

UC Irvine

UC Irvine Previously Published Works

Title

Dry nitrogen deposition estimates over a forest experiencing free air CO2 enrichment

Permalink

<https://escholarship.org/uc/item/4sv2d90b>

Journal

Global Change Biology, 14(4)

ISSN

1354-1013

Authors

Sparks, JP
Walker, J
Turnipseed, AW
[et al.](#)

Publication Date

2008-04-01

DOI

10.1111/j.1365-2486.2007.01526.x

License

<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/> 4.0

Peer reviewed

Dry nitrogen deposition estimates over a forest experiencing free air CO₂ enrichment

JED P. SPARKS*, JOHN WALKER†, ANDREW TURNIPSEED‡ and ALEX GUENTHER‡

*Department of Ecology and Evolutionary Biology, Cornell University, Ithaca, NY 14853, USA, †National Risk Management Research Laboratory, United States Environmental Protection Agency, Research Triangle Park, NC 27711, USA, ‡National Center for Atmospheric Research, Atmospheric Chemistry Division, Boulder, CO 80307, USA

Abstract

The quantification of atmospheric additions of nitrogen (N) to an ecosystem is often desirable, but difficult for many locations including many ecological manipulation experiments. Ideal methodologies for the complete and chemically speciated quantification of dry N deposition (e.g. tunable diode laser absorption spectrometer, thermal-dissociation laser-induced fluorescence) are expensive, technologically challenging to maintain, and rarely colocated with important global change manipulation experiments. Here we present an alternative method for obtaining an approximation of total N deposition using short-term eddy flux and concentration measurements, annual regional concentration estimates, and modeling for the Duke Experimental Forest. The motivation for generating estimates for this location was to inform the long-term elevated CO₂ experiment conducted at Duke Forest. We estimated the total annual atmospheric N deposition to the forest to be 13.7 kg N ha⁻¹. Of this total, ~58% was in dry-deposited forms. Surprisingly, and contrary to some previous predictions, nitric acid (HNO₃) was not the dominant portion of the total dry-deposited N, implying strongly that other forms of gaseous N like organic peroxy and alkyl nitrate compounds are a significant portion of the total flux. Furthermore, this study sheds light on the completeness of the estimates derived from Clean Air Status and Trends Network (CASTNet) and other dry deposition networks. CASTNet does not measure organic forms of dry deposition. In fact, CASTNet only quantifies HNO₃ in the gas phase and may significantly underestimate total N deposition in many environments.

Keywords: ammonia, nitric acid, nitrogen deposition, peroxyxynitrate

Received 30 October 2006; revised version received 15 July 2007 and accepted 18 August 2007

Introduction

The primary inputs of available nitrogen (N) to ecosystems (excluding the direct addition of fertilizers) are biological fixation by organisms, N-containing compounds in precipitation, and the direct removal of gases or particles from the atmosphere. Biological fixation and the N contained in precipitation (i.e. wet deposition) are routinely monitored and estimated at many ecological research sites including those aimed at understanding the influence of global change. However, the deposition of gases and particulates (i.e. dry deposition) is rarely reasonably constrained at such sites. The importance of this 'dry' depositional pathway has been recognized for over 50 years (Meetham, 1950), but technological con-

straints have made direct measurements challenging. Dry deposition is an important pathway for ammonia (Sutton *et al.*, 1995), nitrogen dioxide (Duyzer *et al.*, 1995; Duyzer *et al.*, 2004), and nitric acid (HNO₃) (Dollard *et al.*, 1987). Therefore, quantifying the dry deposition of these compounds is often imperative to close the N budget of a particular ecosystem.

A multitude of studies are currently underway investigating the influences of global change and, in most, the quantification of dry-deposited N is imperative for understanding ecosystem function. Therefore, the motivation for constraining dry N deposition estimates is high in the ecological community. In recent years, new technologies have been applied to directly and continuously measure the dry deposition of N. For example, Horii *et al.* (2005) and Farmer *et al.* (2006) have reported total reactive N (NO_y) and HNO₃ flux estimates using

Correspondence: Jed P. Sparks, e-mail: jps66@cornell.edu

tunable diode laser absorption spectrometry and thermal-dissociation laser-induced fluorescence to pine-forest ecosystems in California. These methods show great promise. However, they are expensive, technologically challenging to maintain, and, because of these constraints, are unlikely to be colocated with many important global change manipulation experiments. Here, we present an alternative method for obtaining an approximation of total N deposition using short-term eddy flux and concentration measurements, annual regional concentration estimates, and modeling. We use the Duke Experimental Forest as a case study and as an exemplar global change experiment site.

There are currently several large-scale experiments examining the influence of elevated CO₂ on terrestrial ecosystem function [e.g. free air CO₂ enrichment (FACE) experiments conducted at Duke Forest, on the Nevada Test Site, Rhineland, and Illinois]. Most investigations to date show an increase in woody biomass under elevated atmospheric CO₂ (e.g. Bazzaz & Miao, 1993; Curtis & Wang, 1998; Zak *et al.*, 2000; Hamilton *et al.*, 2002) and a potential role of woody ecosystems in sequestering carbon in the future (Schimel *et al.*, 2001). However, the growth response to elevated CO₂ in woody plants appears, in many systems, to be constrained by the availability of soil nutrients, most notably N (Pan *et al.*, 1998; Luo *et al.*, 1999; Zak *et al.*, 2000; Oren *et al.*, 2001). Although there is some debate as to what ecosystems will respond to added N (e.g. Ollinger *et al.*, 2002), these two observations underscore the need to estimate both endogenous cycling of N within the ecosystem and all of the exogenous inputs and losses if we are to predict future function. The most challenging of these estimates is the dry deposition of gases and particles. Measurement methodologies are expensive and technologically intensive. A method that could be broadly applied at a reasonable price would be beneficial to global change research. To that end, the work reported here examines the atmospheric input of gaseous and particulate N to a forested system using a combination of empirical measurements and modeling to generate a constrained estimate of dry N deposition to Duke Forest, an important ecological global change research site currently receiving elevated levels of CO₂.

The measurements we present here were made as part of the Chemical Emission, Loss, Transformation and Interactions within Canopies (CELTIC) study conducted at the Duke Forest FACE site during the summer of 2003. The overarching goal of CELTIC was to develop a quantitative understanding of the processes controlling the exchange of gas and aerosol carbon and N between the atmosphere and vegetated canopies. The CELTIC study addressed emission and deposition of

volatile organic carbon and N, as well as the formation and deposition of secondary organic and inorganic aerosol, through measurements and modeling from leaf to canopy scales. The work presented here focuses primarily on the N flux measurements made as part of the CELTIC experiment.

Estimates of dry deposition of reactive N to individual plants or entire ecosystems have been made using dynamic and open-topped chambers (Gessler *et al.*, 2000; Sparks *et al.*, 2001) and by measuring precipitation throughfall after it passes through the plant canopy (Lovett *et al.*, 2000). However, these methods preclude deposition to nonplant surfaces, chemical transformations within or above the canopy, and attempts to scale chamber measurements to whole-system fluxes are associated with a high degree of uncertainty (Hanson *et al.*, 1989). The best regional estimates of dry N deposition come from the EPA-sponsored Clean Air Status and Trends Network (CASTNet) sites. However, CASTNet sites only measure a subset of dry-deposited N (particulate NO₃⁻ and NH₄⁺ and gas-phase HNO₃) and do not quantify several important species of gaseous N (e.g. NH₃, and major components of total NO_y including NO₂, N₂O₅, HONO, PANs, and alkyl nitrates). In addition, CASTNet sites are relatively rare and are often not colocated near global change experiments.

Micrometeorological techniques, including eddy covariance, resistance analogies, and Bowen ratio methods, have been used to estimate total dry deposition (e.g. Meyers *et al.*, 1996; Munger *et al.*, 1996; de Miguel & Bilbao, 1999; Nemitz *et al.*, 2001). However, these studies often focus only on part of the total dry deposition based on a molecule of interest and do not quantify the entire flux and they are rarely conducted at research sites examining global change.

Our goal with this work was to provide an estimate for total N deposition in the gas and particle phase to an experimental forest where CO₂ is artificially elevated. This estimate is extremely useful in the context of evaluating the N input and, hence, N dynamics of this system. Although specific to this location to make it relevant to an important global change manipulation experiment, the results and techniques presented are generalizable to any forested system. Secondarily, we were interested in partitioning the potential inputs by chemical species and quantifying the seasonal variation in dry N input to the system. Our approach was to use direct flux measurements of NO_y and concentration measurements of HNO₃, NH₃, particulate NH₄⁺, and NO₃⁻ in conjunction with long-term measurements at nearby North Carolina Division of Air Quality (NC DAQ, 2005) and CASTNet (2005) sites to infer an annual input of N to this system from the atmosphere.

Table 1 Sites from which data was used in the present study

Site name	Type	Distance*/direction from Duke Forest	Description	Species measured
Candor	CASTNet	95/SW	Rural/forest	HNO ₃ , NO ₃ ⁻ , NH ₄ ⁺
Clinton	NC DAQ	125/SE	Rural/agricultural	NO _y
Kinston	NC DAQ	155/SE	Suburban	NO _y
Charlotte	NC DAQ	180/SW	Urban	NO _y
Winston-Salem	NC DAQ	120/W	Urban	NO _y
Morehead City	UNC IMS	250/SE	Urban/coastal	NH ₃

*Distance in kilometers.

CASTNet, Clean Air Status and Trends Network; NC DAQ, North Carolina Division of Air Quality.

Materials and methods

Site description

Flux measurements were conducted at the Duke Forest FACE site between July 11 and 25, 2003 [day of year (DOY) 191–206] within one of the control plots (i.e. no CO₂ enhancement). The site has been described in depth previously, both in terms of its physical and biological properties, and its suitability for measurement of ecosystem level fluxes by eddy covariance (Katul & Albertson, 1999). Therefore, we will present only a brief description here. The forest consists of a Loblolly pine (*Pinus taeda*) plantation with an average canopy height (h_c) of 17 m and an LAI of 3.5 m² m⁻² (Katul & Albertson, 1999). The understory consists of a mixture of hardwood species dominated by sweetgum (*Liquidambar styraciflua*). Sweetgum individuals were typically ≤ 10 m in height, although some individual trees extended into the upper canopy. Vegetation was homogeneous in the primary wind direction (south-west) for ~ 1 km.


Long-term data sites

Data from four NC DAQ long-term air quality stations and one CASTNet station were used to scale depositional data for Duke forest. Two of the NC DAQ sites are in urban areas and two are located in more rural settings. In addition, NH₃ data from a coastal site operated by the UNC Institute of Marine Sciences were also used to help quantify gaseous NH₃ fluxes. Table 1 gives an overview of the sites and distances from the primary Duke Forest field site where flux measurements were made.

Eddy covariance fluxes of NO_y

A detailed description of the NO_y flux measurements and data analysis has been given in Turnipseed *et al.* (2006) and only a brief description will be presented

here. Flux measurements were made at a height of 26 m. Three-dimensional wind velocities (u , v , w) were measured at 10 Hz by a sonic anemometer (ATI-K, Advanced Technologies Inc., Boulder, CO, USA). NO_y fluxes were measured similar to the method described by Munger *et al.* (1996). Sample air at 2000 sccm was drawn into a heated catalytic converter containing a 60 cm piece of $\frac{1}{4}$ " gold tube at 295 °C. A small flow of H₂ (25 sccm) was added just before the heated region to help reduce oxidized N species to NO (Fahey *et al.*, 1985). The flow was then passed to an NO analyzer (Ecophysics CLD 770 AL ppt, Duernten, Switzerland). The CLD 770 AL ppt generates an excess source of ozone, reacts it with the sample flow, and detects light from the chemiluminescent reaction between NO and O₃:



Light emission was detected by a red-sensitive photomultiplier tube and the subsequent current pulses were amplified and counted directly by a PC and recorded at 10 Hz. The analyzer avoids interferences using a pre-reaction chamber where O₃ is used to consume NO before entering the reaction chamber. Because the rate of reaction with NO is very fast and the reaction with most interference compounds is relatively slower, two sequential runs (the first utilizing the pre-reaction cell and second going directly to the sample cell without pre-reaction) allows for the quantification of NO independent of interferences. The analyzer was calibrated daily via standard addition by injecting a small flow (1–10 sccm) of NO standard (1.9 ppm NO/N₂) at the inlet. These tests (conducted upon each calibration) also indicated an adequately fast response ($\tau < 0.5$ s, 1/e). The conversion efficiencies and losses of NO_y within our system are discussed more fully in Turnipseed *et al.* (2006). Simply stated, it is likely that there was some loss of HNO₃ within the initial inlet, although the exact amount of loss under the experimental conditions was difficult to quantify. Therefore, it is possible that our NO_y fluxes may be underestimated by up to $\sim 35\%$.

Before calculation of fluxes, wind coordinates were rotated such that $\bar{v} = \bar{w} = 0$ (Kaimal & Finnigan, 1994), and scalar time series were despiked, linearly detrended, and shifted in time relative to w to account for lags induced by the inlet line. These lags were determined via cross correlation between the vertical wind velocity, w , and NO_y . Fluxes were then calculated from the covariance between vertical wind velocity (w) and total NO_y density.

Concentrations and fluxes of NH_3 , HNO_3 , NH_4^+ , and NO_3^-

Gas-phase NH_3 and HNO_3 , and particulate NH_4^+ and NO_3^- were measured by annular denuder/filter pack system (ADS; US EPA, 1997; Walker *et al.*, 2004). Triplicate samples were collected over 12-h periods (06:00–18:00 hours day cycle; 18:00–06:00 hours night cycle). Measurements were taken at a height of 2 m above the forest floor from July 10 to 16 and at 22 m, just above the canopy, from July 16 to 24. Sample air was drawn via a pump through the ADS (URG Corporation, Chapel Hill, NC, USA) through the following components in series: an aluminum Teflon-coated cyclone (10 Lpm, <2.5 μm cutoff), two annular denuder tubes (30 mm OD \times 242 mm length), a two-stage Teflon filter pack, and a third denuder tube. The Teflon filter pack contains a Teflon filter (47 mm diameter) followed by a nylon filter. The nylon filter and third denuder capture HNO_3 and NH_3 , respectively, which are produced when NH_4NO_3 is lost by volatilization from the primary Teflon filter. Denuders and filters were extracted with 10 and 5 mL of deionized water, respectively, and analyzed by ion chromatography (Dionex Corporation, Sunnyvale, CA, USA). Measurement uncertainties, expressed as the median coefficient of variation from triplicate samples, were 24%, 11%, 11%, and 27% for NH_3 , NH_4^+ , HNO_3 , and NO_3^- , respectively.

During CELTIC, the denuder/filterpack system was not deployed in a configuration that allowed for the direct determination of fluxes. This is primarily due to the difficulty in achieving acceptable precision at short sampling times required by traditional micrometeorological flux measurement techniques. We have, therefore, estimated fluxes of NH_3 , NH_4^+ , HNO_3 , and NO_3^- using a combination of measurements and modeling, which is a common approach when only routine chemical and meteorological data are available (Meyers *et al.*, 1998; US EPA, 2004). Only chemical and meteorological measurements taken above the canopy were used to estimate dry deposition fluxes from the model simulation. For each chemical species, hourly deposition fluxes (F) were calculated by applying 12-h inte-

grated air concentrations (C) to hourly deposition velocities (V_d) (i.e. $F = CV_d$).

Particulate NO_3^- and NH_4^+ deposition velocities were estimated using a version of Slinn's (1982) model, modified to account for particle speciation and surface wetness (Ruijgrok *et al.*, 1997). Deposition rates for HNO_3 and NH_3 were calculated using the classic resistance analogy (Hicks *et al.*, 1987) in which the deposition velocity is viewed as the reciprocal of the sum of resistances to deposition due to turbulence (R_a , calculated via Garland, 1977), diffusion across the quasi-laminar sublayer of air surrounding the receptor elements (R_b , calculated via Meyers *et al.*, 1989), and surface uptake processes that collectively determine the canopy resistance (R_c). For HNO_3 , R_c is a function of the dynamic stomatal resistance (Monteith & Unsworth, 1990) and static cuticular (R_w) and ground (R_g) resistances. Based on the approaches of Brook *et al.* (1999) and Meyers *et al.* (1998), R_w and R_g are both set to a value of 30 s m^{-1} .

Forests act as both sources and sinks of NH_3 , depending on the ambient NH_3 concentration, leaf and soil N status, and other environmental factors (Duyzer *et al.*, 1992; Wyers & Erisman, 1998; Pryor *et al.*, 2001). For this reason, the bidirectional canopy-scale NH_3 flux was calculated using a canopy compensation point model developed by Sutton *et al.* (1998). This is similar to the resistance model used for HNO_3 except that it includes a stomatal compensation point and soil emission. The stomatal compensation point ($\mu\text{g NH}_3 \text{ m}^{-3}$) was calculated according to Nemitz *et al.* (2000) as a function of temperature and the leaf emission potential $\Gamma_s = [\text{NH}_4^+]/[\text{H}^+]$. In this study we used $\Gamma_s = 250$, which is well within the range of values reported for low N systems (Flechard & Fowler, 1998; Schjoerring *et al.*, 1998; Milford *et al.*, 2001). Finally, we specified a static soil emission flux of $5.0 \text{ ng NH}_3 \text{ m}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$ based on flux measurements from other unfertilized soils in North Carolina (Walker *et al.*, 2002).

Results and discussion

Concentration and flux measurements

During the time period of the CELTIC experiment, NO_y fluxes and concentrations were monitored over Duke Forest (Fig. 1). In general, NO_y concentrations were highest in the early morning and late evening after 18:00 hours (averages ~ 6.5 – 7.5 ppbv), likely due to a combination of local traffic patterns and lower boundary layer heights during these periods. Average daytime NO_y fluxes tended to be between -20 and $-25 \text{ ng N m}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$ (Fig. 1b). In addition, NO_y deposition reached a maximum at midmorning and was substantially lower




Fig. 1 (a) NO_y concentrations and fluxes measured during Chemical Emission, Loss, Transformation and Interactions within Canopies (CELTIC) study at the Duke Forest free air CO₂ enrichment (FACE) site. (b) Diurnal average NO_y concentration and flux during CELTIC. Error bars are the standard error.

during the night-time hours due to decreased turbulent mixing. On average, about 0.75 mg N m⁻² was deposited daily. This is in reasonable agreement with the daily NO_y flux estimates of 0.35–0.70 mg N m⁻² day⁻¹ reported by Munger *et al.* (1996) over a deciduous forest in the northeast United States.

Denuder/filterpack measurements above and below the canopy are summarized in Fig. 2a. Concentrations of HNO₃, NO₃⁻, and NH₄⁺ were higher above the canopy, suggesting a net sink for these compounds. This pattern was consistent for day and night periods, though individual chemical species exhibited diurnal variability (Fig. 2b). Photochemical cycling of NO_x from local traffic resulted in higher concentrations of HNO₃ and NO₃⁻ during daytime hours. Concentrations of NH₄⁺ were also higher during the day, consistent with higher daytime concentrations of SO₄⁻² and NO₃⁻.




Fig. 2 Average concentrations of NH₃, HNO₃, NH₄⁺, and NO₃⁻ measured above (22 m) and below (2 m) the forest canopy (a) during the entire study period and (b) by day (06:00–18:00 hours) and night (18:00–06:00 hours) periods. Error bars represent the standard deviation of the mean.




Fig. 3 Modeled fluxes of NH_3 , HNO_3 , NH_4^+ , and NO_3^- during Chemical Emission, Loss, Transformation and Interactions within Canopies (CELTIC) study.

Modeled HNO_3 , NO_3^- , and NH_4^+ fluxes (Fig. 3) are consistent with the observed concentration gradients, and correspond to net deposition fluxes of 2.05, 1.43, and $6.85 \text{ ng N m}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$, respectively, over the entire study period. Modeled deposition fluxes of these species are highest during the late morning and early afternoon, reflecting the combination of higher concentrations and more effective turbulent mixing during daytime hours.

As described above, HNO_3 deposition was estimated independently of NO_y during the CELTIC experiment. Gas phase HNO_3 deposition is a subset of the total NO_y flux and comparisons of the two measurements can be used to estimate the proportion of the total NO_y flux that is gaseous HNO_3 . During the CELTIC measurement period, the weekly sum of deposited NO_y was 5.25 mg N m^{-2} compared with 1.24 mg N m^{-2} of HNO_3 (estimated from direct concentration measurements and resistance modeling). Therefore, HNO_3 constituted $\sim 24\%$ of the NO_y flux, which agrees with other recent studies (Sparks *et al.*, 2003; Turnipseed *et al.*, 2006) suggesting organic nitrate and peroxy nitrates may represent a large fraction of the total dry-deposited oxidized N and contradicting the common notion that HNO_3 dominates total N deposition at most sites (Williams *et al.*, 1997).

Ammonia concentrations tended to be similar above and below the canopy. This pattern suggests a small net flux or the presence of an NH_3 source in the lower canopy, most likely soil and decaying organic matter. Emissions from these sources are expected to increase with temperature when soil mineralization and litter decomposition rates are highest. The temperature dependence of these sources, in combination with the diurnal cycle of dew at the forest floor, most likely

explains the higher daytime concentrations of NH_3 observed below the canopy (Fig. 2b). In contrast, the observed higher concentrations of NH_3 above the canopy at night may reflect the influence of boundary layer depth (Fig. 2b). In both cases, however, the differences in average day and night-time concentrations are small. Over the entire study period, the canopy compensation point model yielded a net downward flux of $5.76 \text{ ng NH}_3\text{-N m}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$ in spite of a consistent pattern of emission during daytime hours. During periods of emission, the ambient concentration of NH_3 was below the predicted canopy compensation point. This diurnal pattern of bidirectional exchange is consistent with the relatively low concentrations of NH_3 observed during the experiment ($0.23\text{--}1.15 \mu\text{g m}^{-3}$), which were always close to the predicted canopy compensation point ($0.01\text{--}1.6 \mu\text{g m}^{-3}$).

Scaling to annual estimates

Because our measurements covered a relatively short period of time (~ 15 days), annual deposition was estimated using representative data from the nearest long-term measurement sites. Given the significant uncertainties inherent in such an exercise, we present deposition calculations for individual chemical species or total NO_y using multiple approaches (scenarios) in an effort to provide a range of estimates.

Annual NO_y fluxes

Figure 4a shows NO_y concentrations measured at several sites across North Carolina. Although there is considerable day-to-day variability between sites, trends on longer time scales (many days to weeks) are well correlated. This suggests that regional scale meteorology plays a large role in governing mean trace gas concentrations across North Carolina and supports our contention that concentrations measured at these various sites over the annual time scale will be relevant to the Duke site.

Figure 4b shows NO_y concentrations measured at rural and urban NC DAQ measurement sites and measurements made at Duke Forest during CELTIC. Some correlations among sites can be seen and our measurements tend to fall within the range observed at the rural NC DAQ sites (12-h running averages correlated to CELTIC measurements; $r^2 = 0.56$). This is illustrated in Fig. 4c showing the average diel profile for NO_y concentration for the month of July at the various NC DAQ sites and our measured data at Duke Forest (between DOY 194 and 205, July 13–24). Again, the Duke Forest measurements consistently overlap with the rural site estimates.




Fig. 4 (a) Time series of NO_y measurements from the two rural NC DAQ monitoring sites for 2003. (b) Average NO_y concentrations from the two rural NC DAQ sites relative to the average NO_y measured at the two urban NC DAQ sites for 2003. Our measurements from the Duke site are included. (c) Average diurnal profile of NO_y concentrations from the four NC DAQ sites for the month of July 2003, and that measured at Duke Forest.

These diel profiles point out another important aspect of congruency between sites. Even though larger scale meteorology appears to govern the mean NO_y concentration, all sites, regardless of whether they were situated in urban or rural areas, tended to show similar diel patterns (Fig. 2c). The observed concentration peaks in the morning and evening are consistent with low boundary layer heights and significant local NO_x emission (e.g. traffic patterns).

The general consistency between NO_y concentration measurements from the rural long-term sites and the Duke Forest measurements allowed us to scale our limited dataset to an annual deposition estimate. The scaling strategy was to apply the correlation between the real-time measurements made concurrently in the two locations to predict concentrations at Duke Forest during other times of the year.

The primary uncertainty associated with this extrapolation strategy is that NO_y consists of multiple chemical species that have different seasonal cycles, deposition characteristics, and sources, and the NO_y deposition is the net flux of their sum. The majority of NO_y in moderately polluted environments such as North Carolina consists of NO_x (NO + NO₂, 40–80%, Williams *et al.*, 1998). NO is emitted from soils with essentially no uptake by vegetation (Hereid & Monson, 2001). NO₂ is also produced by soils, but is primarily produced in urban and suburban areas by the burning of fossil fuels. NO₂ can be either emitted or taken up by vegetation depending upon the ambient concentration

(Sparks *et al.*, 2001). The remaining components of NO_y consist of more oxidized forms of N such as organic nitrates, peroxy nitrates, and HNO₃. It has been suggested that a majority of the dry N flux to most vegetated surfaces is due to HNO₃ vapor although it makes up only 2–10% of the total NO_y composition (Williams *et al.*, 1997). However, this study, other measurements made during CELTIC (Turnipseed *et al.*, 2006), and other recent work (Sparks *et al.*, 2003) suggest that peroxy nitrates deposit more readily to vegetation than was once thought and can make a significant contribution to the total NO_y flux. Given the potential variation in total dry N driven by the compositional differences of NO_y outlined above, we have used four scenarios devised to encompass the possible range of NO_y-deposition values. These scenarios are described below and the annual sums are shown in Table 2. The results across the four scenarios represent an upper and lower bound on the potential annual dry deposition of N to Duke Forest.

Scenario (1) was based on the measurements at Duke Forest indicating that midday NO_y fluxes show a linear relationship with concentration, giving a constant deposition velocity (slope) of 0.9 cm s⁻¹ ($R^2 = 0.69$, $N = 163$). This relationship does not hold for night-time data. At night when turbulent transport limited deposition, $V_d(\text{NO}_y)$ varied with turbulence (denoted by the friction velocity, u^*). Average [NO_y] from the two rural sites was combined with friction velocity and solar radiation information from the Duke Forest AmeriFlux

Table 2 Annual dry N deposition for individual chemical species to Duke Forest during 2003

Scenario (see text for description)	NO _y	HNO ₃	NH ₃	NO ₃ ⁻	NH ₄ ⁺
1 – Constant flux	5.40	1.56	2.07	0.043	0.41
2 – Constant flux II	2.74	1.38	1.59	0.058	0.46
3 – Corrected flux (HNO ₃ and NO ₃ ⁻)	2.35	1.95	-0.180	0.29	1.67
4 – Corrected flux (ratio)	4.34	2.90	1.90	na	na
5 –Ammonia	na	na	1.22	na	na

All measures are in kg N ha⁻¹. Scenario refers to the model used to generate each estimate. See the text for details. CASTNet, Clean Air Status and Trends Network.

site to estimate the total flux of deposited N to the surface.

The shortcomings of scenario (1) are that it assumes that all species of NO_y contribute equally to the deposition flux and/or the NO_y composition remains the same regardless of season. Neither of these assumptions is likely to be valid. Photochemical conversion of NO_x to more oxidized N species (e.g. HNO₃) occurs more readily during the summer (i.e. higher light levels and temperatures lead to more rapid photochemical conversion). However, because anthropogenic emissions of NO_y (consisting mostly of NO/NO₂ from combustion) remain relatively constant throughout the year, a seasonal cycle in the NO_y partitioning is typically observed with NO_x constituting a higher fraction of NO_y during winter periods. Furthermore, NO_y concentrations are often higher at the surface during winter due to lower boundary layers (see Fig. 4a and b). These larger wintertime concentrations and the assumption of a constant year-long deposition velocity for NO_y yields an overestimate of N deposition using this analysis, but may be viewed as an upper limit to total NO_y deposition.

Scenario (2) builds on the observations presented by Munger *et al.* (1996). Their long-term measurements over Harvard Forest (a deciduous forest located in Massachusetts, USA) suggested similar fluxes of NO_y (but not deposition velocity) regardless of season. Therefore, this scenario assumes the average diurnal flux measured during the July measurement period at Duke is applicable throughout the year (i.e. the distribution of chemical compounds within NO_y is fixed throughout the year). This is obviously a simplification of the mechanism because it does not require any knowledge of annual trends in concentrations.

Scenarios (3) and (4) are based on the assumption that the NO_y flux is dependent on the most highly oxidized fractions of NO_y (i.e. HNO₃ and NO₃⁻), which are most readily deposited. The sum of NO₃⁻ + HNO₃ from the nearest CASTNet site (Candor, NC, USA) was used to estimate this 'oxidized fraction.' Subsequently, hourly NO_y concentrations from the rural and suburban NC DAQ sites were averaged by week and temporally

aligned with the CASTNet measurements. Weekly NO_y fluxes for scenario (3) were then determined by scaling the average weekly flux measured during CELTIC (5.25 mg N m⁻² week⁻¹) by the ratio of the highly oxidized fraction of NO_y, $(\{NO_3^- + HNO_3\}_i / \{NO_y\}_i)$ where *i* represents the week number relative to the fraction observed during week 28). Scenario (4) was generated in a similar fashion, except that the average weekly flux was scaled by the ratio of the total amount of HNO₃ + NO₃⁻ for a given week relative to that on week 28, $(NO_3^- + HNO_3)_i / (NO_3^- + HNO_3)_{i=28}$. CELTIC NO_y measurements spanned week 28 of 2003.

Annual NO_y sums for all four scenarios are given in Table 2. As described above, scenario (1) likely leads to an upper limit of 5.4 kg N ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹. Conversely, scenario (2) will likely lead to a lower limit as it does not take into account both increased NO_y (from rural NC DAQ stations) and HNO₃ (from CASTNet sites) concentrations during winter, which should lead to higher deposition rates. Scenario (3) may also be negatively biased as the highly oxidized fraction of NO_y decreases in winter. Because scenario (4) is scaled directly to HNO₃ + NO₃⁻ concentrations, it should provide the most reliable estimate of the seasonal changes in NO_y flux and, thus, its result of 4.34 kg N ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹ is likely to be the most accurate estimate.

Annual HNO₃, NO₃⁻, and NH₄⁺ fluxes

To estimate HNO₃ deposition independently from NO_y, we again utilized four scenarios to provide an upper and lower bound for HNO₃ deposition to Duke Forest. The first scenario takes the measured Candor CASTNet concentration as a proxy for Duke Forest and calculates HNO₃ fluxes using a resistance-based multi-layer model (MLM; Meyers *et al.*, 1998) to produce weekly estimates of dry deposition as described by Clarke *et al.* (1997). A comparison of concentration estimates using ADS during the CELTIC study at Duke Forest and filter packs from the Candor CASTNet site suggested a possible bias in the partitioning between HNO₃ and NO₃⁻ (Table 3) in the CASTNet data.

Table 3 Comparison of Duke Forest ADS measurements and filter pack measurements made at the Candor CASTNet site

	NH ₄ ⁺	HNO ₃	NO ₃ ⁻	HNO ₃ + NO ₃ ⁻
<i>Week 28/29</i>				
Duke Forest	2.25	0.51	1.08	1.59
Candor (unadjusted)	1.81	1.52	0.06	1.58
Candor (adjusted)	2.16	1.44	0.14	1.58
<i>Annual</i>				
Candor (unadjusted)	1.46	1.77	0.55	2.32
Candor (adjusted)	1.65	1.57	0.75	2.32

HNO₃, NO₃⁻, and total HNO₃ + NO₃⁻ concentrations (µg m⁻³) include reported values (unadjusted) and concentrations adjusted using the ISORROPIA gas-aerosol equilibrium model (adjusted). CASTNet, Clean Air Status and Trends Network.

Revolatilization of NH₄NO₃ from the Teflon particulate filter within the CASTNet filter pack system may impart a negative bias to NO₃⁻ and a corresponding positive bias in the HNO₃ (which is more readily deposited) collected, leaving the sum of HNO₃ + NO₃⁻ unaffected (Table 3; Harrison & Kitto, 1990; Sickles, 1999). Under scenario (2), CASTNet HNO₃ concentrations were first adjusted for potential positive bias resulting from NH₄NO₃ volatilization on the collection filter using the ISORROPIA gas-aerosol equilibrium model (Nenes *et al.*, 1998), estimated NH₃ concentrations (Fig. 5; Walker *et al.*, 2004), and meteorological data from the CASTNet site. Candor CASTNet deposition velocities derived from the MLM were then applied to these adjusted weekly concentrations to estimate deposition.

Under scenarios (3) and (4), deposition velocities were derived from a single-layer resistance model used during CELTIC with bulk canopy resistances (R_c) of 15 and 0 s m⁻¹, respectively, using Duke Forest meteorology. These deposition velocities were then applied to the adjusted Candor HNO₃ concentrations to yield weekly deposition estimates for Duke Forest. In each of the four scenarios, hourly fluxes were calculated by applying weekly average concentrations to hourly deposition velocities.

HNO₃ scenarios (1) and (2) yielded annual deposition rates to Duke Forest of 1.56 and 1.38 kg N ha⁻¹, respectively (Table 2), suggesting that correction of CASTNet filter pack measurements for NH₄NO₃ loss at the Candor site had a relatively small effect on annual deposition estimates. The average deposition velocity for scenarios (1) and (2) was 1.3 cm s⁻¹. Scenarios (3) and (4) yielded annual deposition rates of 1.95 and 2.9 kg N ha⁻¹, respectively, which illustrates the importance of estimating the bulk canopy resistance (R_c) term accurately. Changes in the canopy resistance




Fig. 5 Ammonia concentrations vs. air temperature. Black dots represent bin-averaged data ($N = 12$) from a nonagricultural coastal site in eastern North Carolina. Error bars represent ± 1 standard deviation from the mean. Black line represents regression fit to bin-averaged data. Triangles and square represent daily and overall average concentrations, respectively, measured during Chemical Emission, Loss, Transformation and Interactions within Canopies (CELTIC) study.

($R_c = 0-15$ s m⁻¹) can lead to substantial differences in the deposition estimate that will become larger when extrapolated to annual or longer time scales. Average deposition velocities for scenarios (3) and (4) were 1.8 and 2.7 cm s⁻¹, respectively. Scenario (3) likely produces the most accurate estimate of annual deposition, because this approach is consistent with recent measurements of $R_c > 0$ (Tarnay *et al.*, 2002; Nemitz *et al.*, 2004) and utilizes Duke Forest meteorology.

Particulate NO₃⁻ and NH₄⁺ dry deposition rates were each estimated using the same three scenarios. Scenario (1) used the unadjusted CASTNet deposition from the Candor site as a direct estimate. Similar to the estimate of HNO₃ deposition, scenario (2) used concentrations that were first adjusted for the possible bias resulting from NH₄NO₃ volatilization on the CASTNet filter pack measurements. CASTNet deposition velocities for the Candor site were then used with the adjusted concentrations to estimate weekly deposition at Duke Forest. Scenario (3) used the same corrected concentration measurements as scenario (2), but deposition velocities were derived from the modified version of Slinn's model (Ruijgrok *et al.*, 1997) used during CELTIC with Duke Forest meteorology. These deposition velocities were then applied to the adjusted Candor CASTNet concentrations to estimate weekly deposition at Duke Forest. In each scenario, hourly fluxes were calculated by applying weekly average concentrations to hourly deposition velocities.

Scenarios (1) and (2) yielded particulate NO₃⁻ annual deposition rates of 0.043 and 0.058 kg N ha⁻¹, respec-




Fig. 6 Weekly sums of N deposition calculated for 2003 using the different scenarios described in the text for NO_y , HNO_3 , NH_3 , NO_3^- , and NH_4^+ .

tively (Table 2). Adjusting the filter pack concentration measurements for potential NH_4NO_3 bias had a significant influence on the calculated annual flux for this chemical species (an increase of >30%). However, the relatively low deposition velocities estimated using MLM under scenarios (1) and (2) (average $V_d = 0.11 \text{ cm s}^{-1}$) indicated dry NO_3^- was a relatively small fraction of the total atmospheric N input compared with other chemical species examined in this study. The modified Slinn model used under scenario (3) predicted higher deposition velocities for particulate NO_3^- compared with those predicted by MLM (average $V_d = 0.56 \text{ cm s}^{-1}$). The deposition velocities predicted by the modified Slinn model are more consistent with measured deposition velocities of particles over tall vegetation (Gallagher *et al.*, 1997) and is likely the more robust estimate of true deposition. The annual flux of

particulate NO_3^- calculated under scenario (3) was $0.29 \text{ kg N ha}^{-1}$.

The average particulate NH_4^+ concentration at the Candor CASTNet site during 2003 [scenario (1)] increased by 13% (Table 3) when adjusted for potential volatilization losses during sampling [scenario (2)]. Annual particulate NH_4^+ fluxes calculated under scenarios (1) and (2) (MLM derived average $V_d = 0.11 \text{ cm s}^{-1}$) were 0.41 and $0.46 \text{ kg N ha}^{-1}$, respectively. Similar to particulate NO_3^- , scenario (3) yielded significantly larger deposition velocities than scenarios (1) and (2) (average $V_d = 0.42 \text{ cm s}^{-1}$) and, subsequently, a higher annual particulate NH_4^+ deposition rate of $1.67 \text{ kg N ha}^{-1}$, respectively. Again, due to agreement of the Slinn model with measured values, we recommend this as our best estimate of NH_4^+ deposition.




Fig. 7 Plot of cumulative nitrogen deposition by dry deposition calculated for 2003. The line represents our 'best' estimate with the vertical bars representing the range of all scenarios.

Annual NH_3 fluxes

Ammonia fluxes were estimated using five scenarios. First, a time series of hourly NH_3 concentrations for 2003 was constructed using the temperature relationship shown in Fig. 5 from an eastern North Carolina nonagricultural site. Ambient concentrations were then used to estimate fluxes using the canopy compensation point model employed during the CELTIC study (described in the previous section). The five modeling scenarios represented a range of soil emissions and leaf (stomatal) emission potentials (Γ_s): (1) intermediate soil emission ($5 \text{ ng N m}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$) and high $\Gamma_s = 1000$; (2) intermediate soil emission and intermediate $\Gamma_s = 250$; (3) intermediate soil emission and low $\Gamma_s = 50$; (4) low soil emission ($1 \text{ ng N m}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$) and intermediate Γ_s ; and (5) high soil emission ($10 \text{ ng N m}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$) and intermediate Γ_s . Ranges of Γ_s (Flechard & Fowler, 1998; Schjoerring *et al.*, 1998; Milford *et al.*, 2001) and soil emissions (Walker *et al.*, 2002) were based on values previously reported for unfertilized systems.

Over the range of values used in this analysis, Γ_s has a larger influence on the annual flux estimate than soil emission rate (Table 2). Under scenario (1) (low Γ_s), the calculated annual deposition rate was $2.07 \text{ kg N ha}^{-1}$, while scenario (3) (high Γ_s) yielded a net annual emission flux of $0.18 \text{ kg N ha}^{-1}$. The lower and upper soil emission rates used for scenarios (4) and (5) yielded a range of annual deposition rates between 1.9 and $1.22 \text{ kg N ha}^{-1}$. Given that Duke Forest is an unfertilized plantation forest and there are no available direct measurements, scenario (2) (i.e. intermediate values of Γ_s and soil emission) is likely the most reasonable estimate. Scenario (2) produced an annual deposition rate of $1.59 \text{ kg N ha}^{-1}$.




Fig. 8 Plot of seasonal contribution to nitrogen deposition by wet and dry deposition, as well as components of the dry deposition.

Annual estimates and seasonal trends

Figure 6 shows the range of weekly deposition estimates for each chemical species under the scaling scenarios described above. During the winter months, dry deposition under all scenarios is dominated by NO_y . Interestingly, under most scenario runs, HNO_3 accounts for a relatively small portion of the total NO_y addition (32–67% of NO_y). This is important for two reasons. First, it implies strongly that other forms of NO_y (most likely organic peroxy and alkyl nitrate compounds) are a significant portion of the total flux. Second, if organic forms of NO_y are a large portion of the flux, it casts doubt on the completeness of estimates derived from CASTNet and other dry deposition assessments. CASTNet does not measure organic forms of dry deposition. In fact, CASTNet only quantifies HNO_3 in the gas phase and may significantly underestimate total dry N deposition in many environments.

In general, modeled fluxes of individual chemical species tended to follow the seasonal pattern of ambient concentration instead of being limited by such things as plant or stomatal activity. HNO_3 concentrations were highest during winter and spring, primarily in response to higher NO_x emissions. Particulate NO_3^- concentrations were also highest during the colder months when HNO_3 was more abundant and low temperatures shift the equilibrium of NH_4NO_3 toward the aerosol phase. Particulate NH_4^+ is primarily associated with SO_4^{2-} at this site. Thus, higher concentrations of NH_4^+ during spring and summer result from the temperature dependence of NH_3 emissions from agricultural and biogenic sources, as well as seasonality in SO_2 oxidation rates.

Ammonia deposition was estimated to be highest during the summer when higher ambient concentra-

Table 4 Wet and dry deposition of nitrogen to Duke Forest during 2003 assuming 'best estimate' scenarios. Wet deposition estimates are averages from the three nearest NADP sites: NC34, NC36, and NC41 (NADP, 2005)

	Winter (kg N ha ⁻¹)	Spring (kg N ha ⁻¹)	Summer (kg N ha ⁻¹)	Fall (kg N ha ⁻¹)	Annual (kg N ha ⁻¹)	% of total
<i>Dry</i>						
NO _y	1.55	0.9	0.77	1.12	4.34	31.7
NO ₃ ⁻	0.15	0.05	0.03	0.06	0.29	2.1
NH ₃	0.24	0.51	0.53	0.32	1.59	11.6
NH ₄ ⁺	0.40	0.47	0.49	0.31	1.67	12.2
<i>Wet</i>						
NO ₃ ⁻	0.57	0.94	1.10	0.25	2.86	20.9
NH ₄ ⁺	0.32	1.25	1.11	0.26	2.94	21.5
<i>Dry + Wet</i>	3.23	4.11	4.03	2.32	13.69	-

See text for scenario descriptions and selection of particular scenarios for the best estimate calculation.

tions and lower stomatal and cuticular resistances tend to reduce the overall canopy compensation point. Deposition rates were highest at night when stomatal exchange ceases and surface moisture promotes rapid cuticular uptake. Lower deposition rates or periods of emission occur during the day when surfaces are dry and the stomatal compensation point increases in response to temperature. This diurnal pattern is consistent across seasons, indicating that ambient NH₃ concentrations are always near the canopy compensation point.

Figure 7 describes the cumulative weekly dry deposition of NO_y, NH₃, particulate NO₃⁻, and particulate NH₄⁺ over the course of 2003. The central line is our best estimate of total deposition arrived at by combining what we felt were the most robust scaling scenarios for each chemical species. The gray area around the best estimate line represents the range of estimates from all scenarios. Using the respective 'best estimate' scenarios for each chemical species, dry deposition of NO_y, NH₃, NO₃⁻, and NH₄⁺ during 2003 totaled approximately 7.90 kg N ha⁻¹. Upper and lower limits on annual dry N deposition were estimated from the combination of scenarios for individual chemical species, which yielded the highest (9.55 kg N ha⁻¹) and lowest annual deposition rates (2.63 kg N ha⁻¹). While wet N deposition shows strong seasonality, peaking in spring and summer, the accumulation of N from dry deposition rates early in the year result from higher NO₂ concentrations during the winter months.

The combination of our best estimate of dry deposition and measured wet deposition (NO₃⁻ and NH₄⁺; no measurement of organic N) resulted in an N deposition to Duke Forest of 13.7 kg N ha⁻¹ during 2003 (Fig. 8, Table 4). The seasonal variability of total deposition was primarily driven by the wet fraction with the highest wet deposition of NO₃⁻ and NH₄⁺ occurring during the spring and summer. On an annual basis, dry deposition

accounted for approximately 58% of total N deposition (Table 4).

The results presented here have three important implications. First, it shows that reasonably constrained estimates of dry N deposition can be generated for sites of ecological interest such as a FACE site using short-term flux measurements and regional concentration estimates. Second, the monitoring of gaseous HNO₃ and particulate nitrate and ammonia as is done across the CASTNet network potentially significantly underestimates total dry N deposition. Third, significant dry deposition of N occurs during the winter and monitoring only during the growing season captures only a portion of the annual flux. These observations taken together strongly suggest that current strategies for estimating dry deposition of N potentially suffer from significant limitations.

Recent modeling efforts (Ollinger *et al.*, 2002; Thornton *et al.*, 2002) have suggested exogenous N inputs will likely have significant implications for future forest production, growth, and, ultimately, carbon sequestration. Our results suggest that modeling based upon parameterizations from national deposition networks (e.g. NADP and CASTNet) only may significantly underestimate dry N deposition. Therefore, a priority should be placed on generating robust estimates of dry N deposition including organic forms and ammonia.

Acknowledgements

Funding for this study was provided by the National Science Foundation (NSF), Award # DEB-0237674 to Jed Sparks. We thank Dave Whitall (NOAA) and Hans Paerl (UNCIMS) for denuder data collected at the UNC Institute of Marine Sciences in Morehead City, NC. We also thank Wayne Robarge (NCSU) for analysis of denuder/filter pack samples collected during CELTIC and Mark Barnes (NCSU) for deploying the denuder systems. We thank Wayne Cornelius of the North Carolina Division of Air Quality for assistance with trace gas monitoring

data. We would like to thank the Duke FACE site and its staff for allowing us to work at their research site as well as the invaluable assistance they provided during the CELTIC experiment. We would also like to thank Gabriel Katul for access to Duke Forest meteorological data. The Duke FACE site is supported by the Office of Science (BER), US Department of Energy, Grant No. DE-FG02-95ER62083. The US Environmental Protection Agency, through its Office of Research and Development, collaborated in the research described here. This publication has been subjected to the Agency's peer and administrative review and has been approved for publication as an EPA document. Mention of trade names or commercial products does not constitute endorsement by EPA.

References

- Bazzaz FA, Miao SL (1993) Successional status, seed size, and response of tree seedlings to CO₂, light and nutrients. *Ecology*, **74**, 104–112.
- Brook JR, Zhang L, Di-Giovanni F, Padro J (1999) Description and evaluation of a model of deposition velocities for routine estimates of air pollutant dry deposition over North America. Part I: model development. *Atmospheric Environment*, **33**, 5037–5051.
- CASTNet (2005) *Clean Air Status and Trends Network*. <http://www.epa.gov/castnet/index.html>
- Clarke JF, Edgerton ES, Martin BE (1997) Dry deposition calculations for the clean air status and trends network. *Atmospheric Environment*, **31**, 3667–3678.
- Curtis PS, Wang XZ (1998) A meta-analysis of elevated CO₂ effects on woody plant mass, form, and physiology. *Oecologia*, **113**, 299–313.
- De Miguel A, Bilbao J (1999) Ozone dry deposition and resistances onto green grassland in summer in central Spain. *Journal of Atmospheric Chemistry*, **34**, 321–338.
- Dollard GJ, Atkins DHF, Davies TJ, Healy C (1987) Concentrations and dry deposition velocities of nitric acid. *Nature*, **326**, 481–483.
- Duyzer JH, Deinum G, Baak J (1995) The interpretation of measurements of surface exchange of nitrogen-oxides – correction for chemical reactions. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London*, **351**, 231–248.
- Duyzer JH, Dorsey JH, Gallagher MW, Walton S (2004) Oxidised nitrogen and ozone interaction with forests 2: multi-layer process oriented modeling results and sensitivity study for Douglas Fir. *Quarterly Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society*, **130**, 1957–1971.
- Duyzer JH, Verhagen HLM, Westrate JH (1992) Measurement of the dry deposition flux of NH₃ on to coniferous forest. *Environmental Pollution*, **75**, 3–13.
- Fahey DW, Eubank CS, Hubler G, Fehsenfeld FC (1985) Evaluation of a catalytic reduction technique for the measurement of total reactive odd-nitrogen NO_y in the atmosphere. *Journal of Atmospheric Chemistry*, **3**, 435–468.
- Farmer DK, Wooldridge PJ, Cohen RC (2006) Application of thermal-dissociation laser induced fluorescence (TD-LIF) to measurement of HNO₃, ∑ alkyl nitrates, ∑ peroxy nitrates, and NO₂ fluxes using eddy covariance. *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics Discussion*, **6**, 1–42.
- Flechard CR, Fowler D (1998) Atmospheric ammonia at a moorland site. II: longterm surface-atmospheric micrometeorological flux measurements. *Quarterly Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society*, **124**, 759–791.
- Gallagher MW, Beswick KM, Duyzer J, Westrate H, Choularton TW, Hummelshoj P (1997) Measurements of aerosol fluxes to Spelder forest using a micrometeorological technique. *Atmospheric Environment*, **31**, 359–373.
- Garland JA (1977) The dry deposition of SO₂ on agricultural crops. *Atmospheric Environment*, **12**, 369–373.
- Gessler A, Rienks M, Rennenberg H (2000) NH₃ and NO₂ fluxes between beech trees and the atmosphere – correlation with climatic and physiological parameters. *New Phytologist*, **147**, 539–560.
- Hamilton JG, DeLucia EH, George K, Naidu SL, Finzi AC, Schlesinger WH (2002) Forest carbon balance under elevated CO₂. *Oecologia*, **131**, 250–260.
- Hanson PJ, Rott K, Taylor GE, Gunderson CA, Lindberg SE, Rosstodd BM (1989) NO₂ deposition to elements representative of a forest landscape. *Atmospheric Environment*, **23**, 1783–1794.
- Harrison RM, Kitto AM (1990) Field intercomparison of filter pack and denuder sampling methods for reactive gaseous and particulate pollutants. *Atmospheric Environment*, **24A**, 2633–2640.
- Hereid DP, Monson RK (2001) Nitrogen oxide fluxes between corn (*Zea mays* L.) leaves and the atmosphere. *Atmospheric Environment*, **35**, 975–983.
- Horii CV, Munger JW, Wofsy SC, Zahniser M, Nelson D, McManus JB (2005) Atmospheric reactive nitrogen concentration and flux budgets at a Northeastern US forest site. *Agricultural and Forest Meteorology*, **133**, 210–225.
- Hicks BB, Baldocchi DD, Meyers TP, Hosker RP, Matt DR (1987) A preliminary multiple resistance routine for describing dry deposition velocities from measured quantities. *Water Air and Soil Pollution*, **36**, 311–330.
- Kaimal JC, Finnigan JJ (1994) *Atmospheric Boundary Layer Flows: Their Structure and Measurement*. Oxford University Press, Oxford, pp. 124–154.
- Katul GG, Albertson JD (1999) Modeling CO₂ sources, sinks, and fluxes within a forest canopy. *Journal of Geophysical Research – Atmospheres*, **104**, 6081–6091.
- Lovett GM, Traynor MM, Pouyat RV, Carreiro MM, Zhu WX, Baxter JW (2000) Atmospheric deposition to oak forests along an urban–rural gradient. *Environmental Science and Technology*, **34**, 4294–4300.
- Luo YQ, Reynolds J, Wang YP, Wolfe D (1999) A search for predictive understanding of plant responses to elevated [CO₂]. *Global Change Biology*, **5**, 143–156.
- Meetham AR (1950) Natural removal of pollution from the atmosphere. *Quarterly Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society*, **76**, 359–364.
- Meyers TP, Finkelstein PL, Clarke J, Ellestad TG, Sims P (1998) A multilayer model for inferring dry deposition using standard meteorological measurements. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, **103**, 22645–22661.
- Meyers TP, Hall ME, Lindberg SE, Kim K (1996) Use of the modified Bowen-ratio technique to measure fluxes of trace gases. *Atmospheric Environment*, **30**, 3321–3329.

- Meyers TP, Huebert BJ, Hicks BB (1989) HNO₃ deposition to a deciduous forest. *Boundary-Layer Meteorology*, **49**, 395–410.
- Milford C, Hargreaves KJ, Sutton MA, Loubet B, Cellier P (2001) Fluxes of NH₃ and CO₂ over upland moorland in the vicinity of agricultural land. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, **106**, 24169–24181.
- Monteith JL, Unsworth M (1990) *Principles of Environmental Physics*, 2nd edn. Arnold Press, London.
- Munger JW, Wofsy SC, Bakwin PS *et al.* (1996) Atmospheric deposition of reactive nitrogen oxides and ozone in a temperate deciduous forest and subarctic woodland 1. Measurements and mechanisms. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, **101**, 12639–12657.
- NADP (2005) *National Atmospheric Deposition Program (NRSP-3)*. NADP Program Office, Illinois State Water Survey, Champaign, IL. <http://nadp.sws.uiuc.edu>
- NC DAQ (2005) *North Carolina Division of Air Quality*. <http://daq.state.nc.us/>
- Nemitz E, Milford C, Sutton MA (2001) A two-layer canopy compensation point model for describing bi-directional biosphere–atmosphere exchange of ammonia. *Quarterly Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society*, **127**, 815–833.
- Nemitz E, Sutton MA, Schjoerring JK, Husted S, Wyers GP (2000) Resistance modeling of ammonia exchange over oilseed rape. *Agricultural and Forest Meteorology*, **105**, 405–425.
- Nemitz E, Sutton MA, Wyers GP, Jongejan PAC (2004) Gas-particle interactions above a Dutch heathland: 1. Surface exchange fluxes of NH₃, SO₂, HNO₃, and HCl. *Atmospheric Physics and Chemistry*, **4**, 989–1005.
- Nenes A, Pandis SN, Pilinis C (1998) ISORROPIA: a new thermodynamic equilibrium model for multiphase multicomponent inorganic aerosols. *Aquatic Geochemistry*, **4**, 123–152.
- Ollinger SV, Aber JD, Reich PB, Freuder R (2002) Interactive effects of nitrogen deposition, tropospheric ozone, elevated CO₂ and land use history on the carbon dynamics of northern hardwood forests. *Global Change Biology*, **8**, 545–562.
- Oren R, Ellsworth DS, Johnsen KH *et al.* (2001) Soil fertility limits carbon sequestration by forest ecosystems in a CO₂-enriched atmosphere. *Nature*, **411**, 469–472.
- Pan YD, Melillo JM, McGuire AD *et al.* (1998) Modeled responses of terrestrial ecosystems to elevated atmospheric CO₂: a comparison of simulations by the biogeochemistry models of the vegetation/ecosystem modeling and analysis project (VEMAP). *Oecologia*, **114**, 389–404.
- Pryor SC, Barthelme RJ, Sorenson LL, Jensen B (2001) Ammonia concentrations and fluxes over a forest in the midwestern USA. *Atmospheric Environment*, **35**, 5645–5656.
- Ruijgrok W, Tieben H, Eisinga P (1997) The dry deposition of particles to a forest canopy: a comparison of model and experimental results. *Atmospheric Environment*, **31**, 399–415.
- Schimel DS, House JI, Hibbard KA *et al.* (2001) Recent patterns and mechanisms of carbon exchange by terrestrial ecosystems. *Nature*, **414**, 169–172.
- Schjoerring JK, Husted S, Mattsson M (1998) Physiological parameters controlling plant-atmosphere ammonia exchange. *Atmospheric Environment*, **32**, 491–498.
- Sickles JE (1999) A summary of airborne concentrations of sulfur- and nitrogen-containing pollutants in the northeastern United States. *Journal of Air Waste Management Association*, **49**, 882–893.
- Slinn WGN (1982) Predictions for particle deposition to vegetative canopies. *Atmospheric Environment*, **16**, 1785–1794.
- Sparks JP, Monson RK, Sparks KL, Lerda M (2001) Leaf uptake of nitrogen dioxide (NO₂) in a tropical wet forest: implications for tropospheric chemistry. *Oecologia*, **127**, 214–221.
- Sparks JP, Roberts JM, Monson RK (2003) The uptake of gaseous organic nitrogen by leaves: a significant global nitrogen transfer process. *Geophysical Research Letters*, **30**, 2189.
- Sutton MA, Burkhardt JK, Guerin D, Nemitz E, Fowler D (1998) Development of resistance models to describe measurements of bi-directional ammonia surface–atmosphere exchange. *Atmospheric Environment*, **32**, 473–480.
- Sutton MA, Place CJ, Eager M, Fowler D, Smith RI (1995) Assessment of the magnitude of ammonia emissions in the United Kingdom. *Atmospheric Environment*, **29**, 1393–1411.
- Tarnay LW, Gertler A, Taylor GW (2002) The use of inferential models for estimating nitric acid vapor deposition to semi-arid coniferous forests. *Atmospheric Environment*, **36**, 3277–3287.
- Thornton PE, Law BE, Gholz HL *et al.* (2002) Modeling and measuring the effects of disturbance history and climate on carbon and water budgets in evergreen needleleaf forests. *Agricultural and Forest Meteorology*, **113**, 185–222.
- Turnipseed AA, Huey LG, Nemitz E *et al.* (2006) Eddy covariance fluxes of Peroxyacyl nitrates (PANs) and NO_y to a coniferous forest. *Journal of Geophysical Research – Atmospheres*, **111**, 6214–6224.
- US EPA (1997) Determination of reactive acidic and basic gases and strong acidity of fine particles (<2.5 m). In: *Compendium of Methods for the Determination of Inorganic Compounds in Ambient Air, Method IO-42*. EPA-625/R-96/010a. Center for Environmental Research Information, Office of Research and Development, Cincinnati, OH.
- US EPA (2004) *Clean Air Status and Trends Network (CASTNet) 2003 Annual Report*. Office of Air and Radiation, Research Triangle Park, NC.
- Walker JT, Geron C, Vose J, Swank W (2002) Nitrogen trace gas emissions from a riparian ecosystem in Southern Appalachia. *Chemosphere*, **49**, 1389–1398.
- Walker JT, Whittall D, Robarge WP, Paerl H (2004) Ambient ammonia and ammonium aerosol across a region of variable ammonia emission density. *Atmospheric Environment*, **38**, 1235–1246.
- Williams EJ, Baumann K, Roberts JM *et al.* (1998) Intercomparison of ground-based NO_y measurement techniques. *Journal Geophysical Research*, **103**, 22261–22280.
- Williams EJ, Roberts JM, Baumann K, Bertman SB, Buhr S, Norton RB, Fehsenfeld FC (1997) Variations in NO_y composition at Idaho Hill, Colorado. *Journal Geophysical Research*, **102**, 6297–6314.
- Wyers GP, Erisman JW (1998) Ammonia exchange over coniferous forest. *Atmospheric Environment*, **32**, 441–451.
- Zak DR, Pregitzer KS, Curtis PS, Vogel CS, Holmes WE, Lussenhop J (2000) Atmospheric CO₂, soil-N availability, and allocation of biomass and nitrogen by *Populus tremuloides*. *Ecological Applications*, **10**, 34–46.