

UC Irvine

UC Irvine Previously Published Works

Title

Rhodium-Catalyzed Enantioselective Cycloisomerization to Cyclohexenes Bearing Quaternary Carbon Centers

Permalink

<https://escholarship.org/uc/item/4f54k06j>

Journal

Journal of the American Chemical Society, 138(10)

ISSN

0002-7863

Authors

Park, Jung-Woo
Chen, Zhiwei
Dong, Vy M

Publication Date

2016-03-16

DOI

10.1021/jacs.6b01445

Peer reviewed



Published in final edited form as:

J Am Chem Soc. 2016 March 16; 138(10): 3310–3313. doi:10.1021/jacs.6b01445.

Rhodium-Catalyzed Enantioselective Cycloisomerization to Cyclohexenes Bearing Quaternary Carbon Centers


Jung-Woo Park, Zhiwei Chen, and Vy M. Dong*

Department of Chemistry, University of California, Irvine, 4403 Natural Sciences 1, Irvine, California 92697, United States

Abstract

We report a Rh-catalyzed enantioselective cycloisomerization of α,ω -heptadienes to afford cyclohexenes bearing quaternary carbon centers. Rhodium(I) and a new SDP ligand promote chemoselective formation of a cyclohex-3-enecarbaldehyde motif that is inaccessible by the Diels–Alder cycloaddition. Various α,α -bisallylaldehydes rearrange to generate six-membered rings by a mechanism triggered by aldehyde C–H bond activation. Mechanistic studies suggest a pathway involving regioselective carbometallation and endocyclic β -hydride elimination.

TOC graphic



The cycloisomerization of dienes represents a powerful and atom-economical route to unsaturated carbocycles that remains relatively limited to the preparation of *five*-membered rings (Figure 1a).^{1,2} Cycloisomerizations to *six*-membered rings have been challenging to achieve with high regio- and enantiocontrol.^{1–4} Such strategies remain sought after due to the need for cyclohexenes as building blocks and their common occurrence in nature.⁵ Inspired by natural products, including pinnatal, jerantiphylline A, and myricolal (Figure 1b),⁶ we designed a metal-catalyzed isomerization to generate cyclohex-3-enecarbaldehydes

Corresponding Author: dongv@uci.edu.

Supporting Information

Experimental procedures and spectral data for all new compounds. This material is available free of charge *via* the Internet at <http://pubs.acs.org>.

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interests.

bearing α -quaternary centers.⁷ Herein, we disclose a desymmetrization of bisallylaldehydes **1** to generate cyclohexenes **4** *via* the desymmetrization of prochiral quaternary centers (Figure 1c-route A).^{7–10} Our Rh-catalyzed method provides enantioselective access to the 3,5,5-trisubstituted cyclohexene motif that is inaccessible by the well-established Diels–Alder reaction and therefore complements conventional cycloadditions (Figure 1d).¹¹

The initial steps of our proposal rely on the well-precedented hydroacylation mechanism, namely aldehyde C–H bond activation and olefin insertion,^{12,13} to form intermediate **2** (Figure 1c). Next, we imagined that a regioselective carbometallation of the pendant olefin could afford **3**, which upon endocyclic β -hydride elimination^{13,14} would lead to the unprecedented cyclohexene **4** (Figure 1c-route A). Carbometallation could occur with the opposite regioselectivity to generate **5**, which upon reductive elimination would yield bicyclic heptanones **6** (Figure 1c-route B).^{15a} On the basis of our previous study,¹³ we realized that the key challenge would be to identify a catalyst to favor the proposed cycloisomerization, in preference to the known hydroacylation and carboacylation¹⁵ pathways.

With this hypothesis in mind, we chose 2-allyl-2-benzylpent-4-enal (**1a**) as a model substrate for desymmetrization (Chart 1). In general, electron-donating bidentate phosphine ligands with bite-angles ranging from 89–91° favored formation of the cyclopentanone **8a** *via* isomerization-hydroacylation pathways.^{13,16} By focusing on ligands with bite-angles ranging from 96–100°,¹⁶ we discovered two ligand classes that resulted in formation of cyclohexenes **4a/7a**¹⁷ and bicyclic heptanone **6a** *via* the carbometallation pathway. By tuning the aryl-substituents on DPPF, we observed a modest increase in selectivity for the generation of cyclohexenes.

Zhou's rigid spiro-bisphosphine ligand, (*S*)-Ph-SDP,¹⁸ gave the most promising lead as we obtained cyclohex-3-enecarbaldehyde **4a** in 71% yield as the major product (99% *ee*, >20:1 *dr*) with generation of **6a** in 23% yield. Transformation of **1a** with Rh-SDP catalyst was efficient with 2.5 mol% catalyst loading. By fine-tuning the aryl-substituents on the Zhou ligand, we observed a dramatic effect on the selectivity for **4a** over **6a**. Commercially available (*S*)-Ph-SDP and (*S*)-Xyl-SDP showed similar *ca.* 3:1 selectivity for **4a** over **6a**. By changing the *meta*-substituents on the phenyl group from methyl to *t*-butyl ((*S*)-DTB-SDP), we observed **4a** as the predominant product. There was a drop in enantioselectivity from 96% *ee* to 83% *ee*. We prepared a novel analogue, (*R*)-DTBM-SDP, which bears an additional *para*-methoxy substituent. This designer ligand gave **4a** as the major product, with high enantioselectivity and diastereoselectivity (95% *ee*, >20:1 *dr*).

Next, we used this protocol to prepare cyclohex-3-enecarbaldehydes bearing α -quaternary stereocenters (Table 1). Aldehydes with α -aliphatic substituents (**1a–1j**) underwent cycloisomerization in 71–92% yields with high enantioselectivities and diastereoselectivities (up to 98% *ee*, >20:1 *dr*). Cyclopropyl groups (**1e**), nitrogen heterocycles (**1d** and **1g**), haloaromatics (**1d**), ethers (**1b**, **1i**, and **1j**), and ketones (**1j**) were well-tolerated under these conditions.


Aldehydes bearing more sterically encumbered α -substituents such as cyclohexyl (**1k**) and aromatic groups (**1l–q**) were challenging to cyclize. However, by using Ph-SDP as the ligand and applying a higher rhodium loading (5%), we obtained the corresponding cyclohexenecarbaldehydes (**4k** and **4l–q**) as the major products (about 2:1 chemoselectivity (**4**/**6+8**)), 54–68% isolated yields, and 96–99% *ee*'s). Aldehyde **1r**, bearing the 1-naphthyl group, was unreactive with DTBM-SDP as the ligand, but could be cycloisomerized using the less bulky ligand, Tol-SDP. The aldehyde bearing a β -benzyloxy group (**1s**) transformed to the cyclohexene in only 35% yield because the γ -oxygen directing group promotes hydroacylation over cycloisomerization.^{13,14} No reactivity was observed with more hindered, substituted olefin substrates.¹⁹ While further catalyst development is warranted, our study represents a rare example of isomerization of α,ω -heptadienes to generate cyclohexenes with high enantiocontrol.

Aldehydes **4** can be easily oxidized or reduced to generate the corresponding acids or alcohols, respectively (Scheme 1). Depending on its oxidation state, the resulting cyclohexenes can undergo a number of selective transformations. For example, the aldehyde is necessary to initiate isomerization of the olefin to generate cyclohexenecarbaldehyde **7a** using a Rh(I)/dppf complex (Scheme 1a).²⁰ Subjecting ester **9** to the same reaction conditions resulted in no isomerization of the olefin (Scheme 1b). From this observation, we believe isomerization is triggered by aldehyde C–H bond activation, which generates the requisite Rh-hydride.^{13,14,21} By Pinnick oxidation²² of **4a**, we obtained the carboxylic acid derivative **10**. Iodolactonization of cyclohex-3-enecarboxylic acid **10** afforded [3.2.1]-bicyclic lactone **11** containing four stereogenic centers as a single regio- and diastereomer (Scheme 1c). Reduction of the aldehyde **4a** to the alcohol resulted in **4a-OH**. The alcohol can be used to direct a diastereoselective cyclopropanation to form bicycloheptane **12** (Scheme 1d, *dr*>20:1). Alcohol **4m-OH** can be acylated to generate ester **13** or sulfamylated to give sulfamate **14**. The molecular structure and absolute configuration of **13** was determined by X-ray crystallography (Scheme 1e).²³ By using White's protocol, sulfamate **14** can undergo a highly diastereoselective allylic C–H bond amination to afford **15** (Scheme 1f).²⁴ These simple derivatizations allow a number of different cyclohexanes and cyclohexenes to be prepared with substitution patterns that would otherwise be difficult to access.

On the basis of our observations and literature precedence, we propose the mechanism shown in Scheme 2. The cationic Rh(I)-complex activates the aldehyde C–H bond of **1** to form acyl-Rh(III)-hydride **16** which undergoes hydrometallation to generate rhodacycle **2**. Carbometallation onto the pendant olefin in rhodacycle **2** can occur to afford carbometallated intermediate **3**, which would then undergo β -hydride elimination to form acyl-Rh(III)-hydride **17**. Reductive elimination from **17** leads to formation of cycloisomerization product **4**. Reductive elimination from **2** or **3** would result in formation of a strained cyclobutanone, which is not observed. The carbometallation of rhodacycle **2** onto the pendant olefin with *opposite* regioselectivity would result in rhodabicyclic **5** (Figure 1c), which undergoes reductive elimination to form **6**.

Our study reveals that the bite angle of the ligand is critical for promoting carboacylation in preference to isomerization-hydroacylation pathways. An electron-donating MeOBiphep ligand (bite angle: 90.6°) enables an enantioselective hydroacylation to afford cyclopentanone **8**.¹³ Phosphine ligands with bite angles ranging from 96–99° promote formation of bicyclic heptanone **6**.¹³ Yet, the rigid spiro-bisphosphine ligand, (*S*)-Ph-SDP (bite angle: 96.2°) favors a different carbometallation that leads to cyclohexene **4**.

To gain insight into the mechanism, we performed a deuterium-labeling study with *d*-**1a**. The reaction of *d*-**1a**, under standard reaction conditions, led to formation of *d*-**4a** where 80% of the deuterium label was incorporated into the 5-methyl group and 20% was scrambled between the aldehyde and the 4-position (eq 1). This deuterium scrambling suggests that the olefin-insertion step (**16**→**2** in Scheme 2) is reversible. As a result, either carbometallation or β-hydride elimination is the rate- and enantiodetermining step.



(1)

We have demonstrated a Rh-catalyzed enantioselective cycloisomerization of α,α -bisallylaldehydes to form cyclohex-3-enecarbaldehydes. These products represent versatile intermediates that can be elaborated to a range of structures. Mechanistic studies support an aldehyde-assisted cycloisomerization followed by a regioselective carbometallation. The use of a novel SDP ligand enables high selectivity for cycloisomerization. Further experimental and theoretical studies are underway to elucidate the origin of chemoselectivity and guide development of other cycloisomerizations.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgments

Funding provided by UC Irvine and the National Institutes of Health (GM105938). We are grateful to Eli Lilly for a Grantee Award. We thank Dr. Joseph W. Ziller and Mr. Jason R. Jones for X-ray crystallographic analysis.

References

- For recent reviews, see:
 - Yamamoto Y. Chem Rev. 2012; 112:4736. [PubMed: 22703601]
 - Aïssa C. Synlett. 2014; 25:2379.
 For a seminal review of cycloisomerization, see:
 - Trost BM, Krische MJ. Synlett. 1998; 1

2. Watson IDG, Toste FD. *Chem Sci*. 2012; 3:2899.
3. (a) Molander GA, Hoberg JO. *J Am Chem Soc*. 1992; 114:3123. (b) Negishi, E-i; Jensen, MD.; Kondakov, DY.; Wang, SJ. *Am Chem Soc*. 1994; 116:8404. (c) Radetich B, RajanBabu TV. *J Am Chem Soc*. 1998; 120:8007. (d) Grau F, Heumann A, Duñach E. *Angew Chem Int Ed*. 2006; 45:7285.
4.
For rare examples of enantioselective cycloisomerizations to six-membered rings using ω -indolyl-alkene and α,ω -enallene, see
- (a) Han X, Widenhoefer RA. *Org Lett*. 2006; 8:3801. [PubMed: 16898821] (b) Tarselli MA, Chianese AR, Lee SJ, Gargné MR. *Angew Chem Int Ed*. 2007; 46:6670.
5. (a) Sato K, Aoki M, Noyori R. *Science*. 1998; 281:1646. [PubMed: 9733504] (b) He W, Fang Z, Tian Q, Shen W, Guo K. *Ind Eng Chem Res*. 2016; 55:1373.
6.
For pinnatal:
- (a) Malerich JP, Trauner DJ. *Am Chem Soc*. 2003; 125:9554.
- For jerantiphylline A:
- (b) Lim K-H, Thomas NF, Abdullah Z, Kam T-S. *Phytochem*. 2009; 70:424.
- For myricolal:
- (c) Yaguchi Y, Sakurai N, Nagai M, Inoue T. *Chem Pharm Bull*. 1988; 36:1419.
7.
For reviews on enantioselective construction of quaternary stereocenters, including desymmetrization strategies, see:
- (a) Quasdorf KW, Overman LE. *Nature*. 2014; 516:181. [PubMed: 25503231] (b) Douglas CJ, Overman LE. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA*. 2004; 101:5363. [PubMed: 14724294] (c) Liu Y, Han SJ, Liu W-B, Stoltz BM. *Acc Chem Res*. 2015; 48:740. [PubMed: 25715056] (d) Lebel H, Marcoux J-F, Molinaro C, Charette AB. *Chem Rev*. 2003; 103:977. [PubMed: 12683775] (e) Petersen KS. *Tetrahedron Lett*. 2015; 56:6523. [PubMed: 26834295]
8.
For select examples of using carbenoids to set quaternary stereocenters, see:
- (a) Briones JF, Davies HML. *J Am Chem Soc*. 2013; 135:13314. [PubMed: 23978108] (b) Spangler JE, Davies HML. *J Am Chem Soc*. 2013; 135:6802. [PubMed: 23607705] (c) Hyster TK, Ruhl KE, Rovis TJ. *Am Chem Soc*. 2013; 135:5364.
9.
For recent desymmetrizations of quaternary stereocenters, see:
- (a) Zhou F, Cheng G-J, Yang W, Long Y, Zhang S, Wu Y-D, Zhang X, Cai Q. *Angew Chem Int Ed*. 2014; 53:9555. (b) Roux C, Candy M, Pons J-M, Chuzel O, Bressy C. *Angew Chem Int Ed*. 2014; 53:766. (c) Yao L, Liu K, Tao H-Y, Qiu G-F, Zhou X, Wang C-J. *Chem Commun*. 2013; 49:6078. (d) Lee JY, You YS, Kang SH. *J Am Chem Soc*. 2011; 133:1772. [PubMed: 21265528]
10.
For desymmetrizations involving C-H activation, see:
- (a) Phan DHT, Kou KGM, Dong VMJ. *Am Chem Soc*. 2010; 132:16354. (b) Xiao K-J, Lin DW, Miura M, Zhu R-Y, Gong W, Wasa M, Yu J-Q. *J Am Chem Soc*. 2014; 136:8138. [PubMed: 24815880] (c) Shi B-F, Zhang Y-H, Lam JK, Wang D-H, Yu J-Q. *J Am Chem Soc*. 2010; 132:460. [PubMed: 20017549]

11. (a) Carey, FA.; Sundberg, RJ. *Advanced Organic Chemistry Part A: Structure and Mechanisms*. 5th. NY: Springer; 2007. p. 843-848. (b) Huang Y, Iwama T, Rawal VH. *J Am Chem Soc.* 2000; 122:7843.
12. (a) Willis MC. *Chem Rev.* 2010; 110:725. [PubMed: 19873977] (b) Park YJ, Park J-W, Jun C-H. *Acc Chem Res.* 2008; 47:109.
13. Park J-W, Kou KGM, Kim DK, Dong VM. *Chem Sci.* 2015; 6:4479. [PubMed: 26508999]
14. Yip SYY, Aïssa C. *Angew Chem Int Ed.* 2015; 54:6870.
- 15.

For a related carbocyclization using pyridyl directing groups, see:

- (a) Aïssa C, Ho KYT, Tetlow DJ, Pin-Nó M. *Angew Chem Int Ed.* 2014; 53:4209.

For recent Rh-catalyzed carboacylations, see:

- (b) Ko HM, Dong G. *Nature Chem.* 2014; 6:739. [PubMed: 25054946] (c) Souillart L, Cramer N. *Angew Chem Int Ed.* 2014; 53:9640. (d) Souillart L, Parker E, Cramer N. *Angew Chem Int Ed.* 2014; 53:3001. (e) Xu T, Ko HM, Savage N, Dong G. *J Am Chem Soc.* 2012; 134:20005. [PubMed: 23171396] (f) Dreis AM, Douglas CJ. *J Am Chem Soc.* 2009; 131:412. [PubMed: 19105696]
16. (a) Freixa Z, van Leeuwen PWNM. *Dalton Trans.* 2003:1890. (b) Dierkes P, van Leeuwen PWNM. *J Chem Soc, Dalton Trans.* 1999:1519. (c) Shen Z, Dornan PK, Khan HA, Woo TK, Dong VM. *J Am Chem Soc.* 2009; 131:1077. [PubMed: 19128061] (d) Pawley RJ, Moxham GL, Dallanegra R, Chaplin AB, Brayshaw SK, Weller AS, Willis MC. *Organometallics.* 2010; 29:1717.
- 17.

Cyclohexene **7a** arises from olefin-isomerization of **4a**.

18. Xie J-H, Zhou Q-L. *Acc Chem Res.* 2008; 41:581. [PubMed: 18311931]

19.


Aldehydes bearing substituted α -allyl groups such as crotyl, cinnamyl, and methallyl groups were unreactive with the Rh/SDP catalyst. We did not observe the desired cycloisomerization product in the reaction of an α,α -bis(homoallyl)aldehyde.

20.

Only some ligands promote this isomerization. DPPF and DPEphos were effective (5% Rh/L, DCE, 40 °C). (*R*)-DTBM-SDP was less reactive even at higher catalyst loading (10% Rh/L).

21.

When D-labeled **4a** (**4a-d**) was subjected to the Rh⁺/*(R)*-DTBM-SDP catalyst (10 mol%), we observed 70% conversion to **8** where the deuterium label was incorporated into the 4-position.



22. Lindgren BO, Nilsson T. *Acta Chem Scand.* 1973; 27:888.

23.

CCDC 1451888 contains the supplementary crystallographic data for compound **13**. See Supporting Information. These data can be obtained free of charge via http://www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/data_request/cif, or by emailing data_request@ccdc.cam.ac.uk, or by contacting The Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre, 879912, Union Road, Cambridge CB879952 879951EZ, UK; fax: +879944 871223 336033.

24. Paradine SM, Griffin JR, Zhao J, Petronico AL, Miller SM, White MC. *Nature Chem.* 2015; 7:987. [PubMed: 26587714]

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript






Figure 1.
Inspiration for Rh-catalyzed cycloisomerization triggered by C–H bond activation



Scheme 1.
Elaboration of cyclohexenecarbaldehydes



Scheme 2.
Proposed mechanism





Chart 1. Ligand effects on the desymmetrization of α,α -bisallylaldehyde towards cyclohexenecarbaldehyde

^a $x=5$, 18 h. ^b $x=2.5$, 18 h. ^c $x=1.25$, 12 h. ^e >20:1 *dr*, determined by ¹H NMR. ^fThe aldehyde **4a** was reduced to its corresponding alcohol to determine *ee* by SFC analysis with a chiral stationary phase. ^gTrace amount of **7a** and **8a** was observed. Xyl: 3,5-Me-phenyl, DTB: 3,5-di(*t*-butyl)-phenyl, DTBM: 3,5-di(*t*-butyl)-4-methoxy-phenyl, DTMS: 3,5-di(trimethylsilyl)-phenyl.

Table 1

Enantioselective cycloisomerization of α,α -bisallylaldehydes^a^a Isolated yields. The *ee* was determined by chiral SFC analysis after reducing the aldehydes with NaBH₄, and *dr* was determined by ¹H NMR.^b Reaction condition: $x=1.25$, 40 °C, 4–12 h.^c $x=1$, rt, 2 h.^d $x=1$, 40 °C, 4 h.^e (*S*)- or (*R*)-SDP, $x=2.5$, 40 °C, 18 h.^f (*S*)-Tol-SDP, $x=2.5$, 40 °C, 18 h.