

UC San Diego

UC San Diego Previously Published Works

Title

Using the 2020 global pandemic as a springboard to highlight the need for amphibian conservation in eastern Asia

Permalink

<https://escholarship.org/uc/item/4pg80055>

Authors

Borzée, Amaël
Kielgast, Jos
Wren, Sally
et al.

Publication Date

2021-03-01

DOI

10.1016/j.biocon.2021.108973

Peer reviewed




Since January 2020 Elsevier has created a COVID-19 resource centre with free information in English and Mandarin on the novel coronavirus COVID-19. The COVID-19 resource centre is hosted on Elsevier Connect, the company's public news and information website.

Elsevier hereby grants permission to make all its COVID-19-related research that is available on the COVID-19 resource centre - including this research content - immediately available in PubMed Central and other publicly funded repositories, such as the WHO COVID database with rights for unrestricted research re-use and analyses in any form or by any means with acknowledgement of the original source. These permissions are granted for free by Elsevier for as long as the COVID-19 resource centre remains active.



Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Biological Conservation

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/biocon

Perspective



Using the 2020 global pandemic as a springboard to highlight the need for amphibian conservation in eastern Asia

Amaël Borzée^{a,b,*}, Jos Kielgast^{b,c,d}, Sally Wren^{b,e}, Ariadne Angulo^b, Shu Chen^f, Kit Magellan^g, Kevin R. Messenger^h, Candace M. Hansen-Hendriksⁱ, Anne Baker^j, Marcileida M. Dos Santos^{b,e}, Mirza Kusri^k, Jianping Jiang^l, Irina V. Maslova^m, Indraneil Dasⁿ, Daesik Park^o, David Bickford^p, Robert W. Murphy^q, Jing Che^{r,s}, Tu Van Do^{t,u}, Truong Quang Nguyen^{t,u}, Ming-Feng Chuang^v, Phillip J. Bishop^{b,e}

^a Laboratory of Animal Behaviour and Conservation, College of Biology and the Environment, Nanjing Forestry University, Nanjing, People's Republic of China

^b IUCN SSC Amphibian Specialist Group, 3701 Lake Shore Blvd W, P.O. Box 48586, Toronto, Ontario M8W 1P5, Canada

^c Section for Freshwater Biology, Department of Biology, University of Copenhagen, Universitetsparken 4, DK-2100, Denmark

^d Center for Macroecology, Evolution and Climate, Natural History Museum of Denmark, Universitetsparken, 15, DK-2100, Denmark

^e Department of Zoology, University of Otago, 340 Great King Street, Dunedin 9016, New Zealand

^f Zoological Society of London, London NW1 4RY, United Kingdom

^g University of Battambang, Battambang, Cambodia

^h Herpetology and Applied Conservation Laboratory, College of Biology and the Environment, Nanjing Forestry University, Nanjing, People's Republic of China

ⁱ Amphibian Survival Alliance, Burlington, Ontario, Canada

^j Amphibian Ark, Conservation Planning Specialist Group, Apple Valley, USA

^k Department of Forest Resources Conservation and Ecotourism, IPB University, Bogor, Indonesia

^l CAS Key Laboratory of Mountain Ecological Restoration and Bioresource Utilization & Ecological Restoration and Biodiversity Conservation Key Laboratory of Sichuan Province, Chengdu Institute of Biology, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Chengdu 610041, People's Republic of China

^m Federal Scientific Center of the East Asia Terrestrial Biodiversity Far Eastern Branch of Russian Academy of Sciences, Vladivostok 690022, Russia

ⁿ Institute of Biodiversity and Environmental Conservation, Universiti Malaysia Sarawak, Kota Samarahan 94300, Malaysia

^o Division of Science Education, Kangwon National University, Chuncheon, Kangwon 24341, Republic of Korea

^p Biology Department, University of La Verne, USA

^q Centre for Biodiversity, Royal Ontario Museum, Toronto, Canada

^r State Key Laboratory of Genetic Resources and Evolution, Kunming Institute of Zoology, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Kunming 650223, People's Republic of China

^s Center for Excellence in Animal Evolution and Genetics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Kunming 650223, People's Republic of China

^t Institute of Ecology and Biological Resources, Vietnam Academy of Science and Technology, 18 Hoang Quoc Viet, Cau Giay, Hanoi, Viet Nam

^u Graduate University of Science and Technology, Vietnam Academy of Science and Technology, 18 Hoang Quoc Viet, Cau Giay, Hanoi, Viet Nam

^v Department of Life Sciences and Research Center for Global Change Biology, National Chung Hsing University, Taichung, Taiwan

ARTICLE INFO

Keywords:

Amphibian
Farming
Trade
Ban
Eastern Asia

ABSTRACT

Emerging infectious diseases are on the rise in many different taxa, including, among others, the amphibian batrachochytrids, the snake fungal disease and the Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) virus, responsible for Coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) in mammals. Following the onset of the pandemic linked to COVID-19, eastern Asia has shown strong leadership, taking actions to regulate the trade of potential vector species in several regions. These actions were taken in response to an increase in public awareness, and the need for a quick reaction to mitigate against further pandemics. However, trade restrictions rarely affect amphibians, despite the risk of pathogen transmission, directly, or indirectly through habitat destruction and the loss of vector consumption. Thus, species that help alleviate the risk of zoonoses or provide biological control are not protected. Hence, in view of the global amphibian decline and the risk of zoonoses, we support the current wildlife trade regulations and support measures to safeguard wildlife from overexploitation. The current period of regulation overhaul should be used as a springboard for amphibian conservation. To mitigate risks, we suggest the following stipulations specifically for amphibians. I) Restrictions to amphibian farming in eastern Asia, in relation to pathogen transmission and the establishment of invasive species. II)

* Corresponding author at: 159 Longpan Rd, Xuanwu Qu, Nanjing 210037, People's Republic of China.

E-mail address: amaelborzee@gmail.com (A. Borzée).

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocon.2021.108973>

Received 23 July 2020; Received in revised form 28 December 2020; Accepted 11 January 2021

Available online 2 February 2021

0006-3207/© 2021 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

Regulation of the amphibian pet trade, with a focus on potential vector species. III) Expansion of the wildlife trade ban, to limit the wildlife-human-pet interface. The resulting actions will benefit both human and wildlife populations, as they will lead to a decrease in the risk of zoonoses and better protection of the environment.

Significance statement: There is an increasing number of emerging infectious diseases impacting all species, including amphibians, reptiles and mammals. The latest threat to humans is the virus responsible for COVID-19, and the resulting pandemic. Countries in eastern Asia have taken steps to regulate wildlife trade and prevent further zoonoses thereby decreasing the risk of pathogens arising from wild species. However, as amphibians are generally excluded from regulations we support specific trade restrictions: I) Restrictions to amphibian farming; II) regulation of the amphibian pet trade; III) expansion of the wildlife trade ban. These restrictions will benefit both human and wildlife populations by decreasing the risks of zoonoses and better protecting the environment.

1. Background

Emerging infectious diseases are on the rise in many different taxa. For example, batrachochytrids (*Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis* and *B. salamandrivorans*) have devastated amphibian populations worldwide (Scheele et al., 2019), the snake fungal disease (caused by *Ophidiomyces ophiodiicola*) is impacting snakes (Lorch et al., 2016), and the SARS-CoV-2 virus is responsible for COVID-19 in humans and other mammals (Leroy et al., 2020). Thus far, impacts of the 2020 pandemic resulting from COVID-19 have proven more detrimental to human health and the global economy than any other disease in contemporary history (Chakraborty and Maity, 2020). Zoonoses are becoming increasingly common and are having progressively greater impacts on human societies (Jones et al., 2008). The factors responsible for the recent spread of zoonoses include the increase in human-wildlife interaction caused by both human encroachment on natural habitats (Allen et al., 2017; Borzée et al., 2020b) and the increasing animal trade (Aguirre, 2017; Allen et al., 2017; Marco et al., 2020). However, in just a few months, the COVID-19 pandemic may have changed global attitudes about the wildlife trade and its impact on nature conservation (Corlett et al., 2020). Resulting actions such as trade bans can be used as springboards by the conservation community to make inroads into wildlife conservation.

Several animal taxa, including mammals and reptiles, have been (sometimes questionably) implicated in the transfer of the SARS-CoV-2 virus to human populations (Li et al., 2020). In response, and in an unprecedentedly rapid action of global environmental leadership, the government of the People's Republic of China (hereafter China) took the initiative to impose a wildlife trade ban that included the majority of wild vertebrates consumed in China (Li, 2020; Xinhua, 2020). Prior to the pandemic, only 402 species were on the List of Wild Animals Under State Priority Conservation and banned from consumption, resulting in hundreds of non-listed species as potentially consumable. This number however increased following the trade ban and there are now only 18 species that can be legally traded and bred for consumption or other consumables such as skins and furs (Ministry of Agriculture and Rural Affairs of the People's Republic of China, 2020; Shanshui Nature Conservation Center, 2020a; Yang et al., 2020). This initial ban was quickly followed by a proposal for a similar ban from the Ministry of Agriculture and Rural Development in Vietnam, under different specifications (Tatarski, 2020). Likewise, the Republic of Korea (hereafter R Korea) benefited from the shift in public opinion following the 2020 pandemic to ban the import of two invasive freshwater turtles, bringing the total of banned species to four testudinids and one anuran (R Korea; Ministry of Environment, 2020a). Within China, both Jiangxi and Hunan provinces have recently developed special programs and incentives to stop the trade of wildlife, and the city of Wuhan has placed a total ban on the commercial wildlife trade.

These trade bans are considered by many to be a critical step towards reducing the risk of zoonoses and further pandemics, and trade regulations for amphibians are equally important because of the risk of direct and indirect transmission from amphibians intended for consumption and the pet trade (Jensen and Camp, 2003; Gratwicke et al., 2009; Schloegel et al., 2009). Namely, several species of mycobacteria are

zoonotic, and arbovirus have shown the potential for zoonoses (Denismore and Green, 2007). In addition, the bans are considered an important move forward for animal conservation because biological resource use is listed as a driver of decline for numerous species listed as threatened by The International Union for Conservation of Nature (IUCN) Red List of Threatened Species (Maxwell et al., 2016; IUCN, 2020). These bans, and stricter regulations, have been shown to have the potential to provide a much-needed respite that could promote the recovery of animal populations that are harvested in the wild (Schindler and Hilborn, 2015). The decrease in harvesting pressures on species and the reduced contact between wildlife and humans could also lower the risk of zoonoses. This is especially true for amphibians, as they naturally control a wide variety of vectors of pathogens (Hocking and Babbitt, 2014). For instance, many amphibian tadpoles compete with and/or prey on mosquito larvae (Spielman and Sullivan, 1974; Blaustein and Margalit, 1994; Blaustein and Margalit, 1996; Petranka and Kennedy, 1999; Rodríguez and González, 2000; Rubbo et al., 2003; Brodman and Dorton, 2006; DuRant and Hopkins, 2008; Rubbo et al., 2011; Valencia-Aguilar et al., 2013; Cortes-Gomez et al., 2015), an important approach to disease control as more than a million humans die each year because of mosquito-borne diseases (Tolle, 2009). In this regard, the role of amphibians should not be ignored, as a single larval mole salamander (*Ambystoma talpoideum*) can eat up to 900 mosquito larvae a day (DuRant and Hopkins, 2008), and there is now evidence linking the amphibian collapse to malaria outbreaks (Springborn et al., 2020). Furthermore, amphibians prey upon flies associated with human diseases (Peltzer and Lajmanovich, 2002) and deter fly oviposition (Blaustein et al., 2004; Rubbo et al., 2011). Amphibians also have other socioeconomic benefits, such as providing pest control in agricultural landscapes (Lajmanovich et al., 2003; Attademo et al., 2005; Peltzer et al., 2005; Attademo et al., 2007a; Attademo et al., 2007b; Peltzer et al., 2010; Hocking and Babbitt, 2014). For instance, amphibians are especially beneficial to crops such as soy (Attademo et al., 2007b; Valencia-Aguilar et al., 2013) and rice (Teng et al., 2016; Propper et al., 2020) by consuming agricultural pests.

However, the Vietnamese trade ban (Instruction No. 29/CT-TTg, issued on 23 July 2020 by the Prime Minister) is likely to be only temporary and other countries in Asia, with numerous wet markets, have not yet implemented any increased regulation. Moreover, the Chinese trade ban was announced by the Chinese Department of Forestry and does not include species under the jurisdiction of the Department of Agriculture. This distinction has resulted in the continued free trade of most fishes, amphibians and reptiles, even though fish-borne zoonoses have been increasing in number and diversity since the 1950s (Shane, 2011); viral zoonoses have arisen in reptiles (Ariel, 2011); and amphibian-borne fungal panzootics such as those driven by batrachochytrids have contributed to the largest documented extinction of species in modern times (Scheele et al., 2019). Consequently, despite being most welcome, the bans need to be expanded to support the conservation of some of the world's most threatened taxa (Wang et al., 2020) while simultaneously preventing further zoonoses. As we highlight here, the eastern Asian region, geographically defined as bordering the Pacific Ocean and associated countries, is also high in amphibian species richness but lacks comprehensive regulation or restriction of their

exploitation (Krishnasamy and Zavagli, 2020).

We acknowledge the subsistence economy that involves the trade and farming of certain species under the legislation of the Department of Agriculture (Jiangxi Provincial Forestry Department, 2018b) and the importance of the wellbeing of such farmers. One such species is the Critically Endangered, but commercially abundant, Chinese giant salamander, *Andrias davidianus* (Cunningham et al., 2016; Fei et al., 2006; Jiang et al., 2016; Liang et al., 2004; Ye et al., 1993). However, we urge a shift away from farming invasive or non-native species (which are known to carry disease-bearing micro-organisms such as batrachochytrids) for human consumption, such as the American bullfrog (*Lithobates catesbeianus*; Schloegel et al., 2012). In addition, we strongly recommend increased restrictions and regulations on the national and international amphibian pet trade, which involves millions of animals annually (Schlaepfer et al., 2005; Auliya et al., 2016). The trade is the most diverse source of pathogens in terms of species richness, and results in increased physical contact between captive animals and pet owners, as well as pathogen pollution to the wider environment. Regulations and restrictions on the amphibian pet trade would provide safer conditions for both humans and wildlife. These recommendations resonate well with ethics in civil societies around the world (Wilson, 2017). For instance, following the implementation of the wildlife trade ban in China, a questionnaire was developed by Chinese conservation organisations to understand the public's point of view. In urban environments the survey received over 100,000 responses with 96.4% supporting a ban on consumption of all wild animals, and over 90% supporting a ban on all trade in wild animals, including for food or medicinal use (Shan-shui Nature Conservation Center, 2020b). In another survey conducted in March 2020, covering several eastern Asian regions (Hong Kong SAR of China, Japan, Myanmar, Thailand and Vietnam), 93% of the 5000 respondents highlighted the same willingness to eliminate illegal and unregulated markets, with 82% of respondents wishing to do so because of fear of further zoonoses (GlobeScan, 2020).

Eastern Asia has therefore shown strong leadership following the current pandemic, the resultant increase in public awareness, and in synergy with the need for quick action to mitigate against further pandemics. However, in this region amphibian trade is not well regulated, amphibian species richness is poorly understood and most species are not nationally protected (Stuart et al., 2008; Rowley et al., 2010; Tapley et al., 2018). For instance, only a small proportion of declining amphibian species are currently listed on CITES (the Convention on International Trade in Endangered Species), yet the amphibian trade is a major cause of population declines in several species (Krishnasamy and Zavagli, 2020; Rowley et al., 2016; Warkentin et al., 2009). In view of the global amphibian decline and the risks of zoonoses, we support the current wildlife trade bans, as well as measures to safeguard wildlife from overexploitation, and propose the following recommendations specifically for amphibians.

2. Restriction to amphibian farming in eastern Asia

Southeast Asia is currently the centre of the amphibian trade as food for human consumption. Historically, much of the internationally traded amphibian meat originated in Bangladesh and India (Altherr et al., 2011; Warkentin et al., 2009), until the Indian bullfrog (*Hoplobatrachus tigerinus*) and the Indian green frog (*Euphlyctis hexadactylus*) were included on Appendix II of CITES, and the trade of the species was banned in both countries due to the illegal and unsustainable capture of wild frogs (Kusrini and Alford, 2006). The amphibian legal trade then shifted, primarily to Indonesia, and resulted in an increase from 180 million to 1 billion individual frogs of several species being traded between 1998 and 2007 (Warkentin et al., 2009; Krishnasamy and Zavagli, 2020). The principal importers for the food industry are the European Union and the United States of America (Carpenter et al., 2007; Altherr et al., 2011), although the trade within the region is not negligible (Kusrini and Alford, 2006).

The domestic harvest of native wild amphibians in the USA (e.g. *Rana aurora draytoni* and *Rana pipiens*; Jennings and Hayes, 1985; Lannoo et al., 1994) and France (e.g. *Rana temporaria* and *Rana esculenta*) has already impacted amphibians through the loss of large populations of some species (Le Serrec, 1988; Neveu, 2004; Ohler and Nicolas, 2017). These countries are now relying on imported frog meat that is generally not traceable (Ohler and Nicolas, 2017; Krishnasamy and Zavagli, 2020). In addition, a significant proportion of the current amphibian trade for food and pets is unsustainable, likely to involve laundering of wild-caught specimens as captive-bred (Krishnasamy and Zavagli, 2020; Warkentin et al., 2009), and likely to spread batrachochytrids (Gratwicke et al., 2010; Kolby et al., 2014) and other pathogens (Gilbert et al., 2013). An example of unsustainable trade is the mismatch between the quota set for the white-lipped treefrog (*Nyctimystes infrafrenatus*, formerly *Litoria*) by the Indonesian Captive Breeding Production Plan and the potential reproduction based on breeding biology of the species (Janssen and Chng, 2017). However, the risks linked to trade need to be mitigated for some species, especially in Indonesia - the primary contributor to the amphibian trade.

The Ministry of Agriculture and Rural Development of Vietnam ordered a ban on the trade of wildlife in March 2020, implemented in July by the Prime Minister (Instruction No. 29/CT-TTg), stipulating that wild animals and products thereof cannot be traded or consumed even when authorised by CITES permits. In addition, it recommends the closure of illegal wildlife markets, the improvement of wildlife farming management and the development of a database for threatened species currently in captivity (Group IB in the Decree No. 06 and CITES Appendix I). The ban has already resulted in several amphibian species being removed from the trade (ENV, 2020). However, this is a temporary measure with an extension being discussed (ENV, 2020), and specific licences for farmers can still be legally acquired. Nonetheless, this ban means that the sale of wild native East Asian bullfrogs (*Hoplobatrachus rugulosus*) has been discontinued in the country and the bullfrog populations may improve from a break in wild harvests (Warkentin et al., 2009). Furthermore, the environment may benefit from a decreased risk of pathogen transmission (e.g. *Mycobacterium* sp., Suykerbuyk et al., 2007; batrachochytrid, ranavirus and general clinical condition, Gilbert et al., 2013; batrachochytrid, Auliya et al., 2016). If the trade ban is upheld, amphibians currently in captivity would likely need to be either euthanised or released. While culling without financial subsidy is unlikely, these captive populations would probably be illegally sold or released into the wild, as seen in other species in similar situations (Corlett, 2014). Similar to instances of individuals escaping from farms (Garner et al., 2009), the release of farmed amphibians can result in genetic homogenisation and the loss of genetic diversity across wild populations (Kumschick et al., 2017; Turvey et al., 2018), as well as increasing the likelihood of pathogen transmission between released farmed stock and wild amphibians (Jensen and Camp, 2003; Gratwicke et al., 2009; Schloegel et al., 2009). Genetic homogenisation is a particularly salient problem in species complexes where cryptic species await formal description, such as *H. rugulosus* (Yu et al., 2015) and *Andrias* spp. (Turvey et al., 2019; Yan et al., 2018). Fortunately, in the case of *H. rugulosus*, frogs are generally harvested locally for the establishment of farms, therefore escape or release is unlikely to result in the introduction of individuals from segregated or different populations/species that may threaten genetic integrity (Kriger and Hero, 2009).

Other native species have the potential to be locally farmed, such as *Fejervarya cancrivora* and *Limnonectes macrodon* in Southeast Asia (Gilbert et al., 2013; Kusrini, 2005; Kusrini and Alford, 2006), and *Rana* spp. in North East Asia, where amphibian farming relies on species that are better adapted to cooler climates (Park et al., 2014; Ri, 2018). For instance, there were 152 farms breeding *Rana* in northeastern China in 2007 (Liu et al., 2007). In R Korea, farming of three *Rana* species has been permitted since 2005 (R Korea; Ministry of Environment, 2017), and several dozen facilities are now farming *Rana* species (Park et al., 2014). While farming native species can sometimes be benign, the

available numbers of captive-bred *Rana* are not currently satisfying the market (Maslova, 2016). In R Korea, farms can apply for permits to import the same species as the one they breed, mostly from China (R Korea; Ministry of Environment, 2017). In China and Russia, this translates to illegal harvesting from the wild (Maslova, 2002; Maslova, 2016), smuggling (Lyapustin and Fomenko, 2015), unsustainable export (Maslova and Lyapustin, 2005), and species laundering under the cover of farming following the significant depletion of wild populations (Maslova, 2018).

A complication for the amphibian meat trade arises when a farmed species is non-native, such as the American bullfrog (*Lithobates catesbeianus*; Luque et al., 2014). Multiple escapes and releases have resulted in feral populations of a highly invasive species becoming established in all eastern Asian countries where its ecological requirements are met (Altherr et al., 2011; Groffen et al., 2019; Kusriani, 2005; Mohanty and Measey, 2018; Ri, 2018; Schloegel et al., 2012; Sy, 2014; Wang and Li, 2009). The presence of *L. catesbeianus* has been linked to introduced pathogens and higher pathogen prevalence in native amphibians (Ficetola et al., 2007; Gilbert et al., 2013; Wasserman et al., 2019), as the species is a known reservoir of *Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis* (Bai et al., 2010; Borzée et al., 2017b; Fisher and Garner, 2007; Ribeiro et al., 2019), ranavirus (Gray et al., 2009) and other parasites (Ransangan et al., 2013). The presence of the species outside of its range has been clearly linked to numerous declines in native species (Li and Xie, 2004; Ra et al., 2010; Snow and Witmer, 2010), and negative economic impacts when invasive populations are established (Measey et al., 2016). While upholding the trade ban in Vietnam has a strong conservation benefit for many native species, it may also result in the establishment of farms of invasive species, such as *L. catesbeianus*, and the establishment of invasive populations when farmed individuals release or escape. Similar issues have been expressed about the possibilities of other species becoming invasive. For instance, in the Philippines and in the Malaysian states of Sabah and Sarawak in Borneo, *H. rugulosus* is a non-native species commercially farmed for the food trade as well as for fishing bait and aquaculture feed (Das, 2011; Das et al., 2014; Sy, 2014), despite the climate of the area being adequate for invasions by the species (Mohanty et al., 2020). Additionally, Chinese giant salamanders that were released in Japan after being farmed for food, now hybridise with the native Japanese giant salamanders (*Andrias japonicus*) and threaten their genetic integrity (Fukumoto et al., 2015; Wang, 2015). Consequently, we recommend upholding trade bans, especially for live individuals and threatened species.

While farming of *L. catesbeianus* has not been as successful as expected in some countries, such as Indonesia (Kusriani and Alford, 2006) and the R Korea (Groffen et al., 2019), it is still a widespread practice in some other regions (Kang et al., 2019; Wang et al., 2009), and escapees from farms in all regions continue to threaten the surrounding wildlife. Farming of native frogs has also been developed into a lucrative business (Ding et al., 2015; Zhan and Yang, 2012), with *Hoplobatrachus* spp. individuals reaching marketable size within four months (Fang et al., 2002; Pearson et al., 1997). For comparison, *L. catesbeianus* takes up to three years in natural weather conditions around 40°N, or eight months at 25–30 °C (Lutz and Avery, 1999). Areas with a tropical climate can profitably farm *H. rugulosus* or *Fejervarya* spp., especially given that some of these species are considered to be delicacies in some regions (Truong, 2000). Colder areas can rely on *Rana* spp., where research shows that the farming of *R. chensinensis* and *R. dybowskii* can be profitable (Li et al., 2007; Qian and Chen, 2003; Xu et al., 2018) and where it is now relatively prevalent (Li and Chen, 2005; Liu et al., 2007; Maslova, 2018).

However, the current farming of native species cannot be treated as a net-positive conservation intervention. For instance, harvesters in Indonesia believe that harvested species are declining, although an alternative explanation is competition between harvesters (Kusriani and Alford, 2006). Similarly, populations of the native *H. rugulosus* in China have declined by approximately 30% between the 1980s and 2010s (Xia,

2010), and other large-bodied frog species have declined in Southeast Asia during the last decades (Rowley et al., 2010; Wei et al., 2014). In addition, the genus *Rana* has declined over 60 to 70% of its range despite the active development of amphibian farming in China, resulting in a 21.5% decrease in populations of *Rana* over 15 years (Liu et al., 2014; Liu et al., 2007), on par with the decline in *Rana* populations in Russia (Maslova, 2018). Breeding of these species in farms has not decreased the intensity of harvesting in the wild (Zhan and Yang, 2012), and it is therefore important that the farming of native species starts supporting conservation efforts by relieving pressure on wild populations and that these operations cease the laundering of individuals and contributing to the decline and extirpation of wild populations.

Therefore, we recommend a complete ban on the farming of non-native amphibian species, especially when there is a high potential for feral populations of such species to become established in the environment surrounding the farm, i.e. non-native species to become naturalised. In the absence of alternatives, and when possible and ecologically sustainable, non-native species should be replaced by local native species. A certification system to trace the provenance and source of animals by both sellers and buyers, as used in fisheries, could help identify and curb illegal wild harvests; however, clear mechanisms to prevent fraud are also needed (Veith et al., 2000). Alternatively, regulated harvest of wild populations could still be allowed at specific times of the year and in specific contexts, such as rice fields, as these are the primary habitat of numerous common and non-threatened Asian anuran species (Borzée et al., 2017a; Holzer et al., 2017; Kusriani and Alford, 2006; Naito et al., 2013). Considering this, rice fields would require protection, as an increasingly high number of rice fields are being developed for non-agricultural uses, especially in northern Asia (Fujioka and Lane, 1997; Yan et al., 2015; Borzée et al., 2017a; Deng et al., 2019; NBSC, 2019; Wang et al., 2019).

Finally, it would be important to give consideration to rectifying the negative impact of farming non-native species, such as the local eradication of feral populations of *L. catesbeianus*, already planned by some nations (Democratic People's Republic of Korea, National Biodiversity Strategy and Action Plan, 2017), following precedents set elsewhere (Kamoroff et al., 2020).

3. Regulation of the amphibian pet trade

Amphibian pathogens are spread and introduced through the wild-life trade (Fisher and Garner, 2007; Gilbert et al., 2013; O'Hanlon et al., 2018), and the pet trade is known to be a significant pathway for the spread of amphibian pathogens (Nguyen et al., 2017; Rowley et al., 2016). In addition, the pet trade threaten species (Choquette et al., 2020) and may result in the introduction of feral populations, and while no such species has been reported in Asia, to our knowledge, potentially invasive species have been found in the wild, such as *Xenopus laevis* in R Korea following release or escape (iNaturalist, 2020). Another amphibian invasion related to the trade is *Polypedates megacephalus*, which was introduced at several localities with horticultural plants, and the populations have been increasing ever since (Lee et al., 2019). However, international trade is not the only problem, and trade of species between provinces of a country with different species assemblages results in the same loss. Southeast Asia is a hub for international amphibian trade, and this has a critical impact on threatened species (Nijman, 2010; Sodhi et al., 2004; Krishnasamy and Zavagli, 2020). As a result, several species in the region have exhibited declines in population sizes and have been locally extirpated (Duckworth et al., 2012; Phimmachak et al., 2012; Rowley et al., 2016; Stuart et al., 2006). Specifically, the pet trade is now the primary threat to some Southeast Asian newts, with the USA the largest importer until recently (Krishnasamy and Zavagli, 2020). An example is the Lao warty newt (*Laotriton laevis*) in Lao People's Democratic Republic, where collection for the pet trade is a principal driver for the species' decline (Rowley et al., 2016). Villagers relied on the sale of 100 individuals to European,

Japanese, and Chinese collectors in 2008 and 2009, an unsustainable number for the species (Krishnasamy and Zavagli, 2020). The situation is additionally worrying in view of the spreading pathogen *Batrachochytrium salamandrivorans* that originated from the region and has an impact on other amphibian species, particularly on Palearctic and Nearctic Caudata (Krishnasamy and Zavagli, 2020; Laking et al., 2017; Martel et al., 2014) and anurans (Nguyen et al., 2017), with potentially catastrophic implications (Martel et al., 2013; Stegen et al., 2017). Therefore, it is important to regulate movement of species that can spread pathogens through the pet trade, such as *Laotriton laoensis* (Rowley et al., 2016), but also species such as the European *Salamandra salamandra* (Sabino-Pinto et al., 2015) that can carry pathogens to countries in East Asia (Beukema et al., 2018; Koo et al., 2020). In addition, amphibians in Southeast Asia are also potentially threatened by emerging diseases from other parts of the globe. While the legal trade may be regulated, the illegal trade results in the movement of numerous individuals, such as dendrobatid frogs, through Lebanon and Kazakhstan, before being sold in Thailand (Krishnasamy and Zavagli, 2020; Nijman and Shepherd, 2009), and these individuals are potential vectors of emerging diseases (Woeltjes et al., 2011). The amphibian trade also results in the death of numerous individuals while they are shipped, an exacerbated problem in the case of the illegal trade.

The current wildlife trade ban implemented by countries such as Vietnam resulted in some threatened species that were legally collected for the pet trade now being protected from harvesting, such as *Theloderma palliatum* (IUCN Species Survival Commission (SSC) Amphibian Specialist Group, 2017) and *Theloderma bambusicolum* (IUCN SSC Amphibian Specialist Group, 2015), both under Governmental Decree No. 06/2019/NC-CP of Vietnam (2019). From the point of view of a recipient country of the trade such as the R Korea, where more than 85 anuran and 37 caudate species are imported in the pet trade (Koo et al., 2020), 28 species such as *Rana lessonae* and *Rana pipiens* have been recently listed as “inflow-cautious organisms” (illustrating their potential as invasive species; R Korea; Ministry of Environment, 2020b) and with efforts made to further limit the trade, now require special importation documentation (Heo, 2008a; Heo, 2008b; Borzée et al., 2020a). It is, however, necessary to acknowledge the benefit of amphibian farming locally for the pet trade in specific circumstances and under appropriate biosecurity measures (Mattioli et al., 2006; Tensen, 2016). In addition, an acceleration of the CITES process regulating trade to better match, and catch-up with the IUCN assessments identifying trade as a risk for a particular species could help improve the situation (Frank and Wilcove, 2019). As an example, if species such as *T. palliatum* were included to CITES Appendix II (and Governmental Decree No. 06/2019 of Vietnam, 2019), the trade of these species would halt, similarly to that of *Hoplobatrachus tigrinus* and *Euphyctis hexadactylus* (Kusrini and Alford, 2006). Finally, investing in amphibian conservation in the wild in the form of habitat protection, and not their trade would be a valuable second step (Liu et al., 2019).

Therefore, following in the path of other governments regulating pet trade to prevent the spread of zoonotic and panzootic diseases (Gray et al., 2015; Sullivan, 2018), we call for a stricter regulation in the amphibian pet trade. It would be beneficial that local and international trade accessibility be aligned with species extinction risk and specific trade threats highlighted by The IUCN Red list of Threatened Species, National Red Lists or other more recent and authoritative work. Additionally, quotas should be set and national regulations and CITES procedures should be enforced, along with the improvement of reporting and training of the national bodies responsible for enforcement.

4. Expansion of the wildlife trade ban

Species under the jurisdiction of the Department of Agriculture were excluded from the wildlife trade ban in China. This means that aquatic wildlife, with the exception of species explicitly banned by the Wildlife Protection Law (2018) or in protected areas, can be freely harvested and

consumed (Feng et al., 2018). The reason for this is that the National People’s Congress qualifies aquatic species as a “natural resource and an important agricultural product, as well as a common international practice” (Xinhua News Agency, 2020b). As a result, farmed amphibians on the List of National Key Protected Aquatic Wild Animals for Farming and the List of National Key Protected Economic Aquatic Animals and Plants Resources (Xinhua News Agency, 2020a) can be bred for consumption, or other consumables, and will remain so until further consideration from the Ministry of Agriculture and Rural Affairs from China (Xinhua News Agency, 2020a).

Notable species omitted from the wildlife trade ban include newly described and recently discovered, but undescribed, species of Chinese giant salamanders (*Andrias* spp.) and crocodile newts (*Tylostotriton* spp.). While giant salamanders were formerly widely distributed across much of South and Central China, recent phylogenetic developments have split the clade into distinct species: *A. davidianus*, *A. sligoi*, and an undescribed species, known only from farms (Turvey et al., 2019; Yan et al., 2018). Human-mediated translocations, trade, and release for commercial farming have also led to hybridisation and genetic homogenisation, blurring range delineations through population admixture. Wild Chinese giant salamander populations are now critically depleted or extirpated over much of their range (Turvey et al., 2019; Yan et al., 2018), and extinction risk did not improve following the release of hundreds of thousands of farmed individuals into the wild (Lu et al., 2020; Yan et al., 2018). The genus *Tylostotriton* contains morphologically similar species (AmphibiaWeb, 2020), several of which are listed as threatened (Endangered and Vulnerable) on The IUCN Red list of Threatened Species and Chinese Red Lists (Jiang et al., 2016). Some of these species are continually threatened by the intense harvesting pressure for the international pet trade and habitat destruction (Hernandez and Hou, 2018). Others, such as *T. yangi*, which is Endangered on The IUCN Red list of Threatened Species (IUCN SSC Amphibian Specialist Group, 2020), are harvested to be dried, sold, and used for traditional medicine (Wang et al., 2017).

The farming of *Andrias* spp. can be used to support ex-situ conservation breeding and has the potential to relieve harvest pressure on wild populations. However, while *Andrias* spp. have now been bred for several generations in commercial breeding farms, there are currently no existing *Andrias* spp. captive breeding programs that can produce offspring suitable for subsequent release into the wild. The often unknown provenance of founding stock, presence of multiple pathogens (and the inability to reliably screen for some of these pathogens), and sometimes sub-optimal biosecurity make current farming and breeding facilities inappropriate for conservation breeding. Furthermore, there is evidence that the exploitation of wild *Andrias* spp. is ongoing despite the existence of the farming industry (Tapley et al., 2020; Turvey et al., 2018). Improved supervision and monitoring by authorities is required to address this situation and it would be beneficial to set trade regulations with regard to genetic screening, provenance and pathogen surveillance in collaboration with scientific institutions. There is a need for co-ordinated monitoring and protection of *Andrias* spp., as well as strengthening of legislation and enforcement to protect any surviving wild populations (Turvey et al., 2018). Thus, termination of commercial farming in or near reserves because of the difficulty in regulating poaching, genetic testing for origin of clade before reintroduction, and the development of ecotourism to raise awareness has been recommended (Lu et al., 2020). While the original intention was likely to use ex situ breeding of *Andrias* spp. to alleviate poverty and protect wild populations through “sustainable” farming practices, farming and conservation are clearly different endeavours. Sustainable farming practices can support community development and relieve the pressure on wild populations; however, for the strengthening of in situ protection to safeguard wild *Andrias* spp., any conservation breeding and release would require strict monitoring. Similarly, several species of *Tylostotriton* are now listed as Class II on the List of Endangered Species of China and law enforcement was reinforced during the breeding season of the

species, with habitat restoration and captive breeding programs implemented, with support from local communities (Wang et al., 2017).

It is important to ensure that the sustainable farming practices for these species do not result in an increased risk of zoonoses through pathogens such as *Mycobacterium*, a common bacteria in captive settings (Densmore and Green, 2007). Farms rearing *Andrias* spp. are currently permitted to release untreated effluent from indoor holding tanks directly into streams and rivers, leading to a risk of pathogen transmission (Cunningham et al., 2016). Similarly, consumption of *Tylototriton* spp. as traditional medicine or in food markets can also result in cross-species pathogen transmission through human consumption as food or traditional medicine (Fig. 1). A complete ban on amphibian farming is likely to be harmful to both the environment because of the resulting illegal and non-regulated harvests, and to the human communities who depend economically on the trade. A ban would also likely drive the market underground in addition to the unresolved question regarding either releasing or euthanising the millions of animals now in captivity. The wildlife farming industry is estimated to have employed more than 14 million people and to have generated over £56 billion in China, with food consumption involving species such as the Giant salamander, frogs, and peacocks representing 24% of the total profit (Shuhui, 2008). Therefore, we recommend a gradual shift away from non-sustainable farming practices to alleviate pressure on people affected by an edict (Jiangxi Provincial Forestry Department, 2018a), and with consideration given to the strengthening of wild amphibian populations, to ensure that unintended negative consequences to threatened species can be managed adequately.

5. Conclusion

We recommend that wild individuals of species listed as threatened on The IUCN Red List of Threatened Species (i.e., Vulnerable, Endangered, and Critically Endangered; IUCN, 2020), as well as species listed as Data Deficient and Not Evaluated, should not be legally traded or used without approval from relevant institutions, and never in breach of conservation requirements for the species. Specifically, for species not yet commercially used, or used on a small scale, it would be beneficial to issue and enforce a strict ban. For species that have already been legally commercially farmed and traded at large scales, an impact evaluation on how best to shift to sustainable farming should be conducted including evidence-based recommendations for levels of sustainable use, e.g. through population modelling. A conservation plan with a standardised and regulated methodology should be incorporated into breeding programs for each species. In addition, we recommend that only local native species be farmed to mitigate the risk of introduction and pathogen



Fig. 1. *Tylototriton shanjing* being traded at a market in Hainan in August 2019. This is one of the 40 bags available for sale despite being a nationally protected species in the People's Republic of China (photograph by Benjamin Tapley).

pollution, while maintaining a distinction between farm- and conservation-orientated breeding, but only if this results in sustainable replacement from wild populations. Ultimately, all amphibian farming needs to be sustainable and contributing to the conservation of the species that are farmed independently of the threat level to the species, and must in no case be detrimental to wild populations.

National legislation, such as the Catalogue of National Key Protected Wild Animals for Artificial Breeding in China or catalogues with a similar function in other regions would benefit from re-examination to list amphibian species according to the points developed above. Ideally, any species not present on a national list of species for which trade is legal would not be sold domestically or internationally under any circumstances, and it would be best that permits allowing additional domestication and wild capture of species for commercial or consumption purposes not be issued (Shucong, 2015). However, in the case of species that are abundant in the wild, “laundering [through farms may] not pose a conservation risk; it is a compliance issue” (Natusch, 2018). While the harvest of threatened species must be controlled and stringently limited, harvest of species with population sizes similar or higher than that prior to human activities can be of conservation benefit providing incentives for the protection of wild populations and their ecosystems, through benefits accrued by communities conducting the harvests (Hutton and Leader-Williams, 2003). While this system may be difficult to implement, it is not impossible if this measure is adapted contemporarily and examples where villagers actively protect natural habitats from conversion to agriculture exist (Natusch, 2018), and their example should be emulated.

We also suggest discouraging the consumption of threatened and non-native amphibian species and alternatives should be sought, including the resurgence of regional dishes and food varieties that do not include wild or invasive species, or plant-based alternatives (da Fonte and Marin, 2020; D’Cruze et al., 2020). As an example in China, few species other than commonly farmed species were eaten during celebrations before the Song Dynasty (Hughes, 2020). In addition, we recommend a transition to sustainable farming certification schemes for threatened species. However, the current inability to permanently mark amphibians in a way that is tamper-proof makes certification difficult, and we call for research to test marking systems. For instance, trials with microchips to track the *Andrias* spp. trade were attempted in China, but the project was terminated following concerns over consumers biting into the microchips (B. Tapley, pers. comm). Other methods relying on natural colour patterns for individual identification could also be trialled, such as seen on *Bombina* species (Gollmann and Gollmann, 2011) and Hylidae (Kim et al., 2017).

Emerging infectious diseases are linked to the current extinction crisis affecting amphibians (Bishop et al., 2012; Pimm et al., 2014; Stuart et al., 2004), and to cross-region contamination via international trade. We recommend measures that tailor regulations in such a way as to stem future risks. An example of such regulations would be those in the USA to prevent the introduction of *Batrachochytrium salamandrivorans* (Gray et al., 2015). Finally, we recommend the development of amphibian trade bans in all countries and regions following the examples of China and Vietnam, ensuring that amphibians receive the same degree of consideration as other vertebrates while maintaining a clear distinction between sustainable farming of threatened species and conservation programs, which may include a certain level of regulated harvest. This recommendation applies to native species that are not harvested for subsistence or food production, and under stringent control following the recommendations or trade-regulating organisations such as CITES for species that are traded for purposes other than consumption. Strict enforcement should also be conducted to prevent the legal trade from moving underground. This is the ideal time to be taking these steps, in the light of increased global awareness of the potential impacts of wildlife trade.

CRediT authorship contribution statement

Members of the IUCN SSC Amphibian Specialist Group developed the original idea and drafted the first file, and all authors provided critical feedback, writing and review.

Declaration of competing interest

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

Acknowledgment

We are grateful to Benjamin Tapley and Jonathan Kolby for his their comments on an earlier version of the manuscript and for the image reproduced here as Fig. 1. We would also like to thank Hoa Quynh Nguyen for her help understanding the trade ban in Vietnam and the administrative process behind it, and to Yanping Wu for her help with Mandarin literature. This is a publication of the IUCN SSC Amphibian Specialist Group and we would like thank Prof Phil Bishop, ASG co-chair, for his role as mentor and world leader in amphibian conservation.

References

- Aguirre, A.A., 2017. Changing patterns of emerging zoonotic diseases in wildlife, domestic animals, and humans linked to biodiversity loss and globalization. *ILAR journal* 58, 315–318.
- Allen, T., Murray, K.A., Zambrana-Torrel, C., Morse, S.S., Rondinini, C., Di Marco, M., Breit, N., Olival, K.J., Daszak, P., 2017. Global hotspots and correlates of emerging zoonotic diseases. *Nature Communications* 8, 1–10.
- Altherr, S., Goyenechea, A., Schubert, D.J., 2011. Canapés to Extinction: The International Trade in Frogs' Legs and Its Ecological Impact. A Report by Pro Wildlife, Defenders of Wildlife and Animal Welfare Institute (eds.), Munich (Germany), Washington, D.C. (USA).
- AmphibiaWeb, 2020. *Tylotriton yangi*. University of California, Berkeley, USA. <https://amphibiaweb.org/species/7874>.
- Ariel, E., 2011. Viruses in reptiles. *BMC Veterinary research* 42, 100.
- Attademo, A., Peltzer, P., Lajmanovich, R., 2005. Amphibians occurring in soybean and implications for biological control in Argentina. *Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment* 106, 389–394.
- Attademo, A., Cejas, W., Peltzer, P., Lajmanovich, R., 2007a. Phenology in the diet of *Chaunus arenarum* (Anura: Bufonidae) in a soybean field of Córdoba province, Argentina. *Revista Española de Herpetología* 21, 41–48.
- Attademo, A., Peltzer, P., Lajmanovich, R., 2007b. Feeding habits of *Physalaemus biligonigerus* (Anura, Leptodactylidae) from soybean field of Córdoba province, Argentina. *Russian Journal of Herpetology* 14, 1–6.
- Auliya, M., García-Moreno, J., Schmidt, B.R., Schmeller, D.S., Hoogmoed, M.S., Fisher, M.C., Pasmans, F., Henle, K., Bickford, D., Martel, A., 2016. The global amphibian trade flows through Europe: the need for enforcing and improving legislation. *Biodiversity and Conservation* 25, 2581–2595.
- Bai, C., Garner, T.W., Li, Y., 2010. First evidence of *Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis* in China: discovery of chytridiomycosis in introduced American bullfrogs and native amphibians in the Yunnan Province, China. *EcoHealth* 7, 127–134.
- Beukema, W., Martel, A., Nguyen, T.T., Goka, K., Schmeller, D.S., Yuan, Z., Laking, A.E., Nguyen, T.Q., Lin, C.F., Shelton, J., Loyau, A., Pasmans, F., 2018. Environmental context and differences between native and invasive observed niches of *Batrachochytrium salamandrivorans* affect invasion risk assessments in the Western Palearctic. *Diversity and distributions* 24, 1788–1801.
- Bishop, P., Angulo, A., Lewis, J., Moore, R., Rabb, G., Moreno, J.G., 2012. The Amphibian Extinction Crisis—what will it take to put the action into the Amphibian Conservation Action Plan? *SAPIENS. Surveys and Perspectives Integrating Environment and Society* 5, 97–111.
- Blaustein, L., Margalit, J., 1994. Mosquito larvae (*Culiseta longiareolata*) prey upon and compete with toad tadpoles (*Bufo viridis*). *Journal of Animal Ecology* 63, 841–850.
- Blaustein, L., Margalit, J., 1996. Priority effects in temporary pools: nature and outcome of mosquito larva-toad tadpole interactions depend on order of entrance. *Journal of Animal Ecology* 65, 77–84.
- Blaustein, L., Kiflawi, M., Eitam, A., Mangel, M., Cohen, J., 2004. Oviposition habitat selection in response to risk of predation in temporary pools: mode of detection and consistency across experimental venue. *Oecologia* 138, 300–305.
- Borzée, A., Kim, K., Heo, K., Jablonski, P.G., Jang, Y., 2017a. Impact of land reclamation and agricultural water regime on the distribution and conservation status of the endangered *Dryophytes suweonensis*. *PeerJ* 5, e3872.
- Borzée, A., Kosch, T.A., Kim, M., Jang, Y., 2017b. Introduced bullfrogs are associated with increased *Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis* prevalence and reduced occurrence of Korean treefrogs. *Plos One* 12, e0177860.
- Borzée, A., Kwon, S., Kyo Soung, K., Jang, Y., 2020a. Policy recommendation on the restriction on amphibian trade toward the Republic of Korea. *Frontiers in Environmental Science* 8, 129.
- Borzée, A., McNeely, J., Magellan, K., Miller, J.R.B., Porter, L., Dutta, T., Kadinjappalli, K.P., Sharma, S., Shahabuddin, G., Aprilinayati, F., Ryan, G.E., Hughes, A., Mutalib, A.H.A., Wahab, A.Z.A., Bista, D., Chavanich, S.A., Chong, J.L., Gale, G.A., Ghaffari, H., Ghimire, Y., Jayaraj, V.K., Khatiwada, A.P., Khatiwada, M., Krishna, M., Lwin, N., Paudel, P.K., Sadykova, C., Savini, T., Shrestha, B.B., Strine, C. T., Suthacheep, M., Wong, E.P., Yeemin, T., Zahirudin, N.Z., Zhang, L., 2020b. COVID-19 highlights the need for more effective wildlife trade legislation. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution* 35, 1052–1055.
- Brodman, R., Dorton, R., 2006. The effectiveness of pond-breeding salamanders as agents of larval mosquito control. *Journal of Freshwater Ecology* 21, 467–474.
- Carpenter, A.I., Dublin, H., Lau, M., Syed, G., McKay, J.E., Moore, R.D., 2007. Overharvesting. In: Gascon, C., et al. (Eds.), *Amphibian Conservation Action Plan*. IUCN, Gland, Switzerland.
- Chakraborty, I., Maity, P., 2020. COVID-19 outbreak: migration, effects on society, global environment and prevention. *Science of the Total Environment* 728.
- Choquette, R.E., Angulo, A., Bishop, P.J., Phan, C.T., Rowley, J.J., 2020. The internet-based Southeast Asia amphibian pet trade. *Traffic Bulletin* 32, 68–76.
- Corlett, R.T., 2014. *The Ecology of Tropical East Asia*. Oxford University Press, Oxford, USA.
- Corlett, R.T., Primack, R.B., Devictor, V., Maas, B., Goswami, V.R., Bates, A.E., Koh, L.P., Regan, T.J., Loyola, R., Pakeman, R.J., Cumming, G.S., Pidgeon, A., Johns, D., Rothp, R., 2020. Impacts of the coronavirus pandemic on biodiversity conservation. *Biological Conservation* 246, 108571.
- Cortes-Gomez, A., Ruiz-Agudelo, C., Valencia-Aguilar, A., Ladle, R., 2015. Ecological functions of neotropical amphibians and reptiles: a review. *Universitas Scientiarum* 20, 229–245.
- Cunningham, A.A., Turvey, S.T., Zhou, F., Meredith, H.M.R., Guan, W., Liu, X., Sun, C., Wang, Z., Wu, M., 2016. Development of the Chinese giant salamander *Andrias davidianus* farming industry in Shaanxi Province, China: conservation threats and opportunities. *Oryx* 50, 265–273.
- da Fonte, L.F.M., Marin, A.P.F., 2020. Conservationists must address meat and dairy. *Science* 367, 374.
- Das, I., 2011. Man meets frog: perceptions, use and conservation of amphibians by indigenous people. In *Amphibian Biology*. Vol. 10. Conservation and Decline of Amphibians: Ecological Aspects, Effect of Humans, and Management. ed. H.H.M. Wilkinson, pp. 3383–3468. Surrey Beatty & Sons, Baulkham Hills, New South Wales, Australia.
- Das, I., Yaakob, N.S., Sukumaran, J., Leong, T.-M., 2014. Conservation status of amphibians of Malaysia and Singapore. In: Das, H.H.I. (Ed.), *Conservation Biology of Amphibians of Asia*, *Amphibian Biology*. Vol. 11(3). Decline in the Eastern Hemisphere. Natural History Publications (Borneo) Sdn Bhd., Kota Kinabalu, Malaysia, pp. 281–299.
- D'Crue, N., Assou, D., Coulthard, E., Norrey, J., Megson, D., Macdonald, D.W., Harrington, L.A., Ronfot, D., Segniabeto, G.H., Auliya, M., 2020. Snake oil and pangolin scales: insights into wild animal use at "Marché des Fétiches" traditional medicine market. *Togo. Nature Conservation* 39.
- Deng, N., Grassini, P., Yang, H., Huang, J., Cassman, K.G., Peng, S., 2019. Closing yield gaps for rice self-sufficiency in China. *Nature Communications* 10, 1–9.
- Densmore, C.L., Green, D.E., 2007. Diseases of amphibians. *ILAR journal* 48, 235–254.
- Ding, G.H., Lin, Z.H., Fan, X.L., Ji, X., 2015. The combined effects of food supply and larval density on survival, growth and metamorphosis of Chinese tiger frog (*Hoplobatrachus rugulosus*) tadpoles. *Aquaculture* 435, 398–402.
- Duckworth, J.W., Batters, G., Belant, J.L., Bennett, E.L., Brunner, J., Burton, J., Challenger, D.W.S., Cowling, V., Duplaix, N., Harris, J.D., Hedges, S., Long, B., Mahood, S.P., McGowan, P.J.K., McShea, W.J., Oliver, W.L.R., Perkin, S., Rawson, B. M., Shepherd, C.R., Stuart, S.N., Talukdar, B.K., van Dijk, P.P., Vié, J.-C., Walston, J. L., Whitten, T., Wirth, R., 2012. Why South-East Asia should be the world's priority for averting imminent species extinctions, and a call to join a developing cross-institutional programme to tackle this urgent issue. *Sapiens* 5, 77–95.
- DuRant, S.E., Hopkins, W.A., 2008. Amphibian predation on larval mosquitoes. *Canadian Journal of Zoology* 86, 1159–1164.
- ENV, 2020. *Wildlife Law library*. ENV. <https://env4wildlife.org/wildlife-law-library/>.
- Fang, Z., Wang, Y., Dan, X., 2002. Aquaculture technology for *Rana tigrina*. *Inland Aquatic Product* 27, 18–19.
- Fei, L., Hu, S., Ye, C., Huang, Y., 2006. *Fauna Sinica: Amphibia*, vol. 1. Science Press, Beijing, People's Republic of China.
- Feng, L., Liao, W., Hu, J., 2018. Towards a more sustainable human–animal relationship: the legal protection of wildlife in China. *Sustainability* 11, 3112.
- Ficetola, G.F., Thuiller, W., Maud, C., 2007. Prediction and validation of the potential global distribution of a problematic alien invasive species: the American bullfrog. *Diversity and distributions* 13, 476–485.
- Fisher, M.C., Garner, T.W., 2007. The relationship between the emergence of *Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis*, the international trade in amphibians and introduced amphibian species. *Fungal Biology Reviews* 21, 2–9.
- Frank, E.G., Wilcove, D.S., 2019. Long delays in banning trade in threatened species. *Science* 363, 686–688.
- Fujioka, M., Lane, S.J., 1997. The impact of changing irrigation practices in rice fields on frog populations of the Kanto Plain, central Japan. *Ecological Research* 12, 101–108.
- Fukumoto, S., Ushimaru, A., Minamoto, T., 2015. A basin-scale application of environmental DNA assessment for rare endemic species and closely related exotic species in rivers: a case study of giant salamanders in Japan. *Journal of Applied Ecology* 52, 358–365.

- Garner, T.W., Stephen, I., Wombwell, E., Fisher, M.C., 2009. The amphibian trade: bans or best practice? *EcoHealth* 6, 148.
- Gilbert, M., Bickford, D., Clark, L., Johnson, A., Joyner, P.H., Keatts, L.O., Khammavong, K., Van, L.N., Newton, A., Seow, T.P.W., Robertson, S., Silitthammavong, S., Singhalath, S., Yang, A., Seimon, T.A., S., R., 2013. Amphibian pathogens in Southeast Asian frog trade. *EcoHealth* 9, 386–398.
- GlobeScan, 2020. Opinion survey on covid-19 and wildlife trade in 5 Asian markets: findings from survey in march 2020, ed. W.W.F.F. Nature, GlobeScan. https://d2ou5y9p0dg6k.cloudfront.net/downloads/en_opinion_survey_covid_19_full_report.pdf.
- Gollmann, G., Gollmann, B., 2011. Ontogenetic change of colour pattern in *Bombina variegata*: implications for individual identification. *Herpetology Notes* 4, 333–335.
- Gratwicke, B., Evans, M.J., Jenkins, P.T., M.D., K., Moore, R.D., Sevin, J., D.E., W., 2009. Is the international frog legs trade a potential vector for deadly amphibian pathogens? *Frontiers in Ecology and the Environment* 8, 438–442.
- Gratwicke, B., Evans, M.J., Jenkins, P.T., Kusriani, M.D., Moore, R.D., Sevin, J., Wildt, D. E., 2010. Is the international frog legs trade a potential vector for deadly amphibian pathogens? *Frontiers in Ecology and the Environment* 8, 438–442.
- Gray, M.J., Miller, D.L., Hoverman, J.T., 2009. Ecology and pathology of amphibian ranaviruses. *Diseases of Aquatic Organisms* 87, 243–266.
- Gray, M.J., Lewis, J.P., Nanjappa, P., Klocke, B., Pasmans, F., Martel, A., Stephen, C., Olea, G.P., Smith, S.A., Sacerdote-Velat, A., 2015. *Batrachochytrium salamandrivorans*: the North American response and a call for action. *Plos Pathogens* 11, e1005251.
- Groffen, J., Kong, S., Jang, Y., Borzée, A., 2019. The invasive American bullfrog (*Lithobates catesbeianus*) in the Republic of Korea: history and recommendation for population control. *Management of Biological Invasions* 10, 517–535.
- Heo, G.J., 2008a. Husbandry and diseases of amphibians and reptiles I. *Journal of the Korean Veterinary Medical Association* 44, 627–638.
- Heo, G.J., 2008b. Husbandry and diseases of amphibians and reptiles II. *Journal of the Korean Veterinary Medical Association* 44, 701–710.
- Hernandez, A., Hou, M., 2018. Natural history and biology of the Tiannan crocodile newt, *Tylototriton yangi* (Urodela: Salamandridae) at Gejiu, Yunnan Province, China with its conservation implications. *Nature Conservation Research* 3, 17–27.
- Hocking, D.J., Babbitt, K.J., 2014. Amphibian contributions to ecosystem services. *Herpetological Conservation and Biology* 9, 1–17.
- Holzer, K.A., Bayers, R.P., Nguyen, T.T., Lawler, S.P., 2017. Habitat value of cities and rice paddies for amphibians in rapidly urbanizing Vietnam. *Journal of Urban Ecology* 3, 1–12.
- Hughes, A., 2020. Annex 3. In: S.o.c.f.i.c.o.e.a. Development (Ed.), CCICED Special Policy Report on Biodiversity 2020 Report (Beijing, People's Republic of China).
- Hutton, J., Leader-Williams, N., 2003. Sustainable use and incentive driven conservation: realigning human and conservation interests. *Oryx* 37, 215–226.
- iNaturalist, 2020. *Xenopus laevis* (African clawed frog), iNaturalist.org. <https://www.inaturalist.org/observations/32819033>.
- IUCN, 2020. The IUCN Red List of Threatened Species. IUCN, Gland, Switzerland.
- IUCN SSC Amphibian Specialist Group, 2015. *Theloderma bambusicolum*. In: e. T48099998A48100004. The IUCN Red List of Threatened Species, Gland, Switzerland.
- IUCN SSC Amphibian Specialist Group, 2017. *Theloderma palliatum*. In: e. T48100463A48100482. The IUCN Red List of Threatened Species, Gland, Switzerland.
- IUCN SSC Amphibian Specialist Group, 2020. *Tylototriton yangi*. In: e. T78587030A122172387. The IUCN Red List of Threatened Species, Gland, Switzerland.
- Janssen, J., Chng, S.C.L., 2017. Biological parameters used in setting captive-breeding quotas for Indonesia's breeding facilities. *Conservation Biology* 32, 18–25.
- Jennings, M., Hayes, M., 1985. Pre-1900 overharvest of California red-legged frogs (*Rana aurora draytonii*): the inducement for bullfrog (*Rana catesbeiana*) introduction. *Herpetologica* 41, 94–103.
- Jensen, J.B., Camp, C.D., 2003. Human exploitation of amphibians: direct and indirect impacts. In *Amphibian Conservation*. ed. R.D. Semlitsch, pp. 199–213. Smithsonian Institution, Washington, USA.
- Jiang, J., Xie, F., Zang, C., Cai, L., Li, C., Wang, B., Li, J., Wang, J., Hu, J., Wang, Y., Liu, J., 2016. Assessing the threat status of amphibians in China. *Biodiversity Science* 24, 588–597.
- Jiangxi Provincial Forestry Department, 2018a. Wild animal and plant industry helps Jiangxi forestry target poverty alleviation, Jiangxi Provincial Forestry Department. <http://www.forestry.gov.cn/main/5383/20180111/1066442.html>.
- Jiangxi Provincial Forestry Department, 2018b. Wildlife industries help poverty alleviation in Jiangxi, Jiangxi Provincial Forestry Department. <http://www.forestry.gov.cn/main/5383/20180111/1066442.html>.
- Jones, K.E., Patel, N.G., Levy, M.A., Storeygard, A., Balk, D., Gittleman, J.L., Daszak, P., 2008. Global trends in emerging infectious diseases. *Nature* 451, 990–993.
- Kamoroff, C., Daniele, N., Grasso, R.L., Rising, R., Espinoza, T., Goldberg, C.S., 2020. Effective removal of the American bullfrog (*Lithobates catesbeianus*) on a landscape level: long term monitoring and removal efforts in Yosemite Valley, Yosemite National Park. *Biological Invasions* 22, 617–626.
- Kang, H.-J., Koo, K., Sung, H.-C., 2019. Current distribution of American bullfrog *Rana catesbeiana* Shaw, 1802 in the Republic of Korea. *BioInvasions Records* 8, 942–946.
- Kim, M.Y., Borzée, A., Kim, J.Y., Jang, Y., 2017. Treefrog lateral line as a mean of individual identification through visual and software assisted methodologies. *Journal of Ecology and Environment* 41, 1–6.
- Kolby, J.E., Smith, K.M., Berger, L., Karesh, W.B., Preston, A., Pessier, A.P., Skerratt, L.F., 2014. First evidence of amphibian chytrid fungus (*Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis*) and ranavirus in Hong Kong amphibian trade. *Plos One* 9, e90750.
- Koo, K.S., Park, H.R., Choi, J.H., Sung, H.C., 2020. Present status of non-native amphibians and reptiles traded in Korean online pet shops. *Korean Journal of Environment and Ecology* 3, 106–114.
- Kruger, K., Hero, J., 2009. Chytridiomycosis, amphibian extinctions, and lessons for the prevention of future zoonoses. *EcoHealth* 6, 6–10.
- Krishnasamy, K., Zavagli, M., 2020. Southeast Asia: At the Heart of Wildlife Trade. TRAFFIC, Southeast Asia Regional Office, Petaling Jaya, Selangor, Malaysia.
- Kumschick, S., Vimercati, G., De Villiers, F.A., Mokhatla, M.M., Davies, S.J., Thorp, C.J., Rebelo, A.D., Measey, G.J., 2017. Impact assessment with different scoring tools: how well do alien amphibian assessments match? *NeoBiota* 33, 53–66.
- Kusriani, M.D., 2005. Edible Frog Harvesting in Indonesia: Evaluating Its Impacts and Ecological Context. James Cook University, Townsville, Australia.
- Kusriani, M.D., Alford, R.A., 2006. Indonesia's exports of frogs' legs. *Traffic Bulletin* 21, 13–24.
- Lajmanovich, R., Peltzer, P., Attademo, A., Cejas, W., 2003. Amphibians in Argentinean soybean croplands: implications for biological control. *FrogLog* 59, 3–4.
- Laking, A.E., Ngo, H.N., Pasmans, F., Martel, A., Nguyen, T.T., 2017. *Batrachochytrium salamandrivorans* is the predominant chytrid fungus in Vietnamese salamanders. *Scientific reports* 7, 44443.
- Lannoo, M., Lang, K., Waltz, T., Phillips, G., 1994. An altered amphibian assemblage: Dickinson County, Iowa, seventy years after Frank Blanchard's survey. *American Midlands Naturalist* 131, 311–319.
- Le Serrec, G., 1988. France's frog consumption. *Traffic Bulletin* 10, 17.
- Lee, K.-H., Chen, T.-H., Shang, G., Clulow, S., Yang, Y.-J., Lin, S.-M., 2019. A check list and population trends of invasive amphibians and reptiles in Taiwan. *ZooKeys* 829, 85–130.
- Leroy, E.M., Gouilh, M.A., Brugère-Picoux, J., 2020. The risk of SARS-CoV-2 transmission to pets and other wild and domestic animals strongly mandates a one-health strategy to control the COVID-19 pandemic. *One Health* 10, 100133. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.onehlt.2020.100133>.
- Li, Y., 2020. China's announcement on wildlife trade – what's new and what does it mean?, Oxford Martin Programme on the Illegal Wildlife Trade. <https://www.iccs.org.uk/blog/chinas-announcement-wildlife-trade-whats-new-and-what-does-it-mean>.
- Li, D.-f., Chen, W.-t., 2005. Progress on recent research of *Rana chensinensis*. *Journal of Liaoning Normal University (Natural Science Edition)* 28, 92–94.
- Li, C., Xie, F., 2004. Invasion of bullfrog (*Rana catesbeiana* Shaw) in China and its management strategies. *Chinese Journal of Applied Environmental Biology* 20, 95–98.
- Li, Y.-y., Zheng, W.-x., Wang, R.-x., Su, X.-r., 2007. Nutritive material of *Rana chensinensis* by multivariate analysis methods. *Food Science* 28, 472–474.
- Li, X., Giorgi, E.E., Marichannegowda, M.H., Foley, B., Xiao, C., Kong, X.-P., Chen, Y., Gnanakaran, S., Korber, B., Gao, F., 2020. Emergence of SARS-CoV-2 through recombination and strong purifying selection. *Science Advances* 6 (27), eabb9153. <https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.abb9153>.
- Liang, G., Geng, B., Zhao, E., 2004. *Andrias davidianus*. In: The IUCN Red List of Threatened Species e.T1272A3375181. <https://doi.org/10.2305/IUCN.UK.2004.RLTS.T1272A3375181.en>.
- Liu, X., Zhang, W., Yu, B., Liu, W., 2007. Investigation on *Rana chensinensis* resources in the three northeastern provinces of China. *Forest Resource Management* 3, 82–85.
- Liu, R., Sun, Y., Wang, G., Chen, H., Chen, G., 2014. Development present situation of *Rana chensinensis* and its research progress. *technology-agricultural. Engineering* 12, 72–75.
- Liu, H., Li, X., Zhang, C., 2019. Invest in amphibians and reptiles. *Science* 364, 1144.
- Lorch, J.M., Knowles, S., Lankton, J.S., Michell, K., Edwards, J.L., Kapfer, J.M., Staffen, R.A., Wild, E.R., Schmidt, K.Z., Ballmann, A.E., Blodgett, D., 2016. Snake fungal disease: an emerging threat to wild snakes. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences* 371, 20150457.
- Lu, C., Chai, J., Murphy, R.W., Che, J., 2020. Giant salamanders: farmed yet endangered. *Science* 367, 989.
- Luque, G.M., Bellard, C., Bertelsmeier, C., Bonnaud, E., Genovesi, P., Simberloff, D., Courchamp, F., 2014. The 100th of the world's worst invasive alien species. *Biological Invasions* 16, 981–985.
- Lutz, C.G., Avery, J.L., 1999. Bullfrog Culture. Southern Regional Aquaculture Center (436, pp.).
- Lyapunov, S.N., Fomenko, P.V., 2015. Trafficking, Poaching and Smuggling of Rare Animals and Plants in Far East Russia (2009–2014). World Wide Fund for Nature of Russia. Vladivostok, Russia.
- Marco, M.D., Baker, M.L., Daszak, P., Barro, P.D., Eskew, E.A., Godde, C.M., Harwood, T. D., Herrero, M., Hoskins, A.J., Johnson, E., Karesh, W.B., Machalaba, C., Garcia, J. N., Paini, D., Pirzl, R., Smith, M.S., Zambrana-Torrel, C., Ferrier, S., 2020. Opinion: sustainable development must account for pandemic risk. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 117, 3888–3892.
- Martel, A., Spitzen-van der Sluijs, A., Blooi, M., Bert, W., Ducatelle, R., Fisher, M.C., Woeltjes, A., Bosman, W., Chiers, K., Bossuyt, F., 2013. *Batrachochytrium salamandrivorans* sp. nov. causes lethal chytridiomycosis in amphibians. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 110, 15325–15329.
- Martel, A., Blooi, M., Adriaenssens, C., Van Rooij, P., Beukema, W., Fisher, M.C., Farrer, R. A., Schmidt, B.R., Tobler, U., Goka, K., 2014. Recent introduction of a chytrid fungus endangers Western Palearctic salamanders. *Science* 346, 630–631.
- Maslova, I., 2002. Illegal trade amphibians and reptiles of Primorsky Territory to neighboring countries., In *Problems of Sustainable Development of Regions in XXI Century*. ed. M.o.V.I. Symposium, pp. 179–180. Russian Academy of Science Far Eastern Branch, Birobidzhan, Russia.
- Maslova, I., 2016. The protection of amphibians and reptiles in the Russian Far East. *Nature Conservation Research* 1, 26–35.

- Maslouva, I., 2018. The current state of Dybowski's frog farming in China and Russia. In: F.R. Federal Scientific Center of the East Asia Terrestrial Biodiversity (Ed.), The 1st International Conference on North East Asia Biodiversity. International Conference on North East Asia Biodiversity, Vladivostok, Russia, pp. 116–117.
- Maslouva, I.V., Lyapustin, S.N., 2005. Illegal export of amphibians and reptiles from the Russian Far East to countries of the Asian region: the situation in 2003. In: Herpetologia Petropolitana: Proceedings of the 12th Ordinary General Meeting of the Societas Europaea Herpetologica. ed. A.N.A.T. O., pp. 191–192. Societas Europaea Herpetologica, St. Petersburg, Russia.
- Mattioli, F., Gili, C., Andreone, F., 2006. Economics of captive breeding applied to the conservation of selected amphibian and reptile species from Madagascar. *Natura-Società italiana di Scienze naturali e Museo civico di Storia Naturale di Milano* 95, 67–80.
- Maxwell, S.L., Fuller, R.A., Brooks, T.M., Watson, J.E., 2016. Biodiversity: the ravages of guns, nets and bulldozers. *Nature News* 536, 143.
- Measey, G.J., Vimercati, G., De Villiers, F.A., Mokhatla, M., Davies, S.J., Thorp, C.J., Rebelo, A.D., Kumschick, S., 2016. A global assessment of alien amphibian impacts in a formal framework. *Diversity and distributions* 22, 970–981.
- Ministry of Agriculture and Rural Affairs of the People's Republic of China, 2020. Notice of the Ministry of Agriculture and Rural Affairs on the public consultation of the "National Catalog of Animal Genetic Resources", Ministry of Agriculture and Rural Affairs. https://macmap.org/OfflineDocument/Covid19/COVID_VNM_1.pdf.
- Ministry of Environment, 2017. Wildlife artificial proliferation management guidelines 622 in Wildlife Protection and Management Law (19-26). In: M.O. Environment (Ed.), 21 Dec 2017. Ministry of Environment, Sejong, Republic of Korea.
- Ministry of Environment, 2020a. Ecosystem disturbance organism designation notice 2020-61 in Biodiversity Conservation and Use Act (21-2). In: 30 Mar 2020. Ministry of Environment, Sejong, Republic of Korea.
- Ministry of Environment, 2020b. Inflow-cautious organism designation notice 2020-79 in Biodiversity Conservation and Use Act (2-6). In: R.o. Korea (Ed.), 13 Apr 2020. Ministry of Environment, Sejong, Republic of Korea.
- Mohanty, N.P., Measey, J., 2018. What's for dinner? Diet and potential trophic impact of an invasive anuran *Hoplobatrachus tigerinus* on the Andaman Archipelago. *PeerJ* 6, e5698.
- Mohanty, N.P., Crottini, A., Garcia, R.A., Measey, J., 2020. Non-native populations and global invasion potential of the Indian bullfrog *Hoplobatrachus tigerinus*: a synthesis for risk-analysis. *Biological Invasions* 23, 69–81.
- Naito, R., Sakai, M., Natuhara, Y., Morimoto, Y., Shibata, S., 2013. Microhabitat use by *Hyla japonica* and *Pelophylax porosa brevipoda* at levees in rice paddy areas of Japan. *Zoolog Sci* 30, 386–391.
- National Biodiversity Strategy and Action Plan, 2017. National Biodiversity Strategy and Action Plan of DPR Korea. UNEP & GEF, Pyongyang, DPR Korea.
- Natusch, D.J.D., 2018. Solutions to wildlife laundering in Indonesia: reply to Janssen and Chng 2018. *Conservation Biology* 32, 731–733.
- NBSC, 2019. China Statistical Yearbook 1980–2016, ed. China Statistics Press, Beijing, China, N.B.o.S.o. China.
- Neveu, A., 2004. La ranaiculture est-elle une alternative à la récolte? Etat actuel en France. *INRA Production Animale* 17, 167–175.
- Nguyen, T.T., Van Nguyen, T., Ziegler, T., Pasmans, F., Martel, A., 2017. Trade in wild anurans vectors the urodelan pathogen *Batrachochytrium salamandrivorans* into Europe. *Amphibia-Reptilia* 38, 554–556.
- Nijman, V., 2010. An overview of international wildlife trade from Southeast Asia. *Biodiversity and Conservation* 19, 1101–1114.
- Nijman, V., Shepherd, C.R., 2009. Wildlife trade from ASEAN to the EU: issues with the trade in captive-bred reptiles from Indonesia. *TRAFFIC Europe Report for the European Commission*. Brussels, Belgium.
- O'Hanlon, S.J., Rieux, A., Farrer, R.A., Rosa, G.M., Waldman, B., Bataille, A., Kosch, T.A., Murray, K.A., Brankovics, B., Fumagalli, M., 2018. Recent Asian origin of chytrid fungi causing global amphibian declines. *Science* 360, 621–627.
- Ohler, A., Nicolas, V., 2017. Which frog's legs do froggies eat? The use of DNA barcoding for identification of deep frozen frog legs (Dicroglossidae, Amphibia) commercialized in France. *European Journal of Taxonomy* 271, 1–19.
- Park, D., Min, M.-S., Lasater, K., Song, J.-Y., Suh, J.-H., Son, S.-H., Kaplan, R., 2014. Conservation of amphibians in South Korea. In: *Amphibian Biology, Conservation of Amphibians of the Eastern Hemisphere*, In *Amphibian Biology, Conservation of Amphibians of the Eastern Hemisphere*. ed. M.W. I. Das, and H. Heatwole (eds.), pp. 52–88. Pelagic Publishing, Exeter, UK.
- Pearson, M.D., Crumlish, M., Inglis, V., 1997. Studies on the Susceptibility of Farmed *Rana tigrina* and *R. rugulosa* to Frog Septicaemic Disease and Its Control. Institute of Aquaculture, Stirling, UK.
- Peltzer, P., Lajmanovich, R., 2002. Preliminary studies of food habits of *Lysapsus limellus* (Anura, Pseudidae) in lentic environments or Paraná river, Argentina. *Bulletin de la Société herpétologique de France* 101, 53–58.
- Peltzer, P., Lajmanovich, R., Attademo, A., Cejas, W., 2005. Diversidad y conservación de anuros en ecosistemas agrícolas de Argentina: implicancias en el control biológico de plagas. *Temas de la Biodiversidad del Litoral Fluvial Argentino* 14, 263–280.
- Peltzer, P., Attademo, A., Lajmanovich, R., Junges, C., Beltzer, A., Sanchez, L., 2010. Trophic dynamics of three sympatric anuran species in a soybean agroecosystem from Santa Fe Province, Argentina. *Herpetological journal* 20, 261–269.
- Petranka, J.W., Kennedy, C.A., 1999. Pond tadpoles with generalized morphology: is it time to reconsider their functional roles in aquatic communities? *Oecologia* 120, 621–631.
- Phimmachak, S., Stuart, B.L., Sivongxay, N., 2012. Distribution, natural history, and conservation of the Lao newt (*Laotriton laotensis*) (Caudata: Salamandridae). *Journal of Herpetology* 46, 120–128.
- Pimm, S.L., Jenkins, C.N., Abell, R., Brooks, T.M., Gittleman, J.L., Joppa, L.N., Raven, P. H., Roberts, C.M., Sexton, J.O., 2014. The biodiversity of species and their rates of extinction, distribution, and protection. *Science* 344, 987–997.
- Propper, C.R., Hardy, L.J., Howard, B.D., Flor, R.J.B., Singleton, G.R., 2020. Role of farmer knowledge in agroecosystem science: rice farming and amphibians in the Philippines. *Human-Wildlife Interactions* 14, 51–61.
- Qian, W., Chen, Z., 2003. Study on the ecological adaptability of forest frog *Rana chensinensis* moved to the South. *Journal of Economic Animal* 7, 25–28.
- Ra, N.Y., Park, D., Cheong, S., Kim, N.S., Sung, H.C., 2010. Habitat associations of the endangered gold-spotted pond frog (*Rana chosonensis*). *Zoolog Sci* 27, 396–401.
- Ransangan, J., Zainuri, N., Lal, T.M., Jintoni, B., Chung, V.S., 2013. Identification of *Elizabethkingia meningoseptica* from American bullfrog (*Rana catesbeiana*) farmed in Sabah, Malaysia using PCR method and future management of outbreaks. *Malaysian Journal of Microbiology* 9, 13–23.
- Ri, J., 2018. Systematics of the frogs (Anura) of our country. *Biological sciences Juche* 107, 64.
- Ribeiro, L.P., Carvalho, T., Becker, C.G., Jenkinson, T.S., Leite, D.d.S., James, T.Y., Greenspan, S.E., Toledo, L.F., 2019. Bullfrog farms release virulent zoospores of the frog-killing fungus into the natural environment. *Scientific Reports* 9, 13422.
- Rodríguez, R., González, B., 2000. Evaluación de la capacidad depredadora de *Osteopeltis septentrionalis* (Anura:Hyliidae) sobre larvas de *Culex quinquefasciatus* (Diptera: Culicidae) en condiciones de laboratorio y de semicampo. *Boletín de Malariología y Saneamiento Ambiental* 40 (11), 12.
- Rowley, J., Brown, R., Bain, R., Kusri, M., Inger, R., Stuart, B., Wogan, G., Thy, N., Chan-ard, T., Trung, C.T., Diesmos, A., 2010. Impending conservation crisis for Southeast Asian amphibians. *Biol Lett* 6, 336–338.
- Rowley, J.L., Shepherd, C.R., Stuart, B.L., Nguyen, T.Q., Hoang, H.D., Cutajar, T.P., Wogan, G.O.U., Phimmachak, S., 2016. Estimating the global trade in Southeast Asian newts. *Biological Conservation* 199, 96–100.
- Rubbo, M.J.T., V.R., Smyers, S.D., Jaeger, R.G., 2003. An experimental assessment of invertebrate/vertebrate predation: the interaction between wolf spiders (*Gladicosa pulchra*) and terrestrial salamanders (*Ambystoma maculatum*). *Journal of Zoology* 261, 1–5.
- Rubbo, M., Lanterman, J., Falco, R., Daniels, T., 2011. The influence of amphibians on mosquitoes in seasonal pools: can wetlands protection help to minimize disease risk? *Wetlands* 31, 799–804.
- Sabino-Pinto, J., Bletz, M., Hendrix, R., Perl, R.B., Martel, A., Pasmans, F., Lötters, S., Mutschmann, F., Schmeller, D.S., Schmidt, B.R., 2015. First detection of the emerging fungal pathogen *Batrachochytrium salamandrivorans* in Germany. *Amphibia-Reptilia* 36, 411–416.
- Scheele, B.C., Pasmans, F., Skerratt, L.F., Berger, L., Martel, A., Beukema, W., Acevedo, A.A., Burrows, P.A., Carvalho, T., Catenazzi, A., Riva, I.D.I., Fisher, M.C., Flechas, S.V., Foster, C.N., Frías-Álvarez, P., Garner, T.W.J., Gratwicke, B., Guayasamin, J.M., Hirschfeld, M., Kolby, J.E., Kosch, T.A., Marca, E.L., Lindenmayer, D.B., Lips, K.R., Longo, A.V., Maneyro, R., McDonald III, C.A., J.M., Palacios-Rodríguez, P., Parra-Olea, G., Richards-Zawacki, C.L., Rödel, M.-O., Rovito, S.M., Soto-Azat, C., Toledo, L.F., Voyles, J., Weldon, C., Whitfield, S.M., Wilkinson, M., Zamudio, K.R., Canessa, S., 2019. Amphibian fungal panzootic causes catastrophic and ongoing loss of biodiversity. *Science* 363, 1459–1463.
- Schindler, D.E., Hilborn, R., 2015. Prediction, precaution, and policy under global change. *Science* 347, 953–954.
- Schlaepfer, M.A., Hoover, C., Dodd, K.C., 2005. Challenges in evaluating the impact of the trade in amphibians and reptiles on wild populations. *Bioscience* 55, 256–264.
- Schloegel, L.M., Picco, A.M., Kilpatrick, A.M., Davies, A.J., Hyatt, A.D., Daszak, P., 2009. Magnitude of the US trade in amphibians and presence of *Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis* and ranavirus infection in imported North American bullfrogs (*Rana catesbeiana*). *Biological Conservation* 142, 1420–1426.
- Schloegel, L.M., Toledo, L.F., Longcore, J.E., Greenspan, S.E., Vieira, C.A., Lee, M., Zhao, S., Wangen, C., Ferreira, C., Hipolito, M., 2012. Novel, panzootic and hybrid genotypes of amphibian chytridiomycosis associated with the bullfrog trade. *Molecular Ecology* 21, 5162–5177.
- Shane, B.D., 2011. Zoonoses associated with fish. *Veterinary Clinics of North America: Exotic Animal Practice* 14, 427–438.
- Shanshui Nature Conservation Center, 2020a. Meat without quarantine certificate, you dare to eat?, Shanshui Nature Conservation Center. <http://www.shanshui.org/information/1961/>.
- Shanshui Nature Conservation Center, 2020b. Survey on the revision of laws on wildlife: 22 days, 100,000 responses, voices from the public, Shanshui Nature Conservation Center. <http://www.shanshui.org/information/1926/>.
- Shucong, Z., 2015. Order No. 37 of the State Forestry Administration, State Forestry and Grassland Bureau Government. http://www.forestry.gov.cn/portal/main/govfile/13/govfile_2156.htm.
- Shuhui, Y., 2008. Research on the sustainable development strategy of China's wild animal breeding industry, Northeast Forestry University. <http://cdmd.cnki.com.cn/Article/CDMD-10225-2009132284.htm>.
- Snow, N., Witmer, G., 2010. American bullfrogs as invasive species: a review of the introduction, subsequent problems, management options, and future directions, In 24th Vertebrate Pest Conference. eds R.M. Timm, K.A. Fagerstone, pp. 86–89. University of California, Sacramento, USA.
- Sodhi, N.S., Koh, L.P., Brook, B.W., Ng, P.K., 2004. Southeast Asian biodiversity: an impending disaster. *Trends in Ecology and Evolution* 19, 654–660.
- Spielman, A., Sullivan, J., 1974. Predation on peridomestic mosquitoes by hylid tadpoles on Grand Bahama island. *The American Journal of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene* 23, 704–709.

- Springborn, M.R., Weill, J.A., Lips, K.R., Ibáñez, R., Ghosh, A., 2020. Amphibian collapses exacerbated malaria outbreaks in Central America. medRxiv, 20245613. <https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.12.07.20245613> (2020.12.07).
- Stegen, G., Pasmans, F., Schmidt, B.R., Rouffaer, L.O., Van Praet, S., Schaub, M., Canessa, S., Laudelout, A., Kinet, T., Adriaensen, C., 2017. Drivers of salamander extirpation mediated by *Batrachochytrium salamandrivorans*. *Nature* 544, 353.
- Stuart, S.N., Chanson, J.S., Cox, N.A., Young, B.E., Rodrigues, A.S., Fischman, D.L., Waller, R.W., 2004. Status and trends of amphibian declines and extinctions worldwide. *Science* 306, 1783–1786.
- Stuart, B.L., Rhodin, A.G., Grismer, L.L., Hansel, T., 2006. Scientific description can imperil species. *Science* 312.
- Stuart, S., Hoffmann, M., Chanson, J., Cox, N., Berridge, R., Ramani, P., Young, B., 2008. Threatened Amphibians of the World. Lynx Ediciones, Barcelona/IUCN- The World Conservation Union, Conservation International and NatuServe, Washington, USA.
- Sullivan, P., 2018. In: C.F.B. Diversity (Ed.), Study: Invasive Bullfrogs Linked to Spread of Deadly Fungus in Western United States. Disease Spread by Imports Has Decimated Amphibian Populations Worldwide. Center for Biological Diversity, Oakland, USA, p. 1.
- Suykerbuyk, P., Vlemminckx, K., Pasmans, F., Stragier, P., Ablrordy, A., Tran, H.T., Hermans, K., Fleetwood, M., Meyers, W.M., Portael, F., 2007. *Mycobacterium liflandii* infection in European colony of *Silurana tropicalis*. *Emerging infectious diseases* 13, 743–746.
- Sy, E.Y., 2014. Checklist of exotic species in the Philippine pet trade. I. Amphibians. *Journal of Nature Studies* 13, 48–57.
- Tapley, B., Michaels, C.J., Gumbs, R., Böhm, M., Luedtke, J., Pearce-Kelly, P., Rowley, J. J., 2018. The disparity between species description and conservation assessment: a case study in taxa with high rates of species discovery. *Biological Conservation* 220, 209–214.
- Tapley, B., Turvey, S.T., Chen, S., Wei, G., Xie, F., Yang, J., Liang, Z., Tian, H., Wu, M., Okada, S., Wang, J., Lü, J., Zhou, F., Xu, J., Haipeng, Z., Redbond, J., Brown, T., Cunningham, A.A., 2020. Range-wide decline of Chinese giant salamanders *Andrias* spp. from suitable habitat. *Oryx* 38 (02), 197–202. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0030605304000341>.
- Tatarski, M., 2020. Vietnam considers wildlife trade ban in response to coronavirus pandemic, Mongabay. <https://news.mongabay.com/2020/03/vietnam-considers-wildlife-trade-ban-in-response-to-coronavirus-pandemic/>.
- Teng, Q., Hui, X.-F., Luo, F., Cheng, C., Ge, X., Yang, M., Liu, L., 2016. Influences of introducing frogs in the paddy fields on soil properties and rice growth. *Journal of Soils and Sediments* 16, 51–61.
- Tensen, L., 2016. Under what circumstances can wildlife farming benefit species conservation? *Global Ecology and Conservation* 6, 286–298.
- Tolle, M.A., 2009. Mosquito-borne diseases. *Current Problems in Pediatric and Adolescent Health Care* 39, 97–140.
- Truong, N.Q., 2000. Amphibian uses in Vietnam. *FrogLog* 38.
- Turvey, S.T., Chen, S., Tapley, Benjamin, Wei, G., Xie, F., Yan, F., Yang, J., Liang, Z., Tian, H., Wu, M., Okada, S., Wang, J., Lü, J., Zhou, F., Papworth, S.K., Redbond, J., Brown, T., Che, J., Cunningham, A.A., 2018. Imminent extinction in the wild of the world's largest amphibian. *Current Biology* 28, 592–594.
- Turvey, S.T., Marr, M.M., Barnes, I., Brace, S., Tapley, B., Murphy, R.W., Zhao, E., Cunningham, A.A., 2019. Historical museum collections clarify the evolutionary history of cryptic species radiation in the world's largest amphibians. *Ecology and Evolution* 9, 10070–10084.
- Valencia-Aguilar, A., Cortés-Gómez, A.M., Ruiz-Agudelo, C.A., 2013. Ecosystem services provided by amphibians and reptiles in neotropical ecosystems. *International Journal of Biodiversity Science, Ecosystem Services and Management* 9, 257–272.
- Veith, M., Kosuch, J., Feldmann, R., Martens, H., Seitz, A., 2000. A test for correct species declaration of frog leg imports from Indonesia into the European Union. *Biodiversity and Conservation* 9, 333–341.
- Wang, J., 2015. Current status of Japanese giant salamander and the enlightenment on the conservation of Chinese giant salamander. *Chinese Journal of Applied Environmental Biology* 21, 683–688.
- Wang, Y., Li, Y., 2009. Habitat selection by the introduced American bullfrog (*Lithobates catesbeianus*) on Daishan Island, China. *Journal of Herpetology* 43, 205–211.
- Wang, J.-L., Xue, W.-j., Li, N.-b., Wang, X.-L., 2009. Primary research on the age structure of *Rana limnocharis* in farms of Shanghai. *Sichuan Journal of Zoology* 28, 707–710.
- Wang, K., Yuan, Z., Zhong, G., Li, G., Verrell, P., 2017. Reproductive biology of *Tylostotriton yangi* (Urodela: Salamandridae), with suggestions on its conservation. *Amphibian and Reptile Conservation* 11, 33–43.
- Wang, L., Anna, H., Zhang, L., Xiao, Y., Wang, Y., Xiao, Y., Liu, J., Ouyang, Z., 2019. Spatial and temporal changes of arable land driven by urbanization and ecological restoration in China. *Chinese Geographical Science* 29, 809–819.
- Wang, H., Shao, J., Luo, X., Chuai, Z., Xu, S., Geng, M., Gao, Z., 2020. Wildlife consumption ban is insufficient. *Science* 367, 1435.
- Warkentin, I.G., Bickford, D., Sodhi, N.S., Bradshaw, C.J., 2009. Eating frogs to extinction. *Conservation Biology* 23, 1056–1059.
- Wasserman, R.J., Dick, J.T., Welch, R.J., Dalu, T., Magellan, K., 2019. Site and species selection for religious release of non-native fauna. *Conservation Biology* 33, 969–971.
- Wei, L., Shao, W.-W., Ding, G.-H., Fan, X.-L., Yu, M.-L., Lin, Z.-H., 2014. Acute and joint toxicity of three agrochemicals to Chinese tiger frog (*Hoplobatrachus chinensis*) tadpoles. *Zoological Research* 35, 72–279.
- Wilson, E.O., 2017. Biophilia and the conservation ethic, In *Evolutionary Perspectives on Environmental Problems*. eds D.J. Penn, I. Myer, pp. 263–272. Routledge, London, UK & New York, USA.
- Woeltjes, T., Rendle, M., Spitzen-van der Sluijs, A., Haesebrouck, F., Martel, A., Pasmans, F., Wombwell, E., Van Rooij, P., Zollinger, R., 2011. Clinically healthy amphibians in captive collections and at pet fairs: a reservoir of *Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis*. *Amphibia-Reptilia* 32, 419–423.
- Xia, M.-M., 2010. A Comparative Study on Meat Quality of Tiger Frog (*Hoplobatrachus rugulosus*) From Different Regions of China. Zhejiang Normal University, Jinhua, China.
- Xinhua, 2020. China's Legislature Adopts Decision on Banning Illegal Trade, Consumption of Wildlife. XinhuaNet, Xinhua. http://www.xinhuanet.com/english/2020-02/24/c_138814139.htm.
- Xinhua News Agency, 2020a. Can softshell turtles still be consumed? Ministry of Agriculture and Rural Affairs will announce further clarification on farmed reptiles and amphibians. Xinhua. http://www.xinhuanet.com/2020-02/27/c_1125634919.htm.
- Xinhua News Agency, 2020b. Interview with the Legislative Affairs Commission of the Standing Committee of the National People's Congress. Xinhua. http://www.xinhuanet.com/politics/2020-02/24/c_1125620750.htm.
- Xu, X., Zhao, W., Liu, P., 2018. Effect of environmental temperature on body temperature during reproductive period and embryonic development in different geographic populations or *Rana dybowskii*. *Acta Ecologica Sinica* 38, 1–9.
- Yan, T., Wang, J., Huang, J., 2015. Urbanization, agricultural water use, and regional and national crop production in China. *Ecological modeling* 318, 226–235.
- Yan, F., Lü, J., Zhang, B., Yuan, Z., Zhao, H., Huang, S., Wei, G., Mi, X., Zo, D., Xu, W., Chen, S., Wang, J., Feng, X., Wu, M., Xiaou, H., Liang, Z., Jin, J., Wu, S., Xu, C., Tapley, B., Turvey, S.T., Papenfuss, T.J., Cunningham, A.A., Murphey, R.W., Zhang, Y., Che, J., 2018. The Chinese giant salamander exemplifies the hidden extinction of cryptic species. *Current Biology* 28, R590–R592.
- Yang, N., Liu, P., Li, W., Zhang, L., 2020. Permanently ban wildlife consumption. *Science* 367, 1434–1435.
- Ye, C., Fei, L., Hu, S., 1993. Rare and Economic Amphibians of China. Sichuan Publishing House of Science & Technology, Chengdu, People's Republic of China.
- Yu, D., Zhang, J., Li, P., Zheng, R., Shao, C., 2015. Do cryptic species exist in *Hoplobatrachus rugulosus*? An examination using four nuclear genes, the Cyt b gene and the complete MT genome. *Plos One* 10, e0124825.
- Zhan, J.-Z., Yang, X., 2012. Efficient Breeding Technologies for Economically Important Frogs. Chemical Industry Press, Beijing, People's Republic of China.