

Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory

Recent Work

Title

MASSES OF 77Kr AND 75Kr

Permalink

<https://escholarship.org/uc/item/4gm6v6sd>

Author

Moltz, D.M.

Publication Date

1986-08-01



Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

RECEIVED
LAWRENCE
BERKELEY LABORATORY

OCT 2 1986

Submitted to Physical Review C

LIBRARY AND
DOCUMENTS SECTION


MASSES OF ^{77}Kr and ^{75}Kr

D.M. Moltz, A.C. Betker, J.P. Sullivan, R.H. Burch,
C.A. Gagliardi, R.E. Tribble, K.S. Toth, and
F.T. Avignone III

August 1986

TWO-WEEK LOAN COPY

*This is a Library Circulating Copy
which may be borrowed for two weeks.*



LBL-20104
c.2

DISCLAIMER

This document was prepared as an account of work sponsored by the United States Government. While this document is believed to contain correct information, neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor the Regents of the University of California, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by its trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof, or the Regents of the University of California. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof or the Regents of the University of California.

Masses of ^{77}Kr and ^{75}Kr

D. M. Moltz
Nuclear Science Division, Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory
University of California, Berkeley, California 94720

A. C. Betker, J.P. Sullivan, R. H. Burch, C. A. Gagliardi,
and R. E. Tribble
Cyclotron Institute, Texas A&M University
College Station, Texas 77843

K. S. Toth
Physics Division, Oak Ridge National Laboratory
Oak Ridge, Tennessee 37831

F. T. Avignone, III
Department of Physics, University of South Carolina
Columbia, South Carolina 29208

This work was supported by the Director, Office of Energy Research, Division of Nuclear Physics of the Office of High Energy and Nuclear Physics of the U.S. Department of Energy under Contract No. DE-AC03-76SF00098.

Masses of ^{77}Kr and ^{75}Kr

D. M. Moltz
Nuclear Science Division, Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory
University of California, Berkeley, California 94720

A. C. Betker, J.P. Sullivan, R. H. Burch, C. A. Gagliardi,
and R. E. Tribble
Cyclotron Institute, Texas A&M University
College Station, Texas 77843

K. S. Toth
Physics Division, Oak Ridge National Laboratory
Oak Ridge, Tennessee 37831

F. T. Avignone, III
Department of Physics, University of South Carolina
Columbia, South Carolina 29208

Abstract

The masses of ^{77}Kr and ^{75}Kr were determined by measuring the Q-values of the $^{80}\text{Kr}(^3\text{He}, ^6\text{He})^{77}\text{Kr}$, $^{78}\text{Kr}(\text{d}, \text{t})^{77}\text{Kr}$, and $^{78}\text{Kr}(^3\text{He}, ^6\text{He})^{75}\text{Kr}$ reactions. We find that the ^{77}Kr and ^{75}Kr mass excesses are -70.160 (10) and -64.231 (16) MeV, respectively. These new results are integrated into the total scheme of mass measurements in the light rubidium and krypton isotopes. Comparisons with several mass formulae are presented.

Keyword Abstract

NUCLEAR REACTIONS: $^{78,80}\text{Kr}(^3\text{He}, ^6\text{He})^{75,77}\text{Kr}$, $E = 70$ MeV;
 $^{78}\text{Kr}(\text{d}, \text{t})^{77}\text{Kr}$, $E = 29.1$ MeV. Measured Q-values; deduced masses. Enriched gas targets.

I. INTRODUCTION

Accurate mass determinations of neutron deficient nuclei between the $1f_{7/2}$ and $1g_{9/2}$ shells are hampered by low production cross sections and complex decay schemes. The direct mass measurements¹ of the rubidium isotopes have provided a substantial base for other experiments. Unfortunately, the large uncertainties in the masses of the more neutron deficient isotopes make detailed theoretical comparisons difficult. A program was initiated several years ago to measure mass differences between light rubidium and krypton isotopes by utilizing beta-endpoint energy determinations.² Interpretation of these results is complicated by difficulties typical in such measurements, one of which is the lack of detailed knowledge of the decay schemes. For example, recent work³ on ^{76}Rb decay has demonstrated that the previously accepted decay scheme was incorrect. These mass difference measurements resulted from the introduction of a simple but effective method^{4,5} for precise beta-endpoint determinations. However the $^{76}\text{Rb} - ^{76}\text{Kr}$ mass difference yielded a mass excess for ^{76}Rb only when the mass of ^{76}Kr was determined⁶ by a measurement of the Q-value of the $^{78}\text{Kr}(^4\text{He}, ^6\text{He})^{76}\text{Kr}$ reaction. The resulting ^{76}Rb mass was anomalous when compared to the predictions of most mass formulae. The extra stability implied for this $N = 39$ nucleus led us to investigate the mass of ^{75}Kr via the $^{78}\text{Kr}(^3\text{He}, ^6\text{He})^{75}\text{Kr}$ reaction, in order to determine whether or not this extra stability is a general feature of proton-rich $N = 39$ nuclei.

In the same experiment, we measured the Q-values of the $^{80}\text{Kr}(^3\text{He}, ^6\text{He})^{77}\text{Kr}$ and $^{82}\text{Kr}(^3\text{He}, ^6\text{He})^{79}\text{Kr}$ reactions. The ^{77}Kr measurement was intended to clarify the mass excess of ^{77}Kr , since recent β - γ coincidence studies² have called the accepted value⁷⁻⁹ into question. The ^{79}Kr measurement was intended to verify our experimental technique. During the data analysis, we found that the ^{77}Kr mass excess derived from our Q-value determination disagreed with all the previous β -decay results, casting doubt on our interpretation of the observed ($^3\text{He}, ^6\text{He}$) spectra. This interpretation required a detailed knowledge both of the level diagrams of the daughter nuclei and of the systematics of the levels populated by the ($^3\text{He}, ^6\text{He}$) reaction in this mass region. In a subsequent experiment, we redetermined the ^{77}Kr mass excess by measuring the Q-value of the $^{78}\text{Kr}(d, t)^{77}\text{Kr}$ reaction. This alternate measurement both confirmed the interpretation of the ($^3\text{He}, ^6\text{He}$) results and reduced the uncertainty in the ^{77}Kr mass excess.

Section II of this paper describes the $^{78,80,82}\text{Kr}(^3\text{He}, ^6\text{He})^{75,77,79}\text{Kr}$ reaction studies. Section III describes the $^{78}\text{Kr}(d, t)^{77}\text{Kr}$ reaction study. Section IV provides some conclusions.

II. THE $\text{Kr}(^3\text{He}, ^6\text{He})$ MEASUREMENTS

a. Experimental Techniques

The $^{78,80,82}\text{Kr}(^3\text{He}, ^6\text{He})^{75,77,79}\text{Kr}$ reactions were all investigated with a 70-MeV ^3He beam from the Texas A&M University 224-cm cyclotron.

Isotopically enriched gases (>99% enriched ^{78}Kr , >90% enriched ^{80}Kr , and >90% enriched ^{82}Kr) at pressures ranging from 35-60 Torr were held in a gas target cell having $\approx 2 \text{ mg/cm}^2$ HAVAR entrance and exit windows. Well-collimated reaction products at a scattering angle of $\theta_L = 7.25^\circ$ were detected at the focal plane of an Enge split-pole spectrograph by a 10-cm long resistive-wire proportional counter, used as the ΔE detector, backed by a 1.0 cm x 5.0 cm x 600 μm thick silicon surface barrier detector, serving as the E detector. A 20 μm thick Kapton degrader placed between the ΔE and E detectors improved the ^6He energy resolution in the E detector. Four parameters (position, ΔE , E, and time-of-flight (TOF) relative to the cyclotron RF pulse) were recorded on magnetic tape for each event. Helium-6 particles were identified by their characteristic ΔE , E, and TOF signals. Additional experimental details may be found in Refs. 6 and 10.

The bombarding energy and scattering angle were chosen with the benefit of prior experience with (^3He , ^6He) measurements in this mass region.¹¹ The (^3He , ^6He) reaction cross section is only $\approx 2\%$ of the (^4He , ^6He) cross section on any given Kr isotope. Therefore, we calibrated the spectrograph with ^6He particles from the $^{18}\text{O}(^3\text{He}, ^6\text{He})^{15}\text{O}$ reaction. We chose this calibration reaction because the ^6He particles from this reaction populating the 5.2 MeV doublet and the 6.18 MeV third excited state in ^{15}O bracket those from the $^{78}\text{Kr}(^3\text{He}, ^6\text{He})^{75}\text{Kr}$ reaction, while the ^6He particle rigidity in the $^{18}\text{O}(^3\text{He}, ^6\text{He})^{15}\text{O}$ (g.s.) reaction differs from that in the $^{82}\text{Kr}(^3\text{He}, ^6\text{He})^{79}\text{Kr}$ reaction by less than 1%. After the initial calibration, the enriched isotope samples of ^{82}Kr , ^{80}Kr , and ^{78}Kr were successively introduced into the gas target cell and bombarded by 21.8, 30.0, and 34.0 mC of $^3\text{He}^{2+}$ beam, respectively. An additional calibration study

was performed after the Kr measurements. Finally, the evacuated gas target was irradiated by 10.0 mC of $^3\text{He}^{2+}$ beam to determine the background level due to imperfect collimation of reaction products from the HAVAR entrance and exit windows. Typical beam currents were between 500 and 1200 enA.

b. The $^{82}\text{Kr}(^3\text{He}, ^6\text{He})^{79}\text{Kr}$ Reaction

In this mass region, one might expect the angular momentum mismatch to selectively populate $L = 2$ and 3 states. The level density is already quite high in these even-odd nuclei, as demonstrated by the known β -decay schemes of $^{77,79}\text{Rb}$ and ^{75}Rb (Refs. 12 and 13, respectively). It is, therefore, quite fortuitous that we observe primarily the negative parity, $L = 2, 3$ states in $^{79,77,75}\text{Kr}$, because these number only a few imbedded in a dense region of positive parity states. The observed ^6He position spectrum from the $^{82}\text{Kr}(^3\text{He}, ^6\text{He})^{79}\text{Kr}$ reaction is shown in Fig. 1. The peak labeled (1) belongs to a $3/2^-$ state¹² at 0.810 MeV, and the peak labeled (2) belongs to a $3/2^-$ state to 0.183 MeV. The lower excitation energy (higher channel numbers) shoulder on peak (2) belongs to a $5/2^-$ state at 0.147 MeV. When the measured Q-values for these two peaks are corrected to account for the excitation energies and then combined, we find the $^{82}\text{Kr}(^3\text{He}, ^6\text{He})^{79}\text{Kr}$ ground state Q-value to be -8.822 (31) MeV. From this, we determine the ^{79}Kr mass excess to be -74.441 (31) MeV, in excellent agreement with the accepted value⁹ of -74.442 (6) MeV.

c. The $^{80}\text{Kr}(^3\text{He}, ^6\text{He})^{77}\text{Kr}$ Reaction

Figure 2 shows the spectrum obtained from the $^{80}\text{Kr}(^3\text{He}, ^6\text{He})^{77}\text{Kr}$ reaction. The peaks labeled (1) and (2) belong to the $5/2^-$ state at 0.245 MeV and the $3/2^-$ state at 0.066 MeV, respectively. The spectrum obtained with the gas cell evacuated verified that the events in channels higher than peak (2) arise from imperfect collimation of reaction products from the gas cell windows. Fortunately, no interferences with the ^{77}Kr peaks were observed. When the measured Q-values for these peaks are combined, we find the $^{80}\text{Kr}(^3\text{He}, ^6\text{He})^{77}\text{Kr}$ ground state Q-value to be -10.398 (24) MeV. From this result, we determine the ^{77}Kr mass excess to be -70.155 (25) MeV.

This result disagrees with the accepted value⁹ of -70.227 (29) MeV obtained by combining data from two ^{77}Kr magnetic spectrometer β -decay endpoint measurements^{7,8} with the ^{77}Br mass excess. In fact, our result agrees with one magnetic spectrometer measurement⁸ of -70.210 (40) MeV, but it disagrees with an early measurement⁷ of -70.255 (30) MeV. Both of these beta-endpoint measurements were recently called into question by β - γ coincidence studies² utilizing germanium detectors, which found a ^{77}Kr mass excess of -70.477 (42) MeV. This latter result was rejected by Wapstra and Audi⁹ in the most recent mass compilation because it deviates significantly from the systematic trends in the mass region. These discrepancies motivated our subsequent investigation of the $^{78}\text{Kr}(d,t)^{77}\text{Kr}$ reaction, which is described in Sect. III. There, we determine the mass excess of ^{77}Kr to be -70.161 (11) MeV, in excellent agreement with our $^{80}\text{Kr}(^3\text{He}, ^6\text{He})^{77}\text{Kr}$ result. These disagreements among the various β -decay studies, and between the β -decay measurements and the reaction

studies, imply that the spectroscopy of the sequential β -decays $^{77}\text{Rb} \rightarrow ^{77}\text{Kr} \rightarrow ^{77}\text{Br}$ is not as well-understood as previously believed.

d. The $^{78}\text{Kr}(^3\text{He}, ^6\text{He})^{75}\text{Kr}$ Reaction

Figure 3 shows the background-subtracted spectrum obtained from the $^{78}\text{Kr}(^3\text{He}, ^6\text{He})^{75}\text{Kr}$ reaction. Again, detailed spectroscopic information has been utilized to identify the observed peaks. The peaks labeled (1), (2) and (3) in the ^{75}Kr spectrum represent population of the $7/2^-$ state at 0.611 MeV, the $5/2^-$ state at 0.358 MeV, and the $5/2^+$ ground state, respectively, where the levels were identified according to Ref. 13. From the weighted Q-values determined for these three states, we find the $^{78}\text{Kr}(^3\text{He}, ^6\text{He})^{75}\text{Kr}$ ground state Q-value to be -12.581 (14) MeV. This result implies that the mass excess of ^{75}Kr is -64.231 (16) MeV.

The only prior measurement¹⁴ of the mass of ^{75}Kr was from a plastic scintillator β -decay endpoint measurement. The measured $^{75}\text{Kr} - ^{75}\text{Br}$ mass difference of 4.40 (20) MeV, when combined with the mass of ^{75}Br , yields a ^{75}Kr mass excess of -64.76 (20) MeV. This measurement is very different for the one we report and has a large uncertainty. Furthermore, it is subject to similar systematic uncertainties as arise in the ^{77}Kr case discussed above. The value quoted by Wapstra and Audi⁹ was incorrectly transcribed from a preliminary report¹⁵ of this work. Therefore, we have no comparative value available. The excellent agreement we obtained between our measured ^{79}Kr mass excess and the accepted value, and between our two measurements of the ^{77}Kr mass excess, gives us confidence in our data analysis. Therefore, we quote -64.231 (16) MeV as the best available value for the mass excess of ^{75}Kr .

III. THE $^{78}\text{Kr}(d,t)^{77}\text{Kr}$ MEASUREMENT

The $^{78}\text{Kr}(d,t)^{77}\text{Kr}$ reaction was investigated with a 29.1 MeV deuteron beam from the Texas A&M University cyclotron. For this measurement, 49 Torr of 99% enriched ^{78}Kr was introduced into a gas cell similar to the one utilized for the ($^3\text{He}, ^6\text{He}$) studies, but with a 3.4 mg/cm^2 Al entrance window. The split-pole spectrograph focused outgoing particles at $\theta_L = 9.6^\circ$ onto a 20-cm long resistive-wire proportional counter backed by a scintillator. Scattered deuterons and tritons were identified by their characteristic pulse heights in the two counters. The beam energy was determined by observing the crossover of the $^{16}\text{O}(d,d)^{16}\text{O}$ and $^{16}\text{O}(d,t)^{15}\text{O}$ reactions, using natural O_2 as the target gas, and the scattering angle was determined by simultaneously observing elastically scattered deuterons from H and C, using natural C_3H_8 as the target gas. The spectrograph focal plane was calibrated by observing tritons from the $^{22}\text{Ne}(d,t)^{21}\text{Ne}^*$ (0.351 MeV) reactions, using 99.9% enriched ^{22}Ne , and from the $^{40}\text{Ar}(d,t)^{39}\text{Ar}^*$ (1.267, 1.517, and 2.358 MeV) reactions, using natural Ar. The ^{22}Ne , ^{78}Kr , and ^{40}Ar measurements were performed sequentially, without changing the spectrograph magnetic field. The $^{40}\text{Ar}(d,t)$ reaction proved to be a particularly valuable calibration, because tritons populating the 2.358 MeV $J^\pi = 1/2^+$ state differed in energy from those populating the ^{77}Kr 66 keV $J^\pi = 3/2^-$ state by only 225 keV.

Figure 4 shows the triton position spectrum obtained in the $^{78}\text{Kr}(d,t)^{77}\text{Kr}$ reaction. The smooth curves are the best Gaussian fit to the triton groups populating the unresolved ground and the first excited states. This fit required the two peaks to have the correct 66 keV separation

energy and the same width. The 65 keV FWHM resolution extracted from this fit is consistent with the ^{22}Ne and ^{40}Ar studies, where all peaks are cleanly resolved, and the 10:1 relative yield of the first excited state to the ground state is consistent with that seen in a previous 16 MeV $^{78}\text{Kr}(\vec{d},t)^{77}\text{Kr}$ reaction study.¹⁶ From this fit, we find that the ground state Q-value for the $^{78}\text{Kr}(d,t)^{77}\text{Kr}$ reactions is $-5.804 (7) \text{ MeV}$, and that the ^{77}Kr mass excess is $-70.161 (11) \text{ MeV}$. It should be noted that the dominant contributions to the uncertainty in this result come from the 5 and 8 keV uncertainties in the accepted ^{39}Ar and ^{78}Kr mass excesses, respectively.

This result is in excellent agreement with our result from the $^{80}\text{Kr}(^3\text{He}, ^6\text{He})^{77}\text{Kr}$ reaction. Therefore, we combine the two results to obtain $-70.160 (10) \text{ MeV}$ for the ^{77}Kr mass excess. This new ^{77}Kr mass excess permits us to recalculate the ^{77}Rb mass excess, using the previously reported² $^{77}\text{Rb} - ^{77}\text{Kr}$ mass difference. We find the ^{77}Rb mass excess is $-64.888 (28) \text{ MeV}$. This result is 200 keV more negative than the result¹ of a direct mass measurement ($-65.100 (105) \text{ MeV}$). At this time, it is not clear whether or not this two standard deviation discrepancy is related to our lack of understanding of the mass-77 sequential β -decays discussed in Sect. II.c.

IV. CONCLUSIONS

Table 1 contains a summary of our results. The quoted Q-values have been corrected for different recoil energy losses and include where applicable, results from scattering to multiple states as discussed previously. Reference 17 has been utilized for reasons discussed in the $^{78}\text{Kr}(^3\text{He}, ^6\text{He})^{75}\text{Kr}$

section. These results have been integrated into the beta-decay energy systems depicted in Fig. 5. If one compares some of these Rb and Kr masses used in Fig. 5 with the theoretical predictions¹⁸⁻²¹ illustrated in Fig. 6, we observe that the recursive mass formulae (numbers 5, 6 and 7 in Fig. 6) give values consistently closer to the measured value if the ^{76}Rb mass is disregarded. Most of the mass formulae predict the Kr masses rather well, but tend to miss the Rb masses.

Since the ^{75}Kr mass is consistent with the other Kr isotopes, we must conclude that the $N = 39$ configuration is not especially favored and that ^{76}Rb must represent an unusual case. It is now recognized^{3,22} that ^{76}Rb is one of the most deformed nuclei known. If one considers the mass predictions depicted in Fig. 6 in a sequential manner from ^{77}Rb to ^{75}Rb , the formula which comes closest to the experimental results is that of Möller-Nix.²¹ We attribute this to their inclusion of the effects of strong prolate deformation. There may exist, however, other effects which serve to make ^{76}Rb a unique case perhaps warranting additional study. This could include remeasurement of the β^+ spectrum coincident with the dominant 2571 keV transition.

Although our understanding of the rudimentary nuclear physics has been furthered by these mass determinations, probably more questions have been raised than have been answered.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We wish to thank the staff at the Texas A&M University cyclotron for their help in this work. The work at Texas A&M is supported in part by the U. S. Department of Energy and the Robert A. Welch Foundation. Oak Ridge National Laboratory is operated by Martin Marietta Energy Systems, Inc. for the U. S. Department of Energy under Contract DE-AC05-84OR21400. This work was supported in part by the Director, Office of Energy Research Division of the Nuclear Physics of the Office of High Energy and Nuclear Physics of the U. S. Department of Energy under Contract DE-AC03-76SF00098.

Table I. A summary of the Kr mass measurements. See text for details.

All values are in MeV.

	^{79}Kr	^{77}Kr	^{75}Kr
Average Corrected Q-value			
($^3\text{He}, ^6\text{He}$) reaction	-8.811 (31)	-10.398 (24)	-12.581(14)
(d,t) reaction		-5.804 (7)	
Average Mass Excess	-74.441 (31)	-70.160 (10)	-64.231 (16)
Literature	-74.442 (6) ^a	-70.227 (29) ^a	-64.16 ^b
Adopted Mass Excess	-74.442 (6)	-70.160 (10)	-64.231 (16)

^aRef. 9.

^bRef. 17. This result is based upon systematics.

References

- ¹ G. Audi, M. Epherre, C. Thibault, A. H. Wapstra, and K. Bos, Nucl. Phys. A378, 443 (1982).
- ² D. M. Moltz, K. S. Toth, F. T. Avignone, III, H. Noma, B. G. Ritchie, and B. D. Kern, Phys. Lett. 113B, 16 (1982).
- ³ D. M. Moltz, K. S. Toth, F. T. Avignone, III, H. Noma, B. D. Kern, R. E. Tribble, and J. P. Sullivan, Nucl. Phys. A427, 317 (1984).
- ⁴ F. T. Avignone, III, H. Noma, D. M. Moltz, and K. S. Toth, Nucl. Instrum. Meth. 189, 453 (1981).
- ⁵ F. T. Avignone, III, L. P. Hopkins, and Z. D. Greenwood, Nucl. Sci. Eng. 72, 216 (1979).
- ⁶ D. M. Moltz, K. S. Toth, R. E. Tribble, R. E. Neese, and J. P. Sullivan, Phys. Rev. C 26, 1914 (1982).
- ⁷ S. Thulin, Ark. Fysik (Swed.) 9, 137 (1955).
- ⁸ K. A. Baskova, S. S. Vasil'ev, M. A. Mokhsen, T. V. Chugai, and L. Ya. Shavtvalov, Iz. Akad. Nauk SSR (Ser. Fiz.) 37, 73 (1973).
- ⁹ A. H. Wapstra and G. Audi, Nucl. Phys. A432, 1 (1985).
- ¹⁰ C. J. Woodward, R. E. Tribble, and D. M. Tanner, Phys. Rev. C 27, 27 (1983).
- ¹¹ R. C. Pardo, E. Kashy, W. Benenson, and L. W. Robinson, Phys. Rev. C 18, 1249 (1978).
- ¹² C. M. Lederer and V. S. Shirley, eds., Table of Isotopes, 7th Ed. (Wiley, New York, 1978).
- ¹³ B. D. Kern, K. S. Toth, D. M. Moltz, J. Lin, F. T. Avignone, III, H. Noma, and G. A. Leander, Phys. Rev. C 28, 2168 (1983).

- ¹⁴ E. Roeckl, D. Lode, and W. Pessara, Z. Phys. 266, 123 (1974).
- ¹⁵ D. M. Moltz, J. P. Sullivan, C. A. Gagliardi, R. E. Tribble, K. S. Toth, and F. T. Avignone, III, Proc. 7th Int.Conf. Atom. Masses Fund. Const. (AMCO-7), Sept., 1984, Darmstadt-Seeheim, Federal Republic of Germany, p.112.
- ¹⁶ R. R. Cadmus, Jr., T. B. Clegg, E. J. Ludwig, and S.A. Wender, Nucl. Phys. A319, 165 (1979).
- ¹⁷ A. H. Wapstra and K. Bos, At. Data Nucl. Data Tables 19, 177 (1977).
- ¹⁸ S. Maripuu, special ed., At. Data Nucl. Data Tables 17, 411 (1976).
- ¹⁹ P. Möller and J. R. Nix, At. Data Nucl. Data Tables 26, 165 (1981).
- ²⁰ P. E. Haustein, to be published.
- ²¹ P. Möller and J. R. Nix, Nucl. Phys. A361, 117 (1981).
- ²² C. J. Lister, B. J. Varley, H. G. Price, and J. W. Olness, Phy. Rev. Lett. 49, 308 (1982).

Figure Captions

- Fig. 1. The position spectrum observed in the $^{82}\text{Kr}(^3\text{He}, ^6\text{He})^{79}\text{Kr}$ reaction. The labeled peaks are discussed in the text.
- Fig. 2. The position spectrum observed in the $^{80}\text{Kr}(^3\text{He}, ^6\text{He})^{77}\text{Kr}$ reaction. The labeled peaks are discussed in the text.
- Fig. 3. The position spectrum observed in the $^{78}\text{Kr}(^3\text{He}, ^6\text{He})^{75}\text{Kr}$ reaction. The labeled peaks are discussed in the text.
- Fig. 4. The position spectrum observed in the $^{78}\text{Kr}(d,t)^{77}\text{Kr}$ reaction. The smooth curves show the best fit to the triton groups populating

the ^{77}Kr ground and first excited states. The fit is discussed in the text.

Fig. 5. Beta-decay energy systematics for light strontium, rubidium, krypton, and bromine isotopes. Predictions are taken from Ref. 9.

Fig. 6. Mass formulae comparisons for several rubidium and krypton isotopes. All predictions are taken from Ref. 18 unless noted, and correspond to 1) Myers, 2) Groote et al., 3) Seeger and Howard, 4) Liran and Zeldes, 5) Janecke, Garvey-Kelson, 6) Comay and Kelson, 7) Janecke and Eynon 8) Möller and Nix,¹⁹ and 9) Monohan and Serduke.²⁰




Fig. 1





Fig. 2






Fig. 4




Fig. 5




Fig. 6

XBL 848 8653

This report was done with support from the Department of Energy. Any conclusions or opinions expressed in this report represent solely those of the author(s) and not necessarily those of The Regents of the University of California, the Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory or the Department of Energy.

Reference to a company or product name does not imply approval or recommendation of the product by the University of California or the U.S. Department of Energy to the exclusion of others that may be suitable.

*LAWRENCE BERKELEY LABORATORY
TECHNICAL INFORMATION DEPARTMENT
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
BERKELEY, CALIFORNIA 94720*