

# UC Irvine

## UC Irvine Previously Published Works

### Title

Corrigendum: Automated screening devices for vision screening in preschool children: a comparison of the PlusoptiX S12C photoscreener and retinomax K+3 autorefractor.

### Permalink

<https://escholarship.org/uc/item/4jr856mj>

### Authors

Hunter, Stephen

Suh, Donny

Molina, Iliana

et al.

### Publication Date

2023

### DOI

10.3389/fopht.2023.1215403

Peer reviewed



## OPEN ACCESS

APPROVED BY  
Frontiers Editorial Office,  
Frontiers Media SA, Switzerland

\*CORRESPONDENCE  
Stephen C. Hunter  
✉ [huntersc495@gmail.com](mailto:huntersc495@gmail.com)

RECEIVED 01 May 2023  
ACCEPTED 30 May 2023  
PUBLISHED 06 July 2023

CITATION  
Hunter SC, Suh DW, Molina I and  
Espinoza J (2023) Corrigendum:  
Automated screening devices for  
vision screening in preschool children:  
a comparison of the PlusoptiX S12C  
photoscreener and retinomax  
K+3 autorefractor.  
*Front. Ophthalmol.* 3:1215403.  
doi: 10.3389/fopht.2023.1215403

COPYRIGHT  
© 2023 Hunter, Suh, Molina and Espinoza.  
This is an open-access article distributed  
under the terms of the [Creative Commons  
Attribution License \(CC BY\)](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/). The use,  
distribution or reproduction in other  
forums is permitted, provided the original  
author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are  
credited and that the original publication in  
this journal is cited, in accordance with  
accepted academic practice. No use,  
distribution or reproduction is permitted  
which does not comply with these terms.

# Corrigendum: Automated screening devices for vision screening in preschool children: a comparison of the PlusoptiX S12C photoscreener and retinomax K+3 autorefractor

Stephen C. Hunter<sup>1\*</sup>, Donny W. Suh<sup>2</sup>, Iliana Molina<sup>2</sup>  
and Jennifer Espinoza<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>University of California Riverside School of Medicine, Riverside, CA, United States, <sup>2</sup>Department of Ophthalmology, Gavin Herbert Eye Institute, University of California Irvine School of Medicine, Irvine, CA, United States

## KEYWORDS

vision screening, children, amblyopia, refractive error, amblyopia risk factor, photoscreener, autorefractor

## A Corrigendum on

[Automated screening devices for vision screening in preschool children: a comparison of the PlusoptiX S12C photoscreener and retinomax K+3 autorefractor](#)

By Hunter SC, Suh DW, Molina I and Espinoza J (2022) *Front. Ophthalmol.* 2:1049622.  
doi: 10.3389/fopht.2022.1049622

In the published article, there was an error in the author list, and authors Iliana Molina and Jennifer Espinoza were erroneously excluded. The corrected author list appears below.

Stephen C. Hunter<sup>1\*</sup>, Donny W. Suh<sup>2</sup>, Iliana Molina<sup>2</sup> and Jennifer Espinoza<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> University of California Riverside School of Medicine, Riverside, CA, United States

<sup>2</sup> Department of Ophthalmology, Gavin Herbert Eye Institute, University of California Irvine School of Medicine, Irvine, CA, United States

The authors apologize for this error and state that this does not change the scientific conclusions of the article in any way. The original article has been updated.

In the published article, there was an error. The Author Contributions and Acknowledgements sections contained incorrect information and have been updated to reflect the recognition of Iliana Molina and Jennifer Espinoza as authors.

A correction has been made to the Acknowledgements section. The section previously read:

“The authors wish to thank Iliana Molina and Jennifer Espinoza for their assistance with data acquisition, data processing, and for their contributions to the UCI EyeMobile for Children program”.

The corrected sentence appears below:

“The authors wish to thank the UCI EyeMobile for Children program for providing valuable vision screening services to children and supporting this research”.

A correction has been made to the Author Contributions section. The section previously read:

“SH and DS designed the study. SH analyzed the data and wrote the manuscript. SH and DS reviewed and revised the manuscript. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version”.

The corrected sentence appears below:

“SH, DS, IM, and JE designed the study. SH, IM, and JE executed the study and acquired subject data. SH analyzed the data and wrote the manuscript. SH and DS reviewed and revised the

manuscript. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version”.

The authors apologize for this error and state that this does not change the scientific conclusions of the article in any way. The original article has been updated.

## Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.