Title
Rapid loss of dendritic spines after stress involves derangement of spine dynamics by corticotropin-releasing hormone.

Permalink
https://escholarship.org/uc/item/4nn6t2qd

Journal
The Journal of Neuroscience, 28(11)

ISSN
0270-6474

Authors
Chen, Yuncai
Dubé, Céline M
Rice, Courtney J
et al.

Publication Date
2008-03-01

DOI
10.1523/JNEUROSCI.0225-08.2008

License
CC BY 4.0

Peer reviewed
Development/Plasticity/Repair

Rapid Loss of Dendritic Spines after Stress Involves Derangement of Spine Dynamics by Corticotropin-Releasing Hormone

Yuncai Chen,1 Céline M. Dubé,1 Courtney J. Rice,2 and Tallie Z. Baram1,2
Departments of 1Pediatrics and 2Anatomy/Neurobiology, University of California Irvine, Irvine, California 92697-4475

Chronic stress causes dendritic regression and loss of dendritic spines in hippocampal neurons that is accompanied by deficits in synaptic plasticity and memory. However, the responsible mechanisms remain unresolved. Here, we found that within hours of the onset of stress, the density of dendritic spines declined in vulnerable dendritic domains. This rapid, stress-induced spine loss was abolished by blocking the receptor (CRFR1) of corticotropin-releasing hormone (CRH), a hippocampal neuropeptide released during stress. Exposure to CRH provoked spine loss and dendritic regression in hippocampal organotypic cultures, and selective blockade of the CRFR1 receptor had the opposite effect. Live, time-lapse imaging revealed that CRH reduced spine density by altering dendritic spine dynamics: the peptide selectively and reversibly accelerated spine retraction, and this mechanism involved destabilization of spine F-actin. In addition, mice lacking the CRFR1 receptor had augmented spine density. These findings support a mechanistic role for CRH–CRFR1 signaling in stress-evoked spine loss and dendritic remodeling.
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Introduction
Chronic stress leads to reversible dendritic dedifferentiation in hippocampal neurons (Magarinos and McEwen, 1995). This effect is important because it is generally accompanied by, and perhaps underlies, loss of synaptic plasticity and cognitive impairment (McEwen, 1999; Sapolsky, 2000; Fenoglio et al., 2006). The mechanisms by which stress causes these structural alterations of hippocampal (and select cortical) (Radley et al., 2006) neurons are not fully understood. Molecules that are activated during stress, including glucocorticoid receptors, have been found to contribute to stress-induced dendritic remodeling, as have NMDA receptors and tissue plasminogen activator (Magarinos and McEwen, 1995; Pawlak et al., 2005).

In addition to glucocorticoids, the prototypic stress hormones, other extracellular factors are activated by stress within hippocampus, and are thus candidate mediators of dendritic changes in hippocampal pyramidal cells. These include corticotropin-releasing hormone (CRH), which is expressed in hippocampal interneurons (Swanson et al., 1983; Chen et al., 2001) and is released into the extracellular space during a variety of stressors (Merali et al., 1998; Chen et al., 2004a, 2006a; Khan et al., 2004). CRH interacts primarily with CRH receptor type 1 (CRFR1) expressed on pyramidal cell dendrites (Chalmers et al., 1995; Chen et al., 2000, 2004a,b; Van Pett et al., 2000). Activation of this receptor has recently been shown to be necessary for stress-evoked immediate-early gene expression in populations of CA1 and CA3 pyramidal cells of immature and adult hippocampus (Chen et al., 2006). These facts raised the possibility that extracellular CRH might mediate stress-evoked dendritic regression, a notion further supported by finding that, in mice lacking CRFR1, dendritic length and branching were exuberant in hippocampal neurons (Chen et al., 2004a).

Dendritic integrity may be governed by the presence and functions of dendritic spines. Spines, important for synaptic function and plasticity (Segal, 2005), are specialized structures that perform distinct functions including calcium signaling (Koester and Sakmann, 1998; Mainen et al., 1999; Augustine et al., 2003; Yuste and Bonhoeffer, 2004; Calabrese and Halpain, 2005). Changes in both the number and shape of spines may govern synaptic plasticity (Zhou et al., 2004; Calabrese and Halpain, 2005; Segal, 2005; Chen et al., 2007). The balance of spine formation and retraction (spine dynamics) (Maletic-Savatic et al., 1999; Engert and Bonhoeffer, 1999; Fu et al., 2007) influences dendritic integrity (Nägerl et al., 2004; Zhou et al., 2004). Spines are regulated by extracellular factors including neurotransmitters, growth factors, and hormones, that, in turn, are governed by environmental signals including stress (Calabrese and Halpain, 2005; Segal, 2005). Thus, a derangement of spine dynamics that favors loss of spines is a plausible candidate mechanism for stress-evoked dendritic atrophy and associated synaptic dysfunction (Brunson et al., 2005).

Combining in vivo, organotypic culture and live-imaging experiments, we found that exposure of adult mice to restraint stress reduced spine density in dendrites of CA3 neurons already...
within hours. This rapid, stress-induced spine loss in selective dendritic domains was blocked by a specific inhibitor of CRFR1. In addition, chronic exposure of hippocampal neurons to CRH reduced spine density in stress-vulnerable domains of pyramidal cells via activation of CRFR1. As expected, mice lacking CRFR1 had increased dendritic spine densities in the same apical dendritic regions. Live imaging of yellow fluorescent protein (YFP)-expressing neurons demonstrated that CRH-mediated activation of CRFR1 caused rapid and reversible acceleration in the rate of spine elimination, without altering the appearance of new spines. This effect was blocked by a selective CRFR1 antagonist and involved enhanced activation of the F-actin regulating protein, cofilin. Together, these findings support a mechanistic role for CRFR1, and its endogenous ligands in stress-evoked dendritic remodeling.

**Materials and Methods**

Animals. B6.Cg-TgN transgenic mice expressing YFP under the Thy1 promoter (Thy1-YFP; The Jackson Laboratory, Bar Harbor, ME) were used on postnatal day 0 (P0) to P14 or as adults (3 months of age). In addition, YFP-expressing CRFR1 mutant mice (YFP/CRFR1−/− mice) were generated by crossing C57BL background CRFR1−/− mice [courtesy of M. Stenzel-Poore (Oregon Health & Science University, Portland, OR), F. Holsboer, and W. Wurst (Max Planck Institute of Psychiatry, Munich, Germany)] with B6.Cg-TgN mice to generate YFP/CRFR1−/− breeding pairs. Progeny of the breeding pairs were genotyped by PCR analysis (Preil et al., 2001), and YFP/CRFR1−/− mice and their wild-type YFP-expressing littermates were compared on P7, P14, or at 3 months of age. Organotypic slice cultures were derived from these strains. Adult males only were used to avoid cyclic fluctuations of spine densities that occur in females (Woolley et al. 1990).

Animals were born and maintained in a quiet, uncrowded, National Institutes of Health (NIH)-approved facility on a 12-hour light/dark cycle, with ad libitum access to lab chow and water. Efforts were made to minimize the number of animals used and their suffering. All experiments were approved by University Animal Care Committees and conformed to NIH guidelines.

Organotypic slice cultures. Hippocampal slice cultures were prepared from P1 mice as described previously (Chen et al., 2004a). Briefly, after decapitation, mouse brains were removed and placed in minimal essential medium (1× MEM; Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA), and a block of tissue including entorhinal cortex and hippocampus was dissected from adhering tissue. Transverse slices (300 μm) were cut (McIlwain tissue chopper; Mickle Lab, Gomshall, UK). YFP-expressing sections were placed onto Millicell-CM culture membranes (Millipore, Bedford, MA), and then transferred to six-well plates filled with 1.2 ml of culture medium (0.5× MEM, 25% horse serum, 25% HBSS, 30 mg sodium gluconate, 7.5% NaHCO3, and 0.01% ascorbic acid, pH 7.2). Cultures were incubated in a humidified, 5% CO2 atmosphere at 36°C for 4–14 d. Application of CRH and CRFR1 antagonist. To study the effects of chronic exposure to CRH on dendritic spines and the role of CRFR1 in these CRH actions, synthetic rat/human CRH (100 nM; Bachem, King of Prussia, PA) or the CRFR1 antagonist 2,5-dimethyl-3-(6-dimethyl-4-methylpyridin-3-yl)-7-dipropylamino-pyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine (NBI 30775) (1 μM; previously R121919; a generous gift from Dr. D. E. Grigoriadis, Neurocrine Biosciences Inc., San Diego, CA) were applied to the culture medium on DIV 2 as well as to refreshed medium. After 6 or 13 d, cultures were fixed in fresh 4% paraformaldehyde for 30 min and processed for fluorescent immunocytochemistry. To examine whether degradation of F-actin was involved in the effects of CRH on spine retraction, CRH was applied on DIV 6, and cultures were harvested 5, 10, or 30 min later. Each experiment included equal numbers of cultures from control and CRH-treated groups, derived from the same mouse pups, and the data are described per mouse (n = 5 mice per group).

Fixed cultures were imaged using a Zeiss (Oberkochen, Germany) LSM510 Meta or Bio-Rad (Hercules, CA) Radiance 2000 confocal scanning systems. Dendritic trees and spines of CA3 pyramidal neurons were captured using “z-stack” three-dimensional projections (12–16 optical slices; 2 μm each) and files were converted to Adobe Photoshop. Microscope objectives used were a dry 20×, numerical aperture (NA) 0.75.
addition, the potential effects of NBI 30775 itself on spines was evaluated by infusing the compound for 30 min. To avoid phototoxicity, dendrites of YFP-expressing CA3 pyramidal neurons were imaged using a Zeiss LSM510 Meta Mai-Tai two-photon scanning system with excitation wavelength of 920 nm. Under these conditions, repetitive imaging did not affect the morphology or viability of YFP-expressing neurons, dendrites, and spines (see Fig. 4). Based on the established localization of the effects of stress and CRH (Chen et al., 2004a; Brunson et al., 2005), we focused on the apical dendrites of CA3 pyramidal cells and obtained z-stacks (12–20 optical slices; 2 μm/slice) of 100-μm-long dendritic segments. Stress had little effect on the most proximal segments (0–50 μm from stratum lucidum). Therefore, we focused on the dendritic density of the third- and fourth-order dendritic branches (−50–100 μm within stratum radiatum).

In vivo stress paradigms. Three-month-old Thy1-YFP mice (three to six mice per group) experienced acute variable stress (Chen et al., 2004a, 2006). Briefly, mice were placed in a restrainer fashioned from a 50 ml plastic cylindrical tube for 4–5 h, and also subjected to concurrent jostling and noise arising from placing the restrainers on a laboratory rotator. To investigate the role of CRH in stress-induced spine loss, the CRFR1, antagonist NBI 30775 (15 μg in 1 μl) was infused into the lateral ventricle (intracerebroventricularly) of a group of mice 30 min before the stress. This was accomplished via cannulas implanted 6–7 d earlier as described previously (Chen et al., 2004b, 2006). Stressed animals were killed immediately after the end of the stress, and controls were killed under relatively "stress-free" conditions (Chen et al., 2001).

Experimental groups included the following: (1) stress-free (nonstressed mice with or without cannula insertion); these two subgroups were combined because the cannula did not alter spine density; (2) stress (with or without vehicle administration); the vehicle infusion did not alter spine density so that these two subgroups were combined; and (3) stressed animals that received NBI 30775. The stress paradigm increased plasma corticosterone levels to a similar degree regardless of the central administration of NBI 30775 (Chen et al., 2006), indicating that the intracerebroventricularly applied CRFR1 antagonist did not interact with pituitary CRH receptors and did not interfere with the systemic response to stress.

Immunocytochemistry. Brains or cultures were sectioned transversely (20 μm) using a cryostat, and single or double immunocytochemistry was performed on free-floating sections (Chen et al., 2004a,b). Antibodies included mouse anti-GFP (1:800; Sigma, St. Louis, MO) and rabbit anti-phospho-Cofilin 2 (Ser3; 1:1000; Upstate Biotechnology, Lake Placid, NY). Antibody binding was visualized with anti-mouse IgG conjugated to Alexa Fluor 488 or Alexa Fluor 568 anti-rabbit IgG (1:200; Invitrogen).

Image analysis of dendritic branches and spines. All analyses were performed without knowledge of treatment group. YFP-expressing individual CA3 pyramidal neurons were reconstructed and drawn using a Zeiss LSM Image Browser and Adobe Photoshop. For cultures, 10–14 neurons per group were analyzed, 50% each from CA3a and CA3b and including (Nikon), and an oil-immersion 40×, NA 1.3 (Olympus, Tokyo, Japan) for capturing dendritic branches and spines, respectively. The 2 μm optical sections were usually collected at an additional electronic zoom factor of 1.6× for dendrites and 3.2× for spines.

Live imaging studies. To study the dynamic effects of CRH on dendritic spines, cultures (DIV 5–6) were transferred to a superfusion chamber for dendrites and 3.2× for spines. Stress had little effect on the most proximal segments (0–50 μm from stratum lucidum). Therefore, we focused on the dendritic density of the third- and fourth-order dendritic branches (−50–100 μm within stratum radiatum).

In vivo stress paradigms. Three-month-old Thy1-YFP mice (three to six mice per group) experienced acute variable stress (Chen et al., 2004a, 2006). Briefly, mice were placed in a restrainer fashioned from a 50 ml plastic cylindrical tube for 4–5 h, and also subjected to concurrent jostling and noise arising from placing the restrainers on a laboratory rotator. To investigate the role of CRH in stress-induced spine loss, the CRFR1 antagonist NBI 30775 (15 μg in 1 μl) was infused into the lateral ventricle (intracerebroventricularly) of a group of mice 30 min before the stress. This was accomplished via cannulas implanted 6–7 d earlier as described previously (Chen et al., 2004b, 2006). Stressed animals were killed immediately after the end of the stress, and controls were killed under relatively "stress-free" conditions (Chen et al., 2001).

Experimental groups included the following: (1) stress-free (nonstressed mice with or without cannula insertion); these two subgroups were combined because the cannula did not alter spine density; (2) stress (with or without vehicle administration); the vehicle infusion did not alter spine density so that these two subgroups were combined; and (3) stressed animals that received NBI 30775. The stress paradigm increased plasma corticosterone levels to a similar degree regardless of the central administration of NBI 30775 (Chen et al., 2006), indicating that the intracerebroventricularly applied CRFR1 antagonist did not interact with pituitary CRH receptors and did not interfere with the systemic response to stress.

Immunocytochemistry. Brains or cultures were sectioned transversely (20 μm) using a cryostat, and single or double immunocytochemistry was performed on free-floating sections (Chen et al., 2004a,b). Antibodies included mouse anti-GFP (1:8000; Sigma, St. Louis, MO) and rabbit anti-phospho-Cofilin 2 (Ser3; 1:1000; Upstate Biotechnology, Lake Placid, NY). Antibody binding was visualized with anti-mouse IgG conjugated to Alexa Fluor 488 or Alexa Fluor 568 anti-rabbit IgG (1:200; Invitrogen).

Image analysis of dendritic branches and spines. All analyses were performed without knowledge of treatment group. YFP-expressing individual CA3 pyramidal neurons were reconstructed and drawn using a Zeiss LSM Image Browser and Adobe Photoshop. For cultures, 10–14 neurons per group were analyzed, 50% each from CA3a and CA3b and including (Nikon), and an oil-immersion 40×, NA 1.3 (Olympus, Tokyo, Japan) for capturing dendritic branches and spines, respectively. The 2 μm optical sections were usually collected at an additional electronic zoom factor of 1.6× for dendrites and 3.2× for spines.

Live imaging studies. To study the dynamic effects of CRH on dendritic spines, cultures (DIV 5–6) were transferred to a superfusion chamber maintained at 36°C via a heating platform (Warner Instruments, Hamden, CT) to avoid hypothermia-related spine loss (Roelands and Matus, 2004). The chamber was mounted on an upright Zeiss microscope, and slices were superfused with culture medium saturated with 95% O2 and 5% CO2. CRH (100 nm) or vehicle was infused for 30 min (1 ml/min) followed by a normal medium washout. When used, the CRFR1, antagonist NBI 30775 (1 μM) was infused 5–10 min before CRH application. In
equal representation of long- and short-shaft populations. For *in vivo* studies, neurons were chosen using systematic unbiased sampling from the middle third of the anterior/posterior extent of the hippocampus (8–12 neurons per mouse) (Chen et al., 2001).

Dendritic branching was evaluated using Sholl analysis, measuring total dendritic length and number of intersections at concentric circles at increasing distance from the soma (data not shown) (Chen et al., 2004a). Spine density was quantified comparing dendritic branches of the same order. Spines were identified and characterized (Hering and Sheng, 2001), and then drawn and filopodia were excluded. Spine density was expressed as the number of spines per 10 or 100 μm of dendrite length. No correction factors were applied to the spine counts because high-magnification neuronal reconstruction permitted all spines of a given dendritic segment to be visualized.

*Analysis of spine dynamics.* Newly formed spines were defined as those that were absent at one time point, emerged at a later time point, and remained for the duration of imaging. Ellimated (retracted) spines were defined as those that were present at one time point but not at a later one, and that did not reappear. Transient spines, present at a single time point only, were excluded from analysis. Changes in spine shape were not considered in the current studies.

*Analysis of phospho-cofilin immunoreactive puncta.* Confocal images of phospho-cofilin (pCofilin) immunoreactive puncta and of YFP-labeled dendritic branches were obtained using identical settings for pinhole size, brightness, and contrast. At least 20 optical sections per group (1 μm; covering a 32 × 32 μm² area) were collected from CA3a stratum radiatum. Images were converted to grayscale, and identified puncta (0.2–1 μm²) were quantified using the ImageTool software program (version 1.27; University of Texas Health Science Center, San Antonio, TX).

*Statistical analysis.* Differences among groups were compared using one-way or two-way ANOVA (for genotype/treatment and distance) and Bonferroni’s *post hoc* analysis (Prism; Graph Pad, San Diego, CA). Dendritic length and corticosterone were compared using Student’s *t* test. Significance levels were set at 0.05, and data are expressed as mean ± SEM.

**Results**

**Stress-induced spine loss in adult hippocampus is rapid, evident already within hours**

Stress leads to dendritic remodeling in the hippocampus, and stress-induced spine loss of pyramidal neurons may be a key mediator of this effect (Pawlak et al., 2005; Donohue et al., 2006). To examine whether stress-induced hippocampal spine loss might be an early step in the process by which stress promotes dendritic remodeling, adult mice possessing hippocampal neurons expressing YFP controlled by the Thy-1 promoter (Fig. 1), were exposed to a 5 h restraint stress. At the end of this stress experience, spine densities on apical dendrites of CA3 pyramidal cells were lower than those of nonstressed controls (Fig. 1C,D). This finding suggested that stress-evoked spine loss, typically found after chronic stress (McEwen, 1999; Donohue et al., 2006), may be a rapid and dynamic process in adult hippocampus.

Stress-induced spine loss in selective dendritic domains requires activation of the CRH receptor CRFR₁.

The rapid, stress-induced reduction of spine density in apical dendrites of CA3 neurons was significant primarily on the third- and fourth-order dendritic branches (stress-free vs stress: 14.39 ± 0.80 vs 10.56 ± 0.65 spines/10 μm; *p* < 0.05) (Fig. 1C,D), the main postsynaptic target of excitatory commissural/associational fibers. In contrast, spine density in the distal dendrites was not influenced by this acute stress (stress-free vs stress: 9.41 ± 0.82 vs 8.96 ± 0.35 spines/10 μm; *p* = 0.56 in the fifth and sixth dendritic branches) (Fig. 1C,E). Interestingly, stress reduced spine density in the same dendritic domains where spine density was significantly increased in mutant mice lacking CRFR₁, the receptor for the stress-activated neuropeptide CRH (YFP/CRFR₁⁻/⁻; *F*(1,68) = 19.89, *p* < 0.0001) (Fig. 2). CRH is robustly expressed in interneurons within the hippocampal pyramidal cell layers (Chen et al., 2001) and is released during stress into the hippocampal intercellular space (Chen et al., 2004b; 2006). In addition, the principal hippocampal receptor for CRH, CRFR₂, is located on dendritic spines (Chen et al., 2004b). These facts suggested that CRH–CRFR₂ signaling might be involved in the effects of stress on dendritic spine integrity. To examine this possibility directly, we infused the selective CRFR₂ antagonist NBI 30775 (15 μg in 1 μl) into the lateral cerebral ventricles of adult...
on apical dendrites of CA3 pyramidal neurons ($F_{(1,60)} = 10.74; p = 0.001$). The distribution of CRH-provoked spine loss mirrored the effects of stress, being maximal in the third- and fourth-order dendritic branches (Fig. 3A,B) ($n = 10$ neurons per group; $p < 0.05$). This finding indicated that, chronically, CRH suppresses spine numbers in a pattern virtually identical with that induced by stress. As expected, growing hippocampal organotypic slice cultures in the chronic presence of the selective CRFR1 antagonist NBI 30775 led to increased density of spines on apical dendrites of CA3 pyramidal cells. In addition, this increase was selective to dendritic domains influenced by both CRH and stress (Fig. 3C,D).

**CRH disrupts balanced spine dynamics by selective acceleration of spine elimination**

We then examined whether the rapid (within hours) reduction of spine density, induced by stress, could be reproduced by exposure to CRH. Spine density is governed by the dynamic formation of new spines and the disappearance (presumably retraction) of existing ones (Yuste and Bonhoeffer, 2004; Holtmaat et al., 2005; Segal, 2005). CRH could influence spine density by blunting spine formation, accelerating spine retraction, or a combination of these processes. To examine the dynamics of dendritic spines, we used time-lapse imaging of organotypic hippocampal slice cultures, using two-photon microscopy. In Thy1-YFP-expressing pyramidal neurons, dendrites were stable for the duration of the imaging period (up to 120 min), whereas spine distribution was dynamic (Fig. 4). The formation and the retraction of spines occurred at similar rates under the imaging conditions (Fig. 4C), resulting in a stable spine density (Fig. 4D). Superfusion of 100 nM CRH for 30 min resulted in a significant acceleration of spine retraction (Fig. 5A-C) with little effect on the rate of spine formation. This effect deranged spine dynamics, resulting in a net loss of dendritic spines (Fig. 5D). Notably, these actions of CRH were reversible: a 30 min washout period led to reduced rate of spine elimination and a gradual recovery of spine density (Fig. 5C,D). As shown in Figure 6, the presence of the selective antagonist of CRFR1, NBI 30775 abolished the actions of the peptide on spine elimination, whereas acute exposure to the antagonist alone had no effect on spine dynamics (Fig. 6A). In addition, CRH administration did not reduce spine density in hippocampal slices from mice lacking the CRH receptor CRFR1, (at 0 min vs at 30 min from CRH application: $47.04 \pm 3.76$ vs $46.74 \pm 4.04$ spines/100 μm; $p = 0.85$; $n = 7$ neurons from 3 YFP/CRFR1−/− mice). Together, these two observations support the specific role of CRFR1 occupancy in the effects of CRH on spine disappearance.

**CRH reduces spine density in apical dendrites of stress-vulnerable CA3 pyramidal cells**

To study how CRH–CRFR1 signaling might contribute to a rapid stress-induced spine loss, we used hippocampal organotypic slice cultures. We first exposed the cultures to CRH (100 nM) for 7 or 13 d, and found that this treatment reduced the density of spines 30 min before the onset of stress, and then examined apical dendritic spines densities of CA3 pyramidal neurons. The CRFR1 antagonist abolished the stress-induced decline of spine density (stress vs stress plus antagonist: $10.56 \pm 0.65$ vs $13.83 \pm 1.19$ spines/10 μm; $p < 0.05$), indicating that activation of CRFR1, most likely by its endogenous ligand, CRH, is a necessary component of this rapid, stress-induced reduction of spine density.
CRH-induced acceleration of dendritic spine elimination involves regulation of F-actin
Spine retraction is mediated by a host of molecular cascades (Tada and Sheng, 2006; Fu et al., 2007). However, the rapidity of CRH-induced spine elimination suggested that the underlying mechanisms should operate within minutes. Spine integrity and morphology are modified within this timeframe during synaptic potentiation and depression (Zhou et al., 2004; Chen et al., 2007; Fu et al., 2007) associated with learning and memory processes (Bliss and Collingridge, 1993, Chen et al., 2007). Formation of polymerized, filamentous actin (F-actin) and the destabilization of this actin species by selective regulatory proteins provide a final common pathway for spine remodeling (Penzes et al., 2003; Tada and Sheng, 2006). Therefore, we examined whether the regulation of F-actin within dendritic spines changed after CRH application. We focused on activation (dephosphorylation) of the F-actin destabilizing protein cofilin, because the phosphorylation state of this protein changes within minutes by signals impacting spine stability (Chen et al., 2007).

In control organotypic hippocampal cultures, pCofilin was amply detectable within YFP-expressing dendritic spines (Fig. 7A). Within 5–10 min of exposure to 100 nM CRH, a significant reduction in pCofilin puncta within spines was apparent (Fig. 7B, C), denoting dephosphorylation of pCofilin and generation of the active form that contributes to disassociation of monomers from F-actin. The decline in pCofilin-immunoreactive puncta progressed with time (Fig. 7D), and the reduced number of pCofilin puncta per spine was significant even after accounting for the CRH-induced decrease in total spine density (Fig. 7D, right panel).

Discussion
The principal findings presented here are as follows: Stress reduces spine density within hours in vulnerable dendritic domains of hippocampal pyramidal cells. Blocking the principal receptor for the stress-activated neuropeptide, CRH, abrogates this rapid, stress-evoked spine loss; conversely, mice lacking CRFR1, have increased spine density in the same, stress-vulnerable dendritic domains. CRH activates CRFR1, to reduce spine density by accelerating spine retraction, an effect associated with activation of F-actin-destabilizing molecular cascades within the spine. Together, these findings indicate that CRH-mediated spine retraction contributes critically to stress-induced spine loss and associated dendritic atrophy.

Dendritic spines and synaptic function
Dendritic spines form, retract, and change their morphology in both developing and mature brain (Engert and Bonhoeffer, 1999; Segal, 2005; Park et al., 2006), and these dynamic changes constitute a structural component of synaptic plasticity (Calabrese and Halpain, 2005; Lin et al., 2005; Chen et al., 2007). Reduced spine number, such as resulting from stress-induced spine retraction, reduces the total postsynaptic area of excitatory synapses, influencing receptor density, synaptic signaling (Kennedy et al., 2005), and the number of functional excitatory synapses (Lin et al., 2005; Fu et al., 2007). These changes, in turn, may influence synaptic plasticity (Chen et al., 2007), as supported by the association of new spines and activated synapses (Engert and Bonhoeffer, 1999) and of spine loss with long-term depression (Nägerl et al., 2004; Okamoto et al., 2004; Zhou et al., 2004). Synaptic plasticity is generally believed to underlie hippocampus-mediated learning and memory functions (Bliss and Collingridge, 1993; Roman et al., 1987; Lin et al., 2005). Therefore, the increase in spine retraction provoked by stress and involving CRH may provide a neurobiological foundation for the established adverse actions of chronic stress (Kim and Diamond, 2002; Alfarez et al., 2003; Brunson et al., 2005) and of CRH (Brunson et al., 2001) on...
long-term potentiation and on hippocampus-mediated learning and memory.

Selective effects of stress and CRH on spine density
In this respect, it is interesting to note that both the stress- and the CRH-induced spine and dendritic losses were most apparent in a spatially circumscribed region within the apical dendrites of hippocampal pyramidal cells: The third- and fourth-order dendrites branches reside in stratum radiatum and provide postsynaptic anchoring sites for axons of the commissural/associational fibers. Whereas the basis of the specific vulnerability of these regions is unclear, reduced spine density in these regions predicts selective derangement of synaptic function in these pathways after stress. Indeed, profound deficits in commissural/associational synapses, have been recently demonstrated after chronic early-life stress (Brunson et al., 2005). Together, this body of evidence supports a high relevance of the CRH–CRFR1 interaction in the effects of stress on spine and dendritic integrity. In addition to stress, CRH-mediated spine loss and the associated dendritic regression may contribute to the dendritic atrophy and cognitive decline associated with a number of human conditions. These include aging (Schierhorn, 1981; Arancio and Chao, 2007) and chronic depression, a disorder associated with high brain CRH levels and hippocampal dendritic atrophy (Nemeroff, 2004). Recently, CRH has been implicated in the molecular pathology of Alzheimer’s disease (Holtzman, 2007). In addition, dendritic atrophy and spine loss characterize several developmental neurological disorders, including autism and Rett syndrome (Armstrong, 2001), and might operate in the hippocampus as well. Alternatively, RhoA, when constitutively expressed, promotes spine elimination (Govek et al., 2005; Sfakianos et al., 2007), although this might be a result of its redistribution away from the spine (Schubert et al., 2006). Because CRH provokes spine disintegration, it is reasonable to consider RhoA as a plausible key signaling molecule between CRFR1 activation and the actin cytoskeleton of the spine.

The rapidity of these actions of CRH on spine integrity also raises questions about the time course of detectable loss of spines after exposure to acute stress. Remarkably, in adult mice subjected to restraint stress, significant reduction of spine density was apparent within 5 h. This indicates that substantial spine plasticity persists in the adult hippocampus, at least in selective, stress-vulnerable dendritic domains.

Significance and relevance of CRH-induced spine disarrangements
Abrogation of stress-induced spine loss by an antagonist of CRH receptor activation provides direct evidence for a physiologically relevant role of the CRH–CRFR1 interaction in the effects of stress on spine and dendritic integrity. In addition to stress, CRH-mediated spine loss and the associated dendritic regression may contribute to the dendritic atrophy and cognitive decline associated with a number of human conditions. These include aging (Schierhorn, 1981; Arancio and Chao, 2007) and chronic depression, a disorder associated with high brain CRH levels and hippocampal dendritic atrophy (Nemeroff, 2004). Recently, CRH has been implicated in the molecular pathology of Alzheimer’s disease (Holtzman, 2007). In addition, dendritic atrophy and spine loss characterize several developmental neurological disorders, including autism and Rett syndrome (Armstrong, 2001), and recent studies in a mouse model of the latter disorder demonstrated increased expression of the CRH gene (McGill et al., 2006). Together, this body of evidence supports a high relevance of CRH-mediated spine loss and related dendritic atrophy to both normal and pathological processes in the human brain.

In summary, the data presented here demonstrate a rapid effect of stress on dendritic spine integrity in the in vivo mature hippocampus. This effect involves acceleration of spine elimination via CRH–CRFR1 signaling and a net reduction of spine den-
sity with subsequent dendritic regression (Chen et al., 2004b; Pavlak et al., 2005) and impaired synaptic plasticity (Brunson et al., 2001, 2005; Segal, 2005). Therefore, future therapeutic methods targeting this novel molecular mechanism might allow prevention of cognitive decline associated with stress and a number of human disorders (McEwen, 1999; Brunson et al., 2005; De Kloet et al., 2005; Holtzman, 2007).
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