

## **UC Merced**

### **Proceedings of the Annual Meeting of the Cognitive Science Society**

#### **Title**

How many instances come to mind when making probability estimates?

#### **Permalink**

<https://escholarship.org/uc/item/4t21f70q>

#### **Journal**

Proceedings of the Annual Meeting of the Cognitive Science Society, 42(0)

#### **Authors**

Sundh, Joakim

Zhu, Jian-Qiao

Chater, Nicholas

et al.

#### **Publication Date**

2020

Peer reviewed

# How many instances come to mind when making probability estimates?

**Joakim Sundh**

University of Warwick, Coventry, United Kingdom

**Jian-Qiao Zhu**

University of Warwick, Coventry, United Kingdom

**Nicholas Chater**

University of Warwick, Coventry, United Kingdom

**Adam Sanborn**

University of Warwick, Coventry, United Kingdom

## Abstract

Sampling-based models, which assume that people remember or simulate instances of events and count outcome proportions to make probability judgments, account for many apparent biases in human judgment. The success of such models is generally dependent on sample size, as particularly large or particularly small samples are often required for a model to reproduce effects observed in data. Thus, systematically exploring the actual number of instances that people tend to sample is an important criterion in evaluating model credibility. Here we propose a method of estimating sample size by way of inter-judgment variance. We show through model recovery that this method will reliably recover the correct sample size and subsequently apply the method to two well-supported models of human probability judgment, Probability Theory Plus Noise and the Bayesian Sampler. Results indicate, in both cases, that human probability judgments are based on a relatively plausible ( $\approx 10$ ) number of sampled instances.