

UCLA

UCLA Previously Published Works

Title

Advancing the inclusion of pregnant and lactating populations in HIV PrEP research: ethical, regulatory, and surveillance recommendations from a multisectoral working group.

Permalink

<https://escholarship.org/uc/item/4jd566dc>

Journal

Frontiers in public health, 14

ISSN

2296-2565

Authors

Osakwe, CE
Schaefer, R
Donaldson, L
[et al.](#)

Publication Date

2026

DOI

10.3389/fpubh.2026.1811039

Copyright Information

This work is made available under the terms of a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial License, available at <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>

Peer reviewed



OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY

Matilda Aberese-Ako,
University of Health and Allied Sciences,
Ghana

REVIEWED BY

Samson Malwa Haumba,
Georgetown University Medical Center,
United States
Patrick Robinson,
University of North Carolina at Charlotte,
United States

*CORRESPONDENCE

V. Miller
✉ veronicam@berkeley.edu

RECEIVED 14 February 2026

REVISED 17 June 2026

ACCEPTED 19 June 2026

PUBLISHED 06 July 2026

CITATION

Osakwe CE, Schaefer R, Donaldson L, Abiodun AS, Chatani-Gada M, Chigome AK, Day S, Deaton C, Elemuwa UG, Ingold H, Joseph Davey D, Kersey K, Lamprianou S, Lyerly AD, Noguchi LM, Nyambayo PPM, Mpaso C, Robertson MN, Renaud F, Sehloho T, van Wyk J, Vannappagari V, Warren M and Miller V (2026) Advancing the inclusion of pregnant and lactating populations in HIV PrEP research: ethical, regulatory, and surveillance recommendations from a multisectoral working group. *Front. Public Health* 14:1811039. doi: 10.3389/fpubh.2026.1811039

COPYRIGHT

© 2026 Osakwe, Schaefer, Donaldson, Abiodun, Chatani-Gada, Chigome, Day, Deaton, Elemuwa, Ingold, Joseph Davey, Kersey, Lamprianou, Lyerly, Noguchi, Nyambayo, Mpaso, Robertson, Renaud, Sehloho, van Wyk, Vannappagari, Warren and Miller. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License \(CC BY\)](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Advancing the inclusion of pregnant and lactating populations in HIV PrEP research: ethical, regulatory, and surveillance recommendations from a multisectoral working group

C. E. Osakwe¹, R. Schaefer¹, L. Donaldson¹, A. S. Abiodun², M. Chatani-Gada³, A. K. Chigome⁴, S. Day⁵, C. Deaton⁶, U. G. Elemuwa², H. Ingold⁷, D. Joseph Davey^{8,9}, K. Kersey⁶, S. Lamprianou¹⁰, A. D. Lyerly¹¹, L. M. Noguchi¹², P. P. M. Nyambayo¹³, C. Mpaso¹⁴, M. N. Robertson¹⁵, F. Renaud⁷, T. Sehloho⁴, J. van Wyk¹⁶, V. Vannappagari¹⁶, M. Warren¹⁷ and V. Miller^{1*} on behalf of the Long-Acting PrEP during Pregnancy and Lactation Working Group

¹Forum for Collaborative Research, University of California, Berkeley, DC, United States, ²National Agency for Food and Drug Administration and Control (NAFDAC), Abuja, Nigeria, ³Independent Global Health Consultant, Longmeadow, MA, United States, ⁴South African Health Products Regulatory Authority, Pretoria, South Africa, ⁵Department of Medicine, Division of Infectious Diseases, the University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, Chapel Hill, NC, United States, ⁶Gilead Sciences, Inc., Foster, CA, United States, ⁷Global HIV, Tuberculosis, Viral Hepatitis, and STIs Programmes, World Health Organization, Geneva, Switzerland, ⁸Division of Infectious Diseases, School of Medicine, University of California Los Angeles, Los Angeles, CA, United States, ⁹Department of Epidemiology and Biostatistics, School of Public Health, University of Cape Town, Cape Town, South Africa, ¹⁰Pharmacovigilance Team, Department of Regulation and Prequalification, World Health Organization, Geneva, Switzerland, ¹¹Department of Social Medicine and Center for Bioethics, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, Chapel Hill, NC, United States, ¹²Jhpiego, Johns Hopkins University, Washington, DC, United States, ¹³Medicines Control Authority of Zimbabwe, Harare, Zimbabwe, ¹⁴Pangaea Zimbabwe, Harare, Zimbabwe, ¹⁵BDI Consulting, LLC., Nipomo, CA, United States, ¹⁶ViiV Healthcare, Durham, NC, United States, ¹⁷AVAC, New York, NY, United States

Despite a 60% decline in new HIV infections since 1995, significant challenges persist. In 2023, 1.3 million new HIV infections occurred, with 645,500 in sub-Saharan Africa, where cisgender adolescent girls and women represent over two-thirds of new cases. Approximately one-quarter of vertical HIV transmissions are linked to infections during pregnancy and lactation, yet data on PrEP use in these populations remains generally limited due to their exclusion from clinical trials, despite a recent movement toward inclusion, such as in the PURPOSE 1 trials evaluating the efficacy and safety of lenacapavir. This data gap is concerning given that women face an elevated risk of HIV acquisition during pregnancy. Despite this heightened risk, access to PrEP remains restricted among these groups. This article, based on insights from a multi-regional and multi-sectoral working group convened by the Forum for Collaborative Research between January 2024 and February 2025, addresses this critical issue. The working group, comprising stakeholders from regulatory agencies, research, industry, and communities,

held meetings and a scientific symposium to inform evidence-based strategies for improving the inclusion of pregnant and lactating women in PrEP trials. The working group identified key barriers, including ethical concerns, limited safety data, minimal community engagement, regulatory gaps, weak incentives, and limited surveillance capacity. They recommend reframing pregnant and lactating women as needing protection through research, alongside standardized data systems, stronger incentives, global collaboration, and digital innovation to ensure equitable inclusion in HIV prevention efforts.

KEYWORDS

breastfeeding, HIV, pre-exposure prophylaxis, pregnancy, women

Introduction

Global HIV prevention has advanced with antiretroviral drugs used as pre-exposure prophylaxis (PrEP), which markedly reduce HIV risk when taken as prescribed. Yet despite a 60% decline in new infections since 1995, 1.3 million new cases were reported in 2023 (1). Over half of these, 645,500, were in sub-Saharan Africa, with cisgender adolescent girls and women representing over two-thirds of those new infections (1). Despite a significant scale-up of PrEP globally, only 3.5 million people used PrEP at least once in 2023, which is well below the UNAIDS target of 21.2 million PrEP users by 2025 (1).

HIV acquisition risk for women is particularly high during pregnancy and the postpartum period (2). Approximately one-quarter of vertical HIV transmissions globally is attributed to HIV infections that occur during pregnancy and lactation (3). To curb HIV and eliminate vertical transmission, prevention must prioritize women of childbearing potential and ensure access during pregnancy and lactation (4), particularly because there are considerable gaps in access to and use of PrEP during pregnancy and lactation (5). In this article, we examine the historical exclusion of pregnant and lactating women from clinical trials, recent advances in inclusive HIV prevention research, and the need for post-marketing surveillance, drawing on discussions from a multi-regional, multi-sectoral working group convened by the Forum for Collaborative Research (The Forum). The Forum, a public-private partnership at the University of California, Berkeley, was tasked with convening US, European, and African regulators and stakeholders to advance pre- and post-approval data collection on PrEP use in pregnant and lactating women. To facilitate dialog and exchange, a working group was formed, consisting of representatives from regulatory agencies, international organizations, academia, industry, and community and advocacy groups. This article highlights the challenges and recommendations identified by the working group. Table 1 lists the organizations and expertise represented by the authors; all working group members and their affiliations are listed under Acknowledgments.

The evolution of women participation in HIV research

Historically, women, especially if pregnant or lactating, were excluded from clinical trials for new drugs due to concerns about reproductive health impacts and ethical complexities (6). The Helsinki

Declaration, established in 1964, is a set of ethical guidelines for the involvement of human participants in medical research (7). This Declaration labeled pregnant and lactating women as vulnerable, limiting their research participation, and in 1977 the US FDA similarly recommended excluding women of childbearing potential from early drug trials (8). By excluding women from clinical research, safety and efficacy data on pharmaceutical products were commonly not made available for them (9). In response to the women's movement, the 1980s Women's Health Task Force, and evidence that biological and demographic factors affect drug response, the US FDA's 1988 guideline required safety and efficacy analyses by age, sex, and race (10). This led to a 1993 FDA guideline on evaluating sex differences in drug trials, calling for women's inclusion in clinical research (11). The 1993 US Revitalization Act mandated inclusion of women and minorities in federally funded research, yet pregnant and lactating women remain underrepresented, leaving major evidence gaps in HIV (12–14).

In 1992, out of 14,799 participants enrolled in the AIDS Clinical Trials Group studies, only 1,151 were women (6). A systematic review of HIV trials (1994–2011) found median female participation of 19.2% in ARV studies, 38.1% in vaccine trials, and 11.1% in cure research (15). This lack of representation in HIV clinical research is even more significant for pregnant and lactating women. An analysis of the International Clinical Trials Registry Platform from January 2001 to December 2015 showed that, on average, HIV therapies were studied during pregnancy and lactation 4.4 years after the first regulatory approval (16). Delays in pharmacokinetic data were longer, estimated at 6 years, for drugs studied in pregnancy (17). Limited pregnancy and lactation data at approval often lead to cautious labeling, restricting access to new HIV products or prompting use without adequate evidence.

Advancements in the inclusion of pregnant and lactating women in HIV research

More recently, initiatives such as the PHASES Project (“Ending the Evidence Gap for Pregnant Women Around HIV and Co-Infections”) have called for a key conceptual shift from protecting pregnant and lactating women *from* research to protecting them *through* research (18). In 2020–2022, WHO and the International Maternal Pediatric Adolescent AIDS Clinical Trials Network

TABLE 1 Affiliation and expertise of authors writing on behalf of the working group.

Organization	Representative	Expertise
WHO Global HIV, TB, Viral Hepatitis and STI Programmes	H Ingold	HIV Prevention Programmes and Triple Elimination of HIV, HBV and Syphilis
WHO Global HIV, TB, Viral Hepatitis and STI Programmes	F Renaud	Toxicity Monitoring and Person-Centered Care
WHO Division of Pharmacovigilance	S Lamprianou	Pharmacovigilance
Medical Control Agency Zimbabwe (MCAZ)	PPM Nyambayo	Pharmacovigilance and Mobile Health Adverse Event Surveillance
National Agency for Food and Drug Administration and Control (NAFDAC)	AS Abiodun	Pharmacovigilance Specialist
	UG Elemuwa	Director of Pharmacovigilance
South African Health Products Regulatory Authority	AK Chigome	Medicine Regulatory Officer - Pharmacovigilance
	T Sehloho	Senior Clinical Evaluation Manager
Pangaea, Zimbabwe	C Mpaso	Reproductive Health and HIV Prevention
AVAC	M Warren	Community Engagement, Advocacy, Research Literacy and GPP
Gilead Sciences	C Deaton	Patient Safety
	K Kersey	Clinical Development
ViiV	V Vannappagari	Epidemiology and Real World Data
	J van Wyk	Regulatory and Safety Governance
University of Cape Town	D Joseph Davey	Epidemiology and Biostatistics
University of California, Los Angeles	D Joseph Davey	Infectious Disease Medicine and Epidemiology
University North Carolina Chapel Hill	S Day	Sociology and Stakeholder Engaged Research
	AD Lyerly	Social Medicine and Bioethics
Jhpiego	LM Noguchi	Maternal and Newborn Safety in HIV Prevention
Consultants	M Chatani-Gada	Global Health-Research Literacy
Forum for Collaborative Research	E Osakwe	Public Health
	R Schaefer	Epidemiology and International Policy
	L Donaldson	Public Health
	MN Robertson	Clinical Development, Infectious Diseases
	V Miller	Regulatory Science

(IMPAACT) convened a series of technical workshops that included researchers, funders, regulators, clinical experts, industry leaders, civil society, ethicists (19). A new framework for accelerating the study of new antiretrovirals during pregnancy was proposed and key principles were disseminated through a Call to Action (13, 20). This framework suggests that if an HIV prevention drug is effective in non-pregnant individuals and drug exposure levels are similar during pregnancy, its prevention efficacy can be applied to pregnant populations, provided existing safety data supports it.

Oral tenofovir disoproxil fumarate/emtricitabine (TDF/FTC) and long-acting injectable cabotegravir (CAB-LA), have shown remarkable efficacy in preventing HIV acquisition, with efficacy studies completed in non-pregnant populations. Oral TDF/FTC is the most studied and has shown good safety and tolerability for mothers and infants (21, 22). Large CAB-LA efficacy trials excluded pregnant women and required contraception, limiting pregnancy-specific data; however, in the open-label extension of HPTN 084, participants who became pregnant could continue injections, with findings suggesting CAB-LA was safe and well tolerated, and maternal and infant outcomes comparable to the general population (23). While pregnant and lactating women were initially excluded from the placebo-controlled clinical trials on the dapivirine vaginal ring (DVR), safety and

pharmacokinetic studies have since been conducted for pregnant and lactating populations. All three studies conducted among pregnant women, lactating women, and breastfeeding mother-infant pairs have demonstrated that the DVR is safe for these populations (24–26). Twice-yearly injections with lenacapavir (LEN) demonstrated 100% efficacy against HIV acquisition in the PURPOSE 1 study among cis-gender adolescent girls and young women (16 to 24 years old) in Uganda and South Africa (27, 28). The PURPOSE trial collected pregnancy safety and pharmacokinetic data by not requiring contraception and allowing participants who became pregnant to continue the study drug after reconsent (27). At the time of an interim analysis, there were 193 pregnancies among those randomized to LEN and available pregnancy outcomes were similar to those expected for the population (27).

The need for PrEP surveillance during pregnancy and lactation

Although clinical research evaluates the safety and efficacy of pharmaceutical products, they are carried out under controlled

conditions that do not necessarily provide data on product use under real-world conditions (29). Clinical trial participants are usually carefully selected, meeting specific requirements, which may limit the generalizability of the results (30). Studies often have limited duration and sample sizes, hindering the detection of long-term or rare drug effects. This necessitates post-marketing surveillance to monitor real-world PrEP use in pregnant and lactating individuals. Such surveillance is crucial for identifying less common and long-term pregnancy, maternal, and infant health outcomes, which can then inform clinical decisions and improve guidelines. Despite this critical need, post-approval research and surveillance of PrEP use during pregnancy and lactation are generally lacking (31). Research is particularly lacking on long-term developmental outcomes in infants exposed to PrEP in utero or via breastfeeding, with few studies assessing effects beyond 1 year post-exposure (16).

Working group processes and identified challenges and recommendations

The Forum invited representatives from regulatory and other governmental agencies, international organizations, industry, research, and community and advocacy groups at the end of 2023.

The working group met nine times between January 2024 and February 2025. In addition, the working group co-organized a scientific symposium at the 5th HIV Research for Prevention Conference in Lima, Peru, 6–10 October 2024. Apart from the public symposium, working group meetings were by invitation only to ensure frank and open dialog. The Forum followed a standard process in facilitating the working group, as described elsewhere (32). A writing group drafted this article the organizational relevance and expertise is described in Table 1, and all members of the working group are listed under Acknowledgments. Participants were invited to join the working group based on their area of expertise and their ability to represent the key stakeholder groups that the Forum works with, including community advocates, regulatory authorities, clinician scientists and academic researchers, international organizations, and industry. All participants engaged openly and were identified to other members of the group. There was no formal consensus (e.g., Delphi) nor evidence grading applied. All opinions expressed during discussions were considered and are reflected in the recommendations presented in this article. Disagreements or divergent views were resolved through continued dialog, and where full agreement was not reached, the range of perspectives has been captured in the recommendations. Table 2 summarizes the challenges and recommendations identified by the working group. A writing group composed of a representational subset of working group members drafted this article and was reviewed by most working group members.

TABLE 2 Challenges, progress made, and actionable strategies regarding generating data on pregnant and lactating women in pre-exposure prophylaxis (PrEP) clinical trials and surveillance.

Challenges	Recommended strategies for action
Inclusion of pregnant and lactating women in clinical trials	
Ethical complexities and paradigm shifts	Reconceptualizing pregnant and lactating women as a clinically complex (rather than vulnerable) population, emphasizing the need to protect this population through equitable participation in HIV research.
Limited and timely availability of pre-clinical/clinical safety evidence	Collecting pregnancy- and lactation-specific data throughout the drug development process, including reproductive toxicology studies in animal models, pharmacokinetic and pharmacodynamic studies.
Minimal community involvement in clinical trial design	Engaging with civil societies, community advocates, and local communities affected by HIV across all clinical trial phases will ensure that the trial protocols align with community needs, concerns, and priorities.
Lack of regulatory clarity and harmonization	Clarifying regulatory pathways to ensure product labeling with clear messaging on safety of PrEP use during pregnancy and lactation, including updating labels after product approval; harmonization of regulatory requirements can facilitate standardized clinical research data collection (e.g., under the ICH E21) (62).
Few incentives for conducting research involving pregnant and lactating women	Creating incentives and establishing requirements for research by regulatory agencies can encourage sponsors to conduct pregnancy- and lactation-related research and mobilize investment in this area.
Limited technical capacity for regulatory review	Strengthening capacity building initiatives, knowledge exchange, harmonization of regulatory standards across countries and regions, the use of regulatory reliance, and the use of digital collaboration tools for secure data sharing and joint evaluations.
Surveillance of PrEP use during pregnancy and lactation	
Lack of standardized data collection processes and harmonized systems in post-approval studies	Standardizing protocols and centralizing data collection systems using innovative digital tools for post-approval studies and surveillance (e.g., the WHO Pregnancy Therapeutic Working Group has recently produced standardized outcomes and shares materials from more than 23 studies to harmonize and accelerate research and surveillance in pregnancy in the ARV in pregnancy toolkit) (14).
Limited surveillance capacity	Strengthening surveillance systems through collaborations that support knowledge exchange, regulatory harmonization and reliance, and the use of digital tools.
Minimal community involvement in post-approval surveillance	Increasing community engagement and partnership with civil society organizations to ensure timely and accurate reporting of adverse outcomes.

Challenges and recommendations for including pregnant and lactating women in PrEP clinical trials and post-approval surveillance

Ethical complexities and paradigm shifts

A key challenge in including pregnant and lactating women in PrEP trials is addressing ethical concerns about maternal and infant safety; the working group highlighted PHASES' efforts to develop ethical guidance for HIV research in pregnancy (18). The guidance reframed pregnant women as complex rather than vulnerable, emphasizing protection through research and equitable inclusion over presumptive exclusion (18). Advocacy by pregnant and lactating women shaped this guidance, with the working group endorsing the PHASES project's call to build research capacity, promote innovative trial designs for inclusion, and prioritize pregnancy-specific pharmacokinetic studies in drug development and post-approval safety monitoring (18). This guidance aligns with other initiatives, including the WHO/IMPAACT call to action (13).

Despite shifts toward protection through research, informed consent gaps remain, especially in LMICs where limited health literacy may impede understanding of PrEP risks and benefits (33). Additionally, in some settings, male partners or family members influence women's health decisions, affecting their autonomy (34). Addressing these gaps requires simplified, locally translated consent processes and community engagement strategies that empower women to make informed choices.

Limited availability of pre-clinical/clinical safety evidence

A major barrier to including pregnant and lactating women in HIV trials is the limited and delayed availability of data on reproductive safety, teratogenicity, and pharmacokinetics, which often results in their early exclusion and the loss of critical pregnancy- and lactation-specific evidence (35). Prioritizing pre-clinical data by conducting reproductive toxicology studies in animal models helps in generating preliminary safety data specific to pregnancy and lactation (36). Following preclinical animal studies, pharmacokinetic and pharmacodynamic research is needed to guide dosing in pregnant and lactating women (37).

When pregnancy- and lactation-specific data are collected during pre-clinical and early clinical stages of development, clinical safety data on the use of PrEP in pregnant and lactating women can be generated through the inclusion of these populations in pivotal registration trials, as exemplified by the LEN phase 3 trial among cisgender women that allowed participants who became pregnant to continue receiving study drugs. Innovative designs such as adaptive trials with dose adjustments and combined phase I/II studies may be needed to generate pregnancy- and lactation-specific pharmacokinetic, safety, and efficacy data (38). Outside HIV prevention research, the IMPAACT 2001 combined phase I/II trial evaluated the pharmacokinetics, tolerability, and safety of rifampentine and isoniazid for latent tuberculosis in pregnant women with and without HIV (39). The study showed that 12 once-weekly doses of rifampentine and isoniazid can be used in late pregnancy without dose adjustment, despite

differences in drug clearance (39). Preliminary data suggest rifampentine and isoniazid are safe in pregnancy, but the working group cautioned that early dose adjustments based on limited data should be made carefully to avoid adverse effects in later trials or post-approval use.

Minimal community involvement in clinical trial design

Limited community engagement in trial design risks excluding input from pregnant and lactating women, resulting in protocols misaligned with their needs. The Good Participatory Practice guidelines (GPP) developed by UNAIDS and AVAC in 2007 and updated in 2011 to include HIV biomedical prevention provide systematic guidance on engaging relevant stakeholders in the design and conduct of HIV prevention clinical trials (31). Participatory approaches improve enrollment and persistence in PrEP trials (40). Engaging directly with the communities in all stages of clinical trials, from study design to results dissemination, ensures that research is respectful, relevant, and aligns with the needs of affected populations (41, 42). The PURPOSE 1 study protocol was deliberate about the inclusion of pregnant women, allowing participants to make informed decisions regarding the use of the study drug during pregnancy (43). Stakeholder priorities were determined and addressed through stakeholder consultations in South Africa and Uganda, where the study was conducted (43), and a Global Community Accountability Group was instrumental in guiding the development of the study protocol. This process provides a benchmark for future HIV clinical trials.

Lack of regulatory clarity and harmonization

Trial sponsors and the pharmaceutical industry face significant challenges in navigating varied regulatory requirements for PrEP drug labels that permit use during pregnancy and lactation. This leads to poor standardization of data collection in clinical trials and a lack of harmonized guidelines across regulatory agencies, contributing to a reluctance to include pregnant and lactating women in research. To rectify this, clearer and harmonized regulatory guidance is needed, along with sensitization campaigns for regulatory agencies to reduce restrictions on pregnant populations in research. The African Medicines Agency (AMA), an African Union specialized agency, aims to enhance access to quality, safe, and effective medical products across Africa. It can foster harmonization on the continent by directly reviewing medical products and coordinating joint reviews under its regional authority (44). Clear regulatory definitions are needed to ensure accurate PrEP labeling for pregnancy and lactation, enabling ethical research that minimizes harm and maximizes benefit (45). Marketing authorization holders of PrEP products are also urged to file with regulatory authorities in a timely matter for updated product labels as new data becomes available.

Few incentives for conducting research on pregnant and lactating women

Including pregnant and lactating women in trials requires added resources due to regulatory and logistical complexities and retaining them through pregnancy and postpartum follow-up is challenging (38). Providing incentives is key to motivating clinical trial sponsors

to include pregnant and lactating women in research. Regulatory bodies, like the US FDA, could encourage this through policies similar to the Best Pharmaceuticals for Children Act (BPCA), which offers patent extensions, and the Pediatric Research Equity Act (PREA), which mandates data collection for pediatric populations (45). Incentives and clearer regulatory requirements for pregnancy- and lactation-specific data can drive investment and motivate sponsors to generate evidence in these populations (46).

Limited technical capacity for regulatory reviews

Regulators face challenges reviewing new PrEP products for pregnancy and lactation due to limited safety data, compounded by resource and expertise constraints in many national agencies (46). Addressing these challenges will require strengthening capacity, for instance through global partnerships and regional knowledge sharing, collaborative and innovative approaches such as the harmonization of regulatory standards across countries and regions and the use of regulatory reliance (such as those processes proposed under the AMA), and the use of digital collaboration tools for secure data sharing and joint evaluations (46–49). Global partnerships, such as the EU-Medicine for All (EU-M4All) program and WHO prequalification and collaborative registration procedures, can accelerate product registration, while multistakeholder collaboration among industry, regulators, WHO, academia, and civil society is essential to strengthen capacity through technical exchange.

Lack of standardized data collection processes and harmonized systems in post-approval studies and systems

Post-approval surveillance systems vary widely across countries and are commonly limited due to insufficient resources. Existing surveillance systems are usually fragmented, which prevents the pooling of data from different sources (45). PrEP surveillance is undermined by non-standardized data and unclear indicators for pregnant and lactating women, while poor interoperability across research platforms, Electronic Health Records (EHRs), and national health systems limits longitudinal tracking and real-world evidence generation (50).

The working group emphasized that standardized and harmonized data collection and systems in post-approval research and surveillance are required to ensure quality data and facilitate data synthesis (19). Tailored digital health platforms specifically for pregnant and lactating populations can help capture relevant pharmacovigilance, adherence, and safety data in real time (51). The WHO research toolkit offers standardized pharmacovigilance guidance for maternal and infant outcomes, promoting data quality, harmonization, and coordinated safety signal evaluation (14). Standardized data protocols and centralized repositories are needed to systematically collect safety data on PrEP use in pregnant and lactating women (52). Strengthening pharmacovigilance and reporting requires leveraging global collaborative systems that monitor and collect adverse drug reactions. Key examples include VigiBase®, the US FDA Adverse Event Reporting System (FAERS), the Task Force on Research Specific to Pregnant and Lactating Women (PRGLAC), the WHO Advisory Committee on Safety of Medicinal Products (ASCoMP), and EudraVigilance, Europe's medicine safety database (53–57).

Post-marketing surveillance could further be harmonized using a network approach, as is done for HIV prevention clinical trials.

Limited surveillance capacity

Many regulatory agencies lack the capacity and secure digital infrastructure needed for comprehensive surveillance, including for products used in pregnancy and lactation. Strengthening PrEP surveillance requires collaboration, knowledge exchange, harmonization, and reliance mechanisms. The AMA seeks to advance regulatory harmonization, including for surveillance in Africa (49). The AMA is also poised to strengthen surveillance within the region by coordinating information exchange among member countries (49). The African Union's Smart Safety Surveillance (AU-3S) program monitors priority medicines and supports the AMA by strengthening pharmacovigilance through innovation, capacity building, resource pooling, and collaboration with national regulators (58). The use of digital tools, such as mobile applications for reporting adverse drug reactions and electronic health records for data integration and storage, enhances real-time data collection, analysis, and communication for effective safety monitoring (59). Artificial Intelligence tools can enhance pharmacovigilance by improving signal detection and predicting adverse reactions, enabling proactive safety surveillance (60, 61). The working group also noted the importance of integrating PrEP surveillance into broader surveillance systems as opposed to siloed approaches.

Minimal community engagement in post-approval surveillance

The working group emphasized stronger community and civil society engagement in surveillance of PrEP effectiveness and safety post-approval. This is needed to build trust and ensure culturally appropriate data collection methods. Actively engaging with and strengthening the capacity of communities will also contribute to accurate and timely reporting of adverse events or longer-term risks of PrEP use during pregnancy and lactation. This is imperative for the success of transitioning from regarding pregnant women as “vulnerable – needing protection from research” to “informed because of participation in research.”

Conclusion

Including pregnant and lactating women in PrEP research is essential to close data gaps strengthen regulation, surveillance, community engagement for HIV prevention and ultimately reducing HIV infections.

Advancing PrEP access in pregnancy and lactation requires stronger collaboration across regulators, governments, researchers, providers, communities, and industry, alongside increased funding for implementation research and surveillance, greater use of real-world data, and a human right-based approach to trial design and policy.

Ensuring pregnant and lactating women are meaningfully involved in research and decision-making is key to sustainable, equitable HIV prevention. This working group demonstrates how multi-stakeholder collaboration can bridge gaps, build consensus, and advance equitable PrEP access for these populations.

Data availability statement

The raw data supporting the conclusions of this article will be made available by the authors, without undue reservation.

Author contributions

CO: Conceptualization, Formal analysis, Project administration, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. RS: Conceptualization, Project administration, Supervision, Writing – review & editing. LD: Project administration, Writing – review & editing. AA: Writing – review & editing. MC-G: Writing – review & editing. AC: Writing – review & editing. SD: Writing – review & editing. CD: Writing – review & editing. UE: Writing – review & editing. HI: Writing – review & editing. DJ: Writing – review & editing. KK: Writing – review & editing. SL: Writing – review & editing. AL: Writing – review & editing. LN: Writing – review & editing. PN: Writing – review & editing. CM: Writing – review & editing. MR: Writing – review & editing. FR: Writing – review & editing. TS: Writing – review & editing. JW: Writing – review & editing. VV: Writing – review & editing. MW: Writing – review & editing. VM: Conceptualization, Supervision, Writing – review & editing.

Group members of the Long-acting PrEP during pregnancy and lactation working group

Abiola A., National Agency for Food and Drug Administration and Control, Abuja, Nigeria; Badshah C., Merck, Rahway, NJ; Belew Y., U. S. Food and Drug Administration, Silver Spring, MD; Chan H., Merck, Rahway, NJ; Chatani M., Independent Global Health Consultant; Chi B., University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, Chapel Hill, NC; Chigome A., South African Health Products Regulatory Authority, Pretoria, South Africa; Davey D., University of California, Los Angeles, CA; Day S., University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, Chapel Hill, NC; Deaton C., Gilead Sciences, Inc., Foster City, CA; Delaney-Moretwe S., University of the Witwatersrand, Johannesburg, South Africa; Donaldson L., Forum for Collaborative Research, Washington, DC; Elemuwa U., National Agency for Food and Drug Administration and Control, Abuja, Nigeria; Escudeiro Dos Santos M., European Medicines Agency, Amsterdam, Netherlands; Force L., Gilead Sciences, Inc., Foster City, CA; Gonzalez Tome M., European Medicines Agency, Madrid, Spain; Hamm Rush S., Gates Foundation, Seattle, WA; Ikhide Y., National Agency for Food and Drug Administration and Control, Abuja, Nigeria; Ingold H., World Health Organization, Geneva, Switzerland; John-Stewart G., University of Washington, Seattle, WA; KERSEY K., Gilead Sciences, Inc., Foster City, CA; Kumwenda G., AVAC, Southern Region, Malawi; Lamprianou S., World Health Organization, Geneva, Switzerland; Lataillade M., Gates Foundation, Hartford, CT; Lievense B., AVAC, New York, NY; Love J., Merck, Rahway, NJ; Lyerly A., University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, Chapel Hill, NC; Makura C., Pangaea Zimbabwe, Harare, Zimbabwe; Matthews L., University of Alabama at Birmingham, Birmingham, AL; Mgodi N., University of Zimbabwe, Harare, Zimbabwe; Miller V., Forum for Collaborative Research, Washington,

DC; Moodley D., University of KwaZulu-Natal, Durban, South Africa; Morroni C., University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh, Scotland; Mujugira A., Makerere University, Kampala, Uganda; Mullick C., U. S. Food and Drug Administration, Silver Spring, MD; Ndagije H., National Drug Authority, Kampala, Uganda; Ndembu N., International Vaccine Institute, Kigali, Rwanda; Noguchi L., Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore, MD; Nwokike J., United States Pharmacopeia, North Bethesda, MD; Nyambayo P., Medicines Control Authority of Zimbabwe, Harare, Zimbabwe; Osakwe C. E., Forum for Collaborative Research, Washington, DC; Plank R., Merck, Rahway, NJ; Prochazka Nunez M., World Health Organization, Geneva, Switzerland; Ranade R., Forum for Collaborative Research, Washington, DC; Renaud F., World Health Organization, Geneva, Switzerland; Rinehart A., ViiV Healthcare, Washington, DC; Robertson M., BDI Consulting, Nipomo, CA; Rodolph M., World Health Organization, Geneva, Switzerland; Saidi F., University of North Carolina, Lilongwe, Malawi; Schaefer R., Forum for Collaborative Research, Washington, DC; Sehloho T., South African Health Products Regulatory Authority, Pretoria, South Africa; Semete B., South African Health Products Regulatory Authority, Pretoria, South Africa; Singh J., University of KwaZulu-Natal, Durban, South Africa; Stamm L., Merck, Rahway, NJ; Stranix-Chibanda L., University of Zimbabwe, Harare, Zimbabwe; Struble K., U. S. Food and Drug Administration, Silver Spring, MD; Townsend C. L., World Health Organization, Geneva, Switzerland; Van Wyk J., ViiV Healthcare, Washington, DC; Vannappagari V., ViiV Healthcare, Washington, DC; Wagner A., University of Washington, Seattle, WA; Warren M., AVAC, New York, NY; Zash R., Harvard University, Boston, MA.

Funding

The author(s) declared that financial support was received for this work and/or its publication. This work was funded by a grant from The Gates Foundation to the University of California Berkeley. This work was supported, in whole or in part, by the Gates Foundation [INV-045445]. Under the grant conditions of the Foundation, a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 Generic License has already been assigned to the Author Accepted Manuscript version that might arise from this submission. AL and SD are supported by a grant from the U.S. National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases of the National Institutes of Health, Award Number 2R01AI108368. DJ received funding from ViiV Healthcare for an investigator-sponsored research study. LN received sub-awards from the USAID MOSAIC project and the USAID MATRIX project.

Acknowledgments

We thank all members of the working group for their active participation and contributions to this process.

Conflict of interest

The Forum for Collaborative Research receives unrestricted grants from the pharmaceutical industry, including from companies involved

in the development of antiretroviral drugs (ViiV Healthcare, Merck, and Gilead Sciences). These grants are provided to the organization and are not specifically linked to the present work (which is funded through a grant by the Gates Foundation). The recommendations presented in this paper solely represent the views of the working group members and do not represent the views or positions of the Gates Foundation or any other funding organization. DJ is supported by grants from the US National Institutes of Health (NICHD and NIAAA). VV is a full-time employee of ViiV Healthcare and owns GSK stock. JW is a full-time employee of ViiV Healthcare and owns GSK stock. MR is a former employee of Merck, Sharp & Dohme LLC and owns Merck stock. KK and CD are full-time employees of Gilead Sciences and own Gilead Sciences stock. MC-G is currently an independent global health consultant. MC-G acknowledges that her participation in the Working Group began during her tenure as Director of Partnerships & Capacity Strengthening at AVAC, under a now-terminated grant from USAID titled “Coalition to Accelerate and Support Prevention Research” (CASPR).

The remaining author(s) declared that this work was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Generative AI statement

The author(s) declared that Generative AI was not used in the creation of this manuscript.

Any alternative text (alt text) provided alongside figures in this article has been generated by Frontiers with the support of artificial

intelligence and reasonable efforts have been made to ensure accuracy, including review by the authors wherever possible. If you identify any issues, please contact us.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Author disclaimer

The views expressed here are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect the policies of the NIH.

Supplementary material

The Supplementary material for this article can be found online at: <https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fpubh.2026.1811039/full#supplementary-material>

References

1. Joint United Nations Programme on HIV/AIDS (UNAIDS). *The Urgency of now: AIDS at a Crossroads*. Geneva: UNAIDS (2024).
2. Thomson KA, Hughes J, Baeten JM, John-Stewart G, Celum C, Cohen CR, et al. Increased risk of HIV acquisition among women throughout pregnancy and during the postpartum period: a prospective per-coital-act analysis among women with HIV-infected partners. *J Infect Dis*. (2018) 218:16–25. doi: 10.1093/infdis/jiy113
3. Njom Nlend AE. Mother-to-child transmission of HIV through breastfeeding improving awareness and education: a short narrative review. *Int J Women's Health*. (2022) 14:697–703. doi: 10.2147/IJWH.S330715
4. Sibiude J, Le Chenadec J, Mandelbrot L, Hoctin A, Dollfus C, Faye A, et al. Update of perinatal human immunodeficiency virus type 1 transmission in France: zero transmission for 5482 mothers on continuous antiretroviral therapy from conception and with undetectable viral load at delivery. *Clin Infect Dis*. (2023) 76:e590–8. doi: 10.1093/cid/ciac703
5. Abadan SS, Hawryluk L, Montandon M, Flowers N, Schueller J, Eakle R, et al. Pree exposure prophylaxis among pregnant and lactating people in 18 PEPFAR-supported countries: a review of HIV rates and guidelines. *Global Health: Sci Prac*. (2022) 10:e2200129. doi: 10.9745/GHSP-D-22-00129
6. Merkatz RB. Inclusion of women in clinical trials: a historical overview of scientific ethical and legal issues. *J Obstet Gynecol Neonatal Nurs*. (1998) 27:78–84.
7. World Medical Association (WMA) “Declaration of Helsinki - Ethical Principles for Medical Research Involving human Participants.” *The 75th WMA General Assembly, Helsinki, Finland* (2024)
8. US Department of Health, Education, and Welfare. *General Considerations for the Clinical Evaluation of Drugs*. Rockville, MD: FDA (1977).
9. Women's Health. Report of the public health service task Force on women's health issues. *Public Health Rep*. (1985) 100:73–106.
10. Merkatz RB, Temple R, Sobel S, Feiden K, Kessler DA. Women in clinical trials of new drugs -- a change in Food and Drug Administration policy. *N Engl J Med*. (1993) 329:292–6.
11. Center for Drug Evaluation and Research. *Guideline for the format and content of the Clinical and Statistical Sections of an Application*. Rockville, MD: FDA (1988).
12. Urté Fultinavičiūtė. Sex and science: underrepresentation of women in early-stage clinical trials. *Clinical Trials Arena*. (2022). Available online at: <https://www.clinicaltrialsarena.com/features/underrepresentation-women-early-stage-clinical-trials/>. (Accessed January 25, 2026).
13. World Health Organization (WHO). *Call to Accelerate the Study of new Drugs for HIV in Pregnant and Breastfeeding Women*. Geneva: WHO (2021).
14. World Health Organization (WHO). *Antiretrovirals in Pregnancy Research Toolkit*. Geneva: WHO (2024).
15. Curno MJ, Rossi S, Hodges-Mameletzis I, Johnston R, Price MA, Heidari S. A systematic review of the inclusion (or exclusion) of women in HIV research: from clinical studies of antiretrovirals and vaccines to cure strategies. *JAIDS J Acquired Immune Deficiency Syndromes*. (2016) 71:181–8. doi: 10.1097/QAI.0000000000000842
16. Wickremsinhe MN, Little MO, Carter AS, Sullivan KA, Lyerly AD. Beyond “vessels and vectors”: a global review of registered HIV-related clinical trials with pregnant women. *J Women's Health (Larchmt)*. (2019) 28:93–9. doi: 10.1089/jwh.2017.6857
17. World Health Organization (WHO). *Toolkit for Research and Development of Paediatric Antiretroviral Drugs and Formulations*. Geneva: WHO (2018).
18. Lyerly AD, Beigi R, Bekker L-G, Chi BH, Cohn SE, Diallo DD, et al. Ending the evidence gap for pregnancy, HIV and co-infections: ethics guidance from the PHASES project. *J Int AIDS Soc*. (2021) 24:e25846. doi: 10.1002/jia2.25846
19. Penazzato M, Lockman S, Colbers A, Renaud F, Calmy A, Clayden P, et al. Accelerating investigation of new HIV drugs in pregnancy: advancing the research agenda from theory to action. *J Int AIDS Soc*. (2022) 25:5–10. doi: 10.1002/jia2.25912
20. Abrams EJ, Calmy A, Fairlie L, Mahaka IC, Chimula L, Flynn PM, et al. Approaches to accelerating the study of new antiretrovirals in pregnancy. *J Int AIDS Soc*. (2022) 25:19–25. doi: 10.1002/jia2.25916
21. Erlwanger A, Rocroi I, Kirtley S, Hemelaar J. Perinatal outcomes associated with pre-exposure prophylaxis for HIV prevention during pregnancy: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *EClinicalMedicine*. (2024) 70:102532. doi: 10.1016/j.eclinm.2024.102532
22. Joseph Davey DL, Pintye J, Baeten JM, Aldrovandi G, Baggaley R, Bekker L-G, et al. Emerging evidence from a systematic review of safety of pre-exposure prophylaxis for

pregnant and postpartum women: where are we now and where are we heading? *J Int AIDS Soc.* (2020) 23:e25426. doi: 10.1002/jia2.25426

23. National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Disease (NIAID). *Long-acting injectable cabotegravir for HIV prevention is safe in pregnancy*. [press release]. Maryland: National Institute of Health (NIH) (2024).

24. Bunge K, Balkus JE, Fairlie L, Mayo AJ, Nakabiito C, Mgodini N, et al. DELIVER: a safety study of a Dapivirine vaginal ring and Oral PrEP for the prevention of HIV during pregnancy. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr.* (2024) 95:65–73. doi: 10.1097/QAI.0000000000003312

25. Noguchi LM, Owor M, Mgodini NM, Gati Miremba B, Dadabhai S, Horne E, et al. Safety and drug quantification of the dapivirine vaginal ring and oral pre-exposure prophylaxis in breastfeeding mother–infant pairs (MTN-043): a phase 3B, open-label, randomised trial. *Lancet HIV.* (2025) 12:e180–90. doi: 10.1016/S2352-3018(24)00306-0

26. Noguchi LM, Hoesley C, Kelly C, Scheckter R, Bunge K, Nel A, et al. Pharmacokinetics of Dapivirine transfer into blood plasma, breast milk, and cervicovaginal fluid of lactating women using the Dapivirine vaginal ring. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother.* (2019) 63:1–8. doi: 10.1128/AAC.01930-18

27. Carter C. “Lenacapavir update in pregnancy.” Presented at: IMAACT Annual Meeting, 24 September, 2024, Arlington, VA, USA (2024)

28. Bekker L-G, Das M, Karim QA, Ahmed K, Batting J, Brumskine W, et al. Twice-yearly lenacapavir or daily F/TAF for HIV prevention in cisgender women. *N Engl J Med.* (2024) 391:1179–92. doi: 10.1056/nejmoa2407001

29. Kandi V, Vadakedath S. Clinical trials and clinical research: a comprehensive review. *Cureus.* (2023) 15:e35077. doi: 10.7759/cureus.35077

30. Blonde L, Khunti K, Harris SB, Meizinger C, Skolnik NS. Interpretation and impact of real-world clinical data for the practicing clinician. *Adv Ther.* (2018) 35:1763–74. doi: 10.1007/s12325-018-0805-y

31. UNAIDS. *Good Participatory Practice; Guidelines for Biomedical HIV Prevention Trials*. Geneva: UNAIDS (2011).

32. Schaefer R, Ayvaz A, Hoffman CR, Yann M, Rooney Z, Leus M, et al. Twenty-five years of addressing cutting-edge scientific, policy, and regulatory issues through collaboration: the forum for collaborative research. *Clin Transl Sci.* (2024) 17:e70051. doi: 10.1111/cts.70051

33. Craig JH, Anita D, Kibret Y, Justine D, Katharine K, Kathrine M, et al. Lessons learnt from daily oral PrEP delivery to inform national planning for PrEP ring introduction for women in low-income and middle-income countries: a qualitative inquiry of international stakeholders. *BMJ Glob Health.* (2024) 9:e014709 doi: 10.1136/bmjgh-2023-014709

34. Nkonde H, Mukanga B, Daka V. Male partner influence on women's choices and utilisation of family planning services in Mufulira district, Zambia. *Heliyon.* (2023) 9:e14405. doi: 10.1016/j.heliyon.2023.e14405

35. AIDS Vaccine Advocacy Coalition (AVAC). *Including Pregnant and Lactating Populations in HIV Prevention Research*. Cambridge, MA: AVAC (2025).

36. Cottrell EC, Sibley CP. From pre-clinical studies to clinical trials: generation of novel therapies for pregnancy complications. *Int J Mol Sci.* (2015) 16:12907–24. doi: 10.3390/ijms160612907

37. Haas DM, Hebert MF, Soldin OP, Flockhart DA, Madadi P, Nocon JJ, et al. Pharmacotherapy and pregnancy: highlights from the second international conference for individualized pharmacotherapy in pregnancy. *Clin Transl Sci.* (2009) 2:439–43. doi: 10.1111/j.1752-8062.2009.00166.x

38. Krubiner CB, Faden RR, Cadigan RJ, Gilbert SZ, Henry LM, Little MO, et al. Advancing HIV research with pregnant women: navigating challenges and opportunities. *AIDS.* (2016) 30:2261–5. doi: 10.1097/QAD.0000000000001214

39. Mathad JS, Savic R, Britto P, Jayachandran P, Wiesner L, Montepiedra G, et al. Pharmacokinetics and safety of 3 months of weekly rifampine and isoniazid for tuberculosis prevention in pregnant women. *Clin Infect Dis.* (2022) 74:1604–13. doi: 10.1093/cid/ciab665

40. Roberts ST, Hartmann M, Minnis AM, Otticha SO, Browne EN, Montgomery ET, et al. Breaking down relationship barriers to increase PrEP uptake and adherence among adolescent girls and young women in Kenya: safety and preliminary effectiveness results from a pilot cluster-randomized trial. *J Int AIDS Soc.* (2023) 26:e26198. doi: 10.1002/jia2.26198

41. Collins SE, Clifasefi SL, Stanton J, The Leap Advisory BStraits KJE, Gil-Kashiwabara E, et al. Community-based participatory research (CBPR): towards equitable involvement

of community in psychology research. *Am Psychol.* (2018) 73:884–98. doi: 10.1037/amp0000167

42. Clayden P, Zech JM, Irvine C, Mahaka IC, Namiba A. Engaging the community of women living with HIV to tailor and accelerate ARV research for pregnant and breastfeeding women. *J Int AIDS Soc.* (2022) 25:40–42. doi: 10.1002/jia2.25920

43. Dixon Diallo Dazon, Das Moupali, Yola Ntando, Jjuuko Bridget “Global consultation to plan for inclusion of pregnant and lactating populations in phase 3 PrEP clinical trial.” Presented at: 17th International Conference on HIV Treatment and Prevention Adherence, (2022) Washington, DC, USA

44. African Medicines Agency (AMA). Midrand, South Africa: African Union Development Agency (AUDA-NEPAD). (2022). Available online at: <https://www.nepad.org/microsite/african-medicines-agency-ama>. (Accessed February 3, 2026).

45. National Academies of Sciences E, Medicine. In: Riley MF, Helman A and March A, editors. *Advancing Clinical Research with Pregnant and Lactating Populations: Overcoming Real and Perceived Liability Risks*. Washington, DC: The National Academies Press (2024). p. 306.

46. World Health Organization (WHO). *Strengthening legal and Regulatory Frameworks for Maternal and Perinatal Death Surveillance and Response*. Geneva: WHO (2024).

47. Mukherjee S, Goodman L. Strengthening regulatory systems globally: a crucial step towards pandemic preparedness and response. *BMJ Glob Health.* (2023) 8:1–4. doi: 10.1136/bmjgh-2023-012883

48. Food and Drug Administration Center for Drug Evaluation and Research “ICH Overview.” Presented at: FDA/HC ICH Regional Public Meeting, (2022) Rockville, MD, USA

49. Ncube BM, Dube A, Ward K. Establishment of the African medicines agency: progress, challenges and regulatory readiness. *J Pharmaceutical Policy Prac.* (2021) 14:29. doi: 10.1186/s40545-020-00281-9

50. Shen Y, Yu J, Zhou J, Hu G. Twenty-five years of evolution and hurdles in electronic health records and interoperability in medical research: comprehensive review. *J Med Internet Res.* (2025) 27:e59024. doi: 10.2196/59024

51. Getov I, Panayotova D, Dobrev S, Dimitrova M, Petkova V. Opportunities for implementing digital applications to monitor the impact of risk-minimization measures within the pharmacovigilance process: scoping review of published literature. *Pharmacia.* (2025) 72:1–6. doi: 10.3897/pharmacia.72.e143323

52. Albano JD, Scheuerle AE, Watts DH, Beckerman KP, Mofenson LM, Pikis A, et al. The antiretroviral pregnancy registry: three decades of prospective monitoring for birth defects. *Pharmacoepidemiol Drug Saf.* (2024) 33:e5801. doi: 10.1002/pds.5801

53. Uppsala Monitoring Center (UMC). Uppsala, Sweden: UMC (2025).

54. The World Health Organization Advisory Committee on Safety of Medicinal Products. Geneva: WHO (2024).

55. Food and Drug Administration Center for Drug Evaluation and Research. *FDA Adverse Reporting System (FAERS) public Dashboard*. Rockville, MD: FDA (2023).

56. European Medicines Agency (EMA). *EudraVigilance*. Amsterdam, Netherlands: EMA (2024).

57. National Institute of Child Health and Human Development (NICHD). *Taskforce on Research specific Pregnant Women and Lactating Women (PRGLAC)* (2017–2021). Rockville, Maryland: NIH. (2021).

58. African Union Development Agency (AUDA-NEPAD) Overview of AU-3S Programme Midrand, South Africa (2022) Available online at: <https://www.nepad.org/microsite/african-union-smart-safety-surveillance-au-3s> (Accessed February 6, 2026).

59. Fukushima A, Iessa N, Balakrishnan MR, Pal SN. Smartphone-based mobile applications for adverse drug reactions reporting: global status and country experience. *BMC Med Inform Decis Mak.* (2022) 22:118. doi: 10.1186/s12911-022-01832-7

60. Salas M, Petracek J, Yalamanchili P, Aimer O, Kasthuril D, Dhingra S, et al. The use of artificial intelligence in pharmacovigilance: a systematic review of the literature. *Pharmaceut Med.* (2022) 36:295–306. doi: 10.1007/s40290-022-00441-z

61. Shamim MA, Shamim MA, Arora P, Dwivedi P. Artificial intelligence and big data for pharmacovigilance and patient safety. *J Med Surg Public Health.* (2024) 3:100139. doi: 10.1016/j.jgmedi.2024.100139

62. The International Council for Harmonisation of Technical Requirements for Pharmaceuticals for Human Use (ICH) Inclusion of pregnant and breastfeeding individuals in clinical trials, E21 (2025) Available online at: https://www.ema.europa.eu/en/documents/other/ich-e21-guideline-inclusion-pregnant-breastfeeding-individuals-clinical-trials_en.pdf (Accessed February 6, 2026).

Glossary

ARVs - Antiretroviral therapies

PrEP - Pre-exposure Prophylaxis

FDA - U. S. Food and Drug Administration

IMPAACT - International Maternal Pediatric Adolescent AIDS Clinical Trials network

CAB-LA - Cabotegravir

TDF/FTC - Fumarate/Emtricitabine

DVR - Dapivirine

LEN - Lenacapavir

HIV - Human Immunodeficiency Syndrome

WHO - World Health Organization

PHASES - Pregnancy and HIV/AIDS: Seeking Equitable Study project

LMICs - Low-and-Middle-income countries

GPP - Good Participatory Practice

AMA - African Medicines Agency

BPCA - Best Pharmaceuticals for Children Act

PREA - Pediatric Research Equity Act

EU-M4ALL - European Union Medicine for all

EHRs - Electronic Health Records

FAERS - FDA Adverse Event Reporting System

PRGLAC - Taskforce on research specific to pregnant and lactating women

ASCoMP - WHO Advisory Committee on Safety of Medicinal Products

AU-3S - African Union-Smart Safety Surveillance