UCSF UC San Francisco Previously Published Works

Title

Simultaneous T1 and T2 mapping of hyperpolarized 13C compounds using the bSSFP sequence

Permalink <https://escholarship.org/uc/item/4n15p200>

Authors

Milshteyn, Eugene Reed, Galen D Gordon, Jeremy W [et al.](https://escholarship.org/uc/item/4n15p200#author)

Publication Date

2020-03-01

DOI

10.1016/j.jmr.2020.106691

Peer reviewed

HHS Public Access

Author manuscript J Magn Reson. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2021 March 01.

Published in final edited form as:

J Magn Reson. 2020 March ; 312: 106691. doi:10.1016/j.jmr.2020.106691.

Simultaneous T1 and T2 Mapping of Hyperpolarized 13C Compounds using the bSSFP Sequence

Eugene Milshteyn, Ph.D.a,1, **Galen D. Reed, Ph.D.**b, **Jeremy W. Gordon, Ph.D.**a, **Cornelius von Morze, Ph.D.**a,2, **Peng Cao, Ph.D.**a,3, **Shuyu Tang, B.S.**a, **Andrew P. Leynes, M.S.**a, **Peder E. Z. Larson, Ph.D.**a, **Daniel B. Vigneron, Ph.D.**^a

aDepartment of Radiology and Biomedical Imaging, University of California, San Francisco, California, USA

^bGE Healthcare, Dallas, Texas, USA

Abstract

As in conventional ¹H MRI, T₁ and T₂ relaxation times of hyperpolarized (HP) ¹³C nuclei can provide important biomedical information. Two new approaches were developed for simultaneous T_1 and T_2 mapping of HP ¹³C probes based on balanced steady state free precession (bSSFP) acquisitions: a method based on sequential T_1 and T_2 mapping modules, and a model-based joint T_1/T_2 approach analogous to MR fingerprinting. These new methods were tested in simulations, HP 13 C phantoms, and in vivo in normal Sprague-Dawley rats. Non-localized T₁ values, low flip angle EPI T_1 maps, bSSFP T_2 maps, and Bloch-Siegert B_1 maps were also acquired for comparison. T_1 and T_2 maps acquired using both approaches were in good agreement with both literature values and data from comparative acquisitions. Multiple HP ^{13}C compounds were successfully mapped, with their relaxation time parameters measured within heart, liver, kidneys, and vasculature in one acquisition for the first time.

Graphical Abstract

Corresponding Author: Eugene Milshteyn, Department of Radiology and Biomedical Imaging, University of California, San Francisco, 1700 Fourth Street, Byers Hall Suite 102, San Francisco, CA 94158, emilshteyn@mgh.harvard.edu, Phone: 415-476-3343, Fax: 415-514-4451. 1.Athinoula A. Martinos Center for Biomedical Imaging, Department of Radiology, Massachusetts General Hospital, Charlestown,

MA, United States 2.Department of Radiology, Washington University, St. Louis, MO, United States

^{3.}Department of Diagnostic Radiology, HKU

Publisher's Disclaimer: This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

Keywords

¹³C; hyperpolarized; T_1 ; T_2 ; Mapping; SSFP

1. Introduction

The development of hyperpolarized (HP) 13 C imaging with dissolution dynamic nuclear polarization, which provides a >10,000-fold signal enhancement for injected substrates, has enabled monitoring of various physiological processes for a wide range of diseases [1-3]. Probes such as pyruvate and urea inform on metabolism and perfusion [4-6], respectively, with several new probes currently being developed for additional applications [7-9]. Recent phase I and phase II human clinical trials have shown successful translation of $[1-13C]$ pyruvate for monitoring metabolic conversion to $[1-13C]$ lactate, $[1-13C]$ alanine, and [1-¹³C]bicarbonate in prostate, brain, and liver cancer, and in the heart [10-15].

¹³C T₁'s and T₂'s are important parameters for characterizing new probes, pulse sequence optimization, calculations of rate constants such as k_{PL} [16], and could also be used for assessment of healthy versus diseased tissue, as is commonly done in ${}^{1}H$ imaging [17-20]. The development of quantitative MRI has indicated the advantage of direct quantitation of these parameters for a wide variety of clinical applications. Estimation of these parameters for ¹H has been well studied and can be done either individually [21-26] or simultaneously with MR fingerprinting with the balanced steady-state free precession (bSSFP) sequence [27-29]. High spatial resolution T_2 mapping has been recently developed for calculating in vivo ¹³C T₂'s using bSSFP [30-34], while T₁ values for ¹³C compounds, which represent decay of the hyperpolarization towards thermal equilibrium, have been reported on a nonlocalized basis. Furthermore, mapping the distribution of both parameters in vivo in one acquisition has not been investigated to our knowledge. Simultaneous acquisition of T_1 and $T₂$ data is challenging for multiple reasons, such as the limited lifetime of the HP magnetization, conversion of metabolically-active compounds, and flow.

bSSFP has previously been shown to provide high SNR imaging of ^{13}C compounds [30,31,35] in an efficient and rapid fashion and has attractive properties for simultaneous mapping of T_1 and T_2 by analogy with its use in ¹H MR fingerprinting. In this study, we aimed to develop and apply simultaneous in vivo T_1 and T_2 mapping of multiple hyperpolarized 13C probes using two new approaches based on specialized bSSFP acquisitions: a method based on sequential T_1 and T_2 mapping modules, and a model-based joint T_1/T_2 approach analogous to MR fingerprinting. These two different approaches were successfully tested in HP 13C phantoms and normal rats, producing high spatial resolution T_1 and T_2 maps of heart, liver, kidneys, and vasculature.

2. Methods

2.1 Sample Preparation

 $[2^{-13}C]$ pyruvate, $[{}^{13}C]$ urea, $[{}^{13}C,{}^{15}N_2]$ urea, and HP001 were prepared as described previously [31,36]. The compounds were individually polarized in a HyperSense system

(Oxford Instruments, Abingdon, UK) operating at 1.35 K and 3.35 T to achieve polarizations of ~15-20% for each compound. The compounds were then dissolved in appropriate media: 4.5 mL of 80 mM NaOH/40 mM Tris buffer for $[2^{-13}C]$ pyruvic acid resulting in 80 mM [2-¹³C]pyruvate (hereafter referred to as C_2 -pyruvate); 5 mL of 1x phosphate-buffered saline for $[{}^{13}$ C]urea resulting in 110 mM $[{}^{13}$ C]urea; 5 mL of 1x phosphate-buffered saline for $[^{13}C, ^{15}N_2]$ urea resulting in 110 mM $[^{13}C, ^{15}N_2]$ urea; and 5 mL of 1x phosphate-buffered saline for HP001 resulting in 100 mM HP001.

2.2 Animal Preparation and Hardware

All animal studies were done under protocols approved by the University of California San Francisco Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (IACUC). Normal female Sprague-Dawley rats (Charles River Laboratories, Wilmington, MA, Age: \sim 1 year old, Average Weight: \sim 284 g), anesthetized using isoflurane (1.5%, gas flow rate 1 L/min) and inserted with lateral tail vein catheters, were used during the course of these experiments.

All experiments were performed on a GE MR750 3 Tesla clinical MRI scanner (GE Healthcare, Waukesha, WI) using custom dual-tuned ${}^{13}C/{}^{1}H$ quadrature transceiver radiofrequency (RF) coils with an 8 cm diameter. For the duration of the experiments, all animals were placed in a supine position on a heated pad within the coil, centered at the level of the kidneys. A 1 mL enriched $[$ ¹³C]urea vial phantom (6.0 M) was placed adjacent to the abdomen and used for frequency and power calibration.

2.3 MR Experiments

A custom bSSFP sequence was developed for this study, consisting of three portions for dynamic imaging (Figure 1A): preparatory portion consisting of a θ/2-TR/2 pulse for catalyzation, imaging portion consisting of alternating phase sinc pulses and balanced gradients, and a tipback portion consisting of a θ-TR-θ/2-TR/2 sequence for storing the magnetization along the longitudinal axis for imaging multiple time-points. Each acquisition consisted of one of two approaches: either two independent scanning modules (dual module) (Figure 1B and 1C) or modified MR fingerprinting (model-based joint T_1T_2 approach) (Figure 1D). The dual module approach featured one T_2 mapping module and one T_1 mapping module (in either order). The T_2 mapping module was acquired as described previously [31], The T_1 module specifically involved using delays between imaging, whereby the magnetization tipped back onto the longitudinal axis after imaging would decay by T₁. Initial studies utilized both constant and variable delays, ranging from 1-10 s, as well as constant and variable flip angles, ranging from $10-180^\circ$ (θ) during the T₁ mapping module, to investigate potential in vivo SNR limitations and consequent signal fitting. Figure 2A shows an example set of delays and flip angles for a T_2 followed by T_1 mapping acquisition, with variable delays and flip angles for the T_1 mapping portion, while Figure 2B shows the delays and flip angles for a T_1 followed by T_2 mapping acquisition. Initial studies of the modified MR fingerprinting approach involved random delays between imaging, ranging from 1-9 s, and random flip angles, ranging from 10-180° (θ), throughout the entire acquisition, with Figure 2C showing an example set of delays and flip angles for one acquisition. Simulations were performed for the modified MR fingerprinting approach to generate potential combinations of flip angles, delays, and total time-points using the signal

equation detailed below. All simulations had added Gaussian noise to mimic in vivo conditions and combinations resulting in calculated $T_1 T_2$, and B_1 values within 10% of the inputted values were subsequently utilized. The B_1 value represents the B_1 ratio, where a ratio of 1.0 would represent the exact flip angle intended.

All in vivo acquisitions were acquired as 2D coronal projections (no slice-select gradient) and featured the following parameters: 14×7 cm² FOV, $1.25 \times 1.25 - 4 \times 4$ mm² in-plane spatial resolution, 1.6 ms sinc pulse with a TBW = 4, 5.6-6.4 ms TR, 40-90 time-points, scans starting at 30 s after start of injection, with ~3 mL injected over 12 s. For comparison, individual T_1 maps, T_2 maps, and B_1 maps were acquired using echo-planar imaging (EPI) [37], bSSFP [31], and Bloch-Siegert B_1 mapping [38,39], respectively. Single-shot echoplanar imaging (EPI) T_1 maps were also acquired as coronal projections and featured the following parameters: $2.5 \times 2.5 \text{ mm}^2$ in-plane spatial resolution, 3.2 ms sinc pulse with a TBW = 4, constant 5° flip angle, 1 s TR, 80 time-points, scans starting at 30 s after start of injection. bSSFP T_2 maps were acquired with the following parameters: 14 x 7 cm² FOV, 2.5 x 2.5 mm² in-plane spatial resolution, 1.6 ms sinc pulse with a TBW = 4, 5.6 ms TR, 100 time-points, scans starting at 30 s after start of injection. Bloch-Siegert B_1 maps were acquired in the coronal plane with a single-band spectral-spatial excitation pulse and a single-shot spiral readout and featured the following parameters: 8.5 x 8.5 cm² FOV, 2.5 x 2.5 mm² in-plane spatial resolution, 1 cm slice thickness, fermi pulse duration T_{RF} of 12 ms, frequency offset ω_{RF} of \pm 4.5 kHz, 10° flip angle, 200 ms TR, scans starting at 15 s after start of injection. Non-localized T_1 values were also acquired using a 500 µs hard pulse, 5° flip angle, 3 s TR, and 100 time-points. For anatomic reference, 3D bSSFP proton images (16 x 8 x 4.8 cm, 256 x 128 x 80, 5.1 ms TR, 50° flip angle) were acquired.

2.4 Phantom Experiments

All hyperpolarized phantom ($\binom{13}{15}N_2$]urea) acquisitions were also acquired as 2D coronal projections and featured the following parameters: $14 \times 7 \text{ cm}^2$ FOV, 1 x 1 mm² in-plane spatial resolution, 1.6 ms sinc pulse with a TBW = 4, 7.7 ms TR, 80-110 time-points, scans starting at 20 s after the syringe was placed into the coil.

2.5 Signal Model and Fitting

All data was reconstructed and analyzed in Matlab (MathWorks, Natick, Massachusetts, USA). The signal train for each approach was modeled using the bSSFP signal equation developed by Scheffler [40], adopted for hyperpolarized 13 C imaging (i.e. transient state with negligible T_1 recovery):

$$
M_{xy,n} = M_{z,0} * \sin \frac{\theta}{2} * \left[(\cos \frac{\theta}{2})^2 + E_2 (\sin \frac{\theta}{2})^2 \right]^n
$$
 [1]

where θ is the flip angle, $E_1 = \exp(-TR/T_1)$, $E_2 = \exp(-TR/T_2)$, and *n* is the pulse number (i.e. phase encode). During the delay portion of the T_1 mapping module and the modified MR fingerprinting approach, the magnetization was assumed to decay by $exp(-delay/T_1)$. $M_{z,0}$ corresponded to the initial longitudinal magnetization for each time-point, after accounting for the delay portion.

inferior direction was performed using rigid translation via mutual information, as described previously [41]. All fitting was done on a voxel-by-voxel basis and only voxels with fits of $R²$ >0.9 were kept. The fitting of the dual module approach acquisitions was done by first fitting the T_2 mapping portion to a single exponential fit, and then feeding in the resulting values into the fitting of the T_1 mapping portion. The fitting for the T_1 mapping portions of the dual module approach, as well as the modified MR fingerprinting, was done using nonlinear least squares with the trust-region-reflective algorithm. The initial guesses for the algorithm were based on known non-localized T_1 values for each compound, as well as previously acquired mean T_2 maps in the case of the modified MR fingerprinting. For comparison for the modified MR fingerprinting, T_1 and T_2 were fit using dictionary matching via the maximum inner product method [42], where the dictionary was created with a range of T_1 's (200 ms increments from 10-100 s), T_2 's (100 ms increments from 0.1 to 10 s), and B_1 's (2% increments from 50-150%), where B_1 is the ratio of the obtained flip angle to the desired flip angle [28].

EPI T_1 maps were calculated on a voxel-by-voxel basis by fitting to a mono-exponential curve after respiratory motion and flip angle correction. bSSFP T_2 maps were calculated as described previously [31], with the mean T_2 maps used for comparison.

3. Results

Figure 3 shows the calculated parameter maps for the hyperpolarized $[{}^{13}C,{}^{15}N_2]$ urea phantom acquisitions of all three approaches. The dual module approach with the T_2 module followed by the T_1 module is shown in parts A and B, while the dual module approach with the T_1 module followed by the T_2 module is shown parts C and D. The modified MR fingerprinting approach is shown in parts E-G. The acquisitions were similar to those shown in Figure 2, albeit with more T_1 and T_2 mapping time-points due to the longer solution state T_1 and T_2 compared to in vivo for $\left[^{13}C, ^{15}N_2\right]$ urea. The mean and intra-map standard deviation of the T_1 and T_2 maps from all three acquisitions matched up well among each other and the literature values. The B_1 map in part G, with a mean value of 1.0, matched up well with the expected profile of the syringe within the volume coil, with some drop-off near the edge of the coil as seen at the bottom of the syringe. Deviations within the maps can be attributed to some B_0 inhomogeneity along the S/I dimension.

Figure 4 shows results for an HP001 acquisition of the dual module approach $(T_2$ mapping followed by T_1 mapping), including a ¹H anatomical slice (A), representative time-point (B), T_1 map (C), EPI T_1 map (D), T_2 Map (E), and bSSFP T_2 map (F). The T_1 mapping module featured variable delays and flip angles, as seen in Figure 2A. The relevant anatomical structures are outlined, with the location being similar in all in vivo acquisitions in the study. The mean and intra-map standard deviation of the T_1 map was 44.6 ± 7.6 s, which was close to the non-localized T_1 of 37.1 s, with the T_1 distribution matching well with the EPI T_1 map in the abdomen. The T_2 maps also agreed well with each other in terms of distribution and T_2 's and mean and intra-map standard deviation. The Bland-Altman plots in Supporting Figure 1A and 1B show good agreement between the dual module and comparative

acquisitions on a voxel-by-voxel basis, with most voxels agreeing to within a few seconds (for T₁)/less than 0.5 s (for T₂) of each other, except for voxels corresponding to long T₁'s and T_2 's in the kidneys that can be attributed to both renal filtration effects and some low SNR fitting of the dual module approach. Supporting Video 1 shows all the time-points of the acquisition, along with the signal train for a representative voxel within the kidney, where rapid T_2 decay occurs first, followed by slower T_1 decay.

Figure 5 shows results for an HP001 acquisition of a different iteration of the dual module approach (T₁ mapping followed by T₂ mapping), including a ¹H anatomical slice (A), representative time-point (B), T_1 map (C), EPI T_1 Map (D), T_2 map (E), and bSSFP T_2 map (F). The T_1 mapping portion was done with a constant delay of 3 s and constant flip angle of 5° as illustrated in Figure 2B. The mean and intra-map standard deviation of the T₁ map was 30.3 ± 5.3 s, with the T₁ and T₂ distribution matching well with the EPI T₁ map and bSSFP T_2 map, respectively. As with the dual module approach in Figure 4, the Bland-Altman plots in Supporting Figure 1C and 1D show good agreement between this iteration of the dual module approach and the comparative acquisitions on a voxel-by-voxel basis, with most voxels agreeing to within a few seconds (for T_1)/less than 0.5 s (for T_2) of each other, except for voxels corresponding to long T_1 's and T_2 's in the kidneys. The T_2 maps in particular demonstrate the effects of renal filtration since the dual module T_2 map was effectively acquired at 1 minute after the start of the acquisition and compared to the start of the comparative bSSFP T_2 map, which gave rise to considerably longer T_2 values in the kidneys. Supporting Video 2 shows all the time-points of the acquisition, along with the signal train for a representative voxel within the kidney, where slower T_1 decay occurs first, followed by rapid T_2 decay.

Figure 6 shows the representative time-point, T_1 map, and T_2 map of $[^{13}C, ^{15}N_2]$ urea (A-C), [¹³C]urea (D-F), and [2⁻¹³C]pyruvate (G-H) acquired with a dual module acquisition (T₁) mapping followed by T_2 mapping). The non-localized T_1 was close to or within the mean and intra-map standard deviation of the T_1 map, and T_2 maps matched up with previously acquired maps [30,31,41], although some of the longer T_2 values in the kidneys can be attributed to renal filtration over the course of the acquisition. Even with the relatively shorter T₁ and T₂'s of [2-¹³C]pyruvate, as well as the short T₂'s of [¹³C]urea, the tailored acquisition resulted in enough high SNR time-points for accurate fitting of both parameters in the kidneys.

Figure 7 shows results for an HP001 acquisition of the modified MR fingerprinting approach and associated comparison maps, including a ${}^{1}H$ anatomical slice (A), representative timepoint (B), T_1 map (C), T_2 map (D), B_1 Map (E), EPI T_1 map (F), bSSFP T_2 map (G), Bloch-Siegert B_1 map (H), and an example signal fit from a kidney voxel (I). The mean and intramap standard deviation of the T_1 map was 32.9 ± 5.9 s, which is close to the non-localized T_1 of 37.1 s, and matches well with the EPI T_1 map. The Bland-Altman plot in Supporting Figure 1E indicates good agreement between the two acquisitions on a voxel-by-voxel basis as well, with most voxels agreeing to within a few seconds of each other, except for voxels corresponding to long T_1 's in the kidneys that can be attributed to renal filtration effects. Similarly, the calculated T_2 map matched up well with the bSSFP T_2 map based on the calculated means and intra-map standard deviations, as well as qualitatively based on the

distribution of the T₂'s within the anatomy. The Bland-Altman plot in Supporting Figure 1F also indicates good agreement between the two acquisitions on a voxel-by-voxel basis, with most voxels agreeing to less than 0.5 seconds of each other. The additional B_1 map calculated with this approach matched up with the expected coil profile for a rat heart/ abdomen acquisition and the Bloch-Siegert B_1 map within the kidneys, although deviation is visible within the liver due to potential motion effects during the readout of the Bloch-Siegert B_1 mapping. Supporting Video 3 shows all the time-points of the acquisition, along with the signal train for a representative voxel within the kidney, with the signal exhibiting initial T_1 decay and T_2 decay as part of the "mini" modules depicted in Figure 2C, followed by random flip angles and delays for the remaining time-points. Dictionary matching was also able to successfully calculate all three maps (Figure 8), although the spatial smoothness of the T_1 map was lower compared to non-linear least squares fit as evidenced by the larger intra-map standard deviation.

Table 1 shows a summary of the T_1 and T_2 values calculated here for the four compounds studied and the comparative global/gold standard/literature values. We also added values for $[1-13C]$ pyruvate due to its importance in the hyperpolarized $[13C]$ community, although we did not use the compound here.

5. Discussion and Conclusion

We have developed two successful approaches for in vivo high spatial resolution simultaneous T_1 and T_2 mapping using the bSSFP sequence: dual module approach and modified MR fingerprinting. Two iterations of the dual module approach were demonstrated, with individual T_1 and T_2 mapping portions performed in a sequential manner, and with each portion being acquired in either order. The modified MR fingerprinting successfully extracted T_1 , T_2 , and B_1 maps by fitting the acquired signal to the analytical bSSFP model after a randomized delay/flip angle acquisition. The distribution of these parameters from several HP ¹³C compounds, with a wide range of T_1 's and T_2 's, was shown within the kidneys, liver, heart, and vasculature. The approaches developed here can be used for quantitative ¹³C MRI by using T_1 and T_2 relaxometry to monitor various biological processes, such as intracellular versus extracellular uptake [44] and renal urea handling [41]. We were also able to validate the initial implementation of these approaches in vivo using EPI (for T_1 values), bSSFP (for T_2 values), and Bloch-Siegert (for B_1 values).

Each of the described approaches has advantages that can be exploited for mapping specific compounds as well as achieving the highest in vivo distribution of these parameters. The advantage of the dual module approach was the straightforward manner of extracting the T_1 and T_2 maps since each parameter was sampled individually within different modules of the acquisition. Therefore only one parameter was being fit at one time, making the data analysis much less complicated. Furthermore, the exact timing of each module can be designed prior to the acquisition based on known estimates of the parameters for a given compound, thereby allowing proper sampling of each parameter, i.e. sampling for about 2-3 T_1 's and T_2 's for accurate fitting. Of the two iterations presented here, the one with the T_1 mapping module first via the acquisition detailed in Figure 5 was seen to be the most advantageous with regards to achieving a high and accurate distribution of each parameter at

various spatial resolutions for multiple compounds. The magnetization usage of this approach was more optimal compared to acquiring the T_2 mapping module first because more magnetization remained for the T_2 mapping module as compared to the other iteration where the T_2 mapping module would use up considerable magnetization, thereby limiting the SNR of the subsequent T_1 mapping module. This is further illustrated in the Bland-Altman plot in Supporting Figure 1A, where some of the T_1 map voxels matched up poorly with the comparative EPI T_1 map due to some low SNR fits that led to some overfitting. A limitation of the dual module approach was the lack of sensitivity to high spatial resolution B1 mapping since the acquisition did not have the necessary train of flip angles necessary for calculating B_1 variation accurately [28]. A variable flip angle T_1 mapping module would be a possibility for additionally mapping B_1 , although we noticed difficulty in fitting both T_1 and B_1 simultaneously with this approach due to low SNR and limited time-points available for fitting.

The main advantage of the modified MR fingerprinting approach was the ability to map T_1 , T_2 , and B_1 at a high spatial resolution without any loss in the spatial distribution of any parameters, unlike what was seen in the dual module approach within the module that was acquired second. However, the data fitting was more difficult compared to the dual module approach since three parameters were being fit simultaneously. As with the dual module approach, the choice of temporal resolution between samples is crucial towards being sensitive in detecting the three parameters, with the set of variable flip angles and delays simulated prior to the acquisition. Based on the different schemes tried, the best results were seen with a delay ranging from 1-3 s and flip angles (theta) ranging from 20-120°, which allowed the magnetization to last for $~60-90$ time-points or $~120-180$ s (Figure 6). This scheme also featured additional "mini" T_1 and T_2 mapping modules (acquired on the order of one T_1 and one T_2) (Fig. 2C) that provided better initial estimates, as well as upper and lower bounds, for the non-linear least squares fit. This provided sufficient parameter sensitivity, due to long enough inter-imaging delays relative to the ${}^{13}C T_1$, and variation in low and high flip angles that provided additional sensitivity to T_1 (low flip angles), as well as T_2 (high flip angles) and B_1 (variation in the flip angles that induce signal oscillations). This scheme also provided a large amount of high SNR time-points, which was seen to be necessary for accurate fitting of the parameters as too few time-points, which was acquired in a few animals with long (up to 9 s) delay acquisitions or too many low flip angles, resulted in several voxels having visibly inaccurate T_1 's and T_2 's due to an inability of the algorithm to distinguish an expected fit from an inaccurate one (Supporting Fig. 2). Based on experience and literature, T_1 mapping requires \sim 2-3 T_1 of samples spaced \sim 3 s for accurate fitting. Therefore, design of the acquisition needs to have the required sensitivity to the T_1 of the probe via low flip angles and proper sampling timing. However, since SNR can be a limiting factor in all these acquisitions, and T_1 takes the longest amount of time to sample (whether in dual module approach or utilizing the "mini" modules), then T_1 becomes challenging to accurately measure when also trying to measure T_2 (and B_1) because the whole acquisition needs to be optimized to have accurate SNR and sampling to measure each parameter. T_1 measurement can also be very sensitive to the flip angle chosen as correcting for T_1 with an incorrect flip angle (even by a few degrees) can cause a change of \sim 5-10 s in the T₁ calculation. Based on these challenges, further work is needed to balance

the length of the "mini" modules with the length of the random train of delays and flip angles to generate enough high SNR time-points for fitting, as well as further optimize the random train to improve B_1 sensitivity [45].

Based on the results of all three methods presented here, we believe the most stable approach is using the dual module approach with T_1 mapping followed by T_2 mapping because of the ease and stability of the subsequent fittings. This approach appeared to be best validated by our gold standards (EPI and bSSFP) compared to the other two. One aspect of future work will focus on improving the model for more accurate fitting of the acquired in vivo data. In general, this could help resolve some of the differences seen between the developed approaches and "gold" standard acquisitions (EPI, bSSFP, Bloch-Siegert) at long T_1 and T_2 values, as illustrated by via the Bland-Altman plots. The purpose of this study was to demonstrate the feasibility of mapping multiple parameters from one acquisition, and as such we used the simple, but straightforward, bSSFP analytical model for signal fitting and a fully sampled acquisition for easy reconstruction. We did not incorporate B_0 into the model because the frequency response of our acquisitions showed minimal variations over ± 25 Hz based on the pulse width, time-bandwidth product, TR, and range of flip angles used (Supporting Fig. 3). Future acquisitions could incorporate a linear ramp of ~5 preparation pulses into the "prep" portion of the imaging sequence for additional off-resonance insensitivity [46,47]. B_0 can also be added to the model via Bloch simulations as is currently done in 1 H MR fingerprinting, at the expense of a more complicated data analysis. Additionally, based on the differences between the modified MR fingerprinting and Bloch-Siegert acquisitions, the B_1 term in the model can be considered a "nuisance" parameter that accounts for B_1 , as well as other effects, such as B_0 and motion. The signal fits and parameter maps were better with the B_1 term included compared to without, but the calculated values might be slightly off of the true B_1 measured by the Bloch-Siegert, such as ~1.1 versus ~1 in a kidney voxel as seen in Figures 7D and 7G. However, incorporation of B_0 into the model could lead to an improved B_1 estimation by allowing us to tease out the effects of B_0 and B_1 on the calculation of T_1 and T_2 .

We did not account for metabolism, such as for $[2⁻¹³C]$ pyruvate, where conversion to compounds with short T_1 's and T_2 's, such as $[2⁻¹³C]$ lactate, would result in an apparent decrease of the calculated $[2^{-13}C]$ pyruvate T_1 's and T_2 's, since we utilized an RF pulse with a wide spectral bandwidth. We also did not account for renal filtration, which causes a dynamic elongation of T_1 and T_2 in the kidneys, particularly in the renal pelvis (Fig. 6), due to a removal of red blood cells and proteins that causes T_1 and T_2 to lengthen back towards solution state values [30,41]. Metabolism can be accounted for by incorporating a metabolic conversion rate to the T_1 portion of the model as is currently done with calculation of k_{PL} [6]. Renal filtration can be accounted for within the kidney voxels by having T_1 and T_2 not be restricted to one value (representing a constant in vivo value), but to a vector of increasing values resulting in time-varying T_1 and T_2 maps. In general, the T_1 's and T_2 's measured here can be considered to be approximate or "apparent" values due to some confounding effects, such as RF transmitter variation and vascular contributions to some voxels [30].

Flow and perfusion are other sources of confounding effects that can cause deviations in relaxation measurements. The bSSFP sequence is first moment flow compensated along the frequency direction, so phase induced by flow along this direction should be fully rephased. However, flow along the phase encode direction will cause some dephasing in the voxel, which would lead to signal loss and a decrease in the apparent relaxation measurement, particularly T_2 [30]. Perfusion into tissues over the course of the imaging sequence would cause changes in the local microenvironment for a particular probe. This could have a variety of effects, such as elongation of the T_2 for urea due to perfusion within the kidneys, or perfusion into more metabolic regions of the body, which could be accounted for in the model as described above.

The clinical utility in this method can be looked at from two perspectives. One, as mentioned in the introduction, changes in these relaxation parameters can reveal differences in healthy versus diseased tissue, with a high resolution spatial distribution being necessary to identify where any abnormalities area, as demonstrated previously [32,34,41]. Second, we can use the distribution of relaxation parameters to help improve subsequent acquisitions, such as EPI or 3D bSSFP. For example, the spatial parameter information can be used to design an appropriate, on-the-fly, patient-specific, variable flip angle schedule to maximize the spatiotemporal SNR for and/or (if the same flip angle scheme is used for all patients) more accurately calculate other parameters that rely on these relaxation parameters, such as k_{PI} , post-acquisition. However, to obtain this parameter information, an additional injection would be required, which would make widespread use a challenge, although any information from patients would be beneficial for the hyperpolarized 13C community. Additionally, more work would need to be done to adapt our technique to $[1¹³C]$ pyruvate and associated metabolites due to these metabolites resonating close to one another at 3T.

Another aspect of future work will focus on adding undersampling to improve the spatial resolution. Undersampling 1H parameter mapping [21,22] and MR fingerprinting acquisitions [27-29] is commonly done to speed up these acquisitions without any loss in pattern recognition and serves as a basis for undersampling HP acquisitions. While HP acquisitions are already fast due to rapid inherent decay of the HP signal, undersampling would reduce the number of phase encodes needed for higher spatial resolution (e.g. 1 x 1 mm²), thereby preserving more magnetization for acquisition of multiple time-points. We have previously showed both 2D and 3D T_2 mapping using a locally low rank plus sparse reconstruction that featured matrix sizes of 140x70x20 (2D) and 120x60x18x20 (3D). Several types of reconstructions can be leveraged, with some initial retrospective simulations (Supporting Fig. 4) indicating both a temporal subspace and low rank reconstruction [22] and model-based reconstruction [21] being viable options going forward.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgements

We gratefully acknowledge Dr. Robert Bok, Romelyn Delos Santos, Lucas Carvajal, and Mark van Criekinge for all their help with the experiments, as well as grant support from NIH P41EB013598, R01EB017449, R01CA183071 and R01EB013427. NIH K01DK099451 supported CVM.

References

- [1]. Ardenkjaer-Larsen JH, Fridlund B, Gram A, Hansson G, Hansson L, Lerche MH, Servin R, Thaning M, Golman K, Increase in signal-to-noise ratio of > 10,000 times in liquid-state NMR., Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 100 (2003) 10158–10163. doi:10.1073/pnas.1733835100. [PubMed: 12930897]
- [2]. Kurhanewicz J, Vigneron DB, Brindle K, Chekmenev EY, Comment A, Cunningham CH, Deberardinis RJ, Green GG, Leach MO, Rajan SS, Rizi RR, Ross BD, Warren WS, Malloy CR, Analysis of cancer metabolism by imaging hyperpolarized nuclei: prospects for translation to clinical research., Neoplasia. 13 (2011) 81–97. doi:10.1593/neo.101102. [PubMed: 21403835]
- [3]. Comment A, Merritt ME, Hyperpolarized Magnetic Resonance as a Sensitive Detector of Metabolic Function, Biochemistry. 53 (2014) 7333–7357. doi:10.1021/bi501225t. [PubMed: 25369537]
- [4]. Golman K, Petersson JS, Metabolic Imaging and Other Applications of Hyperpolarized ${}^{13}C_1$, Acad. Radiol 13 (2006) 932–942. doi:10.1016/j.acra.2006.06.001. [PubMed: 16843845]
- [5]. Von Morze C, Larson PEZ, Hu S, Keshari K, Wilson DM, Ardenkjaer-Larsen JH, Goga A, Bok R, Kurhanewicz J, Vigneron DB, Imaging of blood flow using hyperpolarized $[13C]$ urea in preclinical cancer models, J. Magn. Reson. Imaging 33 (2011) 692–697. doi:10.1002/jmri.22484. [PubMed: 21563254]
- [6]. Chen HY, Larson PEZ, Bok RA, Von Morze C, Sriram R, Santos RD, Santos JD, Gordon JW, Bahrami N, Ferrone M, Kurhanewicz J, Vigneron DB, Assessing prostate cancer aggressiveness with hyperpolarized dual-agent 3D dynamic imaging of metabolism and perfusion, Cancer Res. 77 (2017) 3207–3216. doi:10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-16-2083. [PubMed: 28428273]
- [7]. Gallagher FA, Kettunen MI, Day SE, Hu D-E, Ardenkjær-Larsen JH, 't Zandt R. in, Jensen PR, Karlsson M, Golman K, Lerche MH, Brindle KM, Magnetic resonance imaging of pH in vivo using hyperpolarized 13C-labelled bicarbonate, Nature. 453 (2008) 940–943. doi:10.1038/ nature07017. [PubMed: 18509335]
- [8]. Von Morze C, Bok RA, Ohliger MA, Zhu Z, Vigneron DB, Kurhanewicz J, Hyperpolarized [¹³C]ketobutyrate, a molecular analog of pyruvate with modified specificity for LDH isoforms, Magn. Reson. Med 75 (2016) 1894–1900. doi:10.1002/mrm.25716. [PubMed: 26059096]
- [9]. Marco-Rius I, von Morze C, Sriram R, Cao P, Chang G-Y, Milshteyn E, Bok RA, Ohliger MA, Pearce D, Kurhanewicz J, Larson PEZ, Vigneron DB, Merritt M, Monitoring acute metabolic changes in the liver and kidneys induced by fructose and glucose using hyperpolarized $[2^{-13}C]$ dihydroxyacetone, Magn. Reson. Med 77 (2017) 65–73. doi:10.1002/mrm.26525. [PubMed: 27859575]
- [10]. Nelson SJ, Kurhanewicz J, Vigneron DB, Larson PEZ, Harzstark AL, Ferrone M, van Criekinge M, Chang JW, Bok R, Park I, Reed G, Carvajal L, Small EJ, Munster P, Weinberg VK, Ardenkjaer-Larsen JH, Chen AP, Hurd RE, Odegardstuen L-I, Robb FJ, Tropp J, Murray JA, Metabolic imaging of patients with prostate cancer using hyperpolarized [1-¹³C]pyruvate., Sci. Transl. Med 5 (2013) 198ra108. doi:10.1126/scitranslmed.3006070.
- [11]. Chen H-Y, Larson PEZ, Gordon JW, Bok RA, Ferrone M, Van Criekinge M, Carvajal L, Cao P, Pauly JM, Kerr AB, Park I, Slater JB, Nelson SJ, Munster PN, Aggarwal R, Kurhanewicz J, Vigneron DB, Technique development of 3D dynamic CS-EPSI for hyperpolarized ^{13}C pyruvate MR molecular imaging of human prostate cancer, Magn. Reson. Med 80 (2018) 2062–2072. doi:10.1002/mrm.27179. [PubMed: 29575178]
- [12]. Gordon JW, Chen H-Y, Autry A, Park I, Van Criekinge M, Mammoli D, Milshteyn E, Bok R, Xu D, Li Y, Aggarwal R, Chang S, Slater JB, Ferrone M, Nelson S, Kurhanewicz J, Larson PEZ, Vigneron DB, Translation of Carbon-13 EPI for hyperpolarized MR molecular imaging of prostate and brain cancer patients, Magn. Reson. Med (2018) 1–8. doi:10.1002/mrm.27549.

- [13]. Miloushev VZ, Granlund KL, Boltyanskiy R, Lyashchenko SK, Deangelis LM, Mellinghoff IK, Brennan CW, Tabar V, Yang TJ, Holodny AI, Sosa RE, Guo YW, Chen AP, Tropp J, Robb F, Keshari KR, Metabolic Imaging of the Human Brain with Hyperpolarized 13C Pyrucate Demonstrates 13C Lactate Production in Brain Tumor Patients, Cancer Res. 78 (2018) 3755– 3761. doi:10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-18-0221. [PubMed: 29769199]
- [14]. Grist JT, Mclean MA, Riemer F, Schulte RF, Deen SS, Zaccagna F, Woitek R, Daniels CJ, Kaggie JD, Matys T, Patterson I, Slough R, Gill AB, Chhabra A, Eichenberger R, Laurent M, Comment A, Gillard JH, Coles AJ, Tyler DJ, Wilkinson I, Basu B, Lomas DJ, Graves MJ, Brindle KM, Gallagher FA, Quantifying normal human brain metabolism using hyperpolarized $[1]$ - $[1]$ C pyruvate and magnetic resonance imaging, Neuroimage. 189 (2019) 171–179. doi:10.1016/ j.neuroimage.2019.01.027. [PubMed: 30639333]
- [15]. Cunningham CH, Lau JYC, Chen AP, Geraghty BJ, Perks WJ, Roifman I, Wright GA, Connelly KA, Hyperpolarized ¹³C Metabolic MRI of the Human Heart: Initial Experience, Circ. Res 119 (2016) 1177–1182. doi:10.1161/CIRCRESAHA.116.309769. [PubMed: 27635086]
- [16]. Maidens J, Member S, Gordon JW, Arcak M, Larson PEZ, Optimizing flip angles for metabolic rate estimation in hyperpolarized carbon-13 MRI, IEEE Trans. Med. Imaging 0062 (2016) 1–10. doi:10.1109/TMI.2016.2574240.
- [17]. Bottomley P, Hardy C, Argersinger R, Allen-Moore G, A review of 1H nuclear magnetic resonance relaxation in pathology: Are T_1 and T_2 diagnostic, Med. Phys 14 (1987) 1–37. doi:10.1118/1.596111. [PubMed: 3031439]
- [18]. Damadian R, Tumor Detection by Nuclear Magnetic Resonance, Science (80-.). 171 (1971) 1151–1153.
- [19]. Tofts PS, Quantitative MRI of the brain: measuring change caused by disease, John Wiley and Sons, Chichester, UK, 2003. doi:10.1002/0470869526.
- [20]. Damadian R, Zaner K, Hor D, DiMaio T, Human Tumors Detected by Nuclear Magnetic Resonance, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A 71 (1974) 1471–1473. doi:10.1073/pnas.71.4.1471. [PubMed: 4524650]
- [21]. Doneva M, Börnert P, Eggers H, Stehning C, Sénégas J, Mertins A, Compressed sensing reconstruction for magnetic resonance parameter mapping, Magn. Reson. Med 64 (2010) 1114– 1120. doi:10.1002/mrm.22483. [PubMed: 20564599]
- [22]. Tamir J.l., Uecker M, Chen W, Lai P, Alley MT, Vasanawala SS, Lustig M, T₂ shuffling: Sharp, multicontrast, volumetric fast spin-echo imaging, Magn. Reson. Med 77 (2017) 180–195. doi:10.1002/mrm.26102. [PubMed: 26786745]
- [23]. Gowland P, Mansfield P, Accurate measurement of T1 in vivo in less than 3 seconds using echoplanar imaging, Magn. Reson. Med 30 (1993) 351–354. doi:10.1002/mrm.1910300312. [PubMed: 8412607]
- [24]. Bojorquez JZ, Bricq S, Acquitter C, Brunotte F, Walker PM, Lalande A, What are normal relaxation times of tissues at 3 T?, Magn. Reson. Imaging 35 (2017) 69–80. doi:10.1016/ j.mri.2016.08.021. [PubMed: 27594531]
- [25]. Taylor AJ, Salerno M, Dharmakumar R, Jerosch-Herold M, T1 Mapping Basic Techniques and Clinical Applications, JACC Cardiovasc. Imaging 9 (2016) 67–81. doi:10.1016/ j.jcmg.2015.11.005. [PubMed: 26762877]
- [26]. Jezzard P, Duewell S, Balaban RS, MR relaxation times in human brain: measurement at 4 T., Radiology. 199 (1996) 773–779. doi:10.1148/radiology.199.3.8638004. [PubMed: 8638004]
- [27]. Ma D, Gulani V, Seiberlich N, Liu K, Sunshine JL, Duerk JL, Griswold M. a., Magnetic resonance fingerprinting, Nature. 495 (2013) 187–192. doi:10.1038/nature11971. [PubMed: 23486058]
- [28]. Buonincontri G, Sawiak SJ, MR fingerprinting with simultaneous B1 estimation, Magn. Reson. Med 76 (2016) 1127–1135. doi:10.1002/mrm.26009. [PubMed: 26509746]
- [29]. Hamilton JI, Jiang Y, Chen Y, Ma D, Lo W-C, Griswold M, Seiberlich N, MR fingerprinting for Rapid Quantification of Myocardial T_1 , T_2 , and Proton Spin Density, Magn. Reson. Med 77 (2017) 1446–1458. doi:10.1002/mrm.26216. [PubMed: 27038043]
- [30]. Reed GD, Von Morze C, Bok R, Koelsch BL, Van Criekinge M, Smith KJ, Shang H, Larson PEZ, Kurhanewicz J, Vigneron DB, High Resolution ${}^{13}C$ MRI With Hyperpolarized Urea: In Vivo T₂

Mapping and ¹⁵N Labeling Effects, IEEE Trans. Med. Imaging 33 (2014) 362–371. [PubMed: 24235273]

- [31]. Milshteyn E, Von Morze C, Reed GD, Shang H, Shin PJ, Zhu Z, Chen H, Bok R, Goga A, Kurhanewicz J, Larson PEZ, Vigneron DB, Development of high resolution 3D hyperpolarized carbon-13 MR molecular imaging techniques, Magn. Reson. Imaging 38 (2017) 152–162. doi:10.1016/j.mri.2017.01.003. [PubMed: 28077268]
- [32]. Yen YF, Le Roux P, Mayer D, King R, Spielman D, Tropp J, Pauly KB, Pfefferbaum A, Vasanawala S, Hurd R, T_2 relaxation times of ^{13}C metabolites in a rat hepatocellular carcinoma model measured in vivo using ¹³C-MRS of hyperpolarized [1-¹³C]pyruvate, NMR Biomed. 23 (2010) 414–423. doi:10.1002/nbm.1481. [PubMed: 20175135]
- [33]. Yen Y-F, Le Roux P, Bok R, Tropp J, Chen A, Zhang V, Zierhut M, Albers M, Park I, Nelson S, Vigneron D, Kurhanewicz J, Apparent T2 of 13C-labeled Metabolites In Vivo, in: Proc. 16th Annu. Meet. ISMRM, Toronto, Canada, 2008: p. 1747.
- [34]. Laustsen C, Nørlinger TS, Hansen DC, Qi H, Nielsen PM, Bertelsen LB, Ardenkjaer-larsen JH, Jørgensen HS, Hyperpolarized 13 C Urea Relaxation Mechanism Reveals Renal Changes in Diabetic Nephropathy, Magn. Reson. Med 75 (2016) 515–518. doi:10.1002/mrm.26036. [PubMed: 26584247]
- [35]. Hansen ESS, Stewart NJ, Wild JM, Stødkilde-jørgensen H, Laustsen C, Hyperpolarized ¹³C, $15N₂$ -Urea MRI for Assessment of the Urea Gradient in the Porcine Kidney, Magn. Reson. Med 76 (2016) 1895–1899. doi:10.1002/mrm.26483. [PubMed: 27670826]
- [36]. Von Morze C, Larson PEZ, Hu S, Yoshihara HA, Bok RA, Goga A, Ardenkjaer-Larsen JH, Vigneron DB, Investigating tumor perfusion and metabolism using multiple hyperpolarized 13C compounds: HP001, pyruvate and urea, Magn. Reson. Imaging 30 (2012) 305–311. doi:10.1016/ j.mri.2011.09.026. [PubMed: 22169407]
- [37]. Gordon JW, Vigneron DB, Larson PEZ, Development of a Symmetric Echo Planar Imaging Framework for Clinical Translation of Rapid Dynamic Hyperpolarized ¹³C Imaging, Magn. Reson. Med (2016) 1–7. doi:10.1002/mrm.26123.
- [38]. Sacolick LI, Wiesinger F, Hancu I, Vogel MW, B₁ mapping by Bloch-Siegert shift, Magn. Reson. Med 63 (2010) 1315–1322. doi:10.1002/mrm.22357. [PubMed: 20432302]
- [39]. Lau AZ, Chen AP, Cunningham CH, Integrated Bloch-Siegert B_1 mapping and multislice imaging of hyperpolarized 13 C pyruvate and bicarbonate in the heart, Magn. Reson. Med 67 (2012) 62–71. doi:10.1002/mrm.22977. [PubMed: 21656549]
- [40]. Scheffler K, On the transient phase of balanced SSFP sequences, Magn. Reson. Med 49 (2003) 781–783. doi:10.1002/mrm.10421. [PubMed: 12652552]
- [41]. Reed GD, von Morze C, Verkman AS, Koelsch BL, Chaumeil MM, Lustig M, Ronen SM, Bok RA, Sands JM, Larson PEZ, Wang ZJ, Larsen JHA, Kurhanewicz J, Vigneron DB, Imaging Renal Urea Handling in Rats at Millimeter Resolution using Hyperpolarized Magnetic Resonance Relaxometry, Tomography. 2 (2016) 125–137. doi:10.18383/j.tom2016.00127. [PubMed: 27570835]
- [42]. Jiang Y, Ma D, Seiberlich N, Gulani V, Griswold MA, MR Fingerprinting Using Fast Imaging with Steady State Precession (FISP) with Spiral Readout, Magn. Reson. Med 74 (2015) 1621– 1631. doi:10.1002/mrm.25559. [PubMed: 25491018]
- [43]. Bahrami N, Swisher CL, Von Morze C, Vigneron DB, Larson PEZ, Kinetic and perfusion modeling of hyperpolarized 13 C pyruvate and urea in cancer with arbitrary RF flip angles., Quant. Imaging Med. Surg 4 (2014) 24–32. doi:10.3978/j.issn.2223-4292.2014.02.02. [PubMed: 24649432]
- [44]. Karlsson M, Jensen PR, Ardenkjær-Larsen JH, Lerche MH, Difference between Extra- and Intracellular T_1 Values of Carboxylic Acids Affects the Quantitative Analysis of Cellular Kinetics by Hyperpolarized NMR, Angew. Chemie - Int. Ed 55 (2016) 13567–13570. doi:10.1002/anie.201607535.
- [45]. Cohen O, Rosen MS, Algorithm comparison for schedule optimization in MR fingerprinting, Magn. Reson. Imaging 41 (2017) 15–21. doi:10.1016/j.mri.2017.02.010. [PubMed: 28238942]
- [46]. Shang H, Sukumar S, Von Morze C, Bok RA, Marco-Rius I, Kerr A, Reed GD, Milshteyn E, Ohliger MA, Kurhanewicz J, Larson PEZ, Pauly JM, Vigneron DB, Spectrally Selective Three-

Dimensional Dynamic Balanced Steady-State Free Precession for Hyperpolarized C-13 Metabolic Imaging With Spectrally Selective Radiofrequency Pulses, Magn. Reson. Med (2016). doi:10.1002/mrm.26480.

[47]. Le Roux P, Simplified model and stabilization of SSFP sequences, J. Magn. Reson 163 (2003) 23–37. doi:10.1016/S1090-7807(03)00115-0. [PubMed: 12852904]

Highlights

- Two new approaches were developed for simultaneous T_1 and T_2 mapping of HP 13C probes based on balanced steady state free precession (bSSFP) acquisitions: a method based on sequential T_1 and T_2 mapping modules, and a model-based joint T_1/T_2 approach analogous to MR fingerprinting
- T₁ and T₂ maps acquired using both approaches were in good agreement with both literature values and data from comparative acquisitions.
- **•** Multiple HP 13C compounds were mapped, with their relaxation time parameters measured within heart, liver, kidneys, and vasculature in healthy rats.

Figure 1:

bSSFP-based methods for simultaneous T_1 and T_2 mapping of HP ¹³C probes. (A) Depiction of the bSSFP sequence used in this study, with the preparatory pulse, imaging portion, and tipback pulses for storing the magnetization during the delay portions. (B) Schematic of the dual module approach, with T_2 mapping followed by T_1 mapping. (C) Schematic of a different iteration of the dual module approach, with T_1 mapping followed by T2 mapping. (D) Schematic of the modified MR fingerprinting approach that jointly estimated T_1 , T_2 , and B_1 . The rectangles in B-D represent the image acquisition portions with the depicted θ.

Figure 2:

Depiction of representative sequence delays and flip angles used for each approach. (A) Delay and flip angles for a T_2 mapping module followed by a T_1 mapping module. The first 16 time-points represent the T_2 mapping module and featured no delay and $\theta = 180^\circ$. The rest of the time-points represent the T_1 mapping module, which featured random delays between 6 and 10 s, and a ramping variable flip angle scheme. (B) Delay and flip angles for a T_1 mapping module followed by a T_2 mapping module. The first 20 time-points represent the T₁ mapping module and featured a constant 3 s delay and $\theta = 10^{\circ}$. The rest of the timepoints represent the T₂ mapping module, which featured no delay and $\theta = 180^{\circ}$. (C) Delay and flip angles for a modified MR fingerprinting approach. The first 8 time-points featured a constant 3 s delay and $\theta = 10^{\circ}$ and served as a "mini" T₁ mapping module. The next 22 time-points featured no delay and $\theta = 180^\circ$ and served as a "mini" T₂ mapping module. The rest of the time-points featured random delays between 1 and 3 s, and random flip angles between 20° and 120°.

Figure 3:

Resulting T₁, T₂, and B₁ maps in a hyperpolarized $[^{13}C, ^{15}N_2]$ urea phantom for all three types of acquisitions described. (A,B) T_1 and T_2 maps for a dual module acquisition (T₂) mapping module followed by a T_1 mapping module). The acquisition was similar to the one depicted in Figure 2A, except with more T_2 time-points acquired due to the long solution state T_2 of the compound. (C,D) T_1 and T_2 maps for a different iteration of the dual module acquisition (T_1 mapping module followed by a T_2 mapping module). The acquisition was similar to the one depicted in Figure 2B, except with more T_1 and T_2 time-points acquired due to the long solution state T_1 and T_2 of the compound. (E-G) T_1 , T_2 , and B_1 maps for a

modified MR fingerprinting approach, with the acquisition similar to the one depicted in Figure 2C, except with more T_1 and T_2 time-points acquired due to the long solution state T_1 and T_2 of the compound. For all three acquisitions, the resulting mean \pm intra-map standard deviation agreed well with the literature value for all the T_1 and T_2 maps. The B_1 also had a mean of 1.0, which is expected with a stationary syringe centered in a volume coil. Deviations in values in the S/I direction for all maps can be attributed to some B_0 inhomogeniety, as well as some B_1 drop-off at the edge of the coil.

Figure 4:

Depiction of a ¹H anatomical slice (A), representative time-point (B), T_1 map (C), EPI T_1 Map (D), dual module T_2 map (E), and individually acquired T_2 map (F) for a dual module (T₂ mapping followed by T₁ mapping) HP001 acquisition in a normal Sprague-Dawley rat with 2.5 x 2.5 mm² in-plane spatial resolution ($N = 3$). The acquisition featured both a variable delay and flip angle during the T_1 mapping module, as seen in Figure 2A. The distribution as well as mean and intra-map standard deviation of the dual module T_1 map (C) matched up well with the EPI T_1 map (D), with the highest T_1 values seen within the kidneys. The T_2 maps in E and F also matched up well in terms of distribution and mean and intra-map standard deviation.

Figure 5:

Depiction of a ¹H anatomical slice (A), representative time-point (B), T_1 map (C), EPI T_1 Map (D), dual module T_2 map (E), and individually acquired T_2 map (F) for a dual module (T₁ mapping followed by T₂ mapping) HP001 acquisition in a normal Sprague-Dawley rat with 2.5 x 2.5 mm² in-plane spatial resolution (N = 3). The distribution within the T₁ maps in parts C and D matched up well with each other within the liver and kidneys. The T_2 maps in parts E and F also matched up well, with renal filtration over the course of the acquisition resulting in comparatively longer T_2 's in the kidneys of part E.

Figure 6:

Depiction of a representative time-point, T_1 map, and T_2 map for $[^{13}C, ^{15}N_2]$ urea (A-C), [¹³C]urea (D-F), and [2-¹³C]pyruvate (G-I) from a dual module acquisition (T₁ mapping followed by T_2 mapping) (N = 1 for all compounds). The T_1 maps matched up well with the global T_1 as well as the HP001 T_1 maps in Figures 4-5, with the longest T_1 's seen in the kidneys. The T_2 maps of each compound matched up well with previously acquired maps, with the longest T_2 values seen in the kidneys due to renal filtration. Additionally, the $[^{13}C,$ ¹⁵N₂]urea maps showed the capability of 1.25 x 1.25 mm² spatial resolution for T_1/T_2 mapping. For ¹H anatomical image, see Figure 7A.

Figure 7:

Depiction of a ¹H anatomical slice (A), representative time-point (B), T_2 map (C), T_1 map (D), and B_1 Map (E), and for a modified MR fingerprinting HP001 acquisition in a normal Sprague-Dawley rat with 2.5 x 2.5 mm² in-plane spatial resolution ($N = 3$). The fitting was done using nonlinear least squares via the trust-region-reflective algorithm. An EPI T_1 map (F), bSSFP T_2 map (G), and Bloch-Siegert B_1 map (H) (resized for display purposes) are shown here for comparison. The distribution in the modified MR fingerprinting T_1 and T_2 maps match up well with the comparison maps, as well as maps in Figures 4 and 5. The B_1 map matches up well with the Bloch-Siegert map and with the expected coil profile of a rat acquisition, although some deviations do exist within the liver and kidneys. An example signal fit from a kidney voxel (I) is also shown here, indicating a good agreement between the acquired signal and least-squares fit.

Figure 8:

Depiction of a representative time-point (A), T_2 map (B), T_1 map (C), B_1 Map (D), and an example signal fit from a kidney voxel (E) for the same acquisition as Figure 7 (HP001 acquisition in a normal Sprague-Dawley rat with $2.5 \times 2.5 \text{ mm}^2$ in-plane spatial resolution). The fitting was done using dictionary matching via the inner product method. The distribution in the T_2 and B_1 maps match up well with maps in Figure 7, while the T_1 map shows considerable difference from the T_1 map in Figure 7, with lower spatial smoothness and overestimation of the T_1 values based on the mean and intra-map standard deviation.

Table 1:

Compilation of T_1 and T_2 values calculated in this publication (Meas.) and comparative global/literature values (Global/Lit.). These values are for health Sprague-Dawley rats acquired at 3T. We also added literature values of $[1$ ⁻¹³C]pyruvate due its widespread use in HP¹³C research and clinical trials. These values are strongly reflective of kidney parameter values, particularly T_2 , and some discrepancies may be due to renal filtration (see discussion).

