypentyl phthalate; yl phthalate; PBA, 3-Phenoxybenxoic; oil; T2DM, type 2 diabetes mellitus; TCPY,
Intervention active group	Impact of choosing personal care products free of phthalates, parabens, and phenol Intervention: Consumer-choice of specific EDC-free personal care products as specified on product label Control: Pre-intervention measurement Duration of treatment: 3-day intervention period Summary of findings: Intervention was associated with 27.4% reduction in urinary mono-ethyl phthalate levels, 43.9% reduction in methyl parabens, and 45.5% reduction in propyl parabens. No changes in mono-n-butyl phthalate or mono-isobutyl phthalates	Impact of food replacement with organic substitutes on exposure to EDCs Intervention: Organic food diet Control: Conventional diet Duration of treatment: 5 days Summary of findings: Organic diet caused immediate reduction in median urinary concentrations of organophosphorus pesticide metabolites	A; DEPH, sum of molar mass of mono-2-ethyl-5-hydroxyhexyl, mono-2-ethyl-5-oxohexyl phthalat 1 oil; MCNP, mono-carboxynonyl phthalate; MCOP, mono-carboxyoctyl phthalate; MEP, mono-eth n-Butyl ether; POP, persistent organic pollutants; RCT, randomized controlled trial; RO, rapeseed
Study design, population, and study size	Prospective non- randomized experimental study Latina girls	Prospective non- randomized experimental study Elementary-level children	ody mass index; BPA, Bisphenc Iychlorinated biphenyls; FO, fis biphenyl; PNB, propylene glycc linol.
Study author and year	Harley, 2016 ⁶⁸	Lu, 2006 ⁶⁹	Abbreviations: BMI, t DLCPB, dioxin-like po PCB, polychlorinated 3,5,6-trichloro-2pyrid

TABLE 1 (Continued)

TABLE 2 Risl	k of bias assessment	t of included studies ($n = 16$)					
			Bias from randomization process	Bias due to deviations from intended interventions	Missing outcome data	Risk of bias in measurement of the outcome	Bias in selection of reported results
RCTs ^a							
Sears, 2020			Σ	-	_	_	L
Curl, 2019					_	Σ	Σ
Dusanov, 2019			_	Σ	Σ	Ţ	_
Hagobian, 2016	ũ				_	_	FIGC
Brucker-Davis,	2015			Σ	Σ	_	
Sathyanarayana	_я , 2012		Σ	Σ	J	_	
Bethune, 2006			Σ	Σ	L	Ţ	Ţ
Crossover RCTs ^b							
Bae, 2014			_	L	L	Ţ	L
Carwile, 2011			_	Σ	_	_	
Rudel, 2011			Σ	ſ	Σ	L	_
	Bias due to confounding	Bias in selection of participants into the study	Bias in classification of Interventions	Bias due to deviations from intended interventions	Bias due to missing Data	Bias in measurement of outcomes	Bias in selection of reported results
Non-randomizec	1 interventional trials ^c						
Park, 2021	Σ			Γ	_	Σ	Σ
Sessa, 2021					_	_	_
Guo, 2016	Σ	S		L	_	Σ	Σ
Carwile, 2009	_			_	L		Σ
Harley, 2016	Σ	-	Ļ	L	_	Σ	_
Lu, 2006	Ч	Σ	_		L	Ļ	Σ
Abbreviations: L,	low risk of bias; M, so	ome concern of bias; RCT, randomize	ed controlled trial; S, serious	risk of bias.			

^aROB2 Tool for RCTs.

^bROB2 Tool for crossover trials.

°ROBINS-I for non-randomized interventional trials.

OBSTETRICS

🛞-WILEY-

animal studies were not included in this review. Human-only studies were examined to highlight proven interventions and interpret these for patients, clinicians, and governmental bodies alike.

4.3 | Interpretation/implications

The present review provides summarized evidence for patients, physicians, and regulatory bodies on strategies to reduce dietary exposure to EDCs. Parents play a pivotal role in influencing what they and their children are exposed to and can take simple yet effective steps to minimize exposure to EDCs. Avoiding plastic-contained or canned foods and beverages is effective at reducing exposure to EDCs. This can be achieved through choosing non-packaged foods or cardboard packaging when unavoidable and using glass or stainless-steel food containers or drinks bottles, rather than plastic alternatives. For infants and young children, avoiding processed foods such as bottle milk and purees is a simple way to reduce EDCs. Breastfeeding and later using pureed fresh foods for children are effective at reducing exposure to endocrine disruptors. The consumption of organic food and avoiding fast or processed foods are recommended. Care should be taken to minimize contamination by plastic and pesticides by choosing foods that are not wrapped in packaging and washing them thoroughly before consumption. However, it should be noted that intervening on EDCs at the individual level puts the burden on parents and their families to identify and reduce exposure, which has been shown to be difficult in many cases to both identify all relevant sources of exposure, in part due to the lack of requirements to disclose the use of chemicals in products.^{48,49} Additionally, many sources of exposure to EDCs are beyond the control of the individual.^{48,49} In high-income countries, individual interventions are more achievable where consumers are in a position to make informed food choices. This is often not a luxury shared by populations in low- and middle-income countries, where education, access, and resources will limit food choices. Given all these considerations, public and regulatory government policies appropriately put responsibility and ownership on food and production industries across the world to ensure a healthy and safe supply of food.⁴⁹

Healthcare providers should be aware that endocrine disruptors propose significant risk to reproductive health and prenatal development.⁴⁹ Recommendations from professional bodies may include those such as from the American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists,⁵⁰ centered around the environmental health history with counseling for a reduction in exposure. Education among medical communities on this issue is essential, incorporating the interventions highlighted here as effective strategies for a reduction in exposure, with integration of environmental health into medical training.⁵⁰ There is also an urgent need for further research into effective interventions to reduce exposure to EDCs or ameliorate its adverse effects. While the present review did find 14 studies, just three of them were of high quality, underling the need for further investigation. This is a novel and exciting area, and one that promises investigators a huge opportunity to improve human health globally.

Finally, this review is a call to action for government and regulatory bodies. It is known endocrine disruptors have a significant cost to the health of women, families, and children at every stage of development and early life, as well as placing significant demand on gynecological and women's health services.⁵¹ In addition, the neurobehavioral morbidity of EDCs contributes significant challenges to individual and family well-being and to healthcare costs.^{52,53} Policy and regulatory strategies should require and incentivize minimizing food packaging, avoiding plastic packaging where possible, and encouraging consumption of fresh and organic food. All of these are proven to effectively reduce exposure to endocrine disruptors, with all the health and economic benefits this entails. Further, these interventions have huge benefits in terms of environmental health and waste reduction. Government interest in the minimization of endocrine disruptors is an extremely worthwhile endeavor, with great benefits to be gleaned from simple yet effective strategies outlined in the present review.

5 | CONCLUSION

Addressing the effects of EDCs and minimizing exposure to chemicals is an issue requiring urgent attention. While government and regulatory bodies are working on policy solutions, individual-level interventions can help reduce exposure. While intervention in human studies is still in its early stages, there are effective nutritional interventions that may reduce the adverse effects of EDCs. The avoidance of plastic containers, bottles, and packaging, and canned food is shown to significantly reduce exposure to endocrine disruptors. Consumption of fresh and organic food is also effective at reducing exposure, as is prevention of dust accumulation around the home. While regulatory and further high-quality experimental research is required into therapies such as anti-oxidant therapy and iodine and folic acid replacement, the present review presents effective and helpful strategies to reduce nutritional exposure to endocrine disruptors.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

TW declares funding sources from the JPB Foundation (US NIEHS 1P30ES030284 – 01A1). Open access funding provided by IReL.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

JC is the current President of the International Federation of Gynecology and Obstetrics (FIGO) and sits on the board of directors for two non-profit organizations (the Forum Institute and Heartland Health Alliance). She has no financial conflicts of interest to disclose. The other authors have no conflicts of interest.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

The study concept was conceived by FMMcA. The scope of the study was guided by FMMcA, LG, JC, TJW, Mh, MH, and AMC. The study was designed with input by all authors. The search was conducted by GAC and SL. Risk of bias assessment was performed by

500

WILEY- GYNECOLOGY OBSTETRICS

GAC and SL. The manuscript was first drafted by GAC and then critically appraised by all authors. All authors approved the final version of this manuscript before submission. The corresponding author declares that all listed authors meet criteria for authorship, having been significant contributors to this project for its entire duration.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

Data sharing is not applicable to this article as no new data were created or analyzed in this study.

REFERENCES

- 1. Agency, U.S.E.P Endocrine disruption. 2015.
- Gore AC, Chappell VA, Fenton SE, et al. Executive summary to EDC-2: the Endocrine Society's second scientific statement on endocrine-disrupting chemicals. *Endocr Rev.* 2015;36(6):593-602.
- Kim UJ, Wang Y, Li W, Kannan K. Occurrence of and human exposure to organophosphate flame retardants/plasticizers in indoor air and dust from various microenvironments in the United States. Environ Int. 2019;125:342-349.
- Fucic A, Galea K, Duca R, et al. Potential health risk of endocrine disruptors in construction sector and plastics industry: a new paradigm in occupational health. *Int J Environ Res Public Health*. 2018;15(6):1229-1240.
- Gore A, Crewws D, Doan L, La Merril M, Patisaul H, Zota A. Introduction to Endocrine Disrupting Chemicals (EDCs) - A Guide for Public Interest Organisations and Policy-Makers. Endocrine Society and I.I.P.O.P.E. Network; 2014.
- Mostafalou S, Abdollahi M. Pesticides: an update of human exposure and toxicity. Arch Toxicol. 2017;91(2):549-599.
- Lee SG, Kim JY, Chung JY, et al. Bisphenol a exposure during adulthood causes augmentation of follicular atresia and luteal regression by decreasing 17β-estradiol synthesis via downregulation of aromatase in rat ovary. *Environ Health Perspect*. 2013;121(6):663-669.
- Souter I, Smith KW, Dimitriadis I, et al. The association of bisphenol-a urinary concentrations with antral follicle counts and other measures of ovarian reserve in women undergoing infertility treatments. *Reprod Toxicol.* 2013;42:224-231.
- Watkins DJ, Sánchez BN, Téllez-Rojo MM, et al. Phthalate and bisphenol a exposure during in utero windows of susceptibility in relation to reproductive hormones and pubertal development in girls. *Environ Res.* 2017;159:143-151.
- Kronborg TM, Hansen JF, Rasmussen ÅK, et al. The flame retardant DE-71 (a mixture of polybrominated diphenyl ethers) inhibits human differentiated thyroid cell function in vitro. *PLoS ONE*. 2017;12(6):e0179858.
- Schantz SL, Widholm JJ. Cognitive effects of endocrine-disrupting chemicals in animals. *Environ Health Perspect*. 2001;109(12):1197-1206.
- Gilbert ME, Rovet J, Chen Z, Koibuchi N. Developmental thyroid hormone disruption: prevalence, environmental contaminants and neurodevelopmental consequences. *Neurotoxicology*. 2012;33(4):842-852.
- Ghassabian A, Trasande L. Disruption in thyroid signaling pathway: a mechanism for the effect of endocrine-disrupting chemicals on child neurodevelopment. *Front Endocrinol.* 2018;9:204.
- Gore, A., Chappell VA, Fenton SE, et al. EDC-2: The Endocrine Society's Second Scientific Statement on Endocrine-Disrupting Chemicals. Dec Oxford Academic; 2015. p. E1-E150.
- 15. Howard SG. Developmental exposure to endocrine disrupting chemicals and type 1 diabetes mellitus. *Front Endocrinol*. 2018;9:513.

- 16. Dutta S, Haggerty DK, Rappolee DA, Ruden DM. Phthalate exposure and long-term epigenomic consequences: a review. *Front Genet*. 2020;11:405.
- Martínez-Ibarra A, Martínez-Razo LD, Vázquez-Martínez ER, et al. Unhealthy levels of phthalates and bisphenol a in Mexican pregnant women with gestational diabetes and its association to altered expression of miRNAs involved with metabolic disease. *Int J Mol Sci.* 2019;20(13):33-43.
- Bansal A, Henao-Mejia J, Simmons RA. Immune system: an emerging player in mediating effects of endocrine disruptors on metabolic health. *Endocrinology*. 2018;159(1):32-45.
- Sharpe RM, Drak. AJ. Obesogens and obesity—an alternative view? Obesity. 2013;21(6):1081-1083.
- Donat-Vargas C, Bergdahl IA, Tornevi A, et al. Perfluoroalkyl substances and risk of type II diabetes: a prospective nested casecontrol study. *Environ Int*. 2019;123:390-398.
- Liu G, Dhana K, Furtado JD, et al. Perfluoroalkyl substances and changes in body weight and resting metabolic rate in response to weight-loss diets: a prospective study. *PLoS Med.* 2018;15(2):e1002502.
- 22. Cornelius DC. Preeclampsia: from inflammation to immunoregulation. Clin Med Insights Blood Disord. 2018;11:1179545X17752325.
- Yang C, Song G, Lim W. A mechanism for the effect of endocrine disrupting chemicals on placentation. *Chemosphere*. 2019;231:326-336.
- 24. Hu J, Zhao H, Braun JM, et al. Associations of trimester-specific exposure to bisphenols with size at birth: a Chinese prenatal cohort study. *Environ Health Perspect*. 2019;127(10):107001.
- Govarts E, Iszatt N, Trnovec T, et al. Prenatal exposure to endocrine disrupting chemicals and risk of being born small for gestational age: pooled analysis of seven European birth cohorts. *Environ Int.* 2018;115:267-278.
- Padmanabhan V, Song W, Puttabyatappa M. Praegnatio Perturbatio-impact of endocrine-disrupting chemicals. *Endocr Rev.* 2021;42(3):295-353.
- Moher D, Liberati A, Tetzlaff J, Altman DG, for the PRISMA Group. Preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and metaanalyses: the PRISMA statement. *BMJ*. 2009;339:b2535.
- 28. Team, T.E, Endnote. 2013, Clavirate Analytics.
- Higgins JP, Altman DG, Gotzsche PC, et al. The Cochrane Collaboration's tool for assessing risk of bias in randomised trials. *BMJ*. 2011;343:d5928.
- Higgins J, Savovic J, Page M, Elbers R, Sterne JAC. Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions: Assessing risk of bias in a randomized trial. Wiley-Blackwell; 2019:205-228.
- Jüni P, Witschi A, Bloch R, Egger M. The hazards of scoring the quality of clinical trials for meta-analysis. Jama. 1999;282(11):1054-1060.
- 32. Greenland S, O'Rourke K. On the bias produced by quality scores in meta-analysis, and a hierarchical view of proposed solutions. *Biostatistics*. 2001;2(4):463-471.
- Herbison P, Hay-Smith J, Gillespie WJ. Adjustment of meta-analyses on the basis of quality scores should be abandoned. J Clin Epidemiol. 2006;59(12):1249-1256.
- Cirillo T, Latini G, Castaldi MA, et al. Exposure to di-2-ethylhexyl phthalate, di-n-butyl phthalate and bisphenol a through infant formulas. J Agric Food Chem. 2015;63(12):3303-3310.
- Rhie YJ, Nam HK, Oh YJ, Kim HS, Lee KH. Influence of bottlefeeding on serum bisphenol a levels in infants. J Korean Med Sci. 2014;29(2):261-264.
- Stewart PW, Reihman J, Lonky EI, Darvill TJ, Pagano J. Cognitive development in preschool children prenatally exposed to PCBs and MeHg. *Neurotoxicol Teratol.* 2003;25(1):11-22.
- 37. Stewart PW, Lonky E, Reihman J, Pagano J, Gump BB, Darvill T. The relationship between prenatal PCB exposure and

intelligence (IQ) in 9-year-old children. Environ Health Perspect. 2008;116(10):1416-1422.

- Woodruff TJ, Zeise L, Axelrad DA, et al. Meeting report: moving upstream-evaluating adverse upstream end points for improved risk assessment and decision-making. *Environ Health Perspect*. 2008;116(11):1568-1575.
- Abel MH, Ystrom E, Caspersen IH, et al. Maternal iodine intake and offspring attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder: results from a large prospective cohort study. *Nutrients*. 2017;9(11):1239.
- Bath SC, Steer CD, Golding J, Emmett P, Rayman MP. Effect of inadequate iodine status in UKpregnant women on cognitive outcomes in their children: results from the Avon longitudinal study of parents and children (ALSPAC). *Lancet*. 2013;382(9889):331-337.
- 41. Ormond G, Nieuwenhuijsen MJ, Nelson P, et al. Endocrine disruptors in the workplace, hair spray, folate supplementation, and risk of hypospadias: case-control study. *Environ Health Perspect*. 2009;117(2):303-307.
- 42. Oulhote Y, Lanphear B, Braun JM, et al. Gestational exposures to phthalates and folic acid, and autistic traits in Canadian children. *Environ Health Perspect*. 2020;128(2):27004.
- Goodrich AJ, Volk HE, Tancredi DJ, et al. Joint effects of prenatal air pollutant exposure and maternal folic acid supplementation on risk of autism spectrum disorder. *Autism Res.* 2018;11(1):69-80.
- Randall M, Sciberras E, Brignell A, et al. Autism spectrum disorder: presentation and prevalence in a nationally representative Australian sample. *Aust N Z J Psychiatry*. 2016;50(3):243-253.
- Idring S, Lundberg M, Sturm H, et al. Changes in prevalence of autism spectrum disorders in 2001-2011: findings from the Stockholm youth cohort. J Autism Dev Disord. 2015;45(6):1766-1773.
- Ouellette-Kuntz H, Coo H, Lam M, et al. The changing prevalence of autism in three regions of Canada. J Autism Dev Disord. 2014;44(1):120-136.
- Chiarotti F, Venerosi A. Epidemiology of autism Spectrum disorders: a review of worldwide prevalence estimates since 2014. *Brain Sci.* 2020;10(5):274.
- Sathyanarayana S, Alcedo G, Saelens BE, et al. Unexpected results in a randomized dietary trial to reduce phthalate and bisphenol a exposures. J Expo Sci Environ Epidemiol. 2013;23(4):378-384.
- Di Renzo GC et al. International Federation of Gynecology and Obstetrics opinion on reproductive health impacts of exposure to toxic environmental chemicals. Int J Gynecol Obstet. 2015;131(3):219-225.
- Practice, a.C.o.O.a.G.C.o.O. Reducing prenatal exposure to toxic environmental agents: ACOG Committee opinion, number 832. Obstet Gynecol. 2021;138(1):e40-e54.
- Hunt PA, Sathyanarayana S, Fowler PA, Trasande L. Female reproductive disorders, diseases, and costs of exposure to endocrine disrupting Chemicals in the European Union. J Clin Endocrinol Metab. 2016;101(4):1562-1570.
- Bellanger M, Demeneix B, Grandjean P, Zoeller RT, Trasande L. Neurobehavioral deficits, diseases, and associated costs of exposure to endocrine-disrupting chemicals in the European Union. J Clin Endocrinol Metab. 2015;100(4):1256-1266.
- Trasande L, Zoeller RT, Hass U, et al. Burden of disease and costs of exposure to endocrine disrupting chemicals in the European Union: an updated analysis. *Andrology*. 2016;4(4):565-572.
- 54. Carwile JL, Luu HT, Bassett LS, et al. Polycarbonate bottle use and urinary bisphenol a concentrations. *Environ Health Perspect*. 2009;117(9):1368-1372.
- Hagobian T, Smouse A, Streeter M, Wurst C, Schaffner A, Phelan S. Randomized intervention trial to decrease bisphenol a urine

concentrations in women: pilot study. J Womens Health (Larchmt). 2017;26(2):128-132.

- Rudel RA, Gray JM, Engel CL, et al. Food packaging and bisphenol a and bis(2-ethyhexyl) phthalate exposure: findings from a dietary intervention. *Environ Health Perspect*. 2011;119(7):914-920.
- Sessa F, Polito R, Monda V, et al. Effects of a plastic-free lifestyle on urinary bisphenol a levels in school-aged children of southern Italy: a pilot study. Front Public Health. 2021;9:626070.
- Carwile JL, Ye X, Zhou X, Calafat AM, Michels KB. Canned soup consumption and urinary bisphenol a: a randomized crossover trial. *Jama*. 2011;306(20):2218-2220.
- Bae S, Hong YC. Exposure to bisphenol a from drinking canned beverages increases blood pressure: randomized crossover trial. *Hypertension*. 2015;65(2):313-319.
- Curl CL, Porter J, Penwell I, Phinney R, Ospina M, Calafat AM. Effect of a 24-week randomized trial of an organic produce intervention on pyrethroid and organophosphate pesticide exposure among pregnant women. *Environ Int.* 2019;132:104957.
- Bethune C, Seierstad SL, Seljeflot I, et al. Dietary intake of differently fed salmon: a preliminary study on contaminants. *Eur J Clin Invest.* 2006;36(3):193-201.
- 62. Sears CG, Lanphear BP, Calafat AM, et al. Lowering urinary phthalate metabolite concentrations among children by reducing contaminated dust in housing units: a randomized controlled trial and observational study. *Environ Sci Technol*. 2020;54(7):4327-4335.
- Guo W, Huen K, Park JS, et al. Vitamin C intervention may lower the levels of persistent organic pollutants in blood of healthy women - a pilot study. *Food Chem Toxicol.* 2016;92:197-204.
- 64. Dusanov S, Svendsen M, Ruzzin J, et al. Effect of fatty fish or nut consumption on concentrations of persistent organic pollutants in overweight or obese men and women: a randomized controlled clinical trial. *Nutr Metab Cardiovasc Dis.* 2020;30(3):448-458.
- 65. Kahleova H, Tonstad S, Rosmus J, et al. The effect of a vegetarian versus conventional hypocaloric diet on serum concentrations of persistent organic pollutants in patients with type 2 diabetes. *Nutr Metab Cardiovasc Dis.* 2016;26(5):430-438.
- 66. Park S, Ra SW, Kang SY, Kim HC, Lee SW. Effect of particulate matter exposure on patients with COPD and risk reduction through behavioural interventions: the protocol of a prospective panel study. *BMJ Open*. 2020;10(11):e039394.
- Brucker-Davis F, Ganier-Chauliac F, Gal J, et al. Neurotoxicant exposure during pregnancy is a confounder for assessment of iodine supplementation on neurodevelopment outcome. *Neurotoxicol Teratol.* 2015;51:45-51.
- Harley KG, Engel SM, Vedar MG, et al. Prenatal exposure to Organophosphorous pesticides and fetal growth: pooled results from four longitudinal birth cohort studies. *Environ Health Perspect*. 2016;124(7):1084-1092.
- 69. Lu C, Toepel K, Irish R, Fenske RA, Barr DB, Bravo R. Organic diets significantly lower children's dietary exposure to organophosphorus pesticides. *Environ Health Perspect*. 2006;114(2):260-263.

How to cite this article: Corbett GA, Lee S, Woodruff TJ. Nutritional interventions to ameliorate the effect of endocrine disruptors on human reproductive health: A semi-structured review from FIGO. *Int J Gynecol Obstet*. 2022;157:489–501. doi: 10.1002/ijgo.14126

WILF