

UCSF

UC San Francisco Previously Published Works

Title

Tracking Environmental and Health Disparities to Strengthen Resilience Before the Next Crisis

Permalink

<https://escholarship.org/uc/item/4d67g5cv>

Journal

Environmental Justice, 15(5)

ISSN

1939-4071

Authors

Garzón-Galvis, Catalina
Richardson, Maxwell J
Solomon, Gina M

Publication Date

2022-10-01

DOI

10.1089/env.2020.0025

Supplemental Material

<https://escholarship.org/uc/item/4d67g5cv#supplemental>

Peer reviewed

Title Page

Title: Tracking Environmental and Health Disparities to Strengthen Resilience Before the Next Crisis

Authors: Catalina Garzón-Galvis,^{1*} Maxwell Richardson,² and Gina Solomon³

^{1*}Corresponding author, catalina.garzon@phi.org, Tracking California c/o Public Health Institute, 555 12th Street, 10th floor, Oakland CA 94607, phone 510-620-3691, fax 510-620-3720

²maxwell.richardson@phi.org, Tracking California c/o Public Health Institute, 555 12th Street, 10th floor, Oakland CA 94607, 510-285-5500, fax 510-620-3720

³gina.solomon@phi.org, Tracking California c/o Public Health Institute, 555 12th Street, 10th Floor, Oakland CA 94607, 415-289-9310, fax 510-620-3720

Keywords: Environmental health disparities; environmental justice; public health tracking; community resilience; emergency response planning; data to action.

Brief (running) title: Tracking Disparities to Strengthen Resilience

I. Abstract

The COVID-19 pandemic has underscored how underlying disparities in environmental and health conditions exacerbate vulnerability during public health emergencies in low-income and communities of color. Neglected epidemics—high rates of pollution, chronic disease, and racial and socioeconomic health disparities—have continued amid persistent systemic racism and declining investment in public health. Recognized too late due to shortcomings in public health data tracking, COVID-19 has surged through vulnerable communities. Improved public health tracking is critical for informing the country’s recovery from COVID-19, and it can be leveraged to measure and reduce health disparities and strengthen community resilience to respond more effectively to the next public health crisis. We emphasize how public health tracking agencies can engage communities in data collection and reporting; we also discuss the complementary role that communities can take to mobilize data to change policies and institutions, strengthening resilience through increased information and capacity driven by community priorities. Success requires the continuous collection of timely data at a community scale, and public health agencies partnering with communities to use the information in decision-making and evaluation to ensure progress over time. We highlight community-engaged data collection and reporting—community air monitoring in Imperial County, CA—as an example of working with communities to improve public health data collection and reporting, increase community dialogue and engagement in governmental decision-making, and inform public health tracking to reduce health disparities and strengthen community resilience.

II. Introduction

Stark racial and ethnic disparities exist in the incidence and severity of COVID-19 illness. Nationally, the COVID Tracking Project reports that 23% of COVID-19 deaths are among African-Americans (cases with race data, as of July 27, 2020), though they comprise 13% of the U.S. population.¹ In New Mexico, American Indians/Alaska Natives account for 59% of COVID-19 deaths, but just 9% of the total population; in California, Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders have a rate of COVID-19 infections of 218/100,000 compared to an overall rate of 62/100,000 statewide,² and Latinos are contracting COVID-19 infections at three times the rate of whites).³

However, the data needed to fully and rapidly assess and address the racial, ethnic, and socioeconomic disparities in COVID-19 impacts have not been released in a timely manner, are not standardized across communities and states, nor reported publicly and independent of political influence. During the early stages of the pandemic, few states reported data by race and ethnicity for cases, deaths, and testing,^{4,5} limiting epidemiologic investigations and a targeted policy response. In California, as in a number of other states, race and ethnicity data are missing for over one out of three reported cases.⁶ The federal government only recently required that states submit demographic data on COVID-19 cases and deaths by August 1, 2020⁷—seven months after the first reported cases in the U.S. Concerns have been raised about the politicization of surveillance data by selective suppression of data: For example, Nebraska chose to stop reporting data on infections at meatpacking facilities, an industry where nearly 75% of employees are Black or Latino, and that accounts for nearly 1 out of 6 cases in Nebraska.^{8,9} Public health professionals have raised concerns about data suppression and transparency after directives for hospitals to report data to the Department of Health and Human Services rather

¹ COVID Tracking Project. 2020. “The COVID Racial Data Tracker.” <https://covidtracking.com/race/dashboard>. Accessed on July 27 2020.

² Kaholokula, Joseph Keawe‘aimoku, Raynald A. Samoa, Robin ES Miyamoto, Neal Palafox, and Sheri-Ann Daniels. “COVID-19 Special Column: COVID-19 Hits Native Hawaiian and Pacific Islander Communities the Hardest.” *Hawai‘i Journal of Health & Social Welfare* 79, no. 5 (2020): 144.

³ California Department of Public Health (CDPH). 2020. “COVID-19 Race and Ethnicity Data.” Accessed on July 29 2020. <https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CID/DCDC/Pages/COVID-19/Race-Ethnicity.aspx>.

⁴ Johns Hopkins University. 2020. “Coronavirus Resource Center.” Accessed on June 15 2020. <https://coronavirus.jhu.edu/map.html>.

⁵ Kim, Soo Rin and Matthew Vann. 2020. “Many states are only reporting race data for only some COVID-19 cases and deaths.” *ABC News*, May 7 2020. <https://fivethirtyeight.com/features/many-states-are-reporting-race-data-for-only-some-covid-19-cases-and-deaths/>.

⁶ California Department of Public Health (CDPH). 2020. “COVID-19 Race and Ethnicity Data.” Accessed on July 29 2020. <https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CID/DCDC/Pages/COVID-19/Race-Ethnicity.aspx>.

⁷ Stein, Rob. 2020. “Race, Ethnicity Data to be Required with Coronavirus Tests in U.S.” *National Public Radio*, June 4 2020. <https://www.npr.org/sections/coronavirus-live-updates/2020/06/04/869815033/race-ethnicity-data-to-be-required-with-coronavirus-tests-in-u-s>

⁸ Srikanth, Anagha. 2020. “Nebraska state officials stop reporting COVID-19 cases at meatpacking plants: Nearly one out of 6 cases are tied to a local plant.” *The Hill*, May 13 2020. <https://thehill.com/changing-america/sustainability/infrastructure/497668-nebraska-state-officials-stop-reporting-covid>.

⁹ Fremstad, Shawn, Hye Jin Rho, and Hayley Brown. 2020. “Meatpacking workers are a diverse group who need better protections.” *Center for Economic and Policy Research*, April 29 2020. <https://cepr.net/meatpacking-workers-are-a-diverse-group-who-need-better-protections/>.

than longstanding procedures to report data to the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC).¹⁰

The COVID-19 pandemic has shown how an underfunded and fragmented data infrastructure can hinder our collective ability to protect the public's health. Public health systems did not adequately detect community spread early in the pandemic; have not provided standardized and comparable data across states and municipalities; and have largely lacked contextual data on the association between COVID-19 and race, socioeconomic status, and preexisting health conditions. This gap in actionable surveillance data has undermined decision-making on how to effectively reduce the spread of COVID-19 through rapid testing, case identification, contact tracing, and case isolation, as well as efforts to equitably target public health messaging and resources to the most vulnerable communities.¹¹

Low-income and communities of color entered the COVID-19 pandemic with multiple vulnerabilities, including higher rates of chronic disease,¹² exposure to pollution,^{13,14} and disparities in health care access and treatment.¹⁵ Many residents in these communities are also more likely to be essential workers, to live in crowded housing, and to be uninsured.¹⁶ People in these communities are also more likely to bear a disproportionate risk of exposure to multiple socioeconomic stressors and environmental hazards over time, otherwise known as cumulative impacts that contribute to excess burden of disease,¹⁷ further underscoring the salience of systemic racism as a risk factor for COVID-19.¹⁸ For example, in California, Census tracts with higher proportions of African-American and Latino residents tend to have higher levels of

¹⁰ Sun LH and Goldstein A. 2020. "Trump administration recommends the National Guard as an option to help hospitals report coronavirus data". *Washington Post*, July 14, 2020.

<https://www.washingtonpost.com/health/2020/07/13/trump-administration-recommend-national-guard-an-option-help-hospitals-report-covid-19-data/>.

¹¹ Pei, Sen, Sasikiran Kandula, and Jeffrey Shaman. 2020. "Differential Effects of Intervention Timing on COVID-19 Spread in the United States." *medRxiv*, May 15 2020.

<https://www.medrxiv.org/content/10.1101/2020.05.15.20103655v1>.

¹² Walker RJ, Strom Williams J, and Egede LE. 2016 "Influence of Race, Ethnicity and Social Determinants of Health on Diabetes Outcomes." *American Journal of Medical Sciences*. 351 no. 4: 366-73. doi: 10.1016/j.amjms.2016.01.008. PMID: 27079342; PMCID: PMC4834895.

¹³ O'Neill MS, Jerrett M, Kawachi I, et al. 2003. "Health, wealth, and air pollution: advancing theory and methods". *Environ Health Perspectives* 111 no. 16:1861-1870. doi:10.1289/ehp.6334.

¹⁴ Mikati, Ihab, Adam F. Benson, Thomas J. Luben, Jason D. Sacks, and Jennifer Richmond-Bryant. 2018. "Disparities in distribution of particulate matter emission sources by race and poverty status." *American Journal of Public Health* 108, no. 4: 480-485.

¹⁵ Hooper, Monica Webb, Anna María Nápoles, and Eliseo J. Pérez-Stable. 2020. "COVID-19 and racial/ethnic disparities." *Journal of the American Medical Association*. .

<https://jamanetwork.com/journals/jama/fullarticle/2766098>.

¹⁶ Farley, John H., Jeffrey Hines, Nita K. Lee, Sandra E. Brooks, Navya Nair, Carol L. Brown, Kemi M. Doll, Ellen J. Sullivan, and Eloise Chapman-Davis. 2020. "Promoting health equity in the era of COVID-19." *Gynecologic Oncology*. doi:10.1016/j.ygyno.2020.05.023.

¹⁷ Morello-Frosch, Rachel, Miriam Zuk, Michael Jerrett, Bhavna Shamasunder, and Amy D. Kyle. 2011. "Understanding the cumulative impacts of inequalities in environmental health: implications for policy." *Health affairs* 30, no. 5: 879-887.

¹⁸ Wallis, Claudia. 2020. "Why Racism, not Race, is a Risk Factor for Dying of COVID-19." *Scientific American*, June 12 2020. <https://www.scientificamerican.com/article/why-racism-not-race-is-a-risk-factor-for-dying-of-covid-19/>.

particulate matter pollution from vehicles;¹⁹ likewise, Black and Latino Californians are more likely to live in zip codes where multiple environmental health hazards are concentrated.²⁰

Recent research suggests that previous exposure to air pollution may exacerbate COVID-19 severity—possibly by directly limiting the body’s ability to fight off the virus, or by causing underlying chronic diseases that are associated with higher COVID-19 fatality.^{21,22,23,24} In a preliminary cross-sectional study of 3,000 U.S. counties (98% of the population), an increase of 1 microgram per cubic meter (ug/m³) of long-term exposure to fine particulate matter (PM_{2.5}) increased COVID-19 death risk by 8%.²⁵ Another analysis found that each 1 microgram increase in PM_{2.5} was associated with an average of 9.4 more cases, 3.0 more hospitalizations, and 2.3 more deaths from COVID-19.²⁶ Previous research has linked poor air quality to premature death, acute respiratory illness, and emergency room visits and hospitalizations from asthma.^{27,28,29} Particulate matter exposure is specifically linked with inflammation in the lungs,³⁰ adverse effects on the immune system,³¹ cardiovascular mortality and abnormal blood clotting³²; all of these factors are predictors of death from severe COVID-19 disease.³³

¹⁹ Union of Concerned Scientists. 2019. “Inequitable Exposure to Air Pollution from Vehicles in California: Who Bears the Burden?” <https://www.ucsusa.org/resources/inequitable-exposure-air-pollution-vehicles-california-2019#ucs-report-downloads>.

²⁰ Cushing, Lara, John Faust, Laura Meehan August, Rose Cendak, Walker Wieland, and George Alexeeff. 2015. “Racial/ethnic disparities in cumulative environmental health impacts in California: evidence from a statewide environmental justice screening tool (CalEnviroScreen 1.1).” *American Journal of Public Health* 105, no. 11: 2341-2348.

²¹ Wu, Xiao, Rachel C. Nethery, Benjamin M. Sabath, Danielle Braun, and Francesca Dominici. 2020. “Exposure to air pollution and COVID-19 mortality in the United States.” *medRxiv*.

²² Ogen, Yaron. 2020. “Assessing nitrogen dioxide (NO₂) levels as a contributing factor to the coronavirus (COVID-19) fatality rate.” *Science of The Total Environment*: 138605.

²³ Zoran MA, Savastru RS, Savastru DM, Tautan MN. 2020. « Assessing the relationship between ground levels of ozone (O₃) and nitrogen dioxide (NO₂) with coronavirus (COVID-19) in Milan, Italy.” *Science of The Total Environment* . <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.140005>.

²⁴ Cole MA, Ozgen C, Strobl E. 2020. “Air Pollution Exposure and COVID-19.” IZA Discussion Paper No. 13367. <https://ssrn.com/abstract=3628242>.

²⁵ IWu et al 2020

²⁶ Cole et al 2020

²⁷ Anderson, Jonathan O., Josef G. Thundiyil, and Andrew Stolbach. 2012. “Clearing the air: a review of the effects of particulate matter air pollution on human health.” *Journal of Medical Toxicology* 8, no. 2: 166-175.

²⁸ Kim, Ki-Hyun, Ehsanul Kabir, and Shamin Kabir. 2015. “A review on the human health impact of airborne particulate matter.” *Environment international* 74: 136-143.

²⁹ Pope III, C. Arden. 2007. “Mortality effects of longer term exposures to fine particulate air pollution: review of recent epidemiological evidence.” *Inhalation toxicology* 19, no. sup1: 33-38.

³⁰ Sayan, Mutlay, and Brooke T. Mossman. 2015. “The NLRP3 inflammasome in pathogenic particle and fibre-associated lung inflammation and diseases.” *Particle and fibre toxicology* 13, no. 1: 1-15.

³¹ Flood-Garibay, Jessica Andrea, Miguel Ángel Méndez-Rojas, and Erwin Josuan Pérez-Cortés. 2020. “Respiratory immune system and consequences due to particulate matter in air pollution.” *Revista Médica del Instituto Mexicano del Seguro Social* 57, no. 3: 170-180.

³² Bourdrel T, Bind MA, Béjot Y, Morel O, Argacha JF. 2017. “Cardiovascular effects of air pollution.” *Archives of Cardiovascular Disease* 110 no. 11: 634-642. doi: 10.1016/j.acvd.2017.05.003.

³³ Chen T, Wu D, Chen H, Yan W, Yang D, Chen G, Ma K, Xu D, Yu H, Wang H, Wang T, Guo W, Chen J, Ding C, Zhang X, Huang J, Han M, Li S, Luo X, Zhao J, Ning Q. 2020. “Clinical characteristics of 113 deceased patients with coronavirus disease 2019: retrospective study.” *BMJ* 368: m1091. doi: 10.1136/bmj.m1091.

The discovery of the potential link between COVID-19 severity and air pollution was possible because of data collected from both environmental and public health tracking. Tracking refers to the ongoing systematic collection, analysis, interpretation, and reporting of data to inform and empower public health decisions. Tracking is foundational to the three core functions of public health: (1) Assessment, (2) Policy Development, and (3) Assurance.³⁴ Without data for public health *assessment*, it is not possible to understand the distribution, drivers, and changes in community health and enable evidence-based *policy development*. Without sufficient data to evaluate success, there can be no *assurance* that decisions are effective, unbiased, and adequate to protect health. Data collection, analysis, and interpretation must occur continuously, regardless of location or time, feeding a cycle of assessment, action, and evaluation.

III. Conceptual Framework

The COVID-19 pandemic is a generational public health disaster, rapidly unfolding on a global scale, with other crises sure to follow. Climate change is also a global public health disaster that will impact every future generation in the U.S. and globally. Regional disasters such as Hurricane Katrina, and local disasters such as wildfires, will continue to occur with increasing frequency. But our current public health response, lurching from one crisis to the next, poorly serves the public interest. The Institute of Medicine warned in 1998 that giving attention to “specific foci of interest lead(s) to episodic action, but not the sustained effort that is needed” to support public health capacity.³⁵

Accurate, local, and timely data are essential during public health crises, but a sustained investment in public health data and information is critical for increasing emergency preparedness and community resilience needed to withstand future crises. Community resilience—the ability to withstand, adapt, and recover from a disaster or other catastrophic event—depends on rapid and relevant information gathering and sharing through social and institutional networks.³⁶ Figure 1 outlines six dimensions of community resilience for which data need to be tracked—as well as five characteristics of data that are actionable at a community scale—in order to strengthen resilience and more effectively respond to and recover from public health emergencies.³⁷

Information relevant to many of the six dimensions of resilience are tracked over time and space by various governmental and non-governmental entities. For example, data are available throughout much of the world on air quality, water quality, and financial indicators. However, the data often do not serve the priorities of the community: for example, air quality may not be measured at a sufficiently detailed local or neighborhood-level scale, and many important and emerging drinking water contaminants are not monitored. For this reason, data collection efforts need to be constantly evaluated with respect to community priorities and improved to be actionable for communities; in some cases, when relevant hyperlocal data are not available, a

³⁴ Institute of Medicine. 1988. *The Future of Public Health*. Washington, DC: The National Academies Press. <https://doi.org/10.17226/1091>.

³⁵ IOM 1988

³⁶ National Academies of Sciences, Engineering, and Medicine (NASEM). 2019. *Building and Measuring Community Resilience: Actions for Communities and the Gulf Research Program*. National Academies Press.

³⁷ NASEM 2019

citizen/community science approach to collecting data may be called for.³⁸ Other dimensions of resilience, such as social cohesion, are difficult to measure and track, but no less important: Social and cultural resilience are key to protecting health in communities, but are difficult to measure in a standardized way, and therefore neglected by researchers and policymakers. Complex interactions among these dimensions are also difficult to measure but can be critically important. For example, the need to socially isolate in response to the COVID-19 pandemic will reduce community resilience in the event of a heat wave or wildfire because it may be unsafe to go to cooling centers or evacuation shelters, thereby potentially increasing risk of illness or death from heat-related illness or fire.

As shown in Figure 2, leveraging data to strengthen community resilience requires a repeated set of actions by public health agencies or other organizations, and a complementary set of actions taken by communities. From a foundation of data collected in response to community priorities, public health actions to strengthen resilience can include: 1) Providing information in response to a concern; 2) Providing education, training and/or outreach; 3) Improving data quality and access; 4) Informing or improving public health response plans, interventions, or programs; 5) Informing policy, regulation, or legislation; and 6) Implementing interventions to reduce or prevent exposure to health hazards.³⁹

The Prevention Institute's THRIVE framework provides a spectrum of community actions that can improve upstream determinants of health and address health disparities.⁴⁰ These include: 1) Strengthening individual knowledge and skills; 2) Promoting community education; 3) Educating providers; 4) Fostering coalitions and networks; 5) Changing organizational practices; and 6) Influencing policy and legislation.⁴¹ The THRIVE framework outlines a strengths-based approach to community resilience centered on leveraging local relationships, knowledge, and other assets or capacities in implementing actions to improve community conditions and social determinants that shape health outcomes. These determinants of health include access to safe, quality and affordable housing, green space, transportation, education, employment, goods and services, and environmental resources such as water, soil, and indoor and outdoor air.

Assets or capacities that contribute to resilience which the spectrum of community actions in the THRIVE framework can both leverage and strengthen also include: Trusting relationships among community members and institutions; meaningful participation by local leadership and involvement in community-based institutions; opportunities for artistic and cultural expression that reflects the identities and backgrounds of all residents; accessible literacy development for all ages that effectively serves all learners; and broadly accepted behaviors, shared knowledge,

³⁸ English, Paul B., Maxwell J. Richardson, and Catalina Garzón-Galvis. 2018. "From crowdsourcing to extreme citizen science: participatory research for environmental health." *Annual review of public health* 39: 335-350.

³⁹ Qualters, Judith R., Heather M. Strosnider, and Rosalyn Bell. 2015. "Data to action: using environmental public health tracking to inform decision making." *Journal of public health management and practice* 21, no. Suppl 2: S12.

⁴⁰ Davis, Rachel, Danice Cook, and Larry Cohen. 2005. "A community resilience approach to reducing ethnic and racial disparities in health." *American Journal of Public Health* 95, no. 12: 2168-2173.

⁴¹ Prevention Institute. 2015. *Taking Action with THRIVE: Examples of Strategies and Actions to Improve Community Determinants of Health*. Prevention Institute: Oakland CA.

<https://www.preventioninstitute.org/sites/default/files/publications/Taking%20Action%20with%20THRIVE%20Nov%202015.pdf>.

and sets of practices that can promote community wellness.⁴² For example, community-led actions taken to educate residents or service providers can both tap into and fortify existing relationships among residents and institutions to deliver information or services. These actions can also strengthen community resilience by building trust between residents and public health institutions⁴³ or engaging community health workers as credible messengers to better reach vulnerable populations.⁴⁴

Actions from public health institutions that are informed by tracking data can in turn support community-led actions to improve health and strengthen resilience, and vice versa. For example, when a public health agency provides data and information, education, or training in response to a concern identified by community stakeholders, the agency should be supporting actions to grow community knowledge and skills or to promote community health education and literacy. Likewise, community action to change institutional practices around what data are collected, how they are reported, and subsequent policy changes can help build a public health system more responsive to community priorities and increase adherence to public health guidelines.

IV. Case Study: Air Quality Tracking for Community Action in Imperial County

Imperial County, California consistently ranks among the counties with the worst air quality in the state^{45,46}. The largely Latino community (84.6%)⁴⁷ also contends with some of the highest poverty⁴⁸ and unemployment⁴⁹ rates in the state, and among the highest rates of emergency room visits⁵⁰ and hospitalizations for childhood asthma in California.⁵¹ Imperial County as of June 2020 also had the highest hospitalization rates for COVID-19 in California,⁵² compounded by large populations of vulnerable essential workers like migrant farmworkers living in congregated

⁴² Prevention Institute 2015.

⁴³ Williams, Malcolm V., Anita Chandra, Asya Spears, Danielle Varda, Kenneth B. Wells, Alonzo L. Plough, and David P. Eisenman. 2018, "Evaluating community partnerships addressing community resilience in Los Angeles, California." *International journal of environmental research and public health* 15, no. 4: 610.

⁴⁴ Browne, Ruth, Marilyn Fraser, Judith Killen, and Laura Tollen. 2017. "The Messenger Also Matters: Value-Based Payment Can Support Outreach to Vulnerable Populations." *Health Affairs Blog*, July 10 2017. <https://www.healthaffairs.org/doi/10.1377/hblog20170710.060981/full/>.

⁴⁵ Aguilera, Elizabeth. 2019. "What Keeps Families in One of the Most Polluted Places in California?," *Calmatters*, January 2019. <https://calmatters.org/health/2019/01/what-keeps-families-in-one-of-the-most-polluted-places-in-california/>.

⁴⁶ American Lung Association. 2020. "State of the Air 2020 Reportcard: California." Accessed on July 30 2020. <http://www.stateoftheair.org/city-rankings/states/california/>.

⁴⁷ U.S. Census Bureau. 2020. "QuickFacts: Imperial County California." Accessed on July 30 2020. <https://www.census.gov/quickfacts/imperialcountycalifornia>

⁴⁸ Public Policy Institute of California. 2020. "Poverty in California." <https://www.ppic.org/publication/poverty-in-california/>.

⁴⁹ US., Bureau of Labor Statistics. 2020. "Economy at a Glance - El Centro Metropolitan Statistical Area." https://www.bls.gov/eag/eag.ca_elcentro_msa.htm.

⁵⁰ Tracking California. 2020. "Emergency department visits due to asthma, both sexes, ages 5-17, all races/ethnicities, crude rates per 10,000, 2017, counties." Accessed on July 23 2020. <https://trackingcalifornia.org/asthma/query>.

⁵¹ Tracking California. 2020. "Hospitalizations due to asthma, both sexes, ages 5-17, all races/ethnicities, crude rates per 10,000, 2017, counties." Accessed on July 23 2020. <https://trackingcalifornia.org/asthma/query>.

⁵² Calmatters. 2020. "Tracking Coronavirus Hospitalizations in California by County." Accessed on June 4 2020. <https://calmatters.org/health/coronavirus/2020/04/california-coronavirus-covid-patient-hospitalization-data-icu/>.

housing and U.S. citizens returning from Mexico for treatment in Imperial County.⁵³ As noted above, recent studies suggest that air pollution may play an important role in fatalities from COVID-19, exacerbating community vulnerability during the pandemic.⁵⁴ Chronic conditions associated with exposure to air pollution—including diabetes, heart disease, and respiratory disease—have also been associated with risk of death from COVID-19, and these conditions are most prevalent among low-income and communities of color.⁵⁵

In 2014 Imperial County residents took action to address decades of disparities in the area's air quality when compared to the rest of California. As a first step, the community resolved to address two critical data gaps that potentially contributed to systematic underestimates of local pollution. First, governmental regulatory monitors designed to track ambient air pollution levels did not collect air quality data on a neighborhood scale; second, the data did not appear to accurately reflect the pollution peaks that local residents often experienced.

Comite Civico del Valle (CCV), a local community organization, partnered with researchers from Tracking California and the University of Washington to develop the Imperial County Community Air Monitoring Network (CAMN). The purpose of the network was two-fold: (1) To fill a data gap by monitoring local air pollution levels in heavily impacted communities in Imperial County, where previously just five regulatory ambient air monitors were located within 4,000 square miles; and (2) To build tracking infrastructure and community capacity to collect and interpret air quality data in a trusted, timely, and relevant manner to support community actions to reduce exposures. Today, the network is fully community-owned and operated, and includes 40 air monitors to collect and report real-time data on local air quality.⁵⁶

The Imperial CAMN shows how public health tracking can help strengthen resilience, address environmental health disparities, and promote health. Meaningful engagement of impacted residents ensured that the data collected would serve local priorities and support community actions. The five characteristics of actionable data described in Figure 1 are all addressed by the Imperial CAMN. Specifically, the data are:

1. Timely: Real-time Imperial CAMN data have enabled rapid neighborhood responses to reduce exposure during poor air quality episodes;
2. Local: Neighborhood-level data minimize geographic data gaps and allow for the identification of air pollution hot spots;

⁵³ Koran, Mario. 2020. "'This is a war:' The coronavirus in California's poorest – and hardest-hit- county." *The Guardian*, July 13 2020. <https://www.theguardian.com/us-news/2020/jul/13/coronavirus-imperial-county-california-latino>.

⁵⁴ Cole et al 2020; Wu et al 2020.

⁵⁵ Brandt, Eric B., Andrew F. Beck, and Tesfaye B. Mersha." 2020. "Air pollution, racial disparities and COVID-19 mortality." *The Journal of Allergy and Clinical Immunology*. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaci.2020.04.035>.

⁵⁶ Wong, Michelle, Alexa Wilkie, Catalina Garzón-Galvis, Galatea King, Luis Olmedo, Esther Bejarano, Humberto Lugo et al. 2020. "Community-Engaged Air Monitoring to Build Resilience Near the US-Mexico Border." *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health* 17, no. 3: 1092.

3. Standardized: CAMN monitors are calibrated against regulatory monitors to ensure data quality and report consistent metrics across the network over time;⁵⁷
4. Accessible: Real-time CAMN data are reported on public websites and through email alerts, increasing the availability of the data;
5. Meaningful: Data are reported for communities of concern and presented in a way that is understandable to residents.⁵⁸

Figure 3 shows how the Imperial CAMN informed community actions to improve environment, health, and several dimensions of community resilience, based on the conceptual framework previously outlined in this article. Community participation in the CAMN's design and implementation, as well as a program to engage high school students in educating other community members,⁵⁹ deepened community members' understanding of how to interpret and use local air pollution data to protect health.⁶⁰ The community, equipped with CAMN data, also has been able to demonstrate to government officials that regulatory ambient air monitors were not adequately measuring dangerous spikes in pollution during peak pollution events.⁶¹

Lessons from Imperial CAMN on the utility of community engagement in data collection and communications have potential applications for informing COVID-19 response efforts. In Figure 4, the conceptual framework for resilience in this article is used to outline a community-engaged approach to COVID-19 testing, contact tracing, data display and messaging, and policy planning. Building community engagement into the continuum of assessment, policy development, and assurance can increase community data literacy, trust, and adherence to public health messaging and policies.⁶² Likewise, community-engaged risk assessment can counter rumors and misinformation about the COVID-19 outbreak.⁶³ Individual communities have taken steps

⁵⁷ English, Paul, Heather Amato, Esther Bejarano, Graeme Carvlin, Humberto Lugo, Michael Jerrett, Galatea King et al. 2020. "Performance of a Low-Cost Sensor Community Air Monitoring Network in Imperial County, CA." *Sensors* 20, no. 11: 3031.

⁵⁸ Wong et al 2020

⁵⁹ Madrigal, Daniel, Mariana Claustro, Michelle Wong, Esther Bejarano, Luis Olmedo, and Paul English. 2020. "Developing Youth Environmental Health Literacy and Civic Leadership through Community Air Monitoring in Imperial County, California." *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health* 17, no. 5: 1537.

⁶⁰ Garzón-Galvis, Catalina, Michelle Wong, Daniel Madrigal, Luis Olmedo, Melissa Brown, and Paul English. 2019. "Advancing Environmental Health Literacy Through Community-Engaged Research and Popular Education." In *Environmental Health Literacy*, edited by Symma Finn and Liam O'Fallon, 97-134. Switzerland: Springer..

⁶¹ English et al 2020

⁶² World Health Organization and the United Nations Children's Fund. 2018. *A vision for primary health care in the 21st century: Towards universal health coverage and the Sustainable Development Goals*. Geneva: World Health Organization and the United Nations Children's Fund. WHO reference number: WHO/HIS/SDS/2018.15.

⁶³ World Health Organization. 2019. *Risk communication and community engagement readiness and response to coronavirus disease (COVID-19): interim guidance*. Geneva: World Health Organization. WHO reference number: WHO/2019-nCoV/RCCE/2020.2.

towards a community-engaged response with targeted testing in high-risk communities,⁶⁴ community-based contact tracing,⁶⁵ and audience-oriented risk communications.⁶⁶ As an analogous example from the Imperial CAMN, local schools participating in a School Flag Program display flags according to a color-coded index used on a public-facing website to communicate air quality levels based on real-time monitoring data, and include accompanying risk communications—all of which were developed with community input.⁶⁷ Similar color-coded indices have been recently deployed by states,⁶⁸ cities,⁶⁹ and school districts⁷⁰ to communicate COVID-19 risk based on health indicators such as numbers of confirmed COVID-19 cases, hospital capacity, and test positivity rates.⁷¹

Community participants in the Imperial CAMN indicate that the project strengthened two key community resilience measures, namely: 1) increased capacity to gather, interpret, and use air quality data, and 2) increased community dialogue and engagement in governmental decision-making.^{72,73} The Imperial CAMN leveraged local knowledge and relationships that CCV staff had with community leaders, residents and public health agencies to strengthen capacities that contribute to community resilience, such as: building trust with school administrators to recruit local schools as monitor hosts; mutual co-learning with project partners through long-term collaborations with public health researchers;⁷⁴ and increasing health literacy by developing and distributing community educational materials in English and Spanish to support meaningful engagement in the project.⁷⁵ The Imperial CAMN has also enhanced community economic resilience through funding and job training for monitor installation and maintenance; increased the political influence of residents by supporting expansion of community air monitoring in California through Assembly Bill 617 (AB617); and created healthier air as community members participate in subsequent government-led planning to reduce pollution.⁷⁶ One community

⁶⁴ City of Austin. 2020. “Measures Planned to Address Impacts of COVID-19 in Vulnerable Populations.” Press Release, June 2, 2020. <https://www.austintexas.gov/news/measures-planned-address-impact-covid-19-vulnerable-populations>.

⁶⁵ WBEZ Chicago. 2020. “Chicago Community-Based Contact Tracing Soon Underway.” *National Public Radio*, July 1, 2020. <https://www.npr.org/local/309/2020/07/01/885908108/chicago-community-based-contact-tracing-soon-underway>.

⁶⁶ City of Los Angeles. 2020. “COVID-19: Keeping Los Angeles Safe.” Accessed on July 23 2020. <https://coronavirus.la/covid-19-threat-level>.

⁶⁷ Respira Sano. n.d. “School Flag Program.” Accessed on July 23 2020. <https://www.respirasano.org/school-flag-program>.

⁶⁸ California Department of Public Health (CDPH). 2020. “COVID-19 and Reopening In-Person Learning Framework for K-12 Schools in California, 2020-2021 School Year.” CDPH, July 17 2020. <https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CID/DCDC/CDPH%20Document%20Library/COVID-19/Schools%20Reopening%20Recommendations.pdf>.

⁶⁹ City of Los Angeles 2020.

⁷⁰ Schulte, Grant, 2020. “Nebraska Schools to Use Color-Coded Scale for Virus Danger.” *US News & World Report*, July 17 2020. <https://www.usnews.com/news/best-states/nebraska/articles/2020-07-17/nebraska-schools-to-use-color-coded-scale-for-virus-danger>.

⁷¹ McKenna, Maryn. 2020. “To Navigate Risk In a Pandemic, You Need a Color-Coded Chart.” *Wired*, July 21 2020. <https://www.wired.com/story/to-navigate-risk-in-a-pandemic-you-need-a-color-coded-chart/>.

⁷² Madrigal et al 2020

⁷³ Wong et al 2020

⁷⁴ See for example Rickenbacker, Harold, Fred Brown, and Melissa Bilec. 2019. "Creating environmental consciousness in underserved communities: Implementation and outcomes of community-based environmental justice and air pollution research." *Sustainable Cities and Society* 47: 101473.

⁷⁵ Garzón-Galvis et al 2019

⁷⁶ Wong et al 2020

member concluded that, “It has empowered me more by being able to express and defend our actions with good quality information. For example, we were able to identify areas where air quality was worse and then able to identify why and where it was coming from.”⁷⁷

The Imperial CAMN project provides an example of how public health tracking can be accomplished with effective community engagement to strengthen community resilience to future threats to public health. One project, however, cannot undo decades of socioeconomic disadvantage and entrenched health disparities, and the disproportionate vulnerability of Imperial county residents to the COVID-19 pandemic only underscores how vital it is that investment in public health tracking be conducted in a sustained as well as community-engaged manner.

The Imperial CAMN project would not have accomplished what it did if the air monitoring technology had been imposed from outside without significant investment in community learning, engagement and capacity-building. By investing in training local residents about air pollution, monitoring technology, and interpretation of data, and engaging the local community as equal partners in all phases of design and implementation of the CAMN, the project was able to make its public health approach responsive to local needs and circumstances, resulting in greater and longer lasting impact. This community-engaged approach is also critical to the gathering and sharing of COVID-19 data, as well as the design of public health interventions around COVID-19, particularly if strengthening resilience in the most vulnerable communities and addressing environmental health disparities is a desired outcome. Moreover, once the current crisis passes, long-term sustained investment in community-engaged public health tracking will be essential to preparing for the next public health emergency.

V. Discussion

As the COVID-19 pandemic has demonstrated, without timely, local, standardized, accessible, and meaningful data, it is not possible to make the decisions needed—with the urgency needed—to mount an effective public health response. Investment in public health tracking is imperative, and it must not simply address the current crisis, or even prepare for the next crisis. Rather, agencies responsible for public health tracking should work in an equitable partnership with the communities they serve, to collect and report data that are relevant to community priorities and actionable for reducing health disparities and strengthening community resilience to withstand future crises. Elements of an equitable partnership with vulnerable communities include: culturally appropriate, community-centered outreach; meaningful involvement of residents in data collection and/or analysis; and mutual co-learning through long-term collaborations with research institutions.⁷⁸ Engaging with impacted communities throughout assessment, policy development, and assurance will increase the data’s relevance and utility, and encourage community adherence to public health guidelines.

⁷⁷ Survey Research Group. 2018. Internal report for Tracking California on evaluation interviews conducted with Imperial CAMN Community Steering Committee members.

⁷⁸ Rickenbacker et al 2019

Beyond community engagement, several structural changes must occur within public health to improve data collection and reporting for local communities. First, public health tracking agencies must function independently to collect and report actionable data free of political influence. Second, data collection processes need to include characteristics on race and other socioeconomic factors for data disaggregation. Third, public health and the constituents it serves must address the tension between privacy and public health surveillance, to maintain individual privacy while not impeding the ability to collect and disseminate local and timely data.

Improvements in public health data will be limited without a sustained and committed investment in public health. Of the \$3.6 trillion spent on health each year in the U.S., only 3% is dedicated to public health. The Affordable Care Act had allocated the Prevention and Public Health Fund (PPHF) for “expanded and sustained investment in prevention and public health programs.” But the annual \$2 billion budgeted for PPHF beginning in 2015 has never reached promised levels of funding.⁷⁹ Instead, funds have been allocated to other legislative priorities, including tax cuts and biomedical research.⁸⁰ In the meantime, local health departments have reported reducing their workforce by over 56,000 staff nationwide since 2008.⁸¹ A survey of over 46,000 government public health workers in 50 states in 2017 showed an aging workforce with only 14% having a public health degree, and with 48% planning to leave or retire in the next 5 years with concerns about low pay as the leading reason.⁸² Workforce depletion has gutted frontline data collection at many local and state agencies, and resulted in fewer personnel critical for establishing testing protocols, performing contact tracing, and disseminating data during infectious disease outbreaks.

All levels of government will be forced to make difficult budget decisions in response to COVID-19, and non-COVID-19-related public health data collection may be an appealing target for budget cuts.⁸³ Public health tracking is often invisible, and the cuts may not be immediately felt by constituents or may garner little attention — until the next public health crisis, such as a hurricane, heat wave, wildfire, or the discovery of contaminants leaching into a city’s drinking water.

Rather than focusing on budget cuts, decisionmakers have an opportunity to use this crisis to reinvest in public health surveillance and community resilience initiatives, including public investments to boost the economy while building a modern public health infrastructure. There are myriad opportunities for investment, including: improving the integration of data across

⁷⁹ Trust for America’s Health. 2020. *The Impact of Chronic Underfunding on America’s Public Health System: Trends, Risks, and Recommendations*. Accessed on June 11, 2020. <https://www.tfah.org/report-details/publichealthfunding2020/>.

⁸⁰ Congressional Research Service. 2017. “The ACA Prevention and Public Health Fund: In Brief.” Accessed on June 11, 2020. <https://fas.org/sgp/crs/misc/R44796.pdf>.

⁸¹ National Association of County and City Health Officials. 2018. “The 2018 Forces of Change in America’s Local Public Health System.” Accessed on June 11 2020. https://www.naccho.org/uploads/downloadable-resources/FINAL_2018-Forces-of-Change-Press-Release_12.17.18.pdf.

⁸² Bogaert, Kyle, Castrucci, Brian C., Gould, Elizabeth, Sellers, Katie, and Leider, Jonathon P. 2019. “Changes in the State Governmental Public Health Workforce: Demographics and Perceptions, 2014-2017.” *Journal of Public Health Management and Practice*: 25: S58-S66. doi: 10.1097/PHH.0000000000000933.

⁸³ Barbosa, Tony. 2020. “Coronavirus is stalling air quality, pollution rules, even in eco-minded California.” *Los Angeles Times*, May 13 2020. <https://www.latimes.com/california/story/2020-05-13/coronavirus-air-quality-climate-regulation>.

clinical systems, including electronic health records⁸⁴; bolstering health surveillance efforts to gather more spatially and temporally refined data, via both health surveys and clinical data⁸⁵; investing in the personnel and technologies needed to expedite the processing of health data and move closer to real-time surveillance⁸⁶; and expansion and enforcement of reportable conditions.⁸⁷

Investments should include Funding community engagement as a routine function of all public health surveillance and response efforts⁸⁸; investing in emerging technologies for digital disease detection⁸⁹; and funding citizen science activities as a means of improving data collection, data literacy, and community engagement.⁹⁰

Finally, tackling the investment opportunities above will require rebuilding the nation's public health workforce. Focusing workforce development efforts on underrepresented and impacted communities⁹¹ will bring new insights into public health surveillance, and bridge with efforts to improve community resilience.

VI. Conclusion

Existing environmental health disparities—the unequal distribution of hazardous environmental exposures and related health conditions—have exacerbated the vulnerability of low-income and communities of color during the COVID-19 pandemic. Our current public health data infrastructure has not met the challenge of identifying and protecting vulnerable communities, nor of supporting a reduction in health disparities by addressing the underlying social and environmental conditions contributing to disproportionate disease burdens in these communities before the pandemic began. For these reasons, investments must be made in environmental and

⁸⁴ Klompas M, McVetta J, Lazarus R, et al. 2012. “Integrating clinical practice and public health surveillance using electronic medical record systems.” *American Journal of Public Health*: 102 Suppl 3: S325-S332. doi:10.2105/AJPH.2012.300811.

⁸⁵ Castrucci, Brian C., Rhoades, Elizabeth K., Leider, Jonathon P., and Hearne, Shelley. 2015. “What Gets Measured Gets Done.” *Journal of Public Health Management and Practice*: 21:S38-S48. doi: 10.1097/PHH.0000000000000169.

⁸⁶ Office of Public Health Scientific Services, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. 2018. *Public Health Surveillance: Preparing for the Future*. Atlanta, GA: Centers for Disease Control and Prevention.

⁸⁷ Krieger N, Chen JT, Waterman PD, Kiang MV, and Feldman J.2015. “Police Killings and Police Deaths Are Public Health Data and Can Be Counted.” *PLOS Medicine* 12(12): e1001915. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pmed.1001915>.

⁸⁸ Ramsbottom, A., O'Brien, E., Ciotti, L. et al. 2018. “Enablers and Barriers to Community Engagement in Public Health Emergency Preparedness: A Literature Review.” *Journal of Community Health* 43: 412–420. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10900-017-0415-7>.

⁸⁹ Edelstein M, Lee LM, Herten-Crabb A, Heymann DL, and Harper DR. 2018. “Strengthening Global Public Health Surveillance through Data and Benefit Sharing.” *Emerging Infectious Diseases* 24 n7:1324-1330. <https://dx.doi.org/10.3201/eid2407.151830>.

⁹⁰ Den Broeder L, Devilee J, Van Oers H, Schuit AJ, and Wagemakers A. 2018. “Citizen Science for public health.” *Health Promotion International* 33 n3: 505-514. doi:10.1093/heapro/daw086.

⁹¹ Association of Schools of Public Health (ASPH). 2008. “ASPH Policy Brief: Confronting the Public Health Workforce Crisis.” ASPH, December 2008. <https://www.healthpolicyfellows.org/pdfs/ConfrontingthePublicHealthWorkforceCrisisbyASPH.pdf>

public health data tracking systems to restructure and realign these systems with community priorities to reduce health disparities and to strengthen community resilience before the next public health emergency.

VII. Acknowledgments

We thank Comite Civico del Valle, Imperial CAMN Community Steering Committee members, and our other Imperial CAMN project partners for the collective work described here. We also thank the members of our Tracking Implementation Advisory Group for providing multi-stakeholder guidance to inform our programmatic priorities and approach. We would also like to acknowledge the input of Paul English, Alexa Wilkie and Michelle Wong on earlier drafts of this article.

VIII. Author Disclosure Statement

Several of the authors are paid staff members of Tracking California, which receives federal funding from CDC's Environmental Public Health Tracking Program and state funding through subcontracts with AB 617 Community Grants recipients. The authors have no other conflicts of interest to disclose.

IX. Tables and Figures

See separate attachments for Figures 1, 2, 3 and 4.