Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory

Recent Work

Title

EFFECT OF NONLOCAL FORCES ON THE INTEGRATED CROSS SECTION OF PHOTONUCLEAR REACTIONS

Permalink https://escholarship.org/uc/item/4f74j8s3

Author

Borsig, M.v.

Publication Date 1971-10-01

Submitted to Physical Review Letters

23

EFFECT OF NONLOCAL FORCES ON THE INTEGRATED CROSS SECTION OF PHOTONUCLEAR REACTIONS

M. v. Borsig and M. Weigel

RECEIVED LAWRENCE BAGILATION LACEDAVICIA

DEC 13 1971

October 1971

LIDRARY AND Scouments Creation

AEC Contract No. W-7405-eng-48

TWO-WEEK LOAN COPY

This is a Library Circulating Copy which may be borrowed for two weeks. For a personal retention copy, call Tech. Info. Division, Ext. 5545



12

_BL-244

DISCLAIMER

This document was prepared as an account of work sponsored by the United States Government. While this document is believed to contain correct information, neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor the Regents of the University of California, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by its trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof, or the Regents of the University of California. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof or the Regents of the University of California.

EFFECT OF NONLOCAL FORCES ON THE INTEGRATED CROSS SECTION OF PHOTONUCLEAR REACTIONS*

M. v. Borsig[†]

Sektion Physik der Universität University München Munich, Germany

and

M. Weigel^{††}

Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory University of California Berkeley, California 94720

October 1971

Abstract

The modification of the dipole sum rule for the nonlocal Tabakin potential is given in the framework of Levinger's quasideuteron model. The included correlations cause an increase of about 60% for the value of the anomaly compared to the outcome of the independent particle model.

I. Introduction

The modification of the sum rule of Thomas-Kuhn-Reiche-Feenberg-Siegert¹ caused by nonlocal nucleon-nucleon forces has been the goal of several investigations. The simple case of exchange forces has been treated already a long time ago.²⁻⁶ <u>Dohnert</u> and <u>Rojo</u> studied the problem up to second order for a potential with quadratic momentum dependence.⁸ For the Tabakin potential⁹-consisting of sets of separable potentials--the first order correction was calculated by <u>Weigel</u> and Suessmann.¹⁰ The aim of this note is to take the higher correlations in the case of the Tabakin potential into account by using the quasideuteron model proposed by Levinger <u>et al.</u>¹¹ In the second section we are going to present the general formalism needed in our approach. The results and discussion are given in Sec. III.

II. General Formalism

In the electric-dipole approximation, the integrated photonuclear cross section is given by

$$\int dE \sigma_{\gamma}(E) = \frac{2\pi^2 he}{cm} \sum_{n} f_{on} , \qquad (II.1)$$

where the dipole sum is defined as follows ($|\phi\rangle$ denotes the ground state of the system, D is the dipole operator)

$$\sum_{n} f_{on} := -\frac{m}{\hbar^2} \langle \phi | [[H,D],D] | \phi \rangle \qquad (II.2)$$

The matrix element of the nucleon-nucleon force has the general form:

$$\langle \mathbf{r} | \mathbf{v} | \mathbf{r}' \rangle = \sum_{JST} \sum_{LL'} \sum_{T_3M} Y_{LM}^{JS}(\hat{\mathbf{r}}) |_{T_3}^{T} \rangle \langle \mathbf{r} JSTL | \mathbf{v} | \mathbf{r} JSTL' \rangle \langle \mathbf{T}_3 | Y_{L'M}^{JS}(\hat{\mathbf{r}}') . (II.3)$$

Since the contribution of the kinetic energy is well known, we are only interested in the double commutator containing the potential energy. As is in Ref. 10 we neglect the neutron excess. For the quasideuteron model we have then to deal with the following matrix element $(D_c: = [[V,D],D])$:

For more details and definitions we refer to Ref. 10.

$$F: = \langle \phi_2 | D_C | \phi_2 \rangle = \langle \phi_0 | (1 + U_2^{\dagger}) D_C (1 + U_2) | \phi_0 \rangle$$

$$= \langle \phi_{0} | D_{C} | \phi_{0} \rangle + 2 \operatorname{Re} \langle \phi_{0} | D_{C} | U_{2} | \phi_{0} \rangle + O(v^{2}) \quad . \tag{II.4}$$

The relevant quantities of Eq. (II.4) are defined in field theoretical formulation as follows:

$$|\phi_{0}\rangle := \frac{1}{\sqrt{A!}} \prod_{j=1}^{A} a_{\alpha_{j}}^{\dagger} |0\rangle , \qquad (II.5)$$

$$U_{2}: = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha\beta} \sum_{\gamma\mu} \frac{(1-n_{\alpha})(1-n_{\beta})n_{\gamma}n_{\mu}}{\varepsilon_{\mu} + \varepsilon_{j} - \varepsilon_{\alpha} - \varepsilon_{\beta}} \langle \alpha\beta | \mathbf{v} | \gamma\mu \rangle \mathbf{a}_{\alpha}^{\dagger} \mathbf{a}_{\beta}^{\dagger} \mathbf{a}_{\mu} \mathbf{a}_{\gamma} , \quad (II.6)$$

$$D_{C}: = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha\beta} \sum_{\gamma\mu} \langle \alpha\beta | (vd^{2} - 2vdv + d^{2}v) | \gamma\mu \rangle a_{\alpha}^{\dagger} a_{\beta}^{\dagger} a_{\mu} a_{\gamma} , \quad (II.7)$$

with:

υ

$$d_{12}$$
: = $(z_1 t_{13} + z_2 t_{23})$

With t we denote the isospin vector operator, n_{α} is the occupation number in the shell-model.

The first term of Eq. (II.4) has been calculated for nuclear matter in Ref. 10. Making the same approximation, we obtain for the second term by use of the commutator relations and insertion of momentum eigenfunctions the following result (m = \hbar = 1):

LBL-244

LBL-244

$$\begin{aligned} F_{2} &= -\frac{8}{12} \int d\mathfrak{p}_{12} \ d\mathfrak{p}_{12}' \ d\mathfrak{p}_{12}' \ \mathfrak{p}_{12}'^{2} - \mathfrak{p}_{12}'^{2} \\ &= -\frac{8}{12} \int d\mathfrak{p}_{12} \ d\mathfrak{p}_{12}' \ d\mathfrak{p}_{12}' \ \mathfrak{p}_{12}'^{2} - \mathfrak{p}_{12}'^{2} \\ &= \tau_{1}' \tau_{2}' \ \mathfrak{p}_{1}' \tau_{2}' \ \mathfrak{p}_{1}' \tau_{2}' \ \mathfrak{p}_{12}' \tau_{1}' \tau_{2}' \tau_{2}' \ \mathfrak{p}_{12}' \tau_{1}' \tau_{2}' \tau_{2}' \tau_{2$$

with:

$$f(\underline{p},\underline{p}'): = \frac{3A}{16\pi} \int \frac{d\underline{K}}{p_{F}^{3}} \Theta\left(\frac{|\underline{K}+\underline{p}'|-p_{F}}{p_{F}}\right) \Theta\left(\frac{|\underline{K}-\underline{p}'|-p_{F}}{p_{F}}\right) \Theta\left(\frac{p_{F}-|\underline{K}+\underline{p}|}{p_{F}}\right) \Theta\left(\frac{p_{F}-|\underline{K}-\underline{p}|}{p_{F}}\right) \Theta\left(\frac{p_{F}-|$$

Here, we label with σ_1 , τ_1 etc. the quantum numbers of the third component of the spin, isospin operator s_{13} , t_{13} . The index a.s. implies complete antisymmetrization with respect to the coordinates 1,2 and 1',2' ($\langle 12-21|(..)|1'2'-2'1'\rangle$).

In order to simplify Eq. (II.8) we use as usually the spherical average \tilde{f} over the function f(p,p'), so obtaining

$$F_{2}: = -\frac{2}{3} \int p_{12}^{2} dp_{12} p_{12}' p_{12}'^{2} \frac{\tilde{f}(p_{12}, p_{12}')}{p_{12}' - p_{12}^{2}} \sum_{JST} \sum_{LL'} \{(2f+1)*(\frac{1/2}{1/2}\frac{1/2}{1/2}|_{0}^{T})^{4} \\ * \langle p_{12}JSTL | (v r_{12}^{2} + r_{12}^{2} v) | p_{12}' JSTL' \rangle \langle p_{12}' JSTL' | v | p_{12} JSTL \rangle \\ * (1 - (-)^{L+S+T}) * (1 - (-)^{L'+S+T}) \}$$
(II.10)

-4-

Since the potential matrix elements are analytical functions of p, the derivatives of $\langle p | v | p' \rangle$ with respect to p or p', respectively, are obtainable explicitly. One can then proceed with the calculation of (II.10) using standard techniques known from the second order energy.

III. Results and Discussion

For the relevant dipole anomaly defined by

$$C = 4 A^{-1} \sum_{n} f_{on} - 1 = C_1 + C_2$$
, (III.1)

the obtained results are shown in Table I. An important correction arises from the fact, that one should use in (II.6), (II.8), and (II.10) consistent single particle energies, which can be taken into account by the effective mass approximation. This causes an additional factor m^* for C_2 . Tabakin gives the value $m^* = 0.6$. In Table I we have given both alternatives ($m^* = 1., m^* = 0.6$). A comparison with the results of <u>Dohnert</u> and <u>Rojo</u> shows, that we get slightly higher values for C ($m^* = 1.$). Due to the uncertainties in the experimental determination of the integrated cross section the theoretical result remains within the experimental limits.¹¹ A dark point is the order of C_2 compared with C_1 . Since both terms are--except for S-waves--of the same magnitude, the convergence remains an open question.

Acknowledgments

The authors want to thank Prof. G. Suessmann and Dr. G. Wegmann for helpful discussions. One of us (M.W.) wishes to express his gratitude to Prof. N. K. Glendenning and the members of the theoretical nuclear physics group in Berkeley for their hospitality.

References

*Work performed under the auspices of the U.S. Atomic Energy Commission. [†]Present Address: Max-Planck-Institut zur Erforschung der Lebensbedingungen der wissenschaftlich-technischen Umwelt, D-813 Starnberg, Germany.

^{††}Present Address: Sektion Physik der Universität, Munich, Germany.

 J. S. Levinger, <u>Nuclear Photo-Disintegration</u>, (Oxford University Press, Oxford, 1960).

2. E. Feenberg, Phys. Rev. <u>49</u>, 328 (1936).

3. A. J. F. Siegert, Phys. Rev. <u>52</u>, 787 (1937).

4. J. S. Levinger and H. A. Bethe, Phys. Rev. 78, 115 (1950).

5. F. C. Barker, Phil. Mag., Ser. II, 8, 780 (1957).

6. J. H. Carver and D. C. Peaslee, Phys. Rev. <u>120</u>, 2155 (1960).

7. L. Dohnert and O. Rojo, Phys. Rev. 136, 396 (1964).

8. O. Rojo and L. M. Simmons, Phys. Rev. 125, 273 (1962).

9. F. Tabakin, Ann. Phys. (N.Y.), <u>30</u>, 51 (1964).

10. M. Weigel and G. Suessmann, Z. Physik <u>190</u>, 267 (1966).

11) J. S. Levinger <u>et al</u>., Phys. Rev. <u>119</u>, 230 (1960).

Table I. Dipole anomaly as a function of the Fermi momentum $(p_F = 1.52/r_o)$. The contributions of the different partial waves are displayed explicitly. For each quantum number set the first row corresponds to the IPM, the second gives the change due to the correlations. The total outcome is given on the bottom $(m^* = 0.6)$.

C

1

	r _o [F]	1.00	1.07	1.15	1.24
	₽ _F [F ⁻¹]	1.52	1.42	1.32	1.22
¹ s ₀		0.22 0.04	0.19 0.04	0.18 0.04	0.15 0.04
3 ₈₁		0.61 0.12	0.56 0.13	0.51	0.45 0.13
³ s ₁ - ³ D ₁		 0.19	0.19	0.19	0.19
³ D ₁		-0.08 0.06	-0.08 0.05	-0.08 0.03	-0.07 0.02
l _{Pl}		0.29 0.36	0.27 0.30	0.23 0.24	0.20 0.19
³ _{P0}		0.04 -0.01	0.03 -0.01	0.01	0.01 -0.01
³ Pl		0.13 0.17	0.14 0.15	0.14 0.13	0.13 0.11
³ _{P2}		-0.10	-0.09 0.04	-0.09 0.03	-0.08 0.03
¹ D ₂		-0.09 0.01	-0.09 0.01	-0.08 0.01	-0.08 0.01
³ D ₂		-0.07 0.13	-0.06 0.11	-0.05 0.09	-0.04 0.07
³ D ₃		-0.18 0.02	-0.18 0.02	-0.17 0.02	-0.16 0.01

(continued)

j.24

1.22

	fable I. (co	ntinued)	
r _o [F]	1.00	1.07	1.15
p _F [F ⁻¹]	1.52	1.42	1.32

	<u> </u>			
$C_{1} = \Sigma C_{1}^{(j)}$	0.94	0.88	0.81	0.13
$c_2 = \Sigma c_2^{(j)}$	1.14	1.03	0.91	0.80
$c = c_1 + c_2$	2.08	1.91	1.72	1.53
$c^* = c_1 + m^* c_2$	1.62	1.50	1.36	1.21

-LEGAL NOTICE-

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by the United States Government. Neither the United States nor the United States Atomic Energy Commission, nor any of their employees, nor any of their contractors, subcontractors, or their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. TECHNICAL INFORMATION DIVISION LAWRENCE BERKELEY LABORATORY UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA BERKELEY, CALIFORNIA 94720