Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory

LBL Publications

Title

Phase stability of iron germanate, FeGeO3, to 127 GPa

Permalink

https://escholarship.org/uc/item/4rc7r7vc

Journal

Physics and Chemistry of Minerals, 45(4)

ISSN 0342-1791

Authors

Dutta, R Tracy, SJ Stan, CV <u>et al.</u>

Publication Date

2018-04-01

DOI

10.1007/s00269-017-0927-9

Peer reviewed

1	Phase Stability of Iron Germanate, FeGeO ₃ , to 127 GPa
2	R. Dutta ¹ , S. J. Tracy ¹ , C. V. Stan ^{2, 3} , V. B. Prakapenka ⁴ , R. J. Cava ² and T. S. Duffy ¹
3	¹ Department of Geosciences, Princeton University, NJ 08544, USA.
4	² Department of Chemistry, Princeton University, NJ 08540, USA.
5 ³ N	low at: Advanced Light Source, Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, Berkeley, CA 94720,
6	USA.
7^4G	eoSoilEnviroCARS, University of Chicago, Argonne National Laboratory, Argonne, IL 60439,
8	USA.
9	

10Abstract

11 The high-pressure behavior of germanates is of interest as these compounds serve as 12analogs for silicates of the deep Earth. Current theoretical and experimental studies of iron 13germanate, FeGeO₃, are limited. Here, we have examined the behavior of FeGeO₃ to 127 GPa 14using the laser-heated diamond anvil cell combined with *in situ* synchrotron X-ray diffraction. 15Upon compression at room temperature we find that the ambient-pressure clinopyroxene phase 16transforms to a disordered triclinic phase (FeGeO₃ (II)) at ~ 18 GPa in agreement with earlier 17studies. An additional phase transition to FeGeO3 (III) occurs above 54 GPa at room 18temperature. Laser-heating experiments (~1200-2200 K) were conducted at three pressures (33, 1954 and 123 GPa) chosen to cover the stability regions of different GeO₂ polymorphs. In all cases, 20we observe that FeGeO₃ dissociates into GeO₂ + FeO at high-pressure and temperature 21conditions. Neither the perovskite nor the post-perovskite phase was observed up to 127 GPa at 22ambient or high temperatures. The results are consistent with the behavior of FeSiO₃, which also 23 dissociates into a mixture of the oxides (FeO + SiO_2) at least up to 149 GPa.

24Introduction

Bridgmanite, ((Mg,Fe)SiO₃, Pv), belongs to the family of ABO₃ oxide perovskites and 25 26crystallizes in the orthorhombic system (Space group: *Pbnm*). The structure consists of a three-27 dimensional network of corner-linked BO_6 octahedra surrounding distorted 8-coordinated A sites.

28Bridgmanite is of geophysical interest as it is expected to be the most abundant phase in the 29Earth's lower mantle below 660-km depth. Under pressure and temperature conditions 30approaching those of the core-mantle boundary of the Earth (~125 GPa, >2000 K), Mg-rich 31bridgmanite undergoes a phase transition to the CaIrO₃-type structure known as post-perovskite 32(pPv) (Murakami et al. 2004; Oganov and Ono 2004). The post-perovskite phase also crystalizes 33in the orthorhombic crystal system (Space group: *Cmcm*) and has the same coordination numbers 34as perovskite. In contrast to the corner-sharing octahedral network in the perovskite structure, the 35silicate octahedra share edges and corners in pPv giving rise to a structure layered along the b 36crystallographic axis.

The role of iron in deep Earth silicates is of key importance due to seismic evidence for 37 38possible Fe-enriched regions of the deep Earth (Lay et al. 2006). In addition, high-pressure spin 39transitions in Fe-bearing minerals have attracted much attention (Lin et al. 2013; Badro 2014). 40For the iron end-member of the (Mg,Fe)SiO₃ system, the stability of different high-pressure 41phases has been explored by theoretical studies at high pressures. The post-perovskite phase of 42FeSiO₃ is reported to be more stable than the perovskite phase above 35 GPa on the basis of 43density functional theory (DFT) calculations at 0 K (Stackhouse et al. 2006). Using an 44evolutionary algorithm together with DFT, Cohen and Lin (2014) proposed a possible high-45pressure (100 GPa) structure of FeSiO₃ called PPv-II (*Cmmm*). PPv-II was predicted to be less 46stable than both Pv and pPv at T = 0 K, but could be entropically stabilized at high temperatures. Experimentally, iron-rich perovskites and post-perovskites in the (Mg,Fe)SiO₃ system 47

Mg+Fe 48have been synthesized with Fe# (Fe# =)) up to 0.75 (Tateno et al. 2007; Dorfman et Fe/i 49al. 2013) and 0.8 (Mao et al. 2004). However, end-member $FeSiO_3$ has been shown to dissociate 50into the respective oxides (SiO₂ + FeO) in experiments up to 25 GPa (Ming and Bassett 1975)

3

51and 149 GPa (Fujino et al. 2009). It has also been suggested that (Mg Fe)SiO₃ perovskite 52dissociates at high P-T (95 – 101 GPa, 2200 – 2400 K) to a Fe-poor perovskite and a hexagonal 53Fe-rich phase called "H phase" (Zhang et al. 2014).. A perovskite of almandine composition 54(Fe₃Al₂Si₃O₁₂ or (Fe,Al)(Si, Al)O₃) is stabilized above 80 GPa (Dorfman et al. 2012). Finally, a 55recent study reported synthesis of pure Fe-bridgmanite in the ferric iron system with composition

$$\begin{array}{c}
4+i\\
3+iSi_{0.234|2|}^{i}\\
2+iFe_{1.532|1|}^{i}(SiO_{4})_{3}\\
56 Fe_{0.234|2|}^{i}\\
56 Fe_{0.234|2|}^{i}\\
2+ii\\
Fe_{3}^{i}\\
i
\end{array}$$
(Ismailova et al. 2016).

Germanates are known to be effective analogs for silicates as they undergo similar 58sequences of phase transitions but at lower pressures (Ringwood and Seabrook 1963; Stan et al. 592017). For example, the Pv-pPv transition occurs near 65 GPa in MgGeO₃ (Hirose et al. 2005) 60compared to ~125 GPa in the corresponding silicate. In the (Mg,Fe)GeO₃ system, iron-bearing 61Pv and pPv have been synthesized at high-pressure with Fe# \leq 52 (Stan et al. 2017). Here, we 62explore the analog end-member composition FeGeO₃ to understand its high-pressure behavior.

At ambient conditions, iron germanate crystallizes in the *C2/c* clinopyroxene structure
64(Royen and Forwerg 1963) Previous high-pressure work on FeGeO₃ is limited and somewhat
65unclear. Under room-temperature compression, a reversible phase transition to a triclinic phase (

66 P1i designated as FeGeO₃(II) was observed between 13 and 20.1 GPa (Hattori et al. 2001). 67Evidence for transformation of FeGeO₃ to the perovskite structure at room temperature has been 68reported above 33 GPa (Nagai et al. 1998; Hattori et al. 1999). However, this result may be 69considered inconclusive as the reported X-ray diffraction peaks are weak and broad. Upon 70decompression to ambient conditions, the sample was found to adopt the LiNbO₃-type structure 71(Hattori et al. 1999), as does MgGeO₃ perovskite (Leinenweber et al. 1994).

Early high P-T experiments reported that FeGeO₃ decomposed into Fe₂GeO₄ (spinel) + 73GeO₂ (rutile) at 1 GPa and 973 K (Ringwood and Seabrook 1963) and FeO (rocksalt) + GeO₂ 74(rutile) at 25 GPa and 1273 K (Liu 1977). However, at lower temperatures (638 K) Hattori et al 75(2001) observed that FeGeO₃(II) transformed into the hexagonal ilmenite ($R\overline{3}$) structure at 7621 GPa. The ilmenite phase has also been synthesized by heating clinopyroxene to 623 - 973 K 77at 23.5 GPa (Nakatsuka et al. 2013). No high pressure-temperature experiments above 25 GPa 78have been reported yet. To better understand this system, we have carried out studies of the high-79pressure, high-temperature behavior of FeGeO₃ over a wide P-T range extending beyond 1 Mbar.

80Experimental details

FeGeO₃ clinopyroxene was synthesized using a stoichiometric mixture of Fe (Alfa Aesar, 82>99.998 % purity), Fe₂O₃ (Alfa Aesar, >99.5% purity) and GeO₂ (Aldrich, >99.998% purity) 83(Hattori et al. 1999; Redhammer et al. 2012). The sample was ground under ethanol and pressed 84into a pellet using a hydraulic press (0.5 ton). The pellet was then transferred to vacuum sealed 85silica tubes and heated at 1023 K for 72 hours. It was then re-ground and pressed and sealed 86again and put back in the furnace at 1123 K for 12 days. After 12 days, it was examined using X-87ray diffraction and weak staring materials peaks were observed. Therefore, it was re-heated for 5 88more days. The final run products were re-examined by X-ray diffraction and confirmed to be 89*C*2/*c* clinopyroxene structure with no evidence for other phases. The calculated lattice parameters $90(a = 9.7873 (12) \text{ Å}, b = 9.1323 (14) \text{ Å}, c = 5.1949 (7) \text{ Å}, \beta = 101.804^{\circ} (11) \text{ }$) are in good 91agreement with previous results (Hattori et al. 1999).

92 High pressure-temperature X-ray diffraction experiments on FeGeO₃ were preformed 93using laser-heated diamond anvil cells (DACs). The sample was mixed with 10% Au (by 94weight), ground to ~µm grain size, and loaded into the sample chamber of a rhenium gasket in a 95symmetric DAC. The gaskets were preindented to ~30-μm thickness and ~50 to 100-μm 96 year of the sample chamber. Samples were compressed in cells 97with either 100-µm or 200-µm culet diamond anvils. Experimental runs were carried out both 98with and without a neon pressure transmitting medium. Neon was loaded into the sample 99chamber using a gas-loading system at the GSECARS sector of the Advanced Photon Source 100(APS). Ruby balls were used to support the sample during loading so that Ne can flow 101underneath and around the sample. In the case of experiments without a pressure medium, the 102entire gasket hole was filled with the sample + Au mixture. Table 1 summarizes the loading 103configurations of the different samples.

104 In situ synchrotron X-ray diffraction was carried out at beamline 13-ID-D of the APS 105using a monochromatic X-ray beam ($\lambda = 0.3344$ Å). The incident X-rays were focused with 106Kirkpatrick-Baez mirrors to dimensions of ~3 µm x 3 µm. Diffraction patterns were collected 107using a 2D detector (MAR CCD). The detector orientation was calibrated using a lanthanum 108 hexaboride (LaB₆) standard. The 2D images were integrated to produce conventional one-109dimensional diffraction patterns using DIOPTAS (Prescher and Prakapenka 2015). High-110temperature was achieved by heating the sample from both sides with diode pumped fiber lasers

111with ~15 μm spot size (Prakapenka et al. 2008). Temperatures were measured from both sides 112using spectroradiometry (Jephcoat and Besedin 1996). The laser power was adjusted 113independently so that the temperature differences between the two sides was less than 100 K. 114Pressure was determined using the thermal equation of state (EOS) of gold (Fei et al. 2007). Peak 115positions were obtained by fitting background-subtracted Voigt line shapes to the data. Lattice 116parameters were calculated using least-squares refinement of the fitted peak positions (Holland 117and Redfern 1997).

LeBail and Rietveld refinements were carried out on selected data using GSAS/ EXPGUI 119(Toby 2001). The background was initially fit manually using a Chebyshev polynomial (8 terms), 120but refined at later stages. For each step, the parameters for all the observed phases were initially 121refined separately and then simultaneously. In the first step, we refined only the phase fractions 122and lattice parameters. For GeO₂ phases, the unit cell dimensions obtained from least squares 123refinement of the peak positions and atomic positions from Shiraki et al. (2003) were used as 124initial values. Then the profile function (Pseudo-Voigt with Finger-Cox-Jephcoat asymmetry and 125Stephens anisotropic strain broadening) was refined and finally the atomic positions. The 126isotropic displacement parameters (U_{iso}) were not refined.

127Results

128Room-Temperature Compression

129 FeGeO₃ clinopyroxene was initially compressed at room temperature to a peak pressure 130of 33 GPa, 54 GPa and 123 GPa in three separate experiments (Figure 1). Up to 11 GPa, the 131diffraction pattern can be indexed using the ambient-pressure cpx (C2/c) structure. Upon further 132compression to 18 GPa, the diffraction pattern changes indicating a structural phase transition 133(Figure 1a). The measured pattern can be fit using the triclinic unit cell of FeGeO₃(II) (P1) 134 reported at 20.1 GPa by Hattori et al. (2001). Figure 2 shows a Le Bail refinement of our 135 diffraction pattern at 20.4 GPa. The lattice parameters obtained from our refinement (a = 6.7831136(8) Å, b = 8.4799(11) Å, c = 4.8921(5) Å, $\alpha = 88.717(8)^{\circ}$, $\beta = 89.464(8)^{\circ}$, $\gamma = 137.670(3)^{\circ}$) are in 137good agreement with those of Hattori et al. (2001) (*a* = 6.829 (26) Å, *b* = 8.490 (33) Å, *c* = 1384.887(3) Å, $\alpha = 88.94(13)^{\circ}$, $\beta = 89.40(13)^{\circ}$, $\gamma = 137.49(16)^{\circ}$). The observed pattern cannot be

139 indexed using other known high-pressure structures in pyroxenes including the HP- $P2_1/c$ 140clinopyroxene (FeSiO₃, (Pakhomova et al. 2017) or β -diopside (Plonka et al. 2012).

141 In our initial experiment (S1), FeGeO₃ (II) was found to remain stable to 33 GPa, the 142peak pressure reached in this sample at ambient temperature (Figure 1a). A second sample (S2) 143was prepared and compressed directly to 41 GPa at room temperature. The diffraction pattern 144 for this sample appears to be identical with FeGeO3 (II) although the peaks are somewhat 145broader and there are some differences in peak intensity (Figure 1b). This may be due to 146differing amounts of non-hydrostatic stress and preferred orientation in the two samples. The 147FeGeO₃ (II) phase appears to remain stable to at least 54 GPa, the maximum pressure reached on 148this sample at 300 K.

149 A third sample (S3) was prepared and compressed at room temperature in two steps to 86 150GPa and then 123 GPa. Changes in the diffraction pattern indicate that an additional phase 151transformation to FeGeO₃ (III) occurs between 54 and 86 GPa and is maintained to 123 GPa 152(Figure 1b). Determination of the structure of $FeGeO_3(III)$ was not possible from the powder 153diffraction data. Unlike the first two samples which contained a neon pressure medium, the third 154sample was compressed without a pressure transmitting medium. The broad observed diffraction

13

155peaks may therefore reflect a combination of a high degree of non-hydrostatic stress along with 156partial disordering of the sample. Nevertheless, it appears that FeGeO₃ remains largely 157 crystalline to the highest pressure (123 GPa) at 300 K and does not undergo complete pressure-158induced amorphization.

159 Earlier reported the appearance of perovskite at 33-40 GPa upon room-temperature 160compression of FeGeO_{3 (Nagai et al. 1998; Hattori et al. 1999)}. Our diffraction data at 41 GPa (Figure 1b) cannot 161be indexed by the perovskite unit cell (a = 4.93 (2) Å, b = 5.06 (6) Å, c = 6.66 (3) Å at 40 GPa) 162reported by those authors. Table 1 compares the *d*-spacings for our pattern with those of Hattori 163et al. (1999). The difference between the two are large and rules out the perovskite phase. The 164heating runs described below also do not support the existence of the perovskite phase in this 165system.

166High-Temperature Phase Stability

167 Laser heating experiments were carried out at pressures of 33, 54 and 123 GPa. In each 168case, the sample was first compressed to the target pressure at room temperature and then heated. 169Each experiment involved a fresh, previously unheated sample. The pressures were chosen to 170cover the stability fields of high-pressure phases of germanium oxide. GeO₂ exhibits extensive 171polymorphism under compression (Micoulaut et al. 2006). At ambient conditions, GeO₂ 172crystallizes in the rutile structure ($P4_2/mnm$). On compression to ~ 25 GPa, it transforms to the 173CaCl₂-type structure (*Pnnm*) (Haines et al. 2000). Upon further compression to ~ 44 GPa and 70

174–90 GPa, it forms the α -PbO₂-type (*Pbcn*) and pyrite-type (*Pa* 3) structures, respectively (Ono 175et al. 2003a; Prakapenka et al. 2004)

176 Sample S1 was directly compressed to 33 GPa at room temperature and then heated from 1771400 K to ~2120 K in steps of ~200 K. Figure 3a shows the X-ray diffraction pattern obtained 178during heating to the peak temperature (*in situ* P = 39.1 GPa, including thermal pressure). All 179major peaks can be assigned to GeO₂ (rutile- type) + FeO (B1 rocksalt-type phase). The CaCl₂-180 type phase of GeO_2 is a high-pressure orthorhombic distortion of the ambient-pressure tetragonal 181rutile-type phase (Haines et al. 2000). The phase transition can be identified from the splitting of 182the *hkl* diffraction lines ($h \neq k$). At room temperature, the rutile-CaCl₂ phase transition pressure 183has been reported to occur at 25-27 GPa (Haines et al. 1998, 2000; Ono et al. 2002).

184(Prakapenka et al. 2004) observed the CaCl₂-type phase at 36 GPa and 1600 \pm 100 K. Ono et 185al. (2002) reported that the phase boundary has a positive Clapeyron slope given by:

186
$$P(GPa) = (34.9 \pm 1.2) + (0.0086 \pm 0.0024) \times (T - 1300) (K)(1)$$

187 At the peak temperature of our experiment (P, T = 39.1 GPa, 2120 K), the splitting of the 188(211) and (121) peaks is not evident. The full width at half maximum (FWHM) of the (211) peak 189 is also comparable to other peaks for which splitting is not expected (h = k). These observations 190suggest our sample remains in the rutile phase under these conditions or that we are so close to 191the boundary that the splitting of the CaCl₂-type phase cannot be detected as yet. At 2120 K, the

192phase boundary relationship (Eqn. 2) predicts a transition pressure of 41.9 \pm 3.2 GPa, which is 193consistent with our evidence for persistence of the rutile-type phase. The lattice parameters 1940btained from least squares fitting of the peaks are a = 4.2462 (17) Å, c = 2.8122 (23) Å. The 195calculated volume (50.707 (42) Å³) is in general agreement with that reported by Ono et al. 196(2002) (50.23 Å³ at 39.7 GPa and 2050 K). The lattice parameter of the B1-type FeO (4.1431 197(12) Å) is about 1% larger than the predicted unit cell dimension (a = 4.10 Å at 39 GPa, 2120 K) 17

198based on the equation of state parameters reported by Fischer et al. (2011). Tables 2a and 2b 199show the observed and calculated *d*-spacings for the rutile-type phase of GeO₂ and B1-type FeO 200at high P-T. The differences ($\Delta d = d_{obs} - d_{calc}$) are less than 0.004 Å indicating a good fit to our 201observations. In all cases lattice parameters obtained from peak fitting were used as the starting 202guesses for whole profile Rietveld refinement. The values obtained from the two methods are in 203good agreement with each other and therefore only the Rietveld refinement results have been 204reported for the remaining experiments. The unit cell dimensions and atomic positions obtained 205from Rietveld refinement are listed in Table 3.

On quenching to room temperature (P, T = 33.9 GPa, 300 K), the splitting of the (211) 207and (121) peaks became distinct (inset, Figure 3b). The lattice parameters of the CaCl₂-type 208phase (Table 3) are in good agreement with those of Prakapenka et al. (2004) (a = 4.2617(4) Å, b209= 4.1268(2) Å, c = 2.7817(4) Å at 36 GPa) and Haines et al. (2000) (a = 4.2866(6) Å, b = 2104.1742(6) Å, c = 2.7995(2) Å at 32 GPa). The calculated volume (49.679 (45) Å³) is also in 211agreement with Ono et al. (2002) (50.11 Å³ at 30.7 GPa and 300 K). The rhombohedral (

212 $R^{3}mi$ rB1 phase of FeO is identified from the splitting of the cubic (111) peak into the

213rhombohedral 003 and 101 peaks (Mao et al. 2002). In $Fe_{0.947}O$, the cubic-rhombohedral 214phase transition was observed at 18 GPa at room temperature using single crystal X-ray 215diffraction (Shu et al. 1998). In agreement with Wicks et al. (2015), we observe a mixture of the 216cubic and rhombohedral phases on quenching to room temperature. The *c/a* ratio of the

217 rhombohedral phase (2.621) is consistent with Shu et al. (1998) ($Fe_{0.947}O$, 2.6362 at 30.6

218GPa) and (Jacobsen et al. 2005) ($Fe_{0.93}O$, 2.619 at 35.3 GPa). The difference between our B1

219lattice parameter and that obtained from the EOS parameters of Fischer et al. (2011) is less than 2201%. The atomic positions of both rutile and CaCl₂-type phases of GeO₂ are in fair agreement 221with existing literature (Haines et al. 2000; Shiraki et al. 2003).

As already mentioned, the pressure intervals for laser-heating were chosen such that they 223fall in the stability fields of different phases of GeO₂ i.e. rutile, CaCl₂-type, α -PbO₂ and pyrite-224type. For the next sample (S2), we first increased the pressure up to 54 GPa at room temperature. 225On heating the sample to 2000 K (*in situ* P = 58.3 GPa), we observe that FeGeO₃ dissociated into 226a mixture of α -PbO₂-type GeO₂ and B1-type FeO within 5 minutes (Figure 4). The CaCl₂-type to 227 α -PbO₂-type transition has been reported to occur at 44 GPa and 1600 ± 100 K (Prakapenka et al. 2282004). Ono et al. 2003 found the phase boundary between CaCl₂ and α -PbO₂ also has a positive 229Clapeyron slope:

$$P(GPa) = (53.3 \pm 3) + (0.011 \pm 0.005) \times (T - 1800) (K)(2)$$

At 2000 K, the predicted transition pressure of 55.5 ± 4 GPa supports our observation 232of the α -PbO₂ phase at 58 GPa. Table 3 shows the structural parameters of the oxide assemblage 233obtained from Rietveld refinement. The unit cell dimensions of GeO₂ are in agreement with 234those of (Prakapenka et al. 2003)(*a* = 4.0423(8) Å, *b* = 5.0396(8) Å, *c* = 4.5288(0) at 60 GPa, 2351800 K).

Finally, we compressed FeGeO₃ (S3) to a pressure of 123 GPa at room temperature and 237heated it from ~1200 to ~ 1700 K (Figure 5). This experiment did not have any pressure-238transmitting (insulating) medium. The diffraction pattern at the peak P, T condition (127.3 GPa 239and1700 K) can be indexed using GeO₂ (pyrite-type) and FeO (B1) (Table 3) again indicating the 240dissociation of FeGeO₃ at high-pressures. Our observation of the pyrite phase at this pressure and

241temperature is consistent with previous observations that this phase can be synthesized by laser 242heating to 1900 K above 100 GPa (Ono et al. 2003b). The unit cell dimension and atomic 243positions of pyrite-type GeO₂ are in good agreement with those reported by (Shiraki et al. 2003) 244((*a* = 4.3365 (15) Å at 108 GPa).

245Discussion

The behavior of FeGeO₃ under compression has been clarified by this study. FeSiO₃ has 246 247been observed in three polymorphic forms at ambient conditions: ferrosilite (orthpyroxene,

248*Pbca*), clinoferrosilite ($P2_1/c$) and a triclinic pyroxenoid form (P1i (Lindsley et al. 2491964; Weber 1983). In room temperature single-crystal compression studies, clinoferrosilite 250undergoes a phase transition to the C2/c clinopyroxene (cpx) structure between 1.3 and 3.0 GPa 251(Hugh-Jones et al. 1994; Pakhomova et al. 2017) and then into another high-pressure monoclinic

252phase (HP- $P2_1/c$) between 30 – 36 GPa (Pakhomova et al. 2017). In addition, single-crystal 253studies have investigated high-pressure phase transitions for various other compositions in the 254(Mg,Fe)SiO₃ system (Zhang et al. 2013; Dera et al. 2013; Finkelstein et al. 2015). In contrast, 255FeGeO₃ crystallizes in the high-pressure C2/c cpx structure, which remains stable up to ~18 GPa. 256Under room temperature compression, it undergoes two phase transitions at ~ 18 GPa 257(FeGeO₃(II)) and in between 54-86 GPa (FeGeO₃(III)). FeGeO₃ (III) was found to remain stable 258up to ~123 GPa.

259 At high temperatures, FeGeO₃ and FeSiO₃ show similar behavior. The Fe endmember 260 compositions in these systems are distinct from intermediate iron-bearing compositions. In the 261(Mg,Fe)SiO₃ system, perovskites and post-perovskites have been synthesized with Fe# up to 0.8 262(Mao et al. 2004). In germanates, compositions with Fe# \leq 22, single phase pv and ppv was 263observed, while compositions with Fe# > 22, evidences for partial decomposition were found 264(Stan et al. 2017). As already mentioned, experimental studies have reported that the Pv and pPv 265phases are not stable in FeSiO₃. Instead, a mixture of FeO (B1 phase) and a high-pressure SiO₂ 266phase was found in experiments covering a wide pressure range up to 149 GPa (Fujino et al. 2672009). In this study, we have shown that FeGeO₃ behaves similarly and breaks down into GeO₂ + 268FeO at least up to 1.27 Mbars.

Further understanding of the behavior of FeGeO3 relative to other analogs can by obtain 270by consideration of the the Goldschmidt diagram. This type of diagram has been commonly used 271to predict the stability of the perovskite and post-perovskite structures in ABO₃ compounds 272(Goldschmidt 1926; Hattori et al. 1999; Fujino et al. 2009; Tateno et al. 2010) (Figure 6). The 273Goldschmidt tolerance factor, *t*, can be used to assess the potential stability of the two phases. It

274is calculated from the ionic radii of the eightfold-coordinated A cation (R_A), sixfold-

275coordinated B (R_B) cation and the ionic radius of the oxygen anion (R_O) as given by:

276
$$t = \frac{R_A + R_O}{\sqrt{2}(R_B + R_O)} (3)$$
 Compounds with 0.75 < *t* < 1.0 favor the perovskite structure (Ito

277and Matsui 1979; Tateno et al. 2010). A perovskite structure has been predicted to be stable for 278all the compounds shown in Figure 6. It is further observed that high-pressure perovskite phases 279with t > 0.84 are generally quenchable and the ones with t < 0.84 are not (Leinenweber et al. 2801994).

Based on Goldschmidt criteria, the Fe end-members FeSiO₃ (t = 0.91), FeGeO₃ (t = 0.85), 282and FeTiO₃ (t = 0.82) should all adopt the perovskite structure (Sato et al. 1991; Haines and 283Léger 1993; Wilson et al. 2005). The perovskite phase of FeTiO₃ has been synthesized from the 284LiNbO₃-type structure at 16 GPa (Leinenweber et al. 1991) and from the ilmenite phase on 285heating at 20 GPa and 973 K (Ming et al. 2006). However, FeSiO₃ appears to be an exception to 286the Goldschmidt criterion as experimental studies indicate it does not transform to the perovskite 287structure (Fujino et al. 2009).

It has been observed that the tolerance factors of reported post-perovskites are less than 2890.90 (Bremholm et al. 2011). By this criterion, FeTiO₃ should form post-perovskite. Theoretical 290calculations also predict both Pv and pPv to be stable (Wilson et al. 2005). However, it was

291 found experimentally that FeTiO₃ perovskite dissociates from perovskite into $(Fe_{1-x}Ti_xO)$ +

292 $(Fe_{1+x}Ti_{2-x}O_5)$ at 53 GPa and 2000 K (Wu et al. 2011) or $(Fe_{1-x}Ti_{0.5x}O)$ + $FeTi_3O_7$ 293at 42 GPa and 2000 K (Nishio-Hamane et al. 2010). The absence of post-perovskite in titanates 294(Tateno et al. 2006) has been attributed to the increase in the coordination number of Ti⁴⁺ to 295seven (compared with six in both perovskite and post-perovskite) at relatively low-pressures 296(Sato et al. 1991; Haines and Léger 1993).

In case of the germanate system, MgGeO₃, MnGeO₃ and ZnGeO₃ form pPv upon heating 298to ~63 GPa (Hirose et al. 2005), ~60 GPa (Tateno et al. 2006) and ~115 GPa (Yusa et al. 2014) 299respectively. Post-perovskite has not been observed in CaGeO₃ (Nakatsuka et al. 2015) and 300CdGeO₃ (Tateno et al. 2006), which is also expected as t > 0.90 for both. In the case of FeGeO₃, 301tolerance factor considerations suggest that both perovskite and post-perovskite should be stable. 302However, our experimental results show that, in contrast to earlier studies (Nagai et al. 1998; 303Hattori et al. 1999), neither of these phases form in FeGeO₃ up to 127 GPa. Thus, the 304Goldschmidt criteria are not applicable to the Fe endmembers of the (Mg,Fe)SiO₃ and 305(Mg,Fe)GeO₃ systems. This can be explained by the crystal field stabilization energy (CFSE) of 306transition metals with unfilled 3*d* electrons (6 for Fe²⁺) in the octahedral coordination of B1/rB1 307structure in comparison to the dodecahedral perovskite site (Burns 1993; Fujino et al. 2009). 308Estimates indicate that octahedrally coordinated Fe²⁺ has a higher CFSE than 8-coordinated Fe²⁺ 309(e.g. CFSE of Fe²⁺ in magnesiowüstite exceeds that of Fe²⁺ in the perovskite structure by ~56 310KJ/mole). In case of MnGeO₃ and MnSiO₃ the filled 3*d* electrons (5 for Mn²⁺) lead to no CFSE, 311thereby making the perovskite structure stable. We also find no evidence for the formation of 312other phases as suggested from first-principles calculations (Cohen and Lin, 2014).

There has been interest in understanding the behavior of the (Mg,Fe)SiO₃ system at 314ultrahigh pressures (Duffy et al. 2015). Theoretical studies indicate that MgSiO₃ will dissociate 315into CsCl-type MgO and cotunnite-type SiO₂ at 11.2 Mbar (Umemoto et al. 2006). However, no 316studies have examined Fe-bearing compositions in this system at ultrahigh pressures as yet. Our 317experiments show that FeGeO₃ dissociates into oxides across the stability fields of the α -PbO2 318and pyrite-type phases of GeO₂. In SiO₂, these phases are expected to have stability ranges from 319121 – 268 GPa (Murakami et al. 2003; Kuwayama et al. 2005) and 200-690 (Tsuchiya and 320Tsuchiya 2011) GPa, respectively. Applying our results to the silicate system suggests that the 321breakdown of FeSiO₃ would be expected to extend to pressures as high as 690 GPa. Theoretical 322studies of Fe-bearing compositions remain challenging and analog materials can provide a useful 324compositions in the (Mg,Fe)GeO₃ system may provide further constraints on the ultrahigh 325pressure behavior of this system.

326Conclusions

FeGeO₃ clinopyroxene undergoes a pressure-induced phase transition at ~18 GPa at room 328temperature. The diffraction pattern is consistent the FeGeO₃ (II) structure. On increasing the 329pressure, the diffraction peaks broaden and there is evidence for another phase transition between 33054 and 86 GPa. This phase remains stable at room temperature up to 123 GPa, the peak pressure 331considered here. In contrast to previous studies, we did not find any evidence of formation of 332perovskite at ~ 40 GPa and 300 K. On laser heating at 33, 54 and 123 GPa, FeGeO₃ dissociated 333into a mixture of GeO₂ (rutile/ CaCl₂, α -PbO₂ and pyrite-type respectively) and FeO (B1/ B1 + 334rB1). The structural parameters of the oxide phases at different pressures were calculated using 335both least squares peak fitting and Rietveld refinement. Our calculated unit cell dimensions and 336atomic coordinates are in good agreement with existing literature. In contrast to predictions 337based on ionic ratios, the perovskite or post-perovskite phases are not formed in FeGeO₃ to 338pressures above 1 Mbar. This result is consistent with findings for FeSiO₃ and suggest that 339FeSiO₃ breakdown will persist to ~700 GPa.

340Acknowledgements

The authors are grateful to Drs. J. Wicks, S. Tkachev, and J. Krizan for experimental 342assistance. We would like to thank two anonymous reviewers for their helpful comments on this 343work. This work was supported by the National Science Foundation (EAR-1415321). The 344authors acknowledge use of the Advanced Photon Source, an Office of Science User Facility, 345U.S. Department of Energy. GeoSoilEnviroCARS (GSECARS, Sector 13), is supported by the 346NSF Earth Sciences (Grant No: EAR-1128799) and the Department of Energy, Geosciences 347(Grant No. DE-FG02- 94ER14466). The use of the gas loading facility at GSECARS was 348partially supported by the Consortium for Materials Properties Research in Earth Sciences.

349References

350Badro J (2014) Spin transitions in mantle minerals. Annu Rev Earth Planet Sci 42:231–248.

351Bremholm M, Dutton SE, Stephens PW, Cava RJ (2011) NaIrO3—A pentavalent post-352perovskite. J Solid State Chem 184:601–607.

353Burns RG (1993) Mineralogical applications of Crystal Field Theory. Cambridge University 354Press.

355Cohen RE, Lin Y (2014) Prediction of a potential high-pressure structure of FeSiO₃. Phys Rev B 35690:140102.

357Dera P, Finkelstein GJ, Duffy TS, et al (2013) Metastable high-pressure transformations of 358orthoferrosilite Fs₈₂. Phys Earth Planet Inter 221:15–21.

359Dorfman SM, Meng Y, Prakapenka VB, Duffy TS (2013) Effects of Fe-enrichment on the 360equation of state and stability of (Mg,Fe)SiO₃ perovskite. Earth Planet Sci Lett 361:249–257.

361Dorfman SM, Shieh SR, Meng Y, et al (2012) Synthesis and equation of state of perovskites in 362the (Mg,Fe)₃Al₂Si₃O₁₂ system to 177 GPa. Earth Planet Sci Lett 357–358:194–202.

363Duffy T, Madhusudhan N, Lee KKM (2015) Mineralogy of super-earth planets. In: Schubert G 364(ed) Treatise on Geophysics (Second Edition). Elsevier, Oxford, pp 149–178

365Fei Y, Ricolleau A, Frank M, et al (2007) Toward an internally consistent pressure scale. Proc 366Natl Acad Sci 104:9182-9186.

367Finkelstein GJ, Dera PK, Duffy TS (2015) Phase transitions in orthopyroxene (En90) to 49 GPa 368from single-crystal X-ray diffraction. Phys Earth Planet Inter 244:78–86.

369Fischer RA, Campbell AJ, Shofner GA, et al (2011) Equation of state and phase diagram of FeO. 370Earth Planet Sci Lett 304:496–502.

371Fujino K, Nishio-Hamane D, Suzuki K, et al (2009) Stability of the perovskite structure and 372possibility of the transition to the post-perovskite structure in CaSiO₃, FeSiO₃, MnSiO₃ and 373CoSiO₃. Phys Earth Planet Inter 177:147–151.

374Goldschmidt VM (1926) Die Gesetze der Krystallochemie. Naturwissenschaften 14:477–485.

375Greenblatt M, Hornreich RM, Sharon B (1974) Evidence for a spin-reorientation type phase 376transition in FeGeO₃. Solid State Commun 14:1177–1181.

377Haines J, Léger JM (1993) X-ray diffraction study of TiO₂ up to 49 GPa. Phys B Condens Matter 378192:233-237.

379Haines J, Léger JM, Chateau C, et al (1998) Ferroelastic phase transition in rutile-type 380germanium dioxide at high pressure. Phys Rev B 58:R2909–R2912.

381Haines J, Léger JM, Chateau C, Pereira AS (2000) Structural evolution of rutile-type and CaCl₂-382type germanium dioxide at high pressure. Phys Chem Miner 27:575–582.

383Hattori T, Matsuda T, Tsuchiya T, et al (1999) Clinopyroxene-perovskite phase transition of 384FeGeO₃ under high pressure and room temperature. Phys Chem Miner 26:212–216.

385Hattori T, Nagai T, Yamanaka T, et al (2000) Single-crystal X-ray diffraction study of FeGeO₃ 386high-P clinopyroxene (C2/c) up to 8.2 GPa. Am Mineral 85:1485–1491.

387Hattori T, Tsuchiya T, Nagai T, Yamanaka T (2001) Sequential high-pressure transformations of 388FeGeO₃ high-P clinopyroxene (C2/c) at temperatures up to 365^oC. Phys Chem Miner 28:377–389387.

390Hirose K, Kawamura K, Ohishi Y, et al (2005) Stability and equation of state of MgGeO₃ post-391perovskite phase. Am Mineral 90:262–265.

392Holland TJB, Redfern SAT (1997) Unit cell refinement from powder diffraction data; the use of 393regression diagnostics. Mineral Mag 61:65–77.

394Hugh-Jones DA, Woodland AB, Angel RJ (1994) The structure of high-pressure *C*2/*c* ferrosilite 395and crystal chemistry of high-pressure *C*2/*c* pyroxenes. Am Mineral 79:1032–1041.

396Ito E, Matsui Y (1979) High-pressure transformations in silicates, germanates, and titanates with 397ABO₃ stoichiometry. Phys Chem Miner 4:265–273.

398Jacobsen SD, Lin J-F, Angel RJ, et al (2005) Single-crystal synchrotron X-ray diffraction study 399of wüstite and magnesiowüstite at lower-mantle pressures. J Synchrotron Radiat 12:577–583.

400Jephcoat AP, Besedin SP (1996) Temperature measurement and melting determination in the 401laser-heated diamond-anvil cell. Philos Trans Math Phys Eng Sci 354:1333–1360.

402Kuwayama Y, Hirose K, Sata N, Ohishi Y (2005) The pyrite-type high-pressure form of silica. 403Science 309:923–925.

404Lay T, Hernlund J, Garnero EJ, Thorne MS (2006) A post-perovskite lens and D" heat flux 405beneath the central Pacific. Science 314:1272–1276.

406Leinenweber K, Utsumi W, Tsuchida Y, et al (1991) Unquenchable high-pressure perovskite 407polymorphs of MnSnO₃ and FeTiO₃. Phys Chem Miner 18:244–250.

408Leinenweber K, Wang Y, Yagi T, Yusa H (1994) An unquenchable perovskite phase of MgGeO ₃ 409and comparison with MgSiO₃ perovskite. Am Mineral 79:197–199.

410Lin J-F, Speziale S, Mao Z, Marquardt H (2013) Effects of the electronic spin transitions of iron 411in lower mantle minerals: Implications for deep mantle geophysics and geochemistry. Rev 412Geophys 51:244–275.

413Lindsley DH, Davis BTC, Macgregor ID (1964) Ferrosilite (FeSiO₃): Synthesis at high pressures 414and temperatures. Science 144:73–74.

415Liu L (1977) The post-spinel phases of twelve silicates and germanates. In: Manghnani MH, 416Akimoto S (eds) High-pressure research; Applications in geophysics. Academic Press, New York

417Mao W, Shu J, Hu J, et al (2002) Displacive transition in magnesiowüstite. J Phys Condens 418Matter 14:11349.

419Mao WL, Shen G, Prakapenka VB, et al (2004) Ferromagnesian postperovskite silicates in the D 420" layer of the Earth. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 101:15867–15869.

421Micoulaut M, Cormier L, Henderson GS (2006) The structure of amorphous, crystalline and 422liquid GeO₂. J Phys Condens Matter 18:R753.

423Ming L-C, Bassett WA (1975) High-pressure phase transformations in the system of MgSiO₃-424FeSiO₃. Earth Planet Sci Lett 27:85–89.

425Ming LC, Kim Y-H, Uchida T, et al (2006) In situ X-ray diffraction study of phase transitions of 426FeTiO₃ at high pressures and temperatures using a large-volume press and synchrotron radiation. 427Am Mineral 91:120–126.

428Murakami M, Hirose K, Ono S, Ohishi Y (2003) Stability of CaCl₂-type and α-PbO₂-type SiO₂ at 429high pressure and temperature determined by in-situ X-ray measurements. Geophys Res Lett 43030:1207.

431Murakami M, Hirose K, Kawamura K, et al (2004) Post-perovskite phase transition in MgSiO₃. 432Science 304:855-858.

433Nagai T, Hattori T, Tsuchiya T, Yamanaka T (1998) First observation of FeGeO₃-perovskite 434under high pressure. Solid State Commun 107:223–225.

435Nakatsuka A, Kuribayashi S, Nakayama N, et al (2015) Temperature dependence of crystal 436structure of CaGeO₃ high-pressure perovskite phase and experimental determination of its Debye 437temperatures studied by low- and high-temperature single-crystal X-ray diffraction. Am Mineral 438100:1190-1202.

439Nakatsuka D, Yoshino T, Kano J, et al (2013) High-pressure synthesis, crystal structure and 440magnetic property of ilmenite-type FeGeO₃. J Solid State Chem 198:520–524.

441Nishio-Hamane D, Yagi T, Ohshiro M, et al (2010) Decomposition of perovskite FeTiO₃ into 442wüstite Fe_{1-x}Ti_{0.5x}O and orthorhombic FeTi₃O₇ at high pressure. Phys Rev B 82:092103.

443Oganov AR, Ono S (2004) Theoretical and experimental evidence for a post-perovskite phase of 444MgSiO₃ in Earth's D" layer. Nature 430:445–448.

445Ono S, Hirose K, Nishiyama N, Isshiki M (2002) Phase boundary between rutile-type and CaCl₂-446type germanium dioxide determined by in situ X-ray observations. Am Mineral 87:99–102.

447Ono S, Tsuchiya T, Hirose K, Ohishi Y (2003a) Phase transition between the CaCl₂-type and α-448PbO₂-type structures of germanium dioxide. Phys Rev B 68:134108.

449Ono S, Tsuchiya T, Hirose K, Ohishi Y (2003b) High-pressure form of pyrite-type germanium 450dioxide. Phys Rev B 68:014103.

451Pakhomova A, Ismailova L, Bykova E, et al (2017) A new high-pressure phase transition in 452clinoferrosilite: In situ single-crystal X-ray diffraction study. Am Mineral 102:666–673.

453Plonka AM, Dera P, Irmen P, et al (2012) β-diopside, a new ultrahigh-pressure polymorph of 454CaMgSi₂O₆ with six-coordinated silicon. Geophys Res Lett 39:L24307.

455Prakapenka VB, Dubrovinsky LS, Shen G, et al (2003) α-PbO₂-type high-pressure polymorph of 456GeO₂. Phys Rev B 67:132101.

457Prakapenka VB, Kubo A, Kuznetsov A, et al (2008) Advanced flat top laser heating system for 458high pressure research at GSECARS: application to the melting behavior of germanium. High 459Press Res 28:3 225–235.

460Prakapenka VB, Shen G, Dubrovinsky LS, et al (2004) High pressure induced phase 461transformation of SiO₂ and GeO₂: difference and similarity. J Phys Chem Solids 65:8 1537–1545.

462Prescher C, Prakapenka VB (2015) DIOPTAS: a program for reduction of two-dimensional X-463ray diffraction data and data exploration. High Press Res 35:3 223–230.

464Redhammer GJ, Senyshyn A, Tippelt G, et al (2012) Magnetic and low-temperature structural 465behavior of clinopyroxene-type FeGeO₃: A neutron diffraction, magnetic susceptibility, and ⁵⁷Fe 466Mössbauer study. Am Mineral 97:694–706.

467Ringwood AE, Seabrook M (1963) High-pressure phase transformations in germanate pyroxenes 468and related compounds. J Geophys Res 68:4601–4609.

469Royen P, Forwerg W (1963) Darstellung und kristallographische Eigenschaften der 470Metagermanate des Mangans, Eisens und Kobalts. Z Für Anorg Allg Chem 326:113–126.

471Sato H, Endo S, Sugiyama M, et al (1991) Baddeleyite-type high-pressure phase of TiO₂. Science 472251:786.

473Shiraki K, Tsuchiya T, Ono S (2003) Structural refinements of high-pressure phases in 474germanium dioxide. Acta Crystallogr B 59:701–708.

475Shu J, Mao H, Hu J, et al (1998) Single-crystal X-ray diffraction of wüstite to 30GPa hydrostatic 476pressure. Neues Jahrb Für Mineral - Abh 309–323.

477Shukla G, Topsakal M, Wentzcovitch RM (2015) Spin crossovers in iron-bearing MgSiO₃ and 478MgGeO₃: Their influence on the post-perovskite transition. Phys Earth Planet Inter 249:11–17.

479Stackhouse S, Brodholt JP, Price GD (2006) Elastic anisotropy of FeSiO₃ end-members of the 480perovskite and post-perovskite phases. Geophys Res Lett 33:L01304.

481Stan CV, Dutta R, Cava RJ, Prakapenka VB, Duffy TS (2017) High-pressure study of 482perovskites and post-perovskites in the (Mg,Fe)GeO₃ System. Inorg Chem 56 (14) 8026-8035.

483Tateno S, Hirose K, Sata N, Ohishi Y (2007) Solubility of FeO in (Mg,Fe)SiO₃ perovskite and 484the post-perovskite phase transition. Phys Earth Planet Inter 160:319–325.

485Tateno S, Hirose K, Sata N, Ohishi Y (2010) Structural distortion of CaSnO₃ perovskite under 486pressure and the quenchable post-perovskite phase as a low-pressure analogue to MgSiO₃. Phys 487Earth Planet Inter 181:54–59.

488Tateno S, Hirose K, Sata N, Ohishi Y (2006) High-pressure behavior of MnGeO₃ and CdGeO₃ 489perovskites and the post-perovskite phase transition. Phys Chem Miner 32:721.

490Toby BH (2001) EXPGUI, a graphical user interface for GSAS. J Appl Crystallogr 34:210–213.

491Tsuchiya T, Tsuchiya J (2011) Prediction of a hexagonal SiO₂ phase affecting stabilities of 492MgSiO₃ and CaSiO₃ at multimegabar pressures. Proc Natl Acad Sci 108:1252–1255.

493Umemoto K, Wentzcovitch RM, Allen PB (2006) Dissociation of MgSiO₃ in the cores of gas 494giants and terrestrial exoplanets. Science 311:983–986.

495Weber H-P (1983) Ferrosilite III, the high-temperature polymorph of FeSiO₃. Acta Crystallogr C 49639:1–3.

497Wicks JK, Jackson JM, Sturhahn W, et al (2015) Thermal equation of state and stability of 498(Mg_{0.06}Fe_{0.94})O. Phys Earth Planet Inter 249:28–42.

499Wilson NC, Russo SP, Muscat J, Harrison NM (2005) High-pressure phases of FeTiO₃ from first 500principles. Phys Rev B 72:024110.

501Wu X, Qin S, Dubrovinsky L (2011) Investigation into high-pressure behavior of MnTiO₃: X-ray 502diffraction and Raman spectroscopy with diamond anvil cells. Geosci Front 2:107–114.

503Yusa H, Tsuchiya T, Akaogi M, et al (2014) Postperovskite Phase Transition of ZnGeO₃: 504comparative crystal chemistry of postperovskite phase transition from germanate perovskites. 505Inorg Chem 53:11732–11739.

506Zhang L, Meng Y, Dera P, et al (2013) Single-crystal structure determination of (Mg,Fe)SiO₃ 507postperovskite. Proc Natl Acad Sci 110(16): 6292–6295.

508Zhang L, Meng Y, Yang W, et al (2014) Disproportionation of (Mg,Fe)SiO₃ perovskite in earth's 509deep lower mantle. Science 344:877–882.

510

512Figure 1. X-ray diffraction patterns of FeGeO₃ compressed at room temperature. Asterisks and 513solid circles indicate the main peaks of FeGeO₃ (II) and FeGeO₃ (III) respectively. (a) Diffraction 514data recorded up to 33 GPa. The bottom-most spectrum represents the *C2/c* clinopyroxene phase 515at 1 bar. The red ticks indicate the diffraction peak locations for the calculated clinopyroxene unit 516cell with lattice parameters *a* = 9.7873 (12) Å, *b* = 9.1323 (14) Å, *c* = 5.1949 (7) Å, β = 101.804° 517(11) (b) Diffraction data from 33 – 123 GPa. The red ticks indicate the observed diffraction peak 518positions for the perovskite unit cell reported by Hattori et al. 1999 at 40 GPa (*a* = 4.93 (2) Å, *b* 519= 5.06 (6) Å, *c* = 6.66 (3) Å). Diffraction peaks from gold (Au) and neon (Ne) are labeled.



521

43





532Figure 2. LeBail refinement of FeGeO₃ (II) (Space group: P_1^{1}) at 20 GPa (R_p/R_{wp} =





539Figure 3a. Rietveld refinement of the diffraction pattern obtained on heating $FeGeO_3$ to 2120 K 540at 39.1 GPa. The corresponding oxide assemblage is rutile-type GeO_2 (blue sticks) and B1-type 541FeO (green sticks).



547Figure 3b. Rietveld refinement of the diffraction pattern attained on quenching to room 548temperature at 33.9 GPa. The inset shows a magnified view of the splitting of the tetragonal 549(rutile) 211 peak into the orthorhombic (CaCl₂) 211 and 121 peaks. The blue sticks show the 550predicted peak positions of CaCl₂-type GeO₂, while light and dark green represent rhombohedral 551(rB1) and cubic (B1) FeO.



556Figure 4. Rietveld refinement of the x-ray diffraction pattern at 58.3 GPa and 2000 K. The 557spectrum can be indexed by GeO_2 (α -PbO₂-type), FeO (B1-type), Au, and Ne.



565Figure 5. Rietveld refinement of the diffraction pattern obtained on heating $FeGeO_3$ to 1700 K at 566127.3 GPa. The oxide assemblage consists of pyrite-type GeO_2 and B1-type FeO.



571

572

573

574Figure 6. Goldschmidt diagram of $A^{2+}B^{4+}O_3$ compounds showing compositions for which the 575perovskite structure is predicted to be stable. (after Fujino et al. 2009). The dashed lines indicate 576tolerance factor values (t). The solid line (t=0.90) delineates the boundary above which pPv is 577generally not observed. Solid circles: compounds that adopt the post-perovskite structure; red 578crosses: compounds that have not been observed to transform to post-perovskite; open circles: 579compounds for which it is not known if post-perovskite forms.



582Figure S1. P-T phase diagram of FeGeO₃. The solid circles represent our room temperature data 583(red: cpx, blue: FeGeO₃ (II), green: FeGeO₃(III)). The blue open circle is FeGeO₃(II) reported by 584Hattori et al. (2001). The solid triangles denote the high-temperature data, the bars connect the 585lowest and highest temperatures reached in that run. The black plus symbols indicate literature 586data on different phases (Ringwood and Seabrook, 1963; Liu, 1977; Hattori et al. 2001; 587Nakatsuka et al. 2013). The dashed grey lines are the phase boundaries in GeO₂ (after Ono et al. 5862003). The dashed black lines are extrapolations of the data points and not an indication of the 587Clapeyron slopes.



590Table I. Experimental conditions and observed phases.

Sample ID	Pressure medium	P/T condition (GPa/K)	Phases present
S1	Neon	39.1 / 2120	Rutile GeO ₂ + FeO- B1
		33.9 / 300	
			CaCl ₂ GeO ₂ + FeO-
			B1 +FeO-rB1

	S2	Neon	58.3 / 2000 K	α-PbO ₂ GeO ₂ + FeO- B1
_	S3	N/A	127.3 / 1700	Pyrite GeO ₂ + FeO- B1
592				

593Table 2. Comparison of the observed *d*-spacings of FeGeO₃ at 41 GPa with those of perovskite at 59440 GPa as reported by Hattori et al. (1999).

	This Study (41 GPa)	Perovskite, Hattori et al., 1999 (40 GPa)						
	$a_{\rm obs}(A)$ _	$d_{ m obs}$ (Å)	$d_{\rm calc}({ m \AA})$	(hkl)				
		3.52	3.533	110				
		3.333	3.333	002				
	2.668							
	2.587							
	2.504							
	2.422	2.444	2.530	020				
	2.365	-	2.467	200				
	2.038	-	2.424	112				
	2.011	1 765	1 766	220				
		1.665	1.667	004				
	1.407	1.416	1.440	132				
597								
598								
599								
600								
401								
602								
603								
604								
605								
606								
607								
67		34						

608Table 3. Calculated and observed *d*-spacings and their difference for rutile-type GeO₂ at 39.1 609GPa and 2120 K (GeO₂: *a* = 4.2462 (17) Å, *c* = 2.8122 (23) Å, and FeO-B1 (*a* = 4.1454 (11) Å).

h	k	1	d _{obs} (Å)	$d_{ m calc}({ m \AA})$	Δd (Å)
1	1	0	2.99873	3.00256	-0.00383
0	1	1	2.34516	2.34467	0.00049
0	2	0	2.12493	2.12313	0.0018
1	2	1	1.57346	1.57379	-0.00033
512					
513					
514FeO (B1):					
h	k	1	$d_{ m obs}$ (Å)	$d_{ m calc}$ (Å)	Δd (Å)
1	1	1	2.39398	2.39333	0.00065
0	0	2	2.07284	2.07268	0.00015
0	2	2	1.46541	1.46561	-0.0002
515					
516					

611GeO₂ (rutile):

618Table 4. Unit cell dimensions and atomic positions of GeO₂ and FeO obtained from Rietveld refinement. Numbers in parentheses 619denote the uncertainties in refinement. Z represents the number of formula units.

Pressure, Temperature	Phase	Space Group	Lattice Parameters (Å)	Site	Wyckoff Symbol	Fractional Coordinates	R _p /R _{wp} *
	GeO ₂ (Rutile)	P4 ₂ /mnm Z = 2	<i>a</i> = 4.2497 (2) <i>c</i> = 2.8192 (2)	Ge: O:	2(a) 4(f)	(0, 0, 0) (0.2874 (15), 0.2874 (15), 0)	0.0105/
39.1 GPa, 2120 K	FeO (B1)	Fm ³ m	a = 4.1431 (12)				0.0137/ 0.0202
		Z = 4					
	GeO ₂	Pnnm	<i>a</i> = 4.2831 (5)	Ge:	2(a)	(0, 0, 0)	
22.0 CDa	(CaCl ₂)	Z = 2	<i>b</i> = 4.1669 (4) <i>c</i> = 2.7991 (3)	O:	4(g)	(0.3089 (19), 0.2846 (17), 0)	0.0007/
33.9 GPa,	FeO	RŚm	<i>a</i> = 2.8242 (49)				
300 K	(rB1)	Z = 2	<i>c</i> = 7.4044 (94)				0.0137
	FeO (B1)	Fm ³	<i>a</i> = 4.1071 (5)				
		т Z = 4					
	GeO ₂	Pbcn	<i>a</i> = 4.1005 (10)	Ge:	4(c)	(0, 0.1678 (7), 0.25)	
58.3 GPa,	(a-PbO ₂)	Z = 4	<i>b</i> = 5.0997 (15) <i>c</i> = 4.5825 (8)	O:	8(d)	(0.2251 (28), 0.8744 (79), 0.4132 (46))	0.0077/
2000 K	FeO	Fm Ś					0.0109
	(B1)	т	a = 4.0545 (6)				
		Z = 4					
	GeO ₂	Pa Ś		Ge:	4(a)	(0, 0, 0)	
127.3 GPa	(Pyrite)	Z = 4	a = 4.3226 (2)	0:	8(c)	(0.3347 (7), 0.3347 (7), 0.3347 (7))	0.0067/ 0.0095
1700 K	FeO (B1)	Fm Ś	<i>a</i> = 3.7896 (17)				

	m			
	Z = 4			

 $621^{*} R_{p} = \sum w_{i} |y_{i}(obs) - y_{i}(calc)| / \sum y_{i}(obs) ; R_{p} = \left[\sum w_{i} [y_{i}(obs) - y_{i}(calc)]^{2} / w_{i} [y_{i}(obs)]^{2} \right]^{1/2} ; \text{ where w is the weight, } y_{i}(obs) = \left[\sum w_{i} [y_{i}(obs) - y_{i}(calc)]^{2} / w_{i} [y_{i}(obs)]^{2} \right]^{1/2} ; \text{ where w is the weight, } y_{i}(obs) = \left[\sum w_{i} [y_{i}(obs) - y_{i}(calc)]^{2} / w_{i} [y_{i}(obs)]^{2} \right]^{1/2} ; \text{ where w is the weight, } y_{i}(obs) = \left[\sum w_{i} [y_{i}(obs) - y_{i}(calc)]^{2} / w_{i} [y_{i}(obs)]^{2} \right]^{1/2} ; \text{ where w is the weight, } y_{i}(obs) = \left[\sum w_{i} [y_{i}(obs) - y_{i}(calc)]^{2} / w_{i} [y_{i}(obs)]^{2} \right]^{1/2} ; \text{ where w is the weight, } y_{i}(obs) = \left[\sum w_{i} [y_{i}(obs) - y_{i}(calc)]^{2} / w_{i} [y_{i}(obs)]^{2} \right]^{1/2} ; \text{ where w is the weight, } y_{i}(obs) = \left[\sum w_{i} [y_{i}(obs) - y_{i}(calc)]^{2} / w_{i} [y_{i}(obs)]^{2} \right]^{1/2} ; \text{ where w is the weight, } y_{i}(obs) = \left[\sum w_{i} [y_{i}(obs) - y_{i}(calc)]^{2} / w_{i} [y_{i}(obs)]^{2} \right]^{1/2} ; \text{ where } w_{i}(obs) = \left[\sum w_{i} [y_{i}(obs) - y_{i}(calc)]^{2} / w_{i} [y_{i}(obs)]^{2} \right]^{1/2} ; \text{ where } w_{i}(obs) = \left[\sum w_{i} [y_{i}(obs) - y_{i}(calc)]^{2} / w_{i} [y_{i}(obs)]^{2} \right]^{1/2} ; \text{ where } w_{i}(obs) = \left[\sum w_{i} [y_{i}(obs) - y_{i}(calc)]^{2} / w_{i} [y_{i}(obs)]^{2} \right]^{1/2} ; \text{ where } w_{i}(obs) = \left[\sum w_{i} [y_{i}(obs) - y_{i}(calc)]^{2} / w_{i} [y_{i}(obs)]^{2} \right]^{1/2} ; \text{ where } w_{i}(obs) = \left[\sum w_{i} [y_{i}(obs) - y_{i}(calc)]^{2} / w_{i} [y_{i}(obs)]^{2} \right]^{1/2} ; \text{ where } w_{i}(obs) = \left[\sum w_{i} [y_{i}(obs) - y_{i}(calc)]^{2} / w_{i}(obs) \right]^{1/2} ; \text{ where } w_{i}(obs) = \left[\sum w_{i} [y_{i}(obs) - y_{i}(calc)]^{2} / w_{i}(calc)]^{2} / w_{i}(obs) \right]^{1/2} ; \text{ where } w_{i}(obs) = \left[\sum w_{i} [y_{i}(obs) - y_{i}(calc)]^{2} / w_{i}(calc) \right]^{1/2} ; \text{ where } w_{i}(calc) = \left[\sum w_{i} [y_{i}(calc) - y_{i}(calc)]^{2} / w_{i}(calc) \right]^{1/2} ; \text{ where } w_{i}(calc) = \left[\sum w_{i} [y_{i}(calc) - y_{i}(calc)]^{2} / w_{i}(calc) \right]^{1/2} ; \text{ where } w_{i}(calc) = \left[\sum w_{i} [y_{i}(calc) - y_{i}(calc)]^{2} / w$

622and $y_i(calc)$ are the observed and calculated intensities after background subtraction.