## Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory

**Recent Work** 

## Title

THE KINETICS FOR EXCHANGE OF IMINO PROTONS IN DNA, RNS, AND HYBRID OLIGONUCLEOTIDE HELICES

**Permalink** https://escholarship.org/uc/item/4fs464xn

## Author

Tinoco, I.

Publication Date 1982-02-01

B( 1)405



Prepared for the U.S. Department of Energy under Contract W-7405-ENG-48

#### DISCLAIMER

This document was prepared as an account of work sponsored by the United States Government. While this document is believed to contain correct information, neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor the Regents of the University of California, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by its trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof, or the Regents of the University of California. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof or the Regents of the University of California. The Kinetics for Exchange of Imino Protons in DNA, RNA, and Hybrid Oligonucleotide Helices<sup>†</sup>

Arthur Pardi<sup>\*§</sup> and Ignacio Tinoco, Jr.

Department of Chemistry<sup>†</sup> University of California and Laboratory of Chemical Biodynamics Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory University of California Berkeley, CA 94720

This work was supported by the Division of Biomedical and Environmental Research of the U.S. Department of Energy under Contract No. W-7405-ENG-48, and by National Institute of Health Grant GM 10840. We also thank the Stanford Magnetic Resonance Laboratory (supported by NSF Grant GP 26633, and NIH Grant RR 00711) for the use of the HXS-360 MHz facilities.

SPresent Address: Institut für Molekularbiologie und Biophysik, Eidgenössiche Technische Hochschule, CH-8093 Zürich, Switzerland. <sup>1</sup>Abbreviations used: NMR, nuclear magnetic resonance; EDTA, ethylenediaminetertra-acetate; TSP, sodium 3 trimethylsilylpropionate-2,2,3,3-d<sub>4</sub>.

#### ABSTRACT

The lifetimes for opening of individual base pairs in a DNA (dCA5G +  $dCT_5G$ , an RNA (rCA<sub>5</sub>G + rCU<sub>5</sub>G), and a hybrid DNA-RNA(rCA<sub>5</sub>G +  $dCT_5G$ ) helix have been measured by proton nuclear magnetic resonance. The lifetimes were obtained by saturation recovery experiments performed on the hydrogen bonding imino protons of the Watson-Crick base pairs. In these oligonucleotide helices the observed relaxation rates were dominated by exchange with water, with the magnetic spin-lattice relaxation time of the imino protons possibly being important only at the lowest temperatures in the DNA helix. It was shown that the three interior base pairs in the DNA heptamer  $dCA_5G + dCT_5G$ were in the open-limited region, which means that these imino protons exchange every time the base pair opens. The lifetimes of the terminal G C base pairs in the DNA helix were much shorter than the interior A\*T base pairs. The pH dependence of the terminal base pairs indicated that the ends of the helix open and close many times before exchange of the imino protons with water takes place.

The temperature dependence of the lifetimes of the interior A<sup>•</sup>T imino protons in the DNA helix showed that these protons exchange only when the double helix has dissociated into single strands. Thus, these lifetimes measure the rate for dissociation of the double helix. The activation energy for this process was found to be 47 kcal/mol.

Comparison of the lifetimes of the interior protons in the DNA, RNA, and hybrid helices showed that the rate of dissociation of the RNA and hybrid helices are very similar at 5°C, whereas the rate for the DNA helix was approximately an order of magnitude smaller than the other two helices. The reasons for the differences in the kinetics of the three helices are discussed, as are the general dynamics of oligonucleotide helices in solution.

#### INTRODUCTION

In double helical nucleic acids the base-paired imino protons exchange slowly enough with water to be observed in nuclear magnetic resonance  $(NMR)^1$ experiments. These protons were first studied in solution studies of tRNA, and also in double helical oligonucleotides (see Kearns, 1977; and Robillard & Reid, 1979, for reviews). The chemical shift of an imino proton in a base pair depends upon its intrinsic shift and an interaction term which arises mainly from the ring currents of the neighboring base pairs in the sequence. As the interaction term is dependent upon conformation, most of the information obtained from the NMR of the imino protons of tRNAs and oligonucleotides has been used to study the conformation of these molecules in solution (Robillard & Reid, 1979; Kearns, 1977).

Crothers et al. (1974) used NMR to study the dynamics of <u>E. coli</u> t-RNA<sup>fMet</sup> by watching the broadening of the imino protons with temperature. Kinetic measurements obtained by this method are very limited in the range of lifetimes which can be observed. Johnston & Redfield (1977) applied saturation recovery experiments to the imino protons to determine the exchange rates with water of the individual imino protons in tRNA. Other workers used this technique to study the helix-coil dynamics of tRNA (Hurd & Reid, 1980). Early et al. (1981 a,b) have recently used a long pulse inversion recovery experiment to study the relaxation behavior of several DNA restriction fragments, and in a following paper we have used saturation recovery techniques to study the dynamics of several related DNA oligonucleotides in solution (Pardi et al., 1982). Thus proton NMR is now beginning to show its usefulness in obtaining information on the dynamics, as well as the conformations, of nucleic acids in solution.

The kinetics of helix-coil transitions in oligonucleotides has mainly been studied using relaxation techniques, such as temperature-jump experiments (Porschke & Eigen, 1971; Raavetch et al., 1973). We decided to study the dynamics of oligonucleotides in solution by the use of NMR saturation recovery experiments on the hydrogen-bonding imino protons in double strands. The advantage of NMR over other relaxation techniques is its ability to resolve the kinetics of individual base pairs in a helix. The differences in the dynamics for many parts of the double helix can be monitored.

The exchange rates of individual imino protons with water in the following systems were studied: 1) a DNA helix:  $dCA_5G + dCT_5G$ , 2) an RNA helix:  $rCA_5G + rCU_5G$ , and 3) a DNA-RNA hybrid helix:  $rCA_5G + dCT_5G$ . We use the temperature dependence and pH dependence of these rates to obtain the dynamics of these helices in solution. The lifetimes for exchange of the imino protons on the interior of these helices were shown to reflect the lifetimes for dissociation of the double strands into single strands. Thus we are able to compare the dissociation rates of a DNA, RNA, and hybrid helix of the same sequence.

In a following paper we examine the exchange rates of individual imino protons in a dodecamer, dCGCGAATTCGCG, and two less stable analogs, one with a G°T base pair and one with an extra adenine base on one strand of the double helix. With the results presented in that work, as well as these studies on the DNA, RNA, and hybrid helices, we are able to obtain a detailed picture of

- 5 -

the dynamics of base pair opening in double helical oligonucleotides in solution.

#### THEORY

We will outline the theory needed for understanding the NMR experiments described here; it follows closely the recent discussion of Johnston and Redfield (1981) on the theory for the exchange rates of imino protons measured by proton NMR.

For the saturation recovery experiments on the imino protons of nucleic acids the saturated peaks recover due to exchange with unperturbed water and by other relaxation processes such as the spin-lattice relaxation of the imino proton in the helix. The intensity of a peak varies as a function of time according to the equation:

$$I(t) = I^{0}(1-e^{obs})$$

1)

where I° is the equilibrium intensity and  $\tau_{\rm obs}$  is the observed lifetime of the proton.

Proton exchange from hydrogen bonded states in nucleic acids has been described by the following scheme (Teitelbaum & Englander, 1975; Crothers et al., 1974; Kallenbach et al., 1976):

closed 
$$\frac{k_{op}}{\langle ---- \rangle}$$
 open  $\frac{k_{x}[cat]}{x}$  solvent (2)

Here closed represents protons in a base-paired state, open represents a non-

- 6 -

base-paired state, and  $k_x$  is the rate constant for the base catalyzed exchange of the imino proton with solvent water. We will assume that there is no direct exchange of the imino proton with solvent water from the closed state.

The Bloch equations for exchange between three different environments have been described by Crothers et al. (1974), which was an extension of McConnell's two state derivation (McConnell, 1958). The three state scheme is simplified under certain conditions which have been described elsewhere (Hilbers, 1979; Crothers et al., 1974; Pardi, 1980). One important simplification under our conditions is that  $k_x$  [cat]>>  $1/T_{1(open)}$  where  $T_{1(open)}$  is the spin-lattice relaxation time of the imino proton in the open state. This means there is essentially no relaxation due to the  $T_1$  of the open state. A second assumption, which will be discussed later, is that  $k_x$  [cat]>>  $k_{cl}$ , which implies that every time a base pair goes from the closed to the open state the imino proton exchanges with water. This has been referred to as the open-limited region (Hilbers, 1979; Kallenbach et al., 1976; Crothers et al., 1974). These two assumptions allow a two state analysis of the system (Pardi, 1980); for the saturation recovery experiments this leads to:

$$1/\tau_{obs} = 1/\tau_{op} + 1/T_1$$
 (3)

where  $\tau_{obs}$  is the relaxation time in equation (1),  $\tau_{op}$  is the lifetime for opening of the base pair (1/k<sub>op</sub>), and T<sub>1</sub> is the spin-lattice relaxation time of the imino proton in the base-paired state.

- 7 -

We will now describe the kinetics for the system when the exchange of the For opening of a single, or imino proton is in the open-limited region. several, base pair(s) in a double strand, the open-limited region is where k [cat] >>  $k_{c1}^{I}$  (Crothers et al., 1974; Hilbers, 1979). Here  $k_{c1}^{I}$  is the rate constant for closing of an individual base pair in a double helix and is a unimolecular rate constant. The pathway for exchange of individual base pairs in a double helix is illustrated in Figure 1 and will be referred to as Most of the studies to date on the rates of base pair opening in process I. double helices have dealt with helices where exchange of the imino protons went through this pathway (Englander et al, 1972; Kallenbach et al., 1976). However, in dealing with oligonucleotides it is possible that the open state, from which the exchange of the imino proton takes place, is the single strand. Process II in Figure I shows this pathway for proton exchange, where the double helix dissociates into single strands before exchange takes place. The kinetics of the double to single strand then enters into the exchange of the imino proton. If this is so, then the open-limited region occurs when  $k_x$  [cat]>>  $k_{c1}^{II}$  [single strand]. Here  $k_{c1}^{II}$  is the association rate constant for the formation of a double strand from two single strands and is a bimolecular rate constant.

For either of the above cases it is possible to tell if exchange of the imino proton is open-limited by changing the concentration of catalyst and measuring any change in the observed rate in the saturation recovery experiment. If the observed rate depends only on k<sub>op</sub> then it will not change with the concentration of catalyst. Proton exchange of the imino protons has been found to be open-limited in tRNA studies (Hurd & Reid, 1980; Johnston & Red-field, 1981).

- 8 -

In process I, in addition to the open-limited region, it is possible for the kinetics to produce another limiting case called the pre-equilibrium region where  $k_x$  [cat]  $\langle k_{c1}^I$ . Crothers et al. (1974) and Hilbers (1979) have described the behavior of systems in this region and showed that  $k_{obs} =$  $K_{open} k_x$  [cat] where  $k_{obs}$  is the observed rate constant for exchange of the proton, and  $K_{open}$  is the equilibrium constant between the open and closed states. This region has been described as fast exchange between the open and closed state with exchange taking place by a "bleeding off" from the open state (Crothers et al., 1974). If the systems fall in this region the equilibrium constants between the open and closed state can be calculated from the observed rate of exchange, if estimates for  $k_x$  and [cat] are known.

#### Kinetic Fraying Versus Equilibrium Melting

The end base pairs of oligonucleotides are known to have different properties from base pairs in the middle of a double helix (Patel & Hilbers, 1975). It has been observed that the imino protons of the terminal base pairs broaden at temperatures well below those of base pairs in the interior of a helix, and this effect has been used to help assign terminal base pairs in a helix. The broadening of a resonance accompanies rapid opening and closing of the base pair. We will use the term <u>kinetic fraying</u> to describe this behavior, in order to emphasize that the rapid opening and closing of the base pair is a kinetic phenomenon which depends upon the rates of conversion between the open and closed states. By contrast, the relative population of the two states is an equilibrium phenomenon. To describe the equilibrium behavior of a closed base pair opening we will use the term <u>equilibrium melting</u> of the base pair. This equilibrium melting depends only on K<sub>open</sub> (which was defined

- 9 -

above) and is equivalent to the term "melting" of a double strand used in describing the thermodynamics of nucleic acids (Bloomfield et al., 1974). The kinetics and equilibrium of base pair opening are of course related by the equation  $K_{open} = k_{op}/k_{cl}$ . One can clearly see that there can be a large change in the kinetic fraying of the base pair, such as both the opening and closing rates increasing by an order of magnitude, with no change in the equilibrium melting of the base pair. We note that under most circumstances the proton NMR of the imino protons of nucleic acids monitor the kinetic behavior of the molecule.

#### The Imino Protons can Exchange by Several Different Processes

An important point to note is that even if exchange of the proton is in the open-limited region, this information is not enough to define the process from which exchange takes place. If there are several mechanisms for imino protons exchanging with  $H_20$ , then the observed rate is the sum of the rates for the individual mechanisms.

We will assume that the exchange of an imino proton with  $H_20$  requires the opening of the base pair as a primary step. This breaking of the Watson-Crick hydrogen bond may involve only a single base pair or may be a cooperative transition of part or all of the helix. By comparing the rates of exchange of individual base pairs in a helix, as well as the temperature dependence of these rates, it is possible to deduce the extent of cooperativity for exchange of the imino protons in a double helix. In the example discussed here we will assume that all protons are in the open-limited region. Let us consider two processes for exchange of the imino protons. The first involves exchange of individual base pairs, with each base pair being independent of the others,

and will be referred to as base-pair-opening, or process I. The second process is for exchange of the imino protons only from the single stranded species with no exchange from the double strand, or partially formed double strands, and will be referred to as helix-opening, or process II.

In process I the observed exchange rate will reflect the opening of individual base pairs and may be quite different for particular imino protons in a given sequence. Process II measures the rate of dissociation of the double strand into two single strands with the rates of all the imino protons being the same. In general the observed rate constant for exchange will be the sum of the rate constants for process I and process II. In the openlimited region the observed rate of exchange would be  $k_{obs} = k_{op}^{I} + k_{op}^{II}$ . Using this fact and the Eyring equation it is possible to derive the observed activation energy for exchange, which will be

$$E_{obs} = \frac{1}{k_{op}^{I} + k_{op}^{II}} (k_{op}^{I} \Delta E_{op}^{I} + k_{op}^{II} \Delta E_{op}^{II})$$

where  $K_{op}^{I}$  and  $k_{op}^{II}$  are the rate constants and  $\Delta E_{op}^{I}$  and  $\Delta E_{op}^{II}$  are the activation energies for opening in process I and II respectively. This equation shows that the observed activation energy should be temperature dependent in the range where  $k_{op}^{I}$  and  $k_{op}^{II}$  are of the same order of magnitude. In the two processes described above the activation energy of the helix-opening would be much larger than that for opening of an individual base-pair, which means that as the temperature is increased, at some point, the helix-opening path will become more important. By studying the lifetimes and temperature dependencies of the imino protons in oligonucleotides, it should be possible to detect whether exchange takes place by base-pair-opening or helix-opening. We note that many other processes, such as cooperative opening of a particularly low melting region of a double helix could also take place and the overall kinetics of exchange will involve all these paths.

#### METHODS AND MATERIALS

The deoxyribo-oligonucleotides were synthesized by the diester method of Khorana (Khorana, 1968). The ribo-oligonucleotides were enzymatically prepared with polynucleotide phosphorylase (Martin et al., 1971). Separation and purification of the oligomers were done by RPC-5 column chromatography. Desalting of the samples was done using Biogel P-2 columns. All samples were measured in 8.0 mM  $Na_2HPO_4$ , 20 mM  $NaH_2PO$ , 0.18 M NaCl, 0.1 mM  $Na_2EDTA$ , pH = 7.0, unless otherwise noted.

NMR spectra were taken on the HXS-360 MHz instrument at Stanford Magnetic Resonance Laboratory, and the 270 MHz instrument at the Laboratory of Chemical Biodynamics. Temperature on both instruments was controlled to  $\pm 1^{\circ}$ C and was calibrated with methanol or by placing a thermocouple in an NMR tube while it was in the probe. Five millimeter microtubes which hold 130-160 µl (Wilmad #508CP) were used in the experiments. Data were collected on a Nicolet 1180 computer with 8K data points and a sweep width of  $\pm 5000$  Hz with the transmitter frequency set between 2300-2800 Hz downfield from water. The large water signal was attenuated by the Redfield 214 pulse (Redfield et al., 1975) and the use of either a low pass or tunable notch audio filter set on the water signal (Marshall et al., 1979), with the notch filter giving far superior results.

Saturation of the imino protons was accomplished by a 100-200 msec pulse applied to the peak of interest, followed by a variable delay time before

- 12 -

application of the Redfield probe pulse. Saturation of several peaks in the same region was accomplished by use of noise modulation of the phase of the saturation pulse to broaden the width of the affected region.

Analysis of the lifetimes was done using a nonlinear least squares fit to equation (1). Typically 10-15 different delay times were taken, with 500-800 scans for each point.

#### RESULTS

The relaxation rates of the low field Watson-Crick imino protons in the following double helices were studied: I)  $dCA_5G + dCT_5G$ , II)  $rCA_5G + rCU_5G$ , III)  $rCA_5G + dCT_5G$  which will be referred to as the DNA, RNA, and hybrid helices, respectively. The assignments of the imino protons as well as the temperature dependence of the chemical shifts has been previously reported (Pardi, 1980; Pardi et al., 1981). Saturation recovery experiments were used to measure exchange rates of the imino protons as well as the temperature dependence of the saturation recovery experiments were used in Figure 2. An example of the fit of the saturation recovery experiment to equation (1) is shown in Figure 3.

#### The Rates of Exchange of the Imino Protons in the DNA Double Helix

Figure 4a shows the lifetimes of the imino protons of  $dCA_5G + dCT_5G$  at 5°C. Most of the numbers given were taken at 360 MHz, but others at 270 MHz showed no difference in the observed lifetimes. The peak at 14.1 ppm is due to two interior A°T imino protons and was analyzed as one peak which recovered with one lifetime; no biphasic behavior was observed in the fit of the lifetime of this peak. The terminal G°C base pairs (peaks 1 and 7 in Figure 4a)

- 13 -

have much shorter lifetimes than the interior A<sup>•</sup>T resonances, and as the terminal imino protons are not in the open-limited region under our conditions, the observed lifetimes represent an upper limit on the lifetime for opening of the terminal base pairs. The observed lifetimes for the two terminal G<sup>•</sup>C base pairs differ by a factor of 3 at 5<sup>°</sup>C as seen in Figure 4a and Table I.

#### Temperature Dependence of the Lifetimes of the Imino Protons in $dCA_5G + dCT_5G$

The temperature dependence of the lifetimes of the imino protons in the  $dCA_5G + dCT_5G$  double helix is given from 5-20°C in Table I. For the interior A'T protons the observed lifetimes increase from 20°C to 10°C where they level off. As will be discussed later, above 10°C the lifetimes are measuring only the exchange of the imino proton, whereas below 10°C the spin-lattice relaxation time  $(T_1)$  may also contribute to the observed lifetime. This behavior of the lifetimes of the imino protons has also been observed in longer, more stable, molecules where at lower temperatures the  $T_1$  of the imino protons in the helix dominates the measured rate, and the exchange contribution is very small (Johnston & Redfield, 1978; Early et al., 1981 a,b ; Pardi et al., 1982).

#### The Lifetimes of the Imino Protons in the RNA and Hybrid Helices at 5°C

Figure 4 shows the lifetimes of the imino protons in the three double helices at 5°C. For the RNA and hybrid helices at this temperature the lifetimes are dominated by chemical exchange of the imino protons with  $H_20$ , whereas the measured lifetimes for the DNA helix are at least partially due to the  $T_1$  of the imino proton in the helix. The numbers in parenthesis in

- 14 -

Figure 4a represent the lifetimes for exchange of the imino protons extrapolated from the high temperature data.

For the RNA double helix the resonance at 13.8 ppm contains the three interior A<sup>•</sup>U imino protons (#3-5) and was analyzed as one peak which fit well to a single exponential. The resonance at 13.6 ppm contains two imino protons, one from G<sup>•</sup>C #7 and one from A<sup>•</sup>U #2. The given lifetime is for the fit of this peak to a single exponential, because at the signal-to-noise available we were not able to fit this peak to a double exponential with any confidence. In the hybrid helix the two interior A<sup>•</sup>T resonances at 13.8 and 13.85 ppm recovered with the same lifetime and were analyzed as one peak.

#### DISCUSSION

#### Are These Helices in the Open Limited Region?

An assumption that exchange of imino protons was open-limited was made in the derivation used for the analysis of the saturation recovery experiments. The validity of that assumption is based on our experiments in which the concentration of the base was varied in the base-catalyzed exchange of the imino protons with  $H_2O$ , and on other exchange studies of imino protons under similar conditions (Hilbers & Patel, 1975). As described earlier the openlimited assumption requires that  $1/\tau_x >> 1/\tau_{cl}$  (see equation 2). Here  $1/\tau_x = k_x$  [cat] where exchange is a base-catalyzed reaction, with the catalyst in our buffer being  $HPO_4^{2-}$  and  $OH^-$ . If changing the concentration of phosphate buffer or hydroxyl ions does not affect the observed rate, then the opening of the base pair is the rate limiting step. Table II shows the lifetimes for the imino protons in the DNA helix at 28 mM phosphate pH = 7 and 100 mM phosphate

pH = 8 at 5 and 15°C. One sees that there is a large effect on the lifetimes of the terminal G°C imino protons when the concentration of base is increased, but there are much smaller changes in the lifetimes of the A.T base pairs with a change in the base concentration. The two A°T resonances at 13.95 and 14.3 ppm in the DNA helix show no measurable change in their lifetimes by increasing the concentration of base (see Table II). The lifetime of the penultimate A\*T base pair #6 at 14.6 ppm changes from 45 to 30 msec in going from the buffer at pH = 7 to pH = 8 at  $15^{\circ}C$ . This indicates that this base pair is not in the open-limited region at this temperature. We were not able to assign the other penultimate A'T imino proton in this helix to a specific resonance in the spectrum (Pardi, 1980), so one of the other four A'T peaks represents The peak at 14.1 contains two A'T protons and the lifetimes of this proton. this peak change from 70 to 50 msec in going from the buffer at pH = 7 to pH =It is possible that the two peaks at 14.1 ppm recover with slightly 8. different lifetimes, but at the signal-to-noise of these data we observed no measurable biphasic behavior. The penultimate A'T base pair #6 is not in the open-limited region, and it is possible that the other penultimate base pair has similar behavior. Thus the lowering of the lifetime of the two resonances at 14.1 ppm upon changing the concentration of base may be due to one of these peaks not being in the open-limited region, with this peak assigned to the penultimate A°T base pair #2.

The terminal G°C base pairs are not in the open-limited region, thus their observed lifetimes represent only an upper limit to opening and these base pairs may open and close many times (kinetically fray) before their imino protons exchange with water.

- 16 -

#### Activation-Energies for-Opening-of-the-Imino-Protons-in-the-DNA-Helix

Figure 5 shows an Arrhenius plot of the interior A<sup>•</sup>T proton at 14.3 ppm of  $dCA_5G + dCT_5G$ . The high temperature behavior of the lifetime reflects changes in the rate of exchange of the imino proton with temperature, as will be discussed in a later section. A straight line can be drawn through the points from 13 to 20°C, indicative of a constant activation energy. The activation energy for this process is 47 kcal/mol. The same activation energy is obtained for all three interior A<sup>•</sup>T imino protons, within the experimental error of ±15%.

The fact that two or more adjacent protons have the same activation energies for exchange could be due to several possibilities: 1) The protons exchange together and the measured activation energy is for a cooperative process. 2) They exchange independently but have the same kinetics for opening of a single base pair. 3) They exchange independently and have different rates for a given temperature but the same activation energy for exchange. In the DNA helix the interior A°T base pairs have the same rates for exchange and the same activation energy, which puts this helix in case 1 or 2.

بو و د که در مع مناطق المعال

1.21

•

The activation energy of an individual A'T base pair opening has been measured in a twelve base pair restriction fragment (Early et al., 1981 a,b) and the double helix dCGCGAATTCGCG (Pardi et al., 1982) to be 14-16 kcal/mol. The 47 kcal activation energy for the DNA helix measured here indicates a higher energy process than opening of an individual base pair. This makes the cooperative process the most likely explanation for exchange of the interior A'T imino protons in the DNA helix. In this case the cooperative process is the helix-coil transition. Further evidence to support this conclusion comes from temperature-jump measurements of the kinetics of the helixcoil transition in the  $dCA_5G + dCT_5G$  double helix. The lifetime for dissociation of the helix at 1 M NaCl (instead of the 0.18 M NaCl used in this work) has been measured by temperature-jump kinetics to be 160 msec at 10°C with an activation energy of 42 kcal/mol (Jeffrey W. Nelson and I. Tinoco, Jr., unpublished results). These results are in good agreement with those found in this study and support the notion that the lifetimes of the interior A\*T protons represent the lifetimes for dissociation of the double strand to single strands as outlined in process II, the helix-opening mechanism.

# The Contribution of Chemical Exchange Versus Spin-Lattice Relaxation to the Lifetimes of the Imino Protons

The relaxation rates of the imino protons have been studied in tRNA (Johnston & Redfield, 1977, 1978, 1981) and also in several DNA oligonucleotides (Early et al., 1981 a,b ; Pardi et al., 1982). There may be many processes involved in the relaxation of these protons. For example, spin-lattice relaxation can contribute to the rate as well as chemical exchange. Saturation recovery or inversion recovery experiments cannot distinguish between these relaxation mechanisms. Johnston & Redfield (1977) were the first to study the relaxation of the imino protons in tRNA and saw two types of behavior; at higher temperature the relaxation seemed to be exchangedominated, whereas spin-lattice relaxation was the important mechanism at lower temperatures.

For the interior A'T imino protons of the DNA helix, the four high temperature points are in the region where exchange of the imino protons by helix-opening is the main relaxation mechanism, but by 5°C some other

- 18 -

mechanism with a different activation energy has become important (see Figure 5). Spin-lattice relaxation or process I, base-pair opening, are the most likely relaxation processes at this temperature. Extrapolation of the high temperature behavior gives the lifetime for dissociation of the double helix at 5°C to be 1.3  $\pm$  .3 sec. The contribution due to  $T_1$  can then be calculated from the observed lifetime of 220 msec and would be 260 msec, assuming that process I is not important. This number represents a lower limit on the T1 of the helix at this temperature since process I could be contributing to the exchange behavior at this temperature and thereby causing the lifetime for exchange to be lower than the 1.3 sec calculated above. Modeling the behavior of the system, ignoring the spin-lattice relaxation time, indicates that base-pair opening (process I) would be the dominant exchange process in the DNA helix below 1°C, assuming that the rates of opening of an individual A\*T base pair in the interior of this helix are the same as those observed in longer deoxyoligonucleotide helices (Pardi et al., 1982). So the observed lifetime of 220 msec at 5°C for this helix may be partially due to process I as well as the contribution from the  $T_1$  of the helix, but above 10°C it is clear that the observed lifetime monitors only the dissociation lifetime of the DNA helix.

#### Comparison of the Lifetimes in the Three Helices

The lifetimes of the interior A'U or A'T imino protons in the RNA and hybrid helices are much shorter than the lifetimes observed for the DNA helix at the same temperature. The equilibrium dissociation constants for the RNA and hybrid helices have been shown to be less than that found in the DNA helix (Nelson et al., 1982), so it is likely that the lifetimes for dissociation of

- 19 -

the two less stable helices are shorter than that of the DNA helix. Since the observed lifetime of the DNA helix was shown to measure the helix-coil transition (process II), we expect that this path would be even more important for the less stable RNA and hybrid helices. Therefore the lifetimes for exchange of the interior imino protons reflect the kinetics of the double-to-single strand transition in all three helices and can be used to compare the rates of dissociation of DNA , RNA, and hybrid helices of the same sequence.

The lifetimes of the interior A\*U or A\*T imino protons (positions 3-5) in the RNA and hybrid helices are very close at 5°C, indicating that the rates of dissociation of these helices are similar. The lifetimes for dissociation of the DNA helix was extrapolated from the high temperature data to be 1.3 sec at 5°C. This means that the rate of dissociation of the DNA helix is an order of magnitude less than that in the RNA or hybrid helix of the same sequence. The reason for this large difference is not known, but the dissociation constant for the DNA helix dA<sub>8</sub> + dT<sub>8</sub> has been found to be over a factor of two smaller than that for the RNA helix rA<sub>8</sub> + rU<sub>8</sub> (Drobnies, 1979). In comparing other DNA and RNA oligonucleotide helices, the dissociation rates were sometimes larger for the DNA helix (Drobnies, 1979); further studies on the kinetics of RNA and DNA helixes may help explain the sequence dependence of their rates of dissociation.

The pH dependence of the observed lifetimes of the terminal base pairs in the DNA helix (Table II) shows that these base pairs are not in the openlimited region. The lifetimes of the terminal base pairs on the RNA and hybrid helices are similar to those in the DNA helix (see Figure 4) and therefore they are most likely not in the open-limited region. This means that

- 20 -

these base pairs open and close many times before their imino protons exchange with water. In the limit of  $k_{cl} \gg k_x$  [cat], as discussed in the theory section, the observed over-all rate for exchange of the imino protons would be  $k_{obs} = K_{open} k_x$  [cat] (Hilbers, 1979). The rate constant for catalysis by HPO<sub>4</sub><sup>2-</sup> has been measured by Hilbers and Patel (1975) in the oligonucleotide dATGCAT to be approximately  $5 \times 10^4 \text{ M}^{-1} \sec^{-1}$  at  $5^\circ$ C, pH = 7, so for the same conditions in our buffer,  $k_x$  [cat] =  $5 \times 10^2 \sec^{-1}$ . From the observed lifetimes of 16 msec and 47 msec for the G°C #1 and #7 imino protons, respectively, in the DNA helix at pH = 7 (see Figure 4a), K<sub>open</sub> for these base pairs is calculated to be on the order of  $10^{-1} - 10^{-2}$ , if we assume the pre-equilibrium condition. These base pairs are therefore all in the closed state with little equilibrium melting at this temperature. This is consistent with melting curves of chemical shifts <u>versus</u> temperature found for the terminal base pairs of these molecules (Pardi et al., 1981).

#### SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

The terminal base pairs in oligonucleotide double helices were found to have very different dynamics from base pairs in the interior of a helix. The terminal base pairs undergo kinetic fraying, which means there is very rapid opening and closing of the base pair. The rates of this kinetic fraying are dependent upon sequence as well as temperature.

The exchange rates of the imino protons of the interior base pairs were measured in the following double helices,  $dCA_5G + dCT_5G$ ,  $rCA_5G + rCU_5G$ , and  $rCA_5G + dCT_5G$ , and found to be in the open-limited region. This means that every time the base pair opens, the imino proton exchanges with water, and so the exchange rates measure opening of these base pairs. Opening of these base pairs was found to take place only when the double strands dissociated into single strands. The dissociation rate constants of the RNA ( $rCA_5G + rCU_5G$ ) and hybrid ( $rCA_5G + dCT_5G$ ) were very similar at 5°C, and much larger than the rate constant for the more stable DNA helix.

By measuring the temperature dependence of the opening rates of the interior base pairs we obtained the activation energy of 47 kcal/mol for helix opening in the DNA double strand. This energy can be very useful in defining the mechanism by which exchange of an imino proton takes place. The activation energy for individual base pair opening has been measured by NMR on DNA restriction fragments by Early et al. (1981 a,b ) and on a DNA dodecamer by Pardi et al. (1982) to be 14-16 kcal/mol. The value of 47 kcal/mol measured in the  $dCA_5G + dCT_5G$  helix thus corresponds to a much higher energy process than individual base pair opening, and was shown to represent helix-opening.

Hurd and Reid (1980) have measured the opening rates of base pairs in the amino acid acceptor stem of partially unfolded E. coli tRNAPhe. They were limited in their studies of the temperature dependence of these rates because the spin-lattice relaxation rates of the imino protons also contributed to the observed rates at lower temperatures. Thus they had only limited data with which to obtain activation energies of base pair opening in the amino acid This stem consists of five G C base pairs and one A U base acceptor stem. pair. They found an approximate value of 49 kcal/mol for one of the interior G°C base pairs in the stem, and a qualitative trend to higher activation energies toward the interior of the helix. The approximate value of 49 kcal/mol can then be interpreted as measuring a cooperative opening of all or part of this helical stem. If this activation energy were found to be con-

- 22 -

stant over a reasonable temperature range then it would most likely arise from a single process and the magnitude of this energy would help define that process.

Johnston and Redfield (1981) have also recently made an extensive study of the unfolding of several tRNA by observation of the exchange rates of the imino protons. In yeast tRNA<sup>Phe</sup> in zero Mg<sup>2+</sup> they were able to measure the exchange rates of several imino protons which had large activation energies. These activation energies were qualitatively interpreted as monitoring tertiary structural melting. The activation energies of other imino protons were used to help define the thermal unfolding of this tRNA. Clearly the study of activation energies measured by exchange of imino protons can be extremely useful in understanding the dynamics of nucleic acids in solution.

The study of the exchange rates of imino protons can be used in model nucleic acid systems to study the effect of a perturbation in a helix on the rest of the base pairs in the double strand. We have probed the effect and extent of several perturbations (a G°T base pair and an extra adenine base on one strand) on the lifetimes for opening of the other base pairs in the double strand (Pardi et al., 1982).

Exchange rate measurements can also be used in studies of drug-nucleic acid interactions. Examples where this technique would be useful include studies which try to define the site, or sequence dependence, of drug binding as well as changes in the dynamics of base pair opening due to drug binding. Such studies are underway in our laboratory and show promise as new probes of drug-nucleic acid interactions.

23

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors thank Dr. Francis H. Martin, Mr. David Koh and Ms. Barbara Dengler for synthesis of oligonucleotides, and Ms. Kathleen Morden for help in data acquisition and preparation of the manuscript. We also thank Dr. Melvin Klein and Mr. Gregory Karczmar for many useful discussions and help in obtaining the spectra on the 270 MHz instrument.

### Table I

Lifetimes (msec) of the Imino Protons of  $dCA_5G + dCT_5G$  in 28 mM Phosphate Buffer

0.18 M NaCl,  $pH = 7.^{a}$ 

```
C-A-A-A-A-A-G
G-T-T-T-T-T-C
1-2-3-4-5-6-7
```

Base Pair Chemical Shift	1 12.93	(2 (13.9	3 14.1	4 14•1	5) 14.3)	6 14.6	7 13.6
Temperature (°C)			• •				
5	16±3	220	220	220	220	160	40±5
10	8	180	150	150	210	120	19±7
13		100	90	90	130	60	5 <b>8</b>
15	<5	80	70	70	80	45	12 <del>±</del> 3
17	Ъ	36	46	46	58	20	. <b>↑ b</b> .
20	Ъ	15	15	15	15	<8	Ъ
					• •		

<sup>a</sup> The errors in these lifetimes are on the order of 15-25% with the larger errors observed for the shorter lifetimes (<25 msec).

<sup>b</sup> The lifetime of this proton is too fast to measure at this temperature.

#### · 25 -

## Table II

## Comparison of the Lifetimes of the Imino Protons of dCA<sub>5</sub>G + dCT<sub>5</sub>G in 0.1 M NaCl and 28 mM Phosphate, pH = 7.0 or 100 mM Phosphate, pH = 8.0<sup>a</sup>

C-A-A-A-A-A-G G-T-T-T-T-C 1-2-3-4-5-6-7

	Lifetimes of	f Terminal	C'G Base	Pair at 5°C (1	nsec)	
Position Chemical Shift			1 12.9	7 13.5		
pH = 7			16	40		
pH = 8			<5	18		
					· ·	 \$

	Lifetimes of A.	T Base Pai	rs at 15°	С	
Position Chemical Shift	( 2 13.9	3 14.1	4 14•1	5) 14.3	6 14.6
pH = 7	80	70	70	80	45
pH = 8	70	50	50	75	~30

<sup>a</sup>  $[Na^+] = 0.22$  M in the pH 7 buffer and  $[Na^+] = 0.3$  M in the pH 8 buffer.

#### FIGURE CAPTIONS

Figure 1. A schematic representation of the exchange of imino protons with solvent water. Process I shows exchange by base-pair-opening. Process II shows exchange by helix opening.

27

- Figure 2. Spectra from the saturation recovery measurement for the DNA double helix  $(dCA_5G + dCT_5G)$  taken at 5°C, pH 7. The arrow indicates the center of the saturation pulse. The saturation pulse was broadened by use of noise modulation (at 50 Hz) of the phase of the saturation pulse.
- Figure 3. Saturation recovery experiment on an interior A<sup>•</sup>T resonance (base pair 2) at 13.95 ppm. The triangles are experimental data and the solid line is the best fit of a lifetime  $(\tau_{obs})$  to the data using eqn. (1).
- Figure 4. The observed lifetimes of the imino protons at 5°C, pH = 7 for the (a) DNA, (b) RNA and (c) hybrid helixes. For comparison two values are given in parenthesis which were obtained by extrapolating to 5°C from higher temperature data where the main relaxation mechanism is exchange by helix-opening.
- Figure 5. An Arrhenius plot of an interior A T proton (base pair 3, 4 or 5) at 14.3 ppm of the DNA helix.  $\tau_{obs}$  is the observed lifetime as defined in the text. Extrapolation of this line to 5°C will give the lifetimes in parentheses in Figure 4.

REFERENCES

Bloomfield, V. A., Crothers, D. M., & Tinoco, I., Jr. (1974) <u>Physical</u> <u>Chemistry of Nucleic Acids</u>, pp 339-342, Harper & Row, New York.
Crothers, D. M., Cole, P. E., Hilbers, C. W., & Shulman, R. G. (1974) <u>J. Mol.</u> <u>Biol. 87</u>, 63-88.
Drobnies, A. (1979) Ph.D. Thesis, University of California, Berkeley.
Early, T. A., Kearns, D. R., Hillen, W., & Wells, R. D. (1981a) <u>Biochemistry</u> <u>20</u>, 3756-3764.
Early, T. A., Kearns, D. R., Hillen, W., & Wells, R. D. (1981b) <u>Biochemistry</u> <u>20</u>, 3764-3769.
Englander, J. J., Kallenbach, N. R., & Englander, S. W. (1972) <u>J. Mol. Biol.</u>

63, 153-169.

Hilbers, C. W. (1979) in <u>Biological Applications of Magnetic Resonance</u> (Shulman, R. G., Ed.) pp 1-44, Academic Press, New York.
Hilbers, C. W., & Patel, D. J.(1975) <u>Biochemistry 14</u>, 2656-2660.
Hurd, R. E., & Reid, B. R. (1980) <u>J. Mol. Biol. 142</u>, 181-194.

Johnston, P. D., & Redfield, A. G. (1977) Nucl. Acids Res. 4, 3599.

Johnston, P. D., & Redfield, A. G. (1978) Nucl. Acids Res. 5, 3913.

Johnston, P. D., & Redfield, A. G. (1981) Biochemistry 20, 3996-4006.

- Kallenbach, N. R., Daniel, W. E., Jr., & Kaminker, M. A. (1976) <u>Biochemistry</u> <u>15</u>, 1218.
- Kearns, D. R. (1977) in <u>Annual Review of Biophysics and Bioengineering</u> (Mullins, L. G., Hagins, W. A., Stryer, L., & Newton, C., Eds.) Vol. 6, pp. 477-503, Academic Press, London.

Khorana, H. G. (1968) Pure Appl. Chem. 17, 349-381.

McConnell, H. M. (1958) J. Chem. Phys. 28, 430=431.

Marshall, A. G., Marcus, T., & Sallos, J. (1979) J. Magn. Reson. 35, 227-230.
Martin, F. H., Uhlenbeck, O. C., & Doty, P. (1971) J. Mol. Biol. 57, 201-215.
Pardi, A. (1980) Ph.D. Thesis, University of California, Berkeley.
Pardi, A., Martin, F. H., & Tinoco, I., Jr. (1981) <u>Biochemistry 20</u>, 3986-3996.
Pardi, A., Morden, K. M., Broka, C., Itakura, K., Patel, D. J., & Tinoco, I.,

Jr. (1982) <u>Biochemistry</u>, to be submitted.
Patel, D. J., & Hilbers, C. W. (1975) <u>Biochemistry 14</u>, 2651.
Porschke, D., & Eigen, M. (1971) <u>J. Mol. Biol. 62</u>, 361-381.
Ravetch, J., Gralla, J., & Crothers, D. M. (1973) <u>Nucl. Acids Res. 1</u>, 109-127.
Redfield, A. G., Kunz, S. D., & Ralph, E. K. (1975) <u>J. Magn. Reson. 19</u>, 114-117.

Robillard, G. T., & Reid, B R. (1979) in <u>Biological Applications of Magnetic</u> <u>Resonance</u> (Shulman, R. G., Ed.) pp 45-112, Academic Press, New York. Teitelbaum, H., & Englander, S. W. (1975) J. Mol. <u>Biol.</u> 92, 55-78.

• 29

Process I











- 31 -



- 32 -



s



XBL 822-7793

2

This report was done with support from the Department of Energy. Any conclusions or opinions expressed in this report represent solely those of the author(s) and not necessarily those of The Regents of the University of California, the Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory or the Department of Energy.

Reference to a company or product name does not imply approval or recommendation of the product by the University of California or the U.S. Department of Energy to the exclusion of others that may be suitable. TECHNICAL INFORMATION DEPARTMENT LAWRENCE BERKELEY LABORATORY UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA BERKELEY, CALIFORNIA 94720