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BACKGROUND: One-third of outpatient antibiotic prescriptions for pediatric acute respiratory tract infections (ARTIs) are inappropriate. We evaluated a distance learning program’s effectiveness for reducing outpatient antibiotic prescribing for ARTI visits.

METHODS: In this stepped-wedge clinical trial run from November 2015 to June 2018, we randomly assigned 19 pediatric practices belonging to the Pediatric Research in Office Settings Network or the NorthShore University HealthSystem to 4 wedges. Visits for acute otitis media, bronchitis, pharyngitis, sinusitis, and upper respiratory infection for children 6 months to <11 years old without recent antibiotic use were included. Clinicians received the intervention as 3 program modules containing online tutorials and webinars on evidence-based communication strategies and antibiotic prescribing, booster video vignettes, and individualized antibiotic prescribing feedback reports over 11 months. The primary outcome was overall antibiotic prescribing rates for all ARTI visits. Mixed-effects logistic regression compared prescribing rates during each program module and a postintervention period to a baseline control period. Odds ratios were converted to adjusted rate ratios (aRRs) for interpretability.

RESULTS: Among 72,723 ARTI visits by 29,762 patients, intention-to-treat analyses revealed a 7% decrease in the probability of antibiotic prescribing for ARTI overall between the baseline and postintervention periods (aRR 0.93; 95% confidence interval [CI], 0.90–0.96). Second-line antibiotic prescribing decreased for streptococcal pharyngitis (aRR 0.66; 95% CI, 0.50–0.87) and sinusitis (aRR 0.59; 95% CI, 0.44–0.77) but not for acute otitis media (aRR 0.93; 95% CI, 0.83–1.03). Any antibiotic prescribing decreased for viral ARTIs (aRR 0.60; 95% CI, 0.51–0.70).

CONCLUSIONS: This program reduced antibiotic prescribing during outpatient ARTI visits; broader dissemination may be beneficial.

WHAT’S KNOWN ON THIS SUBJECT: Behavioral interventions including individualized clinician prescribing feedback can reduce inappropriate antibiotic prescribing in ambulatory settings. These interventions have not been previously paired with communication training and evidence-based education on antibiotic prescribing for childhood acute respiratory tract infections.

WHAT THIS STUDY ADDS: In this multisite stepped-wedge cluster-randomized trial, the Dialogue Around Respiratory Illness Treatment intervention combined communication training, evidence-based antibiotic prescribing education, and individualized prescribing feedback, producing a 7% sustained reduction in the probability of antibiotic prescribing for acute respiratory infection visits.

Antibiotic prescribing for childhood acute respiratory tract infections (ARTIs) occurs at an estimated annual rate of 421 prescriptions per 1000 population, accounting for >70% of all antibiotics prescribed to ambulatory children.\(^1\)\(^2\) Approximately one-third of all antibiotic prescriptions for childhood ARTIs are likely inappropriate, accounting for >10 million potentially preventable antibiotic prescriptions for US children annually.\(^2\)\(^3\) Although recent data revealed that oral antibiotic prescriptions for all pediatric conditions decreased 13% from 2011 to 2016, the 2015 US Government action plan targets a 50% reduction in inappropriate outpatient antibiotic use by 2020.\(^4\)\(^5\)

The American Academy of Pediatrics (AAP) and Infectious Diseases Society of America have published treatment guidelines outlining first- and second-line treatments for the following bacterial ARTIs: acute otitis media (AOM), sinusitis, and group A streptococcal pharyngitis.\(^6\)\(^7\)\(^8\) Audit of primary care provider antibiotic prescribing for ARTIs paired with individualized feedback reports previously reduced inappropriate antibiotic prescribing for bacterial ARTIs, although the reduction disappeared after feedback discontinuation.\(^9\)\(^10\) Behavioral interventions similarly reduced antibiotic treatment of adult viral ARTIs, but the effect waned within 12 months of the study conclusion.\(^11\)\(^12\) Internet-based communication skills training has reduced inappropriate antibiotic prescribing in adult health care settings.\(^13\)\(^14\) In previous work, authors using the methods of conversation analysis have also elucidated the provider communication best practices that are associated with decreased inappropriate antibiotic prescribing during pediatric ARTI visits.\(^15\)\(^16\)\(^17\) However, a comprehensive, large-scale intervention combining best practices in antibiotic prescribing feedback, behavioral interventions, and communication techniques used to reduce inappropriate antibiotic prescribing for pediatric ARTIs is lacking.

We developed the Dialogue Around Respiratory Illness Treatment (DART) quality improvement (QI) program, hypothesizing that pairing Internet-based communication skills training with individualized antibiotic prescribing audit and feedback would reduce overall antibiotic prescribing for ARTI.

**METHODS**

**Study Design, Participants, and Setting**

We implemented the DART QI program using a cluster-randomized stepped-wedge clinical trial to maximize statistical power and allow each practice to receive the intervention through staggered implementation across 19 community-based primary care pediatric practices. Study data were collected from November 2015 through June 2018. All practices were recruited from 2 practice-based research networks: the AAP Pediatric Research in Office Settings (PROS) (\(n = 11\) practices from 9 states) and the NorthShore University HealthSystem (\(n = 8\) practices in the Chicago, IL, metropolitan area). Included practices used a common electronic health record (EHR) within their network. The practice was the unit of randomization. The NorthShore practices were allocated by random permutation (by C.Z.) to each of 4 wedges (2 practices each; Fig 1). The PROS practices were enrolled later and were similarly randomly allocated to wedges 2 to 4 (3–4 practices each). Written informed consent was obtained from pediatricians and pediatric nurse practitioners (“clinicians”; \(n = 57\); 1–6 per practice) before random assignment. Enrolled clinicians received intervention modules according to their practice-assigned wedge. Two NorthShore clinicians saw patients at 2 study sites randomly assigned to different wedges. For both clinicians, all visits at either study site after their earliest intervention exposure were considered postintervention visits.

**Study Visit Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria**

Visits by children aged 6 months to <11 years of age with an International Classification of Diseases, 10th Revision (ICD-10) diagnosis code (Supplemental Table 5) for AOM, bronchitis, pharyngitis, sinusitis, or upper respiratory infection (URI) were included. Only oral antibiotics prescribed on the clinic visit date were included in prescribing measures for each ARTI. ARTI visits were excluded from prescribing measures if there were any concomitant non-ARTI bacterial diagnoses (Supplemental Table 5) or antibiotic prescriptions during the 30 days preceding the index visit (which might necessitate second-line prescribing). Visits by children with penicillin or cephalosporin antibiotic allergies were excluded from second-line prescribing measures.

**Intervention**

The DART QI program (1) was received by clinicians; (2) contained evidence-based online tutorials, webinars, booster video vignette sessions, and individualized antibiotic prescribing feedback reports; and (3) was received in 3 modules over an 11-month period (Fig 1). All DART QI program educational materials are available online.\(^19\)

In module 1, clinicians viewed 25-minute online tutorials about best practices for both parent-clinician communication practices and antibiotic prescribing, participated in live or recorded 40-minute webinars
on those topics, and received an individualized feedback report presenting antibiotic prescribing rates during ARTI visits in the baseline control period (see Outcomes section below). The theory of planned behavior underpinned development of the evidence-based communication tutorial, which aims to modify how providers frame treatment recommendations and follow-up plans for patients with ARTIs. We implemented 2 main strategies: (1) building subjective norms, self-awareness, and changing attitudes among the clinicians supporting the targeted communication and prescribing behaviors and (2) developing the skills to achieve these goals through modeling, practice, feedback, reinforcement, and building self-confidence. The evidence-based antibiotic prescribing tutorials were based on published guidelines for the diagnosis and management of each ARTI, including delayed prescribing techniques.

In module 2, clinicians received two 5-minute online booster video vignettes recapping communication best practices and the second antibiotic prescribing feedback report, presenting prescribing rates during the module 1 participation period. In module 3, clinicians received 1 communication booster video vignette and the third and fourth antibiotic prescribing feedback reports, presenting prescribing rates during modules 2 and 3, respectively.

Data Collection

EHR data were used to collect patient-level covariates, assess visit-level ARTI antibiotic prescribing rates, and generate the DART QI program antibiotic prescribing feedback reports, primary, and secondary outcome measures. Enrolled clinicians provided usual care during the baseline control period until their wedge started the intervention (Fig 1). Ongoing data collection until June 2018 provided a planned additional 2- to 8-month postintervention sustainability period, during which practices no longer actively received the intervention nor were aware of data collection.
Outcomes

The primary outcome was the visit-level (as opposed to patient-level) antibiotic prescribing rate for all ARTIs during each study module, for which the denominator was all included ARTI visits during that module, and the numerator was ARTI visits during which antibiotic prescribing occurred. Because antibiotic appropriateness measures require symptom data difficult to extract from an EHR (eg, presence of severe otalgia during AOM), we selected antibiotic prescribing rather than appropriateness as the primary outcome. The 5 secondary outcomes included (1) visit-level antibiotic prescribing rates for viral ARTI, (2) visit-level prescribing rates for pharyngitis (streptococcal and nonstreptococcal combined), and second-line antibiotic prescribing rates for (3) AOM, (4) streptococcal pharyngitis, and (5) sinusitis.

Bronchitis, nonstreptococcal pharyngitis, and URI were considered viral ARTIs for which antibiotics are inappropriate. Bacterial ARTIs included AOM, streptococcal pharyngitis, and sinusitis. On the basis of published national guidelines, the goal for first-line prescribing for each bacterial ARTI was amoxicillin for AOM (except when diagnosed concurrently with conjunctivitis, for which amoxicillin-clavulanate was also considered as first line to treat Haemophilus influenzae otitis-conjunctivitis syndrome), penicillin or amoxicillin for streptococcal pharyngitis, and amoxicillin or amoxicillin-clavulanate for sinusitis. When multiple diagnoses were present, antibiotics were attributed in a hierarchical fashion first to sinusitis, then to AOM, and then to pharyngitis. Visits were only described as viral ARTI if no competing bacterial diagnoses were present. All systemic antibiotic prescriptions that did not meet the definition of first line were considered second line.

Statistical Analysis

The primary intention-to-treat (ITT) analysis included all study clinicians and used multivariable mixed-effects logistic regression clustered by both the clinician and practice to determine the effect of exposure to each DART module on the binary outcome of whether an antibiotic was prescribed during each ARTI visit, adjusted a priori for child age, sex, and race and/or ethnicity (factors previously associated with antibiotic prescribing). Each practice used standard approaches to collect race and/or ethnicity data, typically at the time of registration. Analysis was also adjusted for influenza season (November through March) and patient level of medical complexity by using the previously validated Pediatric Medical Complexity Algorithm version 3.0. In reporting the results, we converted the logistic regression odds ratios into adjusted rate ratios (aRRs) to facilitate interpretation and describe these results as increases or decreases in the likelihoods of antibiotic prescribing during ARTI visits. On the basis of the cluster-randomized design, all preintervention ARTI visits serve as controls. An additional model including a time period indicator used a likelihood ratio test to determine if changes in antibiotic prescribing over time were driven by secular trends.

We planned a priori to evaluate the primary and secondary outcomes among the subgroup of clinicians who actively participated in the entire intervention (ie, they watched all available tutorials, webinars, and boosters and received feedback reports) and those who did not (ie, only received feedback reports but did not engage fully with remaining intervention components). All analyses began after completion of the postintervention period in June 2018.
concomitant bacterial infections during their ARTI visit.

**Engagement With the Intervention**
All clinicians received feedback reports. There were 41 (72%) clinicians at 17 practices who engaged actively with the intervention by viewing all online communication and prescribing tutorials and webinars, whereas 16 (28%) clinicians at 8 practices did not engage in all aspects of the intervention (14 clinicians viewed none of the online training materials, whereas 2 clinicians viewed at least 1 but not all online modules).

**Primary Outcome**
In the adjusted ITT analysis, the probability of antibiotic prescribing for all ARTI visits was lower during each module and during the 2- to 8-month postintervention period compared to the baseline control period (Table 2, Supplemental Fig 4). The probability of antibiotic prescribing for all ARTIs decreased 4% (95% confidence interval [CI], 1%–7%) in module 1, 16% (95% CI, 12%–19%) in module 2, 11% (95% CI, 8%–14%) in module 3, and 7% (95% CI, 4%–10%) during the postintervention period. Unadjusted antibiotic prescribing rates are reported in Supplemental Table 6.

**Secondary Outcomes**
The probability of prescribing antibiotics for viral ARTI was significantly lower during each DART module and during the postintervention period compared to the baseline control period (Table 2). The probability of prescribing antibiotics for pharyngitis was significantly lower during modules 2 and 3 but not during module 1 or during the postintervention period. The probability of prescribing second-line antibiotics for AOM was only lower during module 2 compared to the baseline control period. However, for streptococcal pharyngitis and sinusitis, the probability of second-line antibiotic prescribing was significantly lower during each module and during the postintervention period. Additional models including a time period indicator revealed unchanged results for both the primary and secondary outcomes and demonstrated no significant secular trend in antibiotic prescribing rates.

**Subgroup Analyses**
Subgroup analyses revealed similar results to those of the ITT analyses for both engaged and less-engaged clinicians regarding the probability of antibiotic prescribing for all ARTIs, viral ARTI, and pharyngitis during all study periods compared to baseline (Tables 3 and 4). However, for second-line antibiotic prescribing, although results for engaged clinicians were similar to the ITT results, those for the less-engaged clinicians revealed a significantly increased probability of prescribing second-line antibiotics for AOM during the postintervention period compared to the baseline (increased...
TABLE 1 ARTI Patient Demographics and Diagnoses by Study Time Period

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Demographics</th>
<th>Baseline</th>
<th>Module 1</th>
<th>Module 2</th>
<th>Module 3</th>
<th>Postintervention</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No. patients</td>
<td>21,401</td>
<td>19,386</td>
<td>21,322</td>
<td>22,118</td>
<td>20,822</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age, y, median (IQR)</td>
<td>4.24 (1.86–6.84)</td>
<td>3.83 (1.32–6.69)</td>
<td>3.08 (1.21–6.42)</td>
<td>3.41 (1.07–6.42)</td>
<td>2.80 (0.95–6.07)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>10,558 (49.2)</td>
<td>9,595 (49.5)</td>
<td>10,383 (48.7)</td>
<td>10,982 (48.3)</td>
<td>10,28 (49.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Race</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White</td>
<td>13,438 (62.8)</td>
<td>10,405 (54.0)</td>
<td>13,311 (62.4)</td>
<td>12,618 (57.2)</td>
<td>13,089 (52.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black or African American</td>
<td>470 (2.2)</td>
<td>465 (2.4)</td>
<td>45 (2.1)</td>
<td>52 (2.4)</td>
<td>52 (2.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asian American or Pacific Islander</td>
<td>797 (3.7)</td>
<td>83 (4.3)</td>
<td>98 (4.6)</td>
<td>85 (3.8)</td>
<td>120 (5.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Native American</td>
<td>169 (0.8)</td>
<td>6 (0.3)</td>
<td>23 (1.1)</td>
<td>17 (0.8)</td>
<td>3 (0.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mixed race</td>
<td>292 (1.4)</td>
<td>20 (1.0)</td>
<td>28 (1.3)</td>
<td>29 (1.3)</td>
<td>15 (0.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not available</td>
<td>6,205 (29.1)</td>
<td>726 (37.5)</td>
<td>607 (28.5)</td>
<td>764 (34.6)</td>
<td>803 (38.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hispanic ethnicity</td>
<td>4,782 (22.2)</td>
<td>372 (19.2)</td>
<td>424 (19.9)</td>
<td>436 (19.7)</td>
<td>385 (18.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PMCA</td>
<td>14,630 (68.4)</td>
<td>1,365 (70.5)</td>
<td>1,595 (74.8)</td>
<td>1,650 (74.6)</td>
<td>1,581 (75.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Noncomplex</td>
<td>4,882 (22.7)</td>
<td>410 (21.2)</td>
<td>389 (18.2)</td>
<td>423 (19.1)</td>
<td>394 (18.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Complex</td>
<td>1,909 (8.9)</td>
<td>161 (8.3)</td>
<td>148 (6.9)</td>
<td>138 (6.2)</td>
<td>127 (6.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diagnoses</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All ARTI, n</td>
<td>42,191</td>
<td>6,287</td>
<td>7,319</td>
<td>8,166</td>
<td>8,760</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All ARTI</td>
<td>19,438 (46.1)</td>
<td>2,764 (44.0)</td>
<td>3,633 (45.6)</td>
<td>4,265 (52.2)</td>
<td>4,224 (48.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All pharyngitis</td>
<td>12,190 (28.9)</td>
<td>2,146 (34.1)</td>
<td>2,023 (27.6)</td>
<td>1,785 (21.6)</td>
<td>2,540 (28.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AOM</td>
<td>7,481 (17.7)</td>
<td>1,006 (16.0)</td>
<td>1,131 (15.5)</td>
<td>1,530 (19.7)</td>
<td>1,684 (19.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Streptococcal pharyngitis</td>
<td>4,300 (10.2)</td>
<td>781 (12.4)</td>
<td>618 (8.4)</td>
<td>567 (6.9)</td>
<td>840 (9.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sinusitis</td>
<td>3,102 (7.4)</td>
<td>371 (5.9)</td>
<td>532 (7.3)</td>
<td>606 (7.4)</td>
<td>512 (5.8)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data are presented as No. (%) unless otherwise specified. Module 1 contained online communication and antibiotic prescribing tutorials and webinars and an individualized antibiotic prescribing feedback report. Module 2 contained 2 online communication booster video vignettes and an antibiotic prescribing feedback report. Module 3 contained 1 communication booster video vignette and 2 antibiotic prescribing feedback reports. IQR, interquartile range.

27% [7%–47%]; Table 4) and no change in the probability of prescribing second-line antibiotics for streptococcal pharyngitis or sinusitis across study periods (Table 4).

DISCUSSION

In this stepped-wedge, cluster-randomized clinical trial including >70,000 ARTI visits in practices across 9 states, the DART QI program decreased the overall rate of antibiotic prescribing among all ARTI visits, and this effect was sustained in the 2- to 8-month postintervention period. The DART QI program resulted in sustained reductions in antibiotic prescribing during viral ARTI visits and sustained decreases in second-line antibiotic prescribing during streptococcal pharyngitis and sinusitis visits. However, the intervention did not result in sustained reductions in antibiotic prescribing during all pharyngitis visits nor in reduced second-line antibiotic prescribing for AOM.

With this study, we build off work demonstrating that educational interventions combined with quarterly individualized antibiotic prescribing feedback could reduce broad-spectrum antibiotic prescribing for patients with pneumonia and sinusitis.9 However, that intervention did not reduce broad-spectrum antibiotic prescribing for pharyngitis or any antibiotic prescribing for viral ARTIs. Additionally, follow-up data revealed that antibiotic prescribing improvements reversed immediately after the study conclusion, and study pediatricians later reported ignoring or distrusting their feedback reports.10,29

Other randomized trials in adults have revealed that behavioral and communication intervention strategies can improve ambulatory antibiotic prescribing. The Stemming the Tide of Antibiotic Resistance educational program involved topics such as provision of guidelines and video communication skills training and led to significant reductions in antibiotic prescribing for all diagnoses during the year after intervention exposure.13 A separate study including 246 practices from 8 European practice-based research networks revealed that Internet-based communication skills training alone reduced antibiotic prescribing rates for adults with ARTIs by 9%.14 Similar to the DART QI program, these interventions allowed clinicians to access online components and practice communication skills at convenient times, a critical flexibility for busy primary care clinicians.

The DART QI intervention combines professionally produced, evidence-based educational modules that can be viewed asynchronously at clinicians’ discretion with individual feedback reports that also contain a peer comparison element. The DART training videos remain freely available online to interested clinicians (including study participants for intervention sustainability), although study clinicians no longer receive feedback reports.20 The 7% reduction in antibiotic prescribing for all ARTIs, if extrapolated to all ambulatory ARTI visits to pediatricians nationally, would represent >1.5 million fewer antibiotic prescriptions for children with ARTI annually.3 The DART QI intervention also resulted in lasting improvements in antibiotic prescribing for viral ARTI,
Table 2: Rate Ratios of Antibiotic Prescribing During All ITT Analysis Visits for ARTI Overall and by Condition (by Study Time Period)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Condition</th>
<th>Measure</th>
<th>Baseline</th>
<th>Module 1</th>
<th>Module 2</th>
<th>Module 3</th>
<th>Postintervention</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ARTI overall</td>
<td>Any prescribing</td>
<td>Reference</td>
<td>0.96 (0.93–0.99)</td>
<td>0.84 (0.81–0.88)</td>
<td>0.89 (0.86–0.92)</td>
<td>0.93 (0.90–0.96)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Viral ARTI</td>
<td>Any prescribing</td>
<td>Reference</td>
<td>0.63 (0.52–0.75)</td>
<td>0.65 (0.55–0.74)</td>
<td>0.64 (0.54–0.75)</td>
<td>0.60 (0.51–0.70)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All pharyngitis</td>
<td>Any prescribing</td>
<td>Reference</td>
<td>1.06 (1.01–1.12)</td>
<td>0.90 (0.84–0.95)</td>
<td>0.85 (0.80–0.91)</td>
<td>0.86 (0.91–1.02)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AOM</td>
<td>Second-line prescribing</td>
<td>Reference</td>
<td>1.01 (0.88–1.15)</td>
<td>0.99 (0.80–0.80)</td>
<td>0.96 (0.86–1.07)</td>
<td>0.93 (0.83–1.03)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Streptococcal pharyngitis</td>
<td>Second-line prescribing</td>
<td>Reference</td>
<td>0.71 (0.53–0.94)</td>
<td>0.61 (0.46–0.82)</td>
<td>0.46 (0.32–0.66)</td>
<td>0.66 (0.50–0.87)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sinusitis</td>
<td>Second-line prescribing</td>
<td>Reference</td>
<td>0.75 (0.55–0.99)</td>
<td>0.51 (0.38–0.68)</td>
<td>0.66 (0.52–0.85)</td>
<td>0.58 (0.44–0.77)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Module 1 contained online communication and antibiotic prescribing tutorials and webinars and an individualized antibiotic prescribing feedback report. Module 2 contained 2 online communication booster video vignettes and an antibiotic prescribing feedback report. Module 3 contained 1 communication booster video vignette and 2 antibiotic prescribing feedback reports.

Table 3: Rate Ratios of Antibiotic Prescribing During Visits to Fully Engaged Clinicians for ARTI Overall and by Condition (by Study Time Period)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Condition</th>
<th>Measure</th>
<th>Baseline</th>
<th>Module 1</th>
<th>Module 2</th>
<th>Module 3</th>
<th>Postintervention</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ARTI overall</td>
<td>Any prescribing</td>
<td>Reference</td>
<td>0.97 (0.93–1.01)</td>
<td>0.84 (0.80–0.87)</td>
<td>0.88 (0.86–0.93)</td>
<td>0.92 (0.89–0.96)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Viral ARTI</td>
<td>Any prescribing</td>
<td>Reference</td>
<td>0.73 (0.59–0.90)</td>
<td>0.57 (0.46–0.72)</td>
<td>0.61 (0.50–0.74)</td>
<td>0.62 (0.52–0.74)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All pharyngitis</td>
<td>Any prescribing</td>
<td>Reference</td>
<td>1.06 (0.99–1.12)</td>
<td>0.87 (0.81–0.95)</td>
<td>0.84 (0.78–0.91)</td>
<td>0.96 (0.90–1.02)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AOM</td>
<td>Second-line prescribing</td>
<td>Reference</td>
<td>1.02 (0.87–1.19)</td>
<td>0.89 (0.58–0.81)</td>
<td>0.87 (0.75–0.99)</td>
<td>0.78 (0.67–0.90)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Streptococcal pharyngitis</td>
<td>Second-line prescribing</td>
<td>Reference</td>
<td>0.74 (0.53–1.00)</td>
<td>0.62 (0.45–0.84)</td>
<td>0.37 (0.24–0.56)</td>
<td>0.63 (0.46–0.87)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sinusitis</td>
<td>Second-line prescribing</td>
<td>Reference</td>
<td>0.64 (0.45–0.91)</td>
<td>0.47 (0.34–0.66)</td>
<td>0.60 (0.45–0.80)</td>
<td>0.49 (0.35–0.68)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fully engaged clinicians watched all available tutorials, webinars, and boosters and received feedback reports.
Deidentified data limited to visit-based prescribing rates, patient characteristics, and dummy variables for clinic site will be shared. No protected health information for study participants will be shared. Data will be provided as a comma-separated values file with a data dictionary defining all variables included in the file and will be transferred by using a secure file transfer protocol. Additional tools will not be made available. The data will be made available after publication of the primary studies to researchers who provide a detailed methodologically sound proposal and data use agreement. Proposals should be submitted to Dr Mangione-Smith (Rita.M.Mangione-Smith@kp.org).

Dr Mangione-Smith conceptualized and designed the study, obtained funding, assisted with study execution, analyzed and interpreted the data, drafted the initial manuscript, and provided study supervision; Dr Kronman assisted with study design and execution and data analysis and interpretation and drafted the initial manuscript; Dr Zhou assisted with study design, performed statistical analysis of the data, and drafted the initial manuscript; Drs Gerber, Grundmeier, Heritage, and Robinson assisted with study design and execution, interpreted the data, and critically revised the manuscript; Dr Fiks, assisted with study design and execution, data acquisition, and data interpretation and critically revised the manuscript; Drs Shalowitz, Stout, Shone, and Wright and Ms Steffes assisted with study design and execution and data acquisition, critically revised the manuscript, and provided administrative, technical, and material support; Mr Burges, Mr Hedrick, and Ms Warren critically revised the manuscript and provided administrative, technical, and material support; and all authors approved the final

### TABLE 4 Rate Ratios of Antibiotic Prescribing During Visits to Less-Engaged Clinicians for ARTI Overall and by Condition (by Study Time Period)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Condition</th>
<th>Measure</th>
<th>Baseline</th>
<th>Module 1</th>
<th>Module 2</th>
<th>Module 3</th>
<th>Postintervention</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ARTI overall</td>
<td>Any prescribing</td>
<td>Reference</td>
<td>0.96 (0.88–1.03)</td>
<td>0.88 (0.81–0.95)</td>
<td>0.87 (0.82–0.93)</td>
<td>0.93 (0.87–0.99)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Viral ARTI</td>
<td>Any prescribing</td>
<td>Reference</td>
<td>0.40 (0.26–0.61)</td>
<td>0.85 (0.62–1.15)</td>
<td>0.70 (0.53–0.93)</td>
<td>0.53 (0.39–0.72)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All pharyngitis</td>
<td>Any prescribing</td>
<td>Reference</td>
<td>1.09 (0.97–1.21)</td>
<td>1.02 (0.89–1.16)</td>
<td>0.87 (0.75–1.00)</td>
<td>0.98 (0.87–1.08)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AOM</td>
<td>Second-line prescribing</td>
<td>Reference</td>
<td>0.59 (0.77–1.24)</td>
<td>0.70 (0.52–0.92)</td>
<td>1.17 (0.99–1.38)</td>
<td>1.27 (1.07–1.47)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Streptococcal pharyngitis</td>
<td>Second-line prescribing</td>
<td>Reference</td>
<td>0.58 (0.29–1.12)</td>
<td>0.65 (0.31–1.33)</td>
<td>0.98 (0.51–1.93)</td>
<td>0.72 (0.40–1.27)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sinusitis</td>
<td>Second-line prescribing</td>
<td>Reference</td>
<td>1.13 (0.65–1.83)</td>
<td>0.63 (0.34–1.11)</td>
<td>0.90 (0.54–1.42)</td>
<td>0.96 (0.57–1.54)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Less-engaged clinicians only received feedback reports but did not engage fully with remaining intervention components. Module 1 contained online communication and antibiotic prescribing tutorials and webinars and an individualized antibiotic prescribing feedback report. Module 2 contained 2 online communication booster video vignettes and an antibiotic prescribing feedback report. Module 3 contained 1 communication booster video vignette and 2 antibiotic prescribing feedback reports.

**CONCLUSIONS**

The DART QI program reduced overall antibiotic prescribing during childhood ARTI visits, and this antibiotic prescribing reduction was sustained during the postintervention period. Providing online communication training and evidence-based antibiotic prescribing education in combination with individualized antibiotic prescribing feedback reports may help achieve national goals of reducing unnecessary outpatient antibiotic prescribing for children.
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