# UC Berkeley UC Berkeley Previously Published Works

## Title

Susceptibility tensor imaging and tractography of collagen fibrils in the articular cartilage

**Permalink** https://escholarship.org/uc/item/4nw902kj

**Journal** Magnetic Resonance in Medicine, 78(5)

**ISSN** 0740-3194

## Authors

Wei, Hongjiang Gibbs, Eric Zhao, Peida <u>et al.</u>

Publication Date 2017-11-01

## DOI

10.1002/mrm.26882

Peer reviewed



# **HHS Public Access**

Author manuscript *Magn Reson Med.* Author manuscript; available in PMC 2018 November 01.

Published in final edited form as:

Magn Reson Med. 2017 November ; 78(5): 1683–1690. doi:10.1002/mrm.26882.

## Susceptibility Tensor Imaging and Tractography of Collagen Fibrils in the Articular Cartilage

Hongjiang Wei, PhD<sup>1</sup>, Eric Gibbs<sup>2</sup>, Peida Zhao<sup>1</sup>, Nian Wang, PhD<sup>3</sup>, Gary P. Cofer<sup>3</sup>, Yuyao Zhang, PhD<sup>1</sup>, G. Allan Johnson, PhD, and Chunlei Liu, PhD<sup>1,4</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Electrical Engineering and Computer Sciences, University of California, Berkeley, CA, USA

<sup>2</sup>Department of Biomedical Engineering, Duke University, Durham, NC, USA

<sup>3</sup>Center for In Vivo Microscopy, Duke University, Durham, NC, USA

<sup>4</sup>Helen Wills Neuroscience Institute, University of California, Berkeley, CA, USA

## Abstract

**Purpose**—To investigate the  $B_0$  orientation-dependent magnetic susceptibility of collagen fibrils within the articular cartilage and to determine whether susceptibility tensor imaging (STI) can detect the three-dimensional (3D) collagen network within cartilage.

**Methods**—Multi-echo gradient echo datasets (100-micron isotropic resolution) were acquired from fixed porcine articular cartilage specimens at 9.4 Tesla (T). The susceptibility tensor was calculated using phase images acquired at 12 or 15 different orientations relative to  $B_0$ . The susceptibility anisotropy of the collagen fibril was quantified and diffusion tensor imaging (DTI) was compared against STI. 3D tractography was performed to visualize and track the collagen fibrils with DTI and STI.

**Results**—STI experiments showed the distinct and significant anisotropic magnetic susceptibility of collagen fibrils within the articular cartilage. STI can be used to measure and quantify susceptibility anisotropy maps. Furthermore, STI provides orientation information of the underlying collagen network via 3D tractography.

**Conclusion**—The findings of this study demonstrate STI can characterize the orientation variation of collagen fibrils where diffusion anisotropy fails. We believe that STI could serve as a sensitive and noninvasive marker to study the collagen fibrils microstructure.

#### Keywords

Diffusion tensor imaging (DTI); susceptibility tensor imaging (STI); magnetic susceptibility; magnetic susceptibility anisotropy; knee; collagen fibril; articular cartilage

Address correspondence to: Chunlei Liu, Ph.D., Department of Electrical Engineering and Computer Sciences, and Helen Wills Neuroscience Institute, University of California, Berkeley., 505 Cory Hall, Berkeley, CA 94720, USA., Phone: (510)664 7596, chunlei.liu@berkeley.edu.

#### Introduction

Articular cartilage is a thin layer that covers the end of bones in joints. It is a type of fine connective tissue composed primarily of water (65% to 80% of total mass), a complex mesh of collagen (10 to 20% of total cartilage mass) and proteoglycans (1). In normal adult human articular cartilage, the network of collagen fibrils consists of three distinct layers: the superficial zone, where the fibrils are mostly parallel to the cartilage surface; the middle zone, where the fibrils are relatively randomly distributed; and the deep zone, where the fibrils are mostly oriented perpendicular to the cartilage surface (2). In normal joints, this collagen network acts as the structural framework for tissue, providing the main source of tensile and shear strength. In diseased joints, the organization and arrangement of the collagen network is essential to the characterization of alterations in the extracellular matrix that relate to pathogenesis and osteoarthritis (3,4). Attempts have been made to image the collagen network by magnetic resonance imaging (MRI). The relaxation parameters of collagen were well investigated by NMR a decade ago (5-9). Structural imaging such as diffusion weighted imaging and diffusion tensor imaging (DTI) has been used to map the diffusion anisotropy (10,11) but rarely used to map the complex, three-dimensional (3D) collagen fibril tractography due to limited spatial resolution and relatively low signal-tonoise ratio (SNR).

An alternative approach is to use susceptibility to evaluate the collagen network. Quantitative susceptibility mapping (QSM) images correlate strongly with local tissue properties in various organs (12-18). More recently, QSM has been successfully applied to probe the highly organized microstructure of cartilage and evaluate its magnetic susceptibility at different depths (19,20). 3D QSM maps are derived from 2D or 3D gradient-recalled echo (GRE) sequences (21-23), which can be quickly acquired at high resolution, especially at ultrahigh field strengths. However, QSM assumes that the macroscopic susceptibility in an imaging voxel is isotropic (24,25). Over the past few years, susceptibility tensor imaging (STI) has been developed to quantify susceptibility anisotropy and provide a unique structural contrast (15,18,26-32). For example, in the brain, STI shows strong anisotropy in the white matter fiber bundles, which is attributed to the aligned lipid chains in the myelin sheath surrounding the white matter axons (29,33,34). In the kidney, STI shows strong susceptibility anisotropy and assesses the highly organized nephron tubular structure throughout the kidney (18). In the heart, STI detects the microstructure of myofiber in the mouse heart and agrees with diffusion tensor data (12,35,36). Similarly, we reasoned that STI would also quantify susceptibility anisotropy of cartilage and tract its collagen network since susceptibility anisotropy exists in cartilage (19).

In the present study, a multi-echo GRE sequence was used to obtain STI data from two porcine articular cartilage specimens at 9.4 T. Phase images from 12 or 15 orientations with respect to the static  $B_0$  field were obtained to map quantitative susceptibility values and quantify the susceptibility anisotropy of collagen in local cartilage regions. The apparent magnetic susceptibility was plotted as a function of rotation angle referenced to the normal of the articular surface. Furthermore, we investigated the 3D estimation of voxel-wise collagen fibril orientation based on the STI data and compared that with results from DTI data. Our results reveal the layer-specific architecture of the 3D collagen fibril network

within the cartilage. This multi-layer structure is confirmed by atomic-force microscopy (AFM). These results demonstrate the potential of STI to noninvasively study 3D collagen structure and overcome the practical limitations of current MRI techniques.

#### Methods

#### **Specimen Preparation and Fixation**

All animal preparation protocols were approved by the Duke University Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee. Fresh samples of two porcine knee joints were obtained from a local abattoir. A piece of each articular cartilage was removed from the central femoral condyles using a surgical knife. The cartilage was immersed in 10% formalin overnight and then immersed in 10 mM phosphate buffered saline (PBS) the next day. Cartilage was first fixed without contrast agent and scanned to acquire STI data while immersed in Galden® (perfluoropolyether; Solvay Specialty Polymer, Bruxelles, Belgium) to provide a background susceptibility properties similar to that of water. After this imaging session, the cartilage was stained by immersion in a saline solution of 2.5 mM ProHance (Gadoteridol; Bracco Diagnostics Inc., Princeton, NJ) to reduce the T1 relaxation time. This allows for a much shorter TR. This strategy is important for achieving high resolution in a relatively shorter acquisition time and improving SNR (37). The cartilage was then scanned to acquire contrast-enhanced DTI images.

#### **MR Data Acquisition**

The animal experiments were performed using a 9.4 T 8.9 cm vertical bore Oxford magnet controlled by an Agilent Direct Drive (VNMRJ 4.0 console). Each cartilage specimen was firmly affixed in an 11-mm cylindrical polyethylene cartridge. The specimen cartridge was placed inside a sphere, allowing for an arbitrary specimen orientation inside the coil (18,35). Magnitude and phase data were acquired using a 3D GRE sequence with 15 echoes (TE<sub>1</sub>/

 $TE/TE_{15} = 10/2/38$  ms). TR = 150 ms, flip angle = 35° matrix size =  $150 \times 150 \times 150$ , isotropic voxel size = 100 µm, total scan time per orientation = 40 min. The specimen was repositioned in a new orientation prior to every image acquisition. To assess the B<sub>0</sub> orientation-dependent magnetic susceptibility, 12 or 15 image orientations were acquired for each specimen. For example, the unit vectors of 12 orientations from one specimen are included in Supporting Figure S1 and Table S1.

DTI datasets were acquired using two spin-echo (SE) scans with  $b = 0 \text{ s/mm}^2$  and 16 diffusion-encoded SE scans. The diffusion gradient pulses were half-sine shaped with a duration  $\delta$  of 3 ms. In order to investigate the effects of varying diffusion time for detecting collagen fibrils with larger diameters or larger spaces in between neighboring fibrils, the time interval between the start of the diffusion pulses was 4, 8, or 16 ms corresponding to the effective diffusion time ( $-\delta/4$ ) of 3.25, 7.25, and 15.25 ms, respectively. A hard pulse was used for refocusing with a duration of 0.2 ms, and  $b = 1500 \text{ s/mm}^2$  (TR = 150 ms, TE = 7.8, 11.8, or 19.8 ms, matrix size =  $128 \times 128 \times 128$ , isotropic voxel size = 100 µm, total scan time = 8h11min).

#### **Imaging Reconstruction and Processing**

The raw k-space data from multi-echo GRE acquisition was used to generate magnitude and phase images. Phase images were processed by Laplacian-based phase unwrapping (38) and V SHARP background phase removal methods (23,39). The filtered phase images were normalized by TE and then averaged across echoes to produce the SNR-enhanced phase image. The magnitude images acquired at different orientations were first co-registered to a chosen reference orientation (B<sub>0</sub> vector =  $(0 \ 0 \ 1)$ , 0° referenced to the normal of the articular surface) using rigid body transformation (FMRIB, Oxford University, UK, https:// fsl.fmrib.ox.ac.uk/). The six rigid-body transformation parameters were estimated then applied to SNR-enhanced phase images at different orientations. The 3D transformation matrices were used to calculate the rotation angles and  $\hat{\mathbf{H}}$  vectors (i.e., unit vector along the applied main static field at the different orientations in the specimen frame of reference). Once all phase maps are processed, the susceptibility tensor  $\boldsymbol{\gamma}$  at each voxel was computed (30). There are six independent elements for a symmetric rank-2 susceptibility tensor, that is,  $\chi_{11}, \chi_{12}, \chi_{13}, \chi_{22}, \chi_{23}$ , and  $\chi_{33}$  from the nine-element tensor matrix. Eigenvalue decomposition was performed on the tensor to define the three principal susceptibility values  $(\chi_1, \chi_2, \chi_3)$  with corresponding eigenvectors. The major eigenvector points in the direction with the most positive (paramagnetic) susceptibility and the minor eigenvector points in the direction with the most negative (diamagnetic) susceptibility. The three eigenvalues were averaged to produce the mean magnetic susceptibility (MMS) image  $\overline{\chi} = (\chi_1 + \chi_2 + \chi_3)/3$ (28), and the magnetic susceptibility anisotropy (MSA),  $\chi = \chi_1 - (\chi_2 + \chi_3)/2$ , was calculated at each voxel.

#### **Comparison between DTI and STI Tractography**

To compare the DTI and STI, b0 image (DTI scan with b value = 0 s/mm<sup>2</sup>) was registered to the one of the GRE magnitude images, then transformation matrices were applied to all diffusion weighted images. Diffusion fractional anisotropy (FA) and mean diffusivity (MD) were computed as previously described (40). DTI and STI tractography were performed using a streamline tracking algorithm developed for DTI (41). For STI, the vector fields were defined by the minor eigenvector. Both DTI and STI vector fields were propagated based on anisotropy measures and an angle threshold of 60° between neighboring voxels. Tracking was conducted using Diffusion Toolkit and TrackVis (http://www.trackvis.org/dtk/; Martinos Center for Biomedical Imaging; Massachusetts General Hospital, Boston, MA).

#### **Data Analysis**

Regions of interest (ROIs) for quantitative analysis were defined at different depths within the cartilage using STISuite (University of California, Berkeley; https:// people.eecs.berkeley.edu/~chunlei.liu/software.html). The mean apparent magnetic susceptibility within the ROIs was calculated. Mean susceptibility values at different rotated orientations were plotted as a function of angle between the normal of the cartilage surface and the  $B_0$  field. Susceptibility values were referenced to the mean susceptibility of the whole specimen.

#### Atomic-force Microscopy

In its most basic setup, AFM is a characterization technique used to determine the surface topology of a variety of materials (42), and can specially be used to measure the collagen fibril orientation at high resolution (43,44). In this study, following MRI experiments, specimens were decalcified, paraffin-embedded and sectioned serially into 5- $\mu$ m-thick sections. Xylene was used to remove paraffin and unstained sections were mounted with DPX on glass slides as previously described (45). The articular cartilage topography was imaged by AFM (Dimension Icon from Bruker Corporation) at a scanning rate of ~0.7 Hz. Samples at different locations within the superficial zone, middle zone and deep zone were analyzed.

#### Results

Fig. 1a shows examples of apparent magnetic susceptibility (AMS) maps measured at 4 out of the 15 different orientations. The susceptibility maps exhibit local contrast specific to different cartilage layers. Moreover, the magnetic susceptibility maps clearly indicate an orientation dependency. Fig. 1b plots AMS at different cartilage depths as a function of angle ( $\alpha$ ) between the normal of the cartilage surface and B<sub>0</sub>. For example, at orientation #1  $(B_0 = [0 \ 0 \ 1])$ , collagen fibrils are perpendicular and parallel to  $B_0$  in the superficial zone and deep zone, respectively. Magnetic susceptibility is more paramagnetic when collagen fibrils are perpendicular to  $B_0$  and are relatively more diamagnetic when fibrils are parallel to B<sub>0</sub>. Specifically, the AMS displays a monotonic decrease in the superficial zone (in black, y = -0.059 + 0.043), a relatively constant value in the middle zone (in blue, y = -0.006+ 0.004) and a monotonic increase in the deep zone (in green, y = 0.065 - 0.05) (Fig. 1b). These findings suggest that there is a diamagnetic content in the collagen that points along the long axis and confirms that the STI eigenvector pointing along the collagen axis is the minor eigenvector (most diamagnetic). This result is consistent with a previous simulation study on a collagen model (19) and similar results are observed in the magnetic susceptibility of kidney tubules (18).

Fig. 2 compares the susceptibility and diffusion tensors. There are dramatic visual and quantitative differences between the susceptibility and diffusion tensors. The susceptibility tensor provides higher image contrast than the diffusion tensor. Interestingly, they share similar cartilage layer patterns as indicated by the white arrows. The susceptibility anisotropy inherent to the tensor is evident from the varying contrast between different tensor elements.

Examples of tensor eigenvalues, MD, FA, MMS and MSA maps are shown in Fig. 3. White arrows indicate the similarities shared by STI and DTI for detecting the cartilage layers. Red arrows point to the layers observed on STI and not on DTI. MSA values were  $0.2 \pm 0.02$  ppm in the superficial zone,  $0.05 \pm 0.02$  ppm in the middle zone and  $0.16 \pm 0.03$  ppm in the deep zone. The MMS values in the corresponding zones were  $0.04 \pm 0.02$  ppm,  $-0.02 \pm 0.01$  ppm and  $0.02 \pm 0.03$  ppm, respectively. The mean diffusivity decreased from  $1.7 \pm 0.2 \times 10^{-3}$  mm<sup>2</sup>/s near articular surface to  $1.1 \pm 0.15 \times 10^{-3}$  mm<sup>2</sup>/s at a depth of 50 - 90% and finally increased to  $1.5 \pm 0.12 \times 10^{-3}$  mm<sup>2</sup>/s near the tide mark region. The absolute values of FA were relatively small increasing from  $0.02 \pm 0.02$  near articular surface to  $0.09 \pm 0.03$ 

at a depth of 50 - 90%, and finally decreasing to  $0.03 \pm 0.02$  near the tide mark. The MSA is further color coded (cMSA) based on the direction of the eigenvector associated with the tertiary (most diamagnetic) susceptibility. The predominant orientation of cMSA is parallel to the cartilage surface in the superficial zone. Similarly, in a ribbon-like area close to the tidemark zone, a predominantly tangential alignment of the eigenvectors can be seen. In between these two regions, the predominant orientation of the eigenvectors is perpendicular to the cartilage surface. This area accounts for about 50% - 60% of the total thickness of the cartilage. The difference between DTI and STI for measuring the collagen fibril orientation is also observed from tensor fields as shown in Supporting Figure S2.

Finally, Fig. 4 compares 3D fiber tracts of collagen fibrils reconstructed using DTI and STI. STI can characterize the orientation variation of collagen fibrils at different depths where DTI fails. Blue color shows where collagen fibrils are perpendicular to the cartilage surface while yellow and red show where collagen fibrils are parallel to the cartilage surface. A zoomed-in portion of the middle slice of cartilage better depicts the collagen fibril orientation, as shown from the white rectangle in Fig. 4c. STI shows well organized collagen fibril orientation in the deep zone and superficial zone. Similar magnetic susceptibility anisotropy and 3D collagen tracks are also observed in another specimen (Supporting Figure S3).

The observed multi-layer cartilage structure pattern and collagen fibril orientation (Fig. 5a) are verified by the AFM experiment. As shown in Fig. 5b, the collagen fibril orientations (indicated by the double-headed arrows) are perpendicular and parallel to the cartilage surface in deep zone and superficial zone, respectively. Collagen fibrils are randomly distributed in the middle zone (Fig. 5b) and the net susceptibility anisotropy is close to zero. The fiber tracking algorithm stopped when the fiber angle is above the threshold resulting in no visualized fiber in the middle zone as shown in Fig. 5a.

#### Discussion

In this study, we assessed the ability of STI to analyze articular cartilage and investigated whether STI can probe regional structural properties of the collagen matrix. We first hypothesized that magnetic susceptibility anisotropy is a reliable marker of oriented ultrastructure of cartilage based on orientation-dependent magnetic susceptibility. We compared susceptibility measurements to diffusion measurements and concluded that susceptibility has a higher sensitivity to collagen fibril orientation as measured by tensor eigenvector orientations. We then used STI to achieve the first high resolution 3D collagen fibril tractography with MRI. Finally, we confirmed the underlying collagen fibril layer structure by AFM.

#### Tensor imaging Results Explained by the Collagen Fibril

Susceptibility anisotropy offers much higher sensitivity to the chemical composition of collagen fibril structure than diffusion anisotropy, thus confirming that DTI and STI anisotropy rely on an entirely different contrast mechanism.

In cartilage, the right-handed collagen-typical triple helix is  $\sim 1-1.5$  nm in diameter (46). The staggered assembly of five triple helices yields a microfibril segment and 14 microfibrils make up a complete collagen fibril ( $\sim$ 20-40 nm diameter) (47). The mature single collagen fiber in the cartilage is reported to have a diameter up to 200 nm. The fibril-fibril separation is reported to be a few hundred nanometers (48) and the spaces measured here using AFM is  $\sim 1 \,\mu$ m as shown in Fig. 5b. The mean diffusion distances of water molecular are determined via the Einstein equation, as  $\sqrt{2Dt}$ , where t =  $-\delta/4$  is the effective diffusion time with halfsine-shaped gradient and D is the diffusion coefficient. For example, with measured mean diffusivity  $\sim 1.7 \times 10^{-3}$  mm<sup>2</sup>/s in the superficial zone, the mean diffusion displacement is  $\sim 5$  $\mu$ m and  $\sim$ 7.2  $\mu$ m for the given diffusion time of 8 ms and 16 ms, respectively. With this timescale we should be able to probe the microstructural boundaries of interest. However, as shown by the cFA, low FA values and randomly distributed diffusion eigenvectors cannot probe the highly organized collagen fibrils well (Supporting Figure S4). One explanation is that proteoglycans restrict water molecule diffusion. Proteoglycans consist of a protein core and highly charged anionic chains. These chains vertically align with collagen fibrils, occupying narrow spaces among neighboring parallel collagen fibrils and attract water molecules (49). As a result, the proteoglycans affect the diffusivity of water molecular within the interfibrillar spaces. Thus, we may conclude that DTI lacks the sensitivity of detecting the orientation of collagen fibril in the cartilage compared to STI.

The basic principle of STI tractography of a collagen fibril is that the magnetic susceptibility anisotropy lies along the collagen long axis. The macroscopic susceptibility anisotropy of collagen comes from the diamagnetic anisotropy of arranged peptide groups at the molecular level. Collagen in cartilage is a polypeptide chain rich in left-handed polyproline type-II helices. These peptide group planes orient at approximately  $45^{\circ}$  to the fibril axis and have an out-of-plane susceptibility that is more diamagnetic than their in-plane susceptibility (50). Because the most diamagnetic susceptibility is oriented normal to the peptide group plane, the net susceptibility of collagen fibrils is most diamagnetic in the direction parallel to the fibril axis (50,51). This finding is consistent with the fact that the minor eigenvector of the susceptibility anisotropy differs from that observed in brain white matter, in which myelinated axons appear more paramagnetic when parallel to the B<sub>0</sub> field.

#### **Application and Future Directions**

The characteristics of STI, such as high SNR, high spatial resolution and the low specificabsorption rate of GRE scans, make it an attractive method for high resolution tensor imaging of *in vivo* human organs. However, STI requires rotating tissue at different angles with respect to  $B_0$  field. This is the major challenge for *in vivo* human cartilage STI scans due to the difficulties of knee rotation in clinical MRI scanner. Recently, a spectrum analysis of the multipole magnetic response or p-space MRI has been proposed to achieve susceptibility-based white matter fiber orientation determination without rotating the brain (52). *In vivo* human cartilage STI scans can also be achieved with novel design of open magnet by being capable of rotating the direction of the  $B_0$  filed (53). The practical utility of this promising approach for *in vivo* human articular cartilage imaging needs further investigation.

#### Conclusions

Our results indicate the feasibility and applicability of STI experiments to study collagen fibril orientation in the cartilage in high-field-strength MRI. MRI is a promising tool to understand the complex structures of cartilage because it is nondestructive, has the ability to assess the collagen network in 3D, and STI provides rich structural contrasts and quantitative analysis. More importantly, the potential of STI methods can answer critical questions about knee diseases related to the alignment of the collagenous fibrils in cartilage, which may offer a powerful tool to evaluate structure-modifying therapeutic approaches in knee diseases.

#### Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

#### Acknowledgments

This study was supported in part by the National Institutes of Health through grants NIMH R01MH096979, NIBIB 5P41EB015897 and NIH 1S10OD010683.

#### References

- 1. Sophia Fox AJ, Bedi A, Rodeo SA. The basic science of articular cartilage: structure, composition, and function. Sports health. 2009; 1(6):461–468. [PubMed: 23015907]
- 2. Hunziker E, Quinn T, Häuselmann HJ. Quantitative structural organization of normal adult human articular cartilage. Osteoarthritis and Cartilage. 2002; 10(7):564–572. [PubMed: 12127837]
- Goldring MB, Goldring SR. Articular cartilage and subchondral bone in the pathogenesis of osteoarthritis. Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences. 2010; 1192(1):230–237. [PubMed: 20392241]
- Pritzker K, Gay S, Jimenez S, Ostergaard K, Pelletier JP, Revell P, Salter D, Van den Berg W. Osteoarthritis cartilage histopathology: grading and staging. Osteoarthritis and cartilage. 2006; 14(1):13–29. [PubMed: 16242352]
- 5. Burstein D, Bashir A, Gray ML. MRI techniques in early stages of cartilage disease. Investigative radiology. 2000; 35(10):622–638. [PubMed: 11041156]
- Mosher, TJ., Dardzinski, BJ. Cartilage MRI T2 relaxation time mapping: overview and applications. Copyright© 2004 by Thieme Medical Publishers, Inc, 333 Seventh Avenue; New York, NY 10001 USA: 2004. p. 355-368.
- Jordan CD, Saranathan M, Bangerter NK, Hargreaves BA, Gold GE. Musculoskeletal MRI at 3.0 T and 7.0 T: a comparison of relaxation times and image contrast. European journal of radiology. 2013; 82(5):734–739. [PubMed: 22172536]
- Nieminen MT, Rieppo J, Töyräs J, Hakumäki JM, Silvennoinen J, Hyttinen MM, Helminen HJ, Jurvelin JS. T2 relaxation reveals spatial collagen architecture in articular cartilage: a comparative quantitative MRI and polarized light microscopic study. Magnetic resonance in medicine. 2001; 46(3):487–493. [PubMed: 11550240]
- Reddy R, Insko EK, Noyszewski EA, Dandora R, Kneeland JB, Leigh JS. Sodium MRI of human articular cartilage in vivo. Magnetic resonance in medicine. 1998; 39(5):697–701. [PubMed: 9581599]
- Filidoro L, Dietrich O, Weber J, Rauch E, Oerther T, Wick M, Reiser M, Glaser C. High-resolution diffusion tensor imaging of human patellar cartilage: Feasibility and preliminary findings. Magnetic Resonance in Medicine. 2005; 53(5):993–998. [PubMed: 15844163]
- 11. Staroswiecki E, Granlund KL, Alley MT, Gold GE, Hargreaves BA. Simultaneous estimation of T2 and apparent diffusion coefficient in human articular cartilage in vivo with a modified three-

dimensional double echo steady state (DESS) sequence at 3 T. Magnetic resonance in medicine. 2012; 67(4):1086–1096. [PubMed: 22179942]

- Dibb R, Liu C. Joint eigenvector estimation from mutually anisotropic tensors improves susceptibility tensor imaging of the brain, kidney, and heart. Magnetic Resonance in Medicine. 2016
- Wei H, Xie L, Dibb R, Li W, Decker K, Zhang Y, Johnson GA, Liu C. Imaging whole-brain cytoarchitecture of mouse with MRI-based quantitative susceptibility mapping. NeuroImage. 2016; 137:107–115. [PubMed: 27181764]
- Nissi MJ, Tóth F, Wang L, Carlson CS, Ellermann JM. Improved visualization of cartilage canals using quantitative susceptibility mapping. PloS one. 2015; 10(7):e0132167. [PubMed: 26168296]
- Dibb R, Qi Y, Liu C. Magnetic susceptibility anisotropy of myocardium imaged by cardiovascular magnetic resonance reflects the anisotropy of myocardial filament α-helix polypeptide bonds. Journal of Cardiovascular Magnetic Resonance. 2015; 17(1):60. [PubMed: 26177899]
- Wang L, Nissi MJ, Toth F, Johnson CP, Garwood M, Carlson CS, Ellermann J. Quantitative susceptibility mapping detects abnormalities in cartilage canals in a goat model of preclinical osteochondritis dissecans. Magnetic resonance in medicine. 2017; 77(3):1276–1283. [PubMed: 27018370]
- 17. Dimov AV, Liu Z, Spincemaille P, Prince MR, Du J, Wang Y. Bone quantitative susceptibility mapping using a chemical species–specific R2\* signal model with ultrashort and conventional echo data. Magnetic Resonance in Medicine. 2017
- Xie L, Dibb R, Cofer GP, Li W, Nicholls PJ, Johnson GA, Liu C. Susceptibility tensor imaging of the kidney and its microstructural underpinnings. Magnetic resonance in medicine. 2015; 73(3): 1270–1281. [PubMed: 24700637]
- Wei H, Dibb R, Decker K, Wang N, Zhang Y, Zong X, Lin W, Nissman DB, Liu C. Investigating magnetic susceptibility of human knee joint at 7 tesla. Magnetic resonance in medicine. 2017 Jan 17. Epub ahead of print. doi: 10.1002/mrm.26596
- Wei, H., Wang, B., Zong, X., Lin, W., Wang, N., Liu, C. Proceedings of the 23th Annual Meeting of ISMRM. Toronto, ON, Canada: 2015. Imaging magnetic susceptibility of the human knee joint at 3 and 7 Tesla; p. 2882015
- Haacke EM, Xu Y, Cheng YCN, Reichenbach JR. Susceptibility weighted imaging (SWI). Magnetic resonance in medicine. 2004; 52(3):612–618. [PubMed: 15334582]
- 22. Liu C, Li W, Johnson GA, Wu B. High-field (9.4 T) MRI of brain dysmyelination by quantitative mapping of magnetic susceptibility. Neuroimage. 2011; 56(3):930–938. [PubMed: 21320606]
- Wei H, Zhang Y, Gibbs E, Chen NK, Wang N, Liu C. Joint 2D and 3D phase processing for quantitative susceptibility mapping: application to 2D echo-planar imaging. NMR in Biomedicine. 2017; 30(4)
- Liu C, Li W, Tong KA, Yeom KW, Kuzminski S. Susceptibility-weighted imaging and quantitative susceptibility mapping in the brain. Journal of Magnetic Resonance Imaging. 2014; 42(2):23–41. [PubMed: 25270052]
- Liu C, Wei H, Gong NJ, Cronin M, Dibb R, Decker K. Quantitative Susceptibility Mapping: Contrast Mechanisms and Clinical Applications. TOMOGRAPHY. 2015; 1(1):3–17. [PubMed: 26844301]
- Aggarwal M, Kageyama Y, Li X, Van Zijl PC. B0-orientation dependent magnetic susceptibilityinduced white matter contrast in the human brainstem at 11.7 T. Magnetic resonance in medicine. 2016; 75(6):2455–2463. [PubMed: 27018784]
- 27. Li W, Liu C, Duong TQ, Zijl P, Li X. Susceptibility tensor imaging (STI) of the brain. NMR in Biomedicine. 2017; 30(4)
- Li X, Vikram DS, Lim IAL, Jones CK, Farrell JA, van Zijl PC. Mapping magnetic susceptibility anisotropies of white matter in vivo in the human brain at 7T. Neuroimage. 2012; 62(1):314–330. [PubMed: 22561358]
- 29. Li X, Zijl P. Mean magnetic susceptibility regularized susceptibility tensor imaging (MMSR-STI) for estimating orientations of white matter fibers in human brain. Magnetic resonance in medicine. 2014; 72(3):610–619. [PubMed: 24974830]

- Liu C. Susceptibility tensor imaging. Magnetic resonance in medicine. 2010; 63(6):1471–1477. [PubMed: 20512849]
- Wharton S, Bowtell R. Fiber orientation-dependent white matter contrast in gradient echo MRI. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences. 2012; 109(45):18559–18564.
- 32. Wisnieff C, Liu T, Spincemaille P, Wang S, Zhou D, Wang Y. Magnetic susceptibility anisotropy: cylindrical symmetry from macroscopically ordered anisotropic molecules and accuracy of MRI measurements using few orientations. Neuroimage. 2013; 70:363–376. [PubMed: 23296181]
- Bilgic B, Xie L, Dibb R, Langkammer C, Mutluay A, Ye H, Polimeni JR, Augustinack J, Liu C, Wald LL. Rapid multi-orientation quantitative susceptibility mapping. NeuroImage. 2016; 125:1131–1141. [PubMed: 26277773]
- Liu C, Li W, Wu B, Jiang Y, Johnson GA. 3D fiber tractography with susceptibility tensor imaging. Neuroimage. 2012; 59(2):1290–1298. [PubMed: 21867759]
- 35. Dibb R, Qi Y, Liu C. Magnetic susceptibility anisotropy of myocardium imaged by cardiovascular magnetic resonance reflects the anisotropy of myocardial filament α-helix polypeptide bonds. Journal of Cardiovascular Magnetic Resonance. 2015; 17(1):1. [PubMed: 25589308]
- Dibb R, Xie L, Wei H, Liu C. Magnetic susceptibility anisotropy outside the central nervous system. NMR in Biomedicine. 2017; 30(4)
- 37. Johnson GA, Cofer GP, Gewalt SL, Hedlund LW. Morphologic phenotyping with MR microscopy: the visible mouse 1. Radiology. 2002; 222(3):789–793. [PubMed: 11867802]
- Li W, Wu B, Avram AV, Liu C. Magnetic susceptibility anisotropy of human brain in vivo and its molecular underpinnings. Neuroimage. 2012; 59(3):2088–2097. [PubMed: 22036681]
- 39. Wu B, Li W, Guidon A, Liu C. Whole brain susceptibility mapping using compressed sensing. Magnetic resonance in medicine. 2012; 67(1):137–147. [PubMed: 21671269]
- 40. Basser PJ, Pierpaoli C. Microstructural and physiological features of tissues elucidated by quantitative-diffusion-tensor MRI. Journal of magnetic resonance. 2011; 213(2):560–570. [PubMed: 22152371]
- Mori S, Kaufmann WE, Davatzikos C, Stieltjes B, Amodei L, Fredericksen K, Pearlson GD, Melhem ER, Solaiyappan M, Raymond GV. Imaging cortical association tracts in the human brain using diffusion-tensor-based axonal tracking. Magnetic resonance in medicine. 2002; 47(2):215– 223. [PubMed: 11810663]
- 42. Drake B, Prater C, Weisenhorn A, Gould S, Albrecht T. Imaging crystals, polymers, and processes in water with the atomic force microscope. Science. 1989; 243(4898):1586. [PubMed: 2928794]
- Sawadkar P, Alexander S, Tolk M, Wong J, McGrouther D, Bozec L, Mudera V. Development of a surgically optimized graft insertion suture technique to accommodate a tissue-engineered tendon in vivo. BioResearch open access. 2013; 2(5):327–335. [PubMed: 24083088]
- 44. Avci R, Schweitzer M, Boyd RD, Wittmeyer J, Steele A, Toporski J, Beech I, Arce FT, Spangler B, Cole KM. Comparison of antibody– antigen interactions on collagen measured by conventional immunological techniques and atomic force microscopy. Langmuir. 2004; 20(25):11053–11063. [PubMed: 15568858]
- 45. Schmitz N, Laverty S, Kraus V, Aigner T. Basic methods in histopathology of joint tissues. Osteoarthritis and cartilage. 2010; 18:S113–S116. [PubMed: 20864017]
- Shoulders MD, Raines RT. Collagen structure and stability. Annual review of biochemistry. 2009; 78:929–958.
- 47. Holmes DF, Kadler KE. The 10+ 4 microfibril structure of thin cartilage fibrils. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences. 2006; 103(46):17249–17254.
- 48. Price, W., Basser, P., Fullerton, G., Nieminen, M., Söderman, O., Huster, D., Topgaard, D., Pierce, DM., Reiter, D., Jurvelin, JS. Biophysics and Biochemistry of Cartilage by NMR and MRI. Royal Society of Chemistry; 2016.
- Lewis PN, Pinali C, Young RD, Meek KM, Quantock AJ, Knupp C. Structural interactions between collagen and proteoglycans are elucidated by three-dimensional electron tomography of bovine cornea. Structure. 2010; 18(2):239–245. [PubMed: 20159468]
- 50. Worcester D. Structural origins of diamagnetic anisotropy in proteins. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences. 1978; 75(11):5475–5477.

- Torbet J, Ronzière MC. Magnetic alignment of collagen during self-assembly. Biochemical journal. 1984; 219(3):1057–1059. [PubMed: 6743242]
- 52. Liu C, Li W. Imaging neural architecture of the brain based on its multipole magnetic response. Neuroimage. 2013; 67:193–202. [PubMed: 23116817]
- 53. McGinley JV, Ristic M, Young IR. A permanent MRI magnet for magic angle imaging having its field parallel to the poles. Journal of Magnetic Resonance. 2016; 271:60–67. [PubMed: 27552556]



#### Fig. 1.

(a) Apparent magnetic susceptibility maps measured at 4 out of 15 orientations. The magnetic susceptibility within the cartilage varies markedly from one orientation to another. (b) Plots of apparent magnetic susceptibility at different layers vs. collagen fibril angle with respect to  $B_0$  (black: superficial layer; blue: middle layer; green, deep layer).  $\alpha$  was defined by the angle between the normal of the articular surface and  $B_0$ .



### Fig. 2.

Representative maps of susceptibility tensors and diffusion tensors. The arrows point to the similar layer pattern between STI and DTI. Obvious differences between STI and DTI can also be seen at different cartilage depths.



#### Fig. 3.

Representative images of (a) diffusion tensor eigenvalues, MD, FA, color-coded diffusion anisotropy and (b) susceptibility tensor eigenvalues, mean magnetic susceptibility, susceptibility anisotropy, and color-coded magnetic susceptibility anisotropy. The color is defined by the eigenvector associated with the maximal principal diffusivity for DTI and minimal susceptibility for STI. Red, green and blue colors represent the local orientation of the collagen fibril, which indicate up-down, left-right and anterior-posterior, respectively.



#### Fig. 4.

Comparison of DTI and STI fiber tracts of collagen fibrils in 3D. STI can characterize the orientation variation of collagen fibrils at different cartilage depths where DTI fails. Blue color shows that collagen fibrils are perpendicular to the cartilage surface while yellow and red colors show collagen fibrils that are parallel to the cartilage surface.



#### Fig. 5.

(a) A zoomed-in view of the region illustrates fine collagen fibril structure in the deep zone, middle zone and superficial zone of STI tracts. (b) AFM sections at different locations from the deep zone to superficial zone are shown for comparison. Collagen fibril directions are indicated by double-headed arrows in each layer.