

UC Berkeley

IGS Poll

Title

Tabulations from a September 2019 Survey of California Registered Voters about the Passage of Proposition 64 (Marijuana Legalization) in 2016 and Allowing Cannabis Stores in Your Own Local Community

Permalink

<https://escholarship.org/uc/item/4ft152g5>

Author

DiCamillo, Mark

Publication Date

2019-10-01



University of California, Berkeley
Institute of Governmental Studies
109 Moses Hall, #2370
Berkeley, CA 94720-2370
Tel: 510-642-1473
Fax: 510-642-3020
Email: igs@berkeley.edu

**Tabulations from a September 2019 Survey
of California Registered Voters about the Passage
of Proposition 64 (Marijuana Legalization) in 2016
and Allowing Cannabis Stores in Your Own Local Community**

by the
Berkeley IGS Poll
Institute of Governmental Studies
University of California, Berkeley

September 13-18, 2019

Introduction

The statistical tabulations in this volume are based on a *Berkeley IGS Poll* completed by the Institute of Governmental Studies (IGS) at the University of California, Berkeley. The poll was completed online September 13-18, 2019 among 3,945 voters who are considered likely to vote in the November 2020 general election.

The survey was administered by distributing email invitations to stratified random samples of the state's registered voters. Once the questionnaire and email invitations had been finalized, they were translated into the Spanish and reviewed for cultural appropriateness. They were then programmed onto Qualtrics, a survey platform frequently used by the University of California, Berkeley when distributing emails as part of an opinion research study. Each email invited voters to participate in a non-partisan survey conducted by IGS. Voters were provided a link to the IGS website where the survey was housed. Reminder emails were distributed to non-responding voters over a six-day period. An opt out link was provided at the bottom of each invitation for voters not wishing to participate or not wanting to receive future emails from IGS about the survey.

Samples of registered voters with email addresses were provided to IGS by Political Data, Inc., a leading supplier of registered voter lists in California. The email listings were derived from information included on the state's official voter registration rolls. The statewide sample of registered voters was stratified in an attempt to obtain a proper balance of survey respondents across major segments of the registered voter population by age, gender and race/ethnicity.

To protect the anonymity of survey respondents, voters' email addresses and all other personally identifiable information were purged from the data file and replaced with a unique and anonymous identification number in data processing. At the conclusion of data processing, post-stratification weights were applied to align the sample to the population characteristics of the state's overall registered voter population. Likely voters were then identified based on each voter's stated intention to vote in next year's elections and factoring in their history of voting in past elections.

The sampling error associated with the results from the survey are difficult to calculate precisely due to the effects of sample stratification and the post-stratification weighting. Nevertheless, it is likely that the results from the overall registered voter sample are subject to a sampling error of approximately +/- 2 percentage points at the 95% confidence level. Results based on subgroups of this population would be subject to larger margins of sampling error.

Survey Questions

(IF LIKELY TO VOTE IN NOVEMBER 2020 GENERAL ELECTION) In the November general election Californians may be asked to vote on a referendum relating to a newly enacted state law that does away with the posting of bail by criminal defendants, leaving decisions about the release of defendants largely to local judges. Defendants charged with violent crimes would still be held without bail. Supporters of the referendum say that posting bail is unfair to poor and indigent defendants who are less able to pay to stay out of jail while awaiting trial. Opponents say the bail system provides more protections to the public and creates greater motivation for defendants released on bail to appear in court. A YES on the referendum would keep the new law, while a NO vote would overturn it and reinstate the bail payment system. If you were voting today would you vote YES or NO on this referendum?

About the Institute of Governmental Studies

The Institute of Governmental Studies (IGS) is an interdisciplinary organized research unit that pursues a vigorous program of research, education, publication and public service. A component of the University of California (UC) system's flagship Berkeley campus, IGS is the oldest organized research unit in the UC system and the oldest public policy research center in the state. The co-directors of the Institute of Governmental Studies are Professor Eric Schickler and Associate Professor Cristina Mora.

IGS conducts periodic surveys of public opinion in California on matters of politics and public policy through its *Berkeley IGS Poll*. The poll, which is disseminated widely, seeks to provide a broad measure of contemporary public opinion, and to generate data for subsequent scholarly analysis. The director of the *Berkeley IGS Poll* is Mark DiCamillo. For a complete listing of stories issued by the *Berkeley IGS Poll* go to <https://igs.berkeley.edu/igs-poll/berkeley-igs-poll>.

Table 75-1
September 2019 Berkeley IGS Poll

Q45 - In 2016 California voters approved Proposition 64 legalizing the personal use and possession of marijuana by adults, and allowing the sale of marijuana in communities where local officials approve. Do you think the new law legalizing the possession, sale and use of marijuana is a good thing or a bad thing?

	Area											Region											Party registration			Likely voter in 2020 primary				Likely voter in 2020 general	
	Total	Coastal counties		Inland counties		Los Angeles County	San Diego County	Orange County	Inland Empire	Other South	Central Valley	San Francisco Bay Area	Other North	Democrat Party	Republican Party	No party /other	Not likely voter	Total likely voters	Likely in GOP primary	Likely in Dem primary	Not likely general election voter	Likely general election voter									
Unweighted Base	4527	3413	1114	1058	562	387	471	223	571	1031	224	2264	921	1342	1620	2907	635	2272	582	3945											
Total registered voters	4527	3229	1298	1222	398	362	457	208	779	919	181	1969	1100	1458	2151	2375	687	1689	1104	3423											
	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%									
Good thing	3992	2241	851	846	281	240	279	140	522	656	128	1533	527	1033	1418	1674	297	1376	729	2363											
	68.3%	69.4%	65.6%	69.3%	70.4%	66.2%	61.0%	67.1%	67.1%	71.4%	70.8%	77.8%	47.9%	70.9%	65.9%	70.5%	43.3%	81.5%	66.1%	69.0%											
Bad thing	1368	929	439	344	115	120	178	67	250	244	50	403	554	411	700	667	378	289	351	1017											
	30.2%	28.8%	33.8%	28.1%	29.5%	33.2%	38.9%	31.9%	32.1%	26.5%	27.8%	20.5%	50.4%	28.2%	32.5%	28.1%	55.0%	17.1%	31.8%	29.7%											
No answer	67	58	9	32	2	2	-	2	6	19	3	34	11	14	32	35	11	23	23	44											
	1.5%	1.8%	0.7%	2.6%	0.6%	0.6%	0.1%	1.0%	0.8%	2.1%	1.4%	1.7%	1.8%	1.0%	1.5%	1.5%	1.6%	1.4%	2.1%	1.3%											

Table 75-2
September 2019 Berkeley ICS Poll

Q45 - In 2016 California voters approved Proposition 64 legalizing the personal use and possession of marijuana by adults, and allowing the sale of marijuana in communities where local officials approve. Do you think the new law legalizing the possession, sale and use of marijuana is a good thing or a bad thing?

	Race/Ethnicity																	
	Gender			Age						75 or older	White non-Hispanic (total)	Latino (total)	Latino (total)-English dominant				Black/Afri-Amer.	Asian/Pac Isle
	Total	Male	Female	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	Latino Spanish dominant				/biling-ual					
Unweighted Base	4527	2199	2388	863	848	706	1199	613	298	2658	1093	184	899	177	473			
Total registered voters	4527	2159	2368	783	766	694	1192	690	412	2495	1173	346	829	248	451			
	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%			
Good thing	3092	1493	1599	619	621	468	744	406	234	1797	740	131	608	192	280			
	68.3%	69.2%	67.5%	79.0%	81.0%	68.4%	62.5%	58.9%	56.5%	72.0%	63.1%	37.9%	73.6%	77.4%	62.1%			
Bad thing	1368	633	735	162	144	207	425	259	171	666	419	203	216	52	157			
	30.2%	29.3%	31.0%	20.6%	18.8%	30.2%	35.6%	37.5%	41.5%	26.7%	35.8%	58.7%	26.2%	21.1%	34.8%			
No answer	57	33	34	3	1	19	23	24	6	31	14	12	2	4	14			
	1.5%	1.5%	1.4%	0.4%	0.1%	1.4%	1.9%	3.5%	1.6%	1.3%	1.2%	3.4%	0.3%	1.5%	3.1%			

Table 75-3
September 2019 Berkeley IGS Poll

Q45 - In 2016 California voters approved Proposition 64 legalizing the personal use and possession of marijuana by adults, and allowing the sale of marijuana in communities where local officials approve. Do you think the new law legalizing the possession, sale and use of marijuana is a good thing or a bad thing?

	Political ideology						Education					Income					Marital status		
	Total	Some- what conservative	Some- what liberal	Mod- erate	Some- what liberal	Very liberal	High school or less	Some col- lege/ trade school	Col- lege gra- duate	Post gra- duate degree	Less than \$20,000	\$20,000 -\$39,999	\$40,000 -\$59,999	\$60,000 -\$99,999	\$100,000 -\$200,000	\$200,000 or more	Mar- ried/ living together	Sepa- rated/ divorced/ widowed	Single /never mar- ried
Unweighted Base	4527	324	648	1174	1302	1065	418	1253	1496	1351	366	458	546	1001	1302	720	2740	642	1130
Total registered voters	4527	415	809	1351	1103	833	1132	1624	916	846	467	629	659	962	1121	538	2670	789	1057
	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Good thing	3092	142	415	843	920	762	706	1333	657	592	335	399	459	664	801	377	1744	527	816
	68.3%	34.3%	51.3%	62.4%	83.4%	91.6%	62.3%	69.8%	71.6%	70.0%	71.6%	63.4%	69.7%	69.0%	71.5%	70.1%	65.3%	66.7%	77.2%
Bad thing	1368	271	381	470	173	66	404	471	245	241	124	228	188	290	310	155	879	253	231
	30.2%	65.4%	47.1%	34.8%	15.7%	8.0%	35.7%	28.0%	27.2%	28.5%	26.4%	36.2%	28.5%	30.1%	27.6%	28.9%	32.9%	32.0%	21.8%
No answer	67	1	14	37	10	4	22	20	11	13	9	2	12	8	10	5	46	10	10
	1.5%	0.3%	1.7%	2.7%	0.9%	0.4%	2.0%	1.2%	1.2%	1.5%	1.9%	0.4%	1.8%	0.9%	0.9%	1.0%	1.7%	1.3%	1.0%

Table 75-4
September 2019 Berkeley IGS Poll

Q45 - In 2016 California voters approved Proposition 64 legalizing the personal use and possession of marijuana by adults, and allowing the sale of marijuana in communities where local officials approve. Do you think the new law legalizing the possession, sale and use of marijuana is a good thing or a bad thing?

	Party identification							Evangelical Christian		Union affiliation		Nativity		Tenure		Parental status	
	Total	Demo- crat	Repub- lican	Inde- pendent /other	Inde- pendent /other- Leans	Inde- pendent /other- Leans	Inde- pendent /other- Pure	Yes	No	Yes	No	Born in U.S.	Born in another country	Home- owner	Renter/ other	Parent of child under 18	Non- parent
					Demo- cratic	Repub- lican	Inde- pendent										
Unweighted Base	4527	2246	744	1527	590	367	470	706	3795	1960	3460	3759	721	2163	2354	1144	3375
Total registered voters	4527	1981	916	1621	657	423	541	815	3577	993	3525	3674	847	2019	2508	1175	3344
	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Good thing	3092	1545	395	1146	554	227	363	361	2718	727	2361	2671	418	1311	1781	790	2340
	68.3%	78.0%	43.1%	70.7%	84.3%	53.8%	67.5%	44.2%	73.9%	73.2%	67.0%	72.7%	49.4%	64.9%	71.0%	63.8%	70.0%
Bad thing	1368	403	513	448	98	184	164	441	910	255	1107	956	408	685	683	412	951
	30.2%	20.4%	56.0%	27.7%	14.9%	43.6%	30.7%	54.1%	24.7%	25.6%	31.4%	26.0%	48.1%	33.9%	27.2%	35.0%	28.4%
No answer	67	33	8	26	6	11	10	14	49	10	57	46	21	23	44	14	53
	1.5%	1.6%	0.9%	1.5%	0.9%	2.6%	1.8%	1.8%	1.3%	1.0%	1.6%	1.2%	2.4%	1.1%	1.8%	1.2%	1.6%

Table 76-1
September 2019 Berkeley IGS Poll

Q46 - Since Proposition 64 was approved, about two-thirds of the cities and towns across California have passed laws to ban retail stores from selling marijuana and cannabis products in their communities. What marijuana policy do you support your local city or town adopting? Do you favor or oppose your city or town allowing retail marijuana dispensary stores to sell marijuana and cannabis products in the community where you live?

	Area											Region											Party registration			Likely voter in 2020 primary		Likely voter in 2020 general	
	Coastal		Inland		Los Angeles		San Diego		Orange		Inland Empire		Other South		Central Valley		SF Bay Area		Other North		Dem-ocratic Party	Repub-lican Party	pre-ference /other	Not likely primary voter	Total likely primary voters	Likely in GOP primary	Likely in Dem. primary	Not likely elec-tion voter	Likely elec-tion voter
	Total	count	count	count	count	count	count	count	count	count	count	count	count	count	count	count	count	count	count	count	count	count	count	count	count	count	count	count	count
Unweighted Base	4527	3413	1114	1058	562	387	471	223	591	1031	224	2264	921	1342	1620	2907	635	2272	582	3945									
Total registered voters	4527	3229	1298	1222	398	362	457	208	779	919	181	1969	1100	1458	2151	2376	587	1695	1104	3423									
	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Favor (net)	2860	2052	808	790	244	213	250	130	512	589	130	1411	501	948	1300	1559	289	1270	563	2196									
	63.2%	63.5%	62.2%	64.7%	61.8%	58.8%	54.6%	62.2%	65.8%	64.1%	71.6%	71.6%	45.5%	65.0%	60.4%	65.6%	42.1%	75.2%	60.1%	64.2%									
Favor strongly	1479	1107	372	433	120	108	129	69	227	324	69	792	211	476	622	857	135	722	304	1176									
	32.7%	34.3%	28.7%	35.4%	30.0%	29.9%	28.2%	33.3%	29.1%	35.2%	38.3%	40.2%	19.2%	32.7%	28.9%	36.1%	19.7%	42.7%	27.5%	34.3%									
Favor somewhat	1380	945	436	357	127	105	121	60	285	265	60	619	290	472	678	702	154	548	360	1021									
	30.5%	29.3%	33.6%	29.2%	31.8%	28.9%	26.4%	28.9%	36.6%	28.9%	33.2%	31.4%	25.3%	32.4%	31.5%	29.5%	22.4%	32.5%	32.6%	29.8%									
Oppose (net)	1610	1124	488	407	150	146	207	74	262	315	50	527	589	494	822	788	389	399	419	1191									
	35.6%	34.8%	37.4%	33.3%	37.6%	40.2%	45.4%	35.4%	33.6%	34.3%	27.7%	26.8%	53.6%	35.0%	38.2%	33.1%	56.6%	23.6%	38.0%	34.8%									
Oppose somewhat	696	518	178	210	74	55	70	26	99	133	28	270	190	236	383	313	107	206	224	472									
	15.4%	16.0%	13.7%	17.2%	18.5%	15.1%	15.2%	13.6%	12.7%	14.4%	15.5%	13.7%	17.2%	16.2%	17.8%	13.2%	15.6%	12.2%	20.3%	13.8%									
Oppose strongly	914	607	307	197	76	91	138	45	163	182	22	257	400	258	440	474	281	193	195	719									
	20.2%	18.8%	23.7%	16.1%	19.2%	25.1%	30.1%	21.8%	20.9%	19.8%	12.2%	13.0%	36.3%	17.7%	20.4%	20.0%	41.0%	11.4%	17.7%	21.0%									
No answer	58	53	9	25	2	4	-	5	5	15	1	31	18	15	29	23	9	20	21	36									
	1.3%	1.6%	0.4%	2.1%	0.6%	1.0%	-	2.5%	0.6%	1.6%	0.7%	1.5%	0.9%	1.1%	1.3%	1.2%	1.3%	1.2%	1.9%	1.1%									

Table 74-2
September 2019 Berkeley IGS Poll

Q46 - Since Proposition 64 was approved, about two-thirds of the cities and towns across California have passed laws to ban retail stores from selling marijuana and cannabis products in their communities. What marijuana policy do you support your local city or town adopting? Do you favor or oppose your city or town allowing retail marijuana dispensary stores to sell marijuana and cannabis products in the community where you live?

	Race/Ethnicity																	
	Gender			Age						75 or older	White non-Hispanic (total)	Latino (total)	Latino (total)-English dominant				Black/Afri-Amer.	Asian/Pac Isle
	Total	Male	Female	18-29	30-35	40-49	50-64	65-74	Hispanic (total)				Latino (total)	Spanish dominant	/bilingual	dominant		
Unweighted Base	4527	2139	2388	863	848	706	1199	613	298	2658	1083	184	899	177	473			
Total registered voters	4527	2159	2368	783	766	684	1192	590	412	2495	1173	346	827	248	451			
	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%			
Favor (net)	2868	1355	1504	561	570	438	763	372	217	1675	674	112	562	180	244			
	63.2%	62.3%	63.5%	71.6%	74.4%	64.0%	64.0%	62.7%	52.7%	67.1%	57.4%	32.3%	68.0%	72.7%	54.2%			
Favor strongly	1479	756	723	314	354	236	325	160	90	810	367	39	328	106	97			
	32.7%	35.0%	30.5%	40.1%	46.2%	34.5%	27.3%	26.2%	21.8%	34.3%	31.2%	11.1%	39.7%	42.8%	21.4%			
Favor somewhat	1389	599	791	247	216	202	438	211	127	865	307	73	234	74	148			
	30.5%	27.7%	33.0%	31.5%	28.2%	29.5%	31.7%	30.7%	30.5%	32.3%	26.2%	21.1%	28.3%	29.9%	32.8%			
Oppose (net)	1610	781	849	221	181	234	427	236	192	788	483	221	262	68	199			
	35.4%	35.2%	35.5%	28.2%	24.7%	34.2%	40.0%	42.9%	46.7%	31.5%	41.1%	63.8%	31.7%	27.2%	44.2%			
Oppose somewhat	896	299	397	122	84	87	201	134	68	340	214	109	106	37	79			
	19.8%	13.8%	16.6%	15.5%	11.0%	12.7%	16.8%	19.4%	16.6%	13.5%	18.3%	31.4%	12.8%	14.8%	17.4%			
Oppose strongly	714	482	451	99	105	148	227	162	124	448	268	112	156	31	121			
	15.6%	21.4%	19.1%	12.5%	13.7%	21.6%	23.2%	23.5%	30.1%	18.0%	22.9%	32.4%	18.9%	12.5%	26.7%			
No answer	58	43	14	2	7	12	12	22	2	32	17	14	3	-	7			
	1.3%	2.0%	0.6%	0.3%	0.9%	1.8%	1.0%	3.2%	0.6%	1.3%	1.4%	3.9%	0.4%	0.1%	1.6%			

Table 76-3
September 2019 Berkeley ISS Poll

Q46 - Since Proposition 64 was approved, about two-thirds of the cities and towns across California have passed laws to ban retail stores from selling marijuana and cannabis products in their communities. What marijuana policy do you support your local city or town adopting? Do you favor or oppose your city or town allowing retail marijuana dispensary stores to sell marijuana and cannabis products in the community where you live?

	Political ideology					Education					Income					Marital status			
	Very conservative	Somewhat conservative	Mod- erate	Somewhat liberal	Very liberal	High school or less	Some col- lege/ trade school	Col- lege gra- duate	Post gra- duate degree	Less than \$20,000	\$20,000 -\$39,999	\$40,000 -\$59,999	\$60,000 -\$99,999	\$100,000 -\$200,000	\$200,000 or more	Mar- ried/ together	Sepa- rated/ divorced/ widowed	Single /never mar- ried	
	Total	native	native	rate	eral	eral	less	school	duate	degree	\$20,000	\$39,999	\$59,999	\$99,999	\$199,999	or more	ther	widowed	ried
Unweighted Base	4527	324	648	1174	1302	1066	418	1253	1496	1351	366	458	546	1001	1302	720	2740	542	1130
Total registered voters	4527	415	809	1351	1103	833	1132	1624	916	846	467	629	659	962	1121	538	2670	789	1057
	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Favor (net)	2850	141	396	770	834	710	694	1042	599	529	328	391	436	609	721	317	1566	517	773
	63.2%	33.9%	49.0%	57.0%	75.6%	85.3%	60.4%	64.2%	65.4%	62.6%	69.6%	62.0%	66.2%	63.3%	64.3%	51.1%	58.7%	65.4%	73.1%
Favor strongly	1479	64	146	349	433	482	347	557	305	268	182	220	247	304	337	155	788	244	445
	32.7%	15.5%	18.1%	29.8%	39.2%	57.5%	30.6%	34.3%	33.3%	31.7%	30.9%	35.0%	37.5%	31.6%	30.0%	28.9%	29.5%	30.9%	42.1%
Favor somewhat	1380	76	250	421	401	227	337	485	294	261	143	170	189	305	384	162	778	272	328
	30.5%	18.4%	30.9%	31.2%	36.4%	27.3%	29.8%	29.9%	32.1%	30.9%	30.6%	27.0%	28.6%	31.7%	34.3%	30.2%	29.2%	34.5%	31.0%
Oppose (net)	1619	270	404	548	264	117	426	950	314	308	136	235	210	347	380	218	1064	264	276
	35.6%	65.1%	50.0%	40.6%	23.9%	14.1%	37.6%	34.4%	34.3%	36.5%	29.2%	37.3%	31.9%	36.1%	34.6%	40.6%	35.8%	33.4%	26.1%
Oppose somewhat	696	46	181	257	163	78	177	236	141	140	68	103	81	161	154	108	449	119	127
	15.4%	11.0%	18.7%	19.0%	14.8%	9.4%	15.7%	14.5%	15.4%	16.6%	14.6%	16.4%	12.3%	16.8%	13.7%	20.0%	16.8%	15.0%	12.0%
Oppose strongly	914	224	283	292	101	39	248	322	173	160	68	132	129	186	234	110	615	145	149
	20.2%	54.1%	31.3%	21.6%	9.1%	4.7%	21.9%	15.8%	18.8%	19.9%	14.6%	21.0%	19.6%	19.3%	20.9%	20.5%	23.0%	18.4%	14.1%
No answer	58	4	8	33	5	5	22	23	3	8	6	4	13	6	12	2	40	9	8
	1.3%	1.1%	1.0%	2.4%	0.5%	0.7%	2.0%	1.4%	0.3%	0.9%	1.2%	0.6%	1.5%	0.6%	1.1%	0.4%	1.5%	1.1%	0.8%

Table 76-4
September 2019 Berkeley IGS Poll

Q46 - Since Proposition 64 was approved, about two-thirds of the cities and towns across California have passed laws to ban retail stores from selling marijuana and cannabis products in their communities. What marijuana policy do you support your local city or town adopting? Do you favor or oppose your city or town allowing retail marijuana dispensary stores to sell marijuana and cannabis products in the community where you live?

	Party identification																		
				Inde- pendent /other-			Inde- pendent /other-			Evangelical Christian		Union affiliation		Nativity		Gender		Parental status	
	Total	Dem- ocrat	Repub- lican	Inde- pendent /other	Dem- ocratic	Repub- lican	Inde- pendent	Yes	No	Yes	No	Born in U.S.	Born in another country	Home- owner	Renter/ other	Parent of child under 18	Non- parent		
Unweighted Base	4527	2246	744	1527	690	367	470	705	3795	1060	3460	3799	721	2163	2354	1144	3375		
Total registered voters	4527	1981	916	1621	657	423	541	816	3577	953	3525	3674	847	2019	2500	1175	3344		
	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%		
Favor (net)	2860	1424	374	1056	527	212	318	343	2505	643	2214	2459	396	1152	1707	694	2164		
	63.2%	71.9%	40.8%	65.2%	80.2%	50.1%	58.7%	42.0%	68.1%	64.7%	62.8%	66.9%	46.7%	57.1%	68.1%	59.1%	64.7%		
Favor strongly	1479	769	147	558	299	86	173	136	1339	361	1117	1317	160	539	941	321	1157		
	32.7%	38.8%	16.1%	34.4%	45.5%	20.4%	31.9%	16.7%	36.4%	36.4%	31.7%	35.8%	18.9%	26.7%	37.5%	27.4%	34.6%		
Favor somewhat	1380	655	226	498	228	125	145	207	1166	282	1097	1143	235	614	767	373	1007		
	30.5%	33.1%	24.7%	30.7%	34.7%	29.7%	26.8%	25.3%	31.7%	28.4%	31.1%	31.1%	27.8%	30.4%	30.6%	31.7%	30.1%		
Oppose (net)	1610	826	530	551	128	268	215	460	1125	344	1262	1176	432	852	757	469	1135		
	35.6%	26.5%	57.9%	34.0%	19.5%	49.1%	39.7%	56.4%	30.7%	34.7%	35.8%	32.0%	51.1%	42.2%	30.2%	39.9%	33.9%		
Oppose somewhat	695	282	174	239	69	69	102	157	532	168	527	517	179	335	361	106	508		
	15.4%	14.2%	19.0%	14.8%	10.5%	16.3%	18.8%	19.2%	14.5%	16.9%	15.0%	14.1%	21.1%	16.6%	14.4%	15.9%	15.2%		
Oppose strongly	914	244	356	311	59	139	113	303	593	177	735	659	254	517	397	282	627		
	20.2%	12.3%	38.9%	19.2%	9.0%	32.5%	20.9%	37.2%	16.2%	17.0%	20.9%	17.9%	29.9%	25.6%	15.8%	24.9%	18.7%		
No answer	56	32	12	14	2	3	8	13	43	6	49	39	19	14	44	13	45		
	1.3%	1.6%	1.3%	0.8%	0.3%	0.8%	1.6%	1.6%	1.2%	0.6%	1.4%	1.1%	2.2%	0.7%	1.7%	1.1%	1.3%		