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# Abstract

The Cpx-envelope stress system regulates the expression of virulence factors in many Gram-negative pathogens. In *Salmonella enterica* serovar Typhimurium deletion of the sensor kinase CpxA but not of the response regulator CpxR results in the down regulation of the key regulator for invasion, HilA encoded by the *Salmonella* pathogenicity island 1 (SPI-1). Here, we provide evidence that cpxA deletion interferes with dephosphorylation of CpxR resulting in increased levels of active CpxR and consequently in misregulation of target genes. 14 potential operons were identified to be under direct control of CpxR. These include the virulence determinants ecotin, the omptin PgtE, and the SPI-2 regulator SsrB. The Tat-system and the PocR regulator that together promote anaerobic respiration of tetra-thionate on 1,2-propanediol are also under direct CpxR control. Notably, 1,2-propanediol represses hilA expression. Thus, our work demonstrates for the first time the involvement of the Cpx system in a complex network mediating metabolism and virulence function.

# Introduction

An important group of bacterial regulatory sensing systems are the two-component systems, each of which enable bacteria to sense and respond to a specific subset of environmental changes and stress factors [1–3]. Two-component systems recognize environmental changes...
via a membrane-anchored sensor kinase that mediates the response through phosphorylation and dephosphorylation of its cognate response regulator [1]. The phosphorylated response regulator modulates the expression of target genes [3]. The Cpx-envelope stress system is a two-component system ubiquitous among Gram-negative pathogens [4, 5]. It is composed of the sensor kinase CpxA, the response regulator CpxR and the auxiliary periplasmic protein CpxP that inhibits CpxA presumably through a direct dynamic interaction [6, 7]. The Cpx-system corresponds to signals that induce envelope stress such as elevated pH, increased osmolarity, indole, adrenalin, surface contact and accumulation of adhesin subunits [5, 8–12]. Activation of the Cpx-system results in CpxA autophosphorylation and subsequently the phosphoryl group transferred to CpxR [6, 13]. Interestingly, all these signals typically emerge during early stages of infection in the gut and, accordingly, the Cpx-system could be linked to the virulence of enteropathogenic Escherichia, Salmonella, Shigella, Vibrio and Yersinia species [4, 5, 14–16]. A deletion of the Salmonella Cpx-system showed significantly reduced abilities to colonize tissue and inner organs in pigs [17, 18].

Several studies demonstrated the impact of the Cpx-system for Salmonella enterica virulence. In Salmonella enterica serovar Typhi (S. Typhi), the causative agent of human typhoid fever, inactivation of CpxA results in a mutant defective for adherence and invasion of human small intestinal epithelial cell lines [19]. Thereby, the expression of the cpxA is regulated under conditions of high osmolarity (0.3 M NaCl) and is pH independent [19]. Inactivation of CpxA in Salmonella enterica serovar Typhimurium (S. typhimurium), a causative agent of gastroenteritis in humans and a typhoid-like fever in mice, leads to a strain defective in both invasion and transcription of the regulator for invasion HilA when grown under mild acid condition [20]. In contrast, deletion of cpxR and growth under neutral or mild alkaline pH has no effect [20, 21]. Moreover, a cpxA mutant, but not a cpxR mutant, was slightly attenuated in mice virulence after oral and parenteral infection [21]. From these cumulative results it was suggested that CpxA might modulate the expression of HilA and consequently invasion independently of its cognate response regulator CpxR, presumably through cross-talk with another regulator [5, 20].

In addition to invasion, the Cpx-system is involved in resistance to cationic antimicrobial peptides (CAMPs) [22]. Two N-acetylmuramoyl-L-alan amidases, encoded by the genes amiA and amiC, are direct CpxR targets in S. Typhimurium [22]. These amidases are secreted by the twin arginine translocation [23] system to the periplasmic space and contribute to bacterial resistance to the CAMPs protamine, magainin 2 and melittin but do not contribute to resistance to the CAMPs HNP-1 and polymyxin B [22]. The authors confirmed the impact of the Cpx-system on the resistance to CAMPs for protamine and magainin 2 and melittin and suggested that the Cpx-system contributes resistance to protamine in a Tat-independent way [22]. The Cpx system has been demonstrated to be implicated in neuroendocrine hormone-mediated haemolysis in S. Typhi, indicating the importance of the Cpx system for pathogen-host cross-talk [10].

A comprehensive analysis for the impact of the Cpx-system for the virulence of the model S. Typhimurium SL1344 has been performed using inactivation and constitutive activation strategies involving host interaction model [21]. However, a global analysis involving a genome wide approach to identify S. Typhimurium specific CpxR targets that might contribute to virulence is missing. Here, we used a combination of global transcriptional and bioinformatic analysis to uncover previously uncharacterized members of the CpxR regulatory network. We identified 14 potential novel CpxR target genes and demonstrated that CpxR not only directly controls the transcription of the serine protease inhibitor ecotin, the omptin PgtE, the SPI-2 regulator SsrB and the Tat-system but also has an unsuspected role in the metabolism of 1,2-propanediol, an abundant compound in the human intestine. Moreover, we
provide evidence that $cpxA$ deletion interferes with dephosphorylation of CpxR under invasion inducing conditions. We propose that in the absence of CpxA under invasion inducing conditions CpxR may be constitutively phosphorylated by another kinase or phosphodonor leading to repression of the SPI-1 regulator HilA. Accordingly, dephosphorylation of CpxR by CpxA restores hilA expression by preventing the negative effects of active CpxR on this gene. Thus, this study suggests that both activities of CpxA—phosphorylation and dephosphorylation of CpxR are critical to control CpxR-mediated virulence gene regulation.

**Materials and methods**

**Growth media and conditions**

Standard media for *Salmonella* enterica serovar Typhimurium (*S*. Typhimurium) and *E*. coli was lysogeny broth [21], in the presence of appropriate antibiotics. Minimal medium was the No-carbon-E (NCE) medium, supplemented with trace metals (0.3 mM CaCl$_2$, 0.1 mM ZnSO$_4$, 0.045 mM FeSO$_4$, 0.2 mM Na$_2$SeO$_3$, 0.2 mM Na$_2$MoO$_4$, 2 mM MnSO$_4$, 0.1 mM CuSO$_4$, 3 mM CoCl$_2$, and 0.1 mM NiSO$_4$) and 0.2% yeast extract as carbon source with or without 80 mM propanediol (Sigma) [24]. The antibiotics used for bacterial selection on plates, cultures were as follows: ampicillin 100 μg ml$^{-1}$, kanamycin 50 μg ml$^{-1}$, streptomycin 90 μg ml$^{-1}$ and chloramphenicol 20 μg ml$^{-1}$. *Salmonella* invasion inducing media contained 0.3 M NaCl in LB pH 7.0. For motility assays bacteria were precultured in Terrific Broth (TB).

**Strain and plasmid construction**

Bacterial strains and plasmids used in this study are listed in Table 1. *E*. coli strain JM109 was used for cloning and *E*. coli strain BL21DE3 for protein expression. SL1344 is a standard virulent strain of *S*. Typhimurium. The $cpxA$, $cpxR$ and $cpxRA$ deletion mutants were constructed in *S*. Typhimurium LT2 as described [25–27], confirmed by PCR and P22 transduced into the parent SL1344.

The coding region of $cpxR$ was cloned into the NcoI and BamHI sites of pIVEX2.4, resulting in pIStmCpxR. pSSS11 was achieved by cloning the $cpxRA$ coding region into the BamHI and SalI sites of pACYC184. All constructed plasmids were confirmed by sequencing. DNA manipulation, restriction digestion, ligations and transformations were performed using standard genetic and molecular techniques [35].

**Measurement of gene expression**

The activity of the hilA-GFP fusion encoded on the plasmids pD2E, was measured under SPI-1 inducing growth conditions (LB-pH7.0 with 0.3M NaCl under oxygen limiting static conditions). A fresh colony was inoculated into 5 ml SPI-1 media and grown for 2 hours (OD600 of 0.5). For fluorimeter measurements cultures were diluted 1:50 in 150 μl SPI-1 Media and transferred into Costar 96 black clear bottom plates (Corning Life Sciences; The Netherlands). Plates were sealed with adhesive sealing films (Roth, Germany) and cell growth (OD620) and GFP-production were monitored every 17 minutes over a timeline of 6 h in a Fluorimeter (BD Biosciences). The fluorescent values were measured at excitation values set at 485 nm and emission values set at 540 nm. Assays were performed with an n = 6 and normalized to the wildtype control.

**RNA isolation and labelling for DNA microarray**

Total bacterial RNA was isolated from bacteria grown under different growth conditions after killing by the addition of 0.2 volumes of 95% ethanol, 5% phenol, pH 4.3. Pellets were
resuspended in 10 mM Tris, 1 mM EDTA containing 2 mg ml-1 lysozyme and incubated at 37˚C for 30 min. Cell lysis solution (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany) was added and the mixture was incubated at 65˚C for 5 min and at room temperature for 10 min. After the addition of precipitation solution (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany) and incubation on ice for 5 min cell debris, proteins and DNA were pelleted. The RNA containing supernatant was mixed with ethanol and loaded on a spin column (Promega). Further RNA purification and DNase digestion was done as described by the manufacturer.

A total of 50 μg of RNA of six separate experiments was reverse transcribed to cDNA and labelled with Cy3- or Cy5-conjugated dCTP (GE Healthcare) using reverse transcriptase (SuperscriptII, Invitrogen) and random hexamers as primers. RNA was removed by hot-alkali treatment. Labelled cDNA was purified using a Qiaquick PCR purification kit and quantified by Nano-Drop analysis (ND-1000 Spectrophotometer, Peqlab).

### DNA microarrays and data analysis

Slides containing three replicate arrays spotted onto CMT-UltraGAPS (Corning) slides were prehybridized in 25% formamide, 5 x SSC and 0.1% SDS at 42˚C. Probes were prepared by mixing equal amounts of Cy3- or Cy5-conjugated dCTP (GE Healthcare) using reverse transcriptase (SuperscriptII, Invitrogen) and random hexamers as primers. RNA was removed by hot-alkali treatment. Labelled cDNA was purified using a Qiaquick PCR purification kit and quantified by Nano-Drop analysis (ND-1000 Spectrophotometer, Peqlab).

Table 1. *E. coli* strains and plasmids used in this study.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strain / Plasmid</th>
<th>Relevant Gentotype</th>
<th>Reference or Source</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MG1655</td>
<td>F- lambda- ilvG- rfb-50 rph-1</td>
<td>[28]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JM109</td>
<td>e14 (McrA-) recA1 endA1 gyrA1 thi-1 hsdR17 (rK, mK-) supE44 relA1</td>
<td>Stratagene</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BL21DE3</td>
<td>F-, gal met r—m— hsdS2 lys2 plasmUV5-T7-Gen1 placQ lacI</td>
<td>[29]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SL1344</td>
<td>wild-type, StrR hisG rpsL xyl</td>
<td>[30]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KT04</td>
<td>SL1344 hisG46 rpsL fliC fliB</td>
<td>Lab collection K.Tedin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NOS01</td>
<td>SL1344 cpxR::kan</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SHS01</td>
<td>SL1344 cpxA::kan</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pACYC184</td>
<td>cloning vector, p15A, CamR</td>
<td>[31]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pBR322</td>
<td>cloning vector, TetR, AmpR</td>
<td>[32]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pD2E</td>
<td>pGFP&lt;sub&gt;OVA&lt;/sub&gt;, hilA-gfp&lt;sub&gt;ova&lt;/sub&gt;, AmpR</td>
<td>[33]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pGFP&lt;sub&gt;OVA&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>pBR322 with GFP-OVA, AmpR</td>
<td>[34]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pIVEX2.4</td>
<td>T7 overexpression vector, AmpR</td>
<td>Roche</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pStmCpxR</td>
<td>pIVEX2.4, cpxR&lt;sub&gt;STM&lt;/sub&gt;+&lt;sup&gt;+&lt;/sup&gt;, AmpR&lt;sup&gt;R&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>This Study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pKD4</td>
<td></td>
<td>[27]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pKD13</td>
<td></td>
<td>[27]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pKD46</td>
<td></td>
<td>[27]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pSSS11</td>
<td></td>
<td>This Study</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0211584.t001
Bioinformatics

A S. Typhimurium specific weight matrix which predicts the affinity of phosphorylated CpxR for a given DNA sequence was developed using as input files the promoter regions of operons cpxRA, cpxP, motABcheAW, tsr, spy, yihE-dsbA, degP (htrA), ppiA, yccA and rpoE-rseABC. These promoters were chosen because among the best defined CpxR targets [37] these were identified to be strongest affected in SHS01 under invasion-inducing conditions by our microarray analysis (S1 Table). We aligned 500-bp of the promoter regions upstream of the start codons of these operons with the motif-finding program Target Explorer which is based on the Gibbs sampling algorithm [38]. A conserved 15-bp motif was identified for each promoter (see S2 Table). These 10 motifs were used to calculate a S. Typhimurium specific weight matrix which was then used to search both strands of the genome (GenBank accession number AE006468) and the pSLT plasmid (GenBank accession number AE006471) of S. Typhimurium LT2 with the programs ScanACE [39], Prodoric Virtual Footprint [40, 41] and GeneSoap [42].

RNA extraction and RT-qPCR

Total RNA from cells grown under invasion inducing conditions was isolated after stabilizing the RNA with RNAProtect (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany). Cell pellets were resuspended in 10 mM Tris, 1 mM EDTA containing 2 mg ml−1 lysozyme and incubated at 37˚C for 30 min. Cell lysis solution (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany) was added and the mixture was incubated at 65˚C for 5 min and at room temperature for 10 min. After the addition of precipitation solution (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany) and incubation on ice for 5 min cell debris, proteins and DNA were pelleted. The RNA containing supernatant was mixed with ethanol and loaded on a spin column (Promega, WI, USA). Further RNA purification and DNase digestion was done as described by the manufacturer (SV total RNA isolation system, Promega, WI, USA).

In total, 1 mg of total RNA was reverse transcribed with the reverse transcription kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany). Diluted cDNA samples were used as templates in Real-time qPCR analysis using specific primer pairs and SYBR Green fluorescent dye. Real-time PCR was performed using PowerSYBR Green PCR Mastermix on a 7500 Fast PCR Cycler (AppliedBiosystems, Carlsbad, CA, USA). Uniformity of the product was checked for every PCR by the determination of a dissociation curve. Pairs of primers with lengths of 19–21 nucleotides were optimized for use at an annealing temperature of 51˚C. Each primer pair amplified a fragment of 150–250 bp. Relative expression ratios were determined by the DDCt method as described [43] and normalized to the level of 16S-RNA as a constitutive control. Experiments were repeated nine times with biological triplicate performed in technical triplicate reactions/cDNA dilution.

Expression and purification of the CpxR protein

Salmonella His6-CpxR was essentially overproduced and purified as described for the E. coli protein from strain BL21DE3<pLysS|pIStmCpxR> using Ni-NTA agarose (Qiagen) and Proteino Ni-TED 2000 column kit (Macherey-Nagel) [6].

Gel shift assay

The promoter regions of the genes eco (301 bp), pgtE (251 bp), pocR (301 bp), ssrB (301 bp) and tatA (251 bp) were generated by PCR using the Salmonella SL1344 genomic DNA as template. As control a 156 bp fragment of the Salmonella cpxP promoter region without the Cpxr−P recognition motif was used. Binding reactions were performed as previously described for RovM using the purified His6-CpxR [44].
In brief, phosphorylation of His6-CpxR was carried out using 50 mM acetyl phosphate in a phosphorylation buffer (100 mM Tris, pH 7.4, 10 mM MgCl2, 125 mM KCl) for 1 h at 30˚C. DNA fragments (0.15 pmol) were mixed with phosphorylated His6-CpxR (0–23 pmol) in a 10 μl reaction mixture containing DNA binding buffer (10 mM Tris, pH 7.4, 10 mM MgCl2, 100 mM KCl, 10% glycerol, 2 mM dithiothreitol, 30 μM BSA). The binding reaction was carried out for 20 minutes at room temperature and subsequently loaded on a 4% non-denaturing acrylamide gel and stained with ethidium bromide.

**Motility**

SL1344, NOS01, SL1344<sup>pSSS11</sup> and NOS01<sup>pSSS11</sup> were grown overnight in TB pH 7.0 and then freshly diluted 1 : 50 into TB pH 7.0. The cultures were grown until OD600 = 1.0 and then diluted to an OD600 of 0.1. 1 μl of the cultures were semi-stabbed into tryptone motility agar plates (0.3% bacto agar) of pH 8.0. The plates were incubated at 30˚C for 10 hours. Strain KT04 (SL1344<sup>fliC</sup>,<sup>fliB</sup>) was used as negative control.

**Polymyxin B survival assay**

The sensitivity to polymyxin B was performed as described previously [45]. The overnight cultures of SL1344, NOS01 and NOS01<sup>pStmCpxR</sup> were diluted into fresh LB pH 8.0 medium with the colony-forming units (CFU) being kept constant at 4 x 10<sup>5</sup> CFU ml-1. Cells were allowed to grow to OD600 = 0.14 at 37oC. 1 ml of the cultures were incubated with six different concentrations (ng ml<sup>-1</sup>) of polymyxin B: 0, 100, 101, 102, 104, 105, 106 in polypropylene tubes and allowed to stand at 37˚C for 1 h. After incubation cultures were washed twice with 1 x PBS to remove the remnant polymyxin B hindering the growth of bacteria when plated. During plating, 20 μl of the bacterial cells treated with the appropriate concentrations of polymyxin B was mixed with 20 ml of molten Tryptic Soya Agar (55˚C) and the plates were incubated overnight at 37˚C. All experiments were done in triplicates. For control purpose the experiments were performed for a <sup>phoP::kan</sup> strain. The number of CFU from each plate was counted and the % survival was determined taking the CFU counted from the plates with non-polymyxin B treated bacteria.

**DNaseI footprinting**

For DNase I footprinting, different segments of the tatA and ssrB promoter regions were amplified by PCR using a digoxigenin (DIG)-labelled primer and a non-labelled primer. Different primer combinations were chosen for the footprinting analysis of coding and non-coding strands (Table 2). The amplified promoter regions were 529 and 530 bp for ssrB<sup>-A</sup> (DIG-coding strand) and ssrB<sup>-B</sup> (DIG-Non-coding strand) respectively. The amplified promoter region was 524 bp, for tatA<sup>-A</sup> (coding strand) and tatA<sup>-B</sup> (non-coding strand). PCR fragments were purified by Nucleospin plasmid kit (Macherey-Nagel) and incubated with the purified phosphorylated His6-CpxR protein in 20 μl of DNA-binding buffer as described for the gel shift assays. The PCR products were digested with DNaseI of an appropriate dilution and the resulting products were separated and visualized as described [44]. The protected bands were identified by comparison with a sequence ladder generated with the same DIG-labelled primer used for PCR amplification of the fragment by using the Thermo Sequenase cycle sequencing kit (USB).
Cell growth on 1,2-propandiol

Anaerobic growth on 1,2-propanediol was determined according to an established protocol [24]. In brief, tubes were filled with NCE glycerol with or without 80 mM propanediol and pre-incubated in an anaerobic chamber (Oxoid) with N2 gas for 24 h. Cells were grown aerobically in NCE glycerol to stationary phase, washed in NCE and diluted to an turbidity at 650 nm of 0.1. The tubes were crimp capped and flushed with N2 gas. The cultures were incubated at 37˚C with shaking and turbidity was monitored with a tube spectrophotometer (Riele PM310) at 650 nm.

Statistical analyses

Statistical analyses were performed using the Student’s t-test (two-tailed). A P-value < 0.05 was considered significant.

Table 2. Oligonucleotides used in this study.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Primer Name</th>
<th>Sequence (5’-3’)</th>
<th>Construct / Purpose</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>cpxA5-STM-PS1</td>
<td>ATTCCGGTGTCGCGGCTATCTGATGGTTTCCGCTTCATGAGGTGAGCT</td>
<td>SHS01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cpxA3-STM-PS4</td>
<td>CGAGATATAAAAACTTGCGTTGCGACGCCATGTTTATCCGGGAT</td>
<td>NOS01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cpxR5-STM-PS1</td>
<td>GAGCCGTGATGAGATTTTTCTGCCTGAGGCTAGGTTAAGCATGAGCTGCTCC</td>
<td>NOS01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cpxR3-STM-PS2</td>
<td>CAAACAGAAGATGGCGAATGCGCCGCGTGTAAACTTCCCTAGATGAAATATTCCTCTT</td>
<td>VSM01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cpxAR5-STM-PS1</td>
<td>GAGCCGTGATGAGATTTTTCTGCCTGAGGCTAGGTTAAGCATGAGCTGCTCTC</td>
<td>VSM01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cpxAR3-STM-PS2</td>
<td>ATCGCGCTGATCGAGGGATGTTTATCCGGGGAT</td>
<td>plStmCpxR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NdeI_STMcpxR</td>
<td>ATCATATGAATAAAAATCCGTG</td>
<td>pSSS11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BamHI_SCpxRA</td>
<td>CGGCGGCGATCCATGAAATTTTTCTGCCTAGTTAGTGAGA</td>
<td>EMSA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SCpxRA_SalI</td>
<td>GGGGGGCGTCGACTTATAGTGCCTTATGACACCGGTAGCC</td>
<td>EMSA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PcpxR-Fw</td>
<td>CCGTCAAACATATGATT</td>
<td>EMSA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PcpxR-Rev</td>
<td>TCATTGTTTACGTACCTCGG</td>
<td>EMSA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peco-Fw</td>
<td>CCGATAGAGGGTAAATGCTG</td>
<td>EMSA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peco-Rev</td>
<td>TTCATTGTTAGTCCACTAGTAT</td>
<td>EMSA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ppgTE-Fw</td>
<td>GACAACATCGCAACGATG</td>
<td>EMSA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ppgTE-Rev</td>
<td>CATTTCCTTGCCCTCATTACC</td>
<td>EMSA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ppcocR-Fw</td>
<td>CCGTTATCGGCCGCCTGACCGAGCCATATATTC</td>
<td>EMSA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ppcocR-Rev</td>
<td>CATGATAAAACCCCTACGTTATAATTTTTATGTTATAAAC</td>
<td>EMSA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PssrB-Fw</td>
<td>GATATGGCTCATTAAATAGCAAG</td>
<td>EMSA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PssrB-Rev</td>
<td>ATTTTGCTGCGCCCTCGGA</td>
<td>EMSA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PrtaA-Fw</td>
<td>CAAACCGCCTGAAATGG</td>
<td>EMSA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PrtaA-Rev</td>
<td>ACATGGCTTCCTGCTGAGTA</td>
<td>EMSA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PcpxP-control-fw</td>
<td>ATGAAATACATCTGTTAGTATGA</td>
<td>EMSA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PcpxP-control-rev</td>
<td>AAAAGTAAATCGATGCTGTATGAT</td>
<td>EMSA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ssrB-A-Fw</td>
<td>DIG-CCTCTTGCTGCTGATATT</td>
<td>footprinting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ssrB-A-Rev</td>
<td>Non-DIG-ATATACTCTTGTTGGATATGCT</td>
<td>footprinting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ssrB-B-Fw</td>
<td>Non-DIG-CATGGTTGCTGCATATCC</td>
<td>footprinting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ssrB-B-Rev</td>
<td>DIG-CGTCCTACTAAATAGATCTTTATA</td>
<td>footprinting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tatA-A-Fw</td>
<td>DIG-AGTTGTCCTGCTGCTGTTGG</td>
<td>footprinting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tatA-A-Rev</td>
<td>Non-DIG- TTCGCTAACAAGCATATACAA</td>
<td>footprinting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tatA-B-Fw</td>
<td>Non-DIG-GCGGCTCGTCTGGCTGG</td>
<td>footprinting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tatA-B-Rev</td>
<td>DIG-CACAAATCACAAACTGCGCA</td>
<td>footprinting</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Results

CpxA-mediated dephosphorylation of CpxR is required for hilA expression

The Cpx envelope stress system is implicated in the invasion process of *Salmonella* into non-phagocytic cells which depends on the SPI-1 T3SS with HilA as the key transcriptional regulator [19, 20, 46]. The expression of *hilA* at low pH requires the sensor kinase CpxA but not the response regulator CpxR [47]. We asked whether *hilA* expression might also be dependent on the Cpx envelope stress system under *in vitro* conditions that mimic invasion. Therefore, we investigated the expression of a plasmid-coded *hilA*-GFP fusion under invasion inducing conditions in a high-salt LB medium under low oxygen tension for *S. Typhimurium* knock out strains SHS01 (*cpxA::kan*), NOS01 (*cpxR::kan*) and VSM01 (*cpxRA::kan*) in comparison to the wild-type strain SL1344. We found under these invasion inducing conditions the expression of *hilA* to be dependent on CpxA but not on CpxR (Fig 1) and confirmed that this effect is not traceable in standard LB medium ([S1 Fig](#)). Interestingly, we observed no effect on *hilA* expression for the *S. Typhimurium cpxAR* double deletion mutant VSM01 (Fig 1, dark grey bars). It is well established that CpxR can be phosphorylated independent on CpxA by the small phosphodonor acetyl-phosphate *in vivo* [48–50] and that CpxA acts under non-inducing conditions as a phosphatase of phosphorylated CpxR [6, 51]. We would like to propose that CpxR is constitutively phosphorylated in a *S. Typhimurium cpxA* knock out grown under invasion inducing conditions leading to repression of *hilA* transcription. Therefore, our finding suggests that dephosphorylation of CpxR by CpxA might be critical for *hilA* expression.

Genome wide screen for Cpx interactions

From our above results we conclude that CpxR is constitutively active in a *cpxA* knock out strain (SHS01) under invasion inducing conditions. Consequently, we determined the extent of the Cpx envelope stress system in *S. Typhimurium* by global transcriptional analysis of a
cpxA knock out strain (SHS01) grown under invasion inducing conditions with high osmolarity (0.3 M NaCl) and found 392 genes to be differently regulated (S1 Table). The CpxR target gene $cpxP$ was the most strongly affected gene supporting our hypothesis that deletion of CpxA results in high level of phosphorylated CpxR. This supports the widely accepted hypothesis that CpxP can be only switched on by activation via the stress pathway [53]. To predict among these genes those that are under direct control of CpxR, we compared the data of the global transcriptional analysis with a bioinformatic approach using a $S$. Typhimurium specific CpxR recognition motif (Fig 2B; S2 Table). This $S$. Typhimurium specific CpxR recognition motif was generated by using among the best defined CpxR targets in E. coli [37] the promoter regions of those 10 CpxR targets that were strongest affected in the $S$. Typhimurium cpxA strain (SHS01) under invasion inducing conditions as determined by the transcriptional analysis (S1 Table) ($cpxRA$, $cpxP$, motABcheAW, $tsr$, $spy$, yihE-dsbA, $degP$, $ppiA$, $yccA$ and $rpoE-rseABC$). For each promoter a conserved 15-bp motif was identified (S2 Table) and these 10 motifs were used to calculate a $S$. Typhimurium specific weight matrix (Fig 2B).
We employed this weight matrix to screen the whole S. Typhimurium LT2 genomic and pSLT sequences for possible CpxR recognition motifs by the use of three different motif-finding programs applying different algorithms each: ScanACE, Prodoric Virtual Footprint and GeneSoap [39, 40, 42]. 106 potential CpxR recognition motifs were commonly identified by all three programs in an appropriate distance of less than 500 bp to an annotated open reading frame (S3 Table). The comparison of bioinformatics and global transcriptional analysis data resulted in 25 possible CpxR target genes (Fig 2C). Along with 11 known genes (chaA, cpxP, cpxR, dsbA, motA, psd, tsr, ppiA, rpoE, spy, ycca) [37, 55–57] 14 potential novel CpxR target genes could be identified (aldB, bioA, eco, glpT, pfkB, pgtE, ssrB, STM1269, STM2613, STM3681, STM4562, tatA, ybiJ, yciG) (Fig 2C). These potential novel CpxR target genes were clustered into genes with uncharacterized products (STM1269, STM2613, STM3681, STM4562, ybiJ, yciG), products implicated in metabolism (aldB, bioA, glpT, pfkB) or Salmonella virulence (eco, pgtE, ssrB, tatA). For our further studies we focused on four genes that have been demonstrated to be important for Salmonella virulence: eco, pgtE, ssrB and tatA.

The _eco_ gene encodes the serine protease inhibitor ecotin. Ecotin orthologues are present in many Gram-negative bacteria and have been shown to be important for protecting the bacteria against eukaryotic proteases that have translocated across the damaged outer membrane [58]. PgtE is a member of the ompT family of outer membrane aspartic proteases identified in Gram-negative bacteria [23]. PgtE and its closest homologue of Pla of Yersinia pestis attack on the innate immunity for instance by means of inactivating antimicrobial peptides or by affecting the plasminogen/plasmin system by cleavage of the plasminogen activator inhibitor 1 [59–61]. SsrB is the response regulator of the SsrAB two-component system which is essential for the coordinated expression of a second T3SS encoded on the SPI-2 and almost all of its accessory effector proteins [62, 63]. Salmonella requires the SPI-2 T3SS for intracellular survival and persistence in macrophages [62, 64]. The _tatA_ gene belongs to the _tat_ operon for the twin-arginine translocation [23] complex TatABC which promotes the secretion of folded proteins across the cytoplasmic membrane and has recently been demonstrated to be implicated into Salmonella invasion and resistance to antimicrobial peptides [22, 65].

To validate the identification of _eco, pgtE, ssrB_ and _tatA_ as direct CpxR target genes in _S. Typhimurium_, real-time qPCR and electrophoretic mobility shift assays (EMSA) were performed (Fig 3). Cells were grown under invasion inducing conditions and harvested at the end of mid log phase for RNA preparation. The genes _cpxP_ and _motA_ served as controls. The expression of all tested genes was dependent on CpxR (Fig 3A). We found _eco_ (1.9 fold), _ssrB_ (8.2 fold) and _tatA_ (2.6 fold) to be under positive and _pgtE_ (-2.1-fold) to be under negative CpxR control. In order to demonstrate direct binding of CpxR to the promoter regions of _eco, pgtE, ssrB_ and _tatA_ we performed electrophoretic mobility shift assays (EMSA) with purified, phosphorylated His6-CpxR (CpxR–P) tagged protein (Fig 3B). The mobility of all DNA fragments covering the single promoter regions were retarded in the presence of phosphorylated His6-CpxR (Fig 3B). To confirm the species specificity displayed by CpxR–P in binding to _tatA_ promoter of _S. Typhimurium_, we performed the EMSA for _E. coli_ _tatA_ promoter using CpxR–P and found no significant shift (S2 Fig). Together, these results demonstrate that the _eco, pgtE, ssrB_ and _tatABC_ operons are direct CpxR targets in _S. Typhimurium_.

The Cpx envelope stress system activates _Salmonella_ motility

To our surprise, global transcriptional analysis and qRT-PCR revealed _motA_ to be under positive control (50 fold) of the Cpx envelope stress system. In contrast, the genes for the flagellar motor (_motABcheAW_) are under negative CpxR control in _E. coli_ [37, 66]. We verified phenotypic difference between both closely related organisms by motility assay (Fig 4). Motility of _S.
Fig 3. The Salmonella virulence determinants *eco*, *pgtE*, *ssrB* and *tatA* are under direct CpxR control. A. qRT-PCR analysis of CpxR target genes in the *S. Typhimurium* cpxR mutant NOS01. RNA samples were prepared from bacteria grown under invasion inducing conditions. In addition to the relative expression levels of *cpxP* and *motA*, the levels of *eco*, *pgtE*, *ssrB* and *tatA* were determined in NOS01 (sample) in comparison with the parental strain SL1344 (control) according to the formula ratio = $2^{-\Delta \Delta Ct}$ [43]. Columns indicate the mean values of one representative of biological triplicates together with the RQmin and RQmax. B. EMSA analysis verifies CpxR binding to the *eco*, *pgtE*, *ssrB* and *tatA* promoters.
Typhimurium was drastically repressed in a cpxR deletion strain and could be restored by the overexpression of CpxR. This finding underscores the remarkable difference in core gene regulation between Salmonella and Escherichia as has also been described for the tolQRA cluster, SlyA, DegP, RpoS stability and copper homeostasis [67–71].

A cpxR mutant displays increased sensitivity to polymyxin B

We identified the omptin PgtE as a direct Cpx target. PgtE confers resistance towards the cationic antimicrobial peptides (CAMPs) protamine and polymyxin B [60]. Very recently, it has been proven that the Cpx-system contributes to resistance to the CAMPs protamine, magainin-2 and melittin through regulated expression of the two Tat-system dependent amidases AmiA and AmiC [22]. AmiA and AmiC do not contribute to bacterial resistance to the CAMPs HNP-1 and polymyxin B [22]. In order to investigate whether the Cpx pathway also contributes resistance to polymyxin B, we performed a polymyxin B resistance assay. As shown in Fig 5 resistance against polymyxin B is reduced in a cpxR deletion strain and could
be partially restored by the overexpression of CpxR indicating that the Cpx-system modulates the resistance to CAMPs in *S. Typhimurium* by regulating PgtE.

**Detection of the CpxR binding sites for the ssrB and tatABC promoter regions**

To map the precise location of the CpxR binding sites for the *ssrB* and *tatABC* promoter regions we performed DNase I footprint assays. For the *ssrB* promoter, a 250 bp DIG-labelled DNA fragment including the CpxR recognition motif was incubated with increasing concentrations of purified and phosphorylated His6-CpxR (CpxR~P) prior to DNaseI on the non-coding strand from positions +19 to +51 downstream of the transcriptional start site treatment (Fig 6A). The analysis of both strands revealed one binding region for phosphorylated His6-CpxR overlapping the CpxR recognition motif (Fig 6A and 6B). We checked the coding strand of *ssrB* for binding of CpxR~P but did not observe significant binding (S3 Fig). This result also indicates that phosphorylated His6-CpxR binds to its consensus motif on *ssrB*’s non-coding strand specifically and serves as a direct regulator of *ssrB*’s expression.

To investigate the binding of CpxR to the *tatABC* promoter region, a 250 bp DIG-labelled DNA fragment including the CpxR recognition motif was subjected to DNase I footprint analysis (Fig 7A and 7B). In contrast to the *ssrB* promoter region, binding regions for phosphorylated His6-CpxR were located on both strands (Fig 7A and 7B). The binding regions on the coding strand flanked the Cpx recognition motif (Fig 7A and 7C) and overlapped the CpxR recognition motif on the non-coding strand (Fig 7B and 7C).

Our data clearly assign the *tatABC* operon as a direct Cpx target in *S. Typhimurium*. In contrast, the *tatABC* operon of *E. coli* has been described to be constitutively expressed [72]. In order to clarify the discrepancy of *tatABC* regulation between *S. Typhimurium* and *E. coli* we...
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compared the structure and promoter sequences of the tatABC operons of both organisms. The tatABC promoter of *E. coli* is substantially shorter than that of *S. Typhimurium* and lacks the Cpx recognition motif (Fig 7C). We asked whether the tatABC operon might be CpxR-dependent regulated in pathogenic *E. coli* strains or other pathogenic genera like *Shigella*, *Yersinia* or *Legionella*. The Cpx recognition motif could only be identified in the tatABC promoter region of different Salmonella strains (Fig 7D) indicating an adaptation of Salmonella to a specific niche that depends on a substrate of the Tat complex. D. Comparison of the promoter sequence of the tatABDC operon of *S. Typhimurium* (STM), *S. Typhi* (STY), *E. coli* MG1655 (ECO_K12), *E. coli* UTI89 (ECO_UPEC), *Shigella flexneri* (SFL), *Klebsiella pneumophila* (KPN), *Vibrio cholerae* El Tor (VCO), *Legionella pneumophila* lens (LPN) and *Yersinia pseudotuberculosis* (YPS). Given are the homologue tatABCD promoter regions of each strain. Boxed bases indicate those nucleotides that were identified by weight matrix anlaysis as a CpxR recognition motif for *S. Typhimurium*.

The Cpx envelope stress system inhibits anaerobic growth on 1,2-propanediol

From the above results we hypothesized, that the efficient transport of one or several specific substrates of the Tat-system might be the reason that the tatABC operon is adirect CpxR target in *Salmonella* but not in other Gram-negative pathogens. The α-subunit of the tetrathionate reductase TrrA was described as a *Salmonella* specific Tat-dependent substrate [74, 75]. The intriguing ttrBCA operon also includes TrrB subunit with a Tat motif where the invariant arginines are swapped with lysines [76]. The tetrathionate reductase is a membrane-bound enzyme that contains the guanine dinucleotide cofactor as a prosthetic group and confers anaerobic respiration of *Salmonella* on tetrathionate as terminal electron acceptor [24, 74, 77, 78].

Tetrathionate respiration is coupled with the degradation of 1,2-propanediol and ethanoleamine, respectively, that act as electron donors and that are both abundant in the human intestine [24, 76]. Interestingly, 1,2-propanediol was described to repress hilA expression [47]. Among the 28 novel CpxR target genes with well annotated functions identified by bioinformatics based screening, we found two genes implicated in 1,2-propanediol utilization: ghA and pocR (Fig 8B) not being differently regulated under invasion inducing conditions. The ghA encodes a glycerol dehydrogenase with 1,2-propanediol as substrate and is a suppressor of cpxA in *S. Typhimurium* SL1344 rescuing hilA expression [47]. The pocR encodes an AraC type regulator modulating the cobalamine synthesis [79] and the 1,2-propanediol utilization (pdu) gene cluster [80–82]. EMSA verified the regulator PocR as a direct CpxR target (Fig 8C).

In order to analyze the impact of the Cpx pathway on 1,2-propanediol utilization, we analyzed the fermentative growth on 1,2-propanediol according to an established protocol [24]. Of note, fermentative growth on 1,2-propanediol depends on dilute yeast extract (0.2%) as additional carbon source that cannot support anaerobic growth alone [24]. Fermentative growth on 1,2-propanediol was enhanced in a cpxR deletion strain (Fig 8D) supporting our assumption that the Cpx-system modulates through the regulator PocR the utilization of...
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1,2-propanediol as the electron donor for the alternative electron acceptor tetra-thionate in anaerobic respiration.

Conclusions

In the last decades the Cpx-envelope stress system of Gram-negative bacteria has been extensively studied and assigned to be crucially involved during the invasion of host cells [4, 5, 14, 83]. Previous studies revealed for the food-born pathogen S. Typhimurium that deletion of the sensor kinase CpxA represses expression of the SPI-1 T3SS regulator HilA and attenuates virulence but surprisingly, deletion of the response regulator CpxR had neither effect on hilA expression nor on virulence when the bacteria were grown under mild acid conditions [20].

Here, we confirmed this effect for S. Typhimurium SL1344 grown in SPI-1 inducing medium. Interestingly, we observed in addition that a S. Typhimurium strain lacking CpxA and CpxR showed no decrease in hilA expression. Notably, CpxA represents a bifunctional sensor kinase that is able not only to phosphorylate its signaling partner CpxR but also to hold the balance between active and inactive CpxR by dephosphorylation [6, 51]. In previous studies it has been reported that CpxR can be phosphorylated by additional phosphate donors as the acetyl-CoA pathway, independent of CpxA [49]. In this case, CpxR would remain in an activated phosphorylated state in the absence of CpxA and therefore CpxR would be able to inhibit hilA expression. Interestingly, similar effects were reported for the QseCB quorum sensing system involved in virulence regulation of uropathogenic E. coli (UPEC) [50]. Deletion of the sensor kinase QseC but not of the response regulator QseB significantly attenuated intracellular bacterial community formation and virulence [50]. Moreover, a qseBC deletion mutant behaved like wild-type strain contradicting the hypothesis that QseC may function through different response regulators but suggesting that dephosphorylation of QseB is required for virulence gene expression [50]. In agreement with this we suggest that the phosphatase activity of CpxA is important for hilA expression by inactivating the inhibitory effect of phosphorylated CpxR.

Here, we identified 1,2-propanediol degradation system (pocR) and the Tat-system (tatABC) which are involved in the expression of hilA to be under direct control of CpxR (Fig 8) [47, 65]. Interestingly, these two operons are functionally linked. Together they promote the anaerobic respiration of tetra-thionate as electron acceptor on 1,2-propanediol as electron donor [24]. Anaerobic respiration on tetra-thionate is a differential ability of Salmonella and therefore used in clinical diagnostic in a standard enrichment medium [74, 84, 85]. The trtABCORS cluster responsible for tetra-thionate respiration is located on SPI-2 [62, 74]. It was show that reactive oxygen species generated during inflammation, caused by the activity of both S. Typhimurium T3SSs [86], react with endogenous sulphur compounds to form tetra-thionate which inhibits coliforms [77]. Consequently, tetra-thionate respiration has been linked to Salmonella specific host adaptation that results in a growth advantage for S. Typhimurium over the competing microbiota in the lumen of the inflamed gut [77]. 1,2-Propanediol, the electron donor for the anaerobic respiration of tetra-thionate, is a fermentative product from rhamnose and fucose catabolism, two sugars commonly present in the mammalian intestinal
Fig 8. Cpx envelope stress system enhances 1,2-propanediol-dependent anaerobic growth of S. Typhimurium. A. The diagram outlines the principle steps in the metabolism of 1,2-propanediol (PDO). B. Potential novel Cpx regulon members of S. Typhimurium identified by bioinformatics based screening. The left (I) and right (II, III) pentamers and the 5-bp spacer (II) are displayed as a heat-map to show bases of high conservation (dark grey) from degenerate regions (light grey/white) (compare Fig 2). The genes controlled by these promoters are indicated to the left of the sequences. C. EMSA analysis verifies CpxR binding to the pocR promoter. PocR promoter fragment (p) was incubated without or with increasing amounts of the purified and phosphorylated CpxR protein. The DNA-CpxR~P complexes were separated on 4% polyacrylamide gels. The corresponding molecular weights are indicated on the left. The positions of the promoter fragments are indicated (p), arrows show the higher molecular weight DNA-CpxR~P complexes. A fragment of the cpxP promoter region without the CpxR~P binding motif (-151 to -297) was used as negative control (c). D. Stimulation of anaerobic growth by 1,2-propanediol (PDO) was determined according to an established protocol [24]. Cells of wild-type S. Typhimurium strain SL1344, the cpxR mutant NOS01, and the cpxRA overexpressing strain NOS01<pSS11> were grown anaerobically on minimal NCE medium supplemented with 0.2% yeast extract as carbon source with or without 80 mM PDO as energy source. Shown are the means ± S.E.M. of biological quadruples (t-test).
tract by e.g. plant cell walls [87]. The degradation of 1,2-propanediol is a complex process performed in an specific 1,2-propanediol utilization (Pdu) microcompartment in a Vit B12 dependent manner [79, 88]. Salmonella upregulates proteins for the utilization of 1,2-propanediol (pdu-cob cluster) under invasion-mimicking conditions [89]. Expression of the pdu-cob cluster is inhibited by PocR, induced by 1,2-propanediol and globally controlled by the ArcA and Crp systems [90]. The combined results indicate that deletion of CpxA results in active CpxR inducing expression (Fig 8). The PocR regulator inhibits expression of the pdu-cob cluster resulting in the accumulation of 1,2-propanediol (PDO) and finally in hilA repression. Thus, our finding that the regulator for the degradation of 1,2-propanediol PocR is under direct CpxR control suggests that the Cpx envelope stress system links metabolism with virulence regulation.

However, the exact mechanism of how the degradation of 1,2-propanediol and the Tat-system impacts the expression of hilA is not known. Transcription of hilA is controlled by a complex feed-forward loop including RtsA, HilC and HilD [46]. Environmental signals feed into this network and mediate hilA expression through post-transcriptional or post-translational control of hilD. One of the known post-transcriptional hilD regulators is the EnvZ/OmpR two-component system [46]. Recently, it was demonstrated that the CpxR target MzrA regulates the activity of EnvZ by direct protein-protein interaction in E. coli [91–93]. Accordingly, MzrA can be defined as an auxiliary protein that connects two two-component systems [94]. We identified the mzrA gene by global transcriptional analysis to be under global control of the Cpx envelope stress system. Of note, we also identified CpxR recognition motif in the mzrA promoter region in S. Typhimurium as described in E. coli suggesting that MzrA might play the mediator protein role between the CpxAR and the EnvZ/OmpR systems to modulate hilA expression (Fig 8 and S2 Fig) [92].

Identification of the Tat-system (tatABCD) as direct CpxR target in S. Typhimurium was unexpected since the tatABCD operon was described to be constitutively expressed in E. coli [72]. The Tat-system facilitates the export of cofactor-containing proteins across the cytoplasmic membrane [95]. In E. coli, the Tat-system consists of TatA, TatB, TatC, TatD and TatE proteins that are encoded on the tatABCD operon and tatE [96] respectively. The promoter region of the tatE gene that is thought to be a cryptic gene duplication of tatA does not consist of a CpxR recognition motif. Interestingly, a CpxR recognition motif could also not be identified upstream of the tatABCD operon in several other species including pathogenic E. coli strains, indicating that the regulated expression of tatABCD by CpxR is species-specific (Fig 6D). In line with this is the observation that for several pathogens the effects for tat mutants vary including growth rate, motility, biofilm formation, host colonization and virulence [65, 97–99]. As an example, tat mutants of Escherichia, Agrobacterium and Pseudomonas become non-motile [97, 100, 101] whereas no effect on Vibrio motility could be observed [98]. A Salmonella specific substrate of the Tat-system is the A subunit of the anaerobic tetrathionate reductase [74]. As stated above, anaerobic respiration on tetrathionate promotes Salmonella a growth advantage over the competing microbiota [77]. Moreover, two Tat-system dependent amidase (AmiA and AmiC) that confer resistance to cationic antimicrobial peptides (CAMPs) were shown to be under direct CpxR control [22]. Taking our experimental findings into consideration we conclude that the Cpx-dependent expression of the Tat-system is important in the ecology of Salmonella.

In addition to the disparate regulation of the Tat-system, we found a phenotypic variation of Cpx-dependent motility (motABcheAW). Motility of S. Typhimurium is under positive CpxR control, whereas E. coli motility is negatively regulated by the response regulator [37]. A phenotypic difference between E. coli and S. Typhimurium was first described for the resistance to the antimicrobial peptide polymyxin B, which is governed by the PmrA/PmrB system.
In contrast to *E. coli*, *S. Typhimurium* is resistant to polymyxin B under low Mg2+ condition [45]. In *S. Typhimurium* but not in *E. coli* dephosphorylation of the PmrA response regulator is prevented by the PmrD connector protein expressed by the PhoP/PhoQ system and responds to low extracellular Mg2+ [102, 103]. Moreover, many studies have underlined the difference in regulatory strategies between *Salmonella* and *Escherichia coli* [67–71]. Thus, our finding underlines the remarkable diversity in regulatory circuits between the closely related species *Escherichia* and *Salmonella* and exemplifies the assumption that disparate regulation of conserved genes has consequences for the ecological niches bacterial species can colonize [102].

Phenotypic differences among related bacteria are mainly assigned to species-specific genes. Here, we identified the genes for the SPI-2 regulator SsrB and the omptin PgtE as direct CpxR targets (Fig 8). SsrB is the response regulator of the SsrAB two-component system that activates the expression of the SPI-2 T3SS. In contrast to many other two-component systems expression of the sensor kinase SsrA and the response regulator SsrB is independently regulated from each other [73]. SsrB directly controls the expression of the SPI-2 T3SS and its effectors that provide Salmonella to survive in host cells [63, 104]. It has been reported that the SPI-2 T3SS is also expressed in the intestine independently of the invasion process but without substrate protein secretion [79] supporting a previous suggestion that the acidic pH environment typical for the interior of macrophages might be an essential trigger for the secretion of SPI-2 T3SS substrate proteins [104]. Consistent with this, we found no difference in the secretion pattern for the SPI-2 T3SS substrate protein SseB (S5 Fig). These data also support the observation that deletion of the Cpx-system results in only slight attenuated growth of *S. Typhimurium* in macrophages [21]. Accordingly, our results indicate that the Cpx-system might be involved in preparing Salmonella for its life in host cells.

PgtE belongs to the omptin family of outer membrane β-barrel proteases that promote virulence associated functions of different pathogens [105]. Pla of *Yersinia pestis* is located on the virulence plasmid pPCP1, and advances the migration of the plaque bacteria through tissues [106]. SopA of *Shigella flexneri* is encoded on the plasmid pWR100 and is important for the intracellular mobility [105]. PgtE of *Salmonella enterica* promotes resistance towards CAMPs like polymyxin B and protamine [107]. CAMPs as part of the innate immune system are typically amphiphatic peptides of 12–45 residues length with wide variations in their sequences and secondary structures [108, 109]. Very recently, it has been proven that the Cpx-envelope stress system confers resistance to the CAMPs protamine, magainin-2 and mellitin through regulated expression of the two Tat-system dependent amidase AmiA and AmiC [22]. These Tat-dependent amidases contribute to bacterial resistance to the CAMPs protamine, magainin 2 and mellitin but not to the CAMPs HNP-1 and polymyxin B [22]. Recent studies in *S. Typhimurium* carrying *pgtE* deletions had 2-fold lower minimum inhibitory concentrations (MICs) to two CAMPs namely human LL-37 and its murine ortholog CRAMP [107], while *pgtE* overexpression increased the MIC by 8-fold (Band and Weiss 2015). Here, we demonstrate that the Cpx-system contributes also resistance to polymyxin B. Other CpxR targets that are known to confer resistance to CAMPs are the inner membrane protein of unknown function YqiA (S4 Fig) and extracytoplasmic sigma factor σE (RpoE) [110, 111]. As the Cpx-targets PgtE and YqiA which contributes to resistance towards protamine, our finding suggests the regulation of these two loci as the Tat-independent mechanism of Cpx pathway promoted protamine resistance. Collectively, our data point the Cpx-envelope stress system of *S. Typhimurium* a critical function during the early stage of infection. Starting with the competition with the invasion process (*hilA*, *pocR*, *tatABC*) to the preparation for the escape from the host cell immunity (*eco, ssrB*). Taking all findings together we are reporting here a comprehensive model depicting network regulated by Cpx pathway (Fig 9). Moreover, it seems that *S.
Typhimurium is able to tolerate a complete loss of the whole Cpx system better than an impaired incomplete system [21]. A recent quantitative proteome study identified a need for 10-fold excess of CpxP to inhibit the CpxRA two-component system [112]. The interaction of Cpx-system with other regulatory networks like small non-coding RNAs (sRNAs) has been reported [113]. Thus, although the Cpx system appears not to be essential it still can be assigned to an important role in fine-tuning virulence. Further studies could shed light on if the Cpx system is involved in physical interaction with other regulatory networks like sRNAs. Together with the general ability of the Cpx system to sense a wide range of different external stimuli this work supports the notion that the Cpx system plays a central role in a complex network regulating the interaction between pathogen and host.

Fig 9. Model of the Cpx envelope stress network in S. Typhimurium. Genes are pictured within big arrows. Regulator genes are depicted within black arrows and the enzyme or transporters are depicted within white arrows. Thin arrows indicate a positive effect. Line with blunt ends note a negative effect. Solid lines represent direct transcriptional regulation. Regulation that is not known to be direct or indirect is represented by short-dashed lines. Long-dashed lines indicate post-translational effects. For clarity, the genes encoding HilD, HilC and RtsA are not shown. Abbreviations used are CAMPs, cationic antimicrobial peptides; PDO, 1,2-propandiol; T3SS, type three secretion system. See text for details and references.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0211584.g009

Supporting information

S1 Fig. CpxA-mediated transcription of hilA is specific for SPI-1 inducing conditions. Promoter-GFP fusion assay of SL1344 wild type (WT; white), cpxA (MA; red) strains transformed with a plasmid carrying the GFP fusion to the hilA promoter (pD.2E). Shown are the expression results (bars) for cultures grown under normal conditions (LB medium, pH 7.0) (A) or under SPI-1 inducing conditions (B). Fluorescent values were measured at values set at 485/540 nm, cell growth of bacterial cultures was monitored at OD_{620}. Data represents means ± S.E.M. of at least biological triplicates (t-test). (TIF)
S2 Fig. EMSA analysis for *E. coli* tatA promoter. EMSA analysis to verify the species specificity shown by CpxR towards *E. coli* tatA promoter. Indicated promoter fragments (p) were incubated without or with increasing amounts of the purified and phosphorylated CpxR protein. The DNA-CpxR~P complexes were separated on 4% polyacrylamide gels. The corresponding molecular weights are indicated on the left. The positions of the promoter fragments are indicated (p), arrows show the higher molecular weight DNA-CpxR~P complexes. A fragment of the cpxP promoter region without the CpxR~P binding motif (-151 to -297) was used as negative control (c).

(TIF)

S3 Fig. DNase I footprinting assay for Forward strand of ssrB. DNase I footprinting analysis of the ssrB promoter performed with the probe for the coding strand with increasing amounts of 6His-CpxR~P protein (see Experimental Procedures). No significant binding of CpxR~P was observed.

(TIF)

S4 Fig. DNA sequence comparison for *yqjA-mzrA* promoter region of *E. coli* and *S. Typhimurium*. Underlined nucleotide indicate the CpxR binding motif identified and confirmed in *E. coli* [114]. The starts of the coding sequences are highlighted in bold letters.

(TIF)

S5 Fig. Effect of cpxR deletion on SseB secretion. *S. Typhimurium* wild type (SL1344), the cpxR mutant NOS01 and the complementation strain NOS01+pSSS11 were grown in MgM-MES medium. Hexadecane and cell pellet fractions were obtained as described above (SI Experimental procedures) and analyzed by immunoblotting. Given is a representative of three biological replicates.

(TIF)

S1 Table. Global transcriptional analysis. Matrix of expression ratios between *S. Typhimurium* SL1344 and *S. Typhimurium* SL1344 cpxA::kan (SHS01) strains invasion inducing condition labeled with functional descriptions (provided as separate Excel spreadsheet). Raw data are available online (http://www.webarraydb.org).

(XLSX)

S2 Table. CpxR~P controlled input operons used to construct the CpxR~P recognition weight matrix. (provided as separate Excel spreadsheet).

(XLSX)

S3 Table. Putative CpxR~P target operons identified by in silico data analysis in *S. Typhimurium* LT2. (Provided as separate Excel spreadsheet).

(XLSX)
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