

Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory

Recent Work

Title

TWO-DIMENSIONAL MULTIPLE QUANTUM NMR OF ISOTOPIC MIXTURES IN LIQUID CRYSTALS

Permalink

<https://escholarship.org/uc/item/4qd5v9c4>

Author

Gochin, M.

Publication Date

1986-07-01



Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

Materials & Molecular Research Division

RECEIVED
LAWRENCE
BERKELEY LABORATORY

DEC 23 1986

LIBRARY AND
DOCUMENTS SECTION

Submitted to Molecular Physics

TWO-DIMENSIONAL MULTIPLE QUANTUM NMR OF
ISOTOPIC MIXTURES IN LIQUID CRYSTALS

M. Gochin, D. Hugi-Cleary,
H. Zimmermann, and A. Pines

July 1986

TWO-WEEK LOAN COPY

*This is a Library Circulating Copy
which may be borrowed for two weeks.*



LBL-21815
c.2

DISCLAIMER

This document was prepared as an account of work sponsored by the United States Government. While this document is believed to contain correct information, neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor the Regents of the University of California, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by its trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof, or the Regents of the University of California. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof or the Regents of the University of California.

Two-Dimensional Multiple Quantum NMR of Isotopic Mixtures in Liquid Crystals

M. Gochin, D. Hugi-Cleary, H. Zimmermann[†] and A. Pines^{*}

Department of Chemistry, University of California, Berkeley and Lawrence
Berkeley Laboratory, Berkeley, CA 94720.

[†] Max Planck-Institut für Medizinische Forschung, D-6900 Heidelberg 1,
W. Germany.

^{*} Author to whom correspondence should be addressed

Abstract

A technique is presented for the experimental determination and assignment of dipolar coupling constants from the NMR spectra of molecules dissolved in liquid crystals. The technique is applicable to larger molecules than have been studied to date. Random deuteration of the molecule results in a statistical mixture of partially protonated species which can be analysed by two-dimensional and multiple quantum NMR techniques to determine and assign all the dipole coupling constants. Benzene is used as an illustrative example.

Introduction

Proton NMR of molecules dissolved in liquid crystal solvents has been a useful means of determining averaged magnetic dipole coupling constants from which structural parameters can often be inferred¹. Beyond a certain size and lack of symmetry, typically more than eight to ten hydrogens on an acyclic molecule, normal Fourier transform NMR is not practical since the spectrum becomes intractably complex. For example, the proton NMR spectrum of oriented benzene displays seventy six lines², whereas that of oriented hexane, illustrative of a class of flexible molecules whose conformational structure and motions are of interest, contains tens of thousands of transitions.

Two approaches to solving this problem can be envisaged. The first involves specific labelling with a few hydrogens (most simply pairs) in an otherwise perdeuterated molecule³. The advantages of this method are that the spectra and magnetic dipole coupling constants of these individual isotopomers are simple to analyse and assign. The disadvantage is that this approach can be synthetically quite demanding. The second approach, using the normal protonated molecule, involves exciting and detecting high n -quantum NMR transitions. These are known to become simple and directly analysable as n approaches the number of spins⁴. An obvious advantage of this method is that no isotopic labelling is necessary, but, on the other hand, it is extremely difficult to obtain high n -quantum transitions (e.g. $n = 12$ in hexane) with practically useful sensitivity. Thus the first approach can be characterized as requiring difficult synthesis but straightforward NMR whereas the second is easy on sample preparation but requires complex NMR techniques.

In this paper, we describe a model study which incorporates the

advantageous features of the above approaches. The idea is to randomly deuterate the compound to a certain level, yielding a statistical mixture of partially protonated molecules. The NMR spectrum of this mixture will be extremely complicated, but if the spectra of the individual isotopomer constituents in the mixture can be separated out, then they may be simply analysed. This can be achieved by two-dimensional and multiple-quantum NMR techniques. Two-dimensional NMR allows correlation of lines arising from the same isotopomer and multiple quantum NMR allows a classification of these isotopomers according to their number of spins and topology. The method is synthetically less demanding than specific labelling and the NMR is easy if the level of protonation is such that n is not too high. As an example, randomly deuterated benzene is used, since its NMR spectra in liquid crystals is well understood and it serves as an excellent prototype for this study.

Experimental

Randomly deuterated benzene was prepared by room temperature exchange of benzene with 85% sulphuric acid in D_2O . A 33% - deuterated sample was selected from the reaction of C_6H_6 with D_2SO_4 , and an 80% - deuterated sample was made from C_6D_6 with H_2SO_4 . The reaction time was approximately 40 hours. Figure 1 shows the mass spectral analysis of each sample, together with the calculated curves for random deuteration based on the statistical equation

$$P(m) = d^{n-m}(1-d)^m n C_m.$$

where $P(m)$ is the probability of finding m protons, d is the fraction of

deuteration and n is the total number of protons and deuterons in the molecule.

All samples were prepared by dissolving approximately 15 mole % of the appropriate deuterated benzene in the nematic liquid crystal EK 11650 (p-pentylphenyl-2-chloro-4-(pentylbenzyloxy)-benzoate) and were sealed in 5mm NMR tubes. The experiments were performed on a home-built spectrometer operating at 362 MHz for protons and interfaced to a VAX 11/730 minicomputer by a parallel MDB-DCHIB/DR11W data channel interface. Two dimensional data sets, up to 1K x 4K in size were recorded directly on the VAX for processing.

Dipole coupling constants

Figure 2 shows the spectrum of (a) benzene and (b) 80% randomly deuterated benzene. (b) is a superposition of spectra from isotopomers with, in this case, 1 - 3 protons. (a) is a single isotopomer, the most highly protonated one. It is the high symmetry of benzene that reduces this spectrum to a simple one. In general, however, a molecule with 6 or more protons will have a complicated single quantum spectrum, illustrated by hexane (c). Spectrum (b) is simple and easy to interpret provided the sub-spectra of different isotopomers can be disentangled.

The spectra of diprotonated species provide the dipole coupling constants directly. Peaks arising from the same molecule can be correlated on a 2-dimensional COSY map⁵. These will be A_2 or, in the case of non-zero chemical shift, AB spectra. Two-dimensional dipolar A_2 type spectra consist of a pair of lines in each frequency dimension, while AB type spectra give four lines in each dimension. The square patterns of diagonal and cross peaks for A_2 and a representative AB type, where $D_{ij} \geq (\delta_i - \delta_j)$ the chemical

shift difference, are illustrated schematically in Figure 3. The dipole coupling constants are simply extracted from the splittings.

Signals from monoprotonated molecules can easily be eliminated using a two-quantum filter. The 2-quantum-filtered COSY^{6,7} map for 80% deuterated benzene is shown in Figure 4. It was taken using the pulse sequence:

$$\left(\frac{\pi}{2}\right)_{\phi} - \frac{t_1}{2} - \pi_{\phi} - \frac{t_1}{2} - \left(\frac{\pi}{2}\right)_{\phi} - \tau_1 - \left(\frac{\pi}{2}\right)_x - \frac{\tau_2}{2} - \pi_x - \frac{\tau_2}{2} - \text{sample},$$

where ϕ is incremented by 90° while the receiver oscillates between 0 and 180° . The sample has 25% diprotonated, 10% triprotonated and ~ 2% four-proton spin systems. No systems more complex than four spins are in effect present due to their low statistical probability. This important effect of random deuteration enables the clean use of n-quantum filters which usually work only as high pass filters, allowing n and higher spin systems to pass (although n+1, n+3, n+5 ... spin systems can be inhibited by taking advantage of the oscillatory nature of their coherences), and clearly establishes a bandwidth of number of spins within which molecules must lie to be detected.

For benzene, there are three unique A_2 spectra, corresponding to the three dipole coupling constants. These are singled out in the COSY spectrum by their intensity and also because they each consist of only a pair of lines in one dimension. The three $|D_{ij}|$ values 1529, 307 and 193 Hz were obtained.

Assignment

The list of dipole coupling constants obtained from the diprotonated species can be assigned by examination and simulation of the spectra from the triprotonated species. The argument used is that the three coupling

constants in a triprotonated molecule must "close" to form a triangle⁸ and, since several cross-checks are possible, only the correct assignments will accurately simulate all possible triprotonated spectra. This process is illustrated schematically in Fig. 5 for a hypothetical 4 spin system. There are 6 unique dipole coupling constants, 5(a) and only 4 possible triprotonated species, 5(b). Thus it is impossible to have a triple with, for example, coupling constants 2 and 4, or 3 and 6, fig 5(c). The final assignments are unambiguous, and the relative signs of the dipole coupling constants are obtained by this method. The absolute signs of the dipole coupling constants can be determined if the signs of J_{ij} , the indirect coupling constants, are known. These can be determined from studies in isotropic solution. Fig 6 illustrates the result for 80% deuterated benzene, where the assignments are $|D_{ortho}| = -1529$, $|D_{meta}| = -307$, $|D_{para}| = -193$ Hz. It is known, from isotropic solution⁹, that all J_{ij} are positive and for benzene opposite in sign to D_{ij} , therefore the dipole coupling constants are negative.

Multiple Quantum NMR

Multiple quantum NMR¹⁰ can be used to ascertain the correct assignments of the dipole coupling constants. Higher multiple quantum orders have fewer transitions, with line splittings given by combinations of the dipole coupling constants. Two-dimensional NMR can be used to correlate coherences arising from the same spin system, and simulation of the experimental spectrum will confirm the assignments. Figure 7(a) shows an experimental 5 quantum (ω_1) vs 1 quantum (ω_2) correlation spectrum of a sample of 33% randomly deuterated benzene in nematic phase. Only benzene and d_1 benzene have sufficient protons to generate five quantum coherence, a singlet at

$\omega_1=0$ for d_1 benzene and a doublet centred around $\omega_1=0$ for benzene. The simulated spectrum, resulting from the correct assignment of the dipole coupling constants, is shown in figure 7(b). The dipole coupling constants can themselves be extracted from the $n-1$ and $n-2$ quantum spectra of an n -spin system¹¹. The dipole coupling constants were determined with this method to be within experimental error of the values obtained from the COSY experiment.

Conclusion

We have shown that random deuteration can be usefully combined with multiple quantum NMR to extract dipole coupling constants and spectroscopically separate mixtures. A high deuteration level was used to select for 2 and 3 proton containing isomers. Dipole couplings were extracted from the 2 proton isomers and the assignments and connectivities were determined using the 3 proton isomers. The assignments were verified using multiple quantum spectroscopy on a more highly protonated mixture. Work is in progress to apply these ideas to more complex systems.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the Director, Office of Basic Energy Sciences, Materials Science Division of the U.S. Department of Energy under Contract DE-AC03-76SF00098. DHC acknowledges support from the Swiss National Science Foundation.

References

- (1) (a) Vericini C.A. and Longeri, M., Ch. 6; (b) Diehl, P., Ch.7., 1985, Nuclear Magnetic Resonance of Liquid Crystals, ed. Emsley, J.W.; (D. Reidel Pub. Co.).
- (2) Saupe, A.Z., 1965, Naturforsch A, 20, 572.
- (3) Charvolin, J. and Deloche, B. 1979, Mol. Phys. of Liq. Cryst., eds. Luckhurst, G.R. and Gray, G.W. (Acad. London), Ch.15.; Poupko, R., Luz, Z. and Zimmerman, H., 1982, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 104, 5307; Meiboom, S., Hewitt, R.C. and Luz, Z., 1977, J. Chem. Phys., 66, 4041; Luz, Z. and Meiboom, S., 1973, J. Chem. Phys., 59, 1077.
- (4) Drobny, G., Weitekamp, D.P. and Pines, A. J. Chem. Phys., submitted.
- (5) Aue, W.P., Bartholdi, E. and Ernst, R.R., 1976, J. Chem. Phys., 64, 2229.
- (6) Shaka, A.J. and Freeman, R., 1983, J. Magn. Reson., 51, 169.
- (7) Sorensen, O.W., Levitt, M.H. and Ernst, R.R., 1983, J. Magn. Reson., 55, 104.
- (8) Hewitt, R.C., Meiboom, S. and Snyder, L.C., 1973, J. Chem. Phys., 58, 5089.
- (9) Read, J.M., Mayo, R.E. and Goldstein, J.H., 1966, J. Mol. Spec., 21, 235.
- (10) Munowitz, M. and Pines, A. Adv. in Chem. Phys., eds. Rice, S. and Prigogine, I. submitted; Bodenhausen, G., 1981, Progr. in NMR Spect., 14, 137-173.
- (11) Warren, W.S. and Pines, A., 1981, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 103, 1613.
- (12) Drobny, G., Pines, A., Sinton, S., Weitekamp, D.P. and Wemmer, D., 1979, Farad. Symp. Chem. Soc., 13, 49.
- (13) Bodenhausen, G., Vold, R.L. and Vold, R.R., 1980, J. Mag. Reson., 37, 93.

Table 1

Dipole coupling constants used for the simulated spectra of triprotonated benzenes shown in figure 6. Ortho, meta and para coupling constants are indicated by subscripts o, m and p respectively. All values are in Hz.

| (A) | (B) | (C) |
|-----------------------------|------------------------------|--|
| 1,3,5 triprotonated benzene | 1,2,3 triprotonated benzene | 1,2,4 triprotonated benzene |
| 1) experimental | 1) experimental | 1) experimental |
| 2) $D_m = -193$ | 2) $D_o = -1529, D_m = -307$ | 2) $D_o = -1529, D_m = -307, D_p = -193$ |
| 3) $D_m = +193$ | 3) $D_o = +1529, D_m = +307$ | 3) $D_o = +1529, D_m = +307, D_p = +193$ |
| 4) $D_m = -307$ | 4) $D_o = -1529, D_m = +307$ | 4) $D_o = -1529, D_m = -307, D_p = +193$ |
| 5) $D_m = +307$ | 5) $D_o = +1529, D_m = -307$ | 5) $D_o = +1529, D_m = +307, D_p = -193$ |
| 6) $D_m = -1529$ | 6) $D_o = +193, D_m = -307$ | |
| 7) $D_m = +1529$ | 7) $D_o = -193, D_m = +307$ | |
| | 8) $D_o = -193, D_m = -307$ | |
| | 9) $D_o = +193, D_m = +307$ | |

Figure CaptionsFigure 1

Mass spectral data from 33.9% (O) and 78.7% (Δ) randomly deuterated benzene. Open symbols are the fractions obtained from the measured relative intensities at masses 84 (0 protons) through 78 (6 protons). Solid symbols show the calculated statistical distributions.

Figure 2

Proton magnetic resonance spectra of (a) benzene, (b) 78.7% randomly deuterated benzene and (c) n-hexane in the nematic liquid crystal EK 11650.

Figure 3

Schematic drawing of 2-dimensional patterns expected for (a) A_2 spin system and (b) AB spin system where $D_{AB} \geq \delta_{AB}$. The sides of the largest squares indicate the coupling constants, as shown. Here $D = D_{AB} + J_{AB}^{aniso}$, where D_{AB} is the dipole coupling between nuclei A and B, and J_{AB}^{aniso} is the anisotropic or pseudo-dipolar coupling. J_{AB} is the scalar coupling, δ_{AB} the difference in chemical shift and $C = \sqrt{[\delta_{AB}^2 + (J_{AB} - D_{AB}/2)^2]}$

Figure 4

Two quantum filtered correlated spectrum of 78.7% randomly deuterated benzene dissolved at 20 mole% in EK 11650. $1024 \tau_1 \times 1024 \tau_2$ points were recorded with deuterium decoupling and spectral widths of 10kHz in τ_1 and τ_2 , using the pulse sequence given in the text. 12 FID's were collected for each τ_1 point with a recycle delay of 5 seconds. The quadrant shown has been

symmetrized along the diagonals. The slices indicate the six species present: 3 diprotonated (2),(3),(4) and 3 triprotonated (1),(5),(6).

Figure 5

Illustration of procedure for the assignment of dipole couplings for a hypothetical 4 spin system. (a) six unique coupled pairs, numbered 1 to 6; (b) the unique combinations of three couplings which connect three spins; (c) any other combinations of three couplings involve four spins and do not close.

Figure 6


Simulations of spectra of triprotonated species. The experimental spectra can be simulated only by the correct assignments of D_{ij} . The dipole coupling constants used for the various simulations are listed in table 1.

Figure 7(a)

5 quantum (ν_1) vs 1 quantum (ν_2) 2 dimensional correlated spectrum of 33.9% randomly deuterated benzene dissolved at 15 mole% in EK 11650. The pulse sequence used selected for odd multiple quantum orders which were separated using Time Proportional Phase Incrementation^{12,13}. The phase ϕ was incremented in 26.7° steps. 3000 $t_1 \times 1024 t_2$ points were recorded with deuterium decoupling and spectral widths of 125 kHz in ν_1 and 8 kHz in ν_2 . 4 FID's were collected per t_1 point with a recycle delay of 4 seconds. The data were zero filled to 4096 t_1 points prior to Fourier transformation. The plot shown is the 5 quantum section of ν_1 , and has been symmetrized about $\nu_2 = 0$. The three projections along ν_2 clearly show benzene and d^1 benzene.

Figure 7(b)


Simulation of spectrum shown in fig. 3(a) using D_{ij} values determined from the 2 quantum filtered correlated spectrum.



XBL 866-2357





M. Gochin et al.

Figure 1




M. Gochin et al.
Figure 2

XBL 866-2358

A_2  AB 


M. Gochin et al.
Figure 3

XBL 866-2492




XBL 866-2355

M. Gochin et al.
Figure 4




XBL 866-2356

M. Gochin et al.
Figure 5



M. Gochin et al.
Figure 6

XBL 866-2354




XBL 866-2353

 ν_2

M. Gochin et al.

Figure 7(a)



XBL 866-2352

M. Gochin et al.
Figure 7(b)

This report was done with support from the Department of Energy. Any conclusions or opinions expressed in this report represent solely those of the author(s) and not necessarily those of The Regents of the University of California, the Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory or the Department of Energy.

Reference to a company or product name does not imply approval or recommendation of the product by the University of California or the U.S. Department of Energy to the exclusion of others that may be suitable.

*LAWRENCE BERKELEY LABORATORY
TECHNICAL INFORMATION DEPARTMENT
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
BERKELEY, CALIFORNIA 94720*