## UCSF UC San Francisco Previously Published Works

## Title

Diversity of function and mechanism in a family of organic anion transporters

## Permalink

https://escholarship.org/uc/item/4k02w0nk

## Authors

Li, Fei Eriksen, Jacob Finer-Moore, Janet <u>et al.</u>

## **Publication Date**

2022-08-01

## DOI

10.1016/j.sbi.2022.102399

Peer reviewed



# **HHS Public Access**

Curr Opin Struct Biol. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2023 January 29.

Published in final edited form as:

Author manuscript

Curr Opin Struct Biol. 2022 August ; 75: 102399. doi:10.1016/j.sbi.2022.102399.

# Diversity of function and mechanism in a family of organic anion transporters

**Fei Li**<sup>1,2,1</sup>, **Jacob Eriksen**<sup>2</sup>, **Janet Finer-Moore**<sup>1</sup>, **Robert M. Stroud**<sup>1</sup>, **Robert H. Edwards**<sup>2</sup> <sup>1</sup>Department of Biochemistry & Biophysics, UCSF School of Medicine, CA, USA <sup>2</sup>Departments of Neurology and Physiology, UCSF School of Medicine, CA, USA

#### Abstract

Originally identified as transporters for inorganic phosphate, solute carrier 17 (SLC17) family proteins subserve diverse physiological roles. The vesicular glutamate transporters (VGLUTs) package the principal excitatory neurotransmitter glutamate into synaptic vesicles (SVs). In contrast, the closely related sialic acid transporter sialin mediates the flux of sialic acid in the opposite direction, from lysosomes to the cytoplasm. The two proteins couple in different ways to the H<sup>+</sup> electrochemical gradient driving force, and high-resolution structures of the *Escherichia coli* homolog D-galactonate transporter (DgoT) and more recently rat VGLUT2 now begin to suggest the mechanisms involved as well as the basis for substrate specificity.

#### Introduction

The solute carrier 17 (SLC17) family comprises organic anion transporters with diverse physiological roles. In mammals, there are nine members (SLC17A1-9) that group into four subfamilies (Figure 1), all of which transport organic anions. Despite high sequence similarity, the driving force and transport mechanism vary widely. The founding members of this family, SLC17A1-4 localize to the plasma membrane and were originally reported to mediate inorganic phosphate (Pi) uptake driven by cotransport of Na<sup>+</sup> [1]. However, subsequent studies showed that they also exhibit an associated Cl<sup>-</sup> conductance and transport organic anions including penicillin, probenecid and uric acid [2-4]. These transporters may thus contribute to the excretion of metabolites and drugs. The SLC17A5 (sialin) confers the export of sialic acid (derived from protein degradation) out of lysosomes driven by the outwardly directed lysosomal pH gradient ( pH) [5–7]. Sialin thus functions as a H<sup>+</sup>/sialic acid symporter. In contrast to SLC17A1-4, sialin lacks an associated Cl<sup>-</sup> conductance. The three vesicular glutamate transporters (VGLUTs, SLC17A6–8) use membrane potential (  $\Psi$ ) to drive uptake of glutamate into synaptic vesicles and require allosteric activation by both  $H^+$  and  $Cl^-$  [8,9]. They do not apparently couple the flux of glutamate to  $H^+$  exchange, distinguishing them from all other vesicular neurotransmitter transporters [10,11]. The VGLUTs also exhibit an associated Cl<sup>-</sup> conductance that appears

<sup>1</sup>Present address: Amgen Research, Amgen Inc, South San Francisco, CA, USA.

Corresponding author: Edwards, Robert H. (robert.edwards@ucsf.edu).

Conflict of interest statement Nothing declared.

to compete with glutamate transport [12,13]. The most recently identified mammalian member of SLC17, the vesicular nucleotide transporter (VNUT, or SLC17A9) concentrates purine nucleotides such as ATP into the synaptic vesicles of neurons and lysosomes of glia cells, enabling their release by exocytosis [14–17]. Like the VGLUTs, VNUT is driven by

 $\Psi$  and requires allosteric activation by cytoplasmic Cl<sup>-</sup> [15,18]. Similar to the mammalian proteins, six SLC17 family members from *Arabidopsis thaliana* were initially identified as Na<sup>+</sup> - or H<sup>+</sup>-coupled phosphate transporters [19]. Recent studies suggest that they also function as organic anion transporters: localized to the chloroplast, they use membrane potential to drive ascorbate uptake and depend on Cl<sup>-</sup> [20]. Although apparently diverse in function, the SLC17 family thus exhibits a limited set of distinct properties that confer the transport of organic anions in organisms from mammals to plants. Recent structures of two SLC17 proteins, one a H<sup>+</sup> symporter from bacteria and the other a membrane potential-dependent VGLUT from mammals [21,22] now provide a basis for understanding the mechanisms responsible.

#### **Diversity of function**

SLC17 transporters have thus been proposed to perform many apparently disparate activities, including Na<sup>+</sup>/Pi cotransport, H<sup>+</sup>/organic anion cotransport,  $\Psi$ -dependent organic anion flux and a Cl<sup>-</sup> conductance. How can closely related proteins subserve such different functions? The identification of organic anions as substrates for the SLC17 family suggested that their original role in Pi transport might reflect a contaminating activity [2,23]. However, subsequent work using purified, reconstituted VGLUT2 (the main subcortical isoform) demonstrated both Pi uptake across the plasma membrane and glutamate transport into secretory vesicles [24]. The two activities appear to be independent, but extracellular glutamate inhibits Pi uptake across the plasma membrane and cytoplasmic Pi may inhibit glutamate flux into synaptic vesicles [25,26]. Despite the inhibition of Pi uptake, external glutamate was not accumulated by VGLUT at the plasma membrane [27], but the reported inhibition of vesicular glutamate uptake by Pi was competitive [26].

More recently, the main cortical isoform VGLUT1 has been shown to confer Pi uptake by neurons, presumably when they reside at the cell surface between synaptic vesicle exo- and endocytosis [27]. However, it has not been possible to test the role of Pi transport by the VGLUTs in excitatory transmission because mutations that affect Pi flux but spare glutamate transport have not been identified.

It is also remarkable that closely related SLC17 proteins can mediate either  $\Psi$ -driven uptake by secretory vesicles or H<sup>+</sup> cotransport out of lysosomes. These modes of coupling to two different components ( pH and  $\Psi$ ) of a H<sup>+</sup> electrochemical gradient ( $\Delta \mu_{\rm H}^+$ ) confer transport in opposite directions across membranes of the secretory pathway but the mechanism that accounts for this difference remains unclear. Although not apparently coupled to pH [10,11,28], the VGLUTs are nonetheless allosterically activated by low lumenal pH [29], raising the possibility that this regulatory mechanism evolved from H<sup>+</sup> coupling. It has also been suggested that sialin mediates vesicular uptake of glutamate and aspartate as well as H<sup>+</sup>-coupled sialic acid efflux from lysosomes, thus exhibiting two

entirely independent activities [30]. However, loss of the VGLUTs eliminates glutamatergic neurotransmission, with no residual release due to sialin [31].

The relationship between the flux of organic anion and the Cl<sup>-</sup> conductance associated with many SLC17 family members also remains unknown. In the case of the VGLUTs, both glutamate transport and Cl<sup>-</sup> currents show allosteric activation by lumenal H<sup>+</sup> and Cl<sup>-</sup>, suggesting they are both subject to the same regulatory mechanisms [29]. However, the Cl<sup>-</sup> conductance does not depend on glutamate, showing that it is not coupled to glutamate transport [12,29]. Further, the Cl<sup>-</sup> currents do not saturate even at very high Cl<sup>-</sup>, suggestive of a channel, whereas glutamate currents saturate in the low millimolar range consistent with the alternating access mechanism of a transporter [12]. Consistent with this, the Cl<sup>-</sup> currents (10-30 pA/pF in endosomes) are larger than the glutamate currents (2-17 pA/pF) but only modestly. Taken together, this suggests that permeation of the two ions shares regulatory mechanisms but the modes of permeation differ for glutamate and Cl<sup>-</sup>. It has been suggested that lumenal  $Cl^-$  may exchange for cytoplasmic glutamate [13], but this seems likely to reflect  $\Psi$  generated through the VGLUT-associated Cl<sup>-</sup> conductance [29]. Indeed, this suggests a role for the Cl<sup>-</sup> conductance. As synaptic vesicles fill with glutamate, it becomes necessary to remove the Cl<sup>-</sup> trapped by endocytosis for charge and osmotic balance, and this has been inferred from the indirect effects of external Cl<sup>-</sup> on vesicle acidification [32]. However, the role of the VGLUT conductance in the effects of lumenal Cl<sup>-</sup> and the consequences of this conductance for glutamate release has not been demonstrated.

In addition to the Cl<sup>-</sup> conductance, a subset of SLC17 proteins requires allosteric activation by Cl<sup>-</sup>. A biphasic dependence on Cl<sup>-</sup> was originally observed for glutamate uptake by synaptic vesicles [8,9,33]. Activation by 2–20 mM cytoplasmic Cl<sup>-</sup> appears allosteric [9], although this mechanism is difficult to disentangle from effects of Cl<sup>-</sup> on pH and the driving force  $\Psi$ . Chloride also acts allosterically from the lumen of the synaptic vesicle [12,29]. A highly conserved arginine (R184 in VGLUT2) in transmembrane (TM) 4 accounts for this form of allosteric regulation: neutralization of R184 to mimic the interaction with Cl<sup>-</sup> eliminates the allosteric requirement for lumenal Cl<sup>-</sup> to activate the Cl<sup>-</sup> conductance [12]. This mutation may also eliminate the requirement for allosteric regulation of glutamate transport by Cl<sup>-</sup>.

In summary, Cl<sup>-</sup> interacts in multiple ways with the VGLUTs. Both cytoplasmic and lumenal Cl<sup>-</sup> allosterically activate the VGLUTs. Chloride also competes for permeation with glutamate, accounting for the inhibition of glutamate uptake by high concentrations of cytosolic Cl<sup>-</sup> [12,34]. In addition, efflux of Cl<sup>-</sup> through the associated conductance can balance the charge and osmotic strength as glutamate enters. Although the two anions compete for permeation, the mechanisms appear to differ. The Cl<sup>-</sup> currents do not depend on glutamate [12], arguing against stoichiometric exchange and raising questions about the coordination of flux between the two ions.

#### **Diversity of mechanism**

**Substrate recognition**—To understand the molecular basis for the disparate functions of SLC17 family members, we determined high-resolution structures of first a bacterial relative and more recently a mammalian VGLUT. The D-galactonate transporter (DgoT) from

*Escherichia coli* shares ~20% sequence identity with other members of the SLC17 family. Using x-ray crystallography, structures of wildtype DgoT in inward-open conformation and a mutant (E133Q) in outward-open partially occluded conformation were determined [21]. The structures reveal an MFS fold with 12 TM helices divided into 2 six-helix domains. The substrate D-galactonate is resolved at the center of the outward-open partially occluded E133Q structure, consistent with rocking of the N- and C-domains back and forth around the substrate to allow alternating access from either side of the membrane (Figure 2a). More recently, the structure of rat VGLUT2 in complex with an Fab was determined by single particle cryo-electron microscopy (Cryo-EM) [22]. The structure of VGLUT2 adopts an outward-open conformation with the central cavity facing the synaptic vesicle lumen.

DgoT belongs to a large family of bacterial transporters, with several in *E. coli* alone, that have not previously been characterized in terms of function. The *DgoT* gene belongs to an operon involved in the transport and metabolism of galactonic acid [35], and we found that DgoT functions as a galactonate transporter with high apparent affinity ( $K_m \sim 18 \mu$ M) on the *E. coli* inner membrane. DgoT does not recognize the epimer gluconate that differs only at the 4'- stereo centre, indicating high specificity [21]. In the absence of a direct assay for binding, we do not know the actual affinity of either DgoT or the VGLUTs, but the VGLUTs transport glutamate with ~100-fold lower apparent affinity ( $K_m \sim 3$  mM) and still exhibit high specificity: they do not recognize aspartate which differs by a single methylene group in the sidechain [8].

The structures of DgoT with and without substrate and of VGLUT2 help us to understand substrate recognition by the SLC17 family. DgoT and the VGLUTs use different strategies to balance affinity and specificity (Figure 2). DgoT engages every functional group on D-galactonate with highly specific H-bounds and ionic interactions (Figure 2c). The large number of bonds presumably summates to confer high selectivity, with even small (e.g., stereochemical) changes in the substrate impairing these interactions. In contrast, glutamate has fewer functional groups. Taking advantage of the highly conserved sequence at the substrate binding site and the substrate-bound structure of DgoT, we docked glutamate into the apo structure of VGLUT2. Both possible orientations of glutamate suggest that the VGLUTs engage primarily the two carboxyl groups at the ends of the molecule (Figure 2d, e). The shorter aspartate cannot simultaneously engage residues on both ends, and is thus not recognized or transported. In light of this distance requirement, Pi is not likely to interact or translocate in the same manner, consistent with earlier studies implicating different residues in Pi and glutamate flux [24]. On the other hand, the two anions may compete for an overlapping site [25,26].

Comparison of the binding sites across the SLC17 family suggests that the anionic group of the substrate interacts with the highly conserved TM1 arginine (R47 in DgoT, R88 in VGLUT2) [12,21,24]. However, the rest of the binding site shows much more variation, to accommodate the diversity of organic anion substrates for SLC17 (Figure 2a, b). For example, the VGLUTs contain an important arginine residue (R322 of VGLUT2) in TM7 that may recognize the second carboxyl group in glutamate. Indeed, this arginine is required for glutamate transport [22] and is not conserved in other SLC17 proteins that recognize substrates with a single carboxyl group (Figure 2b). The structures of DgoT and VGLUT2

[36].

H<sup>+</sup> coupling vs. allosteric regulation—The structures also reveal clusters of polar residues within both N- and C-domains (Figure 3). The N-domain cluster includes a glutamate in TM4 that appears to form a charge pair with the arginine in TM1 [21,22]. This would provide a mechanism for coupling flux to H<sup>+</sup>: protonation of the TM4 glutamate releases the TM1 arginine to bind substrate. Indeed, E133 in TM4 is crucial for transport by DgoT [21], but its role in the VGLUTs remains unknown. Presumably, the liberation of R47 from E133 in DgoT enables recognition of substrate and translocation across the membrane with reformation of the E133-R47 charge pair promoting orientation of the empty carrier back to the periplasm, but the mechanism connecting changes in protonation state to changes in structure of the helical bundles remains unclear.

The N-domain polar pocket also contains the TM4 arginine (R184 in VGLUT2) that mediates allosteric activation of the VGLUT-associated Cl<sup>-</sup> conductance by lumenal Cl<sup>-</sup> [12]. This arginine is highly conserved through the SLC17 family but sialin and DgoT show no requirement for activation by lumenal Cl<sup>-</sup>. The physiological role of allosteric regulation by lumenal Cl<sup>-</sup> for VGLUTs could be specific to the dynamic ionic environment of the recycling synaptic vesicles but remains to be further investigated. The C-domain contains a smaller set of polar residues unique to the VGLUTs that center around R322 in TM7. These likely play a role in coupling interaction of the second carboxyl in glutamate with a conformational change in the C-domain bundle.

Alternating access—Although DgoT and the VGLUTs transport in opposite directions driven by different forces, the structures in 3 distinct conformations along the transport cycle now help to understand the underlying mechanism. The N-domain including the highly conserved arginine in TM1 does not change much through the cycle whereas the C-domain rotates around the central substrate binding site to allow alternating access. TM7 and TM10, which contain 7 substrate-binding residues in DgoT, play a particularly important role in this conformational change (Figure 4). For the VGLUTs (Figure 4, left to right), glutamate binding from the cytoplasm triggers rotation of the C-domain around the substrate, with bending of TM7 and TM10 on the cytoplasmic side. TM10 then seals the pocket from the cytoplasm while the lumenal half of TM7 rotates away from the substrate, enabling access to the lumen for release. After glutamate discharge, the transporter reorients to the cytoplasm without substrate, resulting in net flux. This occluded/apo form appears difficult to capture, suggesting a short-lived transition state. DgoT effectively proceeds through the cycle in reverse (Figure 4, right to left). In the outwardly oriented conformation, protonation of E133 liberates R47 to bind the carboxyl of periplasmic D-galactonate. In response to substrate binding, the periplasmic gate then closes through interaction of the upper residues in TM1 and TM7, reorienting DgoT to the cytoplasm. After discharge of substrate and H<sup>+</sup>,

R47 reforms a charge pair with E133 and the empty transporter reorients to the periplasm. The extensively studied lac permease (LacY) of *E. coli* also recognizes substrate with both N- and C-domains, and relies on protonation of a critical glutamate for ionic coupling [37]. However, protonation of the critical glutamate in LacY contributes directly to substrate recognition [37], rather than through liberation of another residue (e.g., R47 in DgoT) to recognize substrate. The allosteric regulation of VGLUTs by pH may involve the same residues that couple substrate to H<sup>+</sup> in DgoT and sialin, but this remains to be determined, and we know very little about the mechanisms underlying allosteric regulation of any transporter.

#### **Conclusion and perspectives**

The recent structures of DgoT and VGLUT2 provide a basis to understand substrate recognition and ionic coupling by the SLC17 family of organic anion transporters. The high specificity of DgoT for D-galactonate is achieved through multiple residues recognizing essentially all functional groups of D-galactonate whereas the VGLUTs rely primarily on recognition of the two carboxyl groups of glutamate, which may account for the high  $K_m$ . Nonetheless, the requirements for recognition (including stereochemistry in DgoT and side chain length in VGLUTs) account for the high specificity of both bacterial and mammalian transporters. High sequence conservation around the substrate binding site suggests that SLC17 family transporters use the N-domain surrounding the highly conserved TM1 arginine to engage anionic groups on the substrate while other residues in the binding site accommodate different features. In addition, the highly conserved glutamate in TM4 may confer the coupling of substrate flux to H<sup>+</sup> transport, at least in the case of DgoT: protonation of E133 should release R47 in TM1 to recognize the carboxyl of galactonate. However, the role of this glutamate (E191) remains unclear in the VGLUTs. A glutamate is conserved in the same position of sialin but changes to glutamine in the Na<sup>+</sup> coupled Pi transporters (SLC17A1-4) and VNUT. The role of the TM4 glutamate thus appears to have evolved with the driving force and regulation of transport. We do not know where Pi binds, how Cl<sup>-</sup> permeates and how these diverse functions are coordinated with diverse, changing physiological conditions.

### Funding

This work was supported by grants from the National Institutes of Health (R01NS089713 to R.M.S and R.H.E, R01MH50712 to R.H.E., K99MH119591 to F. L) as well as a fellowship from the American Heart Association (17POST33660928 to F.L).

#### References

Papers of particular interest, published within the period of review, have been highlighted as:

- \* of special interest
- Werner A, Moore ML, Mantei N, Biber J, Semenza G, Murer H: Cloning and expression of cDNA for a Na/Pi cotransport system of kidney cortex. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 1991, 88:9608–9612, 10.1073/pnas.88.21.9608. [PubMed: 1946375]
- 2. Busch AE, Waldegger S, Murer H, Lang F: The molecules of proximal tubular transport: insights from electrophysiology. Nephron 1996, 72:1–8, 10.1159/000188800. [PubMed: 8903855]

- Togawa N, Miyaji T, Izawa S, Omote H, Moriyama Y: A Na+–phosphate cotransporter homologue (SLC17A4 protein) is an intestinal organic anion exporter. Am J Physiol Cell Physiol 2012, 302:C1652–C1660, 10.1152/ajpcell.00015.2012. [PubMed: 22460716]
- 4. Togawa N, Juge N, Miyaji T, Hiasa M, Omote H, Moriyama Y: Wide expression of type I Na+-phosphate cotransporter 3 (NPT3/SLC17A2), a membrane potential-driven organic anion transporter. Am J Physiol Cell Physiol 2015, 309:C71–C80, 10.1152/ajpcell.00048.2015. [PubMed: 25972451]
- Verheijen FW, Verbeek E, Aula N, Beerens CE, Havelaar AC, Joosse M, et al. : A new gene, encoding an anion transporter, is mutated in sialic acid storage diseases. Nat Genet 1999, 23:462– 465, 10.1038/70585. [PubMed: 10581036]
- Morin P, Sagné C, Gasnier B: Functional characterization of wild-type and mutant human sialin. EMBO J 2004, 23:4560–4570, 10.1038/sj.emboj.7600464. [PubMed: 15510212]
- Wreden CC, Wlizla M, Reimer RJ: Varied mechanisms underlie the free sialic acid storage disorders. J Biol Chem 2005, 280:1408–1416, 10.1074/jbc.M411295200. [PubMed: 15516337]
- Naito S, Ueda T: Characterization of glutamate uptake into synaptic vesicles. J Neurochem 1985, 44:99–109. [PubMed: 2856886]
- 9. Hartinger J, Jahn R: An anion binding site that regulates the glutamate transporter of synaptic vesicles. J Biol Chem 1993, 268:23122–23127.
- 10. Egashira Y, Takase M, Watanabe S, Ishida J, Fukamizu A, Kaneko R, et al. : Unique pH dynamics in GABAergic synaptic vesicles illuminates the mechanism and kinetics of GABA loading. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2016, 113:10702–10707, 10.1073/pnas.1604527113. \* This study shows that GABAergic synaptic vesicles exhibit a higher resting pH than glutamatergic vesicles and acidify more slowly, all consistent with a mechanism of GABA/H<sup>+</sup> exchange.
- Herman MA, Trimbuch T, Rosenmund C: Differential pH dynamics in synaptic vesicles from intact glutamatergic and GABAergic synapses. Front Synaptic Neurosci 2018, 10:44, 10.3389/ fnsyn.2018.00044. [PubMed: 30559659]
- 12. Chang R, Eriksen J, Edwards RH: The dual role of chloride in synaptic vesicle glutamate transport. Elife 2018, 7, 10.7554/eLife.34896. \* This study used whole endosome recording to identify VGLUT-associated Cl<sup>-</sup> and glutamate currents. Cl<sup>-</sup> and glutamate compete for permeation through the VGLUTs and both require allosteric activation by lumenal Cl<sup>-</sup>. However, the Cl<sup>-</sup> currents do not saturate at high concentrations of Cl<sup>-</sup>, suggesting flux through a channel, whereas glutamate currents saturate in the low millimolar range, consistent with an alternating access mechanism. Neutralization of Arg184 in TM4 of VGLUT2 eliminates the requirement for lumenal Cl<sup>-</sup>, indicating that Cl<sup>-</sup> binds at this site.
- 13. Schenck S, Wojcik SM, Brose N, Takamori S: A chloride conductance in VGLUT1 underlies maximal glutamate loading into synaptic vesicles. Nat Neurosci 2009, 12:156–162, 10.1038/ nn.2248. [PubMed: 19169251] \* This study used functional reconstitution of VGLUT1 to demonstrate a role for lumenal Cl<sup>-</sup> in vesicle filling with glutamate but did not distinguish between an indirect, electrogenic role in the driving force or an allosteric effect directly on the transporter. It seems likely that both mechanisms occur.
- 14. Zhang Z, Chen G, Zhou W, Song A, Xu T, Luo Q, et al. : Regulated ATP release from astrocytes through lysosome exocytosis. Nat Cell Biol 2007, 9:945–953, 10.1038/ncb1620. [PubMed: 17618272]
- Sawada K, Echigo N, Juge N, Miyaji T, Otsuka M, Omote H, et al. : Identification of a vesicular nucleotide transporter. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2008, 105:5683–5686, 10.1073/ pnas.0800141105. [PubMed: 18375752]
- Larsson M, Sawada K, Morland C, Hiasa M, Ormel L, Moriyama Y, et al. : Functional and anatomical identification of a vesicular transporter mediating neuronal ATP release. Cerebr Cortex 2012, 22:1203–1214, 10.1093/cercor/bhr203.
- Imura Y, Morizawa Y, Komatsu R, Shibata K, Shinozaki Y, Kasai H, et al. : Microglia release ATP by exocytosis. Glia 2013, 61:1320–1330, 10.1002/glia.22517. [PubMed: 23832620]
- Iwai Y, Kamatani S, Moriyama S, Omote H: Function of essential chloride and arginine residue in nucleotide binding to vesicular nucleotide transporter. J Biochem 2019, 165:479–486, 10.1093/jb/ mvz002. [PubMed: 30649354]

- Guo B, Jin Y, Wussler C, Blancaflor EB, Motes CM, Versaw WK: Functional analysis of the Arabidopsis PHT4 family of intracellular phosphate transporters. New Phytol 2008, 177:889–898, 10.1111/j.1469-8137.2007.02331.x. [PubMed: 18086223]
- 20. Miyaji T, Kuromori T, Takeuchi Y, Yamaji N, Yokosho K, Shimazawa A, et al. : AtPHT4;4 is a chloroplast-localized ascorbate transporter in Arabidopsis. Nat Commun 2015, 6:5928, 10.1038/ ncomms6928.
- 21. Leano JB, Batarni S, Eriksen J, Juge N, Pak JE, Kimura-Someya T, et al. : Structures suggest a mechanism for energy coupling by a family of organic anion transporters. PLoS Biol 2019, 17, e3000260, 10.1371/journal.pbio.3000260. \* This study reported two crystal structures of E. coli DgoT, one facing the cytoplasm without substrate and the other facing the periplasm with D-galactonate occluded in the substrate recognition site. Functional analysis showed that DgoT behaves as an electrogenic H<sup>+</sup>/D-galactonate cotransporter, suggesting a H<sup>+</sup>: galactonate stoichiometry of 2 : 1. The structures revealed a major facilitator superfamily fold with N-domain polar pocket that contains a glutamate from TM4 making electrostatic interaction with a TM1 arginine involved in substrate recognition.
- 22. Li F, Eriksen J, Finer-Moore J, Chang R, Nguyen P, Bowen A, et al. : Ion transport and regulation in a synaptic vesicle glutamate transporter. Science 2020, 368:893–897, 10.1126/science.aba9202. [PubMed: 32439795] \* Made possible by a monoclonal Fab that binds to the lumenal suface of the VGLUT2 C-domain, this cryo-EM study provided the first structural information about a vesicular neurotransmitter transporter. Oriented to the lumen, the structure predicts recognition of the two glutamate carboxyls by the TM1 arginine implicated in substrate recognition by the structure of DgoT.and by an essential TM7 arginine. In addition to the N-domain polar pocket that surrounds the TM1 arginine first noted in DgoT, it showed a smaller number of residues surrounding the TM7 arginine, suggesting a mechanism to regulate transport activity.
- Broer S, Schuster A, Wagner CA, Broer A, Forster I, Biber J, et al. : Chloride conductance and Pi transport are separate functions induced by the expression of NaPi-1 in Xenopus oocytes. J Membr Biol 1998, 164:71–77, 10.1007/s002329900394. [PubMed: 9636245]
- Juge N, Yoshida Y, Yatsushiro S, Omote H, Moriyama Y: Vesicular glutamate transporter contains two independent transport machineries. J Biol Chem 2006, 281:39499–39506, 10.1074/ jbc.M607670200.
- 25. Bellocchio EE, Reimer RJ, Fremeau RT, Edwards RH: Uptake of glutamate into synaptic vesicles by an inorganic phosphate transporter. Science 2000, 289:957–960. [PubMed: 10938000]
- 26. Preobraschenski J, Cheret C, Ganzella M, Zander JF, Richter K, Schenck S, et al. : Dual and direction-selective mechanisms of phosphate transport by the vesicular glutamate transporter. Cell Rep 2018, 23:535–545, 10.1016/j.celrep.2018.03.055. [PubMed: 29642010] \* This report used biochemical assays to determine the relationship between glutamate and phosphate transport by the VGLUTs. It showed that the two anions compete for permeation, but with different apparent affinity from the two sides of the membrane. In addition, uptake of either anion into vesicles was driven by membrane potential and uptake into cells by a Na<sup>+</sup> gradient. The structural basis for these differences in recognition and permeation remain unknown.
- 27. Cheret C, Ganzella M, Preobraschenski J, Jahn R, Ahnert-Hilger G: Vesicular glutamate transporters (SLCA17 A6, 7, 8) control synaptic phosphate levels. Cell Rep 2021, 34:108623, 10.1016/j.celrep.2020.108623. \* This study addressed the cellular role of phosphate transport by the VGLUTs. It showed that the VGLUTs accumulate phosphate into neurons in a depolarization-dependent manner, consistent with VGLUT delivery to the plasma membrane by synaptic vesicle exocytosis. It also demonstrated effects of the VGLUTs on synaptosomal phosphate levels, counteracting efflux. Thus, the VGLUTs apparently contribute to phosphate homeostasis in neurons, although the consequences for synaptic physiology remain unknown.
- 28. Farsi Z, Preobraschenski J, van den Bogaart G, Riedel D, Jahn R, Woehler A: Single-vesicle imaging reveals different transport mechanisms between glutamatergic and GABAergic vesicles. Science 2016, 351:981–984, 10.1126/science.aad8142. [PubMed: 26912364] \* The analysis by fluorescence of single synaptic vesicles showed that GABA but not glutamate uptake by synaptic vesicles involves H+ exchange. It also showed that cation/H+ exchange by synaptic vesicles differed on the two vesicle populations, with K<sup>+</sup>/H<sup>+</sup> exchange (associated by the authors with the VGLUTs) primarily on the glutamatergic rather than GABAergic population.

- 29. Eriksen J, Chang R, McGregor M, Silm K, Suzuki T, Edwards RH: Protons regulate vesicular glutamate transporters through an allosteric mechanism. Neuron 2016, 90:768–780, 10.1016/ j.neuron.2016.03.026. [PubMed: 27133463] \* This work used electrophysiology to disentangle the roles of membrane potential, pH and Cl<sup>-</sup> in the function of VGLUTs. Focusing on a Cl<sup>-</sup> conductance associated with the VGLUTs, it demonstrated allosteric activation by lumenal H<sup>+</sup> and Cl<sup>-</sup>. Functional reconstitution of the purified protein confirmed the allosteric regulation of glutamate transport by both H<sup>+</sup> and Cl<sup>-</sup>. Synaptic physiology further showed that the allosteric requirement for activation by H<sup>+</sup> prevents the tonic, non-vesicular efflux of glutamate across the plasma membrane.
- Miyaji T, Omote H, Moriyama Y: Functional characterization of vesicular excitatory amino acid transport by human sialin. J Neurochem 2011, 119:1–5, 10.1111/j.1471-4159.2011.07388.x. [PubMed: 21781115]
- Herring BE, Silm K, Edwards RH, Nicoll RA: Is aspartate an excitatory neurotransmitter? J Neurosci 2015, 35:10168–10171, 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.0524-15.2015. [PubMed: 26180193]
- 32. Martineau M, Guzman RE, Fahlke C, Klingauf J: VGLUT1 functions as a glutamate/proton exchanger with chloride channel activity in hippocampal glutamatergic synapses. Nat Commun 2017, 8:2279, 10.1038/s41467-017-02367-6. [PubMed: 29273736] \* This study used the analysis of synaptic vesicle pH in neurons to infer a role for the Cl<sup>−</sup> conductance associated with VGLUTs. The evidence that Cl<sup>−</sup> efflux from the vesicle with recycling influences lumenal pH, presumably due to effects on membrane potential, is compelling. However, the role for the VGLUTs in this process is less clear, the suggestion that the VGLUTs mediate H<sup>+</sup> exchange depends on circumstantial evidence and contradicts several other studies [10, 11, 28].
- Juge N, Gray JA, Omote H, Miyaji T, Inoue T, Hara C, et al. : Metabolic control of vesicular glutamate transport and release. Neuron 2010, 68:99–112, 10.1016/j.neuron.2010.09.002. [PubMed: 20920794]
- Preobraschenski J, Zander JF, Suzuki T, Ahnert-Hilger G, Jahn R: Vesicular glutamate transporters use flexible anion and cation binding sites for efficient accumulation of neurotransmitter. Neuron 2014, 84:1287–1301, 10.1016/j.neuron.2014.11.008. [PubMed: 25433636]
- 35. Singh B, Arya G, Kundu N, Sangwan A, Nongthombam S, Chaba R: Molecular and functional insights into the regulation of d-galactonate metabolism by the transcriptional regulator DgoR in Escherichia coli. J Bacteriol 2019, 201, 10.1128/JB.00281-18.
- 36. Dubois L, Pietrancosta N, Cabaye A, Fanget I, Debacker C, Gilormini PA, et al. : Amino acids bearing aromatic or heteroaromatic substituents as a new class of ligands for the lysosomal sialic acid transporter sialin. J Med Chem 2020, 63:8231–8249, 10.1021/acs.jmedchem.9b02119. [PubMed: 32608236]
- Kaback HR, Guan L: It takes two to tango: the dance of the permease. J Gen Physiol 2019, 151:878–886, 10.1085/jgp.201912377. [PubMed: 31147449]



#### Figure 1. Mammalian members of SLC17 use distinct mechanisms to transport organic anions.

Nine mammalian members of SLC17, grouped by localization (plasma membrane, lysosome and synaptic vesicles) and substrates (inorganic phosphate, sialic acid, ATP and glutamate). Number indicates sequence conservation to human VGLUT2. Diagrams on the right indicate the driving force and mechanism of ionic coupling: Na<sup>+</sup> cotransport for the NPTs, H<sup>+</sup> cotransport for sialin and membrane potential ( $\Psi$ ) for the VGLUTs and VNUT. Since members of SLC17 reside on either the cell surface or an organelle, we use inward to indicate directed to the cytoplasm in both cases and outward to indicate facing the external solution or vesicle lumen.



#### Figure 2. Structural basis of substrate specificity by the SLC17 family.

(a) Crystal structure of DgoT E133Q in an outwardly oriented, partially occluded state with D-galactonate shown in cyan. Structure is coloured based on sequence conservation shown in (b). (b) Sequence alignment of *E. coli* DgoT and mammalian SLC17 family members focusing on residues involved in substrate recognition (indicated as magenta triangles). Highlight color indicates the extent of conservation, with red the most conserved and white the least. The red boxes indicate residues predicted to interact with substrate in the VGLUTs. (c–e) Structures of the substrate recognition site for DgoT E133Q with D-galactonate (c) and VGLUT2 with glutamate docked in the binding site in two possible orientations (d, e). D-galactonate interacts with many residues in DgoT, whereas glutamate is predicted to have an overlapping but smaller set of interactions with VGLUT.



#### Figure 3. Clusters of polar residues within the N- and C-domains of DgoT and VGLUT2.

(a) Outwardly oriented conformation of DgoT E133Q with D-galactonate (green) bound. Residues of the N-terminal polar pocket are shown in blue, those of the C-domain pocket in orange. E133 in TM4 makes an electrostatic interaction with Arg47 of TM1 which is disrupted by protonation or the E133Q mutation. (b) The lumenally oriented conformation of VGLUT2 shows a similar arrangement of E191 and R88, with Arg184 in TM4 involved in allosteric activation by lumenal Cl<sup>-</sup> [12]. Critical residues from the N-domain are in magenta, the other N-domain residues in light blue and the C-domain in yellow. Critical, highly conserved residues (TM1 arginine, TM4 glutamate and arginine) are labelled in red.

Li et al.



#### Figure 4. Conformational changes involved in transport by the SLC17 family.

Left, the inwardly oriented structure of WT DgoT, with TM1 and 7 sealing the main cavity from the periplasm. Middle, with substrate (galactonate) binding in the partially occluded structure of DgoT E133Q with TM7 and 10 rearrange to close the cytoplasmic gate. Right, the lumen-open structure of WT VGLUT2 shows TM7 bent back to enable substrate access from the lumen. DgoT and the VGLUTs undergo similar conformational changes during the transport cycle but in opposite directions, with glutamate binding to the VGLUTs from the cytoplasm and galactonate binding from the periplasm.