UC San Diego UC San Diego Previously Published Works

Title

Perspective: Time-Restricted Eating-Integrating the What with the When.

Permalink

<https://escholarship.org/uc/item/4rd161fm>

Journal Advances in Nutrition, 13(3)

Authors

Parr, Evelyn Devlin, Brooke Hawley, John

Publication Date 2022-06-01

DOI

10.1093/advances/nmac015

Peer reviewed

Perspective: Time-Restricted Eating—Integrating the What with the When

Evelyn B Parr[,1](#page-1-0) Brooke L Devlin[,2](#page-1-1) and John A Hawley[1](#page-1-0)

¹ Exercise and Nutrition Research Program, Mary MacKillop Institute for Health Research, Australian Catholic University, Melbourne, Victoria, Australia; and 2Department of Dietetics, Nutrition, and Sport, La Trobe University, Bundoora, Victoria, Australia

ABSTRACT

Time-restricted eating (TRE) is a popular dietary strategy that emphasizes the timing of meals in alignment with diurnal circadian rhythms, permitting ad libitum energy intake during a restricted (∼8–10 h) eating window each day. Unlike energy-restricted diets or intermittent fasting interventions that focus on weight loss, many of the health-related benefits of TRE are independent of reductions in body weight. However, TRE research to date has largely ignored what food is consumed (i.e., macronutrient composition and energy density), overlooking a plethora of past epidemiological and interventional dietary research. To determine some of the potential mechanisms underpinning the benefits of TRE on metabolic health, future studies need to increase the rigor of dietary data collected, assessed, and reported to ensure a consistent and standardized approach in TRE research. This Perspective article provides an overview of studies investigating TRE interventions in humans and considers dietary intake (both what and when food is eaten) and their impact on selected health outcomes (i.e., weight loss, glycemic control). Integrating existing dietary knowledge about what food is eaten with our recent understanding on when food should be consumed is essential to optimize the impact of dietary strategies aimed at improving metabolic health outcomes. Adv Nutr 2022;13:699–711.

Statement of Significance: Time-restricted eating (TRE) is a dietary strategy that focuses on the timing of meals, but frequently neglects the quality and quantity of food consumed. This Perspective challenges researchers in the field of TRE to incorporate rigorous dietary assessment to unravel the complex relations between the type of food consumed and the timing of meals.

Keywords: diet, nutrition, timing, energy intake, fasting

Introduction

Dietary advice for improving metabolic health in individuals with noncommunicable diseases such as obesity and type 2 diabetes (T2D) has traditionally focused on what food is consumed, with an emphasis on dietary quality and energy

Author disclosures: The authors report no conflicts of interest. Address correspondence to EBP (e-mail: [evelyn.parr@acu.edu.au\)](mailto:evelyn.parr@acu.edu.au). intake (EI). Decades of research from nutrition scientists have provided robust evidence of the metabolic responses to diets of differing macronutrient profiles (i.e., Mediterranean, low-carbohydrate, high-fat, high-protein) as well reduced EIs (i.e., very-low-energy diets). Recently, there has been growing recognition that the timing of meals is critical for metabolic health and well-being, and that manipulating the feeding–fasting cycle carries important consequences for a number of physiological and metabolic processes [\(1–5\)](#page-11-0). Time-restricted eating (TRE), often called the 16:8 diet, is a popular dietary strategy placing emphasis on the timing of food but permits ad libitum EI during a restricted (∼8– 10 h) eating window each day. Several recent reviews have highlighted the potential for TRE to induce improvements in body weight (i.e., reduce obesity) and other cardiometabolic health markers [\(6–9\)](#page-11-1). Many of the benefits of TRE on metabolic health are independent of weight loss, but instead are underpinned by the timing of meals in alignment with

^C The Author(s) 2022. Published by Oxford University Press on behalf of the American Society for Nutrition. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial License [\(https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/\)](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/), which permits non-commercial re-use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited. For commercial re-use, please contact [journals.permissions@oup.com.](mailto:journals.permissions@oup.com) Adv Nutr 2022;13:699–711; doi: [https://doi.org/10.1093/advances/nmac015.](https://doi.org/10.1093/advances/nmac015) 699

Supported by a 2018 Early Career Fellowship award from the European Society for Clinical Nutrition (ESPEN) to EBP, a Diabetes Australia Research Program (DARP; 2019) grant to EBP, and by a Novo Nordisk Foundation Challenge grant (NNF14OC0011493) to JAH.

Perspective articles allow authors to take a position on a topic of current major importance or controversy in the field of nutrition. As such, these articles could include statements based on author opinions or point of view. Opinions expressed in Perspective articles are those of the author and are not attributable to the funder(s) or the sponsor(s) or the publisher, Editor, or Editorial Board of Advances in Nutrition. Individuals with different positions on the topic of a Perspective are invited to submit their comments in the form of a Perspectives article or in a Letter to the Editor.

Abbreviations used: CER, chronic energy restriction; EI, energy intake; IF, intermittent fasting; TRE, time-restricted eating; TRF, time-restricted feeding; T2D, type 2 diabetes.

FIGURE 1 Categorization of popular diet practices. For CER (1), during which daily energy intake is reduced by up to 40%, but meal frequency and timing remain unchanged; IF (2), where 1 d or several days of fasting are interspersed with normal ad libitum eating patterns, such that total weekly energy intake is reduced, and meal frequency and timing remain unchanged on the days of food intake; or TRE (3), in which food is consumed ad libitum throughout a set time period, and energy intake may or may not be reduced. In TRE, the daily eating duration (i.e., the time between the first and last energy intake) is typically reduced from a 12-14-h/d "eating window" to ∼8-10 h/d. CER, chronic energy restriction; IF, intermittent fasting; TRE, time-restricted eating.

circadian rhythms. To date, many of the interventional studies of TRE have largely ignored what food is consumed and its quality and quantity (i.e., macronutrient composition and energy density), with a sole focus on when food is consumed. This Perspective article provides an overview of studies investigating TRE in humans highlighting both what and when food is consumed. Our intent is to incorporate the decades of dietary intake research of what is eaten (i.e., the premise of dietetics as a profession) into future TRE investigations. Integrating dietary composition and quality with timing is key to unravel the complex relations between the types of foods consumed and the timing of meals to determine their unique roles underpinning improvements in metabolic health. Before providing an analysis of the dietary components of TRE studies to date, we provide important working definitions and a brief background of the evolution of TRE interventions.

Current Dietary Strategies for Improving Metabolic Health

The majority of evidence-based dietary interventions prescribed to improve metabolic health and/or weight loss can

700 Parr et al.

be broadly classified as follows: *1*) chronic energy restriction (CER), in which daily EI is reduced by up to 40%, but meal frequency and timing remain unchanged; *2*) intermittent fasting (IF), where 1 day or several days of fasting are interspersed with normal ad libitum eating patterns, and meal frequency and timing remaining unchanged on the days of food intake (e.g., alternate-day fasting and the 5:2 diet); or *3*) TRE, in which food is consumed ad libitum but the eating duration (i.e., the time between the first and last EI of the day) is typically reduced from a $12-16-h$ "eating window" to $<8-$ 12 h [\(7\)](#page-11-2) (**[Figure 1](#page-2-0)**).

Importantly, we and others [\(10,](#page-11-3) [11\)](#page-11-4) regard TRE to be a distinct dietary intervention rather than a modified form of IF. Specifically, TRE interventions do not intend to reduce EI, in contrast with all IF regimes. Furthermore, CER and IF are not chrono-nutritive therapies per se, in that they do not restrict food consumption to specified times of day to play off chronobiology. Instead, their therapeutic value and any positive health outcomes are mainly derived from chronic or intermittent periods of energy restriction. TRE is a chrono-nutritional strategy offering a less food-focused approach, where the timing of meals is closely aligned with typical metabolite and hormonal profiles over 24-h periods,

FIGURE 2 The 3 different approaches to TRE. (A) TRE reduces energy intake as a result of an appropriately timed window of daily energy intake, which reduces time-of-day discretionary foods consumption and induces weight loss; (B) TRE does not result in a change in energy intake, but there is an appropriately timed window of energy intake, which contributes to improvements in metabolic health independent of any weight loss; or (C) TRE does not change energy intake and, due to an inappropriately timed eating window, little or no health benefits are observed. TRE, time-restricted eating.

in an ∼8–10-h eating window. A requirement for TRE to be considered a chrono-nutritional strategy is the alignment of meals with typical circadian oscillations of hormonal profiles, with insulin sensitivity declining during the day and cortisol and growth hormone peaking in the morning and evening, respectively [\(12,](#page-11-5) [13\)](#page-11-6). Indeed, the TRE literature to date suggests that later or self-selected TRE periods are less effective in improving markers of metabolic health (**[Figure 2](#page-3-0)**). Where TRE interventions have induced energy restriction, it is likely that the alignment of EI with circadian patterns of hormones and metabolites is less important than for energy-matched TRE.

Studies of TRE to date have exploited several different approaches, with such variations, in part, underpinning inconsistencies in their success or failure to improve health outcomes [\(Figure 2\)](#page-3-0). Many short-term (<3 mo) TRE protocols have been associated with moderate energy restriction [\(14–19\)](#page-11-7), resulting in weight loss and associated health benefits. Depending on the duration of the feeding– fasting cycle, TRE can inadvertently reduce EI and/or alter macronutrient intakes via reductions in discretionary "timeof-day" foods such as alcohol and confectionary, that are typically consumed in the evening (i.e., outside the "eating window" of TRE protocols). TRE protocols that do not restrict EI but align the timing of meals and the eating window to cycles in hormone and metabolite oscillations also elicit improvements in health outcomes. From the well-controlled (all food/meals provided) early and mid-TRE human studies, this is the case [\(20–23\)](#page-12-0), but far less evidence is available from free-living interventions [\(24\)](#page-12-1). Further work is needed to corroborate that circadian-aligned TRE intakes lead to beneficial outcomes irrespective of EI. Additionally, "what" participants are consuming throughout the TRE period can have a significant impact on outcomes; yet, for the most part, dietary intake has been poorly reported in TRE studies.

TRE: from preclinical to human intervention studies

The concept of TRE and its basis in chronobiology originates from preclinical studies of mice in which food availability was synchronized to the diurnal rhythms of a cluster of genes responsible for regulating 24-h circadian cycles and compared with energy-matched ad libitum food availability throughout the day. When food was only available during the animals' waking hours (overnight) [\(25\)](#page-12-2), or restricted to a shorter window (26) , mice gained less weight and body fat, and displayed improved glucose tolerance and concentrations of inflammatory markers. These animal studies of timerestricted feeding (TRF) provide evidence that ad libitum food intake is associated with disrupted circadian rhythms and adverse health outcomes [\(25,](#page-12-2) [26\)](#page-12-3). Furthermore, when metabolically challenged with high-fat, high-sucrose diets during TRE, mice lost more body weight and improved

circulating metabolites compared with ad libitum intake (i.e., no TRE) [\(26\)](#page-12-3). The mechanisms underlying the beneficial effect of TRF are complex and are likely to act on multiple pathways that impinge on the circadian clock and improve robustness of oscillation of clock components and downstream targets [\(4\)](#page-11-8). An evaluation of the mechanistic bases of preclinical data conducted in rodents has been reviewed previously [\(27–29\)](#page-12-4). The translation of preclinical TRF data has several limitations: the length of time spent feed deprived (i.e., fasting) for most animal models varies substantially compared with humans, with the time of eating for mice/rodents generally confined to nocturnal hours. In contrast, humans consume the majority of daily energy during the day, with light being a major photopic signal to the body's central pacemaker, the superchiasmatic nucleus, influencing circadian oscillations. In humans, the few energy-matched studies in which meal timing has been rigorously controlled confirm earlier observations in animal models, providing "proof of concept" that the timing of meals has profound consequences on physiology, and can be used as an intervention to treat or prevent obesity and other metabolic conditions.

The results of several well-controlled studies in humans (i.e., interventions where all meals were quantified and provided to participants) provide strong evidence that TRE is an efficacious intervention for improving metabolic health outcomes [\(20–23\)](#page-12-0). In a proof-of-concept study using a crossover design, Sutton et al. [\(20\)](#page-12-0) reported that 5 wk of isoenergetic early TRE (08:00–14:00 h, 3 meals of 33% EI with 50% from carbohydrate) improved measures of insulin sensitivity, blood pressure, B-cell responsiveness, and markers of oxidative stress in men with prediabetes compared with when they consumed the same dietary intake over 12 h (08:00–20:00 h). That study [\(20\)](#page-12-0) provided the first evidence to suggest that some of the health benefits of TRE may be independent of EI and weight loss. These researchers also collected preliminary data on the feasibility and acceptability of early TRE, with participants reporting that the challenge of eating within a 6-h window was more difficult than the requirement to fast for 18 h each day [\(20\)](#page-12-0). In another short-term intervention of early TRE (4 d, eating window of 08:00–14:00 h, 3 meals of 33% EI with 50% from carbohydrate), isoenergetic TRE reduced 24-h glucose concentrations and glycemic variability in individuals with prediabetes compared with a 12-h eating window (08:00–20:00 h) [\(21\)](#page-12-5). Similarly, reduced nocturnal glucose concentrations are observed after only 5 d of isoenergetic TRE (10:00–18:00 h, 3 meals of 25:35:40% EI with 30% from carbohydrate) in men with obesity [\(23\)](#page-12-6). The mechanisms by which early- and mid-TRE protocols induce beneficial outcomes in the absence of energy restriction are likely related to a combination of improved circadian glucose homeostasis, reduced oxidative stress, improved B-cell function, increased autophagic flux, and increased ketone body production [\(30\)](#page-12-7). From the evidence to date, both the start and finish time and the duration of the eating window are important considerations for translation

to practice in maximizing health outcomes from TRE interventions.

In contrast to the robust laboratory-controlled TRE studies, many of the human studies of TRE conducted in free-living conditions have simultaneously manipulated both the time of day and the duration of the eating window, making it difficult to determine which of these perturbations to normal eating habits is responsible for any changes in health outcomes [\(20–23,](#page-12-0) [31\)](#page-12-8). Based on the results of studies where EI has been estimated $(14-17, 32)$ $(14-17, 32)$, the notion that a shortened eating window might lead to a reduction in daily EI has gained credibility. However, the majority of freeliving TRE interventions have neither quantified or estimated EI, and have not consistently reported improved health outcomes after TRE protocols [\(18,](#page-12-10) [24,](#page-12-1) [33–39\)](#page-12-11). Most studies that fail to report the dietary intake of their participants are either late or delayed TRE (e.g., first eating occasion after 12:00 h) or have required participants to self-select their individual eating windows, with the caveat that there have been more late-TRE and self-selected TRE studies conducted to date. Further, many studies investigating TRE omit, or conduct only limited, dietary analysis (i.e., baseline and end of intervention only).

The lack of dietary information reported in many studies is, in part, due to a focus on TRE interventions being about the timing of food intake, and not the types or amounts of food consumed. However, the lack of detailed dietary intake assessments and reported data makes it unclear whether the health benefits from TRE are derived from changing the timing of food intake, reducing the energy content of food consumed, altering the types of foods consumed (i.e., macronutrient composition), or a combined effect. Of course, changing both the timing and amount/types of food consumed may have synergistic or additive effects and further work will be required to tease out potential mechanisms responsible for some of the improved metabolic health outcomes observed after TRE protocols.

Improving the quality of dietary assessment in future TRE interventions

The current literature of human interventions of TRE is limited and impacted by a lack of reliable and comprehensive dietary analysis. To present the dietary analysis of TRE studies to date, we conducted a literature search using PubMed, Google Scholar, and cross-checking of citations of other research studies to summarize human TRE studies (**[Table 1](#page-5-0)**). We divided investigations into early-TRE (eating window finishing by 17:00 h), mid-TRE (delayed first eating occasion and eating window finishing by 19:00 h), and late-TRE (TRE beginning from 12:00 h), as well as those that had self-selected (i.e., unspecified) TRE eating windows. Of the 26 free-living TRE interventions summarized in [Table 1,](#page-5-0) more than one-third had no analysis of either dietary intake or dietary quality. Most studies that report reductions in body weight had a concomitant decrease in total EI [\(14,](#page-11-7) [16,](#page-11-9) [40,](#page-12-12) [41\)](#page-12-13), but many provided little or no dietary analysis [\(18,](#page-12-10) [35,](#page-12-14) [37–39\)](#page-12-15). One might assume that participants undertaking

TABLE 1 Summary of TRE interventions in humans, divided into early (eating window finished before/by 17:00 h), mid (delayed breakfast and end of eating window by 19:00 h), or late (delayed

⁽Continued) (Continued)

(Continued)

(Continued)

TABLE 1 (Continued) **TABLE 1** (Continued)

(Continued)

(Continued)

' Arrows indicate significant reductions (1) or no significant changes (++). ADF, alternate-day fasting; ALT, alanine transaminase; ASA24, Automated Self-Administered 24-hour dietary assessment tool; AST, aspartate aminotr Arrows indicate significant reductions (U) or no significant changes (++). ADF, alternate-day fasting; ALT, alanine transaminase; ASA24, Automated Self-Administered 24-hour dietary assessment tool; AST, aspartate aminotran Database for Dietary Studies; HBA1c, glycated hemoglobin; HEI, Healthy Rating on MROL, health-related quality of life; MBD, macronutrient-based diet; MCC, MyCircadianClock; MetS, metabolic syndrome; NAFLD, nonalcoholic fat pressure; CGM, continuous glucose monitor; CHO, carbohydrate; CHO, carbohydrate; CHO, diffic, diffic, carboy interactions; Fasting; FSG, fasting blucose; FDDNS, Food and Nutrient disease; N/D, no difference; RCT, randomized controlled trial; RXT, randomized crossover trial; SBR systolic blood pressure; SDA, standard dietary advice; TG, triglyceride; TRE, time-restricted eating; w/o, washout ² Provided meals (isoenergetic).
³ Prescribed diet (hypoenergetic).
⁴ Exercise protocol with TRE/Control. 2Provided meals (isoenergetic).

3Prescribed diet (hypoenergetic).

4Exercise protocol with TRE/Control.

TABLE 1 (Continued)

TABLE 1 (Continued)

TRE protocols that did not induce a reduction in body weight and/or changes in body composition, and neglected to conduct any analysis of dietary intake, failed to change their dietary intake (quality or quantity) [\(33,](#page-12-11) [34,](#page-12-20) [36\)](#page-12-21). However, the interventions that have provided meals matched for EI, and in which only the timing of eating is altered, demonstrate that a reduced EI may not be necessary for improvements to a selected metabolic health outcome [\(20–23\)](#page-12-0). Whether standard dietary advice regarding food quality induces additive and superior health outcomes to appropriately timed TRE has yet to be investigated (i.e., improving both what and when individuals consume food). To reach consensus between TRE interventions, traditional dietary records for a minimum of 3 d (2 weekdays and 1 weekend day), undertaken at least 3 times (baseline, midpoint, and end of intervention) for the determination of energy and macronutrients should be a minimum requirement, and provide valuable information regarding the most effective protocol of TRE. While frequent (i.e., daily), comprehensive, and extended dietary analysis (i.e., macronutrients, micronutrients, dietary patterns, core food-group analysis, level of processed food, and timing of all meals/snacks) throughout a TRE intervention would provide valuable information, it is important to be mindful of the dietary analysis skills of research teams, the time burden to participants of daily records, along with the impact that dietary recording has on dietary intake [\(42\)](#page-12-25).

The other, less studied, yet important dietary component is the change in macronutrient and energy distribution across meals, as well as the number of meals and snacks consumed during a day. Typically, in Western cultures/societies, breakfast is the most carbohydrate-centric meal, yet contributes the least to total daily EI. In the evening, dinner is generally higher in protein compared with other meals, as well as being the largest meal with regard to total EI. Due to lack of detailed dietary data reported in previous TRE interventions, it is currently unknown if TRE protocols change the distribution or intake of macronutrients at meals across the day.

In addition to failing to report EI, most studies of TRE that have manipulated the size of meals throughout the day do not specify what proportions of macronutrient have been provided/consumed at each meal. The TRE studies that have utilized meal photo timing have provided a comprehensive analysis of the number of eating occasions (as a surrogate measure of total EI) and reported a reduction [\(14,](#page-11-7) [18\)](#page-12-10) or similar number (43) , in response to the reduced eating window. Evidence from studies by Jakubowicz and colleagues [\(44,](#page-12-26) [45\)](#page-12-27) has shown that larger morning meals (high in carbohydrate) with small evening meals (high in protein) are effective for reducing body weight and improving glycemic control. However, in these studies, it is difficult to determine whether it is the EI or the macronutrient distribution that led to changes in several physiological outcomes. Neglecting to consider what is being consumed and how frequently in a TRE intervention, while focusing solely on when food is consumed, is overlooking a crucial component in understanding the full benefit of TRE. This is particularly important when translating TRE research into practice. Without the information of what food has been consumed, the TRE advice provided to individuals is limited to simply the eating window. Although this may help keep the message simple, in practice, individuals will naturally ask what foods they can consume within a specified time. It would be ideal to elucidate the best TRE eating window along with the ideal meal timing and macronutrient composition for optimal results (i.e., combining the what with the when).

The quality of ingested nutrients plays a crucial role when determining any effects of dietary intervention on metabolic health outcomes. For example, carbohydrate-rich foods that have a widely different glycemic index induce different glucose/insulin responses [\(46\)](#page-12-28). Thus, the quality of the ingested food is also important from a metabolic health perspective [\(47,](#page-12-29) [48\)](#page-12-30), with dietary guidelines recommending changes to both the quality (i.e., increased grains vs. refined foods; whole foods vs. processed foods) and the quantity (i.e., reduced portion sizes) of food. Only 2 of the 25 TRE studies reviewed [\(Table 1\)](#page-5-0) have utilized a measure of dietary quality to assess TRE and compared this with either a 10-min nutrition-counseling session (standard dietary advice) [\(49\)](#page-12-24) or no advice [\(50\)](#page-12-17). Using the qualitative NOVA classification [\(51\)](#page-12-31) from free-text annotations of food photos collected throughout a 6-mo intervention, Phillips and colleagues [\(49\)](#page-12-24) reported that participants receiving standard dietary advice significantly increased their intake of unprocessed or minimally processed foods by 7% and compensated by a reduced intake of processed food, with no changes to fluids consumed. Martens and colleagues [\(50\)](#page-12-17) used the Healthy Eating Index [\(52\)](#page-12-32) to obtain an outcome of dietary quality from weeks 3–5 of a 6-wk intervention compared with 6 wk of no advice (following normal diet). Importantly, for the comparisons in both studies, the TRE condition did not improve or change dietary quality, which was described as "adhering to the protocol" [\(49\)](#page-12-24) or not adversely affecting dietary intake [\(50\)](#page-12-17). Detailed dietary analysis that has been performed in several studies has indicated that time-of-day foods, such as late evening snacks and alcohol consumption, are reduced with TRE [\(14,](#page-11-7) [43\)](#page-12-18). If TRE can induce such changes to dietary intake and quality without structured advice, then more rigorous dietary analysis is crucial in future TRE interventions.

TRE: not just another weight-loss intervention

The primary outcomes of TRE interventions to date have been weight loss, glycemic control, and selected biomarkers of cardiometabolic health, with the majority of studies reporting positive effects on these and several other measures [\(6,](#page-11-1) [8,](#page-11-12) [9,](#page-11-13) [53,](#page-12-33) [54\)](#page-12-34). However, it is not currently known whether it is the modest energy restriction induced by TRE protocols or the alignment of meal timing with circadian oscillations that induce many of the health benefits of TRE. Not only does circadian phase influence the metabolic response to food intake but food intake itself is under control by the endogenous circadian system (i.e., independent of the sleep/wake and fasting/feeding cycle) [\(55\)](#page-13-9).

FIGURE 3 Representative schematic of glucose concentrations changing over a 24-h period comparing the effects of 3 meals during a control day (meals over >12 h; dashed line) with a time-restricted eating pattern (meals within 8 h; solid line). CON, control; TRE, time-restricted eating. Adapted from reference [23](#page-12-6) with permission.

In energy-restricted diets that induce weight loss, there is a concomitant reduction in lean mass, typically accounting for at least 25% of the total weight lost [\(56\)](#page-13-10). The loss of lean tissue during energy restriction can be mitigated by exercise in the face of adequate protein intake [\(57\)](#page-13-11), but highprotein, energy-restricted diets are not effective in isolation [\(58\)](#page-13-12). In the few TRE studies that have measured body composition, the magnitude of change in lean mass has been small (\sim 1.0 kg) [\(34\)](#page-12-20) or negligible [\(32,](#page-12-9) [43,](#page-12-18) [50\)](#page-12-17), usually reflecting a modest loss in body weight, or possibly typical measurement error. Several investigations have combined TRE with exercise training to maximize improvements in body composition (reduced fat mass and maintained or increased lean mass) [\(59–63\)](#page-13-4). Whether a restricted eating window is optimal to promote adequate rates of protein synthesis to maintain protein balance in the absence of an exercise stimulus is an important question that warrants further research [\(64\)](#page-13-13). Indeed, whether TRE confers additive benefits to disordered metabolism above and beyond those induced by exercise training remains to be determined experimentally [\(65\)](#page-13-14).

Dietary interventions are often implemented with the aim of improving glycemic control. In addition to weight loss, TRE interventions improve fasting glucose concentrations [\(19,](#page-12-19) [19,](#page-12-19) [24,](#page-12-1) [59\)](#page-13-4), 24-h glucose profiles (determined by continuous glucose monitoring) [\(21,](#page-12-5) [40\)](#page-12-12), glycated hemoglobin (HbA1c) [\(38\)](#page-12-22), reduce glucose AUC in response to an oralglucose-tolerance test [\(24,](#page-12-1) [50\)](#page-12-17), reduce nocturnal glucose concentrations [\(23\)](#page-12-6) (**[Figure 3](#page-10-0)**), and enhance insulin sensitivity [\(16,](#page-11-9) [20\)](#page-12-0). Typically, but not always, changes in glucose parameters have been evident in cohorts with elevated glucose concentrations (>5.6 mmol/L) at baseline (i.e., impaired fasting glucose, T2D, metabolic syndrome) compared with a lack of change observed in those studies in which these parameters were in the normal range before the intervention [\(16,](#page-11-9) [32,](#page-12-9) [34,](#page-12-20) [40\)](#page-12-12). Furthermore, most of the improvements in glycemic control measures come from studies of earlyor mid-TRE [\(Table 1\)](#page-5-0). As highlighted by Zhao et al. [\(66\)](#page-13-15), the distribution of carbohydrate intake across the eating window is vital when attempting to modify glycemic control, a factor that should be considered in future studies, and emphasizes the need for rigorous dietary assessment in TRE interventions. The range of improvements in glycemic control across the limited TRE literature to date provides scope for specific TRE interventions with such markers as primary outcome variables, especially in populations such as individuals with T2D (43) , where glucose management is important to minimize diabetes-associated complications and improve health and quality of life.

Adherence to TRE

A major benefit of TRE protocols compared with other dietary interventions is the ability for individuals to adhere to such practices without overt changes on the quality or quantity of dietary intake. This removes some of the stigma and psychological barriers often associated with dietary modification. It has been suggested that, over the long term, TRE may be easier to tolerate and implement than other dietary approaches [\(67\)](#page-13-16) as the focus is on when rather than on what to eat. While not all aspects of TRE may encourage adherence [reviewed previously [\(67\)](#page-13-16)], TRE may offer an option of an alternative dietary strategy to improve metabolic health. Adherence to TRE in free-living environments has varied from 5 d (43) to 6 d/wk $(16, 32)$ $(16, 32)$ $(16, 32)$ over 4- to 10-wk intervention periods, 55% over 12 wk [\(18\)](#page-12-10), \sim 62% over 10 wk [\(17\)](#page-11-10), and up to \sim 84% over 6 wk [\(50\)](#page-12-17) or 12 wk [\(34\)](#page-12-20). In a subanalysis, Martens et al. [\(50\)](#page-12-17) measured improved adherence (from 84% to 95% over 6 wk) when the eating window was extended from 8 h to 8.5 h/d (50) . In a supported 8-wk intervention, immediately followed by 6 wk of free-living TRE (12:00–20:00 h) in habitual (3– 4 sessions/wk) exercisers, Isenmann et al. [\(63\)](#page-13-2) reported a drop in adherence from 98% (supported) to 71% (selfimplemented). Participants in that study (63) rated the ease of TRE implementation as similar to that for a group that followed a traditional macronutrient-based diet. While no explanation for these observations was provided, participants in other studies have indicated that if the evening mealtime could be delayed slightly, it would improve their adherence $(17, 43)$ $(17, 43)$ $(17, 43)$.

Adherence to TRE in studies discussed and summarized in [Table 1](#page-5-0) is typically from self-report. Studies incorporating objective time-stamped photos are still limited as they rely on participants to accurately capture their meal timing. In support of the self-reported adherence are qualitative responses from participants that mid-TRE as a dietary intervention is achievable on most days of the week [\(17,](#page-11-10) [23,](#page-12-6) [43\)](#page-12-18), with early-TRE deemed subjectively feasible based on positive health outcomes [\(20\)](#page-12-0). Although the implementation of these early-TRE protocols is challenging with regard to the impact on social and family life, to date, early-TRE interventions have not been investigated in free-living conditions. In several studies, investigators have chosen TRE eating windows based on participants' personal preferences (i.e., 12:00–20:00 h) due to both social considerations and the importance of evening meals with family or friends [\(34–36,](#page-12-20) [59,](#page-13-4) [60\)](#page-13-3). Taken together, there is an underlying narrative of what can be achieved in the real world versus what is most efficacious with regard to optimal meal timing to align with circadian rhythms. There is also unlikely to be a single eating window that will be equally beneficial for every individual, as circadian preferences vary between "larks" (morning chronotypes) and "owls" (night chronotypes) [\(68\)](#page-13-17), leading to difficulties in making generic recommendations.

Conclusions and Future Directions

TRE has become a popular dietary strategy to improve measures of metabolic health, possibly due to a lack of focus on weight loss per se. Indeed, we believe that TRE protocols can be adapted to tackle a variety of pre-existing metabolic conditions dependent on the goals or desired health outcomes of the individual. Further research expanding the use of TRE interventions in different clinical populations under free-living conditions is essential to evaluate long-term adherence and feasibility before recommending additions to national and international diet guidelines. In this regard, we acknowledge that TRE is not the only option or dietary strategy in a health professional's toolbox to be used to improve or manage the diverse range of chronic metabolic conditions seen in society. However, we hope this Perspective article has highlighted the necessity for future studies of TRE to increase the rigor of dietary data collected, assessed, and reported to ensure there is a consistent and standardized approach across TRE interventions. Almost the entire body of dietary literature to date, along with the profession of nutrition science, has focused on what we eat; new knowledge from TRE interventions is shifting that narrative so that now it is vital that we also consider that the timing of meals plays an important role in determining metabolic health outcomes. Without consideration of both what and when is eaten, we cannot begin to understand the potential synergies between these 2 variables and their potential impact on reducing the burden of chronic metabolic diseases at the population level.

Acknowledgments

We acknowledge the funding bodies for the grants, and the wider research team for the continual sharing of ideas and progression of this work. The authors' responsibilities were as follows—EBP and BLD: conceptualized the article; EBP: drafted the manuscript and [Table 1;](#page-5-0) EBP, BLD, and JAH: edited and revised all sections of the manuscript and had primary responsibility for the final content; and all authors: read and approved the final manuscript.

References

- 1. Asher G, Sassone-Corsi P. Time for food: the intimate interplay between nutrition, metabolism, and the circadian clock. Cell 2015;161(1):84–92.
- 2. Di Francesco A, Di Germanio C, Bernier M, de Cabo R. A time to fast. Science 2018;362(6416):770–5.
- 3. Hawley JA, Sassone-Corsi P, Zierath JR. Chrono-nutrition for the prevention and treatment of obesity and type 2 diabetes: from mice to men. Diabetologia 2020;63(11):2253–9.
- 4. Mattson MP, Allison DB, Fontana L, Harvie M, Longo VD, MalaisseWJ, Mosley M, Notterpek L, Ravussin E, Scheer F, et al. Meal frequency and timing in health and disease. Proc Natl Acad Sci 2014;111(47):16647– 53.
- 5. de Cabo R, Mattson MP. Effects of intermittent fasting on health, aging, and disease. N Engl J Med 2019;381(26):2541–51.
- 6. Gabel K, Cienfuegos S, Kalam F, Ezpeleta M, Varady KA. Timerestricted eating to improve cardiovascular health. Curr Atheroscler Rep 2021;23(5):22.
- 7. Chaix A, Manoogian ENC, Melkani GC, Panda S. Time-restricted eating to prevent and manage chronic metabolic diseases. Annu Rev Nutr 2019;39(1):291–315.
- 8. Regmi P, Heilbronn LK. Time-restricted eating: benefits, mechanisms, and challenges in translation. Iscience 2020;23(6):101161.
- 9. Waldman HS, Renteria LI, McAllister MJ. Time-restricted feeding for the prevention of cardiometabolic diseases in high-stress occupations: a mechanistic review. Nutr Rev 2020;78(6):459–64.
- 10. Lee MB, Hill CM, Bitto A, Kaeberlein M. Antiaging diets: separating fact from fiction. Science 2021;374(6570):eabe7365.
- 11. Clayton DJ, Mode WJA, Slater T. Optimising intermittent fasting: evaluating the behavioural and metabolic effects of extended morning and evening fasting. Nutr Bull 2020;45(4):444–55.
- 12. Van Cauter E, Blackman JD, Roland D, Spire JP, Refetoff S, Polonsky KS. Modulation of glucose regulation and insulin secretion by circadian rhythmicity and sleep. J Clin Invest 1991;88(3):934–42.
- 13. Van Cauter E, Shapiro ET, Tillil H, Polonsky KS. Circadian modulation of glucose and insulin responses to meals: relationship to cortisol rhythm. Am J Physiol 1992;262:E467–75.
- 14. Gill S, Panda S. A smartphone app reveals erratic diurnal eating patterns in humans that can be modulated for health benefits. Cell Metab 2015;22(5):789–98.
- 15. LeCheminant JD, Christenson E, Bailey BW, Tucker LA. Restricting night-time eating reduces daily energy intake in healthy young men: a short-term cross-over study. Br J Nutr 2013;110(11):2108–13.
- 16. Cienfuegos S, Gabel K, Kalam F, Ezpeleta M, Wiseman E, Pavlou V, Lin S, Oliveira ML, Varady KA. Effects of 4- and 6-h time-restricted feeding on weight and cardiometabolic health: a randomized controlled trial in adults with obesity. Cell Metab 2020;32(3):366–78.
- 17. Antoni R, Robertson TM, Robertson MD, Johnston JD. A pilot feasibility study exploring the effects of a moderate time-restricted feeding intervention on energy intake, adiposity and metabolic physiology in free-living human subjects. J Nutr Sci 2018;7:E22.
- 18. Chow LS, Manoogian ENC, Alvear A, Fleischer JG, Thor H, Dietsche K, Wang Q, Hodges JS, Esch N, Malaeb S, et al. Time-restricted eating effects on body composition and metabolic measures in humans who are overweight: a feasibility study. Obesity 2020;28(5):860–9.
- 19. Peeke PM, Greenway FL, Billes SK, Zhang D, Fujioka K. Effect of time restricted eating on body weight and fasting glucose in participants with obesity: results of a randomized, controlled, virtual clinical trial. Nutr Diabetes 2021;11(1):6.
- 20. Sutton EF, Beyl R, Early KS, Cefalu WT, Ravussin E, Peterson CM. Early time-restricted feeding improves insulin sensitivity, blood pressure, and oxidative stress even without weight loss in men with prediabetes. Cell Metab 2018;27(6):1212–21.e3.
- 21. Jamshed H, Beyl RA, Della Manna DL, Yang ES, Ravussin E, Peterson CM. Early time-restricted feeding improves 24-hour glucose levels and affects markers of the circadian clock, aging, and autophagy in humans. Nutrients 2019;11(6):1234.
- 22. Ravussin E, Beyl RA, Poggiogalle E, Hsia DS, Peterson CM. Early timerestricted feeding reduces appetite and increases fat oxidation but does not affect energy expenditure in humans. Obesity 2019;27(8):1244–54.
- 23. Parr EB, Devlin BL, Radford BE, Hawley JA. A delayed morning and earlier evening time-restricted feeding protocol for improving glycemic control and dietary adherence in men with overweight/obesity: a randomized controlled trial. Nutrients 2020;12(2):505.
- 24. Hutchison AT, Regmi P, Manoogian ENC, Fleischer JG, Wittert GA, Panda S, Heilbronn LK. Time-restricted feeding improves glucose tolerance in men at risk for type 2 diabetes: a randomized crossover trial. Obesity (Silver Spring) 2019;27:724–32.
- 25. Hatori M, Vollmers C, Zarrinpar A, DiTacchio L, Bushong EA, Gill S, Leblanc M, Chaix A, Joens M, Fitzpatrick JAJ, et al. Time-restricted feeding without reducing caloric intake prevents metabolic diseases in mice fed a high-fat diet. Cell Metab 2012;15(6): 848–60.
- 26. Chaix A, Zarrinpar A, Miu P, Panda S. Time-restricted feeding is a preventative and therapeutic intervention against diverse nutritional challenges. Cell Metab 2014;20(6):991–1005.
- 27. Zarrinpar A, Chaix A, Panda S. Daily eating patterns and their impact on health and disease. Trends Endocrinol Metab 2016;27(2): 69–83.
- 28. Chaix A, Zarrinpar A. The effects of time-restricted feeding on lipid metabolism and adiposity. Adipocyte 2015;4(4):319–24.
- 29. Panda S. Circadian physiology of metabolism. Science 2016;354(6315):1008–15.
- 30. Cienfuegos S, McStay M, Gabel K, Varady KA. Time restricted eating for the prevention of type 2 diabetes. J Physiol 2021;10.1113/JP281101 Published online: 21 August 2021.
- 31. Stote KS, Baer DJ, Spears K, Paul DR, Harris GK, Rumpler WV, Strycula P, Najjar SS, Ferrucci L, Ingram DK, et al. A controlled trial of reduced meal frequency without caloric restriction in healthy, normal-weight, middle-aged adults. Am J Clin Nutr 2007;85(4):981–8.
- 32. Gabel K, Hoddy KK, Haggerty N, Song J, Kroeger CM, Trepanowski JF, Panda S, Varady KA. Effects of 8-hour time restricted feeding on body weight and metabolic disease risk factors in obese adults: a pilot study. Nutr Healthy Aging 2018;4(4):345–53.
- 33. Zeb F, Wu X, Chen L, Fatima S, Haq I, Chen A, Majeed F, Feng Q, Li M. Effect of time-restricted feeding on metabolic risk and circadian rhythm associated with gut microbiome in healthy males. Br J Nutr 2020;123(11):1216–26.
- 34. Lowe DA, Wu N, Rohdin-Bibby L, Moore AH, Kelly N, Liu YE, Philip E, Vittinghoff E, Heymsfield SB, Olgin JE, et al. Effects of time-restricted eating on weight loss and other metabolic parameters in women and men with overweight and obesity: the TREAT randomized clinical trial. JAMA Intern Med 2020;180(11):1491.
- 35. Schroder JD, Falqueto H, Mânica A, Zanini D, de Oliveira T, de Sá CA, Cardoso AM, Manfredi LH. Effects of time-restricted feeding in weight loss, metabolic syndrome and cardiovascular risk in obese women. J Transl Med 2021;19(1):3.
- 36. Smith ST, LeSarge JC, Lemon PWR. Time-restricted eating in women a pilot study. WURJ Health Nat Sciences 2017;8:1–6.
- 37. Anton SD, Lee SA, Donahoo WT, McLaren C, Manini T, Leeuwenburgh C, Pahor M. The effects of time restricted feeding on overweight, older adults: a pilot study. Nutrients 2019;11(7):1500.
- 38. Kesztyüs D, Cermak P, Gulich M, Kesztyüs T. Adherence to timerestricted feeding and impact on abdominal obesity in primary care patients: results of a pilot study in a pre–post design. Nutrients 2019;11(12):2854.
- 39. Kesztyüs D, Vorwieger E, Schönsteiner D, Gulich M, Kesztyüs T. Applicability of time-restricted eating for the prevention of lifestyledependent diseases in a working population: results of a pilot study in a pre-post design. Ger Med Sci 2021; 19:Doc04.; 10.3205/000291.
- 40. Wilkinson MJ, Manoogian ENC, Zadourian A, Lo H, Fakhouri S, Shoghi A, Wang X, Fleischer JG, Navlakha S, Panda S, et al. Ten-hour time-restricted eating reduces weight, blood pressure, and atherogenic lipids in patients with metabolic syndrome. Cell Metab 2020;31(1):92– 104.e5.
- 41. Cai H, Qin Y-L, Shi Z-Y, Chen J-H, Zeng M-J, Zhou W, Chen R-Q, Chen Z-Y. Effects of alternate-day fasting on body weight and dyslipidaemia in patients with non-alcoholic fatty liver disease: a randomised controlled trial. BMC Gastroenterol 2019;19(1): 219.
- 42. Dao MC, Subar AF, Warthon-Medina M, Cade JE, Burrows T, Golley RK, Forouhi NG, Pearce M, Holmes BA. Dietary assessment toolkits: an overview. Public Health Nutr 2019;22(3):404–18.
- 43. Parr EB, Devlin BL, Lim KHC, Moresi LNZ, Geils C, Brennan L, Hawley JA. Time-restricted eating as a nutrition strategy for individuals with type 2 diabetes: a feasibility study. Nutrients 2020;12(11): 3228.
- 44. Jakubowicz D, Barnea M, Wainstein J, Froy O. High caloric intake at breakfast vs. dinner differentially influences weight loss of overweight and obese women. Obesity 2013;21(12):2504–12.
- 45. Jakubowicz D, Wainstein J, Ahrén B, Bar-Dayan Y, Landau Z, Rabinovitz HR, Froy O. High-energy breakfast with low-energy dinner decreases overall daily hyperglycaemia in type 2 diabetic patients: a randomised clinical trial. Diabetologia 2015;58(5):912–9.
- 46. Radulian G, Rusu E, Dragomir A, Posea M. Metabolic effects of low glycaemic index diets. Nutr J 2009;8(1):5.
- 47. Hall KD, Ayuketah A, Brychta R, Cai H, Cassimatis T, Chen KY, Chung ST, Costa E, Courville A, Darcey V, et al. Ultra-processed diets cause excess calorie intake and weight gain: an inpatient randomized controlled trial of ad libitum food intake. Cell Metab 2019;30(1):67–77, e3.
- 48. Wirt A, Collins CE. Diet quality—what is it and does it matter? Public Health Nutr 2009;12(12):2473–92.
- 49. Phillips N, Mareschal J, Schwab N, Manoogian E, Borloz S, Ostinelli G, Gauthier-Jaques A, Umwali S, Gonzalez Rodriguez E, Aeberli D, et al. The effects of time-restricted eating versus standard dietary advice on weight, metabolic health and the consumption of processed food: a pragmatic randomised controlled trial in community-based adults. Nutrients 2021;13(3):1042.
- 50. Martens CR, Rossman MJ, Mazzo MR, Jankowski LR, Nagy EE, Denman BA, Richey JJ, Johnson SA, Ziemba BP, Wang Y, et al. Shortterm time-restricted feeding is safe and feasible in non-obese healthy midlife and older adults. GeroScience 2020;42(2):667–86.
- 51. Monteiro CA, Cannon G, Moubarac J-C, Levy RB, Louzada MLC, Jaime PC. The UN Decade of Nutrition, the NOVA food classification and the trouble with ultra-processing. Public Health Nutr 2018;21(1): 5–17.
- 52. Krebs-Smith SM, Pannucci TE, Subar AF, Kirkpatrick SI, Lerman JL, Tooze JA, Wilson MM, Reedy J. Update of the Healthy Eating Index: HEI-2015. J Acad Nutr Diet 2018;118(9):1591–602.
- 53. Moon S, Kang J, Kim SH, Chung HS, Kim YJ, Yu JM, Cho ST, Oh C-M, Kim T. Beneficial effects of time-restricted eating on metabolic diseases: a systemic review and meta-analysis. Nutrients 2020;12(5):1267.
- 54. Pellegrini M, Cioffi I, Evangelista A, Ponzo V, Goitre I, Ciccone G, Ghigo E, Bo S. Effects of time-restricted feeding on body weight and metabolism. a systematic review and meta-analysis. Rev Endocr Metab Disord 2020;21(1):17–33.
- 55. Scheer F, Morris CJ, Shea SA. The internal circadian clock increases hunger and appetite in the evening independent of food intake and other behaviors: body clock controls hunger. Obesity 2013;21(3):421–3.
- 56. Parr EB, Coffey VG, Hawley JA. 'Sarcobesity': a metabolic conundrum. Maturitas 2013;74(2):109–13.
- 57. Parr EB, Coffey VG, Cato LE, Phillips SM, Burke LM, Hawley JA. A randomised trial of high dairy protein, variable carbohydrate diets and exercise on body composition in adults with obesity. Obesity 2016;24(5):1035–45.
- 58. Englert I, Bosy-Westphal A, Bischoff SC, Kohlenberg-Müller K. Impact of protein intake during weight loss on preservation of fat-free mass, resting energy expenditure, and physical function in overweight postmenopausal women: a randomized controlled trial. Obesity Facts 2021;14(3):259–70.
- 59. Moro T, Tinsley G, Bianco A, Marcolin G, Pacelli QF, Battaglia G, Palma A, Gentil P, Neri M, Paoli A. Effects of eight weeks of time-restricted feeding (16/8) on basal metabolism, maximal strength, body composition, inflammation, and cardiovascular risk factors in resistance-trained males. J Transl Med 2016;14(1): 290.
- 60. Kotarsky CJ, Johnson NR, Mahoney SJ, Mitchell SL, Schimek RL, Stastny SN, Hackney KJ. Time-restricted eating and concurrent exercise training reduces fat mass and increases lean mass in overweight and obese adults. Physiol Rep 2021;9. 10 e14868.
- 61. Tinsley GM, Forsse JS, Butler NK, Paoli A, Bane AA, La Bounty PM, Morgan GB, Grandjean PW. Time-restricted feeding in young men performing resistance training: a randomized controlled trial. Eur J Sport Sci 2017;17(2):200–7.
- 62. Tinsley GM, Moore ML, Graybeal AJ, Paoli A, Kim Y, Gonzales JU, Harry JR, VanDusseldorp TA, Kennedy DN, Cruz MR. Time-restricted feeding plus resistance training in active females: a randomized trial. Am J Clin Nutr 2019;110(3):628–40.
- 63. Isenmann E, Dissemond J, Geisler S. The effects of a macronutrientbased diet and time-restricted feeding (16:8) on body composition in

physically active individuals—a 14-week randomised controlled trial. Nutrients 2021;13(9):3122.

- 64. Lees MJ, Hodson N, Moore DR. A muscle-centric view of timerestricted feeding for older adults. Curr Opin Clin Nutr Metab Care 2021;24(6):521–7.
- 65. Parr EB, Heilbronn LK, Hawley JA. A time to eat and a time to exercise. Exerc Sport Sci Rev 2020;48(1):4–10.
- 66. Zhao L, Hutchison AT, Heilbronn LK. Carbohydrate intake and circadian synchronicity in the regulation of glucose homeostasis. Curr Opin Clin Nutr Metab Care 2021;24:342–8.
- 67. O'Connor SG, Boyd P, Bailey CP, Shams-White MM, Agurs-Collins T, Hall K, Reedy J, Sauter ER, Czajkowski SM. Perspective: timerestricted eating compared with caloric restriction: potential facilitators and barriers of long-term weight loss maintenance. Adv Nutr 2021;12(2):325–33.
- 68. Roenneberg T, Kuehnle T, Juda M, Kantermann T, Allebrandt K, Gordijn M, Merrow M. Epidemiology of the human circadian clock. Sleep Med Rev 2007;11(6):429–38.
- 69. Gabel K, Marcell J, Cares K, Kalam F, Cienfuegos S, Ezpeleta M, Varady KA. Effect of time restricted feeding on the gut microbiome in adults with obesity: a pilot study. Nutr Health 2020;26(2): 79–85.
- 70. Cienfuegos S, Gabel K, Kalam F, Ezpeleta M, Lin S, Varady KA. Changes in body weight and metabolic risk during time restricted feeding in premenopausal versus postmenopausal women. Exp Gerontol 2021;154:111545.
- 71. McAllister MJ, Pigg BL, Renteria LI, Waldman HS. Time-restricted feeding improves markers of cardiometabolic health in physically active college-age men: a 4-week randomized pre-post pilot study. Nutr Res 2020;75:32–43.
- 72. Pureza I, Melo ISV, Macena ML, Praxedes DRS, Vasconcelos LGL, Silva-Júnior AE, Florêncio T, Bueno NB. Acute effects of time-restricted feeding in low-income women with obesity placed on hypoenergetic diets: randomized trial. Nutrition 2020;77:110796.