UC Irvine

Western Journal of Emergency Medicine: Integrating Emergency Care with Population Health

Title

WestJEM Will No Longer Use the Term "Provider" to Refer to Physicians

Permalink

https://escholarship.org/uc/item/4dw2g8w4

Journal

Western Journal of Emergency Medicine: Integrating Emergency Care with Population Health, 22(5)

ISSN

1936-900X

Authors

Phillips, Andrew Lotfipour, Shahram Langdorf, Mark I.

Publication Date

2021

DOI

10.5811/westjem.2021.8.54452

Copyright Information

Copyright 2021 by the author(s). This work is made available under the terms of a Creative Commons Attribution License, available at https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/

EDITORIAL

WestJEM Will No Longer Use the Term "Provider" to Refer to Physicians

Andrew Phillips, MD, MEd* Shahram Lotfipour, MD^{†‡} Mark I. Langdorf, MD, MHPE[†] *DHR Health, Departments of Emergency Medicine and Critical Care, Edinburg, Texas †University of California, Irvine, Department of Emergency Medicine, Irvine, California ‡Eisenhower Health, Department of Emergency Medicine, Rancho Mirage, California

Section Editor: Mark I. Langdorf, MD, MHPE

Submission history: Submitted August 18, 2021; Accepted August 18, 2021

Electronically published September 1, 2021

Full text available through open access at http://escholarship.org/uc/uciem_westjem

DOI: 10.5811/westjem.2021.8.54452

[West J Emerg Med. 2021;22(5)1023–1024.]

Increasingly, the lay and academic press has blurred the titles and roles of those who deliver various aspects of healthcare. This development confuses patients and fails to acknowledge the substantial differences in training and clinical experience.¹

Therefore, beginning with the next issue, the *Western Journal of Emergency Medicine* will no longer publish the term "provider" in reference to physicians except as required to reference specific laws or formal program names. The decision to formally and publicly expunge a term from our written language should not be – and was not –taken lightly. Yet the evidence overwhelmingly supports the scientific and professional obligation of the *Journal* to accurately and respectfully refer to healthcare professionals of all degree types and roles. As we strive to phase out use of this term, we encourage other journals to do the same.

Medical journals must promote research that is clearly reported and replicable. Yet the term "provider" has no formal definition other than a person or entity who/that qualifies for payment from Medicare or Medicaid. It has been used in the literature to refer to institutions, physicians, physician assistants, nurse practitioners, emergency medical services personnel, midwives, dieticians, nurse anesthetists, pharmacists, and others. Research in manuscripts that use the term is neither clearly reported nor replicable across the differences in education, role and scope of the individuals. This is particularly important when reporting the sensitivity/specificity characteristics of diagnostic tests, especially involving operators with various levels of training. Point-of-care ultrasound is one such example.

Medical journals also report educational content that informs patient care. Thus, accurate and precise titles that reflect previous education are necessary. Use of the generic term "provider" when teaching medicine, nursing, physical therapy, and other healthcare facets blurs the composition of the medical team and its members. The term's use may also contribute to postgraduate trainee burnout by devaluing both commitment to and duration of education.³

Spoken language in research and clinical settings evolves and is driven by the written word of medical journals.⁴ As a result, these journals bear a responsibility to foster appropriate, professional language. It is clear that many physicians dislike the term "provider."^{3,5-10} Moreover, as a profession, multiple medical societies have formally called for removal of the term in reference to physicians, ¹¹⁻¹⁵ and medical journals should reflect such professional standards.

WestJEM is not the first medical journal to adopt this policy, but it has been at least 20 years since the first journal did, even as its use increases. A simple PubMed search showed that the term was used in more than 7000 peer-reviewed manuscripts in 2020 alone (personal search by AWP on June 18, 2021, for the term "provider" in all fields at https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov), the peak of an upward trend over the last decade. Medical journals worldwide must make a conscious decision to remove the word from manuscripts if the trend is to be reversed.

We hope that our formal commitment and rationale for this decision encourages other medical journals and authors to sunset the term "provider" in reference to physicians, and better clarify the roles of other clinicians in academic writing.

Address for Correspondence: Mark I. Langdorf, MD, MHPE, University of California, Irvine, Department of Emergency Medicine, 333 City Blvd West, Suite 640, Orange, CA 92868. Email: milando@hs.uci.edu.

Conflicts of Interest: By the WestJEM article submission agreement, all authors are required to disclose all affiliations, funding sources and financial or management relationships that could be perceived as potential sources of bias. No author has professional or financial relationships with any companies that are relevant to this study. There are no conflicts of interest or sources of funding to declare.

Copyright: © 2021 Phillips et al. This is an open access article distributed in accordance with the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution (<u>CC BY 4.0</u>) License. See: http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/

REFERENCES

- American Medical Association. Truth in Advertising Survey Results.; 2018. Available at: https://www.ama-assn.org/sites/ama-assn.org/ files/corp/media-browser/premium/arc/tia-survey_0.pdf. Accessed. September 1, 2021.
- Services C for M& M. Definitions specific to Medicare. CMS. 2010. Available at: https://www.govinfo.gov/content/pkg/CFR-2010-title42-vol2/pdf/CFR-2010-title42-vol2-sec400-202.pdf. Accessed June 18, 2021.
- Erlich DR, Gravel JW. Professional identity misinformation and burnout: a call for graduate medical education to reject "provider." J Graduate Medical Educ. 2021;13(2):167-9.
- 4. Wulff HR. The language of medicine. JRSM. 2004;97(4):187-8.
- Guerrera M. What's in a name? "Provider, Lawyer, Indian Chief." Patient Care. 2004;38(8).
- 6. Brogan JC. Physicians should not be referred to as "providers." *Am Fam Physician*. 2002;65(5):799.
- Goroll AH. Eliminating the term primary care "provider:" consequences of language for the future of primary care. *JAMA*. 2016;315(17):1833-4.
- Beasley JW, Roberts RG, Goroll AH. Promoting trust and morale by changing how the word provider is used. *JAMA*. 2021;325(23):2343-4.

- 9. RB T. Please don't call me "provider." *American Family Physician*. 2001;63(12):2340-2.
- Frellick M. Most docs dislike term "providers," fewer nurses.
 Medscape. 2020. Available at: https://www.medscape.com/ viewarticle/916943. Accessed September 1, 2021.
- AAFP. Provider, Use of Term (Position Paper). 2018. Available at: https://www.aafp.org/about/policies/all/provider.html. Accessed June 17, 2021.
- AMA. AMA Policy H405.968. American Medical Association; 2019.
 Available at: https://www.ama-assn.org/system/files/2019-04/a19-bot09.pdf. Accessed September 1, 2021.
- AAEM. Position Statement on the Term "Provider." American Academy of Emergency Medicine. 2020. Available at: https://www. aaem.org/resources/statements/position/term-provider. Accessed June 17, 2021.
- GR K, JG M, D and W. 2019 Council Resolution 13: Eliminating Use of the Word "Provider" in All ACEP Communications.; 2019. Available at: https://www.acep.org/what-we-believe/actions-on-councilresolutions/councilresolution/?rid=331F98AA-EC75-EB11-A9C2-995F1D3A2B04. Accessed September 1, 2021.
- American College of Physicians. Resolution 01-S08. (2008).
 American College of Physicians.