Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory

LBL Publications

Title

Using Energy Efficiency to Help Meet Distribution System Capacity Needs

Permalink

https://escholarship.org/uc/item/4rw3k6nf

Authors

Pereira, Guillermo Schwartz, Lisa C Frick, Natalie Mims

Publication Date

2023-10-18

Copyright Information

This work is made available under the terms of a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives License, available at https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/

Peer reviewed



Using Energy Efficiency to Help Meet Distribution System **Capacity Needs**

Guillermo Pereira

Contributions by Lisa Schwartz and Natalie Mims Frick, Berkeley Lab

Presentation for ACEEE 2023 National Conference on Energy Efficiency as a Resource

October 18, 2023

Breakout session: 4C: Energy Efficiency and Integrated Resource Planning





Disclaimer

This document was prepared as an account of work sponsored by the United States Government. While this document is believed to contain correct information, neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor The Regents of the University of California, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by its trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof, or The Regents of the University of California. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof, or The Regents of the University of California.

Ernest Orlando Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory is an equal opportunity employer.

Copyright Notice

This manuscript has been authored by an author at Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory under Contract No. DE-AC02-05CH11231 with the U.S. Department of Energy. The U.S. Government retains, and the publisher, by accepting the article for publication, acknowledges, that the U.S. Government retains a non-exclusive, paid-up, irrevocable, worldwide license to publish or reproduce the published form of this manuscript, or allow others to do so, for U.S. Government purposes



Agenda

- Background
 - Distribution system investment needs

- States requirements and objectives-based planning
 - Distribution grid planning and energy efficiency

- Utility practice
 - Previous, ongoing, and emerging geotargeted energy efficiency programs for grid needs



Background

- Growing need for distribution system infrastructure investments
 - Distributed generation, electric vehicles, and electrification of buildings
 - Aging infrastructure
 - Utility grid modernization
- Distribution system investments account for the largest portion (34%) of capex for U.S. investor-owned utilities:
 \$57.1B (projected) in 2023
 - U.S. electric distribution spending increased 26% from 2016 to 2023



Source: EEI, 2023, Electric Company Industry Financial Data and Analysis





State Requirements and Objectives-based Planning




State requirements and objectives-based planning

Several states have guidance related to the **locational value of EE and other DERs**, for example:

Colorado	Maine	Minnesota
DC	Massachusetts	Nevada
Hawaii	Michigan	New York

Source: LBNL, 2021, Locational Value of Distributed Energy Resources



- State requirements increasingly include assessing energy efficiency as a distribution system resource through
 - NWA processes and pilots.
 - Programs geotargeting EE, DR, and DERs funded by utility customers.
- **Energy efficiency** can reduce utility costs by **deferring or avoiding infrastructure upgrades**



State requirements for energy efficiency in distribution system planning

Michigan

- □ 2020 DSP order
- Model locational impacts of energy efficiency and other DERs (EVs, DR, solar DG)
- Coordination between distribution planning, EE, and DR efforts can contribute to deferring and displacing distribution upgrades

Colorado

- □ 2021 DSP order
- Conduct a grid needs assessment
 - Including existing and forecasted needs over a ten-year planning period
- Identify long-term needs that may be mitigated or deferred via targeted energy efficiency, demand flexibility, and demand response

New York

- 2023 DSP staff guidance
- Incorporate energy efficiency resources, including:
 - Resources and capabilities to integrate energy efficiency in planning
 - Location and amount of energy and peak load reductions
 - Description of how utility's accomplishments and goals align with NY climate and energy policy

Source: CO DORA DSP Requirements 2021 Source: MI MPSC DSP Order 2020



Source: NY DSP IDSP Guidance Jan 2023



Utility Practices



Utility practices – Overview

Utility	Grid need	Investment	EE measures included
PG&E	New substation	\$112.3 M	 Air conditioning
Consumers Energy	Substation capacity upgrade	\$1.1 M	 Residential Air conditioning Refrigerators Commercial Energy management sys. Efficient lighting
Xcel	Transformer, feeder, and feeder configuration	\$4.1 M	Efficient lightingSmart thermostats
Con Edison	New substation	\$1 B	ThermostatsAir conditioning
Orange and Rockland	New substation	n.a.	• n.a.
PacifiCorp	Feeder reconductoring	\$220 k	 Air conditioning



Utility practice – California Pacific Gas and Electric (PG&E) – Delta District (1991)

Location: Delta District, Northeast San Francisco. Two substations, five feeders, 23,000 customers

Grid need: Load growth and a new substation needed in 4 years

Solution: \$18M energy efficiency program, predominantly air conditioning measures


Goal: Defer \$112.3 M investment by 6-7 years

Results: Estimated 2.3 MW of peak demand savings Reduced investment needs by 32%.

Lessons:

Areas with low load growth can be a good candidate for DSM.

Selecting areas for geotargeting DSM needs to consider more than areas with high levels of planned grid investments.



Duct and AC Statistics ((initial units)
Initial Duct Leakage	374 cfm
Final Duct Leakage	157 cfm
Low Evaporator Air Flow	
(<350 cfm wet)	44%
Excess Refrigerant Charge	33 %
Estimated Annual Eff Residential Direct Ins (first 1000 hous	tallation
Estimated MWh Savings	245
Estimated Potential kW	
Estimated Potential kW Reduction	568
Reduction Estimated Therm Savings	
Reduction	568 58,142
Reduction Estimated Therm Savings	
Reduction Estimated Therm Savings (heating) Estimated CO ₂ Emission Reduction Estimated SO _x Emission	58,142 492,066 lbs
Reduction Estimated Therm Savings (heating) Estimated CO ₂ Emission Reduction	58,142
Reduction Istimated Therm Savings (heating) Istimated CO ₂ Emission Reduction Stimated SO _x Emission	49


Source: Kinert et al., 1992; LBNL, 2021, Locational Value of Distributed Energy Resources; Swisher and Orans, 1996;



Utility practice – Michigan Consumers Energy – Energy Savers Club (2017 – 2018)

Location: Swartz Creek substation, 4,000 residential and 300 commercial customers

Grid need: Capacity upgrade needed in the medium term (2-3 years)



Solution: Use targeted energy efficiency and demand response programs as potential lowercost solutions

Goal: Reduce peak load by 1.4 MW by 2018 and defer \$1.1M infrastructure investment

Results: 694 residents reduced demand by 795 kW at the zip code level and 363 kW at the substation level

Lessons:

Providing incentives for participation is effective early on.

Including residential and C&I customers can contribute to successful outcomes.

Zip Code	kW Savings			
Residential Energy Efficiency	152.84			
AC Peak Cycling Savings	455.3			
TOU Savings	73.71			
C&I Energy Efficiency	113.31			
Total Savings	795.16 kW			
Substation	kW Savings			
Residential Energy Efficiency	70.04			
AC Peak Cycling Savings	205.90			
TOU Savings	28.35			
C&I Energy Efficiency	58.96			
Total Savings	363.25 kW			

Utility practice – Minnesota Xcel – Geotargeted Distributed Clean Energy Initiative (2019 – 2020)

Location: Area surrounding St. Cloud / Sauk Rapids / Sartell.

Grid need: New transformer, feeder, and feeder configuration, needed in 5 years

Solution: Targeted energy efficiency and load management to reduce peak demand by 500 kW


Goal: Defer \$4.1M estimated distribution system capacity upgrades

Results: 576 kW of peak demand savings, exceeding the goal

Lessons:

Email outreach was the most successful for home visit sign-ups.

The initial 6-month pilot timeline was challenging for commercial customers. More lead time is helpful.



Source:

CEE 2021, Non-wires Alternatives as a Path to Local Clean Energy: Results of a Minnesota Pilot

Geotargeted Distributed Clean Energy Initiative Update Report



Utility practice – New York Consolidated Edison Brooklyn/Queens Demand Management Program (2014 – Ongoing)

Location: Brownsville No.1 and 2 substations, 85% residential customers *Grid need:* Load growth contributing to feeder overload on two substations Solution: 52 MW customer-side demand management, 17 MW utility infrastructure Goal: Mitigate a \$1B investment need for constructing a new substation and feeders Results: As of Q2 2023, 61 MW of load relief at the peak (9-10 pm). 29 MW from EE.



Figure 1: Hourly Load Profile of Operational BQDM Customer-Side Solutions and Non-Traditional Utility-Side Solutions. Note: A 1.5 MW 4-hour utility-side battery energy storage system is not depicted in the load profile as its dispatch varies.

Annual Savings

8,016 small businesses 166 GWh

2,644 multi-family homes **45 GWh**

34,600 homes 4.6 **GWh**

	Quarter 2		Date		to-Date	
FINANCIAL ACTIVITY (\$ M)						
[0] Expenditures						
Customer-sided	\$	0.53	\$	1.04	\$	107.1
Utility-sided	\$	-	\$	-	\$	23.7
Total Expenditures	\$	0.53	\$	1.04	\$	130.8
Program Cost Recovery	\$	1.15	\$	2.30	\$	76.3
CUSTOMER-SIDED PROGRAM ACTIVITY						
Energy Efficiency	Г					
[1] Residential Direct Install	l					
Peak Hour kW reduction	l	-		-		4,93
[2] Bring Your Own Thermostat	Г					
Peak Hour kW reduction		-		-		39
[3] Residential AC	Г					
Peak Hour kW reduction		-		-		
[4] Multifamily Energy Efficiency	Г					
Peak Hour kW reduction		12		12		5,65
[5] Small-Medium Businesses Adder	Г					
Peak Hour kW reduction		123		191		14,67
[6] Commercial & Industrial						
Peak Hour kW reduction		-		-		98
[7] NYCHA						
Peak Hour kW reduction		-		-		2,29
[8] DCAS						
Peak Hour kW reduction		38		38		50
Distributed Generation	Г					
[9] Fuel Cell	l					
Peak Hour kW reduction	l	-		-		6,10
[10] Combined Heat & Power						
Peak Hour kW reduction		-		-		3,07
Energy Storage						
[11] Peak Hour kW reduction		-		-		4,00
Customer-Sided Portfolio kW reduction at Peak Hour		173		241		42,62

Source: Con Edison BQDM Report Q2 2023, NY PSC Order Establishing Brooklyn/Queens Demand Management Program

Year-to-

BQDM PORTFOLIO

Utility practice – New York Orange and Rockland (O&R) (2022 – Ongoing)

Location: Wisner Substation, West Warwick. The substation serves 7,624 customers.

Grid need: Substation transformer banks are experiencing load growth. 12 MW of load relief is needed

Solution: Portfolio of energy efficiency focused on small business customers and third-party-owned batteries

Goal: Defer the need to construct a new substation

Results: Early phase of implementation.

Source: O&R 2023 DSP, O&R Project Description




Utility practice – Oregon PacifiCorp – Klamath Falls – Study (2022)

Location: Crystal Springs circuit, Klamath Falls, serves 1,499 customers

Grid need: Load growth causing feeder overload and voltage issues

Solution: Targeted energy efficiency for residential and commercial customers across 3 scenarios of energy efficiency measures Goal: Mitigate the need to invest \$220k (estimate) in a new feeder



Study results: Preliminary analysis: 4,525 MWh of energy savings needed to reduce peak load by 750 kW and address the grid need

Scenario	Utility Cost Test				
Business-as-usual	3.1				
Accelerated acquisition	2.6				
(typical measure mix)					
Accelerated acquisition	3.0				
(targeted measure mix)					



Source: PacifiCorp 2022 DSP

Summary

- Increasingly, states require utilities to consider energy efficiency and other DERs in distribution **planning** efforts
 - Typically, through procurements of non-wires alternatives
 - Upcoming Berkeley Lab/PNNL report reviews the current state of distribution system planning requirements.

- Geotargeting existing utility or third-party managed EE and DR programs can be effective
 - Target additional marketing and outreach and higher incentive levels.

- Programs across utilities and jurisdictions demonstrate that energy efficiency can successfully mitigate some types of distribution grid capital investment needs
 - Utility examples demonstrate successful deferral or mitigation of substation, transformer, and feeder investments.



Resources

Berkeley Lab's integrated distribution system planning website

U.S. Department of Energy, *Modern Distribution Grid*

Berkeley Lab and Pacific Northwest National Lab, <u>Peer-Sharing Webinars</u> for Public Utility Commissions on Integrated Distribution System Planning with NARUC, 2023

L. Schwartz, "<u>Distribution and Grid Modernization Planning to Accelerate Deployment of Distributed Energy Resources</u>," Presentation for NASEO-NARUC DER Integration and Compensation Initiative, April 13, 2023.

L. Schwartz and N. M. Frick, Berkeley Lab, "<u>State regulatory approaches for distribution planning</u>," Presentation for New England Conference of Public Utility Commissioners, June 16, 2022

N. Frick, S. Price, L. Schwartz, N. Hanus and B. Shapiro, <u>Locational Value of Distributed Energy Resources</u>, Berkeley Lab, 2021

Center for Energy and Environment (CEE), Non-Wires Alternatives as a Path to Local Clean Energy: Results of a Minnesota Pilot, 2021





Contacts

Guillermo Pereira: GPereira@lbl.gov

For more information

Download publications from the Electricity Markets & Policy: https://emp.lbl.gov/publications

Sign up for our email list: https://emp.lbl.gov/mailing-list

Follow the Electricity Markets & Policy on Twitter: @BerkeleyLabEMP

