UC Davis UC Davis Previously Published Works

Title

Candidate genes associated with low temperature tolerance in cucumber adult plants identified by combining GWAS & amp; QTL mapping.

Permalink

<https://escholarship.org/uc/item/4pk9k18t>

Journal Stress Biology, 4(1)

Authors

Li, Caixia Dong, Shaoyun Beckles, Diane [et al.](https://escholarship.org/uc/item/4pk9k18t#author)

Publication Date

2024-12-11

DOI

10.1007/s44154-024-00191-9

Peer reviewed

ORIGINAL PAPER Open Access

Candidate genes associated with low temperature tolerance in cucumber adult plants identifed by combining GWAS & QTL mapping

Caixia Li^{1†}, Shaoyun Dong^{1†}, Diane M. Beckles², Xiaoping Liu¹, Jiantao Guan¹, Zaizhan Wang¹, Xingfang Gu¹, Han Miao^{1*} and Shengping Zhang^{1*}

Abstract

Fruit quality and yield are reduced when cucumber (*Cucumis sativus* L.) plants are exposed to low temperature (LT) stress, yet, the inheritance and genes linked to cold tolerance in adult plants have not been reported yet. Here, the LTtolerance of 120 cucumber accessions representing four ecotypes were evaluated by GWAS, and also, in 140 recombinant inbred lines (RILs) derived from a biparental cross. Plants were exposed to naturally occurring LT environments in a plastic greenhouse, in winter 2022, and 2023, and a low temperature injury index (LTII) was employed to evaluate plant performance. Genetic analysis revealed that the LT-tolerance evaluated in the adult cucumber plants was a multigenic quantitative trait, and that 18 of the 120 accessions were highly LT tolerant by our LTII assessment. Two loci (*gLTT1.1* and *gLTT3.1*) exhibited strong signals that were consistent and stable in two environments. In addition, two QTLs—*qLTT1.2* on chromosome (Chr.) 1, and *qLTT3.1* on Chr. 3, were discovered in all tests using RIL population derived from a cross between LT-sensitive 'CsIVF0106', and LT-tolerant 'CsIVF0168'. *qLTT1.2* was delimited to a 1.24-Mb region and *qLTT3.1* was narrowed to a 1.43-Mb region. Interestingly, a peak single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) at *gLTT1.1* and *gLTT3.1* was also found in *qLTT1.2* and *qLTT3.1*, respectively. These loci were thus renamed as *gLTT1.1* and *gLTT3.1*. In these regions, 25 genes were associated with the LT response. By identifying diferences in haplotypes and transcript profles among these genes, we identifed four candidates: *CsaV3_1G012520* (an ethylene-responsive transcription factor) and *CsaV3_1G013060* (a RING/U-box superfamily protein) in *gLTT1.1*, and two RING-type E3 ubiquitin transferases at *CsaV3_3G018440* and *CsaV3_3G017700* in *gLTT3.1* that may regulate LT-tolerance in adult cucumber. Interestingly, the accessions in which the LT-tolerant haplotypes for two loci were pyramided, displayed maximally high tolerance for LT. These fndings therefore provide a solid foundation for the identifcation of LT-tolerant genes and the molecular breeding of cucumber with LT-tolerance.

Keywords Cucumber, Low temperature tolerance, GWAS, QTL, Candidate gene analysis

Handling Editor: Huazhong Shi.

† Caixia Li and Shaoyun Dong contributed equally to this work.

*Correspondence: Han Miao miaohan@caas.cn Shengping Zhang zhangshengping@caas.cn Full list of author information is available at the end of the article

© The Author(s) 2024. **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit [http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)

Introduction

Cucumber (*Cucumis sativus* L.) is considered one of the most critical vegetables and is extensively cultivated worldwide. The global production of cucumber has reached 77.3 million tons, with 81.6% of this production originating from China (FAOSTAT, 2022). Due to the tropical origins, cucumber exhibit sensitivity to low temperatures (LT) throughout all stages of their life cycle (Cabrera et al. [1992\)](#page-15-0). The optimal growing temperature for cucumber is 18℃~30℃ (Smeets and Wehner [1997](#page-16-0)), therefore when temperatures fall below 10℃ in early spring, late autumn, or early winter, which occurs with increasing frequency in recent years, production decreases (Smeets and Wehner [1997\)](#page-16-0). In winter, abrupt drops in temperature during cultivation leads to visible defects, which collectively results in a loss of quality, and more catastrophically, yield (Smeets and Wehner [1997](#page-16-0)).

Most studies on cucumber response to LT stress focus on germinating seeds or seedlings. Therefore, the methods used for evaluating stress response are related to the physiology of these developmental stages. For seed germinability, relative germination-percentage, -energy, -indices, relative radicle length, and days to 50% seed germination are assessed. Of these parameters, relative germination percentage is often used (Kłosińska et al. [2013](#page-15-1); Song et al. [2018](#page-16-1); Yagcioglu et al. [2019](#page-16-2)). At the seedling stage, the rate of electrolyte leakage is most widely used LT-tolerance indicator (Miao et al. [2013](#page-15-2); Qi et al. [2011](#page-16-3)), but chlorophyll content and photosynthetic intensity have been studied (Yu et al. [2002;](#page-16-4) Kozik and Wehner [2008](#page-15-3); Dong et al. [2019\)](#page-15-4). However, no reports on QTL mapping for LT-tolerance during adult cucumber plants was reported, therefore a uniform standard for assessing this trait has not yet been identifed.

Few studies detailing the genetic inheritance of LTtolerance in cucumber and all data come from germinating seeds and seedling-staged plants. Tolerance to LTs in germinating seedlings have been reported to be polygenic (Kłosińska et al. [2013](#page-15-1); Song et al. [2018](#page-16-1); Dong et al. [2019](#page-15-4)). There are also conflicting reports as maternal inheritance and control by a single gene have been suggested (Chung et al. [2003;](#page-15-5) Kozik and Wehner [2008](#page-15-3)), but recent studies point to control by multiple genes (Dong et al. [2019](#page-15-4); Kozik et al. [2012\)](#page-15-6). However, the genetic basis for LT response in adult cucumber plants have not been reported.

Due to advances in genomic tools, it is feasible to genetically dissect signifcant agronomic traits in cucumber, and QTLs underlying LT-tolerance have been successfully identifed. Eight loci related to tolerance at germination were found on Chr.4, 6, and 7 (Dong [2017\)](#page-15-7). Major efect QTLs, i.e., *qLTG1.1* or *qLTG1.2*, as well as minor efect QTLs, like *qLTG2.1*

and *qLTG4.1*, were also discovered (Song et al. [2018](#page-16-1); Yagcioglu et al. [2019;](#page-16-2) Li et al. [2022b\)](#page-15-8). One marker, SSR07248, was associated with a major LT-tolerance locus on Chr.6 in seedlings, by scoring the population with a cold injury index (CII) (Li et al. [2015](#page-15-9)). Meanwhile, three loci—*qCT-3–1*/*3–*2/*3–3* on Chr.3, *qCT6.1* on Chr.6, and *qCT-7–1* on Chr.7, were also detected using a CII (Li et al. [2022a](#page-15-10); Wang [2014](#page-16-5)).

Further dissection of QTL regions has identifed genes that regulate plant response to LT. Two candidate genes: *Csa6G445210*, encoding an auxin response factor, and, *Csa6G445230*, encoding an ethylene-responsive transmembrane protein (*Csa6G445230*) were associated with LT-tolerance in cucumber seedlings (Dong et al. [2019](#page-15-4)). Functional validation of the cucumber *CsWRKY46* gene in Arabidopsis provided evidence that it enhances cold tolerance (Zhang et al. [2016](#page-16-6)). Three genes were reported associated with LT-tolerance in cucumber (Yan et al. 2022 ; Qi et al. 2022 ; Dong et al. 2023). The suppression of *CsGPA1* decreases LT-tolerance in cucumber, and that the *CsGPA1–*cold regulated *CsCOR413PM2–Ca2*⁺ axis, in turn, regulates the expression of *CsCBF* during cold stress (Yan et al. [2022\)](#page-16-7). Qi et al. ([2022\)](#page-16-8) demonstrated that overexpressing heat-shock transcription factor *CsHS-FA1d,* activated the *ICE-CBF-COR* signaling cascade via jasmonic acid (*JAZ5*), and enhanced cucumber cold tolerance. Dong et al. [\(2023\)](#page-15-11) suggested that allelic variants of *CsSGR* enhances LT-tolerance in cucumber by a) interacting with, and inhibiting the action of chlorophyll degradation enzymes and b) by reducing harmful ROS production. However, genes related to LT-tolerance in adult-staged cucumber plants have yet to be discovered.

Genome-wide association studies (GWAS) have been extensively utilized in cucumber to pinpoint numerous intricate quantitative traits, including responses to abiotic stressors. Seven loci for salt tolerance were identifed in 220 cucumber accessions (Liu et al. [2022a](#page-15-12)). Seven loci signifcantly associated with heat stress response in the seedlings of 96 accessions were identifed by GWAS (Wei et al. [2019](#page-16-9)). Heat tolerance in adult plants were evaluated using 88 accessions and fve loci for heat stress response were identifed (Wang et al. [2023b\)](#page-16-10). LT-tolerance at the germination stage was evaluated in 151 cucumber accessions and seven loci associated with LT-tolerance were examined. Dissection of one of these loci showed that *pentatricopeptide repeat-containing protein* (*CsPPR*) was a negatively regulator of cucumber LT-tolerance (Li et al. [2023b\)](#page-15-13). Five LT-tolerance loci were identifed for cold tolerance in 87 cucumber accessions (Wang et al. [2019b](#page-16-11)). This study was subsequently expanded to include 173 cucumber accessions which led to an additional three identifed loci, that were repeatedly detected across two years and two independent experiments (Dong et al.

[2023](#page-15-11)). However, no studies were reported of LT-tolerance at cucumber adult stage using GWAS.

Our aim in this work is to identify genes that could provide tolerance to LT in adult cucumber plants to bridge the current gap in this knowledge. Much progress has been made in identifying LTT genes at juvenile stages, but cucumber, like all tropical species, is sensitive to cold stress at each stage of development. LT injury at the adult stage has a direct efect on reproductive processes and directly infuences yield. Identifying and introgressing multiple genes could provide robust LT-tolerance throughout the lifecycle is thus an important goal. To address this, we conducted a GWAS involving 120 accessions. Additionally, we analyzed a recombinant inbred line (RIL) population derived from a cross between the LT-sensitive line 'CsIVF0106' and the LT-tolerant line 'CsIVF0168'. These approaches were employed to identify loci related to LT tolerance and to investigate potential candidate genes by functional annotation, haplotypes and transcript profle analysis. Our long-term objectives of this work are to (i) identify multiple sources of genetic variation for LTT in cucumber adult plants; (ii) screen LT-resistant accessions for genetic improvement; and (iii) dissect the molecular mechanisms underlying LT response. Collectively, this knowledge will facilitate the development of LTT cucumber varieties through markerassisted selection in breeding programs.

Results

Genetic diversity of LT‑tolerance among the cucumber accessions

The LT-tolerance of 120 cucumber accessions was assessed using LTII in 2022 W and 2023 W (Fig. [1](#page-3-0)A). The plants were subjected to naturally occurring LT stress at approximately 40 days after sowing in 2022 (average 12.9 ℃) and in 2023 (average 14.4 ℃) (Table [S1](#page-14-0); Fig. [S1](#page-14-0)). The accessions showed obvious yellowing and dryness of leaves at 96 days after sowing in 2022_W and at 102 days after sowing in 2023_W, respectively. The LTII of the accessions ranged from 0 to 81, and from 22.5 to 81, with average LTII values of 22.98 and 15.56 in 2022_W and 2023_W, respectively (Table S2). The coefficient of variation for each year was 59.45% and 27.53%, respectively, indicating signifcant variation in the LTIIs among the cucumber accessions (Table S2). The LTII showed a continuous variation from tolerant to sensitive phenotypes among the 120 accessions (Fig. [1B](#page-3-0)), suggesting that LT-tolerance is quantitative trait, infuenced by the action of multiple genes.

Cluster analysis of LT‑tolerance in cucumber adult plants

To further analyze the LTT across the population, the 120 cucumber accessions were categorized into four ecotypes, i.e., Eurasian (consisting of 38 lines), East Asian (comprising 58 lines), Indian (including 18 lines) and Xishuangbanna (comprising 6 lines) (Table S3). The Xishuangbanna ecotypes showed a higher LT resistance compared to the accessions from Eurasian and East Asian (Figs. $1C$ $1C$). The LTII analysis resulted in the classification of the 120 cucumber accessions into fve distinct clusters (I, II, III, IV, V) using the Ward method, with a Euclidean distance threshold of 700. Cluster I comprised 18 accessions characterized by high tolerance to LT; Cluster II consisted of 18 LT-tolerant accessions; Cluster III included 26 accessions showing mid-range LT-tolerance; while the 28 accessions in Cluster IV and the 30 accessions in Cluster V showed LT-sensitivity and extreme highly LT-sensitivity, respectively (Fig. [1D](#page-3-0), Table S4). The diference in LTII among the fve clusters were signifcant $(P<0.05)$ (Fig. [1](#page-3-0)E). Three ecotypes, i.e. those from East Asia, Eurasia and India, included multiple clusters from I to V but difered in the relative proportions of each (Fig. [1F](#page-3-0)). The Xishuangbanna types were mainly grouped into the LT tolerant Clusters, i.e., I, and II, with a relatively smaller proportion in Cluster IV (Fig. [1C](#page-3-0),1F), which was consistent with the result in Fig. [1](#page-3-0)C.

GWAS analysis of LT‑tolerance at adult stage

GWAS analysis utilized LTII values alongside resequencing data and FaST-LMM. When the threshold was set as 4.5, SNP loci distributed on all seven chromosomes were detected in the two environments tested. Of these loci, two distributed on Chr.1 and Chr.3 were repeatedly detected in 2022_W and 2023_W, and were

(See fgure on next page.)

Fig. 1 Phenotypic characterization of LT-tolerance and cluster analysis of 120 cucumber accessions at the adult stage. **A** The grades utilized in determining the Low Temperature Injury Index (LTII) are established through evaluating the extent of yellowing and dryness in the fourth to sixth functional leaves of each plant from top to bottom. Grade 0: No symptoms visible, leaves were dark-green; Grade 1: leaves were green; slight withering at the leaf's edge; Grade 3: leaves were light-green; withered area was<50%; Grade 5: leaves were yellow; withered area was 50–75%. Grade 7: leaves were dark-yellow; withered area was>75%; Grade 9: All leaves were withered, and plants died. **B** A bar chart indicating the frequency distribution of LTII. **C** The box plot indicates the distribution of LTT across the four ecotypes (Eurasian, East Asian, Indian and Xishuangbanna). **D** The 120 accessions grouped based on LTII. I, II, III, IV and V indicates that clusters of accessions ranging from high to low LTT, respectively. **E** A box plot depicting the LTII of cucumber accessions, organized into Clusters based on their tolerance. **F** The relative proportion of the clustered accession (I -V), within the Eurasian, East Asian, Indian and Xishuangbanna

Fig. 1 (See legend on previous page.)

subsequently named *gLTT1.1* and *gLTT3.1*, respectively (Fig. 2 , Table S5). These two loci were identified as novel and consistently associated with LT-tolerance at cucumber adult stage.

QTL mapping of LT‑tolerance

In our lab, a RIL population was constructed using 'CsIVF0106,' (derived from the LT-sensitive Cluster IV accession), and 'CsIVF0168' (from the LT-tolerant Cluster II accession), as parentals. The RIL population, their parents, and the F_1 were planted in a plastic greenhouse in 2021 and 2022, then exposed to a naturally-occurring LT stress in 2021 (average 13.5 ℃) and 2022 (average 12.9 $^{\circ}$ C) (Table [S1](#page-14-0); Fig. [S1\)](#page-14-0). The fourth, fifth and sixth leaf from the top of the 'CsIVF0106' plant were completely dried with LTIIs of 50.85 and 79.8 in 2021_W and 2022_W, respectively. However, 'CsIVF0168' grew normally; the leaves were green and showed no signs of withering, and the LTIIs were 22.05 and 19.8 respectively—less than half those of 'CsIVF0106'. The LTII of the F_1 population was 33.3 and 27.0, and the symptoms were similar to the resistant parent 'CsIVF0168' (Table S6, Fig. [S1A](#page-14-0)). The frequency distributions of the RILs showed a clear bimodal distribution (Fig. [S1B](#page-14-0) and 1C), suggesting that LT-tolerance is a quantitative trait in adult cucumber plants.

A linkage map with 156 markers was previously developed using a RIL population (Shi et al. [2018\)](#page-16-12). Further,

based on the biparental sequence information, 18 InDels markers were developed, and a new linkage map of Chr.1 and Chr.3 was established (Fig. $3A$). The phenotypic data (Table S7) were integrated with the genetic map from the RILs population to identify QTLs linked to LT- tolerance. Table S9 displays the chromosome location, QTL peak position, peak logarithm of odds (LOD) scores, and the proportion of total phenotypic variance explained $(R²)$. The results indicated that three QTLs i.e., $qLTT1.2$, *qLTT3.1*, and *qLTT5.1* were detected in both years, with a LOD score of 2.5 (Table S8; Fig. [3](#page-6-0)B). Of these, *qLTT1.2* and *qLTT3.1* were also detected in both locations. For each year, the LOD scores of *qLTT1.2* were 2.85 and 6.34, and the phenotypic variation was 7.83% and 20.65% of, respectively (Table S8). A 4.7 Mb region was identifed using markers SSR14340 and 1_9333102 (Fig. [4A](#page-7-0)). To further reduce the preliminary mapping region of *qLTT1.2*, four InDel markers were developed using the genomic sequence of 'CsIVF0106' and 'CsIVF0168' (Fig. [4](#page-7-0)B). Using a set of sensitive recombinants, i.e., 'HR205', 'HR125', 'HR197', 'HR210', and 'HR19' and, those that are resistant, i.e., 'HR229', 'HR169', and 'HR206,' individuals (Fig. [4](#page-7-0)C), *qLTT1.2* was further delimited to a region with a physical distance of 1.24 Mb, between markers SSR16869 and 1_8746588. For *qLTT3.1*, the LOD scores were 6.34 and 3.22, and they explained 16.54% and 8.88% of the phenotypic variation respectively, in a 2.49 Mb region delimited

Fig. 2 Genome-wide association studies (GWAS) identify *gLTT1.1* and *gLTT3.1* loci. Manhattan plots of GWAS for LTII measured in (A) 2022_W and (**B**) 2023_W. The red horizontal dashed lines represent the GWAS signifcance threshold of (-log10(*P*)=4.5). Arrows indicate the position of peak SNPs

the genetic position of each chromosome; the Y-axis represents the LOD scores. The green and orange lines represent experiments done in 2021_W and 2022_W, respectively

by markers 3_ 11,705,627 and 3_14190775 (Fig. [4D](#page-7-0)). To further reduce the *qLTT3.1* interval, seven InDel markers were generated using the genomic sequence of 'CsIVF0106' and 'CsIVF0168' (Fig. [4](#page-7-0)E). Integrating the region defned by markers with the phenotypic of sensitive recombinants (Fig. [4](#page-7-0)F), i.e., 'HR57', 'HR157', 'HR114', 'HR19', and resistant recombinants, i.e., 'HR172', 'HR149', 'HR175', *qLTT3.1* was mapped to a 1.43 Mb region fanked by markers 3_12762159 and 3_14190775.

Candidate gene analysis for the identifed loci

To pinpoint dependable candidate genes, our attention was directed towards the *gLTT1.1* and *gLTT3.1* loci. We conducted our analysis using a 50 kb region upstream and downstream of each SNP (Purcell et al. [2007](#page-16-13))**.** By comparing the SNP position with the QTLs, we found that the GWAS-identifed *gLTT1.1* locus colocalized with *qLTT1.2* identifed by QTL mapping; this locus we will refer to as *gLTT1.1*. Similarly, *gLTT3.1* identifed by GWAS colocalized with the QTL-mapped *qLTT3.1* and which will be referred to as *gLTT3.1*. These data indicate that the identifed loci are reliable. Loci *gLTT1.1* spanned 6,460,155 bp to 8,746,588 bp on Chr.1 and *gLTT3.1* from 12,762,159 bp to 14,190,775 bp on Chr.3, and these were the region analyzed.

Candidate genes associated with abiotic stress within *gLTT1.1* and *gLTT3.1* were further compared for sequence and expression difference. These comparisons were done on two groups of accessions varying in cold response. The cold tolerant group consisted of accessions, 'CG84' (from Group I), and, 'R51' and 'R77' from Group II. These were compared to cold sensitive accessions: 'CG110', 'CG31', and 'CG19' from Group IV, and 'R59' from Group V.

Within the interval defned by locus *gLTT1.1* (Fig. [5](#page-8-0)A), fourteen orthologous genes associated with LT stress (Table S9; Fig. [5](#page-8-0)B) were identified. They encoded: (a) zinc fnger proteins (Wang et al. [2019a\)](#page-16-14), i.e., *CsaV3_1G0122*30, *CsaV3_1G012850*, *CsaV3_1G012940*, *CsaV3_1G013000*, *CsaV3_1G013060*, *CsaV3_1G013100*, and *CsaV3_1G013260*; (b) peroxidases (Wang et al. [2022b](#page-16-15); Zhou et al. [2016](#page-17-0); Song et al. [2023\)](#page-16-16), i.e., *CsaV3_1G012650;* (c) a mitogen-activated protein kinase (Li et al. [2017](#page-15-14); Yang et al. [2010\)](#page-16-17), i.e., *CsaV3_1G012740*; (d) a bHLH transcription factor (An et al. [2020;](#page-15-15) Yang et al. [2023](#page-16-18); Zhang et al. [2023;](#page-16-19) Wu et al. [2022](#page-16-20)), i.e., *CsaV3_1G012350*; (e) a NAC transcription factor (Diao et al. [2020](#page-15-16)), i.e., *CsaV3_1G012610*; and two ethyleneresponsive transcription factors (Mizoi et al. [2012](#page-15-17); Bai et al. [2021](#page-15-18); Xu et al. [2023](#page-16-21)), i.e., *CsaV3_1G012520*; (f) GUB_WAK_bind domain-containing protein; (g) a NAC transcription factor (Tang et al. [2022\)](#page-16-22), i.e., *CsaV3_1G010400*; and (h) TCP transcription factor (Tian et al. [2022](#page-16-23)), i.e., *CsaV3_1G012680*.

To determine if any of these genes may be causal for cold tolerance, the various haplotypes derived from the promoter, intron, and exons were aligned. Five genes ((*CsaV3_1G012350* (Fig. S3A), *CsaV3_1G012520* (Fig. [5](#page-8-0)C), *CsaV3_1G012850* (Fig. S3B), *CsaV3_1G013060* (Fig. [5](#page-8-0)D) and *CsaV3_1G013000* (Fig. S3C)) showed DNA polymorphisms between various haplotypes, while haplotypes of the other nine genes were identical (Figs. S3D-I;

Fig. 4 Fine mapping of *qLTT1.2* and *qLTT3.1* using phenotypic data collected in 2021_W and 2022_W. **A** The QTL map of *qLTT1.2* delimited by the two key fanking markers (**B**) Fine mapping of *qLTT1.2* with seven InDel or SSR markers to a 1.23 Mb region on Chr.1. On the map, the physical distances between the InDel markers are depicted relative to their positions in the '9930' cucumber genome. Homozygous fragments from 'CsIVF0106' and 'CsIVF0168' are denoted by white and black bars, respectively, while gray bars indicate heterozygous regions. **C** The phenotypic data (LTII) of sensitive and resistant individuals from the RIL population. **D** The QTL map of *qLTT3.1* showing the two fanking markers*.* **E** Seven InDel markers were employed to fne map the *qLTT3.1* locus to a region spanning 1.43 Mb on Chr.1. The physical distance between the InDel markers are indicated based on their position on'9930'; white, black and gray bars are as described in (**B)**. **F** The phenotypic data of RIL population, i.e., recombinants 'HR57', 'HR157', 'HR114', 'HR19', 'HR172', 'HR149' and'HR175'

Table S10). *CsaV3_1G012350* had 21 polymorphisms in the promoter between hap1 and hap5, and another two SNPs within exons were found in *CsaV3_1G012350*. These exons contained two G-to-A/C-to-G synonymous substitutions in the frst and second exon (Fig. S4A). For *CsaV3_1G012520*, 29 mutations were located in the promoter, and two SNPs within the exon would result in a synonymous mutation between hap1/4 and hap2/3

(Fig. $5E$ $5E$). There were 15 mutations in $CsaV3_1G012850$ – two in the promoter, 11 in diferent introns and two in the exon between hap1/3 and hap2. Of these, two SNPs were predicted to lead to synonymous substitutions (Fig. S4B). There was one mutant site in the *CsaV3_1G013100* promoter between hap1 and hap2 (Fig. S4C; Table S10). Fourteen polymorphisms (13 in the promoter and one in

Local Manhattan plot of the *gLTT1.1* locus (top), the red horizontal dashed line indicates the GWAS significance threshold of (-log10(*P*) = 4.5). **B** Fourteen genes associated with abiotic stress were identifed in the *gLTT1.2* candidate region. Each gene is represented by an arrow, indicating its directionality. The box plots show the LTII distributions of accessions carrying distinct haplotypes of the *CsaV3_1G012520* (**C**) and *CsaV3_1G013060* (**D**) genes. **E** Sequence analysis of *CsaV3_3G012520*. The single base substitution (C/T) would cause a (His→Tyr) mutation, and another base substitution (T/C) caused a nonsense mutation. **F** Sequence analysis of *CsaV3_1G012520* showed that there was no mutation in the coding region between various haplotypes. The bar plot showed the relative expression levels of *CsaV3_1G012520* (**G**) and *CsaV3_1G013060* (**I**) genes. **H** The percentage of Hap1, Hap2, Hap3 and Hap4 genotypes in *CsaV3_1G012520* within the four ecotypes. **J** The percentage of Hap1, Hap2 and Hap3 genotypes in *CsaV3_1G013060* within the four ecotypes. The proportion was listed as follow. Signifcance was assessed through the two-sided Student's *t*-test in (**C**, **D**, **G** and **E**). Statistical signifcance levels were denoted as **P*<0.05 and ***P*<0.01. Mean values±standard error (SE) was presented for data in G and I

the intron) of *CsaV3_1G013060* were detected between hap1 and hap3 (Fig. [5F](#page-8-0); Table S10).

The expression level of the five candidate genes tested at *gLTT1.1*, i.e., *CsaV3_1G012350, CsaV3_1G012520, CsaV3_1G012850, CsaV3_1G013100* and *CsaV3_1G013060*, difered between the haplotypes. For *CsaV3_1G012520*, Hap2 individuals were more LTsensitive than those with Hap1/3/4 (Fig. [5C](#page-8-0)). After 14 d, the expression of *CsaV3_1G012520* was higher in susceptible lines 'R51' (Hap1) and 'CG84' (Hap3) compared to the susceptible line 'CG31' carrying Hap2 (Fig. [5G](#page-8-0)). Interestingly, the tolerant Xishuangbanna type only included the tolerant Hap3 haplotype (Fig. [5H](#page-8-0)). For *CsaV3_1G013060*, Hap1 genotypes were LT-resistant line while the Hap3 were sensitive (Fig. [5D](#page-8-0)). The expression of *CsaV3_3G013060* in the LT-resistant line 'CG84' with Hap3 was signifcantly higher than in the susceptible lines ('R59' and 'CG31') carrying Hap1 at 21 d, 28 d, 35 d, 56 d after sowing (Fig. [5](#page-8-0)I). Coincidentally, the tolerant Xishuangbanna also only possessed the tolerant Hap3 (Fig. [5](#page-8-0)J). The other three genes (*CsaV3_1G012350*, *CsaV3_1G012850*, *CsaV3_1G013100*) had no signifcant differences in expression (Fig.S5A-5C). Therefore, we hypothesize that *CsaV3_1G012520* and *CsaV3_3G013060* play a role in governing LT tolerance in adult cucumber plants.

The candidate region associated with locus *gLTT3.1* spans from 12,762,159 bp to 14,190,775 bp (Fig. [6](#page-9-0)A). Within this region, there were 148 annotated genes, eleven of which are implicated in abiotic stress pathways (Table S9; Fig. [6](#page-9-0)B). Six genes (*CsaV3_3G017130*, *CsaV3_3G017700, CsaV3_3G017780*, *CsaV3_3G018010*, *CsaV3_3G018110, CsaV3_3G018440*) encoded zinc fnger proteins, many of which have reported roles in abiotic stress (Chen et al. [2018;](#page-15-19) Liu et al. [2022b;](#page-15-20) Wang et al. [2019](#page-16-24)c). *CsaV3_3G017040* and *CsaV3_3G018200* encode a MYB transcription factor, which has a role in plant cold response (Xie et al. [2018](#page-16-25); Zhang et al. [2020](#page-16-26)). *CsaV3_3G018190* encodes a cinnamyl alcohol

dehydrogenase (Liu et al. [2014](#page-15-21)). *CsaV3_3G017270* and *CsaV3_3G018230* encode a mitogen-activated protein kinase which is involved in a cold stress pathway (Zhao et al. [2017](#page-17-1); Zhang et al. [2017\)](#page-16-27).

Eight of the eleven genes (See Fig.S3J-3P) described above consisted of a single haplotype. The remaining three genes, i.e., *CsaV3_3G017700, CsaV3_3G018010* and *CsaV3_3G018440* showed multiple haplotype (Fig. [6](#page-9-0)C,6D; Fig.S3J). *CsaV3_3G017700*, had 37 mutations located in the promoter. There was also one SNP (G/A) located in the second exon, that is predicted to lead to an Asp \rightarrow Asn substitution (Fig. [6E](#page-9-0)). Examination of *CsaV3_3G018010*, identifed one SNP in the promoter, and in the exon, an A-to-C SNP, which is predicted to cause a non-synonymous Ile \rightarrow Leu substitution (Fig. S4D). We identifed three SNPs in *CsaV3_3G018440* all localized to the promoter (Fig. [6F](#page-9-0); Table S10).

The LTII of individuals with the *CsaV3_3G017700* Hap1 genotype were signifcantly lower than that of those that were Hap3 (Fig. [6C](#page-9-0)). Interestingly, *CsaV3_3G017700* expression was induced to a higher level at 21 d after cold exposure in the susceptible line 'R59' harboring Hap3, while conversely, its expression decreased in the resistant line 'R51' carrying Hap1. Interestingly, the relative expression level of *CsaV3_3G017700* in 'R59' was more than four times higher than that of 'R51' at 35- and 56 d after sowing (Fig. [6G](#page-9-0)). Meanwhile, LT-sensitive Hap3 accessions not happened in India and Xishuangbanna ecotype (Fig. [6](#page-9-0)H). A significant difference in LTII was found between Hapl and Hap2 (Fig. [6](#page-9-0)D). Transcript profle of *CsaV3_3G018440* was higher in 'CG84' harboring Hap2 than that of 'CG110' and 'CG19' harboring Hap1 before (14 d, 21 d, 35 d after sowing) and after (56 d after sowing) exposure to cold (Fig. [6I](#page-9-0)). The LT sensitive- East Asian and Eurasian ecotypes had a high proportion (98.28% and 94.74%) of LT-sensitive accessions harboring LT-sensitive haplotype Hap1. The expression of *CsaV3_3G018060* did not exhibit a signifcant difference (Fig. S5D). Therefore, we proposed that both

(See fgure on next page.)

Fig. 6 Assessment of *CsaV3_3G017700* and *CsaV3_3G08440* as candidate genes associated with LT-tolerance in adult cucumber at the *gLTT3.1* locus. **A** A Manhattan plot focused on *gLTT3.1* (top) is displayed, with the red horizontal dashed line representing the GWAS signifcance threshold set at -log10(*P*)=4.5. **B** Within the *gLTT3.1* candidate region, eleven functionally annotated genes related to abiotic stress were predicted. Each gene was shown as an arrow, which also indicated gene directionality. **C-D** Box plots showing the LTII distributions of accessions carrying distinct haplotypes of the (**C**) *CsaV3_3G017700* and (**D**) *CsaV3_3G018440* genes. **E** Sequence analysis of *CsaV3_3G017700*. A base substitution (G/A) is predicted to lead to a (Asp→Asn) substitution (**F**) Sequence analysis of *CsaV3_3G018440*, did not reveal mutations in the coding region. Bar plot showed the relative expression levels of the (**G**) *CsaV3_3G01770* and (**I**) *CsaV3_3G018440* genes. The percentage of Hap1, Hap2 and Hap3 genotypes in (**H**) *CsaV3_3G017700* and (**J**) *CsaV3_3G018440* within four ecotypes. **K** A box plot showing LTII efects of low temperature-sensitive allelic combinations, representing the accessions categorized according to all of the diferent allelic combinations found in all the resequenced accessions. The number of accessions for each category is shown above each column, and the proportions are also listed. Signifcance was assessed through the two-sided Student's *t*-test in (**C**, **D**, **G** and **I**). Statistical signifcance levels were denoted as **P*<0.05, ***P*<0.05. Mean values±standard error (SE) was presented for data in **G** and **I**

CsaV3_3G017700 and *CsaV3_3G018440* were putative candidates in the LT response of cucumber at the adult stage.

To further identify the relationship between *gLTT1.1* and *gLTT3.1*, the pyramiding efects of the haplotypes at the *gLTT1.1* and *gLTT3.1* loci were analyzed by comparing the LTII data among accessions carrying multiple resistant-LT allelic combinations. Interestingly, accessions harboring more LT-resistant haplotype (e.g., Hap3 of *CsaV3_1G013060* in *gLTT1.1* or Hap1 of *CsaV3_3G017700* in *gLTT3.1*) invariably showed relatively higher LT-tolerance (Fig. [6K](#page-9-0)); six accessions harboring both LT-resistant haplotypes, showed the highest LT-tolerance, with a 49.4% higher LTT based on the LTII (Fig. [6K](#page-9-0)). Above all, these results provided target alleles for breeding LT-tolerant cucumber by combining multiple resistant haplotypes.

Discussion

Previous studies showed that LT-tolerance in cucumber seedlings is controlled by multiple genes (Dong et al. [2019](#page-15-4)) and in some cases, a single gene (Kozik and Wehner [2008](#page-15-3)). In this study, 120 cucumber accessions and a RIL population of 140 adult individuals, derived from 'CsIVF0106' (LT-sensitive) and 'CsIVF0168' (LT-tolerant) were scored using our LTII. The LTII was continuously distributed, which indicated that the LT-tolerance we assessed in the cucumber adult plants in this study, was controlled by multiple genes. This is the first study of the inheritance pattern of LT-tolerance performed at cucumber adult stage.

Cucumber research has primarily concentrated on seedlings rather than mature plants, resulting in few identifed QTLs or genes associated with LT-tolerance. Our study aimed to pinpoint candidate genes responsible for LT-tolerance in adult cucumber plants. This study was the frst report for candidate genes underlying LTtolerance in cucumber adult plants, combining GWAS and QTL analysis. We used a panel of 120 cucumber accessions to perform a GWAS analysis for LT-tolerance. Two loci: one on Chr.1 (*gLTT1.1*) and another on Chr.3 (*gLTT3.1*) were identifed. Meanwhile, using a RIL population and 156 markers, we mapped two robust loci *qLTT1.2* and *qLTT3.1* associated with LT-response. Interestingly, *qLTT1.2* and *gLTT1.1*, and *qLTT3.1* and *gLTT3.1* were co-located. Candidate genes were identifed by examining haplotype polymorphisms among stress-related genes at these QTLs, and then, by determining if these genes showed a diferential response to LT stress in the sensitive and tolerant haplotypes. In this way, we successfully narrowed the genes at *gLTT1.1* and *gLTT3.1*, respectively*,* to two candidates. Collectively, these results suggested that the two loci were reliable and stable, and importantly, that these genes may functionally regulate LT response in adult cucumber plant.

Many QTLs and genes for LT-tolerance in cucumber during germination or the seedling stage have been discovered by traditional mapping or by GWAS (Fig. [7\)](#page-11-0). By using diferent evaluation indices for LT stress, i.e., relative germination-rate, -energy, -index and -radical length,

Fig. 7 Locations of QTLs for cucumber LT-tolerance reported in this study and previous studies

three loci on Chrs.1, 2 and 4 were mapped by QTL analysis, and three loci on Chrs.1, 4 and 5 were detected by GWAS (Yagcioglu et al. [2019](#page-15-4); Song et al. [2018;](#page-16-1) Li et al. [2022b,](#page-15-8) [2023b](#page-15-13)). Meanwhile, Li et al. [\(2022a](#page-15-10)) detected one locus for chilling stress on Chr.6 and Dong et al. ([2019](#page-15-4)) found three loci on Chrs.1 and 6 by long-term LT stress. Dong et al. [\(2019](#page-15-4)) mapped the minor locus *qLTT1.1* to a 1.02-Mb region on Chr.1 by markers SSR02810 and SSR16811, stretching from 5,870,967 bp to 6,891,308 bp. In this study, the *gLTT1.1* locus in adult cucumber plant was mapped to Chr.1 region from 6,460,155 bp to 8,611,538 bp, which overlapped with the region mapped by Dong et al. (2019) (2019) . The QTL on Chr.3 we identified was novel, as it had not been previously described. Interestingly, we found that the East Asian accessions were LT-sensitive at the adult stage (Fig. [4C](#page-7-0)), while the opposite result was observed by Wang et al $(2019a, b, c)$ $(2019a, b, c)$ where the East Asian accession were LT-tolerant at the seedling stage. Therefore, LT-tolerance in cucumber during germination, and at the seedling and adult stages may be govern by distinct genetic mechanisms.

The mechanisms involved in plant response to LTs are intricate; they include signal perception, transduction and regulation, across the cytomembrane, cytoplasm and cell nucleus, respectively (Ding et al. [2019](#page-15-22); Kidokoro et al. [2022](#page-15-23)). The regulation of LT response involves multiple factors, e.g., bHLH (Xu et al. [2014;](#page-16-28) Kidokoro et al. [2022](#page-15-23); Zhang et al. [2023](#page-16-19)), NAC (Zhuo et al. [2018;](#page-17-2) Diao et al. [2020\)](#page-15-16), MYB (Xie et al. [2018](#page-16-25); Jiang et al. [2022\)](#page-15-24), and ERF (Li et al. [2023a](#page-15-25); Wang et al. [2021](#page-16-29); Mizoi et al. [2012](#page-15-17)). Moreover, other factors are also important for plant LTresponse including phytohormones like ethylene (Huang et al. [2023](#page-15-26)); kinases (Zhao et al. [2017;](#page-17-1) Li et al. [2017](#page-15-14)); reactive oxygen species (ROS) (Wang et al. [2023a](#page-16-30); Mittler et al. [2022\)](#page-15-27), and an E3 ubiquitin protein ligase (Wang et al. [2022a](#page-16-31)). Twenty-fve genes potentially related to LTtolerance were identifed within the delimited GWAS and QTL regions, and four were identifed as potential causal genes. These candidates include, *CsaV3_1G012520*, an ethylene-responsive transcription factor and *CsaV3_1G013060*, a RING/U-box superfamily protein within the *gLTT1.2* locus (Fig. [5\)](#page-8-0), and *CsaV3_3G017700* and *CsaV3_3G017040* which are both RING-type E3 ubiquitin ligase within *qLTT3.1* (Fig. [6\)](#page-9-0). These genes either have haplotype diferences or vary in expression variations when compared between resistant- and sensitive- accessions of various haplotypes.

CsaV3_1G012520 encodes an ethylene-responsive transcription factor (ERF), which has known roles in plant LT response in a variety of species. Orthologues of ERF positively regulates cold stress including *MdERF1B* and *MdERF2* in apple (*Malus domestica*) (Wang et al. [2021](#page-16-29)), *PtrERF9* in *Poncirus trifoliata* (L.) Raf. u (Zhang et al. [2022\)](#page-16-32), *ERF.D4* in tomato (Klay et al. [2018](#page-15-28)), and *VaERF057* in grapevine (Sun et al. [2016](#page-16-33)). The other candidate gene at *gLTT1.2* was *CsaV3_1G013060*, a RING/ U-box superfamily protein, which in *Arabidopsis* encodes a zinc finger RING family protein. There have been no published data on this specifc gene isoform in *Arabidopsis* and its precise function in responding to abiotic stress is yet to be explored.

Candidate gene *CsaV3_3G017700*, a RING-type E3 ubiquitin ligase, is homologous to a protein with a RING/U-box and TRAF-like domain. Candidate gene *CsaV3_3G018440*, also a RING-type E3 ubiquitin ligase, is homologous to plant U-box 26 protein. These two RING ubiquitin transferases are implicated in the cold stress response of plants. Homologues of *CsaV3_3G018440*, namely the E3 ubiquitin ligase proteins OsPUB2 and OsPUB3, function as positive regulators in rice cold stress mechanisms (Byun et al. [2017](#page-15-29)). Another E3-ubiquitin ligase *HOS1* (Osmotically Responsive Gene 1) interacts with the INDUCER OF CBF EXPRESSION (ICE1) protein to manage cold stress in rice and *Arabidopsis* (Lourenço et al. [2013](#page-15-30); Dong et al. [2006](#page-15-31); Lee et al. [2001](#page-15-32)). Finally, *OsSRFP1*,encoding an E3 ubiquitin ligase, acts to suppress cold stress response in rice via transcriptional and post-translational activity (Fang et al. [2015](#page-15-33)).

To summarize, by combining GWAS and QTL mapping, we discovered overlapping loci related to LTtolerance in cucumber at the adult stage. Further, we hypothesize that four genes: *CsaV3_1G0125210*, *CsaV3_1G013060*, *CsaV3_1G017700*, and *CsaV3_3G018440* are candidates for determining the LT response in adult cucumber plants. This assessment is based on diferences in their relative expression levels among accessions with contrasting haplotypes. Nevertheless, the functional role of these genes in LT tolerance remains to be elucidated through experiments involving overexpression or suppression in cucumber via gene transformation techniques. Overall, our study will serve as a valuable resource for understanding the mechanisms underlying LT tolerance and for breeding cucumber varieties with enhanced cold tolerance.

Materials and methods Plant materials

The 120 cucumber accessions utilized in the GWAS analysis (Table S3) were derived from various geographical origins. For the RIL population, two inbred lines, 'CsIVF0106' and 'CsIVF0168', were used as the parentals to develop the F_1 population. 'CsIVF0106', is a northern European greenhouse cucumber-type, is tolerant to LT stress, while 'CsIVF0168' is a northern Chinese freshmarket type with LT sensitivity (Fig. S2A). The $F₂$ were generated by self-pollinating the F_1 , and 140 RIL individuals were selected using the single seed descent method.

Phenotypic data collection

The 120 accessions, RILs parental lines ('CsIVF0106', 'CsIVF0168'), F_1 individuals, and the RIL population were evaluated two rounds of evaluation in the plastic greenhouse at Shouguang, Shandong, China (36◦ 88′N,118◦ 73′E) in winter 2021 (2021_W), 2022 (2022_W) and 2023 (2023_W), respectively. Plants encountered a naturally occurring LT stress as emerging leaves were yellowed and dried at 86, 96, 102 days after sowing in 2021_W, 2022_W and 2023_W, respectively (Table [S1](#page-14-0)). Detailed real-time temperature logging was done and the data was listed in Table [S1](#page-14-0) and Fig. [S1](#page-14-0). Each test set contained three replicates following a randomized block design, with each replicate comprising fve individual plants.

To evaluate symptom severity, a LTII was used. The grading of LT injury was determined by assessing the ratio of yellowing to dryness of the fourth to sixth functional leaves of each plant from top to bottom (Fig. [1](#page-3-0)A): Grade 0: leaves were dark-green; no visible symptoms; Grade 1: leaves were green; slight withering at the leaf's edge; Grade 3: leaves were light-green; withered area was<50%; Grade 5: leaves were yellow, the withered area was 50–75%. Grade 7: leaves were dark-yellow; the withered area was>75%; Grade 9: All leaves were withered, and some plants died. The calculation of LTII involved the formula: $LTII = (0 \times S0 + 1 \times S1 + 3 \times S3 + 5 \times S5 + 7 \times S1)$ $S7 + 9 \times S9$ /(N \times 9), where 'S' represents the number of plants in each grade, and 'N' represents the total number of plants assessed. The LTII of each line was the average of the LTII in the number of biological replicates in each experiment.

GWAS analysis

The cucumber accessions were re-sequenced (Qi et al. [2013](#page-16-34); Liu et al. [2022a](#page-15-12)). Association analysis was conducted using a linear mixed model implemented in the program factored spectrally transformed linear mixed model (FaST-LMM), incorporating an estimated related-ness matrix as a covariate (Haas et al. [2003](#page-15-34)). The GWAS analysis was conducted, and a genome-wide *p*-value was obtained. The threshold for genome wide significance was $-log_{10}(P)$ value of 4.5. SNP (single nucleotide polymorphism) genotyping, assessment of population structure, and determination of relative kinship for mapping were identifed (Qi et al. [2013](#page-16-34)). Manhattan plots were generated using the 'qqman' package with in the R environment, following the method described by Turned (2014).

QTL mapping

A genetic map with 156 markers was developed using a RIL population (Shi et al. [2018\)](#page-16-12). The phenotyping of the cucumber for LT-tolerance was assessed in winter of 2021 and 2022, (described as 2021_W and 2022_W respectively) (Table S11), and QTL analysis of the data was achieved using software QTL IciMapping 4.1 (CAAS, Beijing, China (Meng et al. [2015](#page-15-35))). A linkage map was developed, and the genome wide logarithm of the odds (LOD) for QTLs were identifed as default in QTL IciMapping 4.1. QTLs were designated following a specifc naming convention, which consists of an abbreviation representing the trait (e.g., LT-tolerance—LTT), the chromosome (Chr.) number and the locus number (Wan et al. [2010\)](#page-16-35). For instance, *qLTT1.2* indicates the second QTL on cucumber Chr.1, *qLTT3.1* signifes the frst QTL on cucumber Chr.3 and so on.

To further narrow the QTL region, Insertion and Deletion (InDel) markers were generated. The Chinese Long cucumber '9930' reference genome was utilized along with resequencing data from 'CsIVF0106' and 'CsIVF0168'. Primers were designed using DNAMAN 7 software based on the $5 \sim 10$ bp differences observed between the parental lines (Woffelman [2004](#page-16-36)). All polymorphic markers (Table S12) were selected and used to construct linkage genetic map.

Functional prediction and haplotype analysis of candidate gene

The chromosomal positions and annotation of the candidate genes were obtained based on the 'Cucumber genome V3'. The candidate genes in the regions from both the GWAS and the QTL mapping were identifed as follow: frst, genes involved in abiotic stresses were obtained using the TAIR and (GO) databases. Secondly, based on the cucumber resequencing data of 120 accessions, the haplotypes in the promoter or exons of various genes, that could be diferentiated among the various accessions studied were identified. Thirdly, the transcript profles of these candidate genes were compared at various time points between sensitive- and tolerant-accessions harboring the corresponding haplotypes. Ultimately, the identifcation of candidate genes was refned through an analysis of haplotype distribution among ecotypes.

Extraction of RNA and qrt‑PCR

Three tolerant lines ('R51', 'CG84', 'R77') and four sensitive lines ('CG110', 'CG31', 'CG19', 'R59') were sown on 15th August in 2022_W. The young leaves were collected at diferent days after sowing, i.e., 14 d, 21 d, 28 d, 35 d and 56 d. Plants at 56 d were exposed to LT stress, all others were not. Each experiment was conducted with

three biological replicates. Total RNA extraction was performed using the RNeasy Plant Mini Kit (TaKaRa, Kyoto, Japan), and frst-strand cDNA synthesis was carried out using the PrimeScriptTM Reagent Kit with gDNA Eraser (TaKaRa, Kyoto, Japan). Quantitative real-time PCR (qRT-PCR) was performed using SYBR Premix ExTaqTM II (TaKaRa, Kyoto, Japan) following the manufacturer's instructions. PCR amplifcation conditions were set according to the manufacturer's protocol. The reference gene *Actin1* (*Csa3G806800*) was used for normal-izing gene expression values (Wan et al. [2010](#page-16-35)). Relative expression levels of the candidate genes were determined using the $2^{-\Delta\Delta Ct}$ method (Livak and Schmittgen [2001](#page-15-36)). Gene specifc primers were designed using DNAMAN (Woffelman [2004](#page-16-36)), and all primers used are provided in Table S12.

Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis was conducted to identify signifcant diferences among diferent accessions using a two-tailed, two-sample Student's t-test, with signifcance levels set at *p*<0.05 or *p*<0.01, performed using Excel 2021. Charts were drawn using GraphPad Prism 9.0 (GraphPad, San Diego, CA, USA). Cluster analysis was conducted in R software, utilizing both the sum of squares of dispersion (Ward) method and the Euclidean distance method (Turner [2014](#page-16-37)).

Conclusion

The LT-tolerance of 120 cucumber accessions and 140 recombinant inbred lines (RILs) derived from a biparental cross were evaluated in naturally occurring LT environments at adult stage over two years. A total of 18 accessions were evaluated as highly LT tolerant, and two loci (*gLTT1.1* and *gLTT3.1*) exhibited strong signals in both environments were identifed via GWAS. Genetic analysis using the RIL population revealed that the LTtolerance in the adult cucumber plants was a multigenic quantitative trait. In addition, two QTLs—*qLTT1.2* on Chr.1, and *qLTT3.1* on Chr. 3, were discovered in all tests, which were co-localized with the loci (*gLTT1.1* and gLTT3.1) detected via GWAS. Then *qLTT1.2* was delimited to a 1.24-Mb region and *qLTT3.1* was narrowed to a 1.43-Mb region, and four candidates: *CsaV3_1G012520* (an ethylene-responsive transcription factor) and *CsaV3_1G013060* (a RING/U-box superfamily protein) in *gLTT1.1*, and two RING-type E3 ubiquitin transferases at *CsaV3_3G018440* and *CsaV3_3G017700* in *gLTT3.1* that may regulate LT-tolerance in adult cucumber were identified. These findings therefore provide a solid

foundation for the identifcation of LT-tolerant genes and the molecular breeding of cucumber with LT-tolerance.

Abbreviations

- GWAS Genome-wide association studies
- RIL_s Recombinant inbred lines
- OTL Ouantitative trait locus LT Low temperature
- LTII Low temperature injury index
- SNP Single nucleotide polymorphism
-
- CII Cold injury index
JAZ Jasmonic acid Jasmonic acid
- LTT Low temperature tolerance
- LOD Logarithm of odds
- **Supplementary Information**

The online version contains supplementary material available at [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1007/s44154-024-00191-9) [org/10.1007/s44154-024-00191-9](https://doi.org/10.1007/s44154-024-00191-9).

Supplementary Material 1.

Acknowledgements

Not applicable.

Authors' contributions

CL drafted the manuscript and analyzed the data. SD conducted the experiments and revised the manuscript. DB helped revised the manuscript. XL helped collected the data, JG helped analyzed the data, ZW helped collected the data, SZ, HM and XG designed the experiments. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

Funding

This research was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No.31902028), Key-Area Research and Development Program of Guangdong Province (2020B020220001), Beijing Joint Research Program for Germplasm Innovation and New Variety Breeding (G20220628003-03). The Earmarked Fund for Modern Agro-industry Technology Research System (CARS-23), Science and Technology Innovation Program of the Chinese Academy of Agricultural Science (CAAS-ASTIP-IVFCAAS), Central Public-interest Scientifc Institution Basal Research Fund (Y2017PT52), and the Key Laboratory of Biology and Genetic Improvement of Horticultural Crops, Ministry of Agriculture, P.R. China.

Availability of data and materials

The datasets mentioned in this study are available in a published article and various online repositories. Details regarding the repository/repositories and corresponding accession number(s) can be found in the Supplementary Material section.

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate

The authors declare that they have no known competing fnancial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to infuence the work reported in this paper.

Consent for publication

All authors consent for publication.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Author details

¹ State Key Laboratory of Vegetable Biobreeding, Institute of Vegetables and Flowers, Chinese Academy of Agricultural Sciences, Beijing 100081, China. 2 ² Department of Plant Sciences, University of California, One Shield Avenue, Davis, CA 95616, USA.

Received: 9 August 2024 Accepted: 25 September 2024

References

- An JP, Wang XF, Zhang XW, Xu HF, Bi SQ, You CX, Hao YJ (2020) An apple MYB transcription factor regulates cold tolerance and anthocyanin accumulation and undergoes MIEL1-mediated degradation. Plant Biotechnol J 18(2):337–353. <https://doi.org/10.1111/pbi.13201>
- Bai C, Fang M, Zhai B, Ma L, Fu A, Gao L, Kou X, Meng D, Wang Q, Zheng S (2021) Regulations of m⁶A methylation on tomato fruit chilling injury. Hortic Plant J 7(5):434–442. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.hpj.2021.05.005>
- Byun MY, Cui LH, Oh TK, Jung Y-J, Lee A, Park KY, Kang BG, Kim WT (2017) Homologous U-box E3 ubiquitin ligases OsPUB2 and OsPUB3 are involved in the positive regulation of low temperature stress response in rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). Front Plant Sci 8:16. [https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.](https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2017.00016) [2017.00016](https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2017.00016)
- Cabrera RM, Saltveit ME, Owens K (1992) Cucumber cultivars difer in their response to chilling temperatures. J Am Soc Hortic Sci 117 (5):802–807. <https://doi.org/10.21273/JASHS.117.5.802>
- Chen J, Li Y, Li F, Wu Q, Jiang Y, Yuan D (2018) Banana MaABI5 is involved in ABA-induced cold tolerance through interaction with a RING E3 ubiquitin ligase, MaC3HC4-1. Sci Hortic 237:239–246. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scienta.2018.04.026) [scienta.2018.04.026](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scienta.2018.04.026)
- Chung SM, Staub JE, Fazio G (2003) Inheritance of chilling injury: a maternally inherited trait in cucumber. J Am Soc Hortic Sci 128 (4):526–530. [https://](https://doi.org/10.21273/JASHS.128.4.0526) doi.org/10.21273/JASHS.128.4.0526
- Diao P, Chen C, Zhang Y, Meng Q, Lv W, Ma N (2020) The role of NAC transcription factor in plant cold response. Plant Signal Behav 15(9):1785668. <https://doi.org/10.1080/15592324.2020.1785668>
- Ding Y, Shi Y, Yang S (2019) Advances and challenges in uncovering cold tolerance regulatory mechanisms in plants. New Phytol 222(4):1690–1704. <https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.15696>
- Dong CH, Agarwal M, Zhang Y, Xie Q, Zhu JK (2006) The negative regulator of plant cold responses, HOS1, is a RING E3 ligase that mediates the ubiquitination and degradation of ICE1. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A103(21):8281– 8286.<https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0602874103>
- Dong S, Wang W, Bo K, Miao H, Song Z, Wei S, Zhang S, Gu X (2019) Quantitative Trait Loci Mapping and Candidate Gene Analysis of Low Temperature Tolerance in Cucumber Seedlings. Front Plant Sci 10:1620. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2019.01620) [org/10.3389/fpls.2019.01620](https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2019.01620)
- Dong S, Li C, Tian H, Wang W, Yang X, Beckles DM, Liu X, Guan J, Gu X, Sun J (2023) Natural variation in *STAYGREEN* contributes to low temperature tolerance in cucumber. J Integr Plant Biol 65(12):2552–2568. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1111/jipb.13571) [org/10.1111/jipb.13571](https://doi.org/10.1111/jipb.13571)
- Dong H (2017) Mining genes with cold tolerance of elite germplasm in cucumber. [Doctoral dissertation]. Chinese Academy Agriculture Science, Beijing
- Fang H, Meng Q, Xu J, Tang H, Tang S, Zhang H, Huang J (2015) Knock-down of stress inducible OsSRFP1 encoding an E3 ubiquitin ligase with transcriptional activation activity confers abiotic stress tolerance through enhancing antioxidant protection in rice. Plant Mol Biol 87:441–458. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11103-015-0294-1) [org/10.1007/s11103-015-0294-1](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11103-015-0294-1)
- Haas BJ, Delcher AL, Mount SM, Wortman JR, Smith Jr RK, Hannick LI, Maiti R, Ronning CM, Rusch DB, Town CD (2003) Improving the Arabidopsis genome annotation using maximal transcript alignment assemblies. Nucleic Acids Res 31(19):5654–5666.<https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkg770>
- Huang J, Zhao X, Bürger M, Chory J, Wang X (2023) The role of ethylene in plant temperature stress response. Trends Plant Sci 28(7):808–824. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tplants.2023.03.001>
- Jiang H, Shi Y, Liu J, Li Z, Fu D, Wu S, Li M, Yang Z, Shi Y, Lai J, Yang X, Gong Z, Hua J, Yang S (2022) Natural polymorphism of ZmICE1 contributes to amino acid metabolism that impacts cold tolerance in maize. Nat Plants 8(10):1176–1190. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41477-022-01254-3>
- Kidokoro S, Shinozaki K, Yamaguchi-Shinozaki K (2022) Transcriptional regulatory network of plant cold-stress responses. Trends Plant Sci 27 (9):922–935 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tplants.2022.01.008>
- Klay I, Gouia S, Liu M, Mila I, Khoudi H, Bernadac A, Bouzayen M, Pirrello J (2018) Ethylene Response Factors (ERF) are diferentially regulated by

diferent abiotic stress types in tomato plants. Plant Sci 274:137–145. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.plantsci.2018.05.023>

- Kłosińska U, Kozik EU, Nowicki M, Wehner TC (2013) Low temperature seed germination of cucumber: genetic basis of the tolerance trait. J Hortic Res 21(2):125–130. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13353-022-00710-2>
- Kozik E, Klosinska U, Wehner T (2012) Inheritance of low temperature seed germination ability in cucumber. In: Cucurbitaceae 2012. Proceedings of the Xth EUCARPIA Meeting on Genetics and Breeding of Cucurbitaceae, Antalya, Turkey, 15–18 October, 2012, 2012. University of Cukurova, Ziraat Fakultesi, pp 575–578
- Kozik EU, Wehner TC (2008) A single dominant gene *Ch* for chilling resistance in cucumber seedlings. J Am Soc Hortic Sci 133(2):225–227
- Lee H, Xiong L, Gong Z, Ishitani M, Stevenson B, Zhu JK (2001) The *Arabidopsis HOS1* gene negatively regulates cold signal transduction and encodes a RING fnger protein that displays cold-regulated nucleo– cytoplasmic partitioning. Genes Dev 15(7):912–924. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1101/gad.866801) [1101/gad.866801](https://doi.org/10.1101/gad.866801)
- Li B, Wang X, Wang X, Xi Z (2023a) An AP2/ERF transcription factor *VvERF63* positively regulates cold tolerance in Arabidopsis and grape leaves. Environ Exp Bot 205:105124. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envexpbot.2022.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envexpbot.2022.105124) [105124](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envexpbot.2022.105124)
- Li H, Zhu W, Peng J (2015) Inheritance analysis and screening of linked marker of chilling resistance in cucumber (*Cucumis sativus* L.). J Shanghai Jiaotong University (Agricultural Science) 33(1):14–18
- Li H, Ding Y, Shi Y, Zhang X, Zhang S, Gong Z, Yang S (2017) MPK3-and MPK6 mediated ICE1 phosphorylation negatively regulates ICE1 stability and freezing tolerance in Arabidopsis. Dev Cell 43 (5):630–642. e634. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.devcel.2017.09.025) doi.org/10.1016/j.devcel.2017.09.025
- Li C, Dong S, Beckles DM, Liu X, Liu D, Miao H, Zhang S, Gu X (2022a) Identifcation of QTLs controlling cold tolerance in cucumber (*Cucumis sativus* L.) seedlings. Scientia Horticulturae 305. [https://doi.org/10.3390/plants1001](https://doi.org/10.3390/plants10010085) [0085](https://doi.org/10.3390/plants10010085)
- Li C, Dong S, Beckles DM, Miao H, Sun J, Liu X, Wang W, Zhang S, Gu X (2022b) The *qLTG1.1* candidate gene *CsGAI* regulates low temperature seed germination in cucumber. Theor Appl Genet. [https://doi.org/10.1007/](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00122-022-04097-w) [s00122-022-04097-w](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00122-022-04097-w)
- Li C, Dong S, Beckles DM, Liu X, Guan J, Gu X, Miao H, Zhang S (2023b) GWAS reveals novel loci and identifes a *pentatricopeptide repeat-containing protein (CsPPR)* that improves low temperature germination in cucumber. Front Plant Sci 14.<https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2023.1116214>
- Liu Y, Khan AR, Gan Y (2022b) C_2H_2 zinc finger proteins response to abiotic stress in plants. Int J Mol Sci 23(5):2730. [https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms2](https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms23052730) [3052730](https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms23052730)
- Liu W, Chen H, Jin Y, Qi H (2014) Advances in alcohol dehydrogenase enzymes and their gene families in higher plants. Plant Physiol J 50(10):1479–1493. <https://doi.org/10.13592/j.cnki.ppj.2014.0207>
- Liu D, Dong S, Miao H, Liu X, Li C, Han J, Zhang S, Gu X (2022a) A Large-Scale Genomic Association Analysis Identifes the Candidate Genes Regulating Salt Tolerance in Cucumber (*Cucumis sativus* L.) Seedlings. Int J Mol Sci 23(15) . <https://doi.org/10.1111/pbi.13452>
- Livak KJ, Schmittgen TD (2001) Analysis of relative gene expression data using real-time quantitative PCR and the 2^{− ΔΔCT} method. Methods 25(4):402–408
- Lourenço T, Sapeta H, Figueiredo DD, Rodrigues M, Cordeiro A, Abreu IA, Saibo NJ, Oliveira MM (2013) Isolation and characterization of rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) E3-ubiquitin ligase OsHOS1 gene in the modulation of cold stress response. Plant Mol Biol 83:351–363. [https://doi.org/10.1007/](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11103-013-0092-6) [s11103-013-0092-6](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11103-013-0092-6)
- Meng L, Li H, Zhang L, Wang J (2015) QTL IciMapping: integrated software for genetic linkage map construction and quantitative trait locus mapping in biparental populations. Crop J 3(3):269–283. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cj.2015.01.001) [cj.2015.01.001](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cj.2015.01.001)
- Miao Y, Ning Y, Cao Y, Shen J, Pang X, Cui L, Cheng C, Chen J (2013) Evaluation of cucumber's chilling tolerance at germination and seedling stages. J Applied Ecol 24(7):1914–1922
- Mittler R, Zandalinas SI, Fichman Y, Van Breusegem F (2022) Reactive oxygen species signalling in plant stress responses. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol 23(10):663–679.<https://doi.org/10.1038/s41580-022-00499-2>
- Mizoi J, Shinozaki K, Yamaguchi-Shinozaki K (2012) AP2/ERF family transcription factors in plant abiotic stress responses. Biochim Biophys Acta-Gene

Regul Mech 1819 (2):86–96. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbagrm.2011.08.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbagrm.2011.08.004) [004](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbagrm.2011.08.004)

- Purcell S, Neale B, Todd-Brown K, Thomas L, Ferreira MA, Bender D, Maller J, Sklar P, De Bakker PI, Daly MJ (2007) PLINK: a tool set for whole-genome association and population-based linkage analyses. Am J Hum Genet 81(3):559–575. <https://doi.org/10.1086/519795>
- Qi X, Zhang P, Xu Q, Chen X (2011) Evaluation of cold tolerance of cucumber seed and seedling. China Vegetables 16:34–38
- Qi C, Dong D, Li Y, Wang X, Guo L, Liu L, Dong X, Li X, Yuan X, Ren S, Zhang N, Guo YD (2022) Heat shock-induced cold acclimation in cucumber through *CsHSFA1d*-activated JA biosynthesis and signaling. Plant J 111(1):85–102. <https://doi.org/10.1111/tpj.15780>
- Qi J, Liu X, Shen D, Miao H, Xie B, Li X, Zeng P, Wang S, Shang Y, Gu X (2013) A genomic variation map provides insights into the genetic basis of cucumber domestication and diversity. Nature genet 45 (12):1510–1515. <https://doi.org/10.1038/ng.2801>
- Shi L, Yang Y, Xie Q, Miao H, Bo K, Song Z, Wang Y, Xie B, Zhang S, Gu X (2018) Inheritance and QTL mapping of cucumber mosaic virus resistance in cucumber (*Cucumis Sativus* L.). PLoS One 13 (7):e0200571. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0200571) [10.1371/journal.pone.0200571](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0200571)
- Smeets L, Wehner TC (1997) Environmental efects on genetic variation of chilling resistance in cucumber. Euphytica 97(2):217–225
- Song L, Tan Z, Zhang W, Li Q, Jiang Z, Shen S, Luo S, Chen X (2023) Exogenous melatonin improves the chilling tolerance and preharvest fruit shelf life in eggplant by afecting ROS-and senescence-related processes. Hortic Plant J 9(3):523–540. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.hpj.2022.08.002>
- Song Z, Wang W, Shi L, Zhang S, Xie Q, Wei S, Wang Y, Bo K, Miao H, Zhang S, Gu X, Havey M (2018) Identifcation of QTLs controlling low-temperature tolerance during the germination stage in cucumber (*Cucumis sativus* L.). Plant Breed 137 (4):629–637. <https://doi.org/10.1111/pbr.12601>
- Sun X, Zhao T, Gan S, Ren X, Fang L, Karungo SK, Wang Y, Chen L, Li S, Xin H (2016) Ethylene positively regulates cold tolerance in grapevine by modulating the expression of ETHYLENE RESPONSE FACTOR 057. Sci Rep 6(1):24066. <https://doi.org/10.1038/srep24066>
- Tang Y, Chen H, Deng T, Chang Y, Sun K, Ditta A, Khan MKR, Wang K, Wang B (2022) Genome-wide identifcation and analysis of the GUB_WAK_bind gene family in Gossypium hirsutum. Mol Biol Rep 49(7):6405–6413. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11033-022-07449-3>
- Tian C, Zhai L, Zhu W, Qi X, Yu Z, Wang H, Chen F, Wang L, Chen S (2022) Characterization of the *TCP* Gene Family in *Chrysanthemum nankingense* and the Role of *CnTCP4* in Cold Tolerance. Plants 11(7):936. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.3390/plants11070936) [3390/plants11070936](https://doi.org/10.3390/plants11070936)
- Turner SD (2014) qqman: an R package for visualizing GWAS results using QQ and manhattan plots. J Open Sour Softw 3(25):731. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.21105/joss.00731) [21105/joss.00731](https://doi.org/10.21105/joss.00731)
- Wan H, Zhao Z, Qian C, Sui Y, Malik AA, Chen J (2010) Selection of appropriate reference genes for gene expression studies by quantitative real-time polymerase chain reaction in cucumber. Anal Biochem 399(2):257–261. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ab.2009.12.008>
- Wang K, Ding Y, Cai C, Chen Z, Zhu C (2019a) The role of C₂H₂ zinc finger proteins in plant responses to abiotic stresses. Physiol Plant 165(4):690–700. <https://doi.org/10.1111/ppl.12728>
- Wang W, Song Z, Bo K, Dong S, Wei S, Miao H, Li J, Zhang S, Gu X (2019b) Evaluation and genome-wide association study (GWAS) of low-temperature tolerance at seedling stage in cucumber core germplasm. J Plant Genet Resour 20(6):1606–1612.<https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms23042308>
- Wang Y, Jiang H, Mao Z, Liu W, Jiang S, Xu H, Su M, Zhang J, Wang N, Zhang Z (2021) Ethylene increases the cold tolerance of apple via the MdERF1B– MdCIbHLH1 regulatory module. Plant J 106(2):379–393
- Wang S, Lv X, Zhang J, Chen D, Chen S, Fan G, Ma C, Wang Y (2022a) Roles of E3 ubiquitin ligases in plant responses to abiotic stresses. Int J Mol Sci 23(4):2308. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms23042308>
- Wang P, Liu WC, Han C, Wang S, Bai MY, Song CP (2023a) Reactive Oxygen Species: Multidimensional Regulators of Plant Adaptation to Abiotic Stress and Development. J Integr Plant Biol.<https://doi.org/10.1111/jipb.13601>
- Wang X, Ding Y, Li Z, Shi Y, Wang J, Hua J, Gong Z, Zhou J-M, Yang S (2019c) PUB25 and PUB26 promote plant freezing tolerance by degrading the cold signaling negative regulator MYB15. Dev cell 51 (2):222–235. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.devcel.2019.08.008) doi.org/10.1016/j.devcel.2019.08.008
- Wang Y, Cao S, Sui X, Wang J, Geng Y, Gao F, Zhou Y (2022b) Genome-wide characterization, evolution, and expression analysis of the ascorbate

peroxidase and glutathione peroxidase gene families in response to cold and osmotic stress in *Ammopiptanthus nanus*. J Plant Growth Regul:1–21. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00344-021-10570-5>

- Wang Z, Dong S, Liu Y, Beckles DM, Li C, Han J, Zhang Y, Liu X, Guan J, Gu X (2023b) A Genome-wide association study identifes candidate genes for heat tolerance in adult cucumber (*Cucumis sativus* L.) plants. Hortic Plant J.<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.hpj.2023.11.001>
- Wang H (2014) Evaluation of low temperature tolerance and QTL mapping in cucumber germplasm [Doctoral dissertation]. Chinese Academy of Agriculture Science, Beijing
- Wei S, Zhang S, Bo K, Wang W, Miao H, Dong S, Gu X, Zhang S (2019). Evaluation and genome-wide association study (GWAS) of seedling thermotolerance in cucumber core germplasm. J Plant Genet Res 20:1223-1231. <https://doi.org/10.13430/j.cnki.jpgr.20181226001>
- Wofelman C (2004) DNAMAN for Windows. Lynon Biosoft, Institute of Molecular Plant Sciences, Leiden University, Leiden 5:10
- Wu Y, Wu S, Wang X, Mao T, Bao M, Zhang J, Zhang J (2022) Genome-wide identifcation and characterization of the bHLH gene family in an ornamental woody plant Prunus mume. Hortic Plant J 8(4):531–544. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1186/s12864-022-08782-4) doi.org/10.1186/s12864-022-08782-4
- Xie Y, Chen P, Yan Y, Bao C, Li X, Wang L, Shen X, Li H, Liu X, Niu C (2018) An atypical R2R3 MYB transcription factor increases cold hardiness by CBF-dependent and CBF-independent pathways in apple. New Phytol 218(1):201–218.<https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.14952>
- Xu W, Zhang N, Jiao Y, Li R, Xiao D, Wang Z (2014) The grapevine basic helixloop-helix (bHLH) transcription factor positively modulates CBF-pathway and confers tolerance to cold-stress in Arabidopsis. Mol Biol Rep 41:5329–5342.<https://doi.org/10.1007/s11033-014-3404-2>
- Xu G, Li L, Zhou J, Lyu D, Zhao D, Qin S (2023) Comparison of transcriptome and metabolome analysis revealed diferences in cold resistant metabolic pathways in diferent apple cultivars under low temperature stress. Hortic Plant J 9(2):183–198. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.hpj.2022.09.002>
- Yagcioglu M, Jiang B, Wang P, Wang Y, Ellialtioglu SS, Weng Y (2019) QTL mapping of low temperature germination ability in cucumber. Euphytica 215(4):1–11. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10681-019-2408-3>
- Yan Y, Mintao S, Si M, Qian F, Yijia W, Qinghua D, Mengdi Z, Chaoxing H, Yansu L, Lihong G, Xianchang Y (2022) Mechanism of *CsGPA1* in regulating cold tolerance of cucumber. Hortic Res 9:uhac109. [https://doi.org/10.1093/hr/](https://doi.org/10.1093/hr/uhac109) [uhac109](https://doi.org/10.1093/hr/uhac109)
- Yang T, Chaudhuri S, Yang L, Du L, Poovaiah B (2010) A calcium/calmodulinregulated member of the receptor-like kinase family confers cold tolerance in plants. J Biol Chem 285(10):7119–7126. [https://doi.org/10.1074/](https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.m109.035659) [jbc.m109.035659](https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.m109.035659)
- Yang J, Guo X, Mei Q, Qiu L, Chen P, Li W, Mao K, Ma F (2023) MdbHLH4 negatively regulates apple cold tolerance by inhibiting *MdCBF1/3* expression and promoting *MdCAX3L-2* expression. Plant Physiol 191(1):789–806. <https://doi.org/10.1093/plphys/kiac512>
- Yu JQ, Zhou YH, Ye SF, Huang LF (2002) 24-epibrassinolide and abscisic acid protect cucumber seedlings from chilling injury. J Hortic Sci Biotechnol 77(4):470–473. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14620316.2002.11511524>
- Zhang Y, Yu H, Yang X, Li Q, Ling J, Wang H, Gu X, Huang S, Jiang W (2016) *CsWRKY46*, a WRKY transcription factor from cucumber, confers cold resistance in transgenic-plant by regulating a set of cold-stress responsive genes in an ABA-dependent manner. Plant Physiol Biochem 108:478–487. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.plaphy.2016.08.013>
- Zhang H, Guo J, Chen X, Zhou Y, Pei Y, Chen L, ul Haq S, Zhang M, Gong H, Chen R (2023) Transcription factor *CabHLH035* promotes cold resistance and homeostasis of reactive oxygen species in pepper. Hortic Plant J. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.hpj.2023.03.007>
- Zhang Z, Li J, Li F, Liu H, Yang W, Chong K, Xu Y (2017) OsMAPK3 phosphorylates OsbHLH002/OsICE1 and inhibits its ubiquitination to activate *OsTPP1* and enhances rice chilling tolerance. Dev Cell 43 (6):731–743. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.devcel.2017.11.016) [org/10.1016/j.devcel.2017.11.016](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.devcel.2017.11.016)
- Zhang L, Jiang X, Liu Q, Ahammed GJ, Lin R, Wang L, Shao S, Yu J, Zhou Y (2020) The HY5 and MYB15 transcription factors positively regulate cold tolerance in tomato via the CBF pathway. Plant Cell Environ 43(11):2712– 2726.<https://doi.org/10.1111/pce.13868>
- Zhang Y, Ming R, Khan M, Wang Y, Dahro B, Xiao W, Li C, Liu JH (2022) ERF9 of *Poncirus trifoliata* (L.) Raf. undergoes feedback regulation by ethylene and modulates cold tolerance via regulating a *glutathione S‐transferase*

U17 gene. Plant Biotechnol J 20(1):183–200. [https://doi.org/10.1111/pbi.](https://doi.org/10.1111/pbi.13705) [13705](https://doi.org/10.1111/pbi.13705)

- Zhao C, Wang P, Si T, Hsu C, Wang L, Zayed O, Yu Z, Zhu Y, Dong J, Tao WA (2017) MAP kinase cascades regulate the cold response by modulating ICE1 protein stability. Dev Cell 43 (5):618–629. e615. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2016.01599) [3389/fpls.2016.01599](https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2016.01599)
- Zhou M, Li W, Zheng Y, Lin P, Yao X, Lin J (2016) CbRCI35, a cold responsive peroxidase from Capsella bursa-pastoris regulates reactive oxygen species homeostasis and enhances cold tolerance in tobacco. Front Plant Sci 7:1599. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2016.01599>
- Zhuo X, Zheng T, Zhang Z, Zhang Y, Jiang L, Ahmad S, Sun L, Wang J, Cheng T, Zhang Q (2018) Genome-wide analysis of the NAC transcription factor gene family reveals diferential expression patterns and cold-stress responses in the woody plant *Prunus mume*. Genes 9(10):494. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.3390/genes9100494) [org/10.3390/genes9100494](https://doi.org/10.3390/genes9100494)

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.