# Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory

**Recent Work** 

## Title

Speed, Noise and Uniformity of a Liquid Argon Electromagnetic Calorimeter Prototype

Permalink https://escholarship.org/uc/item/4qc464sp

### **Author** Hearty, C.

Publication Date 1991-08-01

N





Prepared for the U.S. Department of Energy under Contract Number DE-AC03-76SF00098

#### DISCLAIMER

This document was prepared as an account of work sponsored by the United States Government. While this document is believed to contain correct information, neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor the Regents of the University of California, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by its trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof, or the Regents of the University of California. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof or the Regents of the University of California.

### LBL-31264

#### SPEED, NOISE AND UNIFORMITY OF A LIQUID ARGON ELECTROMAGNETIC CALORIMETER PROTOTYPE

19

2

Christopher Hearty\*

PHYSICS DEPARTMENT Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory University of California Berkeley, CA 94720

AUGUST 1991

\*Representing the SDC liquid argon calorimeter group and the liquid argon large subsystem collaboration. This work was supported by a fellowship from the Texas National Research Laboratory Commission and by the Director, Office of Energy Research, Office of High Energy and Nuclear Physics, Division of High Energy Physics of the U.S. Department of Energy under Contract Number DE-AC03-76SF00098.

### SPEED, NOISE AND UNIFORMITY OF A LIQUID ARGON ELECTROMAGNETIC CALORIMETER PROTOTYPE

CHRISTOPHER HEARTY \* Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory, Berkeley, CA 94720, USA

#### ABSTRACT

A liquid argon EM calorimeter prototype has been tested in the AGS A3 test beam line at the Brookhaven National Laboratory. The design is very similar to that proposed for the SDC experiment at the SSC. Preliminary results indicate that the electrode structure gives speed of response fast enough for SSC operation, that the electronic noise and timing resolution are understood and predictable, and that the uniformity of response is acceptable in the crack between modules and very good elsewhere.

#### 1. Introduction

4

The EM calormeter tested at BNL in June 1991 consists of two modules, each containing six towers. It is constructed from 6 mm lead plates separated by a 2 mm liquid argon (LAr) gap, a 1.6 mm G10 readout board, and a second 2 mm LAr gap. The two modules are separated by 3 mm — the azimuthal crack for SDC. The calorimeter is tilted 3° to make the crack non-projective. The towers are 11.4 cm square. In depth, each contains a massless gap channel (used to correct for energy lost in material preceding the calorimeter), EM1 (5 layers), a layer of shower maximum strips to measure the shower position, and EM2 (19 layers), for a total thickness of 28  $X_0$ . The strips and massless gaps are not included in this preliminary analysis because of calibration uncertainties. The calibration of EM1 and EM2 has been found by minimizing the resolution. The final results, which will be ready by the Fall 1991 Corpus Christi conference, will include all channels with correct electronics calibration.

#### 2. Speed of Response

Figure 1 shows the current in the liquid argon gap. The fast risetime of the signal is slowed and the collected charge reduced by the finite time required to transfer the charge from the gap to the preamp. This time is minimized by placing the preamps in the LAr, connected to the gaps via low impedance striplines. Figure 2 is a plot of the charge collected in EM1 and EM2 for 10 GeV electrons as a function of  $t_{peak}$ . No significant loss of signal is observed for the minimum peaking time available, 50 ns. The peaking time planned for SDC is 60 ns.

\*Representing the SDC liquid argon calorimeter group and the liquid argon large subsystem collaboration. This work was supported by a fellowship from the Texas National Research Laboratory Commission and by the Department of Energy under Contract Number DE-AC03-76SF00098.

To be published in the proceedings of Particles and Fields '91, Aug. 18-22, 1991, Vancouver, Canada.



Fig. 1. The current into the electrode for uniform charge deposition across the LAr gap. The shaded area is the charged collected during the effective integration time, Fig. 2. Measured pulse heights vs  $t_{peak}$  for the peaking time of the bipolar shaper fol- 10 GeV e-. Curves are expected values for lowing the preamp.



fast ( $\ll$  50 ns) risetime.

#### 3. Electronic Noise and Timing Resolution

The series thermal noise from the equivalent series resistance of the preamp and from the series damping resistor are the only significant noise sources for JFET preamplifiers and short integration times; parallel and 1/f noise is negligible.<sup>1</sup> To reduce the noise, the capacitance of the detector is matched to that of the preamp by a ferrite-core transformer. The pedestal width and 10 GeV  $\mu$  minimum ionizing peak

for an EM2 channel is shown in Fig. 3. For 100 ns peaking time, two EM1 channels have measured noise of  $16 \pm 2$  and  $17\pm2$  MeV, while the EM2 channels have  $50 \pm 7$  and  $67 \pm 7$  MeV. The predicted values are  $23\pm5$  and  $50\pm10$  MeV, respectively. The errors reflect uncertainties in calibration and preamp properties. The agreement indicates that the noise is predictable and that there is no significant pickup. The predicted noises for SDC are 27 MeV for EM1 and 75 MeV for EM2. These values assume  $t_{peak} = 60$  ns, ~ 50% higher detector capacitance (4 mm lead vs 6 mm), and a 30% improvement in preamp performance.

(,



Fig. 3. Pedestal and muon peak for EM2.

2

The timing resolution for 10 GeV electrons is determined using the zero-cross of the bipolar output of the shaping amplifier. The measured value for  $t_{peak} = 100$  ns, EM2 alone, is  $\sigma_t = 1.5 \pm 0.2$  ns, in good agreement with the prediction of 1.3 ns. The resolution for SDC would be comparable.

#### 4. Uniformity

0

٧Ì

Ð

Data were taken at several cryostat locations to determine the response across the intermodule gap. The location of each electron was determined from a scintillating fiber hodoscope. The response, excluding the shower max strips, is shown in Fig. 4. The signal in EM2 increases near the crack because the shower starts later, while the signals in EM1 and the shower-max strips decrease. The enhancement at the crack is an artifact of excluding the strips from the sum and is predicted by Geant simulation. The uniformity in the region excluding the crack is calculated to be 0.5%.



Fig. 4. Measured response across the azimuthal crack for 10 GeV electrons. Shower max strips not included in sum.

#### 5. Summary

An EM calorimeter, similar in design to that proposed for SDC, has been tested at the BNL test beam. Preliminary results indicate that the intrinsically fast rise time of LAr signals is preserved. The measured electronic noise agrees with expected values. The corresponding timing resolution was measured for 10 GeV electrons to be  $1.5 \pm 0.2$  ns. The response across the azimuthal crack ensures that no electrons will be unobserved; the uniformity elsewhere is very good. These results indicate that a high-quality EM calorimeter could be built for SDC with a similar design.

#### References

1. V. Radeka and S. Rescia, Nucl. Instrum. Methods A265 (1988) 228.

3

عديد أحبب

and the second s

میں کا د

LAWRENCE BERKELEY LABORATORY UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA INFORMATION RESOURCES DEPARTMENT BERKELEY, CALIFORNIA 94720