UC Irvine UC Irvine Previously Published Works

Title

Blind Resolution of Lifetime Components in Individual Pixels of Fluorescence Lifetime Images Using the Phasor Approach

Permalink https://escholarship.org/uc/item/4fm0z76v

Journal The Journal of Physical Chemistry B, 124(45)

ISSN 1520-6106

Authors

Vallmitjana, Alexander Torrado, Belén Dvornikov, Alexander <u>et al.</u>

Publication Date 2020-11-12

DOI

10.1021/acs.jpcb.0c06946

Peer reviewed



HHS Public Access

Author manuscript J Phys Chem B. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2022 July 11.

Published in final edited form as:

J Phys Chem B. 2020 November 12; 124(45): 10126–10137. doi:10.1021/acs.jpcb.0c06946.

Blind Resolution of Lifetime Components in Individual Pixels of Fluorescence Lifetime Images Using the Phasor Approach

Alexander Vallmitjana^{1,#}, Belén Torrado^{1,#}, Alexander Dvornikov¹, Suman Ranjit^{2,*}, Enrico Gratton^{1,*}

¹Laboratory for Fluorescence Dynamics, Department of Biomedical Engineering, University of California, Irvine, California.

²Department of Biochemistry and Molecular & Cellular Biology, Georgetown University, Washington D.C.

Abstract

The phasor approach is used in Fluorescence Lifetime Imaging Microscopy (FLIM) for several purposes, notably to calculate the metabolic index of single cells and tissues. An important feature of the phasor approach is that it is a fit-free method allowing immediate and easy to interpret analysis of images. In a recent paper, we showed that 3 or 4 intensity fractions of exponential components can be resolved in each pixel of an image by the phasor approach using simple algebra, provided the component phasors are known. This method only makes use of the rule of linear combination of phasors rather than fits. Without prior knowledge of the components and their single exponential decay times, resolution of components and fractions is much more challenging. Blind decomposition has been done only for cuvette experiments wherein the statistics in terms of number of photons collected is very good. In this paper we show that, using the phasor approach and measurements of the decay at phasor harmonics 2 and 3, available using modern electronics, we could resolve the decay in each pixel of an image in live cells or mice liver tissues with 2 or more exponential components without prior knowledge of the values of the components. In this paper, blind decomposition is achieved using a graphical method for 2 components and a minimization method for 3 components. This specific use of the phasor approach to resolve multicomponent in a pixel enables applications where multiplexing species with different lifetimes and potentially different spectra can provide a different type of super-resolved image content.

Graphical Abstract

^{*} egratton22@gmail.com, suman.ranjit@georgetown.edu corresponding authors. #Contributed equally.



Introduction

Resolving multiple decay components in the same pixel is a very different task than resolving different components spatially separated in different parts of the FLIM image. ¹⁻³ The phasor approach has become popular because of its ease-of-use and also for being fit-free and therefore not affected by artifacts introduced during the fit.^{1, 4-9} For example in the case of NAD(P)H, the form bound to an enzyme and the free form of NAD(P)H, with good approximation, decay as single exponentials although the free form is composed of several species decaying with slightly different lifetimes.¹⁰⁻¹² Since the law of linear phasor combination ^{3, 13} holds for multiple components, an experimental pixel that has the two components of NADH (bound and free) will fall in the line joining the position of the two pure species (bound and free¹⁴, although they could be decaying as multi-exponentials).^{3, 14, 15} This property has been exploited by measuring the position of the pixel along that line using a graphical approach.^{16, 17} In practice, we resolve the decay at each pixel along the line in the two species (bound and free NAD(P)H) and their relative fractional intensities.^{3, 14} The issue becomes more complicated when the combinations of 3 or more components exist within a pixel. When the components are 2 or 3, this problem can be solved graphically and here we describe these algorithms. The graphical approach was published earlier.^{17, 18} The graphical decomposition is simple if we know the location in the phasor plot of the pure species. In such a case, the intensity fractional contributions of 3 species can be obtained using the rules of linear algebra.^{17, 18} However, when the lifetimes of the pure species are not known in advance, the problem of resolving the unknown lifetimes becomes difficult under the typical FLIM conditions in which only about 100 photons are collected at each pixel of an image. Global fitting methods (spatially averaging) have been used, ¹⁹⁻²² but so far we don't have a pixel-wise "blind" method to resolve components and their intensity fractions, *i.e.* the relative abundance of the species, which is the subject of this manuscript. The term *blind* refers to the fact that we do not have any a priori knowledge of neither the fractions or the lifetimes of the components contributing to the lifetime curve at a particular pixel.

In the Supplementary Material of this paper we briefly introduce the definition of the phasor transform and the representation of the decay curve as a point in the phasor plot, the concept

of the harmonic content of the data at each pixel of the image, and the specific processing pipeline used in this work.

To establish some general principles, since a pixel on the phasor plot is identified by 2 coordinates (g and s, as shown in the supplemental material), this gives us two constraints so, at most, we can solve a system with 2 unknowns. However, if we calculate the phasor transform of our data into higher harmonics of the original frequency of acquisition, and the data does carry additional information in the harmonic content, then additional unknowns can be determined. In general, the measurement of multiple harmonics is not always possible because it depends on the specific electronic characteristics of the lifetime device being used to measure the decay. The FPGA-based device used here carries information up to the 7th harmonic. Supposing the measurement device does have the capability of capturing higher harmonics, one can then obtain these harmonics by computing the phasor transform of the required order (see supplementary material for more details). For example, if we have the first and second phasor harmonics, in principle we can find 4 unknowns. In such an example, it would be possible to calculate 2 lifetimes and one relative intensity fraction. If we have 3 harmonics in the phasor plot, we have a total of 6 parameters (2 coordinates per each harmonic) and we can obtain 3 lifetimes and 3 intensity fractions. In principle, this operation could be done if the decays were to be measured in the time domain, but the lack of sufficient statistics in terms of total photons collected in a pixel and the need to account for the instrument response function (IRF) has prevented the resolution of more than 2 components in the same pixel in FLIM images.

The blind analysis of components in each pixel will allow us to obtain the relative abundance of multiple species in every pixel of an image from a single measurement - by using spectral^{23, 24} and lifetime information. With the technique described in this manuscript available, experiments which require the measurement of many components in the same pixel, for example for biomedical diagnostic applications, gene expression and other fundamental applications in biology, can be performed.

In this paper, first we describe the graphical and analytical algorithms employed. Next we simulate various combinations of components with and without noise added to the lifetime components and to the phasor coordinates g and s of the harmonics to test the algorithm. Then we use a mixture of 2 to 4 single exponential dyes in solutions and finally to further test the method we show images of biological samples. We show an example with live cells stained with nuclear dyes and another example in which we measured autofluorescence from mice liver tissues samples (fed with normal diet and high fat and high cholesterol diet called Western diet). In both biological examples we have at least 3 components in some pixels to demonstrate the applicability of the blind decomposition algorithm.

Defining the Problem

The supplemental material of this manuscript contains detailed information about the definition of the phasor approach and its graphical interpretation. Based on the properties of vector addition in phasor plots (also called the linear combination of the phasor), the starting point is the constraint that the data point in the phasor representation must be a

weighted sum of the *N* coordinates of the pure component species that are contributing to the fluorescence in that pixel: 1, 3, 5, 7

$$\sum_{1}^{N} f_i g_i = G \tag{Eq 1}$$

$$\sum_{1}^{N} f_i s_i = S \tag{Eq 2}$$

$$\sum_{1}^{N} f_i = 1 \tag{Eq 3}$$

Here, the measured data point is at the coordinates (*G*, *S*) which are expressed as the sum of *N* components, with coordinates (g_i , s_i), each with its corresponding fractions f_i . The geometrical interpretation of the constraints of Eq 1 and Eq 2 is that a data point is located at the weighted barycenter of the polygon, the vertices of which are the positions of the pure components. The sum of the fractions is unity by construction.

Additionally, due to the fact that the pure components are by definition single exponential decays, we add the constraint that they have to lie on what, in the phasor representation, is known as the universal semicircle. This is a relation between the coordinates; $s_i^2 = g_i - g_i^2$, being the equation of the universal circle. The other consideration that also only holds on the universal circle is that due to the properties of the phasor transform, for a pure exponential component, we can relate the coordinates of any harmonics of the same lifetime (see supplementary material and figures 1 and 2).

Finally, the key point is that if the decay data is acquired in a way that its higher harmonics can be obtained, then Eq 1 and Eq 2 can be written for each of the harmonics where in each of these pairs of equations, the fractions f_i are consistent between Eq 1 and Eq 2 (see supplementary material). At this point we are left with a set of n pairs of equations, one for each harmonic, plus the relationship between the fractions (Eq 3). Using the equation of the universal circle, we can express all the s_i coordinate of the pure components in terms of the g_i coordinate which depends on the lifetime, at the cost of adding non-linearity to the system. Similarly, using the relation between the coordinates on the universal circle and its harmonics, we can express all the higher harmonics in terms of the first one. Finally, we can always use (Eq 3) to express one of the fractions in terms of the other *N-1*.

For the general case of N components, we are left with a total of (2N - I) unknowns; the g_i and s_i coordinates for the N components and N-1 of the weights f_i . This means that in order for the problem to be solvable, we need to measure at least N harmonics to write down the 2N nonlinear equations. The harmonics must be measured at each pixel of the image, which is doable with modern FLIM acquisition electronics.²⁵

Methods

Resolving 2 components using a graphical approach

The system of equations defined in the introduction can be solved for example by least squares methods for every possible combination of lifetimes or by using minimization techniques such as the simplex algorithm or gradient based algorithms. However, we found that for the case of 2 components a geometric graphical algorithm is much faster and more importantly does not require an initial guess, as is the case for the minimization algorithms. For the purpose of this work, we use the graphical method to illustrate the role of the harmonics and the principle we are using to determine the parameters of a blind system using the constraints that are given by the requirement that the experimental determined harmonics impose on the possible locations of the unknown lifetimes and their fractional intensities.

In the case of 2 components, the experimental data at each pixel are the first (h1) and second (h2) harmonic coordinates from the FLIM measurement of a system that contains two exponential components, as shown in figure 1 (the data are the blue and the green empty circles inside the universal semicircle).²⁶ The algorithm consists in finding the pure lifetimes and the fractional components that have generated the data (the empty circles) for both harmonics (blue and green).

Starting with a point on the universal circle (the small blue dot at the right, figure 1A), we trace a line from this point passing through the experimental data point (blue empty circle, figure 1A). This line intersects the universal circle at a point which determines the second lifetime (large filled blue dot on the universal circle). The distance between the blue line and the experimental point is zero by construction (figure 1A). We scan the universal circle and for each position of the candidate components (again, blue filled circles), we calculate the corresponding second harmonic positions of the two candidate lifetimes (green filled circles). In general, the line joining these second harmonics of the candidate lifetimes will not pass through the second harmonic of the data point (empty green ring). We keep repeating this process for all possible positions of the candidate until the distance in the second harmonic is minimal. This is the solution for two components. For the fractional intensities we find the relative distances of the two line segments on either side of the data point (in either of the harmonics). The specific solution is shown in figure 1B.

Resolving N components

Before moving into the general case, we developed a similar graphical approach for resolving 3 components by the graphical method. Again, we scan the phasor plot semicircle for all possible combinations of the 3 components with the addition, as reasoned in the introduction, that we now need 3 harmonics at each pixel. For each of the possible combinations of 3 candidate components, the fractions that would generate the data in each of the 3 harmonics are calculated and the solution is the one combination of components that satisfies that the fractions are the same.

Figure 2 shows the approach for 3 arbitrary components. Similar to the previous case, the algorithm scans the 3-dimensional space defined by the 3 components and finds the

combination of them that generates the data points in the 3 harmonics with the same intensity fractions.

The set of equations (Eq 1), (Eq 2) and (Eq 3) allows to analytically expressing the three fractions in terms of the coordinates. From (Eq 3) $f_3 = 1 - f_2 - f_1$ and additionally for the other two fractions:

$$f_1 = \frac{(G - g_3)(s_2 - s_3) - (g_2 - g_3)(S - s_3)}{(g_1 - g_3)(s_2 - s_3) - (g_2 - g_3)(s_1 - s_3)}$$
(Eq 4)

$$f_2 = \frac{(G - g_3)(s_1 - s_3) - (g_1 - g_3)(S - s_3)}{(g_2 - g_3)(s_1 - s_3) - (g_1 - g_3)(s_2 - s_3)}$$
(Eq 5)

This allows obtaining the fractions for each combination of candidate lifetimes for each harmonic and finding the lifetime combination in which the fractions are the same (with some tolerance). One does not really need to scan the whole space of possibilities; and can exclude the permutations of components. Additionally, one does not need to cover the cases in which the three components form a triangle with the data point (the harmonics) outside of it (corresponding to negative fractions and/or fractions above unity).

Figure 2 follows the same color and symbol code used in figure 1, the experimental points are indicated with empty circles and individual components are indicated by filled circles (blue, green and orange 1st, 2nd and 3rd, respectively).

This graphical algorithm is computationally simple. Three nested loops for the 3 independent lifetimes, one inside the other, for each iteration solving expressions in Eqs. 4 and 5. The number of steps in which the circle is divided determines the computational search time. This is the reason why the algorithm cannot realistically be upgraded to a higher number of components; the computational time scales with the Nth power of the number of steps in each lifetime component.

Our alternative to the graphical search approach is a minimization based approach. This approach allows the possibility of writing a general algorithm to the problem to N components, at the cost of the possibility of falling in a local minimum. The function to be minimized can be constructed from equations (Eq. 1 and Eq. 2) written for 3 harmonics. One can define a distance function as the sum of the squared differences of each the terms on either side of the equality. The mathematical formulation is detailed in the supplementary material.

We provide in our website the SimFCS program with the instructions to perform simulations on the effect of combining 2, 3 or 4 components and using harmonics to resolve the mixture and to perform the general blind component analysis given a set of FLIM measurements (https://www.lfd.uci.edu/).

Experimental system and data acquisition

Data acquisition and analysis was performed using the Globals for Images-SimFCS software (available for free download at https://www.lfd.uci.edu/). The DIVER microscope (described in^{27, 28}) was used for imaging. This multiphoton microscope utilizes tunable pulsed InSight DS+ laser (Spectra-Physics, Santa Clara, USA), operating at 80 MHz repetition rate. Samples were excited using Olympus LUMPlanFL 40x/0.80NA W objective, following a two-photon excitation scheme at 800 nm and average laser power of 5 to 10 mW at the sample, and was collected using the DIVER detector that does not require an objective for collection of fluorescence. Images of 256x256 pixels were acquired at 32 µs/pixel dwell time, and averaged for 10 frames/image. Liver samples were excited at 740 nm and signal was collected using a 400-500 nm filter, tiling was performed using a 10x/0.1NA air objective. The microscope is connected to a 320 Fast-FLIMbox (ISS, Inc., Champaign-Illinois, USA) to allow FLIM measurements and phasor analysis.²⁹ The FLIMbox utilizes Spartan 6 FPGA and allows multi-frequency data analysis up to 7th harmonic with a fundamental frequency of 80 MHz.²⁵ We would like to note that other commercial microscopes with similar FLIM capabilities can be used as well for equivalent data acquisition and processing, such as the Leica Falcon or instruments that use time domain devices by PicoQuant. The only requirement is that the setup is done correctly to access the higher harmonic information, i.e. the repetition frequency is long enough to capture the whole decay.

Preparation of dye mixtures

The following dyes with known fluorescence lifetimes were used as individual components and in mixtures: 5-Dimethylaminonaphthalene-1-sulfonic Acid (Dansyl acid) (TCI America, Portland, OR), Fluorescein (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO), Eosin Y (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO), Sulfo-Cyanine3 carboxylic acid (Sulfo-Cy3) (Lumiprobe, Hunt Valley, Maryland). Solutions were prepared by dissolving the solid in Phosphate Buffer pH 7.4 and diluting them to the proper concentration (~10–20 μ M) to yield approximately the same signal level. The individual dye solutions were then mixed together and those mixtures were imaged using the DIVER microscope. When measuring the pure components (not mixed) the dyes display a phasor value close to the universal semicircle under our experimental conditions. The fluorescence lifetimes were measured to be 11.8, 4.1, 1.1 and 0.2 ns for Dansyl acid, Fluorescein, Eosin Y and Sulfo-Cy3 solutions, respectively, and these values matches very well with published data.³⁰⁻³³

Cell culture and staining with nuclear probes

NIH3T3 (ATCC, Manassas, VA) cells were cultured in Dulbecco's Modified Eagle Medium (DMEM, Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA) supplemented with 10% Fetal Bovine Serum (FBS, Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO), streptomycin (100 μ g/ml) and penicillin (100 U/ml) at 37°C in a 5% CO₂ incubator. Cells were plated in fibronectin (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO) covered glass bottom dishes (Mattek, Ashland, MA) 24h prior to FLIM imaging. We permeabilized live cells with 0.1% TritonX-100 for 10 min at 4°C, to improve dye staining,³⁴ and washed them twice (before and after the treatment) with 1X Dulbecco's Phosphate Buffer Solution (DPBS). Multiple staining of the cells was performed

sequentially as described previously.¹⁸ The dyes used in the cells are different dyes than the ones used in the solution mixture. First, cells were incubated with Rose Bengal (10 μ g/mL, Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO) for 5 min at 37°C, and washed twice with DPBS. After, cells were incubated at least 5 min NucBlueTM Live ReadyProbesTM Reagent (working solution was a dilution 1/30 of manufacturer's recommendation of 1 drop/1.5 mL, Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA) and Acridine Orange (13 ng/mL, Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO). Dilutions of each dye were adjusted individually to obtain a similar amount of photons in all of them; and they were prepared in DMEM phenol-free, high glucose, HEPES medium (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA).

Liver tissue samples

Male C57BL/6J mice were purchased from Jackson Laboratories (Bar Harbor, ME) fed a 42% milkfat, 34% sucrose, and fed with 0.2% cholesterol, Harlan Teklad, TD.88137 WD, or a matched 10% fat, Harlan Teklad TD.08485 LF. We imaged flash frozen liver slices (5 μ m thick), embedded in OCT, obtained from mice that were fed with a low-fat diet (LF) or high fat high cholesterol Western diet (WD)³⁵.

Results

Simulations

The two-component graphical algorithm described in the previous section was implemented in MATLAB and is also implemented in the SimFCS software (available at https://www.lfd.uci.edu/) and is very fast, about 0.5 s to resolve an image of 256x256 points into 2 components and their intensity fractions. Since the algorithm explores the entire universal semicircle in 720 points, we can guarantee that even if there are local minima we converge to the absolute minimum. If the components are very close in lifetime (within 0.5 ns), the algorithm tends to be more sensitive to noise and to return a value that is in the middle of the two real components as shown in figure 3, *i.e.*, the solution is close to a case with only one true component.

For both the 2- and the equivalent 3-component graphical methods, the computational time of the algorithms depends on the number of steps one divides the universal circle, which in our implementation, for steps of 0.125 degree, it finds the solution in about 0.5 ms per point, which means about 30 s for an image 256x256 pixels. However, by decreasing the size of the step we always find the same solution but using more computational time. By exploring the entire phasor semicircle, we ensure that all possible lifetimes are explored and avoid falling in a local minimum. However, for 3 components we found that the minimum of the distance function is not well defined unless the lifetimes are well separated, as shown in figure 4.

The squared sum of differences of the terms either side of the equality symbol in Eqs. 1 and 2, defines a N dimensional surface as a function of the N components. The solution to our problem is the (one) zero in this surface. Even if such minimum is found in a relatively flat region of the surface, the results of the algorithm are exactly reproducible although the values may not be accurate as shown in figure 4B. The reproducibility is due to the path

We note that the principle of exploring the universal semicircle can be used for 4 or more components, but the flatness of the aforementioned surface can become a formidable obstacle for finding the solutions without any prior knowledge of the parameters.

Our final implementation, generalizable to N components, uses a computational minimization method³⁶⁻³⁸ that is relatively fast depending on the parameters, in the order of 1 ms per pixel. The computational method is based on non-linear minimization with an initial application of the Levenberg-Marquardt algorithm followed by the Simplex methods and this sequence is repeated 3 times. The computational method is easily extended to 4 or 5 components, but some issues regarding the effect of added noise need to be considered. In figure 4 we simulated 2 lifetimes of different ranges showing that they influence each other, when they are similar in value but we have not added noise to the lifetime or intensity values. In figure 4 we also show the effect of having two of the lifetimes of similar value. As an example we show in panel C the effect of fixing the value of the fractional intensity contribution of one lifetime component, we further describe and implement fixing the lifetime value using real data from solutions in the following section.

We used simulations to test the recovery algorithm with added noise in the lifetime values and intensity fractions as shown in figure 5. In this set of simulations, we sampled measurements of three Gaussian lifetime distributions centered on 8 ns, 4 ns and 0.5 ns with a standard deviation of 0.5 ns to the 8 ns component. When noise is added to one of the components, the noise does not propagate to the other components or fractions as seen in figure 5B, although, as expected, it does broaden the distribution of recovered values of the long component while keeping the mean values the same.

There is a fundamental difference in the simulations if noise is applied to the lifetime components and their fractional intensities and then propagated to the harmonics compared to the other possibility in which noise is added to the harmonic coordinates after phasor transform. In the first case (noise added to the lifetime) the noise in one component has no effect in the values obtained for the other components. This is shown in figure 5B where the recovered component has the noise we added but the other components have no noise. This happens because in the phasor representation, each component is orthogonal to the others and the noise does not propagate to the other components. In the second scenario, when noise is added to the harmonics which are combinations of lifetime and fractions (Eq 1 and Eq 2) the recovered components are all affected by the added noise in a correlated way (figure 5C) due to the linear relationships of the harmonics to the lifetime components and fractional intensities in Eq 1 and Eq 2. This different behavior is important because the harmonic method proposed in this paper ultimately depends on the noise in the harmonics (the data) which affects all values of the recovered lifetimes and fractional intensities (figure 5C). Tables 1 to 3 show numerically this effect. For this reason, we tested the method with the hardest possible situation by adding independent random noise to each harmonic, which in general will not be the case.

Indeed, in the situation where noise is applied to the harmonics (rather than the lifetimes), there is a large effect in the performance of the algorithm and the recovery is much less satisfactory (large std) as shown in Table 2. In all tables, G-noise refers to Gaussian noise, specifically to the standard deviation of the Gaussian distribution from which noise was sampled.

In Table 2, Gaussian noise in one lifetime component has a much larger effect when we propagate the noise to the harmonics to be used to recover the unknown lifetimes than the direct simulation of the lifetimes with Gaussian noise (Table 1). This is due to the linear compensation which is intrinsic in the definition of harmonics in Eq 1 and Eq 2, where we measure only the linear combinations of the lifetime components, but the relative fractions compensate the changes in lifetime to give the same location for the harmonics. However, if one of the components is known or the relative fraction of the components are known, the system can be reliably recovered even in the presence of the high level of Gaussian noise tested.

Experimental blind recovery of mixture of dyes

In order to test the method with real data we selected a set of four dyes with single exponential decay and prepared solutions of each individually, followed by mixtures of combinations of two, three and finally all four of them. These samples of dyes in solution were imaged on our FLIM capable microscope and the minimization algorithm was tested to recover the known individual components of the mixture. Figure 6 summarizes the results obtained when recovering components from these combined mixtures. We would like to note that the error bars in this figure represent the pixel-wise standard deviation obtained from a single image measurement, as opposed to the traditional experiment-wise standard error obtained from the means of all pixels in several measurements.

We show the results for the algorithm working for 4 unknown lifetimes and fractions and the recovery of the 4-component mixture is reasonably good. We emphasize in figure 6D that if we fix one of the components, then the recovery of the other components is excellent as shown where the 11.8 ns component was fixed. The reason for this is simple; it reduces the number of degrees of freedom in the problem. One can have different justifications to decide to fix a component. For example one may know the presence of one particular species in the sample. In another situation one may come to the realization that the distribution of one of the components is much narrower (usually the short lifetime) and may want to fix that one based on its higher confidence in order to gain precision in the others. This is usually the case due to the combined facts that on the one hand, the harmonics converge towards the region of long lifetimes and on the other hand their distributions are more disperse the higher the harmonic. Here comes the final justification which may be to fix the longer lifetime simply because it is the one with most dispersion.

Blind component analysis for biological samples

We tested the efficiency of our method in phasor FLIM data from live cells. We stained cell nuclei with a mixture of 3 nuclear dyes: Acridine Orange, Nuc Blue and Rose Bengal. This case is considerably different to the previous case of mixture of solutions because the dyes in

live cells can compete for similar sites in the nucleus.^{39, 40} Another issue is that the dyes can present different lifetimes depending on the DNA base sequence.^{41, 42} For these reasons, the biological samples are not something that we can predict and therefore we show the result but we do not expect to recover the same lifetime distribution than in the samples with only one dye.

A representative FLIM experiment of the cells with the addition of the three dyes is shown in figure 7; intensity image figure 7A and the corresponding raw phasor plots (multi-frequency data: *h*1, *h*2 and *h*3) are shown in figure 7B. Additionally, we measured the lifetime of each of the dyes individually (supplementary figure 1), to compare with the results of the blind decomposition of the mixture. The position on the phasor plot for the first harmonic of individual components from the data using only one dye at the time is indicated with colored circles (figure 7B). The green circle is Acridine Orange, blue circle is Nuc Blue and the purple circle is Rose Bengal. The measured data does not appear on the universal semicircle indicating that there is, in every point of the image, a linear combination of components which falls somewhere in triangle formed by the three pure species (figure 7B).

Inspection of the phasor plot in the first harmonic reveals two clear populations, that when mapped to the original image they correspond to the inside of the nucleus (left population) and outside of the nucleus (right population). Note that the phasors are depicted with smoothing for visualization, but the data used for the minimization was the raw unsmoothed.

We proceed to apply the minimization algorithm on the FLIM data in order to find the components and their relative fractions for a total of four cells from the same dishes (figure 7C). These recovered values are taken only from the inside of the nucleus, meaning that they correspond to the solutions for the population in the left of the phasor plot in panel 7B. The error bars correspond to the standard deviations across the mean values obtained for the four cells, the actual pixel variability is much larger in individual experiments, which makes sense because the distribution of species is not homogeneous across the field of view.

Remapping the recovered fractions and lifetimes to each pixel in the original image (figure 7D and 7E) reveals an uneven distribution inside the nucleus and a clear difference between inside and outside the nucleus, corresponding to the two populations on the phasor plot.

The measured lifetimes of the separate samples each with one dye were 4.1 ns, 2.5 ns, 0.9 ns for Acridine Orange, Nuc Blue and Rose Bengal, respectively, and matches with values in literature.⁴³⁻⁴⁶ The median recovered lifetimes with our blind component analysis in the sample with all three dyes together were $[4.59 \pm 0.06, 3.03 \pm 0.07, 1.05 \pm 0.02]$ with respective intensity fractions [0.37 0.26 0.36]. As mentioned before, the distribution of recovered lifetimes across all pixels in an image are much wider and, above all, not symmetric. It is noticeable that the method works in conditions of relatively low photons per pixel, although the brightest spots in the image have 500 counts, the bulk of the pixels have around 100 counts.

As an additional experiment, we imaged samples that had been used in a previous study³⁵, composed by mice liver slices taken from mice being fed with two diets with completely

different fat content; one with high fat content, namely western diet (WD) and one with low fat content (LF) (see Methods section for details). The previous study reported the presence of a long-lifetime species (LLS) in the autofluorescence that was more abundant in the WD specimen in comparison to $LF^{35,47}$. Our expectation was to blindly identify the three components in the samples: free NADH, bound NADH and the long-lifetime species (LLS). The reported values for these components are 0.4ns for free NADH, 3.4ns for bound NADH¹⁴ and 7.8 ns for the long-lifetime species (LLS)¹⁵.

Figure 8 shows the representative FLIM images from the liver samples. Intensity images of LF and WD in a zoomed in version are shown in figure 8A. Blind component algorithm was performed on a total of 10 measurements, consisting of 5 of each of the LF and WD samples. It is important to note that even though high counts are observed in the WD sample coming from the accumulated fat, the algorithm operated with a low average of total counts. The three recovered lifetime distributions obtained from all the pixels from the LF and WD together are displayed in the violin plots of figure 8B with their expected values^{3, 14, 15}. The distributions are very wide, especially for the second component, and they present several peaks. This is attributed to the heterogeneity of the sample, pixels containing different proportions of the components, and making the task of the algorithm to find a third inexistent component. Nevertheless, the median values obtained [10.0, 3.8, 0.4] ns for the three distributions are close to the expected lifetime values [7.8, 3.4, 0.4] ns. These recovered values are displayed as colored circles on the universal circle on the phasor plot in figure 8C together with the phasor transforms of all the pixels used for the blind recovery.

We then used these three recovered lifetime values to calculate the fractional intensity contribution of each of the three components in every pixel of LF and WD liver slices. The recovered fraction distributions for LF (left) and WD (right) are shown in figure 8D. LLS are 8.6 times more abundant in the WD liver than in the LF, in concordance with what was previously reported⁴⁷. In each case, we can use the three recovered fractions to express a free/bound photon intensity ratio excluding the LLS as the ratio of free or bound over the sum of the two fractions (excluding the fraction for LLS). In other words, at each pixel, we can renormalize the recovered fractions of the two shorter lifetime components to their sum, therefore obtaining the intrinsic ratios of free/bound NADH excluding the presence of the LLS. The medians of these intrinsic ratios are shown in red in figure 8D. The obtained free NADH ratio is 41% for LF and 27% for WD, meaning that there is more bound NADH in the WD liver than in the LF. Finally, we used the three components to color-code the images (figure 8E), assign a colormap (magenta to cyan colorbar on the phasor plot, figure 8C) for the ratio between the free and bound NADH lifetimes, *i.e.* color coding the metabolic index, on which we overlay the presence of the LLS with a semi-transparent yellow layer for LLS fraction above 75%.

Discussion and Conclusions

This paper describes a general algorithm for determining the lifetime components and their weights in every pixel of a FLIM image. We describe a graphical approach for 2 and 3 components, and then a general method for the recovery of 4 components based

on a computational non-linear minimization algorithm. While solving the set of non-linear equations to find the lifetimes and fractions in each pixel of an image, we realized that these graphical algorithms, when implemented in MATLAB or Python, work well but the time to process an entire image can be rather slow. For an image of 256x256 pixels it takes in the order of a couple of minutes for 3 components. A caveat of the minimization method is that the result and its speed depend on the initial assumptions (initial and boundary conditions).

The graphical method for 2 components is much faster (about 2 s for the entire image). We note that the graphical algorithm becomes slower when we use it for 3 of 4 components due to its non-scalability as opposed to the generalized minimization approach.

We then describe the general minimization algorithm for blind recovery of lifetimes and fractions and perform simulations in order to test it. The simulations show the efficacy of the problem when submitted to extreme situations of independent noise applied to each harmonic of the data. The algorithm also performs remarkably well in the case of real measurements of prepared mixtures of dyes in solution.

Finally, the applicability of the algorithm in biological data is shown in an example of labeling of the nucleus of a cell with three nuclear dyes. Here the efficacy of the recovery is much harder to prove due to the variability of the probes in real live samples as they compete for binding sites in the DNA, mutual exclusion interactions and presence of many naturally occurring autofluorescence sources in the cells. Nevertheless, our algorithm provided results that are consistent with the expected known values in all experiments we carried out. The blind component analysis was used in mice liver sections from different diets to understand its effectiveness. The data suggests that the median of the recovered lifetime matches well with the published results and this median value can then be used to obtain quantitative information from phasor-FLIM images, including quantification of LLS; a signature of reductive oxidation that is present in fat droplets. Furthermore, this new analysis allows for quantification of changes in metabolism even in samples where the bright species (LLS here) modifies the phasor plot to a great extent.

The blind analysis for 2 and 3 components is simple and reliable. For larger number of components, we need to have some independent information for at least one of the components to become accurate. The phasor based graphical algorithm works well for 2 and 3 components and this is an achievement in the FLIM field where relatively few photons are available at each pixel of an image and even the blind resolution of 2 components is problematic. We could potentially use additional information such as spectrum of the components or other spectroscopic characterization to enhance the identification of the components.

Examples of application of the proposed method could be for the analysis of clinical samples in which we do not know the composition or the relative abundance of molecular species. A blind solution pixel by pixel will allow a number of different molecular species to be detected and quantified. An example could be in describing the composition of tumor tissues in which we seek to know the abundance and location of molecules in the tumor

cells. Another example is in the genomics and proteomics field wherein the existence, number and location of molecules can be connected to the underlying biological processes.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgments

We thank Dr. Moshe Levi and his lab members: Dr. Xiaoxin X. Wang and Dr. Komuraiah Myakala (Department of Biochemistry and Molecular & Cellular Biology at Georgetown University) for kindly providing us the liver samples. This study was funded by National Institutes of Health (NIH) P50 GM076516 and NIH P41GM103540 to EG (both National Institute of General Medical Sciences).

Acronyms

FLIM	Fluorescence lifetime imaging microscopy		
NADH	Nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide (reduced)		
DIVER	Deep imaging via emission recovery		
FPGA	Field programmable gate array		

References

- Digman MA; Caiolfa VR; Zamai M; Gratton E, The Phasor Approach to Fluorescence Lifetime Imaging Analysis. Biophys J 2008, 94 (2), L14–L16. [PubMed: 17981902]
- 2. Niesner R; Peker B; Schlüsche P; Gericke K-H, Noniterative Biexponential Fluorescence Lifetime Imaging in the Investigation of Cellular Metabolism by Means of NAD(P)H Autofluorescence. Chemphyschem 2004, 5 (8), 1141–1149. [PubMed: 15446736]
- 3. Ranjit S; Malacrida L; Jameson DM; Gratton E, Fit-free analysis of fluorescence lifetime imaging data using the phasor approach. Nat Protoc 2018, 13 (9), 1979–2004. [PubMed: 30190551]
- Clayton AHA; Hanley QS; Verveer PJ, Graphical representation and multicomponent analysis of single-frequency fluorescence lifetime imaging microscopy data. J Microsc 2004, 213 (1), 1–5. [PubMed: 14678506]
- Jameson DM; Gratton E; Hall RD, The Measurement and Analysis of Heterogeneous Emissions by Multifrequency Phase and Modulation Fluorometry. Appl Spectrosc Rev 1984, 20 (1), 55–106.
- Redford GI; Clegg RM, Polar Plot Representation for Frequency-Domain Analysis of Fluorescence Lifetimes. J Fluoresc 2005, 15 (5), 805. [PubMed: 16341800]
- Štefl M; James NG; Ross JA; Jameson DM, Applications of phasors to in vitro time-resolved fluorescence measurements. Anal Biochem 2011, 410 (1), 62–69. [PubMed: 21078290]
- Talbot CB; Lagarto J; Warren S; Neil MAA; French PMW; Dunsby C, Correction Approach for Delta Function Convolution Model Fitting of Fluorescence Decay Data in the Case of a Monoexponential Reference Fluorophore. J Fluoresc 2015, 25 (5), 1169–1182. [PubMed: 26063535]
- 9. Weber G, Resolution of the fluorescence lifetimes in a heterogeneous system by phase and modulation measurements. J Phys Chem 1981, 85 (8), 949–953.
- Stringari C; Cinquin A; Cinquin O; Digman MA; Donovan PJ; Gratton E, Phasor approach to fluorescence lifetime microscopy distinguishes different metabolic states of germ cells in a live tissue. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2011, 108 (33), 13582–7. [PubMed: 21808026]
- Vishwasrao HD; Heikal AA; Kasischke KA; Webb WW, Conformational dependence of intracellular NADH on metabolic state revealed by associated fluorescence anisotropy. J Biol Chem 2005, 280 (26), 25119–26. [PubMed: 15863500]

- 12. Melissa CS; Kristin MR; Damian KB; Annette G-F; Jens E; Kevin WE; Patricia JK; Nirmala R, In vivo multiphoton fluorescence lifetime imaging of protein-bound and free nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide in normal and precancerous epithelia. J Biomed Opt 2007, 12 (2), 1–10.
- Digman M; Gratton E, The phasor approach to fluorescence lifetime imaging: Exploiting phasor linear properties. In Fluorescence Lifetime Spectroscopy and Imaging, CRC Press: Boca Raton, FL, 2014; pp 235–248.
- Ranjit S; Malacrida L; Stakic M; Gratton E, Determination of the metabolic index using the fluorescence lifetime of free and bound nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide using the phasor approach. J Biophotonics 2019, 12 (11), e201900156. [PubMed: 31194290]
- 15. Datta R; Alfonso-García A; Cinco R; Gratton E, Fluorescence lifetime imaging of endogenous biomarker of oxidative stress. Sci Rep 2015, 5 (1), 9848. [PubMed: 25993434]
- Aguilar-Arnal L; Ranjit S; Stringari C; Orozco-Solis R; Gratton E; Sassone-Corsi P, Spatial dynamics of SIRT1 and the subnuclear distribution of NADH species. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2016, 113 (45), 12715. [PubMed: 27791113]
- Ranjit S; Datta R; Dvornikov A; Gratton E, Multicomponent Analysis of Phasor Plot in a Single Pixel to Calculate Changes of Metabolic Trajectory in Biological Systems. J Phys Chem A 2019, 123 (45), 9865–9873. [PubMed: 31638388]
- Vallmitjana A; Dvornikov A; Torrado B; Jameson DM; Ranjit S; Gratton E, Resolution of 4 components in the same pixel in FLIM images using the phasor approach. Methods Appl Fluoresc 2020, 8 (3), 035001. [PubMed: 32235070]
- Knutson J; Beechem J; Brand L, Simultaneous analysis of multiple fluorescence decay curves: A global approach. Chem Phys Lett 1983, 102, 501–507.
- Verveer PJ; Squire A; Bastiaens PIH, Global Analysis of Fluorescence Lifetime Imaging Microscopy Data. Biophys J 2000, 78 (4), 2127–2137. [PubMed: 10733990]
- Beechem J; Gratton E; Ameloot M; Knutson J; Brand L, The Global Analysis of Fluorescence Intensity and Anisotropy Decay Data: Second-Generation Theory and Programs. 2006; pp 241– 305.
- Nur Aida Abdul R; Roger DK; Peter TCS; Serge BP; Nur Aida Abdul R; Roger DK; Peter TCS; Serge BP, Methodological considerations for global analysis of cellular FLIM/FRET measurements. J Biomed Opt 2012, 17 (2), 1–14.
- 23. Valm AM; Cohen S; Legant WR; Melunis J; Hershberg U; Wait E; Cohen AR; Davidson MW; Betzig E; Lippincott-Schwartz J, Applying systems-level spectral imaging and analysis to reveal the organelle interactome. Nature 2017, 546 (7656), 162–167. [PubMed: 28538724]
- 24. Vu TQ; Lam WY; Hatch EW; Lidke DS, Quantum dots for quantitative imaging: from single molecules to tissue. Cell Tissue Res 2015, 360 (1), 71–86. [PubMed: 25620410]
- 25. Gratton E, Measurements of Fluorescence Decay Time by the Frequency Domain Method. In Perspectives on Fluorescence: A Tribute to Gregorio Weber, Jameson DM, Ed. Springer International Publishing: Cham, 2016; pp 67–80.
- Ranjit S; Malacrida L; Gratton E, Differences between FLIM phasor analyses for data collected with the Becker and Hickl SPC830 card and with the FLIMbox card. Microsc Res Tech 2018, 81 (9), 980–989. [PubMed: 30295346]
- 27. Crosignani V; Jahid S; Dvornikov AS; Gratton E, A deep tissue fluorescence imaging system with enhanced SHG detection capabilities. Microsc Res Tech 2014, 77 (5), 368–373. [PubMed: 24610799]
- 28. Dvornikov A; Malacrida L; Gratton E, The DIVER Microscope for Imaging in Scattering Media. Methods Protoc 2019, 2 (2), 53.
- 29. Colyer RA; Lee C; Gratton E, A novel fluorescence lifetime imaging system that optimizes photon efficiency. Microsc Res Tech 2008, 71 (3), 201–213. [PubMed: 18008362]
- Sanborn ME; Connolly BK; Gurunathan K; Levitus M, Fluorescence Properties and Photophysics of the Sulfoindocyanine Cy3 Linked Covalently to DNA. J Phys Chem B 2007, 111 (37), 11064– 11074. [PubMed: 17718469]
- Enoki M; Katoh R, Estimation of quantum yields of weak fluorescence from eosin Y dimers formed in aqueous solutions. Photochem Photobiol Sci 2018, 17 (6), 793–799. [PubMed: 29770380]

- 32. Fleming GR; Knight AWE; Morris JM; Morrison RJS; Robinson GW, Picosecond fluorescence studies of xanthene dyes. J Am Chem Soc 1977, 99 (13), 4306–4311.
- Gaviola E, Die Abklingungszeiten der Fluoreszenz von Farbstofflösungen. Z Physik 1926, 35 (10), 748–756.
- van de Ven AL; Adler-Storthz K; Richards-Kortum R, Delivery of optical contrast agents using Triton-X100, part 1: reversible permeabilization of live cells for intracellular labeling. J Biomed Opt 2009, 14 (2), 021012–021012. [PubMed: 19405725]
- 35. Takahashi S; Luo Y; Ranjit S; Xie C; Libby AE; Orlicky DJ; Dvornikov A; Wang XX; Myakala K; Jones BA; Bhasin K; Wang D; McManaman JL; Krausz KW; Gratton E; Ir D; Robertson CE; Frank DN; Gonzalez FJ; Levi M, Bile Acid Sequestration Reverses Liver Injury and Prevents Progression of NASH in Western Diet-Fed Mice. J Biol Chem 2020.
- 36. Gill PE; Wong E In Sequential Quadratic Programming Methods, Mixed Integer Nonlinear Programming, New York, NY, 2012//; Lee J; Leyffer S, Eds. Springer New York: New York, NY, 2012; pp 147–224.
- Marquardt DW, An Algorithm for Least-Squares Estimation of Nonlinear Parameters. J Soc Ind Appl Math 1963, 11 (2), 431–441.
- Nelder JA; Mead R, A Simplex Method for Function Minimization. Comput J 1965, 7 (4), 308– 313.
- Latt SA; Sahar E; Eisenhard ME; Juergens LA, Interactions between pairs of DNA-binding dyes: Results and implications for chromosome analysis. Cytometry 1980, 1 (1), 2–12. [PubMed: 6168450]
- 40. Sahar E; Latt SA, Energy transfer and binding competition between dyes used to enhance staining differentiation in metaphase chromosomes. Chromosoma 1980, 79 (1), 1–28. [PubMed: 6156806]
- 41. Harvey BJ; Perez C; Levitus M, DNA sequence-dependent enhancement of Cy3 fluorescence. Photochem Photobiol Sci 2009, 8 (8), 1105–1110. [PubMed: 19639111]
- Barcellona ML; Cardiel G; Gratton E, Time-resolved fluorescence of DAPI in solution and bound to polydeoxynucleotides. Biochem Biophys Res Commun 1990, 170 (1), 270–280. [PubMed: 2372293]
- 43. Kubota Y; Steiner RF, Fluorescence decay and quantum yield characteristics of acridine orange and proflavine bound to DNA. Biophys Chem 1977, 6 (3), 279–289. [PubMed: 880342]
- 44. Lakowicz JR; Laczko G; Gryczynski I, 2-GHz frequency-domain fluorometer. Rev Sci Instrum 1986, 57 (10), 2499–2506.
- Okkelman IA; Dmitriev RI; Foley T; Papkovsky DB, Use of Fluorescence Lifetime Imaging Microscopy (FLIM) as a Timer of Cell Cycle S Phase. PLoS One 2016, 11 (12), e0167385. [PubMed: 27973570]
- 46. Shumilov D; Popov A; Fudala R; Akopova I; Gryczynski I; Borejdo J; Gryczynski Z; Grygorczyk R, Real-time imaging of exocytotic mucin release and swelling in Calu-3 cells using acridine orange. Methods 2014, 66 (2), 312–324. [PubMed: 24055436]
- 47. Ranjit S; Dvornikov A; Dobrinskikh E; Wang X; Luo Y; Levi M; Gratton E, Measuring the effect of a Western diet on liver tissue architecture by FLIM autofluorescence and harmonic generation microscopy. Biomed Opt Express 2017, 8 (7), 3143–3154. [PubMed: 28717559]

Vallmitjana et al.



Figure 1. Graphically finding two unknown lifetime components and their relative fractional intensities.

A) The universal circle is scanned for candidate components. For each position of the first component (small blue dot), a line is drawn through the data point (blue empty circle) and a second point is found where the blue line meets the universal circle (large blue filled circle). The second harmonic (*h*2) for the candidate components are obtained mathematically: (small green dot) and the large green filled circle. A line is drawn through them (green line). **B**) The solution is the only lifetime pair that has the lines (blue and green) going through the data points for the two harmonics, *i.e.* when the distance from the green line to the green empty circle is zero. The relative fractions are obtained by the ratios between the distances from the filled dots to the empty circles in both sides of the line.



Figure 2. Finding the 3 lifetime components in the universal circle.

A) Schematic configuration of 3 components generating a data point (ring in the middle). As an example, the ratio between the two distances is related to the intensity fraction of the component located in the short lifetime region; $f_1 = d_1 / (d_1 + \tilde{d}_1)$. In the same manner the other two fractions can be obtained for the other two components. **B**) The solution to the 3 component problem is the only combination of components such that the three fractions are the same in each of the triangles composed by the three harmonics (blue-green-orange). The short, medium and long lifetime components in each harmonics are depicted by increasingly larger filled circles.





The points in the graphs are the recovered lifetimes. **A)** Lifetime component of 4 ns (black) (right) is mixed in the same pixel in equal fractional intensity with a variable lifetime component (red) that spans the range from 0 to 8 ns. When the two lifetime components become similar (simulation measurements from 40 to 50), the algorithm shows a lifetime component at around 4 ns and another component whose value and fraction is undefined, as it should, since in this range there is effectively only one lifetime. **B)** We fixed the fractional intensity of the first component to have the same value for all the simulation. In this case the two lifetime values are recovered perfectly even if they are very close to one another.

Page 20



Figure 4. Simulation for blind recovery of 3 components and 3 intensity fractions.

The points in the graphs are the recovered components. A) One lifetime component simulated was 8 ns (black), another was 4 ns (blue) and a third lifetime component was added with variable lifetime (red) from 0.02 ns to 2.0 ns in steps of 0.02 ns. The fractional intensities were 0.5, 0.3 and 0.2, for the 3 components, respectively. Panel A shows that this system can be recovered exactly in the simulations because the lifetimes are different in all the range of the simulation. B) Recovery of the 3 components when two lifetimes become very close. The lifetime set was 8 ns (black), 1 ns (blue) and a variable lifetime from 0.02 ns to 4 ns (red). As this variable component lifetime increases, the recovered value of the 8 ns component changes from around 8ns, reaching a maximum of about 9 ns when the variable component is similar to the second components at 1 ns. As the variable component continues to increase in lifetime value, it causes a decrease of the long lifetime returning to 8 ns and a stabilization of the 1ns component when the added component reaches a value of 2 ns or longer. This simulation shows that the blind independent determination of 3 components is difficult because one component can influence the others when two of the components have similar lifetimes. C) However, if we fix the relative contribution of the long components to the value of 0.5 we get a perfect recovery of the 3 lifetimes. This simulation demonstrates that the lifetimes and fractions are correlated. If we fix the fraction of one component, then the systems can be recovered exactly.



Figure 5. Effect of noise on the recovery of components.

The points in the graph are the recovered lifetimes **A**) Simulation of 3 lifetime components, no noise added. Black, Blue and Red represent 8, 4, 1 ns lifetimes in each individual measurement/simulation. **B**) Noise is added only to the 8ns component. Note that the other components lifetimes are not affected by the noise added to the 8ns component. **C**) Noise is added to the harmonics (not lifetime) of the 8 ns component. Here we show that other components (4 ns/ 1ns) get affected when the noise is added to the harmonics.

Vallmitjana et al.



Figure 6. Results of measurements with prepared dye solutions.

The expected (grey) and the recovered (red) components are shown next to each other in each case. **A**) Recovery in the preparations containing two mixed dyes. **C**) Recovery in the combinations of three mixed dyes. **D**) Recovery in the preparation with four mixed dyes. In each case the component id numbers correspond respectively to: 1-Dansyl acid, 2-Fluorescein, 3-Eosin Y and 4-Sulfo-Cy3. The errors in the red bars are the pixel-wise standard deviation of the recovered data. **B**) For each pair combination, the difference in lifetime between the two components is plotted with the standard deviation in the recovery of the longest of the couple, showing a clear dependency. In each case, the decreasing difference between the long and short lifetime increases the width of the distribution of the recovered long lifetime. In the single combination of the four component system (**D**) we repeated the experiment by fixing the long lifetime to the known value of 11.8 ns (right side of panel D) which substantially improves the recovery of the lifetime components.



Figure 7. Three-component blind recovery in live cells.

Representative FLIM experiment of a cell with the addition of nuclear dyes (Acridine Orange, Nuc Blue and Rose Bengal). **A)** Intensity image, scale bar 5μ m. In this example we have a large number of counts in the bright dots in the nucleus (scale bar at the right of the panel). **B)** Smoothed phasor plots for the first harmonic (*h*1) and the second and third harmonic together (*h2*, *h3*). The colored circles on the universal circle indicate the measured phasor position of the first harmonic of the individually-measured dyes in cells; Acridine Orange (green), Nuc Blue (blue) and Rose Bengal (purple). **C)** Bar plot comparing lifetime measurements of the individual dyes measured independently (grey) and recovered from the algorithm (red) using 3 blind components. Errors show the standard deviation of the recovered values in three cells. After recovering lifetimes and fractions for 3 components at each pixel, images are reconstructed (color-coded) with the recovered values for fractions (**D**) and lifetimes (**E**) according to the color scales on the right.



Figure 8. Three-component blind recovery in liver tissue samples allows studying metabolic changes.

A) Representative zoom-in intensity images (optical zoom = 40x) of liver slices of mice fed with low-fat (LF) or western diet (WD) are shown (intensity scale bar at the right of the panel). Scale bar 40µm. High counts are observed in the fat droplets in the liver. **B**) Recovered lifetimes from the algorithm using 3 blind components are represented as violin plots (gray) and the median ± standard deviation. Expected lifetime values for individual species reported in the literature are shown with a horizontal dotted line (red). C) The colored circles on the universal circle indicate the recovered first harmonic phasor position of free NADH (cyan), protein bound NADH (purple) and long-lifetime species (LLS, yellow). D) Fractional intensity distributions of the three components in whole tissue samples (LF and WD) imposing the recovered median lifetimes (also depicting median \pm standard deviation). Bound NADH vs free NADH fractional intensity ratios are shown in red (by excluding LLS). E) Color coded phasor-FLIM images of the whole tissue sections of LF (left) and WD (right) mice model (optical zoom= 10x). The free-to-bound ratio (excluding LLS) is color coded using a magenta to cyan colormap with a yellow overlay for LLS above 75%. Scale bar is 1 mm. The bright circle in in the LF sample (row 3, column 5) is due to an air bubble.

Table 1.

Gaussian noise is only applied to the lifetime distribution of the first component (10 ns). All values are in ns, recovered data is shown as mean \pm standard deviation (std).

Simulated	Recovered			
	No noise	0.05 G-noise	0.10 G-noise	
10.0	10.0 ± 0.001	10.0 ± 0.047	10.0 ± 0.100	
4.0	4.0 ± 0.001	4.0 ± 0.001	4.0 ± 0.001	
0.5	0.5 ± 0.001	0.5 ± 0.001	0.5 ± 0.001	

Table 2.

Gaussian noise is applied to phasor coordinates of harmonics of component 1 (and instead of lifetime before phasor transform). The components are recovered using the harmonics. Notice that Gaussian noise added is smaller than Table 1 and 3. All values are in ns, recovered data is shown as mean \pm std.

Simulated	Recovered			
	No noise	0.001 G-noise	0.004 G-noise	
10.0	10.0 ± 0.001	9.57 ± 0.05	9.50 ± 1.68	
4.0	4.0 ± 0.001	4.07 ± 0.38	4.70 ± 1.61	
0.5	0.5 ± 0.001	0.50 ± 0.03	0.49 ± 0.14	

Table 3.

Gaussian noise is applied only to harmonics of component 1 but the fractions are fixed to their known values. In this case a much higher amplitude noise was allowed. All values are in ns, recovered data is shown as mean \pm std.

Simulated	Recovered			
	No noise	0.05 G-noise	0.10 G-noise	
10.0	10.0 ± 0.001	10.02 ± 0.28	10.06 ± 1.29	
4.0	4.0 ± 0.001	3.99 ± 0.09	4.11 ± 0.57	
0.5	0.5 ± 0.001	0.50 ± 0.01	0.50 ± 0.05	