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#### Abstract

A lack of selectivity of classical agonists for the nicotinic acetylcholine receptors (nAChR) has prompted us to identify and develop a distinct scaffold of $a 7 \mathrm{nAChR}$-selective ligands. Noncanonical 2,4,6-substituted pyrimidine analogues were framed around compound 40 for a structure-activity relationship study. The new lead compounds activate selectively the $a 7$ nAChRs with $\mathrm{EC}_{50}$ 's between 30 and 140 nM in a PNU-120596-dependent, cell-based calcium influx assay. After characterizing the expanded lead landscape, we ranked the compounds for rapid activation using Xenopus oocytes expressing human $a 7 \mathrm{nAChR}$ with a two-electrode voltage clamp. This approach enabled us to define the molecular determinants governing rapid activation, agonist potency, and desensitization of $a 7 \mathrm{nAChRs}$ after exposure to pyrimidine analogues, thereby distinguishing this subclass of noncanonical agonists from previously defined types of agonists (agonists, partial agonists, silent agonists, and ago-PAMs). By NMR, we analyzed $\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}$ values for ionization of lead candidates, demonstrating distinctive modes of interaction for this landscape of ligands.


## Graphical Abstract

[^0]
## Non-canonical $\alpha 7$ nAChR agonists



## Fluorescence assay $\longrightarrow$ Electrophysiology

## INTRODUCTION

Nicotinic acetylcholine receptors (nAChRs) belong to a pentameric ligand-gated ion channel superfamily and are among the most well-studied allosteric transmembrane signaling proteins. ${ }^{1,2}$ They are subclassified as muscle and neuronal receptors, and the latter are widely distributed in the peripheral autonomic and the central nervous systems, as well as in some nonneuronal cell types. nAChRs are predominantly found in brain on presynaptic terminals modulating the release of other neurotransmitters. ${ }^{3}$ The orthosteric and allosteric sites and functions of nAChRs have made them potential candidate targets for treating progressive brain disorders of aging and development such as Alzheimer's, ${ }^{4-6}$ Parkinson's, 7,8 and schizophrenia, ${ }^{9,10}$ as well as inflammation. ${ }^{11}$ One of the most abundant neuronal nAChRs is the homopentameric $a 7$ subtype. As such, it is comprised of five identical $a 7$ subunits with five potential orthosteric binding sites, which are located at the respective subunit interfaces of the extracellular domain. The $a 7 \mathrm{nAChR}$ has unique pharmacological recognition and electrophysiological response properties when compared to other subtypes; for example, it retains relatively low affinity for nicotine or acetylcholine in the desensitized state, is activated by choline, displays high permeability for calcium, and exhibits rapid desensitization when exposed to high concentrations of the agonist. ${ }^{12,13}$ The $a 7 \mathrm{nAChR}$ is highly expressed in the hippocampus and prefrontal cortex, where it modulates cognition, sensory processing, working memory, and attention. ${ }^{14,15}$ Activation of this subtype of nAChR can enhance attention and cognition in animal models. ${ }^{10,15}$ More recently the $a 7$ nAChR has been explored as a target for inflammation via metabotropic activation in immune cells where it regulates the cholinergic anti-inflammatory pathway. ${ }^{11,16-18}$

Ligand binding to the orthosteric binding site of neuronal and nonneuronal nAChRs requires a cationic center and usually a hydrogen bond donor (HBD) or acceptor (HBA). ${ }^{19}$
Horenstein et al. reported three chemical motifs to achieve $a 7 \mathrm{nAChR}$ selectivity: the
benzylidene motif, tropane motif, and choline motif. ${ }^{20}$ Incorporating a hydrophobic feature, such as a single methyl, as with tropane, or a large aromatic group such as the benzylidene in GTS-21, 5,9 in addition to a cationic center can convert a nonselective agonist into a more a7-selective drug. Despite these considerations, more detailed clinical studies have often resulted in leads lacking sufficient selectivity among other nAChR subtypes and a 5hydroxytryptamine ( $5-\mathrm{HT}_{3 \mathrm{~A}}$ ) ion channel receptor. Accordingly, critical side effects or limited efficacy at the doses used become manifest. GTS-21, ${ }^{5,9}$ MEM3454 (RG3487), ${ }^{15,21}$ Encenicline (EVP-6124), 22 and TC-5619 ${ }^{10,23}$ are examples of leads with these characteristics that have been brought to phase 1 and 2 clinical trials (Figure 1).

In previous work, ${ }^{24}$ we identified a small subgroup of 4,6-disubstituted-2-aminopyrimidines that interact with the acetylcholine binding protein (AChBP) ${ }^{25,26}$ and selectively activate $a 7$ nAChRs in a cell-based calcium influx assay. ${ }^{27}$ Moreover, these ligands are structurally distinct from classical nicotinic agonists, where the nitrogens residing on the pyrimidine and pyridine rings all are weakly basic. We hypothesize, based on the structures, when compared to prototypical agonists, that these ligands show limited protonation at physiological pH , thus minimizing classical cation $-\pi$ interactions. ${ }^{28}$ Furthermore, a cell-based calcium influx assay has a prolonged time-frame, so inclusion of a type II positive allosteric modulator (PAM), such as PNU-120596 was required for signal detection. An open question remains as to whether these compounds activate the $a 7 \mathrm{nAChR}$ in the absence of PNU-120596 and, accordingly, differ from silent agonists ${ }^{18,29}$ or ago-PAMs. ${ }^{30,31}$ Our current measurements in the oocyte system not only indicate agonist activity without the presence of PNU-120596, but also reveal that the kinetics of activation, efficacy, and recovery from desensitization differ within this pyrimidine subfamily from the classic $a 7 \mathrm{nAChR}$ agonists described to date.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

## Synthetic Strategies and Distinguishing Chemistry.

Based on our crystallographic studies with AChBP, ${ }^{24}$ in complex with the di(2-picolyl) amine ligand series, we identified key ligand features for influencing AChBP affinity. Along with the di(2-picolyl) amine system capturing the carbonyl and indole regions of Trp143 of $L s A C h B P$, major contributions were attributed to the pyrimidine ring nitrogen and the pyrimidine position $2 \mathrm{NH}_{2}$ substituent. With the understanding of importance of the two latter positions, we modified the 2 position by introducing relatively small functional groups with varying electronic influences. Starting with symmetric commercially available pyrimidine precursors, aromatic nucleophilic substitution was performed with 4,6-dichloro-2-(methylthio)pyrimidine, 4,6-dichloropyrimidine, or 4,6-dichloro-2(trifluoromethyl)pyrimidine to obtain intermediate B-1 (91\% yield), B-2 (64\% yield), or intermediate B-3 ( $93 \%$ yield), respectively. Crosscoupling Suzuki reactions utilizing a choice of boronic acid, $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{dppf}) \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, and as a base $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ in $N, N$-dimethylacetamide (DMA) yielded compounds 56-59, 62-63 (Table 1). For compounds 60-61 (Table 1) different coupling conditions were used, namely, $\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4}$ as a catalyst, $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ as a base in tetrahydrofuran (THF). A total of eight compounds were synthesized via these two steps.

Compounds 64-65 (Table 1) required an additional oxidation step using m-CPBA (Scheme 1).

Recognizing the importance of the di(2-picolyl) amine substituent, we confirmed the need for both pyridines that maintain an axis of symmetry in this part of the structure. Following this concept, we synthesized compound 75 (Scheme 2, Table 1). An additional step was added to assemble $N$-benzyl-1-(pyridin-2-yl)methanamine by a direct reductive amination that afforded B-4. Purification of this amine presented a challenge, where the best option seemed to be utilizing oxalic acid to generate the protonated secondary amine. After isolation in $67 \%$ yield, the amine was reacted with 2 -amino-4,6-dichloropyrimidine to give intermediate B-5 in $36 \%$ yield. Suzuki coupling was performed utilizing $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{dppf}) \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ in DMA to yield $83 \%$ of a white solid compound 75 (Scheme 2). Based on the previous lead, compound 40, we continued to expand our landscape with modifications in position 6. Initially, we sampled mostly the -ortho position of the aromatic substituent in combination with different variants of the -para substituents. Our intent was to increase hydrophobicity in this part of the structure, as, according to the earlier studies, this substitution emerged as an important feature to achieve selectivity with respect to other nicotinic receptors. ${ }^{20}$ Synthesis of the B-21 intermediate has been previously described. ${ }^{24}$ Coupling condition (b) was utilized to obtain compounds 67-69 (Table 1) and 71-72 (Table 1) in good to excellent yields. In the case of compound 70, no traces of the expected product were observed leading to reaction condition modification by applying $\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4}$ as a catalyst, and $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ as a base in THF. Compound 70 (Table 1) was successfully obtained, albeit in low, $27 \%$ yield. Similar coupling conditions were used to produce compounds 7374 (Table 1).

Because basicity of the pyrimidine nitrogen in the series should be affected by the adjacent substituent in position 6, we introduced a nonaromatic moiety to increase the $\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}$ in the pyrimidine (calculations performed in MarvinSketch 17.27). Consequently, in compound 66 (Table 1), we introduced morpholine as a substituent via an aromatic substitution performed under microwave irradiation (Scheme 3). ${ }^{32}$

## Effects of Divergent Substitutions, Using nAChR Cell-Based Neurotransmitter Fluorescent Engineered Reporters for Structure-Activity Analysis.

As a screen of moderate throughput, and as a first analyzing step, we utilized HEK stable cell lines expressing $a 7$ and $a 4 \beta 2$ nAChRs and $5-\mathrm{HT}_{3 \mathrm{~A}}$ receptors with the TN-XXL calcium reporter biosensor. ${ }^{27}$ The cell-based neurotransmitter fluorescent-engineered reporters (CNiFERs) measure changes in fluorescence by $\mathrm{Ca}^{2+}$ binding as a result of $\mathrm{Ca}^{2+}$ influx from agonist activation. Because of the desensitizing profile of a7nAChR, PNU-120596 was required in initial $a 7 \mathrm{nAChR}$ screens. Saturation of the genetically encoded fluorescence biosensor can occur, and this may lead to a misinterpretation of efficacy and potency; therefore, we treated this evaluation as a first step to detect well-suited candidates for electrophysiologic analysis.

Out of the 23 present compounds, 19 activated the human $a 7 \mathrm{nAChRs}$; accordingly activation was normalized to a 316 nM response of ( $\pm$ )-epibatidine (Figure 2). As a
preliminary structure-activity relationship analysis and to explore how different substitutions in the 2 position affect the response, we compared compound $\mathbf{4 0}^{\mathbf{2 4}}\left(\mathrm{EC}_{50}=70\right.$ $\mathrm{nM})$ with compounds $56\left(\mathrm{EC}_{50}=110 \mathrm{nM}\right), \mathbf{5 8}\left(\mathrm{EC}_{50}=140 \mathrm{nM}\right)$, and $62\left(\mathrm{EC}_{50}=530 \mathrm{nM}\right)$.
A decrement of 2- to 8 -fold in potency and a modest decrement in the efficacy were observed (Table 1, Figure 2A). In our previous study, ${ }^{24}$ utilizing the structural surrogate $L s$ AChBP in the complex with compound 40 (PDB ID 5j5h), we observed a $2.5-3.5 \AA$ hydrogen bonding distance from the conserved Tyr 164 in the $a 7 \mathrm{nAChR}$ to the $\mathrm{NH}_{2}$ group. Although the same interaction might not be present in compounds $\mathbf{5 6}$ and 58, compounds 62, 64, and 65 should form hydrogen bonds, ${ }^{33,34}$ perhaps constrained by parameters of distance, geometry, and molecular dimensions. Compounds $57\left(\mathrm{EC}_{50}=1.8 \mu \mathrm{M}\right), 59\left(\mathrm{EC}_{50}=\right.$ $2.1 \mu \mathrm{M})$, and $63\left(\mathrm{EC}_{50}=2.5 \mu \mathrm{M}\right)$, when compared to compound $44^{24}\left(\mathrm{EC}_{50}=500 \mathrm{nM}\right)$, present a $\sim 4$-fold decrease in potency, underlining sensitivity of this region of the complex and appropriate heterocyclic aromatic group in position 6 , and the stabilization by an additional hydrogen bond in position 2 to increase potency. When the aromatic group was replaced by the nonaromatic heterocyclic moiety in 66, a diminished interaction was detected in all the three different cell lines, despite increased basicity of the pyrimidine ring nitrogen compared to analogs 58-61, (MarvinSketch $\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}} 6.75$ for $\mathbf{6 6}, 4.56$ for $\mathbf{5 8}, 4.71$ for $\mathbf{5 9}, 3.53$ for $\mathbf{6 0}$, and 3.48 for $\mathbf{6 1}$ ). This suggested that basicity of the pyrimidine ring nitrogen does not suffice for this series to maintain affinity/potency to the studied receptors. Rather, the ligand system requires additional hydrophobic interactions offered by aromatic substituents in position 6 of the pyrimidine ring. A similar loss of agonist activity was found by symmetry disruption in the di-2-picolyl system. As demonstrated for compound 75, activation of $a 7 \mathrm{nAChRs}$ was abolished up to concentrations as high as $13.3 \mu \mathrm{M}$, confirming the need for a bidentate system, such as the di(2-picolyl) group, to achieve activation. Interestingly, compound 75 inhibited both $a 4 \beta 2 \mathrm{nAChR}$ and $5-\mathrm{HT}_{3 \mathrm{~A}}$ receptors. The di(2picolyl) moiety appears as a key pharmacophore feature for $a 7 \mathrm{nAChR}$ activation but not necessarily antagonism. When the $2-\mathrm{NH}_{2}$ group was replaced by hydrophobic moieties in $\mathbf{5 6}$ and 62, antagonism of $a 4 \beta 2 \mathrm{nAChR}$ and $5-\mathrm{HT}_{3 \mathrm{~A}}$ serotonin receptors increased. Compounds 64 and 65 exhibited an approximately 7 -fold decrease in potency and maintained the selectivity to some degree, suggesting that large polar substituents at position 2 of the pyrimidine reduce potency (Table 1, Figure 2A).

An increase in hydrophobicity and atom size at the -ortho position of the phenyl substituent in position 6 of the pyrimidine ring led to an increment in antagonism of $a 4 \beta 2$ nicotinic and $5-\mathrm{HT}_{3 \mathrm{~A}}$ receptors, as well as a loss in potency in $a 7 \mathrm{nAChRs}(\mathbf{4 0}$ vs $\mathbf{7 1}$ and $\mathbf{7 2}$, Table 1, Figure 2B). Substitution with fluorine in compound 74 was aimed at maintaining hydrogen bond accepting properties at the -ortho position while modulating lipophilicity of the ligand. Ligand 74 gave increased potency compared to $\mathbf{4 1}$, with an $\mathrm{EC}_{50}$ of 30 nM . The key replacement in compound 74 was a swap of a methoxy group for fluorine. Fluorine can act as a bioisostere of a methoxy group if the binding pocket can accommodate the $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ group. ${ }^{35}$ A fluorine substitution often leads to improved permeability and metabolic stability, both parameters are of great importance for drug development. ${ }^{35,36}$

## Electrophysiological Analysis.

Based on CNiFER results, four compounds were selected for fast activation analysis: compounds 58, 60, and 74, in addition to the previously described ${ }^{24}$ compound $\mathbf{4 0}$. Electrophysiological characterization was performed in Xenopus oocytes expressing human neuronal $a 7 \mathrm{nAChR}$ with a two-electrode voltage clamp. Initially, the four compounds were tested at $30 \mu \mathrm{M}$ (Figure 3); the test responses were normalized to the average of two $60 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ACh precontrol responses for each oocyte. A single-concentration analysis, when an appropriate concentration is used, can provide information of potency and efficacy based on the peak current to net charge ratio, and facilitates a further concentration-response analysis. ${ }^{37}$ The four compounds activated the $a 7 \mathrm{nAChR}$ at the standard single concentration; peak current measurements were compared, and the responses were significantly larger for all compounds than those for the $60 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ACh controls (Figure 3), reflecting high potency of the four compounds. ${ }^{37}$ After a washing period of 181 s with Ringer's solution, $60 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ACh was applied, and minimal activation of the receptor was detected for all the compounds (Figure 3), suggesting a low recovery of the receptor and potentially a stabilization of a closed channel, desensitized state. The type II PAM PNU-120596 affects the peak current responses and the kinetics of the agonist response by binding to the transmembrane domain and destabilizing some of the desensitized states. ${ }^{38}$ Therefore, to demonstrate whether the pyrimidine analogs induced stabilization of a PAM-sensitive closed, desensitized state ( $D_{\mathrm{s}}$ ), $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ PNU-120596 was applied. As shown in Figure 3, the receptors desensitized by the four compounds responded to PNU-120596, with compounds 58, 60, and $\mathbf{7 4}$ showing a marked increase over the $60 \mu \mathrm{M} \mathrm{ACh}$ precontrol responses. Despite the stabilization of a PAM-sensitive $D_{\mathrm{S}}$ state by these compounds, thereby resembling silent agonists, the pyrimidines differ by having significant activation when PNU-120596 is absent.

An alternative allosteric binding site in the extracellular vestibule of the $a 7 \mathrm{nAChR}$ has been suggested to be involved with PNU-dependent reactivation of desensitized receptors. ${ }^{39}$ This site was first identified as being one of the two binding sites recognized by the ago-PAM GAT107, the other site being a site in the transmembrane domains that is shared with other PAMs such as PNU-120596 or TQS. ${ }^{40}$ A further detailed electrophysiological analysis, outside the scope of the present study, indicates that the $2,4,6$-substituted pyrimidine analogues may also depend on this allosteric activation site. Concentration-response curves of selected compounds are shown in Figure 4a, based on the net charge, rather than peakcurrent, analysis. Because of the rapid desensitization of $a 7$ receptors by high agonist concentrations, peak currents often occur before completing application of the drug, so that increases in the peak current do not reflect increased receptor activation, but rather the synchronization of channel opening events. ${ }^{41}$ Net charge analysis avoids this artifact. These results are consistent with single-concentration analysis; compound 74 was the most potent with an $\mathrm{EC}_{50}$ of $0.11 \pm 0.03 \mu \mathrm{M}$ and $I_{\max }=0.84 \pm 0.03$ of the ACh maximum, followed by compound 40 with an $\mathrm{EC}_{50}$ of $0.35 \pm 0.11 \mu \mathrm{M}$ and $I_{\max }=0.98 \pm 0.08$. Compound $\mathbf{6 0}$ showed a partial agonist profile with an $\mathrm{EC}_{50}$ of $0.60 \pm 0.15 \mu \mathrm{M}$ and $I_{\max }=0.53 \pm 0.04$.

The least potent compound analyzed electrophysiologically was compound 58, with an $\mathrm{EC}_{50}$ of $3.35 \pm 0.68 \mu \mathrm{M}$ showing a full agonistic profile with an $I_{\max }=0.89 \pm 0.07$. All compounds followed the same potency order in single-concentration analysis and the
calcium CNiFER assay, suggesting that the $\mathrm{NH}_{2}$ group in position 2 enhances potency. Characterization of the inhibition was made by adding a single concentration of ACh, 60 $\mu \mathrm{M}$, after application of compounds (Figure 4b). Inhibition followed the potency order: compound 74 with an $\mathrm{IC}_{50}=0.47 \pm 0.20,40 \mathrm{IC}_{50}=0.37 \pm 0.15,60 \mathrm{IC}_{50}=1.3 \pm 0.30$, and $58 \mathrm{IC}_{50}=3.5 \pm 1.0 \mu \mathrm{M}$, confirming the binding of these compounds in the orthosteric site.

To increase our selectivity profile and confirm previous CNiFER results with the $a 4 \beta 2$ nAChR , all compounds were assayed at $30 \mu \mathrm{M}$ against four heteromeric receptors: the stoichiometry differing between the human high sensitivity (HS) and low sensitivity (LS) forms of $a 4 \beta 2^{42}, a 3 \beta 4$, and the mouse muscle $a 1 \beta 1 \varepsilon \delta$ nAChRs are expressed in Xenopus oocytes utilizing the two-electrode voltage clamp system. The four compounds showed high selectivity for the $a 7$ subtype with minimal to no activation on heteromeric nAChRs (Figure 5), confirming our previous findings. Compound $\mathbf{4 0}$ showed more inhibition of the four receptors when coapplied with ACh , especially in the mouse muscle $a 1 \beta 1 \varepsilon \delta$ and human $a 3 \beta 4$ nAChR (Figure 5). Structurally, pyrimidine analogs 40 and 74, with $\mathrm{NH}_{2}$ in position 2, appeared to inhibit the $a 1 \beta 1 \varepsilon \delta$ and $a 3 \beta 4$ nAChRs more than their two congeners, $\mathbf{5 8}$ and 60. There was no notable preference for inhibition of one of the two isoforms of the $a 4 \beta 2$ nAChR . When recovery of the receptor was assessed by control application of ACh ( $30 \mu \mathrm{M}$ for $a 1 \beta 1 \varepsilon \delta, 100 \mu \mathrm{M}$ for $a 3 \beta 4,100 \mu \mathrm{M}$ for LS $a 4 \beta 2$, and $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ for HS $a 4 \beta 2$ ), $a 3 \beta 4$ and the LS and HS forms of $a 4 \beta 2 \mathrm{nAChRs}$ appeared to recover faster than the muscle receptor, which remained inhibited after the wash period (Figure 5).

## $\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}$ Determinations and in Silico Physico-chemical Properties.

$\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}$ values were determined utilizing ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR by measuring chemical shifts when the neighboring nuclei protonate. ${ }^{43,44}$ This technique has been reported to be a highly reliable alternative for $\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}$ assessments when compared to UV-spectroscopy, potentiometric measurements, or in silico estimates. ${ }^{45,46}$ Our samples were prepared in phosphate buffer (PB) over pH ranges of 1.85-9.56 for nicotine, 2.62-8.51 for compounds 40 and $\mathbf{7 4}$, and 2.65-7.53 for compound $\mathbf{6 0}$. No $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ to suppress the $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ signals was employed to avoid changes in the chemical shifts. Compound 40 was prepared at a final concentration of 1 mM and compounds 60 and 74 at 0.25 mM . Nicotine was used as a control; final concentration over the pH range $9.56-3.28$ was $20 \mathrm{mM} ; 10 \mathrm{mM}$ of nicotine was utilized from pH 3.61 to 1.85. Chemical shifts were plotted against pH forming a sigmoidal curve (Supporting Information). $\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}$ 's were obtained from the inflection points as previously described; ${ }^{44}$ $\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}$ 's and standard deviations are reported as means when two or more vicinal hydrogens were plotted. We did not measure the values below pH 2.65 to avoid compound degradation. This did not allow us to reach the plateau of the sigmoidal curve for compound $\mathbf{6 0}$ (Supporting Information).

Compounds 40 and 74 containing the 2 -amino moiety showed a pKa between 6.85 and 6.68 , respectively, from the pyrimidine system; our results argue that only a small fraction of the two compounds will be protonated at physiological pH . When fluorine (compound 74) was introduced in the orthoposition, a decrease in the $\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}$ of the vicinal pyrimidine took place, as suggested in previous reports. ${ }^{36}$ When the $2-\mathrm{NH}_{2}$ entity was substituted by a hydrogen in
compound 60 , the $\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}$ of the pyrimidine underwent a major drop of $\sim 3.25$, underlying the 2$\mathrm{NH}_{2}$ entity as a contributor to increase basicity in the pyrimidine system (Table 2).

Two hydrogens of the pyridines in compounds $\mathbf{4 0}$ and $\mathbf{7 4}$ were followed by NMR. $\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}$ from the pyridine system in the three compounds varied from 4.1 to 4.3. We compared our results with in silico analysis utilizing Marvin Sketch software. ${ }^{47}$ In silico profiles suggested that protonation occur on the endocyclic nitrogens of the pyrimidine system. Previous studies by Schiebel et al. ${ }^{48}$ demonstrated protonation by nuclear diffraction, most likely to occur in an endocyclic nitrogen when exocyclic nitrogen is also available. The $\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}$ 's of ionizable moieties in the three compounds exhibited marked differences when compared to nicotine.

Drug-likeliness of analogues $\mathbf{4 0}, \mathbf{6 0}$, and $\mathbf{7 4}$ was determined in silico utilizing Marvin Sketch software (Table 2). ${ }^{47}$ Clog $P$, HBDs and HBAs, and molecular weight (MW) of analyzed analogues are in the range of "Lipinski's rule of 5 ". ${ }^{49}$ The polar surface area (PSA) of 2$\mathrm{NH}_{2}$ analogues $\mathbf{4 0}$ and $\mathbf{7 4}$ increases when compared to that of compound $\mathbf{6 0}$.

## CONCLUSIONS

Herein we present the synthesis and pharmacological characterization of a noncanonical structural landscape of ligands for activation of $a 7 \mathrm{nAChRs}$ that contains a pyrimidine center to which functionalization of positions 2,4 , and 6 was made. Amino substitution in position 2 is important for enhancing activity of the series (Table 1), yet removal or substitution of the 2 -amino motif does not eliminate submicromolar agonist responses (Table 1). For the $a 7$ agonist activity a required di(2-picolyl) amine substitution was made at the 4 position of the pyrimidine ring. The symmetry of the di(2-picolyl) amine moiety is critical for activation of the $a 7 \mathrm{nAChR}$ as seen in compound $\mathbf{7 5}$. Functionalization of aromatic substitution in position 6 plays an important role in modulating the activity and selectivity.

Electrophysiological measurements confirmed activation of the $a 7 \mathrm{nAChR}$ without the presence of PNU-120596, differing from the responses of silent agonists. Nevertheless, evaluation revealed stabilization of a desensitized PNU-sensitive closed state of the a7 nAChR by the leads. These results, as well as the fact that the structures differ from classical agonists, opens up the possibility of occupation of both the orthosteric and potential allosteric binding sites. ${ }^{39}$ A more detailed electrophysiological analysis beyond the scope of this article, directed to the chemical characterization of the series, is necessary to address these alternatives. Nevertheless, it is clear that this family of ligands differ from other agonist classifications in terms of activation and desensitization.

Multiple endocyclic (pyrimidine and pyridine) and exocyclic nitrogens at the 2 and 4 positions are found in this landscape. Our titrations of chemical shifts in ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR reveal that all of the nitrogens exist predominantly as neutral, nonionized species at physiological pH values (Table 2). This contrasts with the classical nicotinic agonists with a separated two ring system, like nicotine, cytisine or epibatidine, one of which contains a strong base and the other a HBD or HBA (Figure 1). It appears from the titration data that the $2-\mathrm{NH}_{2}$ group on the pyrimidine will contribute to increasing the basicity in the pyrimidine system (Table 2). In silico analysis utilizing Marvin Sketch (Version 17.27.0) suggests that protonation
may occur at endocyclic nitrogens (Supporting Information), as supported by previously published studies, ${ }^{48,50}$ where calculation and nuclear diffraction identified endocyclic nitrogens in 2-aminopyridine and 2-aminopyrimidine as the more stable tautomer. Also, the lack of a dominant cationic species with a high $\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}$ should facilitate disposition of these compounds at tissue target sites and the potential crossing of the blood-brain barrier to achieve CNS activities and perhaps possible side effects; physicochemical properties of lead compounds are within threshold values for membrane permeation and oral bioavailability. 49,51

A combination of a screen of moderate throughput, followed by detailed electrophysiological measurements of currents, has enabled us to identify a series of noncanonical selective nAChR agonists. Certainly, this new library of compounds affords some interesting new possibilities and refreshes the concepts of ligand design for nAChRs.

## EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

## Materials.

Epibatidine (Tocris, batch no. 14B/181649), PNU-120596 (Tocris, batch no. 3A/210007 and 3A/210046), MLA (Tocris, batch no. 20A/164724), 5-HT (Tocris, batch no. 2A/201353 and 2B/226517), tropisetron (Tocris, batch no. 2B/214070), $\mathrm{DH} \beta \mathrm{E}$ (Tocris, batch no. 11A/ 219484), fetal bovine serum (FBS; Gibco, lot: 834471), and glutamine (Gibco, lot: 1894162). Reagents and solvents used for chemical synthesis and for buffer preparation were purchased from commercial sources in the highest purity available and used without further purification. All the final compounds submitted for screening had a purity of $95 \%$ or higher [high-performance liquid chromatography-mass spectrometry (HPLC-MS)], and chemical structures were confirmed by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR and HRMS (Supporting Information). For NMR assessment a 600 MHz NMR spectrometer and Bruker TopSpin 2.1.6 software was used. An Agilent 1260 liquid chromatography (LC) system coupled with a Thermo LCQdeca mass spectrometer was employed for LC-MS analysis. The electrospray ionization (ESI) source was operated under positive ion mode. An Agilent Zorbax SB-C18 column (ID $4.6 \mathrm{~mm} \times$ length 150 mm , particle size $3.5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ ) was utilized for LC separation using water with $0.05 \%$ trifluoroacetic acid (TFA) as mobile phase A and acetonitrile with $0.05 \%$ TFA as mobile phase B. The LC flow rate was set at $1.0 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$. The LC gradient setting is as follows: $0 \mathrm{~min}: 5 \%$ mobile phase $\mathrm{B}, 12 \mathrm{~min}: 95 \%$ mobile phase $\mathrm{B}, 14 \mathrm{~min}: 95 \%$ mobile phase B, 15 min : $5 \%$ mobile phase $B$, and 20 min : $5 \%$ mobile phase $B$. The total runtime was 20 min . High resolution mass spectrometry (HRMS) data were acquired by using an Agilent 6230 time of flight mass spectrometer with a Jetstream electrospray ionization source.

## Syntheses.

## 6-Chloro-2-(methylthio)-N,N-bis(pyridin-2ylmethyl)-pyrimidin-4-amine (B-1).-

 Di(2-picolyl)amine ( $1.12 \mathrm{~g}, 5.63 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added to a solution of 4,6-dichloro-2(methylthio)pyrimidine ( $1.00 \mathrm{~g}, 5.01 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and $N, N$-diisopropylethylamine ( $0.73 \mathrm{~mL}, 5.63$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ in DMF ( 8.0 mL ) and the solution was stirred at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3.5 h [reaction was monitored by thin layer chromatography (TLC)]. The solvent was removed under reducedpressure, brine was added, and the mixture was extracted with ethyl acetate ( $3 \times 40 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The organic layers were washed with brine, dried over anhydrous sodium sulfate, and filtered. The filtrate was concentrated on a rotary evaporator, and the product was purified by column chromatography using silica gel (hexanes/EtOAc 3:2) or by crystallization. After purification a white solid was obtained in a $91 \%$ yield. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 600 MHz , chloroform- $d$ ): $\delta 2.37(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.74(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.14(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.22(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.26-7.12(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.64(\mathrm{td}, J=7.7$, $1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.54(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H})$. HR-ESI-TOFMS: $\left[\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{ClN}_{5} \mathrm{~S}\right]^{+}, 358.0885$.

## 6-Chloro-N,N-bis(pyridin-2-ylmethyl)pyrimidin-4-amine (B-2).—Di(2-

picolyl)amine ( $1.45 \mathrm{~mL}, 8.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added to a solution of 4,6-dichloropyrimidine ( 1.00 $\mathrm{g}, 6.70 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and $N, N$-diisopropylethylamine ( $1.40 \mathrm{~mL}, 8.00 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in DMF ( 9.0 mL ) and the solution was stirred at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1.5 h (reaction was monitored by TLC). The solvent was removed under reduced pressure, brine was added, and the mixture was extracted with ethyl acetate $(3 \times 40 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic layers were washed with brine, dried over anhydrous sodium sulfate, and filtered. The filtrate was concentrated on a rotary evaporator, and the product was purified by column chromatography using silica gel and hexanes/EtOAc (1:3). After purification a white solid was obtained in a $64 \%$ yield. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $(600 \mathrm{MHz}$, chloroform- $d$ ): $\delta 4.77(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.12(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.54(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.30-7.11(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.65$ (td, $J=$ $7.7,1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.44(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.57(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-$ APT NMR ( 151 MHz , chloroform- $d$ ): $\delta$ $53.7\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 102.2(\mathrm{CH}), 102.2(\mathrm{CH}), 122.9(\mathrm{CH}), 137.2(\mathrm{CH}), 158.3(\mathrm{CH}), 160.0(\mathrm{C}), 160.3$ (C), 163.4 (C). HR-ESI-TOFMS: $\left[\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{ClN}_{5}\right]^{+}, 312.1007$.

## 6-Chloro-N,N-bis(pyridin-2-ylmethyl)-2-(trifluoromethyl)-pyrimidin-4-amine

(B-3).—Di(2-picolyl)amine ( $0.22 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.20 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added to a solution of 4,6-
dichloro-2-(trifluoromethyl)pyrimidine ( $0.22 \mathrm{~g}, 1.00 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and $N, N$-diisopropylethylamine $(0.21 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.20 \mathrm{mmol})$ in DMF $(1.5 \mathrm{~mL})$ and the solution was stirred at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3.5 h (reaction monitored by TLC). The solvent was removed under reduced pressure, brine was added, and the mixture was extracted with ethyl acetate $(3 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic layers were washed with brine, dried over anhydrous sodium sulfate, and filtered. The filtrate was concentrated on a rotary evaporator, and the product was purified by column chromatography using silica gel (hexanes/EtOAc 7:3). After purification a white solid was obtained in a $93 \%$ yield. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 600 MHz , chloroform- $d$ ): $\delta 4.87(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.15(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $6.66(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.17(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.26-7.19(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.42(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.70-$ $7.62(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.57(\mathrm{~d}, J=38.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{APT}$ NMR ( 151 MHz , chloroform- $d$ ): $\delta 55.2$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 104.0(\mathrm{CH}), 116.5-121.95\left(\mathrm{q},{ }^{1} J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=276.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CF}_{3}\right), 121.3(\mathrm{CH}), 123.1(\mathrm{CH})$, $123.2(\mathrm{CH}), 123.5(\mathrm{CH}), 149.6(\mathrm{CH}), 150.4(\mathrm{CH}), 155.3(\mathrm{C}), 156.5-155.7\left(\mathrm{q},{ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=36.96\right.$ $\mathrm{Hz}, \mathrm{C}), 156.4$ (C), 160.8 (C), 163.6 (C). HR-ESI-TOFMS: $\left[\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{ClF}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{5}\right]^{+}, 380.0879$. HPLC: $99 \%$ pure.

N-Benzyl-1-(pyridin-2-yl)methanamine (B-4).-To a solution of benzylamine ( 0.54 g , 5.00 mmol ) in 4 mL of ethanol, pyridine-2-carboxaldehyde ( $0.54 \mathrm{~g}, 5.00 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in 4.0 mL of ethanol was added. The solution was stirred overnight and boiled gently for $30 \mathrm{~min} . \mathrm{NaBH}_{4}$ $(0.25 \mathrm{~g}, 6.50 \mathrm{mmol})$ was slowly added at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After $2 \mathrm{~h}, 7 \mathrm{~mL}$ of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ was slowly added followed by addition of 20 mL of a saturated solution of $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$, bringing the solution to pH 7 , and the mixture was extracted with ethyl acetate $(3 \times 30 \mathrm{~mL})$. The filtrate was
concentrated under reduced pressure and the crude oil was purified. Oxalic acid was added ( $0.64 \mathrm{~g}, 5.04 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in 15 mL of ethanol. The salt was filtered and dissolved in 20 mL of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ followed by addition of $\mathrm{NaOH}(30 \%)$; extractions with EtOAc were made. The organic solvent was removed and B-5 (oil) was isolated in a $67 \%$ yield. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $(600 \mathrm{MHz}$, chloroform- $d$ ): $\delta 2.52(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.86(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.94(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.20-7.14(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.26(\mathrm{t}, J=7.2$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.34 (td, $J=8.4,7.9,2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.38$ (d, $J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.65 (td, $J=7.6,1.8$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.57$ (ddd, $J=4.8,1.6,0.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$. HR-ESI-TOFMS: $\left[\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{~N}_{2}\right]^{+}$, 199.1223.
$\mathrm{N}^{4}$-Benzyl-6-chloro- $\mathrm{N}^{4}$-(pyridin-2-ylmethyl)pyrimidine-2,4-diamine (B-5).- N -Benzyl-1-(pyridin-2-yl)methanamine ( $0.50 \mathrm{~g}, 2.50 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added to a solution of 2-amino-4,6-dichloropyrimidine ( $0.37 \mathrm{~g}, 2.20 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and $N, N$-diisopropylethylamine ( 0.44 $\mathrm{mL}, 2.50 \mathrm{mmol})$ in DMF $(3.4 \mathrm{~mL})$ and the solution was stirred at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ overnight. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure, brine was added, and the mixture was extracted with ethyl acetate $(3 \times 40 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic layers were washed with brine, dried over anhydrous magnesium sulfate, and filtered. The filtrate was concentrated on the rotary evaporator, and the product was purified by column chromatography using silica gel (EtOAc/MeOH 9:1). After purification a white solid was obtained in a $36 \%$ yield. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 600 MHz , chloroform-d): $\delta 4.96$ (s, 6H), 5.94 (s, 1 H ), 7.20 (dd, $J=13.8,6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.28$ (t, $J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.33 (t, $J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.64 (td, $J=7.7,1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 8.57 (d, $J=4.3$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$-APT NMR ( 151 MHz , chloroform- $d$ ): $\delta 52.7\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 92.8(\mathrm{CH}), 110.4(\mathrm{CH})$, 120.2 (CH), 122.6 (CH), 127.7 (CH), 129.0 (CH), 137.1 (CH), 149.8 (CH), 160.6 (C), 162.3 (C), 164.4 (C). HR-ESI-TOFMS: $\left[\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{ClN}_{5}\right]^{+}, 326.1165$.

6-Chloro- $\mathrm{N}^{4}, \mathrm{~N}^{4}$-bis(pyridin-2-ylmethyl)pyrimidine-2,4-diamine (B-21).-B-21 was synthesized as previously described. ${ }^{23} \mathrm{Di}(2$-picolyl)amine ( $0.44 \mathrm{~mL}, 2.20 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added to a solution of 2-amino-4,6-dichloropyrimidine $(0.33 \mathrm{~g}, 2.00 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $N, N$ diisopropylethylamine ( $0.38 \mathrm{~mL}, 2.20 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in DMF ( 3.0 mL ) and the solution was stirred at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3.5 h (reaction monitored by TLC). The solvent was removed under reduced pressure, brine was added, and the mixture was extracted with ethyl acetate ( $3 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The organic layers were washed with brine, dried over anhydrous sodium sulfate, and filtered. The filtrate was concentrated on a rotary evaporator, and the product was purified by column chromatography using silica gel (hexanes/EtOAc 7:3) or by crystallization (the compound was crystalized using ethyl acetate as solvent). After purification a white solid was obtained in a $63 \%$ yield. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 600 MHz , chloroform- $d$ ): $\delta 4.74(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.99$ (s, $4 \mathrm{H}), 5.94(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.12-7.23(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.55-7.69(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.52-8.57$ (d, $J=4.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-$ APT NMR $\left(150 \mathrm{MHz}\right.$, chloroform- $d$ ): $\delta 53.2\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 92.7(\mathrm{CH}), 122.4(\mathrm{CH}), 136.8$ (CH), 149.6 (CH), 160.4 (C), 162.1 (C), 164.1 (C), 170.1 (C). HR-ESI-MS: $\left[\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{Cl}\right]^{+}$, 327.1124.

6-(2-Methoxyphenyl)-2-(methylthio)-N,N-bis(pyridin-2-ylmethyl)pyrimidin-4amine (56).-2-Methoxyphenyl boronic acid ( $0.59 \mathrm{~g}, 3.91 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added to a solution of 6-chloro-2-(methylthio)- $N, N$-bis(pyridin-2-ylmethyl)pyrimidin-4-amine (B-1) ( 1.00 g , $2.80 \mathrm{mmol})$ in DMA ( 22 mL ), and 2 M aqueous potassium carbonate ( 4.19 mL ) was added following the addition of $\operatorname{Pd}(\mathrm{dppf}) \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(0.20 \mathrm{~g}, 0.28 \mathrm{mmol})$. The resulting mixture was stirred in a capped glass vial at $149^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ overnight. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure,
brine was added, and the mixture was extracted with ethyl acetate $(3 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic layers were dried over anhydrous magnesium sulfate, and filtered. The filtrate was concentrated under reduced pressure, and the crude product was filtered through silica gel and recrystallized in ethyl acetate to give a white solid in a $53 \%$ yield. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $(600 \mathrm{MHz}$, chloroform- $d$ ): $\delta 2.47(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.61(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.85(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.22(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.85(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.88$ (d, J $=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.02(\mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.20(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.1,5.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.34(\mathrm{t}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 7.65(\mathrm{t}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.93(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.7,1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.57(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$-APT NMR ( 151 MHz , chloroform- $d$ ): $\delta 14.2\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 53.6\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 55.3\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 99.5(\mathrm{CH}), 111.3(\mathrm{CH})$, $114.2(\mathrm{C}), 120.0(\mathrm{CH}), 120.9(\mathrm{CH}), 122.3(\mathrm{CH}), 126.9(\mathrm{C}), 130.8(\mathrm{CH}), 130.9(\mathrm{CH}), 136.9$ $(\mathrm{CH}), 157.6(\mathrm{C}), 161.5(\mathrm{C}), 162.0(\mathrm{C}), 170.63(\mathrm{C})$. HR-ESI-TOFMS: $\left[\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{OS}\right]^{+}$, 430.1692. HPLC: $99 \%$ pure.

2-(Methylthio)-N,N-bis(pyridin-2-ylmethyl)-6-(thiophen-3-yl)-pyrimidin-4-amine (57).-3-Thienylboronic acid ( $0.04 \mathrm{~g}, 0.30 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added to a solution of 6-chloro-2-(methylthio)- $N, N$-bis(pyridin-2-ylmethyl)pyrimidin-4-amine (B-1) $(0.10 \mathrm{~g}, 0.3 \mathrm{mmol})$ in DMA ( 2.0 mL ), and 2 M aqueous potassium carbonate ( 0.3 mL ) was added following the addition of $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{dppf}) \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(22 \mathrm{mg}, 0.030 \mathrm{mmol})$. The resulting mixture was stirred in a capped glass vial at $149^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ overnight. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure, brine was added, and the mixture was extracted with ethyl acetate ( $3 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The organic layers were dried over anhydrous magnesium sulfate and filtered. The filtrate was concentrated under reduced pressure and the product was isolated by column chromatography on silica gel using a Hex/EtOAc gradient (pale solid, $40 \%$ yield). ${ }^{1}$ H NMR ( 600 MHz , chloroform- $d$ ): $\delta 2.47(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.86(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.18(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.47(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.19(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.0,5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.36-$ 7.26 (m, 2H), 7.49-7.44 (m, 1H), $7.63(\mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.94(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.57(\mathrm{~s}$, $2 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$-APT NMR ( 151 MHz , chloroform- $d$ ): $\delta 14.2\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 53.7\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 94.2(\mathrm{CH}), 122.5$ (CH), 125.9 (CH), $126.0(\mathrm{CH}), 126.2$ (CH), 127.3 (CH), 127.8 (CH), 136.9 (CH), 140.7 (C), 159.1 (C), 162.4 (C), 171.3 (C). HR-ESI-TOFMS: $\left[\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{~S}_{2}\right]^{+}, 406.1152$. HPLC: $95 \%$ pure.

## 6-(3,4-Dimethoxyphenyl)- $\mathrm{N}^{4}, \mathrm{~N}^{4}$-bis(pyridin-2ylmethyl) pyrimi-dine-2,4-diamine

(58).-2-Methoxyphenylboronic acid ( $0.05 \mathrm{~g}, 0.30 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added to a solution of 6-chloro- $N, N$-bis(pyridin-2-ylmethyl)pyrimidin-4-amine (B-2) ( $0.075 \mathrm{~g}, 0.24 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in DMA $(2.0 \mathrm{~mL})$, and 2 M aqueous potassium carbonate $(0.25 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added following the addition of $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{dppf}) \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(17 \mathrm{mg}, 0.024 \mathrm{mmol})$. The resulting mixture was stirred in a capped glass vial at $149{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ overnight. DMA was removed under reduced pressure, brine was added, and the mixture was extracted with ethyl acetate $(3 \times 30 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic layers were dried over anhydrous magnesium sulfate and filtered. The filtrate was concentrated under reduced pressure and the crude product was purified by column chromatography on silica gel with $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{Cl} / \mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{OH} 100 / 1.2 / 0.2$ to give the product as a white solid in a $49 \%$ yield. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 600 MHz , chloroform- $d$ ): $\delta 3.62(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.95(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 6.92-6.87(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.10(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $1.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.04(\mathrm{t}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.20(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.0,5.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.40-7.28(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.65$ (td, $J=7.7,1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.86$ (dd, $J=7.7,1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.58(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.78$ (s, $1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 151 MHz , chloroform- $d$ ): $\delta 53.5\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 55.4\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 103.8(\mathrm{CH}), 111.4$ $(\mathrm{CH}), 121.0(\mathrm{CH}), 122.5(\mathrm{CH}), 127.1(\mathrm{C}), 129.1(\mathrm{CH}), 130.8(\mathrm{CH}), 130.9(\mathrm{CH}), 137.0(\mathrm{CH})$,
149.7 (CH), 156.4 (C), 157.4 (C), 158.2 (CH), 161.6 (C), 162.4 (C). HR-ESI-TOFMS: $\left[\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}\right]^{+}, 384.1820$. HPLC: $99 \%$ pure.

## N,N-Bis(pyridin-2-ylmethyl)-6-(thiophen-3-yl)pyrimidin-4-amine (59).—3-

 Thienylboronic acid $(0.08 \mathrm{~g}, 0.62 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added to a solution of 6 -chloro- $N, N-$ bis(pyridin-2-ylmethyl)pyrimidin-4-amine (B-2) ( $0.15 \mathrm{~g}, 0.62 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in DMA ( 3.0 mL ), and 2 M aqueous potassium carbonate $(0.72 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added following the addition of $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{dppf}) \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(35 \mathrm{mg}, 0.05 \mathrm{mmol})$. The resulting mixture was stirred in a capped glass vial at $149{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ overnight. DMA was removed under reduced pressure, brine was added, and the mixture was extracted with ethyl acetate $(3 \times 30 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic layers were dried over anhydrous magnesium sulfate and filtered. The compound was purified using silica gel columns Hex/EtOAc 1:4 to give a white solid in a $74 \%$ yield. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 600 MHz , chloroform- $d$ ): $\delta 8.70-8.67(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.59(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.96-7.93(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.64(\mathrm{td}, J$ $=7.7,1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.48(\mathrm{dd}, J=5.1,1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.34(\mathrm{dd}, J=5.1,3.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.25(\mathrm{~s}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.20(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.1,5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 6.78(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.08(\mathrm{~d}, J=57.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{APT}$ NMR (151 MHz, chloroform-d): $\delta 53.8\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 95.8(\mathrm{CH}), 122.7(\mathrm{CH}), 125.9(\mathrm{CH}), 126.1(\mathrm{CH})$, $126.7(\mathrm{CH}), 137.2(\mathrm{CH}), 141.0(\mathrm{C}), 149.9(\mathrm{C}), 158.7(\mathrm{CH}), 159.1(\mathrm{C}), 163.0(\mathrm{C})$. HR-ESITOFMS: $\left[\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{~S}\right]^{+}, 360.1279$. HPLC: $98 \%$ pure.6-(2-Fluoro-4-methoxyphenyl)-N,N-bis(pyridin-2-ylmethyl)-pyrimidin-4-amine (60).-2-Fluoro-4-methoxybenzene boronic acid ( $0.12 \mathrm{~g}, 0.67 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added to a solution of 6-chloro- $N, N$-bis(pyridin-2-ylmethyl)pyrimidin-4-amine (B-2) ( $0.15 \mathrm{~g}, 0.48$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ in THF ( 3.5 mL ), and 2 M aqueous sodium carbonate $(0.72 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) was added following the addition of $\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4}(0.06 \mathrm{~g}, 0.048 \mathrm{mmol})$. The resulting mixture was vigorously stirred in reflux for 6 h . THF was removed under reduced pressure, brine was added, and the mixture was extracted with ethyl acetate $(3 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic layers were dried over anhydrous magnesium sulfate and filtered. The crude product was purified using biotage ZIP 10 g Si cartridge Hex/EtOAc gradients. A white solid in a $68 \%$ yield. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $(600 \mathrm{MHz}$, chloroform-d): $\delta 8.74(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.59(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.00(\mathrm{t}, J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.65(\mathrm{td}, J=$ $7.7,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.26(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.20(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.0,5.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.97(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.79(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.8$, $2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.65-6.59(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.14(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.83(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) \cdot{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-$ DEPTQ NMR ( 151 MHz , chloroform- d): $\delta 53.6\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 55.8\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 102.1-102.3\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{2} J=26.84 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}\right), 102.2(\mathrm{CH})$, $102.4-102.5\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{4} J=11.54 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}\right), 110.5-110.6\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{4} J=2.86 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}\right), 118.4-118.5\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{2} J\right.$ $=10.74 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}), 122.6(\mathrm{CH}), 131.5-131.6\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{3} J=4.58 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}\right), 137.0(\mathrm{CH}), 149.8(\mathrm{CH})$, $158.4(\mathrm{CH}), 159.0\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{3} J=3.08 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}\right), 160.3(\mathrm{C}), 161.1-162.8\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{1} J=251.79 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}\right)$, $162.2-162.3$ (d, $\left.{ }^{3} J=11.53 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}\right), 162.63(\mathrm{C})$. HR-ESI-TOFMS: $\left[\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{FN}_{5} \mathrm{O}\right]^{+}$, 402.1720. HPLC: $95 \%$ pure.

## 6-(2-Fluorophenyl)-N,N-bis(pyridin-2-ylmethyl)pyrimidin-4-amine (61).-2-

Fluorophenyl boronic acid $(0.13 \mathrm{~g}, 0.90 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added to a solution of 6 -chloro- $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}$ -bis(pyridin-2-ylmethyl)-pyrimidin-4-amine (B-2) ( $0.2 \mathrm{~g}, 0.64 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in THF ( 4.6 mL ), and 2 M aqueous sodium carbonate $(0.96 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added following the addition of $\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4}$ $(0.07 \mathrm{~g}, 0.06 \mathrm{mmol})$. The resulting mixture was vigorously stirred in reflux overnight. THF was removed under reduced pressure, brine was added, and the mixture was extracted with ethyl acetate $(3 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic layers were dried over anhydrous magnesium sulfate
and filtered. The crude product was purified using a biotage ZIP 10g Si cartridge and Hex/ EtOAc gradients. A white solid in a $81 \%$ yield. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $(600 \mathrm{MHz}$, chloroform- $\boldsymbol{d}): \delta 8.78$ $(\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.59(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.99(\mathrm{td}, J=7.8,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.65(\mathrm{t}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.37$ (q, $J=7.5,7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.30-7.17(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 7.09(\mathrm{dd}, J=11.4,8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.01(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $5.05(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$-DEPTQ NMR ( 151 MHz , chloroform- $d$ ): $\delta 53.6\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 103.3-103.4\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{4} J\right.$ $=10.17 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}), 116.3-116.6\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{2} J 2.88 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}\right), 122.6(\mathrm{CH}), 124.6\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{4} J=3.66 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}\right)$, 126.1-126.2 (d, $\left.{ }^{2} J=10.68 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}\right), 130.8-130.9\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{3} J=2.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}\right), 131.4-131.5\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{3} J=\right.$ $8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}), 137.0(\mathrm{CH}), 149.8(\mathrm{CH}), 158.5(\mathrm{CH}), 159.1-159.2\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}=2.44 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}\right), 160.1-$ 161.7 (d, $\left.{ }^{1} J=251.56 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}\right), 162.6(\mathrm{C})$. HR-ESI-TOFMS: $\left[\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{FN}_{5}\right]^{+}, 372.1619$. HPLC: 99\% pure.

## 6-(2-Methoxyphenyl)-N,N-bis(pyridin2-ylmethyl)-2-(trifluoromethyl)

 pyrimidin-4-amine (62).-2-Methoxyphenylboronic acid ( $0.05 \mathrm{~g}, 0.34 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added to a solution of 6-chloro- $N, N$-bis(pyridin-2-ylmethyl)-2-(trifluoromethyl)pyrimidin-4-amine (B-3) $(0.10 \mathrm{~g}, 0.34 \mathrm{mmol})$ in DMA $(2.0 \mathrm{~mL})$, and 2 M aqueous potassium carbonate $(0.20$ $\mathrm{mL})$ was added following the addition of $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{dppf}) \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(19 \mathrm{mg}, 0.030 \mathrm{mmol})$. The resulting mixture was stirred in a capped glass vial at $149{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ overnight. DMA was removed under reduced pressure, brine was added, and the mixture was extracted with ethyl acetate $(3 \times 30$ $\mathrm{mL})$. The organic layers were dried over anhydrous magnesium sulfate and filtered. The filtrate was concentrated under reduced pressure and the crude product was purified by column chromatography on silica gel with Hex/EtOAc 1:4 to give the product as a white solid in a $38 \%$ yield. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 600 MHz , chloroform-d): $\delta 3.61(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.92(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.23$ $(\mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.90(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.05(\mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.21(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.25(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.40-$ $7.33(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.52(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.67(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.02-7.98(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.58(\mathrm{~d}, J=25.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-$ APT NMR ( 151 MHz , chloroform- $d$ ): $\delta 54.26\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 55.6\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 105.3(\mathrm{CH}), 105.5(\mathrm{CH})$, $111.6(\mathrm{CH}), 118.4-121.8\left(\mathrm{q},{ }^{1} J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=276.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CF}_{3}\right), 121.3(\mathrm{CH}), 122.8(\mathrm{CH}), 123.0(\mathrm{CH})$, $126.1(\mathrm{C}), 131.3(\mathrm{CH}), 131.6(\mathrm{C}), 137.1(\mathrm{CH}), 155.6-156.3\left(\mathrm{q},{ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=36.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}\right) 156.2$ $(\mathrm{CH}), 157.8(\mathrm{C}), 161.9(\mathrm{C}), 162.9$ (C). HR-ESI-TOFMS: $\left[\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}\right]^{+}, 452.1689$. HPLC: 95\% pure.
## $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}$-Bis (pyridin-2-ylmethyl)-6-(thiophen-3-yl)-2-(trifluoromethyl)pyrimidin-4-

 amine (63).-3-Thienylboronic acid ( $0.07 \mathrm{~g}, 0.51 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added to a solution of 6-chloro- $N, N$-bis(pyridin-2-ylmethyl)-2-(trifluoromethyl)pyrimidin-4-amine (B-3) ( 0.15 g , $0.40 \mathrm{mmol})$ in DMA $(2.6 \mathrm{~mL})$, and 2 M aqueous potassium carbonate $(0.59 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added following the addition of $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{dppf}) \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(29 \mathrm{mg}, 0.040 \mathrm{mmol})$. The resulting mixture was stirred in a capped glass vial at $149^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3.5 h . DMA was removed under reduced pressure, brine was added, and the mixture was extracted with ethyl acetate $(3 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic layers were dried over anhydrous magnesium sulfate and filtered. Purification, using column chromatography on silica gel (hexanes/EtOAc 1:4), afforded the product (pale solid) in a $95 \%$ yield. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 600 MHz , chloroform- $d$ ): $\delta 8.64-8.50(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.03(\mathrm{dd}, J=3.0,1.1$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.65(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.48(\mathrm{dd}, J=5.1,1.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.34(\mathrm{dd}, J=5.1,3.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.21(\mathrm{dd}$, $J=7.1,5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 6.84(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.22(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.94(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$-DEPTQ NMR ( 151 MHz , chloroform- d): $\delta 54.0\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 99.6(\mathrm{CH}), 116.9-122.4\left(\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{q},{ }^{1} J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=276.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CF}_{3}\right), 122.7$ $(\mathrm{CH}), 125.7(\mathrm{CH}), 126.5(\mathrm{CH}), 126.8(\mathrm{CH}), 128.5(\mathrm{CH}), 136.9(\mathrm{CH}), 139.7(\mathrm{C}), 145.37$$(\mathrm{CH}), 155.8-156.5\left(\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{q}^{2} J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=35.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CF}_{3}\right) 159.5(\mathrm{C}), 163.1(\mathrm{C})$. HR-ESI-TOFMS $\left[\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{~S}\right]^{+}, 428.1152$. HPLC: $99 \%$ pure.

## 6-(2-Methoxyphenyl)-2-(methylsulfonyl)-N,N-bis(pyridin-2

 ylmethyl)pyrimidin-4-amine (64).—56 ( $0.30 \mathrm{~g}, 0.70 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in ( 1.5 mL ) DCM, followed by slow addition of $m$-CPBA $(0.25 \mathrm{~g}, 1.50 \mathrm{mmol})$ at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After 3 h , saturated solution of $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ was added and the mixture was extracted with ethyl acetate ( 2 $\times 40 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The organic layers were washed with saturated solution of $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$, and the mixture was extracted with ethyl acetate $(3 \times 40 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic layers were dried over anhydrous magnesium sulfate and filtered. The filtrate was concentrated under reduced pressure and the crude product was purified by column chromatography on silica gel with Hex/EtOAc 1:4 to give a white solid in a $31 \%$ yield. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $(600 \mathrm{MHz}$, chloroform- $d$ ): $\delta$ $8.59(\mathrm{~d}, J=46.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.07(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.8,1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.68(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.56(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.42-$ $7.38(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.37(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.26-7.16(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.06(\mathrm{t}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.92(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 5.24(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.96(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.64(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.29(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-A P T$ NMR ( 151 MHz , chloroform-d): $\delta 38.9\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 54.5\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 55.5\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 105.9(\mathrm{CH}), 111.5(\mathrm{CH}), 120.7(\mathrm{CH})$, $121.2(\mathrm{CH}), 123.3(\mathrm{CH}), 125.2(\mathrm{C}), 131.3(\mathrm{CH}), 131.9(\mathrm{CH}), 137.2(\mathrm{CH}), 149.9(\mathrm{CH}), 156.3$ (C), 158.0 (C), 161.7 (C), 163.1 (C), 165.0 (C). HR-ESI-TOFMS: $\left[\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{~S}\right]^{+}$, 462.1592. HPLC: 95\% pure.6-(2-Methoxyphenyl)-2(methylsulfinyl)-N,N-bis(pyridin-2-ylmethyl)pyrimidin-4amine ( 65 ). $\mathbf{5 6}(0.10 \mathrm{~g}, 0.23 \mathrm{mmol})$ was dissolved in $(1.0 \mathrm{~mL}) \mathrm{DCM}$, followed by slow addition of $m$-CPBA $(0.04 \mathrm{~g}, 0.23 \mathrm{mmol})$ at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After 3 h , a saturated solution of $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ was added and the mixture was extracted with ethyl acetate $(2 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic layers were washed with saturated solution of $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ and the mixture was extracted with ethyl acetate $(3 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic layers were dried over anhydrous magnesium sulfate and filtered. The filtrate was concentrated under reduced pressure and the crude product was purified by column chromatography on silica gel with $\mathrm{EtOAc} / \mathrm{MeOH} 9: 1$ to give a white solid in a $79 \%$ yield. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 600 MHz , chloroform- $d$ ): $\delta 2.88$ (s, 3H) 3.64 (s, 3H), 4.92 (s, 2H), $5.27(\mathrm{~d}, J=27.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.90(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.04(\mathrm{t}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.21(\mathrm{~s}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 7.23(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.39-7.34(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.53(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.67(\mathrm{t}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.06(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.8$, $1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.61(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}) \cdot{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$-APT NMR ( 151 MHz , chloroform-d): $\delta 39.9\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 54.0$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 55.5\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 104.2(\mathrm{CH}), 111.5(\mathrm{CH}), 121.2(\mathrm{CH}), 122.7(\mathrm{CH}), 125.8(\mathrm{C}), 131.4$ $(\mathrm{CH}), 131.7(\mathrm{CH}), 137.1(\mathrm{CH}), 157.9(\mathrm{C}), 162.0(\mathrm{C}), 163.2(\mathrm{C}), 172.0(\mathrm{C})$. HR-ESI-TOFMS $\left[\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~S}\right]^{+}, 446.1645$. HPLC: $99 \%$ pure.

6-Morpholino-N,N-bis(pyridin-2-ylmethyl)pyrimidin-4-amine (66).—To a solution of 6-chloro- $N, N$-bis(pyridin-2-ylmethyl)-pyrimidin-4-amine (B-2) $(0.15 \mathrm{~g}, 0.48 \mathrm{mmol})$ in 2propanol $(0.96 \mathrm{~mL})$, morpholine $(0.05 \mathrm{~g}, 0.57 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $N, N$-diisopropylethyl-amine $(0.12$ $\mathrm{g}, 0.95 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added. The resulting mixture was stirred in a microwave sealed vial for 20 min at $160^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Solvent was removed at reduced pressure, brine was added, and the mixture was extracted with ethyl acetate. The organic layers were dried over anhydrous magnesium sulfate and filtered. The filtrate was concentrated under reduced pressure. The crude product was purified using a biotage ZIP 10 g Si cartridge and Hex/EtOAc gradients. The compound was isolated with EtOAc $100 \%$. Pale powder in a $58 \%$ yield. ${ }^{1}$ H NMR ( 600

MHz , chloroform- $d$ ): $\delta 8.56(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.29(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.64(\mathrm{td}, J=7.7,1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 7.24 (d, $J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.19$ (dd, $J=7.2,5.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.57(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.96$ (s, 4H), 3.74-3.69 $(\mathrm{m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.46-3.40(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$-DEPTQ NMR $\left(151 \mathrm{MHz}\right.$, chloroform- $d$ ): $\delta 44.6\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$, $53.9\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 66.7\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 81.9(\mathrm{CH}), 121.6(\mathrm{CH}), 122.5(\mathrm{CH}), 137.1(\mathrm{CH}), 149.6(\mathrm{CH}), 157.7$ $(\mathrm{CH}), 158.0(\mathrm{C}), 163.0(\mathrm{C}), 163.5(\mathrm{C})$. HR-ESI-TOFMS $\left[\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{O}\right]^{+}, 363.1930$. HPLC: 99\% pure.

## 6-(2-Methoxypyridin-3-yl)- $\mathbf{N}^{4}, \mathrm{~N}^{4}$-bis(pyridin-2-ylmethyl)-pyrimidine-2,4-

diamine (67).-2-Methoxy-3-pyridinephenyl boronic acid ( $0.11 \mathrm{~g}, 0.73 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added to a solution of 6-chloro- $N^{4}, N^{4}$-bis(pyridin-2-ylmethyl)pyrimidine-2,4-diamine (B-21) (0.20 $\mathrm{g}, 0.60 \mathrm{mmol})$ in DMA $(5.0 \mathrm{~mL})$, and 2 M aqueous potassium carbonate $(0.92 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added following the addition of $\operatorname{Pd}(\mathrm{dppf}) \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(45 \mathrm{mg}, 0.06 \mathrm{mmol})$. The resulting mixture was stirred in a capped glass vial at $149{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 18 h . DMA was removed under reduced pressure, brine was added, and the mixture was extracted with ethyl acetate $(3 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic layers were dried over anhydrous magnesium sulfate and filtered. The filtrate was concentrated under reduced pressure and the crude product was isolated by a silica gel column; the compound came out with $\mathrm{EtOAc} / \mathrm{MeOH} 9: 1$, pale powder, $73 \%$ yield. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 600 MHz , chloroform- $d$ ): $\delta 8.6$ (d, $J=4.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 8.2 (d, $J=9.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 8.2 (d, $J=6.9$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.7(\mathrm{t}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.3(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.2(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.1,5.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.0(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.4$, $4.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.7(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.1(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.8(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.8(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$-DEPTQ NMR (151 MHz, chloroform- $d$ ): $\delta 53.5\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 95.4(\mathrm{CH}), 117.2(\mathrm{CH}), 122.1(\mathrm{C}), 122.3(\mathrm{CH}), 136.9(\mathrm{CH})$, $139.1(\mathrm{CH}), 147.4(\mathrm{CH}), 161.1(\mathrm{C}), 161.4(\mathrm{C}), 162.3(\mathrm{C}), 162.8(\mathrm{C}), 163.9(\mathrm{C})$. HR-ESITOFMS: $\left[\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{~N}_{7} \mathrm{O}\right]^{+}, 400.1883$. HPLC: $98 \%$ pure.

## 6-(2,6-Dimethoxyphenyl)- $\mathbf{N}^{\mathbf{4}}, \mathbf{N}^{\mathbf{4}}$-bis(pyridin-2-ylmethyl)-pyrimidine-2,4-diamine

 (68).-2,6-Dimethoxyphenylboronic acid ( $0.17 \mathrm{~g}, 0.92 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added to a solution of 6-chloro- $N^{4}, N^{4}$-bis(pyridin-2-ylmethyl)pyrimidine-2,4-diamine (B-21) $(0.20 \mathrm{~g}, 0.61 \mathrm{mmol})$ in DMA ( 3.8 mL ), and 2 M aqueous potassium carbonate $(0.92 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added following the addition of $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{dppf}) \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(45 \mathrm{mg}, 0.06 \mathrm{mmol})$. The resulting mixture was stirred in a capped glass vial at $149{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 24 h . DMA was removed under reduced pressure, brine was added, and the mixture was extracted with ethyl acetate $(3 \times 30 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic layers were dried over anhydrous magnesium sulfate and filtered. The crude product was purified using a biotage ZIP 10 g Si cartridge and $\mathrm{Hex} / \mathrm{EtOAc}$ gradients. Pale powder, $42 \%$ yield. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 600 MHz , chloroform- $d$ ): $\delta 8.5(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.7(\mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.3(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.2$ (t, $J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.19-7.15(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.6(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.9(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.9(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 4.8$ $(\mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.7(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-$ DEPTQ NMR ( 151 MHz , chloroform- $d$ ): $\delta 56.1\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 83.9(\mathrm{C})$, $96.9(\mathrm{CH}), 104.4(\mathrm{CH}), 107.0(\mathrm{CH}), 122.2(\mathrm{CH}), 129.8(\mathrm{CH}), 132.9(\mathrm{CH}), 136.8(\mathrm{CH}), 157.9$ (C), 163.5 (C). HR-ESI-TOFMS: $\left[\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right]^{+}, 429.2029$. HPLC: $99 \%$ pure.
## 6-(4-Fluoro-2-methoxyphenyl)- $\mathrm{N}^{4}, \mathrm{~N}^{4}$-bis(pyridin-2-ylmethyl)-pyrimidine-2,4-

diamine (69).-4-Fluoro-2-methoxyphenyl boronic acid ( $0.104 \mathrm{~g}, 0.6 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added to a solution of 6-chloro- $N^{4}, N^{4}$-bis(pyridin-2-ylmethyl)pyrimidine-2,4-diamine (B-21) ( 0.15 g , $0.45 \mathrm{mmol})$ in DMA ( 3.0 mL ), and 2 M aqueous potassium carbonate $(0.35 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added following the addition of $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{dppf}) \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(22 \mathrm{mg}, 0.036 \mathrm{mmol})$. The resulting mixture was stirred in a capped glass vial at $149^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ overnight. DMA was removed under reduced
pressure, brine was added, and the mixture was extracted with ethyl acetate ( $3 \times 30 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The organic layers were dried over anhydrous magnesium sulfate and filtered. The filtrate was concentrated under reduced pressure and the crude product was isolated by silica gel chromatography with Hex/EtOAc gradients to afford a white solid in a $76 \%$ yield. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $(600 \mathrm{MHz}$, chloroform- $d$ ): $\delta 3.6(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.8(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 6.4(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.6(\mathrm{dd}, J=11.0,2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 6.7$ (td, $J=8.3,2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.2(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.4,5.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.3$ (d, $J=30.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.6$ (td, $J=7.7,1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.7(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.6,7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.6(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{APT}$ NMR (151 MHz, chloroform- $d$ ): $\delta 55.8\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 55.8\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 95.5(\mathrm{CH}), 95.5(\mathrm{CH}), 99.7$ $(\mathrm{CH}), 107.4(\mathrm{CH}), 107.6(\mathrm{CH}), 122.4(\mathrm{CH}), 124.2(\mathrm{C}), 131.9(\mathrm{CH}), 132.0(\mathrm{CH}), 137.0(\mathrm{CH})$, 149.7 (CH), 158.8 (C), 158.8 (C), 162.4 (C), 162.9 (C), 163.4 (C), 163.7 (C), 165.07 (C). HR-ESI-MS: $\left[\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{OF}\right]^{+}, 417.1832$. HPLC: $100 \%$ pure.

6-(2-(Methylthio)phenyl)- $\mathbf{N}^{\mathbf{4}}, \mathbf{N}^{\mathbf{4}}$-bis(pyridin-2-ylmethyl)-pyrimidine-2,4-diamine (70).-2-(Methylthio)benzene boronic acid ( $0.062 \mathrm{~g}, 0.369 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added to a solution of 6-chloro- $N^{4}, N^{4}$-bis(pyridin-2-ylmethyl)pyrimidine-2,4-diamine (B-21) ( $0.1 \mathrm{~g}, 0.30$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ in THF $(2.6 \mathrm{~mL})$, and 2 M aqueous sodium carbonate $(0.46 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added following the addition of $\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4}(0.04 \mathrm{~g}, 0.03 \mathrm{mmol})$. The resulting mixture was vigorously stirred in reflux overnight. THF was removed under reduced pressure, brine was added, and the mixture was extracted with ethyl acetate $(3 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic layers were dried over anhydrous magnesium sulfate and filtered. The filtrate was concentrated on a rotary evaporator. The crude product was purified in a silica gel column, using gradients of $\mathrm{Hex} /$ EtOAc. Pale powder in a $27 \%$ yield. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 600 MHz , chloroform- $d$ ): $\delta 8.5$ (s, 2H), 7.6 (t, $J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.3(\mathrm{dd}, J=12.0,7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.2(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.2(\mathrm{dt}, J=15.0$, $6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 6.2(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.0(\mathrm{~d}, J=104.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.8(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.3(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{DEPTQ}$ NMR ( 151 MHz , chloroform- $d$ ): $\delta 16.5\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 94.8(\mathrm{CH}), 122.4(\mathrm{CH}), 124.8(\mathrm{CH}), 125.8$ (CH), 129.3 (CH), 129.4 (CH), 136.9 (CH), 137.5 (C), 139.0 (C), 162.7 (C), 163.7 (C), 166.0 (C). HR-ESI-TOFMS: $\left[\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{~S}\right]^{+}, 415.1701$. HPLC: $96 \%$ pure.

## 6-(2-Isopropoxyphenyl)-N4,N4-bis(pyridin-2-ylmethyl)-pyrimidine-2,4-diamine

(71).-2-Isopropoxyphenyl boronic acid ( $0.15 \mathrm{~g}, 0.85 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added to a solution of 6-chloro- $N^{4}, N^{4}$-bis(pyridin-2-ylmethyl)pyrimidine-2,4-diamine (B-21) $(0.20 \mathrm{~g}, 0.60 \mathrm{mmol})$ in DMA ( 5.0 mL ), and 2 M aqueous potassium carbonate $(0.91 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added following the addition of $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{dppf}) \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ( $45 \mathrm{mg}, 0.06 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The resulting mixture was stirred in a capped glass vial at $149{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 18 h . DMA was removed under reduced pressure, brine was added, and the mixture was extracted with ethyl acetate $(3 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic layers were dried over anhydrous magnesium sulfate and filtered. The filtrate was concentrated under reduced pressure and the crude product was isolated by a silica gel column, and ethyl acetate was use to isolate it as a pale powder in a $57 \%$ of yield. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $(600 \mathrm{MHz}$, chloroform- $d$ ): $\delta 8.55$ (d, $J=4.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.86 (dd, $J=7.7,1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.64$ (t, $J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.28$ (dd, $J=$ $15.5,1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.17$ (dd, $J=7.1,5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.99$ (t, $J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.89$ (d, $J=8.3$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.70(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.03(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.86(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.47$ (hept, $J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.09$ (d, $J=6.1$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$-DEPTQ NMR ( 151 MHz , chloroform- $d$ ): $\delta 22.0\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 70.7(\mathrm{CH}), 95.5(\mathrm{CH})$, $114.5(\mathrm{CH}), 120.9(\mathrm{CH}), 122.3(\mathrm{CH}), 128.9(\mathrm{C}), 130.3(\mathrm{CH}), 130.9(\mathrm{CH}), 136.9(\mathrm{CH}), 145.1$ $(\mathrm{CH}), 155.9(\mathrm{C}), 162.8(\mathrm{C}), 163.2(\mathrm{C}), 163.7(\mathrm{C})$. HR-ESI-TOFMS: $\left[\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{O}\right]^{+}$, 427.2244. HPLC: $99 \%$ pure.

## 6-(2-Isobutoxyphenyl)- $\mathbf{N}^{4}, \mathrm{~N}^{4}$-bis(pyridin-2-ylmethyl)pyrimidine-2,4-diamine

(72).-2-Isobutoxyphenyl boronic acid $(0.27 \mathrm{~g}, 1.37 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added to a solution of 6-chloro- $\Lambda^{4}, N^{4}$-bis(pyridin-2-ylmethyl)pyrimidine-2,4-diamine (B-21) $(0.3 \mathrm{~g}, 0.9 \mathrm{mmol})$ in DMA ( 7.5 mL ), and 2 M aqueous potassium carbonate ( 1.365 mL ) was added following the addition of $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{dppf}) \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(67 \mathrm{mg}, 0.09 \mathrm{mmol})$. The resulting mixture was stirred in a capped glass vial at $149{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3 h . DMA was removed under reduced pressure, brine was added, and the mixture was extracted with ethyl acetate $(3 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic layers were dried over anhydrous magnesium sulfate and filtered. The filtrate was concentrated under reduced pressure and the crude product was isolated with a silica gel column. The compound came out as a white powder using pure ethyl acetate in a $41 \%$ yield. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $(600 \mathrm{MHz}$, chloroform- $d$ ): $\delta 8.55(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.78(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.7,1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.63(\mathrm{t}, J=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 2H), 7.36-7.22 (m, 3H), 7.19-7.14 (m, 2H), $7.00(\mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.91-6.86(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $6.64(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.96(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.81(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.68-3.64(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.80(\mathrm{hept}, J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-$ DEPTQ NMR (151 MHz, chloroform-d): $\delta 19.5\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 28.3(\mathrm{CH}), 52.9\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 75.1\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$, $95.2(\mathrm{CH}), 112.6(\mathrm{CH}), 120.7(\mathrm{CH}), 122.2(\mathrm{CH}), 128.4(\mathrm{C}), 130.3(\mathrm{CH}), 130.7(\mathrm{CH}), 136.9$ (CH), $149.7(\mathrm{CH}), 157.1$ (C), 162.8 (C), 163.6 (C), 163.7 (C). HR-ESI-TOFMS: $\left[\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{29} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{O}\right]^{+}, 441.2396$. HPLC: $99 \%$ pure.

## 6-(2-Chlorophenyl)- ${ }^{4}, \mathrm{~N}^{4}$-bis(pyridin-2-ylmethyl)pyrimidine-2,4-diamine (73).-

 2-Chlorophenyl boronic acid $(0.20 \mathrm{~g}, 1.28 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added to a solution of 6-chloro$N^{4}, N^{4}$-bis(pyridin-2-ylmethyl)-pyrimidine-2,4-diamine (B-21) ( $0.30 \mathrm{~g}, 0.91 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in THF $(7.5 \mathrm{~mL})$, and 2 M aqueous sodium carbonate $(1.37 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added following the addition of $\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4}(0.11 \mathrm{~g}, 0.09 \mathrm{mmol})$. The resulting mixture was vigorously stirred in reflux overnight. THF was removed under reduced pressure, brine was added, and the mixture was extracted with ethyl acetate $(3 \times 30 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic layers were dried over anhydrous magnesium sulfate and filtered. The filtrate was concentrated on a rotary evaporator. The crude product was purified in a silica gel column, using gradients of Hex/EtOAc. Pale powder, $29 \%$ yield. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 600 MHz , chloroform- $d$ ): $\delta 8.55$ (d, $J=4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.64 (t, $J$ $=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.47(\mathrm{dd}, J=6.9,2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.37-7.34(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.30-7.24(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.19-$ $7.15(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.17(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.85(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$-DEPTQ NMR ( 151 MHz , chloroform- $d$ ): $\delta$ $95.7(\mathrm{CH}), 122.4(\mathrm{CH}), 127.0(\mathrm{CH}), 128.7(\mathrm{CH}), 129.9(\mathrm{CH}), 130.2(\mathrm{CH}), 130.8(\mathrm{CH}), 132.1$ (C), $137.0(\mathrm{CH}), 138.6(\mathrm{C}), 149.7(\mathrm{CH}), 162.8(\mathrm{C}), 163.4(\mathrm{C}), 164.4(\mathrm{C})$. HR-ESI-TOFMS: $\left[\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{ClN}_{6}\right]^{+}, 403.1429$. HPLC $95 \%$ pure.
## 6-(2-Fluoro-4-methoxyphenyl)- $\mathrm{N}^{4}, \mathrm{~N}^{4}$-bis(pyridin-2-ylmethyl)-pyrimidine-2,4-

 diamine (74).—2-Fluoro-4-methoxybenzene boronic acid ( $0.22 \mathrm{~g}, 1.28 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added to a solution of 6-chloro- $N^{4}, N^{4}$-bis(pyridin-2-ylmethyl)pyrimidine-2,4-diamine (B-21) (0.3 $\mathrm{g}, 0.91 \mathrm{mmol})$ in THF $(7.5 \mathrm{~mL})$, and 2 M aqueous sodium carbonate $(1.36 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added following the addition of $\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4}(0.106 \mathrm{~g}, 0.09 \mathrm{mmol})$. The resulting mixture was vigorously stirred in reflux for 8 h . THF was removed under reduced pressure, brine was added, and the mixture was extracted with ethyl acetate $(3 \times 30 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic layers were dried over anhydrous magnesium sulfate and filtered. The crude product was purified in a silica gel column, and the compound came out with Hex/EtOAc 1:4. White solid, 74\% yield. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 600 MHz , chloroform- $d$ ): $\delta 8.55(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), $7.86(\mathrm{t}, J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.63(\mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.17(\mathrm{~d}, J=12.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 6.73(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.8,2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.58$(dd, $J=13.1,2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.39(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.82(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 3.80(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) \cdot{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-$ DEPTQ NMR (151
MHz , chloroform- $d$ ): $\delta 55.8\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 60.6\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 94.3-94.4\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{4} J=10.56 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}\right), 101.9-$ $102.01\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{2} J=26.85 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}\right), 110.3-110.4\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{4} J=2.89 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}\right), 119.1-119.2\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{2} J=\right.$ $11.24 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}), 122.4(\mathrm{CH}), 131.3\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}=4.68 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}\right), 136.9(\mathrm{CH}), 149.7(\mathrm{CH}), 160.4\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{3} J\right.$ $=2.96 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}), 160.9-162.5\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{1} J=251.41 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}\right), 161.8\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{3} J=11.36 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}\right) 162.9(\mathrm{C})$, 163.9 (C). HR-ESI-TOFMS: $\left[\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{FN}_{6} \mathrm{O}\right]^{+}, 417.1829$. HPLC: $98 \%$ pure.

## $\mathbf{N}^{4}$-Benzyl-6-(2-methoxyphenyl)- $\mathbf{N}^{4}$-(pyridin-2-ylmethyl)-pyrimidine-2,4-diamine

(75).-2-Methoxyphenylboronic acid ( $0.06 \mathrm{~g}, 0.37 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added to a solution of $N^{4}$ -benzyl-6-chloro- $N^{4}$-(pyridin-2-ylmethyl)pyrimidine-2,4-diamine (B-5) ( $0.10 \mathrm{~g}, 0.30 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in DMA $(2.0 \mathrm{~mL})$, and 2 M aqueous potassium carbonate $(0.45 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added following the addition of $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{dppf}) \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(22 \mathrm{mg}, 0.030 \mathrm{mmol})$. The resulting mixture was stirred in a capped glass vial at $149^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3.5 h . DMA was removed under reduced pressure, brine was added, and the mixture was extracted with ethyl acetate $(3 \times 30 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic layers were dried over anhydrous magnesium sulfate and filtered. The filtrate was concentrated under reduced pressure and the crude product was filtered through silica gel using ethyl acetate. After purification by column chromatography on silica gel was performed utilizing ethyl acetate to give a white solid in an $83 \%$ yield. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 600 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta 8.53$ (d, $J=4.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.77-7.73(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.71-7.68(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.33(\mathrm{q}, J=11.5,9.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 5 \mathrm{H})$, 7.27 (dd, $J=10.4,5.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.02-6.93(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.39(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.08(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.02(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $3.56(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$-DEPTQ NMR ( 151 MHz, DMSO- $\left.d_{6}\right): \delta 55.3\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 55.6\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 95.74$ $(\mathrm{CH}), 111.6(\mathrm{CH}), 120.2(\mathrm{CH}), 120.2(\mathrm{CH}), 121.0(\mathrm{CH}), 121.5(\mathrm{CH}), 122.0(\mathrm{CH}), 122.3$ $(\mathrm{CH}), 127.4(\mathrm{CH}), 128.4(\mathrm{C}), 128.8(\mathrm{CH}), 130.4(\mathrm{CH}), 130.6(\mathrm{CH}), 137.0(\mathrm{CH}), 145.0(\mathrm{C})$, 149.7 (CH), 157.4 (C), 162.9 (C), 163.2 (C), 163.7 (C). HR-ESI-TOFMS: $\left[\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}\right]^{+}$, 398.1977. HPLC: 99\% pure.

## Cell-Based Functional Assays.

Generation of stable cell lines have been previously described ${ }^{27}$ to express high levels of the specified receptor and containing the CNiFER were cultured in 10 cm plates with Dulbecco's modified Eagle's media (DMEM; Mediatech, Manassas, VA) supplemented with $10 \%$ FBS (Gibco) and $1 \%$ Glutamine (Gibco) and incubated at $37{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with $6 \% \mathrm{CO}_{2}$. Cells were selected at $60 \%$ confluency and plated the day before using $100 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of cell suspension per well into black, transparent flat-bottom, TC-treated 96-well plates (Thermo, Waltham, MA; E\&K, Greiner Campbell, CA). On the next day, the media was replaced with $100 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of artificial cerebrospinal fluid (aCSF, $121 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaCl}, 5 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{KCl}, 26 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaHCO} 3,1.2 \mathrm{mM}$ $\mathrm{NaH}_{2} \mathrm{PO}_{4} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 10 \mathrm{mM}$ glucose, $2.4 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{CaCl} 2,1.3 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{MgSO} 4,5 \mathrm{mM}$ HEPES, pH 7.4 ) buffer for cells expressing $a 4 \beta 2$ and $5-\mathrm{HT}_{3 \mathrm{~A}}$ receptors. For all assays performed on $a 7$ nAChR CNiFERs, to aCSF buffer PNU- 120596 was added at a $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ final concentration. Plates were incubated with the buffer for 30 min at $37{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $6 \% \mathrm{CO}_{2}$. Quick screen: the tested compounds were prepared in aCSF for all the receptors except the $a 7 \mathrm{nAChR}$, where $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ PNU-120596, a PAM, was included. The prepared compounds were added in a separate 96 -well polypropylene plate (Costar, Corning, NY). Experiments were conducted at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ using a 436 nm excitation wavelength. Emitted light was measured at 485 and 528 nm . Basal fluorescence was recorded for 30 s , followed by addition of $50 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of the ligand (first addition). Measurements were made at 3.84 s intervals for 2 min to measure the agonist
responses, and then followed by application of the control agonist, which was $100 \mathrm{nM}( \pm)$ epibatidine (Tocris Bioscience, Bristol, U.K.) for $a 7$ and $a 4 \beta 2 \mathrm{nAChRs}$, and $3 \mu \mathrm{M}$ of 5hydroxytryptamine (5-HT) (Tocris Bioscience, Bristol, U.K.) for the 5HT3A receptor to evaluate the antagonist responses of the test compounds. Agonist and antagonist properties were screened at a final concentration of 13.3 and $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$, respectively. Compounds whose fraction of the maximal response $\left(\Delta \Delta_{\max }\right)$ was higher than 0.20 were further evaluated to determine their $\mathrm{EC}_{50}$, whereas compounds that inhibit $\Delta \Delta_{\max }$ more than 0.50 were further characterized to determine the type of antagonism and calculate the antagonist dissociation constant ( $K_{\mathrm{A}}$ ).

## Agonist Assays.

Responses were measured in triplicate wells with the FlexStation III (SoftMax Pro 5.2, Molecular Devices) and run at $37{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ by monitoring TN-XXL FRET ratios, emissions of citrine cp174 ( 527 nm ) to eCFP ( 485 nm ), over 120s with agonist injection at 30 s . A sigmoidal concentration-response (variable slope) regression of the mean peak FRET ratios was fit to generate concentration-response curves and obtain $\mathrm{EC}_{50}$ values (GraphPad Prism 7 for Mac OS X); the plots were normalized to a $316 \mu \mathrm{M}$ response in the $a 7 \mathrm{nAChR}$.

## Antagonist Assays.

The tested compounds were prepared in three different concentrations in aCSF; the 96-well plate was divided in four sections (triplicates of each concentration plus the agonist control). The media was replaced by aCSF buffer (first 3 rows) at the 3 concentrations and was incubated for 30 min at $37{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $6 \% \mathrm{CO}_{2}$. Agonist was subsequently added and responses were measured in triplicate wells with the FlexStation III (SoftMax Pro 5.2, Molecular Devices) and run at $37{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ by monitoring TN-XXL FRET ratios, emissions of citrine cp 174 ( 527 nm ) to eCFP ( 485 nm ), over 120 s with agonist injection at 30 s . A sigmoidal concentration-response (variable slope) regression of the mean peak FRET ratios was fit to generate concentration-response curves of the control agonist, epibatidine for $\alpha 7$ and $a 4 \beta 2$ nAChRs, and $5-\mathrm{HT}$ for $5-\mathrm{HT}_{3 \mathrm{~A}}$. The $K_{\mathrm{A}}$ for competitive antagonists and noncompetitive antagonists were calculated using the next equations. ${ }^{52}$

$$
\begin{gather*}
K_{\mathrm{A}}=[\mathrm{A}] /[\mathrm{DR}-1]  \tag{1}\\
K_{\mathrm{A}}=[\mathrm{A}] /\left[\Delta_{\max } / \Delta-1\right] \tag{2}
\end{gather*}
$$

where [A] is the concentration of the compound, DR (dose ratio) is the $\mathrm{EC}_{50}$ ratio of the tested compound over the control compound, which is ( $\pm$ )-epibatidine for the $\alpha 7$ and $a 4 \beta 2$ nAChR , and 5 HT for $5 \mathrm{HT}_{3 \mathrm{~A}}$, and $\Delta \Delta_{\text {max }}$ is the fraction of the maximal response. Mean values and standard deviations were calculated from at least three independent experiments.

## Heterologous Expression of nAChRs in Xenopus Oocytes.

The cDNA clones of the human nAChR and human resistance-to-cholinesterase 3 (RIC-3) were provided by Dr. Jon Lindstrom (University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia PA) and Dr. Millet Treinin (Hebrew University, Jerusalem, Israel), respectively. Mouse muscle $a 1, \beta 1$,
and $\delta \mathrm{cDNA}$ clones were provided by Dr. Jim Boulter (Salk Institute, La Jolla CA) and the $\varepsilon$
was obtained by Dr. Paul Gardner (University of Massachusetts Medical School, Worcester MA). After linearization and purification of the plasmid cDNAs, RNAs were prepared using the mMessage mMachine in vitro RNA synthesis kit (Ambion, Austin, TX). Oocytes were surgically removed from mature female Xenopus laevis frogs (Nasco, Ft. Atkinson, WI) and injected with RNAs of the nAChR and RIC-3 as described previously. ${ }^{53}$ The RIC-3 chaperone protein can improve and accelerate $a 7$ expression with no effects on the pharmacological properties of the receptors. ${ }^{52}$ Frogs were kept in the Animal Care Service facility of the University of Florida, and all the procedures were approved by the University of Florida Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee. All studies were carried out in accordance with the Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals as adopted and promulgated by the U.S. National Institutes of Health.

## Two-Electrode Voltage-Clamp Electrophysiology of Oocytes.

Experiments were conducted using OpusXpress 6000A (Molecular Devices, Union City, CA). ${ }^{54}$ Both the voltage and current electrodes were filled with 3 M KCl . Oocytes were voltage-clamped at -60 mV . The oocytes were bath-perfused with Ringer's solution (115 $\mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaCl}, 2.5 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{KCl}, 1.8 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{CaCl}_{2}, 10 \mathrm{mM}$ HEPES, and $1 \mu \mathrm{M}$ atropine, pH 7.2 ) with a flow rate of $2 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$ for $a 7$ and $4 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$ for the heteromeric nAChR . To evaluate the effects of experimental compounds on nAChRs expressed in oocytes, two initial control responses to applications of ACh were recorded before test applications of experimental drugs alone or coapplied with ACh , and test responses were normalized to the average of the two initial control responses for each oocyte. Drug solutions were applied from a 96-well plate via disposable tips. Drug applications were 12 s long, followed by a 211 s washout period, for $a 7$, and 6 s long, followed by a 271 s washout period, for heteromeric $\mathrm{n}-\mathrm{AChR}$. The control concentrations of ACh were $60 \mu \mathrm{M}$ for wild-type $a 7,30 \mu \mathrm{M}$ for $a 1 \beta 1 \varepsilon \delta, 100$ $\mu \mathrm{M}$ for $a 3 \beta 4,100 \mu \mathrm{M}$ for LS $a 4 \beta 2$, and $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ for HS $a 4 \beta 2$. After experimental drug applications, follow-up control applications of ACh were made to determine primed potentiation, desensitization, or rundown of the receptors. Data were collected at 50 Hz , filtered at $20 \mathrm{~Hz}(a 7)$ or 5 Hz (heteromeric nAChR ), and analyzed by Clampfit 9.2 or 10.0 (Molecular Devices) and Excel (Microsoft, Redmond, WA). Data were expressed as mean $\pm$ SEM from at least five oocytes for each experiment and plotted with Kaleidagraph 4.5.2 (Abelbeck Software, Reading, PA). Concentration-response data were fit to the Hill equation using the Levenberg-Marquardt algorithm. Multicell averages were calculated for comparisons of complex responses. Averages of the normalized data were calculated for each of the 10322 points in each of the 206.44 s traces (acquired at 50 Hz ), as well as the SEM for those averages.

## $\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}$ Sample Preparation and ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR Parameters.

We utilized a modified version of a previously reported method by Bezencon, et al. ${ }^{44}$ Samples were analyzed in 0.1 M PB at various pH between 4.0 and 8.5. Compounds were predissolved in DMSO to a 20 mM concentration. Compound 40 was diluted in PB to a 1 mM final concentration and compounds $\mathbf{6 0}$ and 74 to a 0.25 mM final concentration in all pH samples. DMSO concentration was $5 \%$ or less in every sample. $500 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of sample was transferred to a 5 mm NMR tube (NORELL) for recording the NMR spectra. To avoid
having to correct the pH for the presence of deuterium, no deuterated solvent was added to the compound solutions. To provide a lock signal for the NMR spectrometer a sealed 1.7 mm capillary tube filled with DMSO- $d_{6}$ was placed in the solution inside the 5 mm NMR tube. DMSO in the capillary also acted as a chemical shift reference. The water peak in the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra was suppressed via presaturation or by using a jump-return pulse sequence. 55,56 Each spectrum was analyzed using Bruker TopSpin 2.1.6. software. The chemical shifts were plotted against pH using GraphPad Prism 7 and the curve was fitted utilizing a sigmoidal concentration-response (variable slope) equation. The inflection point of the sigmoidal curve gave the $\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}$ of the analyzed ionizable moiety.

## Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.
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| ABBREVIATIONS |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| nAChR | nicotinic acetylcholine receptor |  |
| 5-HT | 5-hydroxytryptamine |  |
| ACh | acetylcholine |  |
| AChBP | acetylcholine binding protein |  |
| aCSF | artificial cerebrospinal fluid |  |
| KA | antagonist dissociation constant |  |
| DMEM | Dulbecco's modified Eagle's media |  |
| EtOAc | ethylacetate |  |
| DCM | dichloromethane |  |
| DMF | dimethylformamide |  |
| DMA | $N, N$-dimethylacetamide |  |
| DMSO | dimethylsulfoxide |  |
| $\boldsymbol{m}-\mathbf{C P B A}$ | $N, N$-diisopropylethylamine | $m e t a$-chloroperbenzoic acid |


| THF | tetrahydrofuran |
| :---: | :---: |
| LS | low sensitivity |
| HS | high sensitivity |
| $\mathbf{P d}(\mathbf{d p p f}) \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ | [1, $1^{\prime}$ - <br> bis(diphenylphosphino)ferrocene]dichloropalladium(II) |
| $\mathbf{P d}\left(\mathbf{P P h}_{3}\right)_{\mathbf{4}}$ | tetrakis(triphenylphosphine)palladium(0) |
| PAM | positive allosteric modulator |
| ago-PAM | agonist-positive allosteric modulator |
| NMR | nuclear magnetic resonance |
| mm | millimeter |
| M | molar |
| $\boldsymbol{\mu} \mathbf{M}$ | micromolar |
| nM | nanomolar |
| PB | phosphate buffer |
| HEK | human embryonic kidney |
| CNiFERs | cell-based neurotransmitter fluorescent-engineered reporters |
| ${ }^{13}$ C-DEPTQ | ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$-distortionless enhancement by polarization transfer quaternary carbons |
| ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{APT}$ | ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$-attached proton test |
| HR-ESI-TOFMS | high resolution electrospray ionization time-of-flight mass spectrometry |
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Figure 1.
Examples of nAChR ligands: (a) (-)-nicotine, (b) ( $\pm$ )-epibatidine, (c) varenicline, and (d) cytisine. Examples of nAChR ligands with selective $a 7 \mathrm{nAChR}$ agonist activation: (e) GTS-21, ${ }^{5,9}$ (f) MEM3454 (RG3487), ${ }^{15,21}$ (g) encenicline (EVP-6124), ${ }^{22}$ (h) TC-5619. ${ }^{10,23}$


Figure 2.
a 7 nAChR concentration-response curves in the presence of PNU-120596 of a series of 2,4,6-trisubstituted pyrimidines performed with HEK cells containing a calcium-sensitive fluorescence reporter.


Figure 3.
Peak current responses to $30 \mu \mathrm{M}$ of selected lead compounds $\mathbf{4 0}, \mathbf{5 8}, \mathbf{6 0}$, and $\mathbf{7 4}$ followed by application of $60 \mu \mathrm{M} \mathrm{ACh}$ and then $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ PNU-120596 performed in Xenopus oocytes expressing human $a 7$ nAChRs. Compound applications were of a duration of 12 s , followed by 211 s washout periods. Experimental values are the mean responses ( $\pm$ SEM) of six cells, each scaled to their respective ACh precontrols.


Figure 4.
(a) Concentration-response curves of the $a 7 \mathrm{nAChR}$ for selected compounds $\mathbf{4 0}, \mathbf{5 8}, \mathbf{6 0}$, and 74. The responses are normalized to $60 \mu \mathrm{M} \mathrm{ACh}$; experimental values are the averaged responses ( $\pm$ SEM) of six cells. (b) Inhibition concentration-response curves of the $a 7$ nAChR for selected compounds $\mathbf{4 0}, \mathbf{5 8}, \mathbf{6 0}$, and $\mathbf{7 4}$, when tested using $60 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ACh after application (see Figure 3 for details).


Figure 5.
Electrophysiological evaluation of compounds $\mathbf{4 0}, \mathbf{5 8}, \mathbf{6 0}$, and $\mathbf{7 4}$ in heteropentameric nAChRs performed in Xenopus oocytes. Compound applications were of 6 s duration, followed by a 271 s washout period. The control concentrations of ACh were $30 \mu \mathrm{M}$ for $a 1 \beta 1 \varepsilon \delta, 100 \mu \mathrm{M}$ for $a 3 \beta 4,100 \mu \mathrm{M}$ for LS $a 4 \beta 2$, and $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ for HS $a 4 \beta 2$. After experimental drug applications, follow-up control applications of ACh were made to test prior pyrimidine exposures.


Scheme 1. Synthesis of Compounds 56-66 (for Structures See Table 1) ${ }^{\boldsymbol{a}}$

$\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{dppf}) \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, \mathrm{~K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}, \mathrm{DMA}, 149{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; (c) boronic acid, $\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4}, \mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$, THF, reflux; (d)
1 equiv or 2 equiv of $m$-CPBA in DCM, $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; (e) morpholine, DIPEA, 2-propanol, microwave irradiation, $160^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.


Scheme 2. Modifications at Pyrimidine Position $\boldsymbol{6}^{\boldsymbol{a}}$
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Reagents and conditions: (a) di(2-picolyl amine), DIPEA, DMF, $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; (b) boronic acid,
$\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{dppf}) \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, \mathrm{~K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}, \mathrm{DMA}, 149{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; (c) boronic acid, $\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4}, \mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$, THF, reflux.


Scheme 3. Modification at Pyrimidine Position $4^{a}$
 dichloropyrimidine, DIPEA, DMF, $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; (c) 2-methoxyphenyl boronic acid, $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{dppf}) \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}, \mathrm{DMA}, 149{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

Table 1.
Functional Parameters, $a 7 \mathrm{nAChR} \mathrm{EC}_{50}$, and Antagonist Dissociation Constants, $K_{\mathrm{A}}$, for $a 4 \beta 2 \mathrm{nAChR}$ and 5-
$\mathrm{HT}_{3 \mathrm{~A}}$ Receptors ${ }^{\text {a }}$

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Compound | $\mathbf{R}^{1}$ | $\mathbf{R}^{\mathbf{2}}$ | $\mathbf{R}^{3}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Agonist } \\ \text { ECC } \mathbf{s o n}^{\prime} \pm \text { SD } \\ (\mu \mathbf{M}) \\ \alpha 7 \mathrm{nAChR} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Antagonist } \\ K_{\wedge} \pm \mathbf{S D} \\ (\mu \mathrm{M}) \end{gathered}$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | $\alpha 4 \beta 2 \mathrm{nAChR}$ | 5-HTM |
| $40^{\text {b }}$ | $\mathrm{NH}_{2}$ |  |  | $0.07 \pm 0.01$ | $6.3 \pm 1.5$ | $>10$ |
| $41^{\text {b }}$ | $\mathrm{NH}_{2}$ |  |  | $0.07 \pm 0.01$ | $2.5 \pm 0.8$ | $>10$ |
| $44^{\text {b }}$ | $\mathrm{NH}_{2}$ |  |  | $0.5 \pm 0.1$ | $>10$ | $>10$ |
| 56 | $\mathrm{SCH}_{3}$ |  |  | $0.11 \pm 0.02$ | $1.1 \pm 0.2$ | $2.8 \pm 0.6$ |
| 57 | $\mathrm{SCH}_{3}$ |  |  | $1.8 \pm 0.5$ | $3.4 \pm 1.5$ | $2.2 \pm 0.8$ |
| 58 | H |  |  | $0.14 \pm 0.02$ | $7.9 \pm 0.9$ | $>10$ |
| 59 | H |  |  | $2.1 \pm 0.2$ | $>10$ | $>10$ |
| 60 | H |  |  | $0.069 \pm 0.0003$ | $3.0 \pm 1.2$ | $5.4 \pm 1.1$ |
| 61 | H |  |  | $0.36 \pm 0.07$ | $5.6 \pm 1.1$ | $8.4 \pm 1.1$ |
| 62 | CF3 |  |  | $0.53 \pm 0.06$ | $1.7 \pm 0.3$ | $3.7 \pm 0.8$ |
| 63 | CF, |  |  | $2.5 \pm 0.3$ | $0.96 \pm 0.2$ | $3.6 \pm 1.3$ |
| 64 | $\mathrm{SO}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ |  |  | $0.69 \pm 0.06$ | $7.2 \pm 1.9$ | $>10$ |
| 65 | $\mathrm{SOCH}_{3}$ |  |  | $0.92 \pm 0.2$ | $>10$ | $>10$ |
| 66 | H |  |  | $>13.3$ | $>10$ | $>10$ |
| 67 | $\mathrm{NH}_{2}$ |  |  | $0.23 \pm 0.05$ | $>10$ | $>10$ |
| 68 | $\mathrm{NH}_{2}$ |  |  | $5.4 \pm 1.0$ | $7.1 \pm 0.9$ | $>10$ |
| 69 | $\mathrm{NH}_{2}$ |  |  | $0.12 \pm 0.04$ | $4.8 \pm 0.8$ | $>10$ |
| 70 | $\mathrm{NH}_{2}$ |  |  | $0.24 \pm 0.03$ | $4.3 \pm 1.5$ | $>10$ |
| 71 | $\mathrm{NH}_{2}$ |  |  | $0.89 \pm 0.07$ | $1.5 \pm 0.4$ | $>10$ |
| 72 | $\mathrm{NH}_{2}$ |  |  | $1.6 \pm 0.5$ | $1.3 \pm 0.4$ | $5.5 \pm 0.5$ |
| 73 | $\mathrm{NH}_{2}$ |  |  | $0.16 \pm 0.03$ | $3.4 \pm 0.9$ | $>10$ |
| 74 | $\mathrm{NH}_{2}$ |  |  | $0.03 \pm 0.009$ | $3.2 \pm 1.3$ | $5.3 \pm 0.5$ |
| 75 | $\mathrm{NH}_{2}$ |  |  | $>13.3$ | $1.3 \pm 0.6$ | $4.1 \pm 0.6$ |
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${ }^{a}$ Dissociation constants are based on noncompetitive antagonism. $a 4 \beta 2 \mathrm{nAChR}$ analysis was made without distinguishing subunit stoichiometry. Responses are measured from at least three independent experiments in HEK cells containing a fluorescence reporter and plated as monolayers on a 96-well plate.
$b_{\text {Previously described compounds. }}{ }^{24}$

Table 2.
$\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}$ Values for Nicotine and Compounds 40, 60, and 74 Acquired by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR Spectroscopy and Physicochemical Properties


| compound | $\begin{gathered} { }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR} \\ \text { pK } K_{\mathrm{a}} \\ \text { pyrimidine } \\ \text { ring mean } \\ \pm \mathrm{SD} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} { }^{1} \mathrm{H}- \\ \text { NMR } \\ \text { pK } K_{\mathrm{a}} \\ \text { pyridine } \\ \text { ring } \\ \text { mean } \pm \\ \text { SD } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} { }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR} \\ \mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}} \\ \text { pyrrolidine } \\ \text { ring mean } \\ \pm \mathbf{S D} \end{gathered}$ | $\underset{\underset{\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}}{ }}{\underset{\text { ring }}{ }}$ | $\underset{\underset{\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}}{ }}{\underset{\text { pyriding }}{ }}$ | $\underset{\underset{\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}}{ }}{\underset{\text { pyrrolidine }}{ }} \quad \text { ring }$ | $\underset{P}{\text { Clog }}$ | ${ }^{\text {HBD }}$ | $a_{\text {HBA }}$ | ${ }^{\text {MWW }}$ | $a_{\text {PSA }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| nicotine |  | $\begin{aligned} & 3.38 \pm \\ & 0.03 \end{aligned}$ | $8.17 \pm 0.01$ |  | 2.70 | 8.58 | 1.16 | 0 | 2 | 162.12 | 17.33 |
| 40 | 6.98 | $\begin{aligned} & 4.31 \pm \\ & 0.02 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 6.05 \\ & (-0.64) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4.39 \\ & (4.76) \end{aligned}$ |  | 3.65 | 1 | 7 | 398.19 | 90.05 |
| 60 | ~3.25 | -4.14 |  | $\begin{aligned} & 3.53 \\ & (-1.62) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4.04 \\ & (4.53) \end{aligned}$ |  | 3.95 | 0 | 6 | 401.17 | 60.03 |
| 74 | 6.68 | $\begin{aligned} & 4.26 \pm \\ & 0.03 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 5.95 \\ & (-0.73) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4.39 \\ & (4.75) \end{aligned}$ |  | 3.80 | 1 | 7 | 416.18 | 90.05 |

$a_{\text {In silico values calculated utilizing Marvin Sketch software. }}{ }^{47}$
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